Douglas County

Board of County Commissioners Public Meeting Notice and Agenda

Mark Gardner
Nathan Tolbert
Sharla Hales
Wesley Rice
Danny Tarkanian

Thursday, October 15, 2026 **10:00 AM** **Historic Courthouse**
1616 Eighth Street
Minden, NV 89423

The Board of County Commissioners sit jointly as the following boards: Liquor Board, License Board, Tahoe-Douglas Transportation District Board, Water District Board, and the Redevelopment Agency. Agenda items may be taken out of order, may be combined for consideration, or may be removed from the agenda at any time. All items designated "for possible action" may include discussion by the County Commissioners and they may take action to approve, modify, deny, take "no action," or continue the item.

To Watch the Meeting : Members of the public may click on the following link to watch the livestream of the Board of County Commissioners meeting: **https://www.youtube.com/@douglascountynevada**

Written Public Comment : To offer public comment before the Board meeting, members of the public may submit public comments online through the County's public comment form by clicking on the following link:
https://www.douglascountynv.gov/government/board_of_county_commissioners/public_comment

Public Comment During the Meeting : Members of the public may attend the meeting in person at the address listed at the top of the agenda to provide public comment.

Supporting Materials:
Copies of supporting material can be requested in person from the Douglas County Clerk/Treasurer's Office, 1616 8th Street, Minden, Nevada or by calling Nicki Oehlerking at 775-782-9014. Electronic copies of the agenda and supporting materials are also available at the following websites:

State of Nevada Public Notices Website: https://notice.nv.gov/
Douglas County Meeting website: https://douglascountynv.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=1

Notice to Persons with Disabilities:
Members of the public who are disabled or require special assistance or accommodations are requested to notify the Douglas County Manager's Office in writing at Post Office Box 218, Minden, Nevada 89423 or by calling 775-782-9821 at least 20 hours in advance of the meeting.

Members of the public may call the County Manager's office at 775-782-9821 to obtain help making public comment using any of the foregoing methods.

A copy of the finalized agenda is posted at the Minden Inn at 1594 Esmeralda Avenue, Minden Nevada.

DOUGLAS COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

FINAL AGENDA

October 15, 2026

LUNCH BREAK
The Board of County Commissioners may break for lunch at approximately noon and will reconvene after a break at the discretion of the Chairperson.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
Led by Commissioner Wesley Rice

3. PUBLIC COMMENT (No Action)
Forum Restrictions and Orderly Conduct of Business
The Board of County Commissioners conducts the business of Douglas County and its citizens during its meetings. The Board may impose reasonable, content-neutral restrictions on public comment such as comments that are on topics that are not within the jurisdiction, authority, or control of the Board of County Commissioners, or if the content of the comment is willfully disruptive of the meeting by being irrelevant, repetitious, slanderous, offensive, inflammatory, irrational, or amounts to a personal attack or interfering with the rights of other speakers. The presiding officer may order the removal of any person whose statement or other conduct disrupts the orderly, efficient or safe conduct of the meeting. Warnings against disruptive comments or behavior may or may not be given prior to removal. Hand clapping, making remarks or gestures from the audience that may interrupt, interfere or prevent any speaker from commenting should not occur.

Responses to Public Comments
The Board of County Commissioners can deliberate or take action only if a matter has been listed on an agenda properly posted prior to the meeting. During the public comment period, speakers may address matters listed or not listed on the published agenda as long as those matters are within the jurisdiction and control of the Board of County Commissioners. The Open Meeting Law does not expressly prohibit responses to public comments by the Commissioners. However, responses from Commissioners to unlisted public comment topics could become deliberation on a matter without notice to the public. On the advice of legal counsel, and to ensure the public has notice of all matters the Board of County Commissioners will consider, Commissioners may choose not to respond to public comments, except to correct factual inaccuracies, ask clarifying questions, or to ask that a matter be listed on a future agenda.

Public comment is limited to three minutes per speaker unless additional time is granted by the Board Chairperson. The Board of Commissioners uses timing lights to ensure that everyone has an opportunity to speak. You will see a green light when you begin, and then a yellow light which indicates that you have thirty seconds left and should conclude your comments. Once the light turns red, please sit down.

In addition to opening public comment, public comment will also be taken on administrative agenda items that are identified for possible action and closing public comment. If you plan to

speak on a specific agenda item that is on the administrative agenda and identified for possible action, then please provide your public comment when that agenda item is heard. Public comment will not be taken on Liquor Board agenda items. If you would like to provide public comment on Liquor Board agenda items, please provide your public comment during opening public comment or closing public comment.

Public comment must be limited to matters that are within the jurisdiction and control of the Board of County Commissioners. Public comment must be addressed to the Chairperson and not to members of the public so that the Board may take future action on the issues that are raised by the public.

At this time, public comment will be taken on those items that are within the jurisdiction and control of the Board of County Commissioners.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

For possible action. Approval of the proposed agenda. The Board of Commissioners reserves the right to take items in a different order to accomplish business in the most efficient manner, to combine two or more agenda items for consideration, and to remove items from the agenda or delay discussion relating to items on the agenda.

APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

5. For possible action. Discussion to approve the minutes of the regular meeting on September 17, 2026, of the Board of County Commissioners.

6. RECESS AS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS CONVENE AS DOUGLAS COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD

DOUGLAS COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD

7.1 For possible action. Discussion to approve the Entertainment Endorsement to the existing Packaged Retail and On-Site Retail Unrestricted Liquor License and Non-Restricted Gaming License for Nevada Trading Company LLC, dba Nevada Trading Company, represented by owner Nachhater Singh and Manpreet Singh. Nachhater Singh and Manpreet Singh have each signed a Waiver of Notice of Hearing. Nevada Trading Company is located at 3900 Carter Way, Wellington, Nevada. (Captain Koontz)

7.2 For possible action. Discussion to approve the addition of a Packaged Retail Liquor License and the addition of Etienne Robert Lekumberry to the existing On-Site Unrestricted Liquor License for Gora J.T., Inc. dba J.T. Basque Bar & Dining Room, represented by owners Marie Louise Lekumberry and Jean Baptiste Lekumberry. Etienne Robert Lekumberry has signed a Waiver of Notice of Hearing. Gora J.T., Inc. dba J.T. Basque Bar & Dining Room, is located at 1426 HWY 395, Gardnerville, Nevada. (Captain Koontz)

8. ADJOURN AS DOUGLAS COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD RECONVENE AS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

CONSENT CALENDAR

Items appearing on the Consent Calendar are items that can be adopted with one motion unless an item is pulled by a Commissioner or a member of the public. Members of the public who wish to have a

consent item placed on the Administrative Agenda shall make that request during the public comment section at the beginning of the meeting and specifically state why they are making the request. If items are pulled for discussion by a vote of the Board of County Commissioners, they will automatically be placed on the Administrative Agenda to be heard at the discretion of the Chairperson or may be continued until another meeting.

9. CONSENT ITEMS

A. For possible action. Discussion on the Outdoor Festival License application submitted by Emily Lawrence, Marketing and Events Manager, for the Golden Nugget Lake Tahoe, for the South Shore Chili Cook Off to be held October 17, 2026, from 11:00 AM to 2:00 PM, at 50 Highway 50, Stateline, Nevada. (Amy Burgans, Clerk-Treasurer)

B. For possible action. Discussion to accept a $24,393 Community Services Block Grant from the State of Nevada, Department of Health and Human Services, for the time period of October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027, and authorize the County Manager to sign the grant award. (Brook Adie, Community Services Director)

C. For possible action. Discussion to approve a 2-year contract with Spectrum for connectivity and internet services at Lake Tahoe. The cost is $28,740 per year with no additional fees. The total contract amount will not exceed $57,480 for the two-year term. (Peace Ruggia, Chief Information Officer)

D. For possible action. Discussion to approve Contract Addendum No. 2 to the 2022 Contract for Water Emergency On-Call Services with Haen Constructors; authorize the Director of Public Works to execute Addendum No. 2; and adopt **Resolution 2026R-057** to augment the Maintenance and Repair budget to reflect the addendum cost. The effect of the addendum is to increase the total contract price for Fiscal Year 2026-27 from $99,000 to $198,000. (Rick Robillard, Public Works Deputy Director)

E. For possible action. Discussion to accept the auditor's report on Douglas County's general ledger cash balances through September 15, 2026, per Nevada Revised Statute 251.030. (Kathy Kaiser, Comptroller)

F. For possible action. Discussion to accept $4,856.28 in additional funding from the Nevada Department of Public Safety, Joining Forces Grant # JF-2026-DCSO-2038, to provide additional reimbursement for overtime expenses associated with traffic control for qualifying events, and increase the revenue and expenditure budgets of the general fund by the amount of the grant increase. (Undersheriff Elges)

G. For possible action. Discussion to approve changes to the Fiscal Year 2026-27 secured property tax roll as recommended by the Douglas County Assessor pursuant to NRS 361.765 and/or NRS 361.768 to adjust the taxable values of the affected properties, for a total decrease in net taxable value of $302,366, authorize the Chair to execute an Order to Adjust Tax Bills and Correct Secured and Unsecured Tax Rolls, and direct the Douglas County Treasurer to correct the error in the tax roll pursuant to Nevada law. (Trent Tholen, Assessor)

H. For possible action. Discussion to accept the Douglas County Safe Streets for All Plan completed by Parametrix and authorize its publication on the County's website. The plan incorporates community engagement, recommended projects, and a final safety analysis and will enable Douglas County to apply for future funding to implement projects identified in

the plan. (Josh Nordloh, Acting Transportation Engineering Manager and Debbie Swickard, Grants Administrator)

I. For possible action. Discussion to retroactively approve the salary of a new Deputy Sheriff II to start at Step 6 ($43.22 per hour) based upon the experience and qualifications of the candidate. (Undersheriff Elges)

J. For possible action. Discussion to retroactively approve a one-year extension of the contract with Government Software Assurance, Inc. (GSA), effective February 2026, in the amount of $97,350, for software maintenance and hosting of the Property Tax and Computer Aided Mass Appraisal (CAMA) system used by the Assessor's Office for Fiscal Year 2026–27. (Trent Tholen, Assessor)

K. For possible action. Discussion to approve an increase of $58,406 to Technology Services' current $733,370.56 purchase order with SHI International Corp. ("SHI") for Fiscal Year 2026-27, for a new total of $791,776.56, utilizing the State of Nevada Master Purchasing Agreement (99SWC-S108) for all purchases of software subscriptions, software licensing, and support services. (Peace Ruggia, Chief Information Officer)

L. For possible action. Discussion to retroactively approve Douglas County's participation in the Albertsons settlement agreement as a part of the One Nevada Agreement on the Allocation of Opioid Recoveries, and authorize the County Manager to execute any required documents. (Mark B. Jackson, District Attorney)

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA

The Chairperson will read the agenda title into the public record and will have the discretion to determine how the item will be presented. Agenda items may be considered ahead of or after the schedule indicated by this agenda. Public comment will be taken on items that are identified for possible action.

10. ITEM(S) PULLED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA WILL BE HEARD AT THIS TIME

PRESENTATIONS

11.1 For presentation only. Ceremonial presentation of **Proclamation 2026P-059** recognizing November 4 -11, 2026, as Operation Green Light for Veterans in Douglas County. (Dean Miller, VFW Douglas County Post #8583, Commander)

11.2 For presentation only. Ceremonial presentation of **Proclamation 2026P-060** recognizing October 2026 as Community Planning Month in Douglas County. (Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Economic Vitality Manager - Interim Principal Planner)

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

12.1 For presentation only. Introduction of **Ordinance 2026-1675**, amending Douglas County Code Title 18, Appendix B, the Minden Town Boundary, by annexing approximately 6.68 acres into the Town of Minden boundary. The parcel to be annexed is Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 1320-29-301-005. First Reading. (Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Community Development)

12.2 For possible action. Discussion to approve an interlocal agreement with the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection to implement the Lake Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for Water Years 2027–2031, and authorize Chairman Gardner to sign the agreement. (Courtney Walker, Stormwater Program Manager)

12.3 For presentation only. Presentation to update the Board of County Commissioners on Douglas County Community Development's Oracle Permitting and Licensing (OPAL) software. (Tom Dallaire, Community Development Director & Andrea Pawling, Deputy Community Development Director)

12.4 For presentation only. Introduction of **Ordinance 2026-1673**, a Zoning Text Amendment amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, by revising section 20.664.125 to add an affordable housing exemption to the commercial floor area requirement applicable to multi-family housing that requires a mixed-use component. The proposed exemption does not apply to the towns of Gardnerville, Minden, or Genoa, where the respective Town Advisory Boards expressed a strong preference to maintain the existing mixed-use commercial requirements. First Reading. (Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Economic Vitality Manager - Interim Principal Planner)

12.5 For presentation only. Introduction of **Ordinance 2026-1674**, a Zoning Text Amendment amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, by revising Chapter 20.440, adding definitions applicable to the Chapter, revising the conditions that apply to density bonus and affordable housing agreements, and modifying the findings required for approval of such agreements; and amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, Section 20.560.050, revising definitions to create consistency with Chapter 20.440. First Reading. (Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Economic Vitality Manager - Interim Principal Planner)

12.6 For possible action. Presentation and discussion on the Transfer Development Rights (TDR) Study that commenced in September of 2023. Wood Rodgers and Douglas County staff will present findings from the study, the collaboration utilized during the study, and recommendations moving forward for the Douglas County TDR program. (Derek Kirkland, Wood Rodgers Inc. & Tom Dallaire, Community Development Department)

COMMUNITY SERVICES

13. For possible action. Discussion to adopt **Ordinance 2026-1672**, an ordinance creating Chapter 20.850 of the Douglas County Code, pertaining to Art in Public Places; and a zoning text amendment to Section 20.660.050(N) of the Douglas County Code, decategorizing “murals” as a “use of community significance.” Second Reading. (Brook Adie, Community Services Director)

COUNTY MANAGER

14. For presentation only. Announcements, reports, and updates from County Commissioners and the County Manager, including information regarding boards or commissions on which they serve as members or liaisons, other meetings or functions attended, and Commissioner requests for discussion of potential future agenda items. Discussion may also include status reports and updates on County projects and administrative matters for the Board of County Commissioners. (Chairman Gardner)

15. CLOSING PUBLIC COMMENT (No Action)

At this time, public comment will be taken on those items that are within the jurisdiction and control of the Board of Commissioners or those agenda items where public comment has not already been taken.

16. ADJOURNMENT

AGENDA ITEM NO. 5.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES**

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to approve the minutes of the regular meeting on September 17, 2026, of the Board of County Commissioners.

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Approve the minutes of the regular meeting on September 17, 2026, of the Board of County Commissioners, as presented.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
None.

BACKGROUND:

ATTACHMENTS:
091726ForBoardApproval.pdf

DRAFT

The Regular Meeting of the Board of County Commissioners was held on Thursday, September 17, 2026, beginning at 10:00 AM in the meeting room of the County Administration Building, 1616 8th Street, Minden, Nevada and via Zoom participation as well as was streamed via Live Stream – Video Link, Douglas County YouTube Channel. **When applicable, the minutes below have been transcribed.**

---

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 10:01 AM.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:
Mark Gardner, Chair
Nathan E Tolbert, Vice Chair
Wesley Rice, Commissioner – *via Zoom*
Danny Tarkanian, Commissioner
Sharla Hales, Commissioner

STAFF PRESENT:
Dan Coverley, Sheriff
Jenifer Davidson, County Manager
Doug Ritchie, Chief Civil Deputy District Attorney
Amber Lane, Deputy Clerk

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Led by Commissioner Hales.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, before we get started today and I know some of it's probably out in the public domain, some of it is not necessarily, I think it is, but I'm not positive. Yes okay, is that better? Okay, so I think I'd be remiss if I failed to mention that in the last two weeks, we've lost two previous Commissioners. One by a very tragic accident in Southern California, a previous Commissioner, Dave Nelson was struck by a vehicle and passed on the scene. And then also, past Commissioner Kelly Kite succumbed to his battle with cancer this last Saturday night. So if you would please keep them and their families in your prayers, we would appreciate that. So we've been trying to work out the procedural process, I appreciate the fact that we have so many people here on, it appears to be one particular agenda item today. I have consulted with my other Commissioners and what we've decided to do is we're going to move through the agenda. We'll get through the Consent Calendar and we will have our two presentations of our proclamations, and then we'll go into Item 12.3, that appeal. Okay, that's what we'll do. And then whatever time that ends, we'll try to take a break for a period of time for us to get refreshments. Okay, that's fine. See, I'm flexible.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT (No Action)

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, so we have, for those members of the public that haven't been here before, I'm going to read the Forum Restrictions and Orderly Conduct of Business and Responses to Public Comments section of the agenda. The Board of Commissioners conducts the business of Douglas County and its citizens during its meetings. The Board may impose reasonable, content neutral restrictions on Public Comment, such as comments that are on topics that are not within the jurisdiction, authority, or control of the Board of County Commissioners, or if the content of the comment is willfully disruptive of the meeting by being irrelevant, repetitious, slanderous, offensive, inflammatory, irrational, or amounts to a personal attack or interfering with the rights of other speakers. The presiding officer, which is myself, may order the removal of any person whose statement or other conduct disrupts the orderly, efficient, and safe conduct of the meeting, not that I'm anticipating that. Warnings against disruptive comments or behavior may or may

UNAPPROVED

not be given prior to approval. We ask the public to please refrain from hand clapping, making remarks or gestures from the audience that may interrupt, interfere, or prevent any speaker from commenting. That should not occur, please. The Board of Commissioners can deliberate or take action only if the matter has been listed on the agenda properly posted prior to the meeting, that is according to Open Meeting Law. So we have not been allowed to speak with one another in regards to the items that are on the agenda. We didn't see the agenda, the flesh of the agenda until the public saw it last Friday night. During the Public Comment period, speakers may address matters listed or not listed on the published agenda, as long as those matters are within the jurisdiction and control of the Board of County Commissioners. The Open Meeting Law does not expressly prohibit responses to Public Comments by the Commissioners; however, responses from Commissioners to unlisted Public Comment topics may become deliberation on a matter without notice of the public. On the advice of legal counsel and to ensure the public has notice of all matters, the Board of County Commissioners may choose not to respond to Public Comments except in correct factual inaccuracies, ask clarifying questions, or to ask that a matter be listed on a future agenda.

We allow basically three times for Public Comments; Opening Public Comment, Closing Public Comment and then also on items that are listed within our Administrative Agenda for possible action. So we don't take any Public Comment on items that are for presentation only. We do limit Public Comment to three minutes per speaker and we use a timing system to ensure that everybody gets an equal opportunity. So when you approach the podium, we ask you to print your name so we can get that accurately into the record, state your name, and then at that time, Vice Chair Nathan Tolbert will start your time. You'll see a green light and then after, when you have 30 seconds left, you'll see a yellow light and a slight beep. And at the end of your three minutes, you'll see a red light and an obnoxious, obnoxious sound, and at that point in time, please sit down. We thank you for that. So I've already talked about the Administrative Agenda items and we do not take Public Comment also on Liquor Board items of which we have two today. So we ask you to please keep your Public Comment limited to matters that are within the jurisdiction and control of the Board of County Commissioners. So for instance, we don't have any jurisdiction over the law enforcement team, I said that for your edifice there, Sheriff Coverley. We don't have any jurisdiction over the school systems, we don't have any jurisdiction over the courts, so we ask you to keep your Public Comments to things that we can try to control. And we ask you to make your Public Comment to the Board so that we can address those for possible future action. So at this time, Public Comment will be taken on those items are within the jurisdiction and control of the Board of County Commissioners. Public Comment is open.

Ellie Waller speaks:
Good morning. I won't be here this afternoon. Sadly, there is no definition for glamping in the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency or Douglas County Codes. But with that said, Douglas County does have discretionary approval and interpretation rights at Design Review and building permits. How can staff assess what should be allowed in the building permit envelope without a definition? Can you, as a Board, legally defend your decision and make each finding as defined in DCCDC 20.614.040 as provided in the Supplemental? C and H; the project will exacerbate an already congested, dangerous thoroughfare to the beach. Public health and safety cannot be ignored. Nothing in the packet remotely resembles the applicant's sales brochure. I believe the Talaz description is diversely different than the US Forest Service envisions. Why are we here today? Major projects require TRPA approval, but Douglas County still must exercise their right to first approval. A memorandum of understanding is required, we have a procedural issue and process breakdown, and the public does not fully understand. And by the way, we're the only jurisdiction without that first right approval, MOU. When TRPA makes an egregious approval mistake like this project today, there are many repercussions that cannot be addressed by our Elected Officials. Furthermore, the public has no recourse except to litigate. Many of the people that provided comments do not fully grasp the magnitude of not being first stop here. A Band-Aid solution to avoid this type of debacle in the future, especially when the Barton Hospital comes forward, is to have Douglas County

UNAPPROVED

TRPA Advisory, Planning Commissioner at Large, and Community Development representative for possible action before you. Thus allowing an enabling Commissioner Rice and future District 4 Commissioner to vote on projects and to have a publicly vetted stance recorded before the TRPA approvals. Another suggestion to lessen the debacle is Douglas County should exercise their right to assess TOT taxes as a condition of the building permit. This is not traditional camping and the developer sales pitch alludes to keys known in the industry as hotel rooms. I consulted TRPA and TRPA said TOT, Transient Occupancy Taxes are the responsibility and it's up to the local jurisdiction. Also, a Title 20 update surely needs to include a Douglas County definition of glamping to avoid any future debacles. As this is going to be precedent setting for the basin, other jurisdictions now can look at Douglas County and we don't want to be that County. We are better than that. We do not want to tell other jurisdictions they can look at Douglas County and get what they want. Thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you. Before I go any further, I failed to mention that if you, as Ms. Waller has done, if there's an item on the agenda that's marked for possible action and you cannot be here when you feel like that item has come before us, you're free to use your three minutes of Opening Public Comment to address that particular issue. If you're going to be here later on, then we ask you to wait until that item is brought forward. But we don't want to restrict you from your ability to deliver Public Comment in advance of that. So thank you.

Justin Sinner speaks:
Good morning. I cannot be here for the later Public Comment, so I will make it now. First I want to say it is lovely to see such a contingent from the Lake today, it's nice to see all of you be involved and make the drive down. I would like to inform each and every one of you that our beloved...

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Excuse me, I asked you earlier to please address your comments to this Board of Commissioners. Thank you.

Mr. Sinner speaks:
I'm here in opposition of the glamping project and the reason being is specifically for public safety. Ten years ago, when I worked for the Tahoe Chamber, I probably would have supported this project. At that point, we needed more tourists, we needed more people, we needed more revenue. In the last five to six years, something has fundamentally changed in the number of tourists and the traffic that has come into the South Lake Tahoe region. I have offices in Zephyr Cove and I have offices in Carson City, I make the drive from Round Hill to Carson City at least twice a week. The traffic situation has never been more dangerous than it was this summer, someone is going to get killed on that road. When it comes to the Elks Point Drive, 15 years ago, and I think 15 years gives me the local card, 15 years ago, you could show up at Elks Point any day of the week and get a parking spot after 10:00 AM. This summer, every single day, if you were not on that road at 7:00 AM, you had to park at Round Hill. My office at Round Hill, I watched that parking lot fill up Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, every single day of the week and watched people walk that track all the way in there. It's become more tenable and more sustainable for individuals in the Zephyr Cove area to pay $15,000 to join a beach club so that we can assure ourselves that we don't have to walk two miles with our pets, with our children. $15,000 makes more sense and it's easier for us to do than to deal with the current situation that we have to deal with in this area. Again, I would have been in support of this project ten years ago, but things have changed. Tahoe South, whatever they call themselves, they're doing too good of a job. We have too many people coming to town. My commute from the top of Kingsbury Grade to my office in Round Hill used to take me eight minutes. 22 minutes last night. There are several days this year and this summer where we have taken over an hour to get from Round Hill to the top of Kingsbury. That's not snow, that's not ice, that's just people and that is

UNAPPROVED

mind blowing. So if the Commissioners want to consider this project, there needs to be more studies done. But right now, we can't handle the additional people. Thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Thomas Marks speaks:
Good morning, Commissioners. I'm the caretaker for Elk Point Country Club for the last nine years. I'm also a resident and registered voter in Douglas County. One of my duties is traffic control outside the gate so our residents can access their home, which is quite difficult in the summertime due to Elks Point's congestion. And during my time as caretaker, Memorial Day to Labor Day, this becomes noticeably worse. We work closely with Douglas County Sheriff, which I call many, many times a year because vehicles are blocking our entrance to Elks Point. Fire department can't get in, no first responders. These folks are a lot older, there's 92 homes there, it is a major problem. The whole road they allow them to sit in on and that's State Route 760, it's a highway. There is no parking all the way from Nevada Beach to Highway 50, zero parking allowed on that. But it's tolerated on one side as long as you pull up over the curb, which they don't all the time. So cars wait there for hours in line, restricting the access to Elk Point and any other vehicle. So they're forced to drive on the opposite side of the road when they can, just to get, they're not going sit in line for two hours waiting to get to their house. So I oppose this and any other project on Elks Point Road until the traffic can be addressed. And the study that was done, I think was done in May, it should be done in July or August, the busiest time of the year. And maybe study down there at the end down by Nevada Beach and not just on Highway 50 out there. There's times where the traffic spills out onto Highway 50, you can't even get into Highway 50. The Sheriff, there's 100 rangers, there's 100 sheriff on 4th of July, we don't have any problems. But that's the only day they're there and they towed 26, 27 cars out last year off of Elks Point Road. And then the safety concerns, again, something's going to happen down there. Someone's going to, you know, they're going to need a medical services or something, and they're not going to be able to get to them because of the traffic. And it all, all this is from Nevada Beach. And we've met with the forest service and everybody, fire department, Douglas County Sheriff chief, we all met down there to see if we could come up with some kind of solution. But the forest service just refuses to do anything about it, so I don't know what we can do to solve that problem all the way up that road. But something that would help, you know, our residents get access to their home would be really nice. So that's all I got to say, thank you very much.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Gary Jones speaks:
Zephyr Cove. I'm a Zephyr Cove resident since 2015 and have lived in South Lake Tahoe since 1978. My wife and I live here because of the proximity to open space with biking and hiking through forested trails. And Nevada Beach area is strictly zoned for recreation under Douglas County and TRPA regulations. Commercial developments are heavily restricted or prohibited. Allowed uses focus entirely on public access, environmental preservation, and outdoor enjoyment. Strictly prohibited uses include commercial lodging and dispersed camping outside the explicitly designated Nevada Beach Campground facilities. This project is in violation of all of these zoning laws. This is a commercial, for profit business, plans of a 2,500 square foot main lodge clubhouse, commercial kitchen, 18 glass domes, 26 sleeping shelters, six onsens, and an event center. This is not a project for the community and is not an environmentally sound use of this recreational open space. The Talaz website states that it is focused on the environment, yet this project does anything but that. The removal of nearly 300 trees will change the forest open space dramatically. This development is geared towards high end clientele at the expense of the community. This project would create a traffic nightmare in an already congested area. The traffic

UNAPPROVED

study, conducted as part of the permit process, was not done during peak summer session, season when Nevada Beach is open; therefore, it has an unscientific conclusion. This project would increase fire danger and make any necessary evacuations a nightmare, endangering emergency personnel and community members, as well as visitors. Nevada Beach is the most visited beach at the Lake and the traffic at Elks Point Road and Highway 50 is already very congested. The gridlocked traffic and illegal parking is a danger to everyone. What will happen when this facility hosts special events? Emergency vehicles already have difficulty getting through and this project will increase the traffic, parking, and associated problems. In winter conditions the snow removal equipment will not be able to adequately clear the roads, further delaying emergency vehicles. Has the fire department and other emergency agencies signed off on this plan? Injuries and lawsuits could increase as a result of the inadequate plan. Please overturn the approval of this ill planned project, the studies done were inadequate and flawed. The impact on the community is grossly underestimated. There's also a problem with the sewer plant right next to this project. Did the developers do their due diligence? Is that it?

Chairman Gardner speaks:
That was that obnoxious red light, yes. Thank you.

Keith Oliver speaks:
Good morning.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I warned you, right, it would be obnoxious.

Mr. Oliver speaks:
Good morning. I live in Zephyr Cove in the Round Hill neighborhood specifically. I am asking you to grant an appeal, 2026-APPEAL-0003, and overturn the approval of Major Design Review DP25-0184. At minimum, please remand the project for additional review based on actual peak summer traffic conditions and complete assessment of parking, emergency access, evacuation. and neighborhood impacts. My wife, Alisa and I moved to Zephyr Cove just over two years ago with our son, our newborn son Luca and our dog, Lika. One of the main reasons we chose this community was its exceptional access to forest and trails and its less commercial character compared to the California side of the Lake. Our family uses a trail surrounding and below this proposed development every day. This forest is not a vacant or unused land from the community's perspective, it's a part of our neighborhood and our daily lives. Every day of the week I put my 15 month old son, a new Zephyr Cove community member, in our toddler backpack, and walk the trails with my wife and dog. My greatest concern is traffic and parking. Nevada Beach is already one of the most popular destinations on the Nevada side. On busy summer days, Elks Point Road and Highway 50 are heavily congested. Available parking is inadequate and visitors already park along local roads into the Round Hill neighborhood. The project's own traffic analysis acknowledges that the Highway 50 and Elks Point Road intersection already operates at level of service F. Yet its traffic counts were collected on May 1st when Nevada Beach was not experiencing peak summer use. The study then applied mathematical adjustments, including assumptions based partly on beach traffic counts dating back to 2007, to estimate present day summer conditions. That is not an adequate substitute for measuring what actually happens during a busy summer weekend. Before approving another 53 unit year round destination on this corridor, the County should require real peak season data and examine the cumulative impacts of Nevada Beach traffic project guests, employees, deliveries, events, cyclists, pedestrians, neighborhood parking, and emergency evacuation. I also question what lasting benefits this development provides to the surrounding community. The project would remove approximately 280 large trees, including 54 within an access easement across forest service land. Yet the physical commitments identified in the plan's landscaping, revegetation, stormwater controls, parking, bicycles, and shuttles principally maintain or serve the development itself. The required mitigation fees are not expressly

UNAPPROVED

dedicated to the Round Hill, Nevada Beach area. And I see no firm commitment to improve or maintain surrounding public trails, restore off-site habitat, replace remove trees at a defined ratio or address existing neighborhood traffic and parking problems. The surrounding community, I'm going to move forward, actually. Lastly, I'm also concerned that this proposal is substantially more intensive than what most residents would understand to be a campground. It includes permanent furnished accommodations, a communal kitchen. and dining area. Is that it?

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you for paying attention. Mr. Fogerson.

Dave Fogerson speaks:
Thank you, sir. Commissioners, County Manager, Sheriff. On behalf of Carson Valley Health. Going to change a topic here on behalf of Shannon Rogers and all of us at Carson Valley Health. Want to thank you guys for recognizing the Douglas County Community Emergency Response Team today. The efforts that these individuals take for their own individual preparedness and to prepare our communities for disaster is phenomenal, so we want to thank you guys for recognizing them. And looking forward to how we can work better together in the future. Thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you, Mr. Fogerson. Do we have additional Public Comment?

Jim Jackson speaks:
I'll save my comments on this one until later. The rodeo this past weekend looks like it was very successful. Except our neighbor bought tickets $65 a piece, stood in a long line of traffic on a two lane road, which I think had been brought up before, to get in. When they finally got in, there was no place to park and when they finally found a place to park, and they went up to get in, nobody there was taking tickets, you could walk through without a ticket. When they got in, there was no place to sit, so they left, swearing never to come back until that is corrected. They paid $65 a piece and they threw that ticket away because it was worthless. Perhaps this is one area in the county that the County could make some money. And perhaps since it's a recreation area, there's $3 million that was spent on another entity that will never make the kind of money that this one could. Maybe we should be spending that money on an item like this to improve the rodeo or at least make it more accessible to all the people that want to go to it. Unlike so many times, vanity projects take over because they're vanity, not because they're really good for the community. And I'm afraid that come January, we may start seeing more vanity projects and everything. So think about that before the next big event and the next large amount of money is spent on some recreational forum that isn't going to really benefit the community as much as putting that $3 million would have been accomplished by putting it into the facilities out there for the rodeo. Thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Point taken. Additional Public Comment?

Beth Wallace speaks:
Good morning. I'm from Zephyr Heights. You've heard from lots of people regarding the many issues around the glamping project, the zoning misrepresentation of what this project really entails, environmental impacts, huge traffic and congestion issues. I can only agree with what everyone else has said. It really is inconceivable to me that the agency that has jurisdiction over Douglas County, Tahoe Basin, which is TRPA, you have deferred to them. That that agency that is supposed to save and protect the lake and the surrounding areas didn't see these issues as concerns as well. TRPA watches every bit of soil that I move, any piece of property I add and to have let so many studies not be done on this project is beyond me. We have all chosen to live at the Lake in Douglas County for good reason. We didn't

UNAPPROVED

choose Stateline in the casino area of South Lake Tahoe, the north busyness, crazy traffic. We chose Douglas County east side because outside of the few small blocks and the busyness of Stateline, the east side of the Lake was full of trees, trails, and beaches, undeveloped land that could and has been enjoyed not only by us as residents, but as tourists. Since I moved here 13 years ago, what I've seen is this taking over of our area. It started with the event center, it moved to the Beach Club, it's now the Barton Hospital and now the glamping project. My question to you, because you don't have jurisdiction, but you do oversee these projects is when does this stop? Is it going to be Zephyr Cove, Cave Rock, Glenbrook? Last year, Zephyr Cove Resort proposed a similar project. The US Forest Service, the resort, and Aramark at least had the decency to call a public meeting for such significant transformation of the forest land near the lake. Nearly 200 people attended that meeting and after much public outcry, the project has been placed on hold. This is the kind of transparency that I would expect from all public agencies. And while I understand Douglas County defers once again to TRPA for planning and land use, it seems so many of us, to so many of us that the Douglas County Planning Commission and the Board of Commissioners has a responsibility to ask for more clarification. Support the appeal, ask for a delay, and examine the project more closely. Thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you. Is there any additional Opening Public Comment? Okay, then I will close Opening Public Comment and we'll come back to the Board. I don't know that we have, do you have…?

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I have a response.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
Regarding the rodeo, I had a fabulous time at the rodeo. And as usual, I was running a little bit late, and I did wait in a long line to park, and it was vexing. So that is a concern I will pass on to the rodeo organizers. I did have to show my ticket and there was space around me, plenty of space for additional people to sit. So I'm sad that your friends, neighbors didn't look a little farther because there was room to sit. But it was a wonderful time and the point being that we should support the rodeo, I think is a very good one. And I'll look for opportunities.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Your microphone is on. That's why I thought you were.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
I didn't do that.

Laughter was heard.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
That's why I thought you wanted to offer Public Comment. And I'll respond a little bit to the rodeo also. I had a number of people tell me, when does the rodeo start? I said, it starts at 6:00 PM, but the gates are open at 4:00 PM, folks, the gates are open at 4:00 PM. And I didn't have any trouble getting in and finding parking at 4:00 PM. Now I do have some good news to share with you also and that is that a Northern Nevada Lands Bill that would convey 700 acres of additional property adjacent to the fairgrounds, has finally made it through unanimous consent through the US House of Representatives this last week. That is a congratulations to our community, our Boards of Commissioners, and our staff that worked so hard

UNAPPROVED

on this. It now goes to the US Senate where Senator Cortez Masto and Senator Rosen, I understand, are pushing it through the Senate also for the President's signature by the end of the year. So that'll help with parking out at the fairgrounds we believe. But I'd like to speak with you after this regarding that. So thank you. With that, Opening Public Comment is closed and I will at this time entertain… Oh and I should mention also, Commissioner Rice, I believe you are joining us online today, is that correct? It takes a second for him to get his microphone on.

Commissioner Rice speaks:
That is correct, sir.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
There you go. Thank you so much, I appreciate it.

Commissioner Rice speaks:
You're welcome.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION TO: Approve the agenda; carried.

RESULT:	**APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER:	Danny Tarkanian, Commissioner
SECONDER:	Nathan E Tolbert, Vice Chair
AYES:	Wesley Rice, Sharla Hales, Mark Gardner, Danny Tarkanian, Nathan E Tolbert

APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

5. For possible action. Discussion to approve the minutes of the regular meeting on August 20, 2026, of the Board of County Commissioners.

MOTION TO: Approve the minutes of the regular meeting on August 20, 2026, of the Board of County Commissioners; carried.

RESULT:	**APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER:	Nathan E Tolbert, Vice Chair
SECONDER:	Sharla Hales, Commissioner
AYES:	Wesley Rice, Sharla Hales, Mark Gardner, Danny Tarkanian, Nathan E Tolbert

6. RECESS AS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS CONVENE AS DOUGLAS COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD

DOUGLAS COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD

UNAPPROVED

7.1 For possible action. Discussion to approve adding Christy Lynn Letourneau to the existing Packaged Retail Liquor License for Warm Spring Road CVS, LLC, dba CVS # 7683. Christy Lynn Letourneau has signed a Waiver of Notice of Hearing. Warm Springs Road CVS, LLC, dba CVS # 7683, is located at 31 Highway 50, Suite 101, Stateline, Nevada. (Captain Koontz)

MOTION TO: Approve adding Christy Lynn Letourneau to the existing Packaged Retail Liquor License for Warm Springs Road CVS, LLC, dba CVS #7683; carried.

RESULT:	**APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER:	Wesley Rice, Commissioner
SECONDER:	Nathan E Tolbert, Vice Chair
AYES:	Wesley Rice, Sharla Hales, Mark Gardner, Danny Tarkanian, Nathan E Tolbert, Dan Coverley

7.2 For possible action. Discussion to approve the On-Site Unrestricted License and Restricted Gaming License for Adventure Coffee Company, dba Adventure Coffee Company, represented by owners Heidi Rebecca Fielek Spry and William Stanley Spry. Heidi and William Spry have signed a Waiver of Notice of Hearing. Adventure Coffee Company, dba Adventure Coffee Company, is located at 605 Highway 50, Zephyr Cove, Nevada. (Captain Koontz)

MOTION TO: Approve the On-Site Unrestricted License and a Restricted Gaming License for Adventure Coffee Company, dba Adventure Coffee Company; carried.

RESULT:	**APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER:	Sharla Hales, Commissioner
SECONDER:	Danny Tarkanian, Commissioner
AYES:	Wesley Rice, Sharla Hales, Mark Gardner, Danny Tarkanian, Nathan E Tolbert, Dan Coverley

7. ADJOURN AS DOUGLAS COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD RECONVENE AS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

CONSENT CALENDAR

MOTION TO: Approve the Consent Calendar Items A-Q; carried.

RESULT:	**APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER:	Sharla Hales, Commissioner
SECONDER:	Nathan E Tolbert, Vice Chair
AYES:	Mark Gardner, Wesley Rice, Sharla Hales, Danny Tarkanian, Nathan E Tolbert

UNAPPROVED

9. CONSENT ITEMS

A. For possible action. Discussion to approve a $12,000 payment to the U.S. Army Parachute Team, the Golden Knights, for their parachute demonstration performance at the 2026 Minden-Tahoe SkyFest on October 16-18, 2026, and authorize the Airport Manager to execute any documents necessary to complete the payment and performance arrangements and to approve additional expenses of up to $9,500 for the accommodations identified in the support manual. (Heather MacDonnell, Airport Manager)

B. For possible action. 1. Discussion to authorize and accept a $183,397 Federal Aviation Administration Airport Infrastructure Grant, with a required local match of $9,653, to design and construct pavement rehabilitation for the P-51 Taxilanes and authorize the County Manager to sign any required paperwork; and augment the Fiscal Year 2026-27 Airport Budget by the amount of the grant. 2. Approve Notice of Award to CR Contracting for the project. (Heather MacDonnell, Airport Manager)

C. For possible action. Discussion to approve a $28,400 service agreement with ARMOR, LLC, and authorize the County Manager to sign the contract and any related documents and to approve additional expenses of up to $7,000 as provided in the contract. The proposed agreement will provide Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting (ARFF), emergency response, and related fire and safety services for the upcoming 2026 Minden-Tahoe SkyFest Airshow on October 16-18, 2026. (Heather MacDonnell, Airport Manager)

D. For possible action. Discussion to accept a $743,490 Federal Aviation Administration Airport Infrastructure Grant, with a required local match of $39,132, to design and construct pavement rehabilitation for airport aprons; authorize the County Manager to sign any required paperwork; augment the Fiscal Year 2026-27 Airport Budget by the amount of the grant; and award the associated $631,531.50 construction contract to CR Contracting, LLC, and authorize the County Manager to sign the contract and approve change orders up to 10% of the contract amount. (Heather MacDonnell, Airport Manager)

E. For possible action. Discussion on the Outdoor Festival License application submitted by Jakota Wass for the Jakota Wass Album Release Concert to be held October 3, 2026, from 5:30 PM to 9:45 PM, at 859 U.S. HWY 395 N., Gardnerville, Nevada. (Amy Burgans, Clerk-Treasurer)

F. For possible action. Discussion to approve a second amendment to extend the contract between SOE Software Corporation and Douglas County for election services, for an additional three (3) years, through August 25, 2029, at a total cost of $21,279; and authorize the Clerk-Treasurer to sign the amendment. (Amy Burgans, Clerk-Treasurer)

G. For possible action. Discussion to accept the cumulative voucher sheets submitted under NRS 244.210 for checks and electronic fund transfers issued for the 7/1/26 Payables Single, 7/2/26 Payables, 7/10/26 Payables, 7/10/26 Payroll, 7/17/26 Payables, 7/24/26 Payables, 7/24/26 Payroll, 7/31/26 Payables and 7/27/26 Utility Refunds. (Amy Burgans, Clerk-Treasurer)

H. For possible action. Discussion to accept a $11,485 Workforce Recruitment and Rural Access Program (WRRAP) grant award to Douglas County Community Health, and authorize Douglas County Advanced Practice Registered Nurse Tamara Baumann to sign the award. (Brook Adie, Community Services Director)

UNAPPROVED

I. For possible action. Discussion to authorize the Douglas County Weed Control Department to abate noxious weeds at the properties located at 959 Centerville Lane, Gardnerville, Nevada (APN 1220-18-001-007) and 975 Centerville Lane, Gardnerville, Nevada (APN 1220-18-001-009) in accordance with NRS 555.170, enter into any necessary contracts related to the requested nuisance abatements, and to place all necessary liens on the properties for the cost of abatement in accordance with NRS 555.180. (Brook Adie, Community Services Director)

J. For possible action. Discussion to approve a $150,000 professional services contract with Kimley-Horn to prepare an update to the 2017 Douglas County Master Transportation Plan and authorize the County Manager to sign all necessary contract material. (Jeremy Hutchings, County Engineer)

K. For possible action. Discussion to grant a revocable license for legal access across a Douglas County parcel located at 3051 Heybourne Road (Assessor's Parcel Number 1420-29-001-009) to Santa Ynez Valley Construction Company for the construction and maintenance of an access road connecting the Warm Springs Planned Development (DP22-0207) to Heybourne Road, as required by the conditions imposed by Douglas County for the approval of the Warm Springs development. (Jeremy Hutchings, County Engineer)

L. For possible action. Discussion to accept the auditor's report on Douglas County's general ledger cash balances through August 15, 2026, per Nevada Revised Statute 251.030. (Kathy Kaiser, Comptroller)

M. For possible action. Discussion to approve a one-year OnBase software license and managed service renewal with Naviant LLC, in the amount of $67,115.11 (license & enhanced support) and $60,000.00 (managed services) for a total of $127,115.11, and authorize the Chief Information Officer to execute any required documents to complete the purchase. (Peace Ruggia, Chief Information Officer)

N. For possible action. Discussion to authorize a $276,800 professional services contract with Lumos & Associates to provide engineering services during the construction of the Skyline Tank Rehabilitation Project, and authorize the Director of Public Works to execute the contract and change orders up to 10% of the original contract price. (Rick Robillard, Deputy Public Works Director)

O. For possible action. Discussion to authorize a $2,780,000 construction contract with Aspen Developers Corp. for the Skyline Tank Rehabilitation project, and authorize the Director of Public Works to execute the contract and change orders up to 10% of the original contract price. (Rick Robillard, Deputy Public Works Director)

P. For possible action. Discussion to approve the purchase of one (1) motor pool vehicle from Corwin Ford, for a total of $37,528.25, for Fiscal Year 2026-27 under Nevada State Contract 99SWC-NV26-25906, and authorize the Public Works Director to sign all required documents. (Jeff MacDonnell, Fleet and Facilities Manager)

Q. For possible action. Discussion to approve a $75,000 purchase order with Ozone Water Systems, Inc. for the replacement, repair, rental, and maintenance of the ozone disinfection system at the ZWUD Water Surface Treatment Plant; and authorize the Director of Public Works to execute the purchase order. (Rick Robillard, Deputy Public Works Director)

UNAPPROVED

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA

10. ITEM(S) PULLED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA WILL BE HEARD AT THIS TIME – none

PRESENTATIONS

11.1 For presentation only. Ceremonial presentation of Proclamation 2026P-054 designating the week of October 4-10, 2026, as National 4-H Week in Douglas County, Nevada. (Christel Passink, 4-H & Youth Development Instructor)

Chairman Gardner read the agenda item into the record.

Vice Chairman Tolbert read the proclamation into the record.

To review the complete proclamation please see the Agenda Packet material.

Applause was heard.

Christel Passink, 4-H Youth Development Instructor, speaks:
Thank you so much. I'm the new 4-H community based instructor.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
As they tell me, you need to move to the microphone.

Ms. Passink speaks:
There we go, no worries. I just wanted to say hello to everyone and also just mention that we are having a Discovery Night to offer all of our new clubs coming up October 7th at the Community Center. And all of the club leaders will be there, you can ask all your questions, see some animals, do some arts and crafts, we'll have snow cones, all that good stuff. So we encourage the community to get out and come to that event. We also just started another program. I really wanted to start with after school activities for six to eighth graders and we are starting that in October called Beyond the Bell. And the kids will show up and do a snack and activity, and hopefully no devices, and have a great time after school. So I'm really looking forward to that. And just wanted to end with, if any of you have some hobbies or interests that you think would be great for Douglas County and the youth here, we would love any volunteers to start a club at 4-H. And our fliers and our cards are on the desk so if you're interested, please take them and we hope to see you there. So thank you so much.

Applause was heard.

Photos were taken.

RESULT:	**PRESENTATION HELD.**

11.2 For presentation only. Ceremonial presentation of Proclamation 2026P-053 designating the week of September 20-26, 2026, as National Community Emergency Response Team Week in Douglas County, Nevada. (Kara Easton, Emergency Management Director)

Chairman Gardner read the agenda item into the record.

UNAPPROVED

Commissioner Hales read the proclamation into the record.

To review the complete proclamation please see the Agenda Packet material.

Applause was heard.

Kara Easton, Emergency Management Director, speaks:
Good morning. I want to take the time to thank the Commission for recognizing our fantastic CERT team, Community Emergency Response Team. They are very dedicated to preparedness in this community and offer us countless hours. I know on the proclamation it said approximately 350 hours, luckily since I've been here, knock on wood, we haven't had any crazy things happen. Now that I've said that something will. But we have a great team and we look forward to promoting more preparedness throughout the community in the coming years. I do want to take a quick opportunity to introduce Shari Grennan, I'm embarrassing her right now. She's our new Emergency Management Coordinator and is in charge of our CERT team here in Douglas County. I would also like to take just a second to thank East Fork Fire because they are the ones who started the CERT program here in Douglas County. And although we have not been here for 40 years, we will be here for 40 plus more, so you can't get rid of us. Because preparedness is important and of course we are here on the worst day. So thank you. And Vice Chairman Tolbert, I think you wore green for us too, okay?

Commissioner Hales speaks:
Since we happen to have a lot of people from the Lake, I wanted to mention that Ms. Easton, who is our Emergency Management Director, right, is very willing to help any neighborhood prepare and actually practice an evacuation drill. So get her name and number if you're interested in that. We really appreciate her good work.

Applause was heard.

Photos were taken.

RESULT:	**PRESENTATION HELD.**

Chairman Gardner speaks:
We're going to stay with the original agenda as published.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

12.1 For possible action. Discussion to adopt Resolution 2026R-051, a resolution of the Douglas County Board of Commissioners granting $ 20,000 of Douglas County public funds to Main Street Gardnerville for the Business Facade Improvement Grant Program; approve a grant agreement for Fiscal Year 2026-27 between Douglas County and Main Street Gardnerville establishing the terms of the $20,000 grant; and authorize the Community Development Director to execute the grant agreement. (Jen Tune, Main Street Gardnerville Executive Director; and Andrea Pawling, Deputy Community Development Director)

Chairman Gardner read the agenda item into the record.

Jen Tune, Main Street Gardnerville Executive Director, speaks:
Good morning. I am joined with Sophia Roussakis, she is on our Board of Directors. She is also overseeing our design committee, serves on district vitality and then she also oversees the facade grant

UNAPPROVED

and interior grant subcommittee. So when you volunteer for Main Street, you wear many hats and I'm very grateful for her taking the time. So good morning, Chairman of the Board, Members of the Board, thank you for having us to do a brief presentation. I promise I will be brief, not really in my nature or character, but I know you have a lot of people here. And I really thought everybody came for this presentation, so thank you all for showing up and learning about Main Street. There will be opportunities to volunteer at the end.

Ms. Tune began the presentation and covered the following topics:

- MAIN STREET GARDNERVILLE
- COMMUNITY & STAKEHOLDER UPDATE
- MISSION
  - THE MISSION OF THE GARDNERVILLE MAIN STREET PROGRAM, IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE COMMUNITY, IS TO REVITALIZE DOWNTOWN GARDNERVILLE UTILIZING DESIGN, ORGANIZATION, PROMOTION, AND DISTRICT VITALITY TO DEVELOP THE UNIQUE IDENTITY AND PRESERVE THE HISTORIC NATURE OF THE COMMUNITY.
  - MAIN STREET GARDNERVILLE…
    - EXPERIENCE THE PAST
    - ENRICH THE PRESENT
    - EMBRACE THE FUTURE
- ABOUT MAIN STREET GARDNERVILLE
  - MSG IS A 501(C)(3) NONPROFIT FOCUSING ON CULTIVATING A ROBUST ECOSYSTEM FOR SMALL BUSINESSES BY ENHANCING THE COMMUNITY'S UNIQUE ASSETS AND CREATING AN ENVIRONMENT TO HELP BUILD LOCAL WEALTH.
  - GOVERNED BY A BOARD OF DIRECTORS, MSG INCLUDES ONE TOWN OF GARDNERVILLE-APPOINTED LIAISON AND AREA STAKEHOLDERS INTERESTED IN REVITALIZING AND PRESERVING OUR DOWNTOWN.
  - MSG IS NEITHER A BUSINESS ASSOCIATION NOR A COMMERCE ASSOCIATION. WE ARE A SPONSOR- AND VOLUNTEER-DRIVEN ORGANIZATION THE RECEIVES TRAINING AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT FROM THE NEVADA MAIN STREET PROGRAM AND THE NATIONAL MAIN STREET CENTER.
  - MAIN STREET GARDNERVILLE IS THE LONGEST-RUNNING AND FIRST ACCREDITED PROGRAM IN NEVADA. AMONG 838 NATIONALLY ACCREDITED PROGRAMS IN THE USA.
  - NAMED CHAMPION FOR THE DOUGLAS COUNTY ECONOMIC VITALITY PLAN, APPROVED BY THE BOCC ON DECEMBER 16, 2021, FOR "DISTINCT DOWNTOWNS" TO CULTIVATE MAIN STREETS
- 2025 AT A GLANCE
  - BY THE NUMBERS
  - $152k Private investment; sponsorships, donations & fundraising
  - $35k Town of Gardnerville Contribution
  - $30k Douglas County Contribution
    - $20k Façade Grant
    - $10k Sponsorship
  - $43.9k Grant Funding
  - $342k Downtown Reinvestment
  - VOLUNTEERISM

UNAPPROVED

        - Events and this organization would not be possible without the help and dedication of our volunteers throughout the year
    - $33.49 per hour, the current estimated national value of each volunteer hour
    - 5442 hours, number of volunteer hours performed in 2025
    - $182k in-kind amount contributed by our volunteers through their time, talent and effort.
    - Main Street Gardnerville is comprised of one full time salary Executive Director and one Part Time Admin Assistant. MSG relies heavily on volunteers and in-kind donations.
- MAIN STREET APPROACH
    - The Main Street Approach centers on Transformation Strategies, a focused, deliberate path to revitalizing or strengthening a downtown or commercial district's economy. Each Transformation Strategy is implemented through activities in the four broad areas of work represented by the Four Points: Economic Vitality, Design, Promotion, and Organization.
- PUTTING THE "FUN" IN FUNDRAISING
- FUNDRAISING EVENTS AND PROGRAMS
    - Third Thursday Wine Walks
    - Just a Drop-in Weekly Farmers Market
    - Heritage Park Gardens
    - Hanging Flower Baskets
    - Sweep The Town District Cleanup
    - Annual Slaughterhouse Lane Coffin Races and Fall Festival
    - Paint the Town Pink Breast Cancer Awareness Initiative
    - We Run this Town 5k Fun Run Series
    - Wreaths Across America Ceremony
    - Día De Los Muertos Festival
    - Candy Cane Main Shop Main Street
- We love our Volunteers!
    - Over the last 17 years, Main Street Volunteers have donated approximately 67,113 volunteers with an estimated value of $1,562,148.58
- 2025 SIGNIFICANT ACCOMPLISHMENTS
- DOING MORE TOGETHER
    - Main Street Gardnerville is excited to announce funded projects completed in 2025
    - Heritage Park Garden Improvements-
        - 62,630.16 Main Street Project Budget total investment $187k
        - $39,660 Nevada Main Street/GOED Grant Funds
        - $26,773.31 Donated Materials
        - $121,032.86 in kind contribution value of volunteer hours
    - Downtown Historical Survey $25,000 investment
    - Interior Improvement Grant Program launch $13,000 awarded in grant funding.
- WHAT'S HAPPENING IN 2026
    - We have our work cut out for us. Main Street Gardnerville will be working to complete these projects in 2026
    - Heritage Park Garden Improvements PHASE 2- $50,000 in projected cost to install a pavilion and enhancements to make the space more accessible. $20,000 GOED Grant Funding awarded
    - Public Art Installation and Placemaking Project "Wish EWE Were Here" - $5,000 GOED Grant Funding Awarded
    - Facade Improvement Program Grant 5th year – anticipating $23,000 available for FY 26/247
    - Interior Improvement Grant Program 2nd year - $12,000 available in grant funding for the FY 26/27 cycle
    - USDA Rural Development Grant $74,500 to create a Downtown Economic Activation Plan (DEAP) or Main Street Gardnerville Economic Master Plan

UNAPPROVED

- HERITAGE PARK GARDENS 2026 IMPROVEMENTS
  - A place to learn, grow, share, & beautify to cultivate & nurture our community
- MAIN STREET GARDNERVILLE FAÇADE IMPROVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM
- MAIN STREET GARDNERVILLE INTERIOR IMPROVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM

Commissioner Hales speaks:
Can I?

Ms. Tune speaks:
Yeah.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I love those colors, so anything that goes with those colors I'm happy to spend money on.

Ms. Tune speaks:
Good, I love that. It was actually…

Commissioner Hales speaks:
And not things that don't.

Ms. Tune speaks:
I understand and I agree with you wholeheartedly.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
So you'll watch for that?

Ms. Tune speaks:
Absolutely.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
Thanks.

Ms. Tune continued the presentation and covered the following topics:

- MAIN STREET GARDNERVILLE FAÇADE IMPROVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM
  - As a revitalization effort of our downtown, our facade improvement grant program aims to improve the appearance and functionality of commercial buildings while developing a unique identity and preserving the historic nature and character of our downtown.
  - Designed to encourage current and prospective property owners in the downtown area to significantly improve the appearance of the outside of their buildings and encourage historic preservation efforts.
    - Grants offering a reimbursement 50/50 match for improvements to the building's exterior.
    - Keeping with the goals set by MSG for authentic and historically sensitive redevelopment.
    - All improvements are high-quality and cost-effective.
- ELIGIBILE USE OF FUNDS
  - REMOVAL OF MATERIALS WHICH COVER ORIGINAL ARCHITECTURAL DETAILS
  - REPAIR AND/OR REPLACEMENT OF ORIGINAL ARCHITECTURAL DETAILS
  - WINDOW REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT INCLUDING WINDOW FRAMING (MUST MATCH ORIGINAL IN SIZE, STYLE AND SCALE)

UNAPPROVED

  - DOOR IMPROVEMENTS AND REPLACEMENT
  - PAINTING AND/OR REPAIR OF FAÇADE
  - EXTERIOR LIGHTING
  - GUTTERS AND DOWNSPOUTS
  - AWNINGS
  - OTHER AESTHETIC AND FUNCTIONAL HARDSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS
  - UPDATES TO EXISTING SIGNAGE
- FACADE GRANT AWARDS TO DATE
  - BUSINESSES AWARDED: 19
  - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $209,717.09
  - GRANT AMOUNT: $102,313.54
  - TOTAL BUSINESS INVESTMENT: $343,277.40*
  - DOUGLAS COUNTY FUNDING: $70,000
  - MSG FUNDING: $30,654.22
  - NEVADA MAIN STREET GOED FUNDING: $8,304.92
    - *Amount includes the property owner's out-of-pocket expenses for the project, in addition to reimbursement.
- PILOT YEAR FY 2022-2023
  - BUSINESSES AWARDED: 5
  - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $29,297.02
  - GRANT AMOUNT: $13,726.77
  - TOTAL BUSINESS INVESTMENT: $24,864.12
  - DOUGLAS COUNTY FUNDING: $10,000
  - MSG FUNDING: $3,726.78
- PILOT YEAR AWARDS FY 2022-2023
  - ESPECIALLY FOR YOU
    - SIGNAGE $571,16 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $1,200.00
    - This project has & will continue to have a very positive impact on our business. So far, many new customers have been acquired by seeing our new signage at a distance. It will continue to help with wayfinding around Main Street.
  - MR BUBBLES LAUNDROMAT
    - SIGNAGE $1,340.60 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $2,705.00
  - LEAH'S PERFECT ROSE
    - WINDOWS $942.96 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $1,885.95
  - FRESH IDEAS
    - WINDOWS $3,209.65 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $7,906.57
  - FABRIC CHICKS
    - EXTERIOR PAINT & RESTORATION $4,862.65 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $16,000.00
  - HISTORIAN INN
    - WALKWAY LIGHTING $2,799.75 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $5,599.50
- SECOND YEAR FY 2023-2024
  - BUSINESSES AWARDED: 4
  - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $73,101.13

UNAPPROVED

  - GRANT AMOUNT: $31,773.22
  - TOTAL BUSINESS INVESTMENT: $47,703.57
  - DOUGLAS COUNTY FUNDING: $20,000
  - MSG FUNDING: $11,773.22
- SECOND YEAR AWARDS FY 2023-2024
  - A WILDFLOWER
    - SIGNAGE $1,175.56 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $2,351.13
  - RE:STYLE
    - EXTERIOR PAINT $2,300.00 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $7,500.00
    - The painting of our facility has produced a change to the scenery within the Northern corridor of Main Street Gardnerville. The painting changed has caused new customers to reevaluate our business and notice our shop as where previously they may have driven by.
  - HISTORIAN INN
    - BALCONY REPAIR $10,000.00 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $27,900.00
  - HERITAGE PRESERVATION CO. FOR CHESHIRE ANTIQUES
    - NEW ROOF $11,925.00
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $23,850.00
  - HISTORIAN INN PHASE 2
    - BALCONY, RAILING, AND BEAM FACADE REPAIR AND PAINT $6,372.66 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $11,500.00
- THIRD YEAR FY 2024-2025
  - BUSINESSES AWARDED: 3
  - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $61,218.99
  - GRANT AMOUNT: $33,813.55
  - TOTAL BUSINESS INVESTMENT: $247,760.71
  - DOUGLAS COUNTY FUNDING: $20,000
  - MSG FUNDING: $13,813.55
- THIRD YEAR AWARDS FY 2024-2025
  - MANOUKIAN BUILDING
    - WOOD TRIM & WINDOW REPAIRS $12,700 AWARD
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $33,080.00
    - STORM WINDOWS $9,035.00 AWARD
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $12,400.00
  - JAVELIN VENTURES 1420 US HWY 395
    - EXTERIOR PAINT $3925.00 AWARD
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $89,794.62
  - JAVELIN VENTURES 1404 US HWY 395
    - HARDSCAPE $5,500.00 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $109,832.54
  - COSMETIC MEDICINE
    - AWNINGS $1,552.00 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $3,105.90
    - SIGNAGE $1,101.55 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $2,873.09

UNAPPROVED

    - The new paint has created awareness and curiosity for the area. We believe refreshing the color has brought new life to the property.
- FOURTH YEAR FY 2025-2026
  - BUSINESSES AWARDED: 3
  - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $46,099.95
  - GRANT AMOUNT: $22,949.00
  - TOTAL BUSINESS INVESTMENT: $22,375.60
  - DOUGLAS COUNTY FUNDING: $20,000
  - MSG FUNDING: $12,375.60
- FOURTH YEAR AWARDS FY 2026-2026
  - DST COFFEE
    - SIGNAGE $299.00 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $599.99
  - COSMETIC MEDICINE CENTER
    - EXTERIOR LIGHTING $3,100.00 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $6,200.00
    - This project has allowed me to complete improvements that financially would have taken me years to complete as a small business, if I could have afforded the improvements at all!
  - CARSON VALLEY MASONIC LODGE NO. 33
    - NEW ROOF $19,550.00
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $399,300.00
- MAIN STREET GARDNERVILLE INTERIOR IMPROVEMENT GRANT PROGRAM
  - THIS PROGRAM AIMS TO ENHANCE THE INTERIOR AND FUNCTIONALITY OF COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES WITHIN THE MAIN STREET GARDNERVILLE COMMERCIAL ZONING DISTRICT. (SEE MAP FOR AREA BOUNDARIES.) IMPROVING THE APPEARANCE AND FUNCTIONALITY OF COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS IS PART OF AN OVERALL STRATEGY TO ATTRACT CONSUMERS AND NEW BUSINESSES AND SUPPORT EXISTING BUSINESSES IN THE DISTRICT.
  - This program is designed to encourage current and prospective property owners in the downtown area to significantly improve the appearance and functionality of the building's interior by providing attractive financial incentives.
    - These incentives include a grant with a 50/50 match to be used for interior property improvements.
    - Keeping with the goals set by MSG for authentic and historically sensitive redevelopment.
    - All improvements are high-quality and cost-effective.
- ELIGIBLE USE OF FUNDS
  - REMOVAL OF MATERIALS THAT COVER ORIGINAL INTERIOR ARCHITECTURAL DETAILS AND/OR ORIGINAL ARCHITECTURAL DETAILS
  - INTERIOR PAINTING AND/OR REPAIR
  - INTERIOR LIGHTING
  - FLOORING
  - WINDOW TREATMENTS
  - ANY PERMANENT FIXTURES
  - HISTORIC BUILDING CONSIDERATIONS FOR INTERIOR GRANTS:
  - HISTORIC BUILDINGS COULD BE CONSIDERED FOR A DIFFERENT LEVEL OF IMPROVEMENTS, GIVEN THEIR AGE:
    - ELECTRICAL
    - PLUMBING/ SEWER LINES
    - HISTORIC SIGNS (PHANTOM SIGNS)

UNAPPROVED

- PILOT YEAR FY 2025-2026
  - BUSINESSES AWARDED: 3
  - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $14,712.44
  - GRANT AMOUNT: $7,356.22
  - TOTAL BUSINESS INVESTMENT: $75,548.53
  - MSG FUNDING: $7,356.22
- PILOT YEAR AWARDS FY 2025-2026
  - Fresh ideas
    - NEW CARPET $1,209.70 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $2,419.40
  - COSMETIC MEDICINE CENTER
    - WINDOW COVERINGS $2,739.52 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $70,931.83
  - CARSON VALLEY MASONIC LODGE NO. 33
    - NEW INTERIOR LIGHTING $3,407.00 AWARDED
    - TOTAL PROJECT AMOUNT: $6,814.00
    - Carson Valley Masonic Lodge appreciates your grant given to us for new interior lighting at Cheshire Antique Shop. We were able to reduce the project cost using a local electrical contractor.
- WHY THESE EFFORTS ARE IMPERATIVE
  - PRESERVATION IS EXPENSIVE. WE ARE SLOWLY LOSING OUR HISTORIC DOWNTOWN TO THE WEAR AND TEAR OF TIME. CURRENTLY THERE IS VERY LITTLE HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUNDING AVAILABLE FOR PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS TO UPGRADE AND MAINTAIN THEIR STRUCTURES THAT COULD HELP TO ENSURE THEY DO NOT FALL INTO DISREPAIR AND DERELICT STATE.
- DOWNTOWN HISTORIC SURVEY
  - Main Street Gardnerville, through its Design Committee, is investing over $25,000 to conduct a historical survey of the Downtown Commercial District.
    - Kristen Brown, a historic architect with Kautz Consultants, performed the survey along with her associate.
    - The survey has captured 80 of our oldest assets and provides detailed information and photos of properties, including the year built and any modifications made.
    - The survey recommends potential districts for historic designation at both the State and Federal level.
    - The last time a historical survey was completed in Gardnerville was as part of the Douglas County survey done in 1981.
- SMALL BUSINESS RESOURCE GUIDE
  - YOU CAN LEARN ABOUT HOW TO SET UP YOUR BUSINESS STRUCTURE, THEN CREATE AND REGISTER A FICTITIOUS FIRM NAME AND LOGO. THE SCORE STARTUP ROADMAP WILL GET YOU STARTED ON THE RIGHT FOOT.
  - NEED A BUSINESS PLAN? THE SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER (SBDC) OFFERS MENTORSHIP AND COORDINATES VARIOUS WORKSHOPS AND COURSES.
  - YOU CAN FIND A LOCATION FOR YOUR STOREFRONT BY SEARCHING PROPERTIES FOR SALE, RENT, OR LEASE.
  - BE EVERYWHERE AS A TRAVELING MERCHANT. THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HAS INFORMATION FOR FOOD TRUCKS, MOBILE STREET VENDORS, AND LIQUOR LICENSES (MORE ON PERMITS BELOW).

UNAPPROVED

    - POP-UPS AND VIRTUAL AND HOME-BASED BUSINESSES ARE ALSO ESSENTIAL TO THE COMMUNITY!
- BE A PART OF YOUR COMMUNITY
    - Sign Up to Volunteer
- MAIN STREET GARDNERVILLE DOWNTOWN
- VISIONING SURVEY
    - Tuesday Sept 22 5-7 PM
    - HELP SHAPE THE GARDNERVILLE DOWNTOWN ECONOMIC ACTIVATION PLAN BY SHARNG YOUR VISION AND PRIORITIES. THE SURVEY IS THE FIRST STEP IN THE PLANNING PROCESS PRIOR TO ANY RECOMMENDATIONS AND IS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.
    - Take our online survey by October 18th
- STAY IN THE KNOW
    - SUBSCRIBE TO OUR MONTHLY BLOG
    - Mainstreetgardnerville.org
    - @mainstreetgardnerville

To review Ms. Tune's full presentation please see the Supplemental Meeting Materials.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Wow, that was quick.

Ms. Tune speaks:
I'm telling you, you have a…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I promise the public, it's generally an eight hour presentation.

Laughter was heard.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
So she's really condensed this down.

Ms. Tune speaks:
I really did.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I appreciate your passion for this program and it's really critical. I did want to remind the Board that these funds were approved back in the budgeting process, but everything that we approve in the budgeting process still has to come back before us for the allocation. But yes, thank you once again for your passion. Commissioners, did you have questions? No? Okay, this is a…

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
I just think that was really great that you shortened it up for us. It was wonderful.

Ms. Tune speaks:
I mean, you can come and I'll give you the full version if you want.

UNAPPROVED

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. And this is for possible action, so we'll entertain Public Comment on this item before we bring it back to the Board and discuss the passage. Is there Public Comment?

PUBLIC COMMENT

Jim Jackson speaks:
As you know, I'm not usually in favor of handing out money. But this presentation was an extremely good presentation, very detailed, showed where the money was going and everything. But there were two phrases in it that caught my attention that put me in the mindset of saying, give them the money; one was return on investment, they are looking at that, they're investing a lot of money in a lot of businesses. And instead of saying business, it's your money, you have to take care of it yourself, they're looking at what the return on that investment is. I have to congratulate you on that, that's not often done. The other phrase, and this one I think applies to everything the County does, is when they give a 50/50 grant, they don't give them the money until that person has paid all their bills, then they'll give them the money. Therefore, the work has been done, it has been paid for and now the money is actually going for what it was supposed to be. And how I relate that back to the County is make sure that when somebody wants to build something and they tell you it's not going to happen in 20 years, make sure it happens before they get the money and everything. I congratulate you, very nice presentation.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you. Additional Public Comment? Okay, seeing no additional Public Comment, I'll bring it back to the Board. If there's no additional comments or questions, I'll entertain a motion.

Commissioner Hale speaks:
I move that we adopt Resolution 2026R-051 as presented and authorize the Community Development Director to execute the grant agreement.

Commissioner Rice speaks:
I'll second.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
So we have a motion by Commissioner Hales, seconded by Commissioner Rice. And now we have comments.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
This just shows how much Commissioner Hales loves your project because she is so much against giving this out to these type of organizations, right? I think, no, we followed your stuff, you do some great work.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, so we have a motion on the floor and a second. All those in favor, no other comments? Okay, all those in favor signify by saying aye. Okay, the motion passes 5-0. Thank you very much.

UNAPPROVED

MOTION TO: Adopt Resolution 2026R-051, a resolution of the Douglas County Board of Commissioners granting $20,000 of Douglas County public funds to Main Street Gardnerville for the Business Façade Improvement Grant Program and approve a grant agreement for Fiscal Year 2026-27 between Douglas County and Main Street Gardnerville establishing the terms of the $20,000 grant and authorize the Community Development Director to execute the grant agreement; carried.

RESULT:	**ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER:	Sharla Hales, Commissioner
SECONDER:	Wesley Rice, Commissioner
AYES:	Wesley Rice, Sharla Hales, Mark Gardner, Danny Tarkanian, Nathan E Tolbert

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, we're going to take a break until, my computer says 11:23 AM, so we'll take a break until 11:30 AM. Thank you.

A break was held.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I'm going to ask everybody to please take their seats, if you could please take your seats. The gavel still is, I think, a little fragile so I have to be careful with it. We have another item before we get into Item 12.3.

12.2 For presentation only. Presentation on the 2027 Federal Housing and Urban Development Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program to include a summary of potential projects and outreach to the community for the 2027 grant cycle. (Linda Doherty, Assistant Planner)

Chairman Gardner read the agenda item into the record.

Linda Doherty, Assistant Planner, speaks:
Thank you, Chairman, Commission, everybody here in attendance. Today is a brief presentation on the CDBG Grant Program.

Ms. Doherty began the presentation and covered the following topics:

- Federal Housing & Urban Development Community Block Grant Program
- 2027 CDBG Funding cycle
- WHAT IS CDBG?
  - CDBG is the: Community Development Grant Program
  - Authorization: Title 1 of HCDA of 1974; 1981 amendment for non-entitlement
  - Administered nationally by: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
  - In Nevada by the: Governor's Office of Economic Development (GOED)
- ENTITLEMENT or NON-ENTITLEMENT
  - Entitlement
    - Cities over 50,000 in population
    - Typically the larger urban centers.
    - Receive funds directly from HUD.
  - The Non-Entitlement refers to the State and Small Cities Program, which is managed by the state for the benefit of non-entitlement or rural areas.

UNAPPROVED

    - Non-entitlement
        - Cities with fewer than 50,000 people;
        - Counties with fewer than 200,000.
        - Units of local government that do not receive CDBG funds directly from HUD.
- 2025-2029 CONSOLIDATED PLAN: CDBG
    - Non-Housing Community Development Needs
    - Infrastructure
    - The State will fund public facility and infrastructure improvements including:
    - Acquisition, construction, installation, rehabilitation, or improvement of facilities to support safe, sustainable, resilient communities.
        - Water/Sewer/Storm Systems
        - Park, recreation, youth, senior facilities
        - Streets and sidewalk improvements
        - Health and safety facilities
        - Increase access to broadband infrastructure
- TYPES OF PROJECTS
    - Water, wastewater & storm drain design and construction
    - Streets, roads and sidewalks
    - Job creation/job training
    - Community centers
    - Handicapped access
    - Planning
    - Industrial park design and construction
    - Airport improvements
    - Other economic development activities
- HUD: CDBG NATIONAL OBJECTIVES
    - The HCD Act requires that CDBG-funded activities meet one of the following three national objectives:
    - #1 Benefit 51% or more LMI persons

Ms. Doherty speaks:
So LMI, you'll hear me use that term, that means low to moderate income.

Ms. Doherty continued the presentation and covered the following topic:

    - #2 Aid in the prevention or elimination of slum or blight
    - #3 Address a recent urgent community development need
- AREA BENEFIT (LMI-A)
    - Activity benefits available to all residents in a particular area [see 24 CFR 570.483(b)(1)]:
        - Must be the entire area served by activity;
        - At least 51% of area residents must be LMI;
        - Area served must be primarily residential.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Right because that could significantly impact the eligibility of Douglas County.

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Right.

UNAPPROVED

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Right.

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Because Douglas County as a whole doesn't meet the LMI but we do have pockets, areas that could qualify.

Ms. Doherty continued the presentation and covered the following topic:

- LMI documentation for 51% or greater by:
  - HUD provided data---Census data/LMI levels, or
  - Income survey data (HUD Notice CPD-05-06) Local applicant defines service area and state provides approval.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I would also suggest that folks don't necessarily want to indicate their economic status…

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Right.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
…either. So that is a preventative also.

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Yeah, it's a bit of a challenge. But right now, these are the only two tools we have to determine the LMI.

Ms. Doherty continued the presentation and covered the following topics:

- JOB CREATION/RETENTION (LMK-J)
  - Create Jobs - at least 51% of jobs must employ LMI persons, computed on full-time equivalent basis (24 CFR 570.483(b)(4).
  - Retain jobs - document jobs lost without CDBG assistance, at least 51% of which must be LMI based on who they are held by or reasonable turnover of jobs over two (2) years.
  - Available to LMI persons - business provides training for unskilled and first consideration for LMI persons.
- Job Creation/Retention (LMI-J)
  - General rule: each assisted for-profit business considered a separate activity except for:
    - providing technical assistance to businesses; or
    - public facilities or improvements that benefit more than one business [For some exceptions, see CFR 570.483(b)(4)] In these and other cases, must aggregate all jobs created or retained by benefiting businesses.
  - In these and other cases, must compile date for all jobs created or retained by benefitting businesses.
- HOUSING (LMI-H)
  - Providing or improving permanent residential structures, completed and occupied by LMI households [24 CFR 570.483(b)(3)]:
  - Only LMI test that must be met by households
  - Units must meet requirements:
    - single family structures to be occupied by LMI;
    - two or more units at least 51% units occupied by LMI households;

UNAPPROVED

    - rental housing must be occupied by LMI households at affordable rents.
- PREVENTION OR ELIMINATION OF SLUM & BLIGHT
    - Activities must meet one of two determinations:
        - Area Basis---clearly eliminating objectively determinable signs of slums or blight in a defined area. 24 CFR 570.483(c)(1)
        - Spot Basis---strictly limited to eliminating specific instances of blight outside such an area. 24 CFR 570.483(c)(2)
- SLUM & BLIGHT-Area Basis Criteria
    - Area must be officially delineated by the local government and must meet a definition of slum, blighted, deteriorated or deteriorating area under state or local law. AND
    - Area meets the following HUD provisions:
    - At least 25% of properties in area experience one or more of the following:
        - Physical deterioration of building or improvements;
        - Abandonment of properties;
        - Chronic high turnover or vacancy rates in commercial or industrial buildings;
        - Significant declines in property values or abnormally low property values;
        - Known or suspected environmental contamination. OR
    - Area public improvements (all public infrastructure) are deteriorated.
- SLUM & BIGHT - Area Basis Criteria
    - Documentation:
        - Define the boundaries of the delineated area;
        - Identify all building and public improvement conditions demonstrating blight;
        - Describe activity addressing the condition that led to blight in area;
        - Review and issue redetermination every 10 years that area is qualified.
    - Activities designed to address slum and blight on an area basis - examples:
        - acquisition and clearance of blighted property;
        - renovation and reuse of abandoned historic buildings;
        - commercial revitalization through façade improvements;
        - removal of environmental contamination on property for a specific redevelopment use;
        - rehabilitation of residential buildings to correct substandard conditions stated by local codes.
- URGENT NEED (UN) CRITERIA
    - Need must pose a serious and immediate threat to community health and welfare;
    - The need must be of recent origin or recently urgent (within past 18 months);
    - Community must be unable to finance activity on its own;
    - Other funding sources must not be available to carry out the activity.
- ACTIVITY FUNDABILITY
    - For a project to be fundable, it must pass three tests:
        - Must meet a National Objective
        - Must have an Eligible Activity and
        - GOED priority Economic Development with the emphasis on infrastructure
    - Refer to: Guide to National Objectives and Eligible Activities for State CDBG Program
    - WHAT IS FUNDABILITY?
    - Combining Eligible Activities with National Objectives
        - Fundability refers to key thresholds that determine if a project qualifies for CDBG funding.
        - The state review process for all local government CDBG funding applications include a fundability determination prior to award
        - All activities must be eligible for funding.

UNAPPROVED

    - All eligible activities must meet a national objective.
- CDBG APPLICATIONS: 2027 GRANT CYCLE
    - For 2026, 17 proposed projects were submitted by 8 cities/counties by the Eligibility due date. Requests totaled $6,652,536
    - 10 of the 17 potential projects were approved for the Application phase.
    - The total request for CDBG funding on the 10 projects was $4,319,270.
    - The estimated allocation for 2027 is $2.8 million (same as the 2026 cycle)
    - Deadlines: September 30 (Job Matrix), October 16, (Project Eligibility)
    - Application workshop attached for more program detail including scoring and tips for grant writing.

Ms. Doherty speaks:
I've included other information in the packet, but I will ask if you have any questions or comments? I know it kind of went fast.

To review Ms. Doherty's full presentation please see the Agenda Packet material.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Commissioners, questions? Well you'll have further, you'll bring back stuff later on as we meet these deadlines...

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Oh, yes…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
…right?

Ms. Doherty speaks:
I do want to mention at the time I put this together, we didn't have any applications. Right now we have two that are in process; one of them may or may not be eligible, so we're waiting to see if they can meet the criteria so I can submit it for them on time.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Is this a statewide process?

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Yes. So this money is available to all of the, I think it's 26 rural counties and so each county has the opportunity to submit. So we haven't had a project awarded since 2022, which were the trucks for the Food Closet.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you, no other questions. Commissioner Hales.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
Just I appreciate you getting the word out. I know you've done other things besides just this presentation, I really would like to see us put together some competitive applications. And by us, I mean somebody in the community. Thanks.

UNAPPROVED

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Yes, us not being us, the community. Okay, thank you Ms. Doherty, I appreciate your presentation. We look forward to seeing you back.

RESULT:	**PRESENTATION HELD.**

12.3 For possible action. Discussion of Appeal 2026-APPEAL-0003, an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision to deny Appeal 2026-APPEAL-0002, upholding the Community Development staff's decision to approve Major Design Review DP25-0184, for a Developed Campground with 53 campsites to include 26 cabins/sleeping shelters, nine (9) tree house structures (on the ground), 18 seasonal dome structures, and three (3) comfort stations (bathrooms/showers). The project also includes a clubhouse for guest use (including a communal kitchen, edible garden, digital nomad space, conference room, communal eating area, and educational wall) and one accessory dwelling to be used to house up to nine employees. The subject property is located in the S-070B (Sports-oriented in the Rabe Community Plan Area) in the Tahoe Basin, near the southwesterly intersection of Elks Point Road and Highway 50. The appellant is G&T Properties LLC and the appellant's representative is Samantha Vanillo of Womble Bond Dickinson LLP. The original applicant for the Design Review is Jamie Schmidt of JLS-Development, on behalf of Globetrotters Property LLC (property owner). APN 1318-22-001-013. (Linda Doherty, Assistant Planner)

Chairman Gardner read the agenda item into the record.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
You have a short presentation…

Steve O'Hearn, Deputy District Attorney, speaks:
Yes.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
…is that right? Okay because what I would indicate is that the first thing we have to do after your slide presentation here is we, as a Board, have to determine standing of the appellant. And so we'll have that first and then I just want to make sure everybody understands the procedure here. We'll have the first finding of standing and then we will have a presentation by the appellant and a counter presentation by the applicant, and then we'll have our questions during the process. Then we'll go to Public Comment and then we'll bring it back to the Board if we determine standing to begin with, that's the first thing we have to do. Okay, so with that, please go.

Mr. O'Hearn speaks:
Mr. Chairman and fellow Commissioners, my introductory comments today are going to focus really on two things; number one, the procedural history for this project, in other words, how we got here before the Board. And then also secondly, the authority that the Board has today under this appeal. The matter before the Douglas County Board of Commissioners is an appeal from a decision of the Douglas County Planning Commission to deny an appeal related to Design Review DP25-0184, referred to as the Talaz Developed Campground. The property is located near the southwest intersection of Elks Point Road and Highway 50 in the Tahoe Basin. Obtaining approval for a land development project in the Tahoe Basin is a two step process. First, an applicant must apply to the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency for a use determination that the proposed development fits within one of the uses that is allowed for properties in the area. The use provides for what type of project may be built upon the property. The Tahoe Regional

UNAPPROVED

Planning Agency also must make findings that the project complies with the required provisions of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency code. These findings, under the TRPA code address what the project will look like. Once the project is approved by the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, step two in the process provides that the plan goes before the Tahoe County Planning Department to determine if the project satisfies the required findings under a Design Review of the Tahoe, sorry of the Douglas County Development Code. This second step again addresses what the project will look like. But unlike the first step before the TRPA, it does not address what may be built upon the property. The type of development that is permitted on a particular parcel of land in the Tahoe Basin is controlled by a Plan Area Statement. The Plan Area Statement serves as a detailed zoning guideline for the area. Plan Area Statements were created as a result of the 1987 Tahoe Regional Plan. The Talaz Developed Campground is located within Plan Area Statement 070B for the Rabe Meadow. The Land Use Designation for this area is Recreational and one of the permitted uses is a developed campground. These designations were updated in 1997. The planning statement for this area says, "the existing recreation uses should continue and the public beach and camping areas should be expanded." Following a public meeting on September 24th of 2025, the Governing Board for the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency approved the use of the property as a developed campground and approved the findings that the project met the required guidelines under the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency code. No appeal was filed following the decision of the Governing Board; therefore, step one of the process was satisfied and the project was approved by the TRPA. Because the project was…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Mr. O'Hearn.

Mr. O'Hearn speaks:
Yes.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Sorry to bother you here or interrupt you. What was that date again?

Mr. O'Hearn speaks:
The public meeting before the TRPA Governing Board was September 24th of 2025.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Mr. O'Hearn speaks:
Because the project was approved by the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, it moved to Douglas County for Design Review and approval. On April 27th of 2026, the Planning Department for Douglas County issued a Design Review letter indicating that the eight required Design Review findings under the Douglas County Code had been met. The appellant filed a timely appeal and the project moved to the Douglas County Planning Commission for consideration. Following a public hearing on July the 14th, 2026, the Planning Commission unanimously affirmed the decision of the Planning Department staff and upheld the approval of the Design Review. The appellant then filed an appeal of the decision of the Planning Commission and the matter is now before the Board of County Commissioners on appeal. The Board of County Commissioners' role today is to consider the appeal of the Planning Commission's decision. The County Commissioners are considering only whether the Planning Commission correctly determined that the eight findings required under a Design Review were met. The Board of County Commissioners are reviewing an appeal of a Design Review, not the use designation as a developed campground. The decision regarding the use has been settled by the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency. The Douglas County Code at Chapter 20, Section 700, outlines the regulations that apply in the Tahoe Basin. In Title 20,

UNAPPROVED

Chapter 700 Section 030(b) regarding Land Use Regulations in the Tahoe Basin, it states that the County's role is, "performing Design Review and building inspection in the Basin." The code section that this code section also states that the County's code is subordinate to the TRPA's planning and zoning jurisdiction and enforcement authority under the regional plans and the Plan Area Statements. The TRPA maintains planning and zoning jurisdiction and enforcement, while the determination as to whether a project satisfies a... Sorry, the TRPA, let me back up a minute. The TRPA maintains planning and zoning jurisdiction and enforcement while the County is responsible for Design Review and building inspection. The TRPA gets to make the determination whether a project satisfies a particular use, not the County. Moreover, the appeal is related to a Design Review, not whether the County agrees with the TRPA's determination of use. In conclusion, the Board of Commissioners may not overturn the determination made by the TRPA that the project is, "a developed campground." The Board of County Commissioners may do one of the following; number one, affirm the decision of the Planning Commission, upholding the approval of the Design Review because the required findings have been met. Two, modify the decision of the Planning Commission consistent with the provisions of the Douglas County Code, or three, reverse the decision denying the Design Review approval subject to any applicable conditions because the required findings were not met. That's what I have…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Mr. O'Hearn speaks:
By way of introduction.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay.

Laughter was heard.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
That is a mouthful. Okay, I hope you, yes, please.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
So my quick question on that is about the conditions because every time you mentioned that it was about the approval. But it was approved with conditions, correct? So we are still looking at the approval with the conditions applied, is that correct?

Mr. O'Hearn speaks:
Yes.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. Is there any other questions of Mr. O'Hearn at this time? Okay, the first thing we must do is determine the appellant's standing. So I'm going to ask here, and I've checked this with legal counsel, so make sure we go through step one first, is determination of standing. Because if we have no determination or if we deny standing, then the matter is over, is that right? Okay, so the first question I'm going to ask is do we have the applicant and/or counsel here? Okay, we have the applicant and counsel. No, I guess it's whether or not the applicant contests whether or not the appellant has standing. Appellant? Okay and they will illustrate their standing and then, okay. But we can basically bypass that if the applicant waives the question of standing. The appellant still needs to state why they have standing.

UNAPPROVED

Doug Ritchie, Chief Civil Deputy District Attorney, speaks:
If I may?

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, go, please, that's why you're here.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
As indicated, the first step is for the appellant to argue that they have standing to bring the appeal. I understand the applicant is also here and they may have a view on it, but the first step is for the appellant to make whatever argument they have that they have standing under the County code and it can be very brief. And then the applicant will have an opportunity to say.

Lew Feldman, Feldman, Thiel, LLP Attorney, representing the applicant, speaks:
Just for the record, the applicant stipulates the standing.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
All right. I would still like the appellant to at least put on the record that they believe they have standing and the basis for the standing.

Samantha Vanillo, Womble Bond Dickinson LLP Attorney, representing the appellant, speaks:
Yes. We timely appealed the Planning Commission's decision. At the Planning Commission, standing was found to be unanimous and then we timely appealed. We are now here.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. So the Planning Commission also already established standing? Okay, good. And does the applicant then have any, contests standing whatsoever? No, okay, then therefore, we need to go to Public Comment on that. So is there any Public Comment in regards to whether the appellant has standing or not?

PUBLIC COMMENT - none

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. We have procedures we have to follow here okay, folks. So I just want to make sure that we do that in the correct manner. Commissioner Hales.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I move that we find appellant has standing.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Well I haven't closed Public Comment yet.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
Oh.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, being there’s no Public Comment, now I'll bring it back to the Board. Okay, Commissioner Hales.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I move that we find appellant has standing.

UNAPPROVED

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Second.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, so we have a motion by Commissioner Hales, seconded by Commissioner Tarkanian that the appellant has standing. Therefore if there's any other questions, sensing no other questions, all those in favor signify by saying aye. Okay, so the motion is passed 5-0 that the appellant has standing.

MOTION TO: Determine that the appellant has standing to appeal because the appellant owns an interest in property located within the notice radius and appeared in writing before the final decision on the Design Review was rendered; carried.

RESULT:	**APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER:	Sharla Hales, Commissioner
SECONDER:	Danny Tarkanian, Commissioner
AYES:	Wesley Rice, Sharla Hales, Mark Gardner, Danny Tarkanian, Nathan E Tolbert

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Now we can go into the presentation. We're going to have the appellant make a presentation, that'll be followed up by the applicant making a presentation. And then we'll go to Public Comment. And during this time I want the Commissioners, just so that the parties understand, if the Commissioners have questions during your presentation, I want them to ask those questions during the presentation, versus waiting until the end. And then we'll go to Public Comment. Okay, did you have a…? Just to be clear, also, I'm sorry, but staff may have some other things to say after the two presentations. Okay, very good.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Hi, Commissioners. My colleague Lucy Crow is also here and the appellants are also here, Gary and his wife are here. So we're here on behalf of the appeal of the Talaz Eco Camp Project. So we're asking that the Board reverse the Planning Commission's decision. The record simply doesn't support the mandatory findings required by the Douglas County Code and I'm going to look at those findings in a minute. But to talk about, we got a presentation earlier about the law here and there is a lot of law at play. One of the important things to remember is that this is a two step process, this project can't move forward without Douglas County's approval. At this point, there hasn't been any affirmative approval by Douglas County because we're still in the appeal process, so the project can't move forward. If there were to be a denial here today, the project would have to go back to the original Design Review. There would likely have to be new materials submitted and then that Design Review would have to go through again. The Planning Commission, it's our belief, committed legal error by deferring to the TRPA zoning designation instead of applying Douglas County's own code to the findings that are required. Oh, just to back up really quick, just to set the stage, so I just wanted to point out, so this is one of the schematics that was newly provided in the application materials in the Staff Report. This is the project, I just wanted to point out, so the appellant's property is in the top right, it backs up to the entrance of the campsite. So the appellant's property is directly next to the driveway that's going to be coming in and out to get into the campsite. This is a closer up one. So I've just shown you right here, so that's going to be the access road and all of the cars will drive directly next to the appellant's property. And as of now, there's no buffering or shielding between the appellant's property and the access road.

Okay, so these are the legal standards, these are the findings that the County must and is required to make before approval. So they need to find that the project is consistent with the Master Plan, it's compatible with the surrounding developments, and it mitigates adverse impacts. That this isn't going to generate

UNAPPROVED

hazardous vehicle or pedestrian traffic, that roadway improvements have been incorporated, that this minimizes adverse visual impacts. There's the architectural, I mean, the archeological finding, but we don't really have to worry about that today. And that it complies with all applicable standards, including everything in Title 20 and zoning requirements. So there is a direct finding that Douglas County has to determine that the zoning requirements have been met here and that there won't be any material detriment to public health, safety, or welfare. So this is what the project is proposing, so it's 18 dome structures, nine treehouses, and then an additional 26 sleeping shelters, which are fully furnished. And some of them have bathrooms. There's also additional amenities including the clubhouse and the lodge, a caterer's kitchen, an event lawn, a barbecue pavilion, as well as other amenities and facilities, and employee housing with an ADU. One of the big issues that the appellant has here today is that it's genuinely unclear what has at this point been approved in the Design Review. As we move through this, I'm going to show you some materials that were newly included in the Staff Report as of this appeal that weren't previously seen by staff. They weren't seen by the Planning Commission either and it just points out that it's unclear at this point what the scale of the project is supposed to look like. And as a reminder, at that planning review stage, floor plans should have been submitted, site plans should have been submitted. So while the Design Review is considered conceptual, those basic plans needed to be there in order for a Design Review to be completed.

So we are in zoning area, so this is a recreational zoning area, it's S-070B. Douglas County has adopted the Plan Area Statements from the TRPA. That being said, all of the zoning requirements are adopted into the Douglas County Code, so this is Douglas County's own code that Douglas County needs to apply to the project. So this is designated recreation and so what's allowed here is recreational amenities which can include camping. What's not allowed is hotels, motels, any sort of commercial lodging, any sort of restaurants, any sort of retail space, none of that is allowed in this area. So at the basic level, this isn't a campground. And Douglas County is required to review what these buildings look like, what this project looks like, the scale of this project and it's just not a campground. So the code defines a developed campground as land for temporary occupancy by campers with motorized vehicles. So essentially, the difference between a developed campground and a normal campground is you're anticipating that maybe you're going to have hookups for a trailer, that you're going to have some extra space for a barbecue area, you might have some public bathrooms. But we're not anticipating full scale unit dwellings. If you look at the code, even in the tourist provisions, a cabin would be considered a tourist provision. Even cabins won't fit into a developed campground standard and any sort of tourist accommodations are prohibited within the zoning change. So this is what was submitted to the Planning Commission as the sleeping shelters. So these are the ones that have bathrooms, yes, these are the ones without the bathrooms, but these are still considered the sleeping shelters. So this was newly submitted in the Planning staff's packet, I don't believe that this was seen at Planning Commission at the lowest level. But this appears to be the plan for the dome shelters, which the dome structures, which appear to be two story dome structures with underground parking at the, or not underground, it would just be underneath the dome. So it would be on the first level parking. I don't believe that these were ever seen by staff which is a concern because as you move through the Design Review process, you should have all of the floor plans in order to approve the design. Which is again, just highlighting the concern here that it's really not understood what has been approved. This appeal appears to be much larger scale than just a dome structure. I think that the dome structure that was anticipated would look more similar to a yurt or something closer to a tent and that's just not what this is.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Can I interject for a second?

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Mhm.

UNAPPROVED

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
With respect to the underground parking, that was not presented to the Planning Commission or the staff?

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
This is the first time that I've seen it in any of the materials and it wasn't submitted until this appeal that we're at right now. But I would defer to Linda.

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Yeah, these are our updated plans that we received that we did not have before.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
So don't you think underground parking near the lake is a concern?

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Well it's not…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Wait a minute now, let's define, you said underground…

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
I apologize.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
…and I think that's a misstatement.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
That was an accident, I tried to correct it. So it would be the first level and then the dome is on top. So it's not underground, but it is a two story structure. I apologize.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Yeah. I heard the same thing.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
Can we back up one slide also? Because I want to make sure we clarify where the definition of campgrounds because I believe the Douglas County Code says including but not limited to RV sites and campgrounds. And I think it was presented as if it said they had to include that. Yeah that one, solid black one.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Okay, here we go. Okay, so I don't have the whole…

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
Yeah because you kind of shortened it, but it says, yeah, that makes it sound…

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
I think it says including but not limited to campers with motorized vehicles, RVs, and basic facilities.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
Right. So it doesn't say it has to have that because that's not a, I just want to be clear.

UNAPPROVED

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
No, it doesn't say that it has to have it. But I would point out that in the tourist accommodation section, anything that's going to be larger than would be considered a tent or a motorized vehicle, the next stage would typically be cabin. And so cabin is going to fall under a tourist accommodation. I will say that yes, the code doesn't seem to cover everything. Glamping isn't a definition here, so if you're looking at the difference between a developed campground, which is motorized vehicles and non-developed campground, which is just tent space, and then the next stage would be cabin. Yes.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Following up on that, you're saying that the cabin would be under a different category?

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Yeah.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Now hold on a second. Our staff has advised me, at least, that cabins are considered campgrounds.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
No, they fall under tourist accommodation.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
And where do you base that on?

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
In the Douglas County Code, if you look at the, I think it's Table 21… I've got to pull it up. But it falls under the definition of hotel, motel, and other tourist accommodations. Cabin is one of the identified items.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Can you guys explain to me why we were told, I was told at least two days ago that that falls under Douglas County's Code for campgrounds?

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Yeah. We do have campgrounds around the basin that do have cabins as part of the campground. It's not all cabins, but even in our Title 20, our definition says it's not limited to RVs and tents.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
That's where I have a problem. It doesn't say it includes cabins, it says not limited to. It doesn't say what its limited, what else can be applied to it. And if there's another portion of the code that says cabins are part of the tourist.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
It's a tourist accommodation that falls under…

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Tourist accommodation…

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
…hotel, motel.

UNAPPROVED

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Then they're specifying it there, they're not specifying it under campgrounds. See, to me, I expressed this concern in the meeting, I don't think this looks like a campground, it's not the ones I went to.

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Yeah.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
And I'm just trying to figure out what we have as latitude or not. And I don't buy the fact that it says it's not limited, but these things, but not limited to because then you could say, hell, you could have an airport in there. Shoot, you could have anything, right, if it's not limited to. Common sense tells you certain things should and certain things shouldn't, and if there's another provision in the code that says cabins are part of tourist accommodations, that should be addressed.

Ms. Doherty speaks:
I understand what you're saying, yeah. I'll just read you TRPA's definition of a developed campground; I don't know that this is going to help. But, it says land or premises designed to be used, let or rented for temporary occupancy by campers traveling by motorized vehicle and that contains such facilities as campsites with parking area, barber barbecue grills, tables, restrooms, and at least some utilities. And so that's the definition.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
That's TRPA, but I'm asking the Douglas County Code. There's a Douglas County Code, I just want to know if what she's saying is accurate because I haven't heard that yet. Does the Douglas County Code reference cabins as a tourist accommodation?

Ms. Doherty speaks:
We actually don't have a use in the Valley called tourist accommodation. We have overnight lodging, but…

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Lake Tahoe is part of the county.

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Right. Sorry, I meant Title 24 outside of the basin.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Just to just to correct that, so Douglas County adopts the TRPA's use definitions. So we would have to pull it from the TRPA use definition. But yes, under the TRPA, cabin falls under a tourist accommodation, hotel, motel. It does not follow under either camping or developed campground. Let me see if I could quickly find it, it's in my appeal letter. Let me see if I can find it for you really fast.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Chairman, if I may add something real quick? Just for clarification, legal counsel has stated that TRPA has made this designation and it's their code that we're both referring to.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Mhm.

UNAPPROVED

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
So TRPA has made that designation or made that determination based on their own rules. I would say, though, these are great questions, and I would suggest that we allow appellant to make their argument and then the applicant can respond to those arguments. Like whether this design, this yurt structure with the parking underneath is consistent with a developed campground or whatever argument the applicant is going to make…

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Mhm.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
…to the appellant's position that some of these findings can't be met for these different reasons.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Mhm.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Having said that, since you've waded into this issue regarding TRPA, TRPA's rules, the TRPA has made a determination that this is consistent.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
I didn't know the TRPA was our Supreme Court, that's what they taught us. That's what they taught us in law school, they may not be right, but they're final.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Well, go ahead.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
To risk further muddying the waters and complicating matters, if this two story dome structure was not part of the information provided to TRPA, then recourse would be back to TRPA where the appellant could tell that, appeal to them, not appeal, but approach them saying there is evidence now that wasn't available.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Right.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
So that would be the venue.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
And I would agree with you that if the scope of the project has now exceeded what was originally permitted by TRPA, it would have to go back to TRPA for re-approval and then back through the Design Review process. I would also say that anything that has been submitted into the record now that wasn't previously available to the Planning staff to review at the time should also be re-reviewed by staff in order to see if it complies with the Design Review. It's TRPA Code Table 21.4-A, which has the tourist accommodations listed. So establishments including hotels, motor hotels, motels, tourist courts, or other cabins primarily engaged in providing overnight lodging for the general public whose permanent residence is elsewhere, is considered a tourist accommodation, not a developed campground or campground at all.

UNAPPROVED

Chairman Gardner speaks:
You can proceed.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Was there any other follow ups? Okay, perfect. Okay Linda, would you mind? Thank you. No, you're fine. These are the sleeping shelters and I would agree with you, it does not look like a campground. I think it looks even less like a cabin, I think it looks like a hotel room, to be honest with you. Yes?

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
They all have a showers and…?

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Not all of them. I believe, and I could defer to the applicant, I think it's 16 have the, or nine or 16 have the ensuite, but a portion of them do have the full bathroom.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
Another question. Do you happen to know, and I'm sorry, we're getting way down into the weeds and it's probably undetermined as of yet, but do linens and towels, bedding, is that…?

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
That's a great question. I will say, so a floor plan was newly submitted to staff and newly included in the Staff Report of the lodge structure. So that was not previously seen by staff, which is another issue that I'll raise. There is a linen closet, like linen service area in that so I would imagine that they are being provided with linens from the lodge. So Planning Commission's error, which is one of the errors that we believe, which is that not all the findings have been made here. And one of those findings is the independent finding that Douglas County has to determine that the zoning is met here. I believe that it's a disservice to the Douglas County constituents to simply defer to TRPA. One of the findings requires that zoning is determined and that zoning is determined based on applying the facts that are present in the application to what the law says and the definition of what a campground is. And that just doesn't apply here. And then back at the Planning Commission stage, one of the Commissioners also said that they weren't convinced that this was a campground, but was told that it wasn't his concern and so he didn't address it there. And I think that one of the reasons that we're here today is to correct that. Setting that issue aside, there's other issues in just the fundamental application that require this to go back either to TRPA or to the Design Review stage. One of those major issues is that it's just unclear what is being approved because many of these plans, the floor plans, the site plans weren't available to staff at the time that the approval took place.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
Can I jump in on that real quick too? First of all, I don't like that, that grabs me, that last one about using a Commissioner's comment, specifically because when you're presenting these pictures and these images. I just spent the last weekend in a KOA campground that included tents, cabins, it even had two apartments in it that had showers, it had a clubhouse and had all these things. And it was built in the 70s, it's been considered a campground for a long time. So just throwing these out real quick and then including independent opinions is kind of a, just cautioning you on how you're presenting this.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Mhm.

UNAPPROVED

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
To say that just because it doesn't look like a campground, if this is a modern version of something in the 70s, doesn't mean it can't comply.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
That's fair.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
So I just wanted to jump in on that one just real quick because…

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
I'm going to save you.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
Go ahead.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
It was Paul Bruno, was one of the Planning Commissioners who made that comment and he confirmed that to me yesterday.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Yes, it was a Planning Commissioner. Oh I apologize. One of the other discussions that happened at Planning Commission was when the applicant spoke at Planning Commission, they were very insistent that this was just high end camping, as you're describing. But the appellant's own marketing of this project tells a completely different story. So this is the Instagram account for the project. Consistently throughout the Instagram, they're marketing this as a hotel. Within all of the marketing of this project, it has always been marketed as a hotel. Beyond that, this is the founder of the project. She's consistently described this as a flagship hotel. So South Lake Tahoe, by establishing an eco friendly hotel, they're describing this as a 53 key eco glamping resort. At no point has this been described as a developed campground, it's always been described as a hotel by the applicant.

Ms. Doherty speaks:
I just want to confirm with you all that regardless of what's being marketed, we're approving through Design Review something specific and anything new would have to go be re-reviewed. And we have a chance to look at it when it comes to permit stage. So if they propose something that's not been approved, then we wouldn't approve the permit. So just to let you know so we have some control there.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I appreciate you saying that because what we're looking here is you're going through the Design Review again. And that's not within our jurisdiction, in my views. That is something that would need to be going back to TRPA in regards to whether or not if they have any changes to their design. It would need to go back to the authorizing agency, not necessarily us.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
Can I ask that question then? Just so we know for clarity, what would be the process if we say what they brought at plans time for a building permit, and you say, whoa, this doesn't meet what was defined by TRPA, do we kick it back to TRPA? What does that look like?

UNAPPROVED

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Thank you, good question. Yes, we would stop our review, we would tell them to go back to TRPA for approval. And then depending what the changes are, could be a Major or Minor Modification to the Design Review. But we would be looking at that new stuff as something new, we're not just going to approve it just because we already have an approved Design Review. So it's a new review. I hope that answers your question.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
No, but going back to if we kicked it back to TRPA is what I'm trying to say. How would that happen?

Ms. Doherty speaks:
We would tell them. We would have to put the permit on hold and we would tell them why. So it's like corrections on a permit, something similar like that. So yeah, we would have to stop then and those permits could not be issued.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
Can I? Oh, you are, go ahead. I was just going to say I think that's a really good question. And we don't know, I don't know the answer. The question is how do you get TRPA to reconsider something based on new evidence? I don't know what their processes are.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Chairman, if I may? Just to clarify, this agenda item is about an appeal of a Planning Commission decision on the information that's presented to them. For the sake of argument, let's say what the applicant actually builds, they actually build a hotel, they tried to do that, as soon as they submitted a Site Improvement Permit, or plans, or anything like that that was inconsistent with which was approved during the Design Review process, that would stop everything. And also, for instance, in the case if in fact it was determined this is a hotel, the use would also be determined not to be consistent with what had happened with TRPA. And that's, I think what Ms. Doherty is saying, is that would be referred, they'd need to start over. Because what their project actually is, is very different from what they submitted for Design Review.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Again, I'm going to come to your defense again because yeah sure, if you build a hotel, it's outside of it. But what if it's something that's in the middle, in a gray area that neither the TRPA or the Planning Commission has seen those plans and they may say, hey, this is going too far. It's too late once we approve it, they should have submitted this at the time they went before TRPA. They should have submitted what it looks like, or if not, how do you know what's going to be put there?

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
If I may? That is a great point, Commissioner Tarkanian. What's going to happen, though, is that you have this Design Review and whatever the design is will be considered today. And the applicant shortly is going to be able to explain whether these designs are actually, that wasn't presented previously. So they're going to be able to explain if in fact they're marketing this as a hotel, how they're designing it, how they're building it. In other words, just for due process purposes, the appellant is alleging a bunch of things and the applicant will have a chance to respond. And depending on that response and Public Comment, this Board will have that discretion to weigh what the appellant has said versus what the applicant has said and then make a determination.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Okay. So final statement and I'll let it go because we need to get her going. Our legal counsel is telling us we can't rule based upon whether this is a campground or not because TRPA has already determined that.

UNAPPROVED

But TRPA hasn't seen the floor plans, elevations and all of the other stuff, so how do they make that determination after we've already approved this?

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
If I may, Chairman? Just for the sake of cutting to the chase, counselor for the appellant, it's based on the testimony so far and this is my interpretation of what you've been arguing; it appears your client agrees that the developed campground is allowed at the site, but the specific plans for this project are not consistent with the designation of a developed campground or how it's being marketed. Is that accurate?

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
That is accurate and that is within Douglas County's purview in the finding criteria to determine whether or not it's meeting, whether or not the actual plans that have been submitted align with the specified use that was set at TRPA. Yes, that is part of the finding requirements.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
So to answer your question, Commissioner Tarkanian, today you don't need to get into whether TRPA got it wrong when they said this is a developed campground is consistent because the argument is their specific plans, their design is not consistent with that designation. That's the argument that they're making and my guess is the applicant will have a response to that. But this Board does not need to second guess TRPA designation because appellant agrees yeah, a developed campground is consistent, would be appropriate for this site. But this particular project, these specific plans are not consistent with the use that they say it's going to be used for. Does that make sense?

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Exactly what I said.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Okay. Well, everybody seems to keep trying to go to attack the zoning, basically, the appropriateness of the use. But really what you need to do is look at those findings and say, is it consistent with the developed campground?

Ms. Vanillo speaks;
I think that's a helpful way to say, is it's not the appropriateness of the use because that use would be allowed here, it's the appropriateness of the project. So the project itself does not properly align with the designated use is the question.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
But that argument should be made to TRPA, should it not? Not to necessarily us, but the TRPA is the authorizing agency, they're the ones that created the zoning. They're the ones that created the land use, not us.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
It's a two step approval process. Douglas County is the one that's going to be issuing all of the permits, Douglas County has the Design Review finding requirements. So in either step of the way, Douglas County has just as much authority to make that decision as TRPA had to make the decision.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
And so then wouldn't that go back to Community Development when they brought their plans and they would make that determination? Is it consistent with what the plan was?

UNAPPROVED

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Well, that's what's happened. The Design Review that occurred at the County at the staff level, they found that, again, they referred to the TRPA findings. But the staff independently also found that those required Findings A through H, if I remember right, were met. That was appealed to the Planning Commission, who also felt that those findings could be met and now they're bringing that appeal to this body. Based on that record, that design, which staff looked at and the Planning Commission looked at, and again, the applicant has I'm sure they have some responses.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
And then just to go back to Commissioner Tarkanian's decision, your comment, there are new things that have been presented to the staff since the appeal has gone on. For example, those dome structures were never presented to staff at the Design Review stage. The lodge plans were never presented to staff at Design Review stage, which is one of the reasons that we're appealing today because staff did not have a fulsome opportunity to look at the floor plans and the site plans and make a proper determination. And so one of our stances here today is that they need to go back and re-review that in order to make these determinations. So one of the other issues is that so as of now, no event entitlements exist for this project. When we were at the Planning Commissioner stage, they were very concerned about the events that would be happening. The applicant came up and spoke and said that this was not intended to be a large scale event venue, this was not intended to be a wedding venue. That being said, the marketing materials we look at tell a very different story about how they're expecting and anticipating using this project space. It was clear at the Planning Commission level that no application for entitlements was requested and that this design was not reviewed for event entitlements. That includes the underlying thing, so the traffic report was not done with the intent of looking at this as an event space, as a wedding venue. None of that was added to it. Okay, so the event venue contradiction; so at the hearing, the applicant's representative stated there was no intention to have this as a significant wedding venue. And that the infrastructure, so the site plans for the project didn't even support that.

That being said, the record is very different. They've requested an event lawn for seasonal events and weddings, there's a caterer's kitchen in the plan and they're marketing this as a high end wedding and event venue space. So yeah, so this is their Instagram page, again, this is the perfect spot for a wedding. The barbecue pavilions and the event lawns are built for these sort of moments, so anticipating that there would be events taking place here. And then so this is the investment listing. So as of now, I believe as of either today or the other day, this investment listing was taken offline, but it has previously been online for a large stretch of time. The investment listing asking for investors to invest and or purchase the project as a whole states that they have, and it's listed for an entitlement premium, and that they have fully entitled and dedicated events rights and that they intend to have high margin wedding and corporate retreat income coming from this project. Despite the fact that Planning staff and Planning Commission was told that no events would be held here. They're marketing it as having a 5,000 square foot lodge and event rights to host weddings as a significant secondary revenue stream. So unresolved event impacts; so at the Planning Commission level, a condition was put in place. That condition was that the applicant would come forward with a plan and explain to Planning staff how the facility will conduct events such as weddings and how they plan to use the event lawn. I believe the intention of that was to get a better understanding of what sort of events would be held here and how that was going to affect the project. The condition that was put in place is not that same condition.

So the condition that was put in place is the one below, which is that the approval of special events, including but not limited to weddings, would require, may be subject to a Temporary Use Permit. And that events, that they would need to email Planning staff prior to determine that, which the enforceability of that seems incredibly difficult. But more than that, that's not the condition that was originally imposed or discussed by the Planning Commission, by the Planning Commissioners during the Planning

UNAPPROVED

Commission meeting. And again, there's been no study about how the events will affect traffic, noise, parking, or the public safety impacts. And as of now, there's no limits on those event size and frequency, or the nature of them, that condition wasn't put in place at the time. So the investment listing tells a very different story. They're talking about event capacity for over 150 guests, that's plainly in their investment listing. More than that, the materials that they're marketing are very different from those submitted to the Design Review application. For example, they've now changed it to say 35 A-frame cabins, that's not what was in the original Design Review application or the materials. They've changed it to have these six onsens, which is like a hot spring, I'll show you a photo in a minute and that 5,000 square foot lodge. And none of this was presented to staff at the time of the Design Review. So this is a completely different concept for the project, which raises major concerns because if the project has been approved and this approval goes through, and this is what the applicant believes the project could consist of, where is going to be the stopping point in that? Because it's just really unclear what has been approved from this project.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks;
I hate to interrupt you again, but I'm confused from what we were told in our one on one and what you're stating there. You said that they added these additional things after the Planning Commission's approval and what specific things did they add? Because I was under the impression they couldn't do any of these things you're mentioning.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
So this is how they're marketing the project now.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
We're not going to…

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
That's fine.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
…they don't buy the marketing because they said that they can market how they want, but we're not going to approve it. But do they put something in the Design Review packet that allows for these type of things,\ or provides some type of spaces for these type of things?

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
So if you could click forward, I'll show you really quick. Let me see if I can get there. Okay, so one of the things that is being marketed, which is really important here is the investment lodge, I mean, is the lodge that's part of this. So a lodge has been approved in the Design Review. When the lodge was approved, there was no floor plan provided and there was no limits put on the square footage of that lodge. Since the Planning staff looked at this, a new floor plan has been submitted for the lodge. And this is the floor plan that was submitted newly in the packet and Planning staff did not have this at the time of the original Design Review and approval. This is just the first floor and that adds up to 7,600 square feet. There was no conversations about this at the Planning staff, at the Planning Commission stage because there was no plans. No plans had been submitted, there was nothing included in the record to look at. And that's one of the major concerns because if a lodge conceptually has been approved, what are the limits of the lodge? Because this is the new site that's been added to the, this is a new plan site with floor plans that have been added and staff didn't have that at the time of approval. This is just the first floor. And then this is the second floor, which is in addition, you can only see the 1,400 square feet is what's mapped out. And then there's a whole different section that doesn't have the square footage listed. So essentially, this is a 10,000 square foot lodge plan that's been added into the Staff Report that was not seen by Planning staff at the time of the approval. So that's one of the major issues. So the same thing with the dome structure

UNAPPROVED

that you saw at the beginning with the parking underneath, staff did not have that at the time of the approval. Also, Linda can correct me, but I don't believe that staff had the plans for the barbecue pavilion or for the bathroom comfort stations. Those plans hadn't been provided or reviewed, so major portions of this project, the floor plans which were required under Design Review criteria to be looked at before approval were not provided to staff at the time. Again, so staff was very clear that they hadn't seen this before, the lodge plans.

These can't, the finding requirements under the Design Review finding can't retroactively be met by adding plans in as the appeal process is going on. There hasn't been time for staff to genuinely review these and instead they're just sort of being tagged into the original approval, even though they were never looked at. Which is one of the reasons why this should go back and this should be re-reviewed before the approval can be met. So the same thing with the ADU noncompliance. So originally there was an ADU that was approved at 2,100 square feet, this is a violation of Douglas County code. So Douglas County Code requires that the ADU be capped at 1,000 square feet. This is really important going back to the TRPA Douglas County requirements, is that when there is a code provision that is more restrictive in the Douglas County Code, that provision trumps TRPA's provision. So if Douglas County Code is more restrictive, Douglas County wins. This was discussed at the Planning Commission and County's counsel agreed that the 1,000 square foot livable area needed to be the standard that applied. And so a new condition was added to say that the ADU needs to only be 1,000 square feet. That being said, no new plans have been looked at, that hasn't been revised. The intent of this ADU was to house, I think it's nine employees. The applicant came back in their opposition letters, our appeal and said that they're considering removing the ADU altogether, which will create issues with the underlying record. Because now you have to add in parking spaces for nine employees that are no longer living there because the parking study and the traffic study relied on these employees living in the area. You're going to also have to add in 20 more trips for employees coming in and out of the area to the traffic report, which wasn't included in the report to begin with. So looking at just the project that was approved at the time that staff looked at it, it was already approved in violation of the Douglas County Code. And we've already fleshed that out, that there's parts of this that don't align with the code. And now we've received new floor plans that haven't been reviewed and are just being tagged into the approval. So the traffic study failures, the traffic study applied the code from Douglas County, Colorado, not from Douglas County, Nevada.

Laughter was heard.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
And again, the traffic study required that it relied on the idea that all of the employees would live on site. It also assumed a very minimal amount of service trips, especially in comparison to now, the sheer size and scale of the plans that they're seeking approval for. So it anticipated only one, it said four service trips that were going to come once a week. So there would be service once a week for all services. And again, this was already described as a limited transportation analysis. And the study was performed on a Wednesday in off season to get the traffic reports, the traffic report numbers and then they multiplied it to increase the scale. But they used counts from 2007 when they did that to increase the scale of what they thought the traffic should be. So in general, the traffic report is just a major failure and it doesn't align with what this project is proposing. And because of that, the findings on traffic, and traffic and pedestrian safety cannot be met. The same thing with the findings for parking because now there's changes, things are changing. So as of now, the traffic and assets it operates as an LOS F, so it's the worst possible traffic operation in that area. And they anticipate adding another 222 trips and 23 peak trips, but again, that's not counting the other issues that we just mentioned. So that's a very low number and it really should be done to understand what the traffic is now. I mean, we're using stats from over 20 years ago to determine what the traffic is and that's just inappropriate for the area. And on top of that, they haven't proposed any roadway improvements, so there's no improvements suggested. They're banking on the

UNAPPROVED

concept of using their shuttles as a shuttle service and that's what's going to get people in and out. They didn't, I think that that's really overestimating the shuttle use and I think it's not taking into consideration the events that they're anticipating planning. Again, they're marketing this as 150 event space. I understand that they can market it however they want; however, marketing it that way anticipates that they plan on using it that way. And so if you plan on using it that way, that should be rolled into the traffic study that you're putting out there. And then they can't use the Highway 50 driveway because that's emergency access only and it lacks NDOT approval. And then I just want to show you, so this is the turn in to get into the area so you could see it. It's a pretty tight, narrow area and then you're going to be adding another 222 trips into this area. They're not adding a turn lane so it's just going to be a straight right off the road, which is obviously going to cause a lot of traffic backup. And again, there's just not enough traffic study in order to understand.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, the arrow is pointing, to me, this picture does not depict the entrance from Highway 50, does it?

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
There's no entrance from Highway 50.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Huh?

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
There is no entrance from Highway 50.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
No, what I'm saying is the picture, is this taken from the entry point from 50 down on Elks Point Road or basically from Nevada Beach to the east?

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Oh, I'm bad with cardinal directions. We're standing on Elks Point Road and we are facing Highway 50, and so it would be a right hand turn into the project.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
You're facing Highway 50, but the traffic is not going to be coming from Nevada Beach, which is where I would be, it's coming from Highway 50. So it seems to me that the picture is kind of reversed in direction.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
So really, this was the best angle just for me to get the photo for you. But even coming from this direction, it would be a left hand turn with no turn lane as well. So that's the direction to get into the project.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
You took a picture that way so you have a better view of them trying to turn into that spot.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Kind of, yes. But in either way, that's how you get into the project is right there, so either it would be a right or a left. So yes, most people are turning left, which is more difficult with no turn lane crossing through traffic in order to get in there, correct. And then, you know, there's other issues, so there's building height contradiction. So the TRPA staff report said that the main lodge would exceed 26 feet and there was no cap on what the height would be. Again, those elevations were required at the Planning Commission level in order for Planning staff to review that. Planning staff was not able to review the total

UNAPPROVED

elevations because those weren't provided. The applicant materials sort of range from ten to 23 feet, it's really unclear. And then staff couldn't reconcile the difference of how high the buildings would actually be and they couldn't reconcile that difference because they did not have the plans. They didn't have the plans, they didn't have the floor plans, they didn't have the elevations. They weren't able to review any of that. So the structures will be visible from Dorla Court, the site won't be fully screened. One of the finding requirements is that there's proper screening for the area. And then this will just be compounded by the major loss of trees. So we're going to be losing 280 trees, which is going to be about 60 percent of the trees on the site, which again will reduce the screening and make the site more visible from the street. This is another issue, so food and beverages are also a prohibited use in this area. Under the Douglas, oh, there's the code provisions we needed.

Okay, so under the Douglas County table, eating and drinking places require a special TRPA permit, plus an additional Douglas County review. And the food and beverage retail sales are prohibited in this zoning. There is no special TRPA permit for this, TRPA permit, so the permit they currently have only allows for the barbecue pavilion. And the project is planning a caterer's kitchen, the self pour beverage wall, additional food truck on site for food trucks, catering services, retail and selling and then the lodge floor plans detail a retail bar and kitchen. Again, this was never reviewed, this wasn't proposed to Planning staff or discussed in the area. And so there's elements included in the project plans that haven't actually been approved and so that's sort of a big issue. And that's also why, you know, the marketing becomes a big issue. Because as this sort of overarching Design Review passes through, if we can't definitively say what's been approved in that Design Review, what's to stop these additional we're going to add this, and we're going to add this, and we're going to add this, if there's nothing to prevent it? Because the approval has been so vague. Noise impacts, so there's no noise study. So because this is in a forest area that it's in and it's considered recreation, there's a maximum noise level that's lower than most surrounding areas. It's very low compared to like tourist accommodation areas. There was no noise study to determine how loud things like the weddings and events and the outdoor gathering spaces would be based on this, no noise analysis was done. And the campground standards aren't met. So Douglas County has its own campground standards that need to be met if this is going to be considered a campground, including drainage. So they're currently in a flood zone D which is considered hazardous and undetermined, but possible flooding.

Campgrounds must be in a space that's determined free from the probability of flooding. So already the drain, there's a drainage issue with the flood zone that they're in versus trying to build a campground there. And there hasn't been a discussion of that or mitigation of that issue. Screening, they need proper screening that has to be applied for through its own Special Use Permit that wasn't applied for. There has to be specific spacing between all of the units, that was not discussed. The recreation area, there needs to be a special recreation area if this is going to be considered campsite, that is not included on the site plans. And there's issues with the vehicle access because that forest service easement access, which runs behind the appellant's property, has been extinguished by a 2009 deed restriction. So basically, and we've talked about this, so there's just a difference between what the, how the applicant is seeing the project versus the project that was applied for. So we have a new 5,000 square foot lodge plans that were added. It's unclear, well, it's clear staff never saw those. So staff never saw those, it's unclear how that factors into the approval. Again, they're marketing this as being an event space and anticipating to use it as an event space and this is what's been added to the record after approval by staff. So there were no floor plans for the lodge and clubhouse at the time of approval, those were newly added, so those haven't been reviewed. The domes, treehouses, the barbecue pavilion and the comfort stations, those plans were newly added and they needed to be there at the time of the Design Review approval. They weren't and staff moved forward with the project. The traffic study is a major error, it applies the wrong county's code. There was an email sent in at the Planning Commission level to try to rectify that. There is no genuine fix to the traffic study, a new traffic study should be brought in that applies the correct County code. And it needs to be revised

UNAPPROVED

to include the changes in the ADU, the additional traffic from events and just the larger capacity for the project size. And again, ADU is the wrong size, that needs to be revised and then the event use needs to be better resolved because it's clear that the applicant is anticipating to use the project in a different way than was explained to Planning Commission and to Planning staff. Do you have any other questions?

To review Ms. Vanillo's full presentation please see the Supplemental Meeting Materials.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I do. Just quickly and we've covered this a lot so sorry. But I think you referred to use is in the Design Review standards.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
It's zoning. So it's a decision on zoning.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
G complies with all applicable standards, Title 20, and zoning requirements.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
Mhm.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
But that might not be the same thing as use designation.

Ms. Vanillo speaks:
And we talked about this a little bit earlier. So we can't change the use, the use designation is, so this site is designated for a developed campground. That's what the designation is, so there's nothing changing about that designation. What the conversation is if this project as proposed fits with that use. So if it fits with the use as a developed campground and also it has to meet all the other standards.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
So again, we're going back to the same confusion I'm having. And you know what I'm going to ask you, do we have the ability as a County Board to determine whether this is the this use is a campground or is that preempted by TRPA?

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Thank you. I believe appellant's attorney and I both agree this Board can't change that use, it's an approved campground. But what you do have authority over is those findings, those A through H on the design. Is what they're planning to do consistent with that use?

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Any other Commissioners, questions? Commissioner Rice, I know you haven't stepped into this yet.

Commissioner Rice speaks:
No, but I'm about to. I have seen a lot of smoke and a lot of mirrors, and if they do this, and if they do that, well, they're marketing it this way and not that way. And none of that has to do with why we're here, none of it. It's smoke and mirrors and trying to muddy the waters. And as far as I can see, the reason that we're here is to uphold or not uphold the Planning Commission's decision and I have heard nothing to make me sway me in that direction. So that's my opinion.

UNAPPROVED

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, thank you. So if you don't have any questions of the appellant and we haven't heard from the applicant yet.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I have a question for Ms. Doherty. Where does the traffic study figure into the findings, did you look at the traffic study?

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Our Engineering Department looks at the traffic studies, but that does go to findings, sorry. Let me just find them.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I think it's C, will not generate hazardous pedestrian or vehicular traffic.

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Sorry. Yes, C.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
So the Engineer made the decision that this would not generate hazardous vehicular traffic and can you tell us whether they relied on the traffic study?

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Yeah, that's what they would review.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
Okay.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, so we've heard everything we need to hear from the appellant. Okay, thank you so much.

Applause was heard.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Do you want to take a quick break or not? No, you're good. Okay, so we'll now hear from the applicant.

Mr. Feldman speaks:
Commissioners, staff.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Lew, we're going to need to have you speak up a bit. Even with the microphones, these are hearing aids, not hearing cures.

Mr. Feldman speaks:
I appreciate that, thank you. I think it's important to, even though we kind of beat this to death, and I do want to thank Linda Doherty, who I think did an excellent job in the Staff Report and the District Attorney's Office for their presentation, which sets the stage that land use in the Tahoe Basin is different. And Douglas County's role with respect to land use in the Tahoe Basin differs from the other jurisdictions in the Lake. For example, Douglas County has delegated to TRPA review of projects not only for Design Review but for land use, such as the Edgewood Lodge. That didn't come before you in any way, shape,

UNAPPROVED

or form. The recent $200 million review renovation of Caesars did not come to you in any way, shape, or form. Nor the $250 million renovation of the Golden Nugget, nor the event center. You have delegated authority for most projects for land use and Design Review to TRPA. Interestingly, in certain circumstances, you have reserved not the land use designation, which the District Attorney did a great job of describing land use and zoning. You have deferred that likewise to TRPA and you have reserved only Design Review. And that is the law, that is the practice, that is what you have done consistently since adoption of the 1987 Regional Plan. So changing the rules of the game today to expand the role of the County with respect to not only the law, but the actual precedent is unfair to an applicant. Who, by the way, met with County staff in February of 2023 to understand what as required in terms of their expectation for process. And that process was described as the District Attorney described it, TRPA is responsible for land use and zoning, and project approval, and the County is responsible for Design Review. And that's what happened in this particular instance after two, three and a half years and $1.5 million of design, and review, and study. And so it is surprising to me that as we sit here today, that it is being advocated that TRPA's determination of land use and zoning isn't final. Because that's what you've invested TRPA with the jurisdiction to do on behalf of Douglas County. And TRPA determined that this particular project was a developed campground under TRPA's land use regulations. And I will say to you that there is some confusion for which the applicant has to take some responsibility because the plans that were shown to you by counsel are the plans that were approved by TRPA. So the yurt structure, the clubhouse, that is part of the TRPA approval and their determination that those elements are part of what is a developed campground.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Can I interject for a second and ask you a question with what you're saying?

Mr. Feldman speaks:
Absolutely.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
So you're saying, I just want to make sure I'm understanding, that the TRPA had in their plans when they approved this, the lodge. Correct?

Mr. Feldman speaks:
Correct.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Okay, but did they have the size of the lodge and what the lodge looked like? Did they have the space plan, the elevations to see how big it was going to be and what it could be used for?

Mr. Feldman speaks:
They did.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
The appellant's attorney is not telling us accurately?

Mr. Feldman speaks:
The appellant's attorney didn't tell you TRPA didn't have that. What the appellant's attorney is telling you is that she believes Douglas County staff didn't have that, that's a difference. Are we clear on that? So, you know, as you talk about developed campgrounds and people say, well, you know, tents, you know, are camping, RVs are camping. I thought to myself, you know, if I were an auto dealer when I started driving, a car had a crank window and a stick shift. And then, you know, some years passed and we got

UNAPPROVED

power windows and we got automatic transmissions and, you know, then we got navigation and pretty soon we're going to have self-driving cars. The market changes and in response to change, campgrounds have changed. And as Commissioner Tolbert noted, KOA has been part of that curve. So evolved, market driven response to what the consumer wants is how our economy works. The amenities that have been included in this eco camping campground, which happens to be ideally located adjacent to Nevada Beach, adjacent to the Lam Watah Trail, adjacent to walking distance to Lake Tahoe, walking distance to Safeway and shopping, and is incorporating all kinds of mitigation measures is an evolved campground. A developed campground that TRPA has determined is a permitted use, a permitted use that was approved by all seven TRPA Nevada delegates on the governing board, including Commissioner Rice and including James Settelmeyer. And that is what is before us, is the approval of the Design Review for that campground. And when I said, and I apologize for inconvenience that may have occurred with respect to Ms. Doherty, who has been, I think, exemplary. If we didn't submit plans that should have been submitted, then Design Review isn't complete and I apologize for that, that is an embarrassment. And I don't want this record to reflect that we are approving Design Review if the Design Review package that has been submitted is incomplete. In which case, we would need to pause this, get staff the missing documents. But I don't want to waste your time if, you know, the issue is this a developed campground as submitted and if you know you folks say yes, well then it makes sense to go through that exercise. If you folks say no, then you know, we have a different course to pursue. So I'm trying to be efficient with the use of everybody's resources.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
So I think we're all, the whole Board, because we've been lectured on this by staff pretty well, agrees that a campground is a permissible use and TRPA has determined that this is a permissible use. But what I'm trying to get at is if all the plans weren't submitted, and whether it's to TRPA or to the Planning Commission, and staff when they heard the appeal, then how could we determine if all of those Design Reviews, which would determine whether this is being used as a campground, has been fulfilled? And it hasn't been, almost all of your talk has been whether we can't say this isn't a campground. I don't think you've heard that from anybody here on the Board today. The question is, why are all these plans not done, why was the traffic study done at a certain time? And I guess that was going to be my next question was, why was the traffic study done in May in the middle of the week?

Mr. Feldman speaks:
I have with me today Leslie Suen from LSC Transportation Consultants, she's the licensed Traffic Engineer who did the report. And if I may, I would ask her to join me at the podium.

Leslie Suen, LSC Transportation Consultants Principal, speaks:
Hello. We performed the traffic study. I believe your question was why did we choose a weekday to perform the traffic study.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
And in the beginning of May instead of, really the big traffic, we talked about this during the event center deal, that it's crowded as heck at the Lake during the busy months and it's not at other months. If you wanted to figure out whether there would be traffic impact, why wouldn't you do it during the peak months?

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yes, the analysis was completed during the peak months. The counts were completed in May and they were adjusted based on Nevada Department of Transportation monthly data to produce a summer peak time period.

UNAPPROVED

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
You said that the traffic counts were done during peak months, but then you're saying the analysis was done in May. Wouldn't the analysis be done at the same time the traffic count is done?

Ms. Suen speaks:
The traffic counts are completed first and they were completed in May. You are correct, that is not the peak season. What we did with the analysis was to adjust the May counts to peak summer.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
And you used 2007, is that accurate? It's the first I heard about it today.

Ms. Suen speaks:
No, to adjust to peak season from May we used monthly NDOT data. And so we used, it's standard on the NDOT site, and we used May and we compared it to August, July and August which are peak months. And we found that it was lower, May is lower by 46 percent, so we increased the traffic volumes at the intersection of US 50 and Elks Point Road by 46 percent.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
And you're using NDOT's numbers from this year, not 2000 and something?

Ms. Suen speaks:
Correct. We used the most recent year, which would have been 2024 and that was the most recent year we had at that time. Additionally, we knew that in May, the Nevada Beach is closed and there is no traffic going to it. So we understood this and we decided that that Elks Point Road needed an additional adjustment to increase the traffic on Elks Point Road, specifically to Nevada Beach. And we did have counts from 2007 at Nevada Beach and we increased the traffic on Elk Point's Road by another 60 percent to account for that.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
So you use a 2007 Elks Point Road and you use a 2024 Highway 50 road?

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yes. So the baseline was 2024 in May and it was adjusted twice.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
That was at Highway 50. But I think, did you just say that for Elks Point, you use a 2007 and then adjusted upwards?

Ms. Suen speaks:
I appreciate, well, we're going to get to it. And I appreciate all your detailed comments because I want it to be very clear.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Yeah, I'll let you finish, I'm sorry, go ahead.

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yeah, no, I totally understand. I'm an Engineer, I write reports and I want them to be accessible for everyone so I would love to explain what we did so I can make sure you guys understand. Yes, the counts were done in May 2024 and they were adjusted to peak summer 2024. And this is all done, that's when the report was completed. And then additionally, additional traffic was added to Elks Point Road. So the

UNAPPROVED

first adjustment was to the intersection volumes at US 50 and Elks Point Road. And then an additional increase was added for Elks Point Road to accommodate the beach use, which was closed at the time of the counts. The standard, just to be clear for traffic engineering, is to do a weekday, it is often the busiest.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
You skipped over it. Was it 2007, though, that you used for Elks Point? That was my question, I thought you were going to answer that.

Ms. Suen speaks:
Okay. For the Elks Point Road, yes, we used a count from 2007 that had Nevada Beach traffic in it. So we increased the intersection volumes on Elk Point Road only based on 2007 counts. I don't believe, the reason we use that is, I don't believe Nevada Beach has changed since 2007, the number of parking spaces.

Interruptions heard from the audience.

Ms. Suen speaks:
Has the number…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, please allow the speaker, please.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
I just want to thank you for answering…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Please allow the speaker to speak.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
I was just trying to… Thank you for answering, I was just trying to figure out and get that clarification.

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yes. Ideally, I agree that if counts were done during the peak season, then no adjustments would be needed. But it's standard engineering practice to, if we cannot complete counts during that season then we make adjustments based on data that we have. So that's what we did. I'm happy to answer more questions.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
So we reviewed or received significant Public Comment from residents describing the traffic conditions that they believe are inconsistent with the conclusions of the traffic study. So were those concerns including the traffic considered in what we did? Or how are those concerns, how do they materially affect your counts? So the observed versus the data, right, so are you confident that what the public has been reporting as conditions today were adequately represented in your data that you presented? Or is it possible that we should relook at that or, where do you, I guess how confident are you? Because you're the one with the stamp, so I have a lot of value in those. If you're going to put your stamp on it and you've heard the comments from the public, are you still confident that the numbers you presented are accurate?

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yes, I am confident that the numbers we produced are accurate. The conclusion of the study was that the intersection of US 50 and Elks Point Road currently operates at level service F, which is the worst and it will continue to do so with the project. The project generates .8 percent new trips, the 23 new trips in that

UNAPPROVED

PM peak hour. And so it is my conclusion based on the standards that the project, the intersection does operate right now at an unacceptable level of service, it does not meet standards. This project will not impact that with 22 new trips. That is .1 percent.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
So when you say doesn't impact, I want to make sure because I hear the grumbling.

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yes, it does not change the...

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
So what that means is that it doesn't have, that this specific project is not going to make that big of an impact on what's already happening. That we should hold this developer specifically responsible for making all the improvements that need to be made to make it a C, right? Isn't that what, or something like that, is that what you're saying when you say…?

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yes, it does not change the level of service, which is the standard. And I would like to clarify because it was brought up earlier that I did accidentally use the Nevada County, Colorado standards. That has been removed from the report and the new, the Douglas County, Nevada standards, I wanted to let you guys know that there is no intersection level service standards for Nevada County. Sorry for Douglas County, Nevada. The applicable standards are from TRPA and those were applied in the study.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
So you said something, I want to try to clarify that again. You said 22 trips a day?

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yes.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
I thought I saw something there was 100 and some trips a day.

Ms. Suen speaks:
222 daily trips.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Okay.

Ms. Suen speaks:
The analysis that we do…

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
You said 22.

Ms. Suen speaks:
…is PM peak hour and I would like to double check that number before I confirm it. But yes, there's 23 new trips during the PM peak hour and there's approximately 2,500 going through that intersection during the PM peak hour. And we would be adding 23.

UNAPPROVED

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
So are you talking about ones that are all going straight on Highway 50, do you include those?

Ms. Suen speaks:
No, all movements through the intersection from all different legs of the intersection is about 2,500 currently.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
So the traffic count takes people that are driving to Glenbrook, the same as somebody turning on to Elk's Point. Is that what you're saying?

Ms. Suen speaks:
When we consider the standards, which is intersection level of service, we include…

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Wow.

Ms. Suen speaks:
…all vehicles going through the intersection.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
I think the bigger concern is the traffic that's going to be caused on Elks Point from people turning in there and the concern of the residents that the road is very small. And I drove up there yesterday and saw it, and there isn't any parking. And when they park in the summer, they're parking on the curb there, that's the real traffic concern. I don't think anybody's saying that this one development is going to change Highway 50's traffic, it's going to change Elks Point traffic.

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yes, there is an existing condition with parking along Elks Point Road. This project will not change that existing condition. If there is illegal parking along the roadway currently that is not part, that is not included in our traffic study if there is illegal parking, which I believe was brought up. This traffic study has certain requirements from TRPA, and it is to study the intersections, and that is what it did.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
So I would suggest, and you brought up a good point there, I would suggest that regardless of whether this project is there or not, we need to correct the illegal parking issue.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
So I have another question. I'm sorry, I just heard you as I already turned it on. So there has also been comments about the, one is the use of busses or shuttles, and the other one is if we change the use of the employees on site. So I'm not going to quote numbers because I get them all wrong, but I think it was nine. But anyway, if those are taken away from on site and that increases the trips, we were at 222 and let's just triple it just for people leaving at lunch we'll say. So from 20 to 30 more trips that it adds daily, so we're at 250, we'll just round it up. Where is the threshold and are we approaching that, that it triggers that mitigation needs to happen? So is that a viable concern to say if you switch this or you're adjusting the trips are we at a, are we looking at something that's this big and the thresholds out here, or are we getting close to the threshold where these numbers start to matter?

UNAPPROVED

Ms. Suen speaks:
Thank you for your question. And we are currently over the threshold at that intersection. That is what the level of service F designates that at US 50 and Elks Point Road, we are currently over the threshold. So this project, while it does add some, it does not change the level of service. It is currently level service F and it will be with the project. If you do move the employees off site, yes, you will generate more trips. We have, you know, we can do a calculation for that, I think you're right that it's a few more trips. Since we are already over the intersection level of service standard, we would still continue to be over the levels of service intersection standard.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
Right, but so my question is specifically when do we hit a level that this development would be required to provide mitigation for the amount of traffic that they're adding?

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yeah.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
Okay, so the reason I'm asking the question…

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yeah.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
…is because our job is to review what was presented, and whether or not it was reviewed appropriately, and whether or not the documentation was there. So I want to just eliminate this argument or keep it in play because there's a lot of things that have been brought up. So do we even need to consider this amount of trips because it was specifically brought up in the appellant's presentation. So is the addition of these X amount of trips something that's significant that would change how the project was reviewed by the Planning Commission and the staff? That's what I'm getting at.

Ms. Suen speaks:
Okay, thank you for clarifying your question. No, the addition of employees off site would not impact the project, the project would still remain negligible to the intersection. No, I appreciate trying to clarify, I appreciate that.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Our Deputy District Attorney had a question.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
For Mr. Feldman. If the Commissioners are through with their questions.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, that's fine.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
Thank you. Did your study include any pedestrian information or look, or are you just vehicles?

Ms. Suen speaks:
We did consider non auto travel to and from the site and we determined that there would be some. There would be some non auto travel to and from the site with the project. Was that your question? Yes and so

UNAPPROVED

because there is a bike path nearby, and there is the walking distance to the beach, to the Safeway, that some of the trips would not take vehicles, and they would be on foot, and they would be via, they'd be pedestrian trips. Also there would be some bicycle trips to and from the site. The traffic study does focus on vehicle trips because we do know that they are, there are some bike and PED trips as well.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, are we done with the traffic thing?

Commissioner Hales speaks:
We're done, but I'm still troubled by using 2007 numbers, by using, the adjustments don't add up with my experience or with what I'm hearing is the experience with people who live at the Lake in terms of how much traffic has really increased. Since 2007, it's many multiples of what it was and then even 2024, I'm not sure that, just what you're presenting doesn't align with my own experience or with what I'm hearing is the experience of others. But you are the traffic expert, but I'm still stuck with how in the world can you just add 40 percent and say that that accounts for 2007?

Ms. Suen speaks:
Thank you for your question. I hear that and I believe what we did was with industry standard, we had 2024 counts and we did increase them. If we went out there and did counts today, and with those 2024 counts that were increased to peak season and to the recreational use, we did find that there's unacceptable levels of traffic at the intersection of US 50 and Elks Point Road. It operates at a level of service F, that was confirmed. But the impact of the project is negligible on that current traffic situation.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
But you keep using the 2024 and Highway 50, the intersection there, which means that all cars going through that intersection. The concern, I think, for most of the residents here, at least the ones that I've received comments from, is the traffic on Elks Point and you're using a 2007 number there.

Ms. Suen speaks:
We use the 2024 number. So when we count an intersection, we count all the legs. So we count…

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Why are you going back to 2024? Because you said that was Highway 50, the intersection there. I'm talking about the traffic on Elks Point, which I believe you said you use 2007.

Ms. Suen speaks:
Thank you for, I would love to clarify this. We used 2024 volumes all the way along Elks Point Road and at Highway 50. And then we increased them to peak summer and then we increase the traffic just on Elks Point Road again for recreational traffic.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Now you got me completely confused. You're telling me you use the Elks Point Road 2024 numbers and you did an increase to the peak months, and then you went back and increased it from 2007 numbers. Why do both?

Ms. Suen speaks:
I didn't increase just so, yes, I hear you, I hear there's confusion and I would like to explain it one more time. We used 2024 numbers, we counted at both Elks Point Road and Highway 50, and at Elks Point Road and the site access. At that point, then we increased by 45 percent for May to peak summer and then at that point, we just used numbers from 2007 to increase and that increase is a percentage. We increased

UNAPPROVED

the volumes on Elks Point Road by an additional 60 percent. So we used them as a reference, we didn't add the actual volumes, we increased a percentage.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
So if I'm understanding right, you used the whole year's numbers and you said, okay, June is typically 60 percent bigger than May. So you took your May numbers that you collected in 2024 and used the reference of oh seven to realize you needed to add 60 percent of May's numbers to June numbers, right?

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yeah, July. But yes.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
July.

Ms. Suen speaks:
That is correct.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
Okay, so it wasn't those numbers, it was a reference to get…?

Ms. Suen speaks:
It was a reference.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks;
Yeah, of say 100 percent, May is two percent of 100 percent. This is what it was so you get, so June is typically, or July is typically 60 percent more volume than May, right?

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yes.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
So you're saying that with the beach closed in May, there's only a 60 percent increase from there to July when the beach is packed?

Ms. Suen speaks:
No, we did not assume that. We assumed that the entire area, including Highway 50, needed to be increased. And I'm sorry, I'd like to find the actual number because I want to make sure I explain this exactly. It was 47 percent from May to July, is a 47 percent increase. And then we additionally increased just Elks Point Road by another 63 percent above that.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Above that.

Ms. Suen speaks:
Above that.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Above that number.

UNAPPROVED

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yes.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, not one at 60 and one at 47, but you took that number, multiplied it by 60, and then added another. So almost 100 percent?

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yes. The volumes on Elks Point Road were approximately doubled.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Okay. So you figure that from 2007, the traffic on Elks Point is only doubled? 19 years later. Heck, I think the VHR is itself ought to create…

Ms. Suen speaks:
I think one of the key points here is that what we're trying to decide here is the impact of the project. And currently, based on the standards that we have, the impact of the project is negligible.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
Can you tell us a number that is the impact of the project and what an impactful number would be? I'm getting more precise…

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yes.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
…on the question that Vice Chairman Tolbert asked.

Ms. Suen speaks:
The impact of the project is 23 trips during the PM peak hour. For it to be significant…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Yeah, a need to mitigate. I don't understand your question about need to mitigate, what are you talking about, expansion of the Elk's Point Highway 50 intersection?

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
No, the finding is that the applicant has to mitigate the traffic impacts, that's one of the findings specifically that they have to mitigate it. And they're saying that there has been zero mitigation and the traffic study is saying that zero mitigation is required. So we're saying, okay, if your numbers were at X, how far would they need to be in order to trigger some mitigation being required? So again, are we arguing about something that's here when the target is this big and we're wasting our time? Or are we close to something that actually is a trigger that needed to, that could have been addressed? Does that make sense? And to put this in number perspective, if she said that there was 100 counts in May and she added the 50 percent, and then added the 60 percent, that means the count went from 100 to 250, just to put it in numeric proportions. So it's almost two, does that make sense for how she applied it? If that helps.

Ms. Suen speaks:
Yeah, thank you. And what level would be significant I think would be in the hundreds of trips in the PM peak hour. We were at 23 so at least triple if not more trips would trigger impacts and we are not anywhere

UNAPPROVED

near there. So if there was a few more trips from employees, yes, we would go up a few. But I don't think we're in that realm of being close to the edge of the bubble.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. Yes, no, I know. So thank you so much for that information. Mr. Feldman, I believe our Deputy District Attorney had a question for you.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Mr. Feldman, thank you for appearing and thank you for your candor. And I appreciate you apologizing to staff, sometimes staff gets a, staff don't have a dog in this fight and their review of your client's design is only as good as the information that is provided. As I understand it from both appellant's counsel and from you now, that not all the information that probably should have been provided to staff was provided when the initial review occurred. Is that accurate?

Mr. Feldman speaks:
Correct.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Okay. So is it my understanding that your client would probably like to amend or supplement the information that was provided to staff in DP-250184?

Mr. Feldman speaks:
In fairness to everyone.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Okay.

Mr. Feldman speaks:
I think the materials that were approved by TRPA, which is the complete package, needs to be provided to Douglas County staff. And apologies to all for that oops. It is not my first choice, but I think that is what basic fairness dictates.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
So Mr. Feldman, it would appear, based on the evidence presented today, that in fact, the staff determination was faulty, based on faulty information.

Mr. Feldman speaks:
Based on an absence of information.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Absence of information, thank you. Therefore, the Planning Commission's upholding the Staff Report was also faulty.

Mr. Feldman speaks:
I think that is a fair characterization.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Thank you, Mr. Feldman. Based on that information, there's sufficient factual information for this Board to determine that the appeal should be granted and that the decision of the Planning Commission should not be upheld based on the information that's been provided today.

UNAPPROVED

Mr. Feldman speaks:
I would propose…

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Yes.

Mr. Feldman speaks:
…that this matter be continued so that staff can evaluate whether or not the omission was material, as opposed to invalidating what has already occurred.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
If I may, Chairman? I appreciate that, Mr. Feldman. Ordinarily on an application, that would be possible, but this is an appeal of a Planning Commission decision.

Mr. Feldman speaks:
Well, then, I would request that this be continued to enable staff to determine whether or not the materials submitted are consistent or would change their outcome and we can resume this hearing.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Chairman, the Board needs to take Public Comment in any event. But you understand the basic problem that we have; staff has not been provided all the information that, how do I say this, neither the Planning Commission nor staff were provided all the information that might be relevant to application DP-250184.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Well okay, so I think the first thing we would need to do is go to Public Comment, allow Public Comment, and then come back and we can discuss what your feelings and come to, right?

Commissioner Hales speaks:
That makes sense. But before we keep moving, I want to ask are we talking about sending this, having the Planning Commission review this again before it comes back to us, or it's just plain upheld, done?

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
If I may respond? It depends on what the Board's inclination is. If you agree with the applicant's request to continue, he's basically asking to supplement the record, but kind of un-ring the bell. If the Board, again, the agenda item is whether you're going to uphold the Planning Commission's decision. If you do not uphold the Planning Commission's decision, then it would not go back to TRPA, it would go back to the applicant to supplement or amend their original application. Again, I want to refer to it DP-250184. And then that would go through staff and deciding whatever happens at that hearing, or whatever happens at the staff level, someone could appeal it then again to the PC based on that new information. Is that clear enough? It's a little confusing.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
So the staff would make the determination whether it's material or not, even though the Planning Commission is the one that made the ruling?

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Well again, the item before you today is whether you're going to uphold the Planning Commission. Either you do or you don't.

UNAPPROVED

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Yeah, so…

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
If you don't, it's going to go back and start over.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
You laid a great record, okay. You laid the record and Mr. Feldman has agreed that not everything was provided to the Planning Commission. I guess Mr. Feldman's argument is he doesn't know if it's material or not. Are we going to allow staff to determine whether it's material, or should it have to go back and to the original body who made the decision?

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Well, again, I…

Mr. Feldman speaks:
If I may? As I propose this, staff makes that determination, it still comes back to you because this hearing is continued. You're the final arbiter, I'm just trying to avoid...

Chairman Gardner speaks:
So I mean…

Mr. Feldman speaks:
…multiple steps, and more cost and delay, and expense.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
So even though, I just want to break it down into simple terms, you're going to present staff, but staff doesn't have the ability to ultimately make that decision on their own. They still have to bring it back to us.

Mr. Feldman speaks:
Exactly.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Is that right? Okay.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I want to also say I value the Planning Commission. If there's information they didn't have, I think it should go to them first and then through.

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Well, the cleanest record would be, again, this agenda item is whether you're going to uphold the Planning Commission's decision. If you do not uphold that decision, Mr. Feldman and his client can resubmit their application with all the information they feel is relevant. Staff will look at the entirety of the information, then they'll come up with whatever the staff decision is. If the applicant is unhappy with that, or the appellant, they can appeal that to the Planning Commission based on this new record.

Mr. Feldman speaks:
I'm starting to side with Mr. Ritchie. But what I want to make clear because this is, you know, really the threshold question and I tried to articulate a way to resolve it. The granting of the appeal would not result

UNAPPROVED

in a finding that structures within a campground are ineligible for a developed campground characterization by this Board, that's the important part. We don't want this outcome to say you can't come back with a revised plan because we've already determined that you can't have yurts in a campground. So if we're clear on that…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
So I understand what your concerns are and I would suggest that in the crafting of a motion that we would say pending resubmission to the to the Community Development Department and rehearing by the Planning Commission of the new details. Well, I personally need a break. So thank you, Mr. Feldman and so what I'm going to do is I'm going to take a break until 1:45 PM, at which time I will use the facilities and I will confer with legal counsel to make sure what a properly crafted process is. Okay, thank you.

A break was held.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Everybody's coming back into session. So let's have everybody retake their seats, please, so we can get on with the meeting because we have a number of items after this. Okay, so once again, we're back in session. For the record, I want to assure the public that at no time were more than two Commissioners with legal counsel and staff conferring on this particular issue, so I just want to make sure that's clearly into the record. Thank you. So at this time, we're done with both the presentation by the appellant, and by the applicant, and we've gotten all of our questions answered I believe. And so at this time, we'll go into Public Comment. Once again, Public Comment is limited to three minutes. I'm sure that staff has and gotten your signatures already. So once you state your name, then you'll have three minutes. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT

William Zeller speaks:
Good afternoon, Commissioners. I'm the Vice President of the Elk Point Country Club Homeowners Association. I have lived or have owned property at Elk Point for about 32 years, I'm a resident and registered voter. There's about 100 homes in our community. The traffic on Elk Point is crucial to us, it's our only way in or out and these days, that's really getting to be an issue. Beyond that, I mean, I think people have said over and over again, and I'm sure people behind me are going to say the same thing, that traffic is nearly gridlocked most weekends all summer long. But I want to just provide one, I think salient point here, I sat, well, I'm an engineer by training, I'm not a traffic engineer. I did sit through that presentation and I was completely buffaloed, I had, I really didn't understand what was going on there. We did read the traffic report and we saw that the numbers that they were quoting seemed awfully, awfully low. We tried to figure out a way to quantify this number. The best we could do, and I'm not saying this is a direct measure, but it indicates what we're looking at here, we got records from the Douglas County Sheriff's Department on calls for service. The number of calls for service in July are six times the number of calls for service in May. Not a, not a direct measure but kind of makes you wonder. I'd recommend that, you know, basically the only way to really resolve this traffic issue is to actually measure the traffic through a season to get an idea because otherwise, you're just guessing. And let's see. I'm sorry, there was one other. Oh, as far as how much does this facility affect traffic? You know, if traffic's gridlocked, if you put anything else in there, if it's already terrible, you just really shouldn't be doing something without taking some mitigation. I would concede that maybe some of the mitigation might be increased traffic enforcement by the Sheriff's Department, which requires resources, yes. But a lot of this has to do with people just sitting there waiting or turning around, and unloading, and stuff like that. I think Elk Point would be willing to work with the Sheriff's Department more closely, oops okay, more closely and come up with a better plan on this. By the way, this is a State route, so the State of Nevada ought to be involved

UNAPPROVED

too. Cars park on that road for hours and block a full lane of traffic. I don't know many places in Nevada they allow that on State routes. Thank you, gentlemen, sorry, thank you, Commissioners.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Donna Janaczek speaks:
Hi, everybody. I'm just a resident and I'm a full time resident of Lake Tahoe for the past 45 years. And since 2000, thank you, since 2000, I've been blessed to live on Elk Point Road, in the neighborhood which is right next to Nevada Beach. The increase of traffic on Elk Point Road during the summer season has increased so much, especially since COVID and social media. The road just can't accommodate the traffic anymore, plus there's so much illegal parking, it's rampant. The anger and the rage I've witnessed on Elk Point Road during the summer season, just the past few years, has forced me to stay at home on weekends, or if I need to go into town, I ride my bike and I'm 72 years old. So it just feels too unsafe for me to even try to go out of the neighborhood on the weekend, or to even try to get at the light on Highway 50 on Elk Point Road. I've seen the congestion at the traffic light on Highway 50 and Elk Point Road back up and over Round Hill for those waiting to turn right onto Elk Point Road. I've also seen traffic waiting to turn left. I was a part of this, I was in this traffic. People waiting to turn left on Elk Point Road from Highway 50 overflow into the fast lane on Highway 50. So just imagine coming over that hill by the sewer road on Highway 50. You're going in the fast lane, all of a sudden, you're on the other side of the hill, traffic is at a complete stop. And there's no room for the cars to turn left because the car, the road is packed, it's gridlock. And now I just want to go over a few businesses and things that are already on Elk Point Road and this is only over a half mile stretch. On Elk Point Road between the intersection of Highway and Highway 50 and Nevada Beach, I'm sorry, during the peak season, it's already overflowing. It's dangerous and it's rated F for level of service. This little half mile stretch of Elk Point Road is just a little old two lane road that provides the only in and out access to many properties, including Elk Point Country Club and that's where I live. And it's a 92 home neighborhood situated right next to Nevada Beach. Elk Point Road is also the only in and out access to the very beautiful and popular Nevada Beach, including the hard to get to and always full Nevada Beach Campground. And that offers 45 campsites, Nevada Beach offers 260 parking spots. Elk Point Road is also the only in and out access for the very popular 110 unit Wyndham South Shore timeshare, which offers one, two, and three bedroom condos. That property definitely has added to the gridlock, especially at the traffic light of Highway 50 and Elk Point. Elk Point Road is also the only in and out access to the gorgeous, well attended Our Lady of Lake Tahoe Catholic Church, one of only two Catholic churches on the Nevada side. Tahoe Douglas Fire Protection District 23 is also on the half mile, shoot, and I've got all these notes from NDOT. I mean, real current up to date…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Ms. Janaczek speaks:
…of the accidents, the fatality, 12 fatalities and 382…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay…

Ms. Janaczek speaks:
…injuries and crashes…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
…three minutes, not three minutes and a half.

UNAPPROVED

Laughter was heard.

Ms. Janaczek speaks:
You'll let me finish? Oh.

Laughter was heard.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
No. I said three minutes, not three and a half minutes.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
But she can submit her notes.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Yes.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
You can submit your notes.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay.

Cathy Oyster speaks:
I'm from a multigenerational family that lives at Elk Point. We've been there for 30 years and yes, 2007 to current are completely different animals. 2020 to current are completely different animals. I could not find the NDOT numbers that she was looking for. So what did I do? I went to TOT. So let me tell you, through the last year, from June 2025 through, I'm sorry, July 2025 to June 2026, which is what's available online, the lowest occupancy room sold category is May, with 40,000. 41,000, I'm sorry, rooms sold. The greatest is September with 80,000 rooms sold, double, 100 percent more in the high season versus the low season, not 60 percent. And staff relied upon this 60 percent multiplier rather than 100. And they ended up with a visitor mile VMT number, visitor miles traveled of 615, allowed is 715. If you use my number that it's 100 percent more, they're at 748. They would have failed that number, that letter in the list of things they needed to win. The other thing is, good point on our half a mile to Nevada Beach. But what's equally as important to Dorla Road, which is, or Court, that they're talking about putting the entrance, there's the sewer district, the fire district, a business which is on the corner of 50, there's Wyndham Chase retail. The other thing that you didn't notice when you were looking at that picture and the direction it was coming from, the trees, that's 50. What's the stacking for this event facility? Where's the stacking going to go? I was an espresso drive through owner and it was critical for us to have all of our stacking on site. We could not impact the State highway that my business was on. There absolutely is a requirement for mitigation because of this. They're not even talking about these special events. When those special events occur, that 150 person wedding, how is that going to impact our F grade? And they're saying, well it won't change it. Yeah, it'll go from a 50 percent grade performance to a zero, still an F, that doesn't get them off the hook. Mitigation must be required and they should not get a Special Use Permit for weddings, events, serving liquor, none of those things are allowed at Nevada Beach. Liquor is not allowed. You guys are going to have a lot of requirements as a County Board of Commissioners and I hope you take that.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

UNAPPROVED

Ms. Oyster speaks:
TRPA did one thing, you can do the rest.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay.

Applause was heard.

Randy Spevak speaks:
Good afternoon. I'm a homeowner at Elks Point Country Club. And I want to focus on one issue today and that's safety. Those of us who live at Elks Point experience the traffic on Elks Point Road firsthand. During the summer, particularly when Nevada Beach is busy, the road can become so congested that traffic in both directions is severely restricted and compromised. Cars are parked along both sides of the road, vehicles stop while people unload children, dogs, coolers, paddleboards, beach equipment. Other drivers are turning around looking for parking at the same time pedestrians and bicyclists are using the same road, so it's stacked up. Most of this is anecdotal, but most of this is real time. And there's one fact that I hope you'll keep in mind, Elks Point Road is the only way in and the only way out of our community. That makes this more than an inconvenience or a traffic issue, it makes it a public safety issue. If one of the residents has a heart attack or a stroke on a busy summer afternoon, can an ambulance get through without delay? If there's a wildfire how are approximately 90 households supposed to evacuate while emergency vehicles are trying to come in? In Nevada Beach, visitors, campground guests are trying to get out. There is also a very practical parking question that I believe needs to be made clear. If the campground's on-site parking does not accommodate all the vehicles brought in by guests, employees, event attendees, attendees, vendors, and etcetera, where will all the additional traffic go? And I think we know the answer to that. We already know what happens when parking demands exceeds available parking in this area. The overflow ends up around Elks Point Road making an already difficult situation worse. I'm not opposed to responsible development, but a development has to fit the limitations of its location. Before additional traffic is added to Elks Point Road I believe the existing congestion, emergency access, evacuation capacity, and overflow parking issues need to be adequately addressed. Once the project is built, we cannot undo the additional traffic it creates. Thank you.

Applause was heard.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Lisa Hokholt speaks:
Sorry, my foot's falling asleep. Bad timing.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Well, that's not the only thing on this part of the body.

Laughter was heard.

Ms. Hokholt speaks:
Hello. I live in Zephyr Cove. I'm here to speak in opposition to the proposed eco camping facility on Elks Point Road. When is the madness of development in Douglas County portion of the Tahoe Basin going to end? When are you and TRPA going to consider the impacts to those of us who live there? The area is beginning to be no place for us full-time residents. Already, we residents don't venture into public open spaces in the summertime and clearly through September. There is no place for us, traffic is becoming

UNAPPROVED

unbearable, parking even worse. Every new development, the event center, Tahoe Beach Club, Sierra Colina, VHR permits, and the possible hospital greatly impact us, and the environment, and emergency services. What would make anyone think this project is supported by local residents? If you held a public meeting up there, believe me, you'd see tremendous opposition. On the topic of emergency evacuation and impacts due to more vehicles, those of us in the neighborhood of Zephyr Heights un-jokingly laugh about running to the lake in the event of wildfire. More development, more visitors mean potentially more disastrous results. We might not get on the highway, we worry. In my professional capacity, I've worked in a lot of residential places that have burned, Angora fire for one. Not as a firefighter, but afterwards I've seen lots of homeowners sifting through ashes, just the devastation of it. I've worked in Southern California fires, Los Alamos, New Mexico, I can name several others. We might not get on the highway because of so much more traffic. We really worry about this. Please consider the impacts both on site and off site to the environment and so close to the shore. Please consider our needs and wants before your seemingly growing support of tourists and those who profit from them. The federal government, the US Forest Service calls Tahoe Basin the gem of the Sierras. Our beautiful gem is becoming flawed and unprotected. This proposed project is no eco camp, it's not camping. After listening to the previous presentation, I'm even more dubious and untrustful of this proposed resort. We can hardly lay a brick in our own residences without TRPA approval. The proposed project is decidedly detrimental to public health, safety, and welfare. The evolution of camping results in the de-evolution of residential living and community conditions. Thank you for your time, I appreciate it.

Applause was heard.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Larry Fry speaks:
Good afternoon, Commissioners. I am a homeowner and resident in Elk Point Country Club, I'm also President of the Elk Point Country Club HOA. And many of my neighbors, as you've heard today, have expressed serious concerns about the impacts of the proposed eco glampground development. I kind of wanted to focus on safety right now because we had a fire in 2018 at my house that almost destroyed the entire structure and so I'm very sensitive to speed of emergency response. Had that fire, it occurred in October, but had that fire occurred in July with the visitor and the car and traffic problems, we could have lost the house and maybe more of the neighborhood. So that was a disaster averted because of the time of year that the fire occurred. But I want to look at the number of parking spots and campgrounds in Nevada Beach. There are almost 200 parking spots at Nevada Beach, over 50 campgrounds in Nevada Beach and then we have almost 100 homes in our community. If you multiply that by a factor of three, you're looking at peak times of around 800 people that would need to be evacuated in a fire event such as Caldor Fire. Why would we consider adding a development to an overcrowded road that would further impede evacuation? We don't want to go down the road of creating more of an evacuation issue than we already have. We also have a private marina and one of our slips is dedicated to Tahoe Douglas Fire. So we allow them to use our marina for their marine related emergency calls. So definitely the proposed glampground development is a detriment to public safety, health, and wellbeing. And the other thing I wanted to say was that we all are aware of the NDOT project to improve Highway 50 safety between Glenbrook and Stateline, and we're right in the middle of that because of the proven number of accidents and fatalities along that stretch of road. And as one of my neighbors just spoke about, as you're driving from the casino core and you're coming towards Elks Point Road, and you come up over that hill, there's the left hand turn lane is not adequate. So of course, major traffic mitigation measures and improvements would have to occur to get us from an F score up to a D or a C score. So for all those reasons, we think this is a bad idea. Thank you for your time.

UNAPPROVED

Applause was heard.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Staci Baker speaks:
Good afternoon, Commissioners. I want to address something that has become very clear to me about this project. I understand the TRPA approval has occurred but that does not mean the County should simply rubber stamp what is being presented to you today. The question before you is what this project actually means for a community. We are being asked to think of this as a 53 unit campground, but that is not the picture. This project includes a 2,500 square foot clubhouse and event capabilities for up to 150 people. The project's own marketing materials reportedly say the property is open to collaboration with an experienced vertical hotel developer. This raises a serious question, are we really talking about a campground, or are we creating a small hotel and event venue under the label of campground? Because those are very different impacts. 53 camping space is one thing, 53 accommodations plus 150 person event venue, plus employees, plus deliveries, plus visitors, plus wedding parties, and every other gathering is a very different level of use. And the road doesn't change because the project is called a campground, the congestion doesn't change, the evacuation risk doesn't change, the environmental constraints don't change. The infrastructure doesn't magically become adequate. We are already seeing enormous development pressure throughout this small, environmentally sensitive area. We have tree thinning and forest treatment activity around the creek. We have the development pressure around Zephyr Shoals, and now we are looking at another substantial commercial visitor operation in an area where the environmental and transportation capacity is severely overloaded and constrained. Talk about an F. This is not an empty piece of land somewhere out in the desert, this is Tahoe. This is a highly constrained environment with limited road capacity, limited emergency access, limited opportunities to expand infrastructure, and a very real wildfire evacuation concern. We also don't have wildfire evacuation. We could have an earthquake, Tahoe sits on active faults. I think we're going to have to stop looking at these projects one application at a time, please, Commissioner. Because every individual project can be made to look manageable on a piece of paper but when you put them together, the cumulative impact is what this community actually is experiencing. And that brings me back to the people who live here. Lake Tahoe represents about nine percent of Douglas County's population, yet according to the Tahoe Douglas Visitors Authority, Tahoe generates 40 percent of the County's property tax, consolidated tax, room tax, and gaming revenues. So Tahoe residents and Tahoe businesses carry an enormous share of this County's economic burden and yet, we're continually being asked to absorb more traffic, visitors development, and pressure on our infrastructure. And who pays for that? The people who live here. And when there is a wildfire and everyone has to evacuate, we are the people on the road. Our families matter.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Ms. Baker speaks:
Our lives matter.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you, Ms. Baker, I appreciate it.

Ms. Baker speaks:
Sure.

UNAPPROVED

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay.

Ms. Baker speaks:
I hope you heard it, I really do.

Tracy Saunders speaks:
Hi. The T, in G and T properties. First, thank you for your service as Elected Officials and for hearing our appeal. You've heard a lot of comments on traffic, prior approval by TRPA. The access to this property was via Highway 50. The prior owner of this property gave TRPA approximately 14,500 square feet of coverage and abandoned the easement for good so they could have access to Highway 50. We bought our property knowing we backed up to Forest Service, expecting that nothing would change. This project should not add anything to an already failing intersection and should go through, at minimum, Highway 50, not Elks Point Road. This project in no way meets the Douglas County definition of a developed campground. Not a single traditional campsite, not a single RV parking space. This is a hotel with year round accommodation. I urge the Douglas County Commissioners to not allow TRPA to usurp their power as elected, and their duty as Elected Officials, and to reject this project and send it back to the developers to redesign to meet the Douglas County approved uses for this project. Perhaps it is time for the Douglas County Planning Commission and Commissioners to become more involved with these developments that Douglas County residents use. Mr. Feldman mentioned that traditionally, we don't allow, you know, we just defer to TRPA. But that is not what we elected you as our officials to do. Your job as Douglas County Commissioners is to send this back to TRPA and to begin this process properly. Thank you so much for your time today, it is really, really appreciated. Thank you.

Applause was heard.

Steve Noll speaks:
Good afternoon, Commissioners. I wanted to talk a little bit more about the campground itself. I think traffic and the other issues has well been talked about. As Commissioner Tolbert had mentioned, camping has evolved over the years. If you go to most, and let me back up to say I'm a retired landscape architect, 40 years in the industry and probably in my life have designed at least 50, if not more campgrounds. And I can tell you from the beginning to now they're completely different. It's more about accessibility, people aren't buying tents and sleeping bags and hauling that stuff, they want to have accessibility. And it opens the current camping style and where it's going is opening better opportunities for safer and more enjoyable camping. So with that said, this site, and I've looked at the site plan and I agree it does that. It's not all compacted together, they're all spaced out like they should be. I'm not going to comment about whether there's enough parking and stuff like that, the events, all that. It's just about pure camping. The other thing that's very interesting about this campground versus many that I've designed before, it's close to a lot of the things you wish you could have. You can walk to the store, you can walk to restaurants, you can jump on a bike and ride to the beach, you can ride to the casinos. It is well thought out with regard to minimizing automobiles moving off the campsite to other areas because of those amenities that are close by. So when you talk about additional parking on Elks Club Road, these campers aren't going to be parking on that road. They're going to be taking their bikes, they're going to be using the businesses that are in that area. So from a convenience perspective, this is far more better than many of the campsites I've designed in the past where everybody has to get in their car. So I just wanted to refocus on the camp itself, the style of camping and say the location is ideal. The type of way that camping now is what it is people are expecting. A lot talked about this event center, it's basically a place where people go and eat a meal because they don't have kitchens in their unit. So it's a collection, if you go to any camp, if you go to Yosemite, there's a community building. That's what this is, it's not an event center. It's not a place to just drink and go

UNAPPROVED

happy, it's really convenient to the campers and that's it. So just focus on the camping experience is really what this project is about, in my opinion. And I think they're doing a very nice job of it. Thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Michelle Flores speaks:
Good afternoon, Commissioners. I've lived in South Lake Tahoe for 24 years, and I'm here today because I really care about what happens to this area and what we leave behind. Myself, my friends, and so many people in our community spend time in this area. We ride our bikes, walk our dogs, run the trails, and simply enjoy being outside. We see the wildlife that lives there and moves through there, it's one of those places that still feels like Tahoe. I understand that this is a private property and I understand that the growth and development are going to happen. Tahoe is a tourist destination but we already have hotels, resorts, campgrounds, and plenty of attractions that bring people to Tahoe. I don't believe every beautiful piece of undeveloped land needs to become another tourist destination. I also want to mention traffic. Those of us who live in Tahoe and drive these roads regularly know how congested these areas can already become, especially during our busiest times. After listening to the traffic discussion today, I still have concerns about whether the numbers being presented truly reflect what residents experience on that. Oh sorry, I wrote this while they were doing that, I was confused myself. Just the traffic is horrible down there. I'm also very concerned about the environmental impact of a project of this size so close to Lake Tahoe. Construction, removing mature trees, increased traffic, and year round use all deserve serious consideration when we're talking about any environment as a special place, as a, when we're talking about an environment as special and sensitive as Tahoe Basin. Those of us who live here have watched more and more of Tahoe become developed over the years. We only have so many places left where locals can walk, ride bikes, take their dogs, see wildlife, and just be in nature without another development around them. Once we develop a place like this, we can't undo it. We can't put the mature trees back or recreate what was there before. I'm asking you to please consider whether another tourist development is worth permanently changing this area. Not every beautiful place of Tahoe needs to be developed in order to have value. Sometimes its value is in living, is leaving it alone. Thank you.

Applause was heard.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Jim Jackson speaks:
I've been camping for close to 70 years. I'll be 80 next year, so I know a little bit about it. I've camped in RVs, boats, tents, backpacking. Glamping is just a new way of very high end camping for people that don't want to get their hands dirty and everything, that's all it is. It is not traditional camping. But this proposal is one of the oldest dodges in the book. We're going to come in, we're going to make a campground. We didn't show you all the plans for it, we didn't tell you everything we wanted to do, but we want to get it approved. And like so many places, you approve it. And then what happens when they start building? It gets bigger, and bigger, and bigger, and then they sell it to somebody that's going to build it even bigger. How many times has that happened here in the Valley? Quite a few. Look at the developers and how they've taken you guys to the cleaners. These folks are pulling the wool over your eyes as far as what they actually want to do with this, this property. I don't live at the Lake, I live down here in the Valley, but I understand how they all feel. I live up on Johnson Lane, so I know a little bit about how these developers and businesses come in and take advantage of the area. Your job today is to approve the appeal and kick it back to TRPA. I don't trust TRPA though and you shouldn't either. You need to change how that whole thing works because they're going to keep sticking you with problems up

UNAPPROVED

there and everything. But the biggest thing is look how they are promoting this to their investors. How big is that? That's gigantic. Is that anything like what they proposed originally? Doesn't look like it. So don't let them pull the wool over your eyes. Kick it back and with recommendations that it be a campground, not an event center. That's what it will turn into and then everybody will be back here and on your necks again. We voted you in to take care of the citizens of this county, that's what we expect you to do. Thank you.

Applause was heard.

Craig Downer speaks:
Yeah, dear Douglas County Commissioners, I'm a longtime resident here and an alumni of Douglas County High. I'm submitting this professional comment as an ecologist and a resident of Douglas County, Nevada. Throughout my life, I have enjoyed visiting Nevada Beach to enjoy the carefree feeling of the forests and Lake Tahoe waters as they lap upon the golden beaches here. Here I love to swim and just lay in the sand, absorbing the energy of the sun and the crystals beneath me, and listening to the birds and the wind, etcetera. Now it comes as a terrible shock to learn of this huge luxury resort development plan here that would terribly disrupt the peace and tranquility of this beautiful place by trying to cram too many people at a time into it. And I hear this plan to saw down at least 282 of the majestic pine, fir, etcetera trees here that give this place such a graceful feeling of protection from winds and the artificiality of modern human society. If these forests are displaced by high density lodging accommodation, a huge gathering hall for 5,000 and artificial tree houses, etcetera, all this development would terribly disrupt the natural atmosphere. Not even the trees, nature's guardians of this place would be left alone. And then there's all the additional pavement, cement paths, fences, etcetera that would be built. Basically, we would be citifying this natural place, and to a degree that would ruin its primary purpose, which is to be a place of natural beauty and refreshment. Where the wonderful world of nature is respected in our human species does not overwhelm the natural home of all the many unique and important plants and animals who live here. Furthermore, this development would create more massive parking problems and traffic congestion, giving the place the feel of a congested downtown area. This would cause more pollution, both of the air and of the water, thus adding to the eutrophication of Lake Tahoe and impinging upon its legendary clarity. And this would be, it would increase noise pollution as well as soil damage and pollution. This would have cascading negative effects throughout the ecosystem. Such a major impact as is proposed in this plan should be subject to a NEPA environmental impact study. If it takes place, it would become a source of bitterness to me and make me not want to go there. And I just have a sentence or two, and so I implore you, please, do not approve this outrageous development plan.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Mr. Downer speaks:
Thank you.

Applause was heard.

Mickie Hempler speaks:
Good afternoon, Commissioners. Speaking as a Tahoe Township resident only. I had some other things to say, but I'm not going there and make it really short. The outreach to this Board should be a reminder that continuing to allow TRPA to choose the projects to approve in our County with little or no input really has got to stop. This appeal is about Design Review, not the merits or demerits of this glamping project. But based on the public outcry, clearly, the residents of Tahoe Township are not on board. Let this be the last time the Commissioners have to say there is nothing we can do about it. It sounds a little

UNAPPROVED

bit like Painted Rock, but that's not the case here. Take some interest in the projects coming to TRPA and have a voice in our area plans like most of all the other counties around the Lake do. Design Review only is like closing the barn door after the horse is out. And the last thing I want to say is I want to thank Commissioner Tarkanian for his newsletter. Everything you had in there about Title 20 was very helpful to me without having to look it all up. And to Mr. Feldman for actually saying out loud that Douglas County relegates to TRPA for everything. And I don't think that's the way it needs to be. Thank you.

Applause was heard.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you. So mostly the public has listened to my comments about please no display of public support or denial. But thank you for doing that.

Gary Saunders speaks:
I'm the G in G and T properties, that was my wife earlier on. I'm not going to repeat too much. I'm actually going to read a couple of paragraphs from the Instagram that Talaz have just to let everybody know there's nothing to worry about. With the local community at the heart of our projects, we strive to create a space that fosters collaboration, sustainability, and progress. Through partnerships with local businesses, artisans, and organizations, we aim to incorporate the unique essence of local communities. By actively involving the community, we ensure that the preservation of nature of natural beauty remains a collective effort. Together, we will champion responsible practices, support local initiatives, and instill a sense of ownership and pride in safeguarding pristine environment. That's their words, not mine and just another part of their sales pitch trying to sell this property as well. As a 53 key ecological approval, a fully entitled 53 unit glamping resort site in the basin is a unicorn. TRPA regulations make new hospitality of this scale nearly impossible to replicate. We wish. And to summarize, as our elected community officials, you can see here today, our community is asking you to use your authority in reversing this gross overreach by TRPA. We were not adequately consulted or informed before this project was approved. I received notice on the day of the meeting. I could not attend, I had a management consultant attend on my behalf. We didn't elect TRPA and believe they are making reckless and ill informed decisions on your behalf to the detriment of ours. You have the power to make this right. The County zoning is clear in the state purpose and uses for this parcel. We ask that you follow your own regulations and act on behalf of the community in denying approval for this proposed project. Thank you.

Applause was heard.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I asked for no more clapping please, okay? Thank you. Is there any additional Public Comment? I'm not seeing any additional Public Comment, so we'll bring it back to the Board. Ms. Doherty, did you have something you wish…?

Janet Murphy speaks:
Are you talking, yes or no? Because I said I wanted to go last.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I did close Public Comment. But Janet, are you not listening to me?

Ms. Murphy speaks:
I'm the last one for Public Comment, yeah.

UNAPPROVED

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I closed Public Comment, but I'm going to allow it, okay?

Ms. Murphys speaks:
Okay, thank you, sir.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay.

Ms. Murphy speaks:
I appreciate that.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I did close it. I asked and nobody…

Ms. Murphy speaks:
I'm sorry.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
…and so I…

Ms. Murphy speaks:
I thought he was going to, but he said he's not going now. He said he's not...

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Never mind. Let's go.

Ms. Murphy speaks:
Sorry. Okay, for the record my name is Janet Murphy. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and fellow Commissioners. First, I'd like to read, on this 239th anniversary of the signing of our Constitution, it is appropriate to reflect on this use of this country. The proposed Downton Abbey style glamping development violates the environmental threshold, carry capacities adopted by the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency under the bi-state compact in 1982. The fact that TRPA may have chosen to disregard its own standards does not relieve Douglas County of its independent responsibility. Deferring to another agency as a superseding authority does not excuse the County from enforcing applicable environmental protections. The Planning Commission's apparent lack of due diligence is likewise no justification for approving this project. Glamping constitutes a new land use that has not previously been authorized at the Tahoe or within Douglas County. The current zoning of the Talaz property does not permit this use and the proposed plans are inconsistent with those of a conventional campground, such as a KOA. Compounding these concerns are a deficient traffic study that relies on inapplicable guidelines from Colorado rather than the local standards. And the complete absence of a proper environmental impact analysis. The project has also failed to address the stream environment zone that is present on this property. For these reasons, I urge the Commission to step back, require a thorough reevaluation of the proposal's consistency with zoning, TRPA thresholds, traffic impacts, and environmental protections. And refrain from approving the project until these fundamental deficiencies are resolved. And I want to quickly, because I know I only have three minutes. First, I want to say, as far as Mr. Feldman, I know he alluded to earlier that you guys didn't have any involvement on some of those other projects that he had mentioned. Yes, you did because I was here. Also I want to mention that as far as the zoning is concerned with, you need to be consistent and fair with the resort, Zephyr Cove Resort, which you know I managed for 35 years, the township, okay. And I'll tell you that is commercial and recreational. You know what

UNAPPROVED

part is, and I have the zoning there, I got the plans, and what is commercial is the lodge and all of the cabins. The only part that is recreational is the beach where they have volleyball, water activities, jet skiing, that is what that is. You need to be consistent. And when did TRPA become and get into building? They're supposed to be environmental as far as I can, if you look at the bi-state, look at what it is. It is environmental, not building. You have done your job and I applaud the Planning Department for recognizing what really, truly is in the law. Also, Paul Flynn, who used to own the property, was zoned residential, was never re-zoned and was always landlocked. I know him, I have photos, I live on Elks Point, I can clearly show you the traffic that has immensely…

Chairman Gardner speaks:
You're past three minutes.

Ms. Murphy speaks:
…increased.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Janet, you're past three minutes. Thank you.

Janet Murphy interrupted with a comment from the audience.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Janet Murphy interrupted with a comment from the audience.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, thank you. Now I'm going to ask one more time, is there anybody else who wishes and has not spoken already?

Laughter was heard.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I caught that. A little jest. Okay, is there anybody else who wishes to offer three minutes of Public Comment?

Brandy McMahon speaks:
Hi, thank you. I work for the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, I've been there for the past decade. Before that, I spent a decade working for Douglas County. I listened to all of your comments online and I hear you. I just wanted to say that I worked on the campground project on behalf of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, and I've been working with Linda Doherty, and I have been in discussions with them. They are proposing some changes to the project that does require a plan revision be submitted to TRPA, as well as those changes would need to go to Douglas County. So I just wanted to put that on the record because I know there was some discussion about some items missing and it needing to be reviewed. But it is my understanding that we are going to be re-reviewing this project both at TRPA and at the County level. And if you have any questions, I am available.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
We appreciate that.

UNAPPROVED

Ms. McMahon speaks:
Thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. Once again, I'm going to ask is there anybody else who wishes to offer a Public Comment that has not already done so? With that, I'm going to bring it back to the Board. I want to piggyback on top of the most recent lady that spoke and mention that, I wrote this down, I specifically asked this question early in the presentation. September 24th of 2025 is when this project went to TRPA and that's when most of this discussion that we occurred today should have occurred is at that time. And there was no appeal, to my understanding, offered by anybody in the community at that time and so they proceeded thinking that they were good, and then it came. So that's where the advocacy needs to go back to folks. Thank you.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks
I just wanted to make a quick statement to the lady with the TRPA. Thank you for coming up here, you got a lot of courage, that was wonderful. But my biggest problem with this whole project, and this is what I don't understand with what's happening with TRPA; it used to be if you cut down one tree, they would throw a fit and they'd, you know, scrutinize you and so forth. You're cutting down 300 trees and 60 percent of that area, you change that from being a forest area to an open area. I'm just dumbfounded TRPA would approve that.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. Does staff have anything else they wish to add?

Ms. Doherty speaks:
Hi. After listening to a lot of the comments, I just want to clarify that we have not approved any events at this location, it's not part of the Design Review.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you for that clarification. Okay, so at this time…

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I'm seeing the County Manager. I was just going to make a comment and a motion. I concur with Chairman Gardner and I've heard a lot of argument that it's natural, we should keep it in trees, forested. Well, our system of property doesn't work that way because the people who own that property get to use it for all of the uses that it is approved for. So basically, you've got to own it if you want it to be yours and use it natural, and keep it in whatever state is allowable to keep it in. So I'm sympathetic because I want to keep it as it is too, personally, but we have to work under the system of laws that exists. And Chairman Gardner is absolutely right that the use of this property was determined by TRPA. And so if you have concerns about the use of this property, maybe you could look into was there, we've heard a lot about the representations that were made in the marketing efforts. If that provides new evidence that you could approach TRPA and ask them to take another look at this, that's on you. Because what I want to make sure is set expectations so that if this were to come back to us again, we wouldn't be able to change the use of it even if it came back to us again. So as sympathetic as I am to those kinds of arguments, it's not going to be effective because we aren't the decision makers on that point. So I wanted to say that in case this does ever come back to us. But by way of motion, I move that we uphold the appeal based on the lack of complete information provided in the application as acknowledged by the applicant's representative.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Second.

UNAPPROVED

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, we have a motion on the floor to uphold the appeal. Motion by Commissioner Hales, seconded by Commissioner Tarkanian. We do have discussion on that and I have a question for legal counsel basically on that. This motion in no way impedes or prevents the applicants from revising or submitting a new application and going through the process all over again, is that correct?

Mr. Ritchie speaks:
Chairman, that's correct. The decision by the Board is not determinative of any of the issues that have been raised regarding traffic studies, any of that. Whatever the applicant chooses to submit, whatever that package is, that will be submitted to staff, they will make a determination based on that information. And then if for some reason any party wanted to appeal it, it would go to the Planning Commission again based on that information. But this decision does today does not have any bearing on the issues that may be raised later.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I just want to make sure that the applicants understand that. Okay, very good, thank you. With that, if there's no other discussion, all those in favor of upholding the appeal, as stated by Commissioner Hales and seconded by Commissioner Tarkanian, indicate by saying aye. The motion passes 5-0, thank you. That's where we are.

MOTION TO: Uphold Appeal 2026-APPEAL-0003, an appeal of the Planning Commission's decision to deny Appeal 2026-APPEAL-0002, based on the lack of complete information provided in the application as acknowledged by the applicant's representative; carried.

RESULT:	**APPROVED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER:	Sharla Hales, Commissioner
SECONDER:	Danny Tarkanian, Commissioner
AYES:	Wesley Rice, Sharla Hales, Mark Gardner, Danny Tarkanian, Nathan E Tolbert

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, do we need another quick break or not? Let's take five minutes.

A break was held.

12.4 For possible action. Discussion regarding the adoption of Resolution 2026R -048, a resolution amending and establishing certain rates, fees, and charges for services provided by the Douglas County Community Development Department as provided for in the Douglas County Code, proposing a 5.1% increase to the 2026 Community Development Schedule on November 1, 2026, and repealing Resolution 2024R-053, which established and amended the rates, fees, and charges for the Department set in 2024. The proposed increases are based on the Western Urban Consumer Price Index's (CPI) combined increase over the past two years (2025 & 2026). (Tom Dallaire, Community Development Director and Andrea Pawling, Deputy Community Development Director)

Chairman Gardner read the agenda item into the record.

Tom Dallaire, Community Development Director, speaks:
Okay. Thank you, Chairman Gardner. I do have Tim with me. Andrea was feeling ill today so she is not here, so please excuse her. We have evaluated the rates and we are proposing that we increase our

UNAPPROVED

Community Development rates by 5.1 percent. We have the history here. I think the presentation was presented, but I don't know if you guys want to go through all of these. The last resolution that we had was in 2024, 2024R-053 and we are rescinding that resolution. Last year, we did come to the Board on July 17th in 2025, and we decided not to, I'm sorry. It was… yeah, no, it was in July last year. We decided not to increase the rates. We were in the middle of programing OPAL and Oracle had already started on the rate portion of the programing. And if we were going to increase them and change the numbers that we had provided them before, we were going to have to pay them more money. And so we decided not to increase the rates in 2025. So we are proposing to do that this year. It'll be in the middle of the fiscal year. This will go into effect on November 1st. This is supposed to be November 1st.

We do have a couple of new fees. I know we had the Health Department representative here earlier today, Candace, and she had to go, but we are going to add a new fee. This is the CCH, this is Carson City Health, the fee number is 506 in the schedule. And it's an annual temporary food establishment permit fee of $150. And that will provide an opportunity for small and temporary food businesses to participate in events year round with a singular permit and a fee. So we're simplifying the process, simplifying it for not only their office, but for the individual who is trying to provide these services for events.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Does that include the mobile vans?

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Yeah. Yep. Exactly. And so they don't have to pay a fee for every single event that they attend. It'll be here's the event that we're going to go to, like, let's just say it's the farmer's market, the permit will be good for all of those events at the farmer's market. And then what Brook Adie from Community Services is bringing forward is the Art in Public Places. We decided to do or are proposing a $25 application fee for that. We wanted to keep it low for the art itself and Art in Public Places for those applications and it's just a very minimal fee just really for processing for that. And then also the plan review fees vary quite a bit so we have on the very bottom of this we want to do a non-refundable deposit of $100 and make it consistent across all of the applications. And that will be a lot easier for us to track in the system as well. So those are the new fees that we are proposing with this round and with this resolution. Are there any questions on that?

Chairman Gardner speaks:
I did have a question Mr. Dallaire on this annual temporary food establishment permit fee. I know that we have a joint MOU or whatever it is with Carson Valley Health, right?

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
It's Carson City Health.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Carson City Health. Okay. It's Carson City Health. And I know that they go out to our restaurants on a routine basis, checking temperatures and health and sanitation efforts. What is their process with these food trucks and things of that nature? Is there a similar process?

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Yeah, they do inspect those too. I mean, she was here to answer these kinds of questions. I haven't been with her on site to see what exactly they do. But it's my understanding that they do the inspections on the truck itself and I think they do give them a grade just like at the restaurant, like A, B or C or whatever, you know, you see it when you walk into the restaurant, it's usually a score for that particular facility.

UNAPPROVED

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
But that is what this is for. And it really is to cover… all their fees are really defined in the NAC. And the NAC is very limited. I mean, their scope of work is limited to the NAC and that's where their authority comes from. But their fees are established in that document as well. So this is a fee that is not established in the NAC and so we have to create that fee so that they can charge it in Douglas County. They are going through the Health Department, Carson City Health Department is going through and looking at all their fees and will be proposing a larger fee or looking at all their fees and if they are proposing larger fees, they're going to bring that forward in a future date. And at that time, we will utilize their fees so it's very consistent between Carson City and Douglas County for that organization.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you. I know we were concerned when you brought this back in 2024 with us. And it was such a large increase, 14 percent, is that we wanted to look at this on an annual basis so that we didn't have those big gaps of time and trying to recover costs or, you know, or that big of an impact on a business or entity applying. So I appreciate that. And I was going to ask you the question and you answered it, why you didn't bring it back in 2025. So I appreciate that.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Yeah. Thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Other Commissioners, do you have any…?

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
So this is one on the deposits which I know there was an issue with the Fire Department when you want those deposits within the system, a lot of times the design professionals do the application part of the upload, but then the Fire Department wouldn't allow their system to be processed without that deposit being paid before it would be submitted. So now the process has changed where a secondary can come in, like the owner and actually pay that deposit as opposed to… So I just want to make sure that was something you knew about in the system when those are applied.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Yeah. OPAL can, as long as you're part of the record, you can pay that bill and pay that fee. So if you are doing the application and you get it to that point where it's ready to be paid, then you let the applicant know and then they can pay the fee online.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Commissioner Rice, I don't want to forget you. Do you have any questions about this?

No response was heard..

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
And then we have the building permits on the chart on the left. 2023 and 2024 is when we really peaked as far as building permits issued and this is all the building permits within the Building Department, which was 3,063. And then last year for the fiscal year, so ending on June 30th of 2026, we had issued, it was down to 2,614. So a little bit more manageable with the same team that we have. And then all of the

UNAPPROVED

applications in Community Development, it's 3,500, but then that's including Planning and Engineering and it's down now this past year to 3,380.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Mr. Dallaire, just an observation here. Is there any way that we could change that termination or the wording of building permits issued? Our public continues to think that we have, this Board has generated a lot of new construction of housing in our community and I know that building permits, that's kind of a misnomer. Okay. That's if you want to replace a water heater or anything of that nature. It makes it look like we're actually building homes and we're not. So I don't know if we have a method of changing that, just the mindset, right?

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Yeah. We do have, when we report it to you guys, it's usually under the single-family dwelling permit. And then all of our permits in OPAL now use that nomenclature, SFD as the permit type. And so we'll be able to provide better reports in the future showing the differences on a water heater versus a, you know, an air conditioner unit or a spa plug or a solar, you know. So each one of those is a different type of… window replacement, siding, roofing. Yeah. So we ended up setting up quite a few permits in the OPAL system in order for that, so we can report it better in the future. So that was what we had for you for this particular resolution. And this is for basically all the Community Development fees. And I guess I'll just turn it back over to you, Chairman, so you can finish that one item you read in.

To review Mr. Dallaire's full presentation please see the Agenda Packet materials.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay, wait a minute. Now that says on October 1st of 2026.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Yeah. It's supposed to be November.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Sorry. I know, I had this ready three times and so I missed it, but good catch. Way to go.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
The resolution then, it is November 1st.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
It's November 1st. Yes.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. Any other questions Commissioners, before we go to Public Comment? Okay. Seeing no other, there you go. Thank you. Okay. I know I'm anal when it comes to spelling and things like that. So anyways, okay. Is there any Public Comment on this Resolution 2026R-048?

PUBLIC COMMENT- none

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Seeing no Public Comment, I'm bringing it back to the Board.

UNAPPROVED

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
I'm going to make a motion to adopt Resolution 2026R-048, immediately increasing the fee schedule by 5.1 percent on November 1, 2026 and repealing resolution 2024R-053.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I'll second it.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. Motion by Commissioner Tarkanian, seconded by Commissioner Hales. All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye. Commissioner Rice, are you with us? Okay, we're going to go 4-0-1. Okay. He may join, he may have used the facility or something. Yeah. Don't go anywhere.

MOTION TO: Adopt Resolution 2026R-048, a resolution amending and establishing certain rates, fees, and charges for services provided by the Douglas County Community Development Department as provided for in the Douglas County Code, proposing a 5.1% increase to the 2026 Community Development Schedule on November 1, 2026, and repealing Resolution 2024R-053, which established and amended the rates, fees, and charges for the Department set in 2024; carried.

RESULT:	**ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER:	Danny Tarkanian, Commissioner
SECONDER:	Sharla Hales, Commissioner
AYES:	Sharla Hales, Mark Gardner, Danny Tarkanian, Nathan E Tolbert

12.5 For possible action. Discussion regarding the adoption of Resolution 2026R-052, a resolution adopting the 2026 fee adjustments for commercial and residential building permits pursuant to Title 20, Appendix B, Intentional Building Code section 109, International Residential Code section R108, and NRS section 354.598981; and repealing Resolution 2021R-107, which formerly established and amended the rates, fees, and charges for the Department in 2021. Community Development recommends an increase in the Fee Schedule by 5.1% on November 1, 2026, based on the Western Urban Consumer Price Index's (CPI) combined increase over the past two years (2025 & 2026). (Tom Dallaire, Community Development Director and Andrea Pawling, Deputy Community Development Director)

Chairman Gardner read the agenda item into the record noting Intentional Building Code should read International Building Code.

Tom Dallaire, Community Development Director, speaks:
Thank you, Chairman Gardner. This is Item 12.5. It starts on page your packet page 1,509. And the last time we increase these fees, we did that in 2021 and that resolution was 2021R-107 and we will be rescinding that in this motion as well. Let's see, what else does she have in here. This is actually the single-family dwelling. So you see up here at the top Chairman Gardner, the SFD permits issued. And it shows us tracking it back from 1990 to 2025 at the end. So this is by calendar year also. So in December we update these slides and we had 352 residential permits issued in 2025. That includes all of the single-family homes and duplexes and triplexes that have been being built around the area.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Both vested and nonvested. All.

UNAPPROVED

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Yes, both vested, all of them together. Yeah. That's on this slide here too. All combined as well. And so that is the vested, the individual, and the project allocations all together. And this just shows how the code, the Growth Management Ordinance code is charted out by a year. And so this is the difference for the vested numbers right here and this is the overall allocations for the year. So you subtract out the vested ones to get this number and so that's about where we're at on the chart for last year. We've got the permit allocations. This shows you the breakdown, the 7,139 and 126 for the 335 units that were pooled. And we had 453 for distribution in 2025 that were allowed. We had 142 tenant improvement permits and 37 new builds and rebuilds, 179 total. And then the single-family dwellings also includes an ADU. We had quite a few, a big uptick in ADUs last year. A lot of people adding those to their properties and then eight permits that were issued like a building shell and a condo. So those buildings up in Tahoe at the Beach Club, they have eight units in them. We issued a commercial permit for the shell and then we issued eight individual residential permits for tracking of all the fees.

It was a lot easier to do it that way. And we started that in Accela and we continued that practice into OPAL. And then Jeremy behind me, he is our Plans Examiner. He did this great chart. This is a nice chart to reference. So the blue line is our current fees. And this little line here, this little dip in the line, it should be straight but there was an error in the calculation. I think this error has been in there for quite a while. I haven't chased it down yet, but we're working on that. But we fixed that error in the new calculations so this makes it a little more complicated. It's not quite a 5.1 percent increase, but it's the intent of it. And so we fixed the error between when you calculate a building permit fee, you base it on the valuation of your project. And then if it goes above, I think the first one is 500, there's a new tier, another one at 2,000, and then it goes to 10,000 and then 25,000 and then 100,000. And so as each tier is generated, you'll notice in the chart that it has, each one has a fee plus an additional permit fee for that particular amount. And it's all in the math, but this chart was really helpful. And in just looking at it real quick, if your project is $775,000, you're looking at a $7,771 permit fee on your project. So it's a pretty quick reference for the public to see. And what we were paying before was $6,799 under today's fee structure. So that basically shows you the difference in costs there. Just under $1,000 for a $775,000 home.

He also created some examples in comparison. So if you have a 2,000 square foot single-family dwelling and a 450 square foot garage, you end up with these fees and this one is actually based on square footage. So if you're a 1,500 square foot house to a 4,000 square foot house, these are basically listing fees on this side and the proposed fees on that side. So if it's a 4,000 square foot house, you'd had a $7,500 permit fee today, it'll be $8,700 on November 1st. And this is at the Lake. Thank you. Lake residential. That's the difference. And it's blue. If you'll notice, the text is blue. And it was supposed to trigger my mind but it's been a long day. But this is the same thing, it's still for a 4,000 square foot house. There are some factors involved in this and the differences between these two is because the Assessor's Office applies a factor for the Lake and we have adopted that as well in our permit fees. So it's very consistent between the two departments and that factor based on the valuation scale table from ICC, is then multiplied by this factor for your area factor, and then that is your total valuation for your project.

So we are proposing 5.1 percent increase in the building permit fees and we are also adopting a new, and under Tim's authority as the Building Official, we're adopting a new ICC table as well. I didn't include that in the slide show presentation, I probably should have, but the ICC comes out every February with square footage costs nationally, like a national average, which is why we are applying the area factor that Trent produces in the Assessor's Office to those valuations so that we try and match what his evaluation is going to be at the end of the project, and try and get those two departments at least more in line with one another. That was the end goal. So do you have any questions for Tim or I on this one?

To review Mr. Dallaire's full presentation please see the Agenda Packet material.

UNAPPROVED

Jenifer Davidson, County Manager, speaks:
Mr. Chairman? Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission. Tom, I very much appreciate the presentation. When we were discussing this at the staff level, there were some additional details that you had shared with the County Manager's Office which I hope you would also share with the County Commission.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Okay.

Ms. Davidson speaks:
Specifically, I think you met with the building community regarding these fees and sought feedback. Do you mind sharing the outcome of that? And then additionally, will you share, is this basing fees on valuations consistent with the region? Is this a best practice? Maybe you already said that and I missed it.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
No, I have another, I thought maybe it's in the packet. In the packet we did have another slide and I don't see it in here in the deck, but it was supposed to be a comparison between all of those, all the counties in Northern Nevada. We're sitting in the middle of that realm. Washoe County hasn't increased their valuation tables since like the late 80s I want to say or the 90s. And so they're a little bit behind and they're very cheap. So if you need to build a cheap house or have cheap permits, that's where you want to go and build in Washoe. We are still lower than I want to say it was Sparks, Reno and we were comparable to Lyon County. Is that accurate, Jeremy? Carson. Carson City, very comparable to Carson City. We're right under them. I did go to the Builders Alliance meeting. We did do a presentation on this specifically at one of their luncheons and most of the feedback was about OPAL, not about the fees. And then we reached out to Jeff Sutich specifically, and we will be doing a presentation to their group in the near future but I haven't been invited there yet. But neither agency has reached back out with any questions or concerns that I have heard about.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
And Jenifer was reading over my shoulder because that's exactly what I put down, is outreach to the building community. But I thought you had done that.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Yeah. And we also held a builder's roundtable is what they call it, right? That's what we wanted to call it. Some wanted a contractor's collaborative, I think, is something different than what Carson City uses. But it was like we had the contractors come and meet with us and we sat around to chat about the software and some things. We really got some good input and feedback back on OPAL and we've made a number of revisions to that system already and have requested a few more. But yeah, we're going to continue those meetings with the contractor's community, mainly licensed contractors and try and get everybody on the same page. I think training was one of the things that Tim and the team have really focused on in the last couple of months. And KDH was down just a few weeks ago in the office with their team that actually does the submittals and our staff walked them through you know, that permitting process. And we were going to do a presentation, I think, in the middle of October. Is it October or November on OPAL itself? And the OPAL team is actually going to be participating in that presentation.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Good deal.

UNAPPROVED

Ms. Davidson speaks:
Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission. I really appreciate the work of this team. This is a result of ongoing conversations that we're having with each of our departments regarding the fiscal sustainability of this organization, which is an objective in the Douglas County Strategic Plan requiring the annual review of each of the fees that we have set across all of our fee collecting departments. Our goal is to cover our costs with these fees. And I think the team has done a very good job of evaluating what is a best practice, what's trending in the region and then also taking into consideration the feedback from the building community. I thank you for that.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Yeah. Thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Any other Commissioners, questions? No?

Commissioner Hales speaks:
So you chatted about these increases, but what was the response from the contractor's collaborative?

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
We didn't get any feedback on the fee increase itself. It was mainly more feedback on OPAL.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I'll take that as a win.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Yeah. Right. Yeah. For sure.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Although I would imagine Commissioner Andriola and the rest of the Board of Commissioners at Washoe is going to take a strong look at their 25-year-old fees. Right? So anyways. Okay.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I'm sorry, but page 1,487 is the comparison to Lyon, Sparks, Reno, and Carson.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
I thought I had it included, thank you. I just didn't get that over into my presentation. I apologize for that.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. Very good then. This is for possible action so we'll go to the community for Public Comment. Is there any Public Comment?

PUBLIC COMMENT-none

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. Going, going, going, gone. Okay. Back to the Board. Entertain a motion.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I move that we adopt Resolution 2026R-052, immediately increasing the fee schedule by, wait a minute. Immediately? Strike immediately. Increasing the fee schedule by 5.1 percent on November 1, 2026, and repealing Resolution 2021R-107.

UNAPPROVED

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Second.

Commissioner Rice speaks:
Second.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. Motion by Commissioner Hales, seconded by Commissioner Tarkanian and Commissioner Rice got preempted there.

Commissioner Rice speaks:
Okay.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. Motion is on the floor. Sensing no discussion, all those in favor signify by saying aye. Motion passes 5-0. Thank you. Okay.

MOTION TO: Adopt Resolution 2026R-052, a resolution adopting the 2026 fee adjustments for commercial and residential building permits pursuant to Title 20, Appendix B, International Building Code section 109, International Residential Code section R108, and NRS section 354.598981; and repealing Resolution 2021R-107, which formerly established and amended the rates, fees, and charges for the Department in 2021; carried.

RESULT:	**ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER:	Sharla Hales, Commissioner
SECONDER:	Danny Tarkanian, Commissioner
AYES:	Wesley Rice, Sharla Hales, Mark Gardner, Danny Tarkanian, Nathan E Tolbert

12.6 For possible action. Discussion regarding the adoption of Resolution 2026R-016, a resolution amending certain rates, fees, and charges for services provided by the Douglas County Community Development, Vacation Home Rental (VHR) Department, as provided for in Douglas County Code 20.622, and repealing Resolution 2022R-089, which previously established the current VHR fees in 2022. Community Development recommend an increase in the Fee Schedule by approximately 15% on November 1, 2026. These increases are based on the Board of County Commissioners' desire to have the VHR program be self-funded. (Tom Dallaire, Community Development Director and Ernie Strehlow, Program Manager)

Chairman Gardner read the agenda item into the record.

Tom Dallaire, Community Development Director, speaks:
Thank you, Chairman Gardner. I appreciate it, and I appreciate Ernie being here as well. This one, the last time we looked at the fees in VHR, we looked at them last year as well, but with the increases that happened and during the last calendar year at the Sheriff's Office, we found that we needed to increase our budget quite a bit with the 15 percent. That's where it came from. We did an analysis based on the actual staff that are out there in the field and worked with the Finance team, and we appreciate all of their help with these evaluations on the fees as well. But we all decided that we needed to increase the rates because of the direction from the Board was making sure that the fees that we were collecting paid for the VHR program and all the enforcement, which includes two Officers and a District Attorney, and then of course, our staff here. We did cut Ernie's salary down. We basically just put him in because he's over

UNAPPROVED

Code Enforcement and VHRs we cut that in half, so about 50/50 on those two. So it's half of his salary and then all the rest of the staff, the two Code Officers that we do have on staff strictly for VHRs enforcement. And we have that evaluation also in the presentation in your packet. And again, I don't think I have it in here. Oh I do. No, I don't. I don't have it in here, but I did provide it on the next page. I believe it's right after, it's around 1,531 is the packet page number and it shows that evaluation. I gave you the revenue and the costs for the program. And so we do have funds now that are tracking both Code Enforcement separate from VHRs. So it's very easy to track these things now. It's taken years, by the way, to get it that way, but I appreciate the Finance team getting to the point where we can add these extra funds so that we can actually make it easier to report to people and be more transparent to the public.

Let's see. So here's the fees. The application for a new fee was $590. It'll go to $690 on November 1st. And then the technology fee is based on that actual fee being $690. And so 4 percent of that is $27.14. So it increases automatically along with that fee. The same with the renewal fee goes from $475 to $546. And the technology fee associated with that is $21 and that is for the Tier 1. For Tier 2s, it's $2,381 with a $95 fee and $1,771 for the application renewals. Those are pretty much primarily what we have in being rented up in Tahoe are the Tier 2s. We do have a couple of Tier 3s and those for a new application for a Tier 3, it's just under $5,500 now and with a $217 tech fee. The wait list is now up to $138. I think I already covered this part, so we're proposing an increase, staff is proposing an increase to the vacation home rental fee schedule by 15 percent to ensure that we're covering our costs associated with that program. And I'm open to any questions that you may have.

To review Mr. Dallaire's reference material please see the Agenda Packet material.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
So, you know, once again, this 15 percent is a big number generally. I would hope that we are looking at bringing this back to us more often than every three years or four years. Okay? Because, you know, the people that are involved in the program are going to look at that, it's sticker shock, right? And my concerns, of course, is that if our costs have gone up over the last four years we've dipped into other reserves or funds to cover that. I just want to make sure we, you know, I don't want to open that can of worms, but I just want us to be careful about that.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Yeah. No, we have been watching it. I mean, prior to last year we were covering the costs of this program. It was strictly the change in the DCEA contracts, I believe it was the DCEA, I don't remember when that actually came forward. Do you remember Jen on the DCEA? It's not DCEA. What's the ones for the Sheriff's Officers.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
HdL? Not HdL.

Jenifer Davidson, County Manager, speaks:
There's DCSPA and there's SBU and it's the officer of DCSPA yes. Yes.

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
So that one came forward to you guys, I believe it was last year. And so it was strictly last year where we needed to increase these because of those changes in that program between PERS and their pay.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
But we have other employees that are involved that are going to generate additional every year that's going to cost.

UNAPPROVED

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Yeah. It was going up, but that one in particular really pushed this over the edge. So that's why we decided we needed to do that this year. And to be fair, Ernie provided this like back in February. So we were trying to move it forward. We decided to keep them all together and then we've changed this date to come before you a couple of times. So that's on me.

Ms. Davidson speaks:
Mr. Chairman, if I may? Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Commission. I also appreciate the work of Code Enforcement. This expense for this program can look like a large number. It is quite intense the level of administrative oversight we have in the permitting process, we have our VHR Advisory Board, all of those pieces. But additionally, we have Code Enforcement and Douglas County Sheriff's Officers out in the neighborhoods patrolling, responding to calls. These fees are a reflection of the actual staff time spent to administer this program and to enforce your intent. And I'm really, I do believe it's working. And I do believe that complaints continue, they went down significantly as a result of our enforcement efforts. They've leveled off probably now. We do see some increases during peak seasons, and I'm just really proud of the work that we've done in collaboration with the Sheriff's Office to address the concerns of the public on this. So thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Other questions, Commissioners?

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
So if enforcement is a relatively large piece of this fee, could it be said, and I'm not naive enough to know that to say that fees would go down, but could the increases in fees be reduced if owners themselves regulated their rentals a little more strictly so that there were fewer calls, they could potentially reduce the amount of increase every year to reduce the amount of calls? Would you think that would be a somewhat fair thing to let the homeowners know that they may have a little influence in this?

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Thank you, Vice Chairman Tolbert. You guys directed us to include a DA and the two Officers and two Code Officers. So until that changes I don't see if the number of calls are going down or utilizing Code Enforcement Officers that are on VHR Code Enforcement side to… our individual that drives around sees a code issue that's not related to VHRs, he's addressing that as well. And so he is spending more time now on code related things and not specifically VHRs, but he's there to serve the VHR community when it's needed and so that's kind of where we're at with it.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
Tom, do you think there'd be a greater deterrence by reducing the fee 15 percent or by fining these people who violate the provisions, the large amounts that we've been trying to do lately?

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
I think the fine is the way to go. We are the most expensive in the Tahoe Basin as far as VHRs go, but we are paying for our program 100 percent and I don't know that any other entity is doing that.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
The point I was trying to make, this is my newbie here, he didn't go through all that stuff we went through; but the one thing that I think hurts them the most is when they get hit with one of those $10,000 to $20,000 bills, they're not going to screw around anymore.

UNAPPROVED

Mr. Dallaire speaks:
Right? Yeah. And it isn't a problem anymore. Not like it was when we first started this program when I got here and Jen was dealing with it too. This is a huge improvement from what we used to have. So yeah, and it's all of these efforts. So I appreciate it for your guys' vision and also Ernie and his team's steadfastness in making sure that these regulations are adhered to.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
It's a real model for the Tahoe Basin. So we appreciate that and the work he does. So yeah, he knows, I talk to Ernie. He's claiming that I talk to him more often than I do my wife. But that's not true. Okay. So anyways. Any other comments? I try to get some levity into these things. Okay? Anyways, with that, any other questions before we go to Public Comment? Okay, then we'll go to Public Comment. Is there any Public Comment on Item 12.6, Resolution 2026R-016?

PUBLIC COMMENT-none

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Going, going, going, gone. Okay. Back to the Board. Entertain a motion.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
I'm going to make a motion to adopt Resolution 2026R-016, increasing the fee schedule by 15 percent on November 1, 2026 and repealing Resolution 2022R-089.

Commissioner Rice speaks:
I second.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay. Motion by Commissioner Tarkanian, seconded by Commissioner Rice. We have a motion on the floor. All those in favor of that motion signify by saying aye. Okay. The motion passes 5-0. Thank you. And I'll get it right eventually, Tim. Building Official. Okay, Mr. Dallaire. Thank you. Appreciate your time.

MOTION TO: Adopt Resolution 2026R-016, a resolution amending certain rates, fees, and charges for services provided by the Douglas County Community Development, Vacation Home Rental (VHR) Department, as provided for in Douglas County Code 20.622, by approximately 15 percent on November 1, 2026 and repealing Resolution 2022R-089, which previously established the current VHR fees in 2022; carried.

RESULT:	**ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER:	Danny Tarkanian, Commissioner
SECONDER:	Wesley Rice, Commissioner
AYES:	Wesley Rice, Sharla Hales, Mark Gardner, Danny Tarkanian, Nathan E Tolbert

UNAPPROVED

COUNTY MANAGER

13. For presentation only. Announcements/reports/updates from County Commission members, including updates on the various boards and/or commissions that they may be a member of or a liaison to, or any other meetings/functions they have attended, and any request by a Commissioner for discussion of a future agenda item. (Chairman Gardner)

Chairman Gardner read the agenda item into the record.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Commissioner Rice, would you like to… Do you have anything that you would like to say to us?

Commissioner Rice speaks:
No. I'm still above ground.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
That is important. Okay. So Commissioner Hales, you want to…

Commissioner Hales speaks:
Yeah, a few things. Yesterday, all day I attended a TTD Strategic Plan session and I won't report more, but there was a lot in that. And Carson River Water Subconservancy yesterday, Carson Water Conservancy District and various other meetings. So I'll bring more information as needed on those. But the final thing I wanted to say was I would like as a future agenda item or something to look at and bring to us when ready is how do we get more say in land use at Lake Tahoe, whether that be jumping ahead of TRPA's use decisions or some other strategy. If there's a way to do it, we would be serving our Lake constituency better if we could do that.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Okay.

Commissioner Tarkanian speaks:
I just wanted to make a comment, following up on what Chairman Gardner has stated at the beginning of this meeting. One of the two Commissioners that passed away was Dave Nelson. And Dave is the individual I had to beat to get elected. And he was beating me actually at the end of Election Day. I had great respect for Dave. He supported me fully as a County Commissioner and I'm just very sad and disappointed that he died in the way he died. And I just think he did a great job for the county, not just as a County Commissioner, but everything else he did.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
Okay. And more importantly than what I've done recently, I wanted to pass along some recognition that was brought to me by our Airport staff. Some of you may know the Airport has been without their Operations Specialist since last spring. They've been trying to fill that position. But during that time, the Facilities team has really stepped up handling runway taxi lane checks, weed spraying, mowing, and other day to day needs. And the Airport staff specifically wanted us to know that they've also gone above and beyond when unexpected things come up, whether it's helping a tenant take care of a maintenance issue or even helping move a disabled aircraft. They respond quickly and without hesitation and the result is that from the perspective of Airport tenants and our customers, operations have continued pretty

UNAPPROVED

seamlessly despite the limited staffing at the Airport. So I want to recognize Jeff MacDonnell who has been very generous in making his crew available and directing them where needed. And especially, I want to recognize Carl McCulloch, Justin Shaw, Wyatt Eichorst, and John, Johnny, Stroschein, all our Airport staff that I've been told are instrumental in keeping things running smoothly at the Airport. So, thank you to those members of our staff.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you. I did hear earlier, if I'm not mistaken, by Mr. Feldman, that the other counties had an MOU in place with TRPA and that we did not. So I think that's something that we need. I also agree that we need to take a look at that. Okay. Secondly, I want to mention tickets are still available folks for the Tahoe Minden Skyfest. Okay. Don't forget that upcoming event October 16th, 17th, 18th with the first night time show on the 16th and then followed up by an all-day show on Saturday and Sunday. So tickets are still available. I went out and got my tickets at the Airport just this last week. So I'm looking forward to that event. A rodeo was once again an overwhelming outstanding event for our county. And yes, we need to take a look at a number of different things out there to make that more accessible to our public. One of those things that might be in the future is that, although it was announced, but I don't know if it's getting a lot of play, is that our Lands Bill, 14-year languishing in Washington, D.C., did get unanimous support of the US House of Representatives last Monday. And it will then go on to the Senate where we are promised by Senator Cortez Masto and Senator Jacky Rosen that they will push that through this calendar year and on the President's desk for signature. So that will provide approximately 700 acres adjacent to the Douglas County Fairgrounds for hopefully future development for public purposes. So a tremendous success on behalf of the county there and I appreciate all of the help of the Commissioners, all the help of staff and of course Congressman Amodei's efforts in regards to getting that finally done. So that's what I have to report. Thank you.

Vice Chairman Tolbert speaks:
I was also going to ask if the County Manager had Staff Reports that she would like to share.

Jenifer Davidson, County Manager, speaks:
Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Members of the Commission and Vice Chairman. The Vice Chairman and I had spoken previously regarding the need to add a future County Manager's report to subsequent County Commissioner's agendas. Our objective in adding a County Manager's report to future agendas will be to increase transparency regarding our operations in the County, respond to citizen concerns, and to just provide additional information to the public. Today, I'm able to share with you that the Technology Services team is making radio upgrade improvements to the public safety radio infrastructure in partnership with our public safety partners. Their primary goal in phase one is to stabilize the system while being fiscally responsible. They recently upgraded the antenna and hard line at Station 12 radio site to increase coverage by 20 percent in that area. In addition to these site improvements, they have also increased coverage in Genoa that did not exist before. So that improved public safety radio infrastructure coverage at both the Lake and in Genoa, which is excellent. They also upgraded the antenna and repeaters at the radio site in Glenbrook which also increased coverage by 20 percent. And that is a wonderful outcome for the residents of the Lake and also our public safety partners are very grateful for those improvements. We continue to make improvements and we're continuing to upgrade the backbone of our public safety infrastructure. I've requested a future agenda item where they'll give you a full presentation on how we are moving forward with the funds that this Board has allocated to solve the public safety radio infrastructure problem.

Additionally, our Alternative Sentencing Department recently underwent a training and compliance audit. It included a review of their code requirements, their POST requirements, their standards, all their operating procedures and I'm pleased to report they received a finding of full compliance of all the

UNAPPROVED

requirements and that they are adhering 100 percent with the NAC portions that apply to the good work that they do on behalf of our community. So shout out to the Alternative Sentencing team continuing to do a wonderful job.

Community Services, we are going to be hosting the second annual Dangberg barbecue congregate lunch on Tuesday, September 22nd from 11:00 AM to 1:00 PM. Lunch will not be served at the Senior Center that day, it instead will be hosted at the Dangberg Ranch, which will include live music and tours. Individuals who desire to attend may drive to Danberg or they can catch the bus from the Senior Center beginning at 10:30 AM. The bus will run from 10:30 AM to 11:00 AM to the Dangberg Ranch. Last year we had approximately 300 attendees at this event. People reported that they very much enjoyed themselves. So we encourage you to take a look and to join us once again this year.

And then last, we've received questions and concerns regarding the tank that is being installed, the Mountain View water tank project. Some members of the public expressed on social media that they were concerned that this water tank was necessary because of Painted Rock Mine. That is not correct. The tank is related to all of the important infrastructure work that we do related to our water utility and we are installing it pursuant to a number of strategic planning objectives to improve the water service that we provide to our customers. The expansion of the tank capacity ensures that there is water for future growth within the East Valley section of the Carson Valley water system, and it will support future growth within the area. This project will replace the original single bolted steel tank with dual welded steel water storage tanks. Each tank is approximately 500,000 gallons for storage volume, which also helps to improve fire capacity to the area. That concludes my report. Please note that we're going to add future agenda items.

Commissioner Hales speaks:
I'm sorry, which day was that?

Ms. Davidson speaks:
That is September 22nd, 11:00 AM to 1:00 PM. It's going to be a great day. Don't miss it.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Right. I think I also congratulate you on this is a great report, except that what I heard you say is a future County Manager's report. And I don't think it's a future County Manager's report. Have you determined that? We haven't.

Ms. Davidson speaks:
Well, I did have the request from the Vice Chairman to include it as part of your reports to add my County Manager's report.

Charman Gardner speaks:
But I don't think it should say a future County Manager's.

Ms. Davidson speaks:
Oh. Gotcha. I'm sorry I misunderstood what you're saying. Yes. Okay. Thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
You are the current County Manager and we love having you report to us on an every two-week basis. That'd be great.

Ms. Davidson speaks:
Yes. Thank you. Sorry for the miswording.

UNAPPROVED

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Anyways, great. With that we're done with Item 13.

RESULT:	**PRESENTATION HELD.**

14. CLOSING PUBLIC COMMENT (No Action)

Chairman Gardner speaks:
And we'll conclude the day with Closing Public Comment. Public Comment is now available. Three minutes. You'll hear the obnoxious beep when you get to three minutes.

Beth Wallace speaks:
Zephyr Heights. This is more of a request as we move forward. And this goes back to the glamping issue, but it really covers a lot of issues. Many of us look at the Commissioners' agendas, the NDOT agendas, TRPA for issues that are of concern to us so that we can make public comments and attend meetings. And I really do believe in personal responsibility in doing that. However, many of the people in this room, or that used to be in this room did not identify or see the TRPA agenda item addressing the glamping issue or we would have attended the meeting. Now, this is a compliment; the Douglas County Manager's Office has done a really good job the last few years of sending out the Commission's pre-meeting buzz. And I know Commissioner Tarkanian has also sent out emails. Is there any way that future agenda items or topics that TRPA is considering and affect the Lake region of Douglas County could be kind of mentioned in a similar format? I recognize you shouldn't have to be TRPA's mouthpiece or their watch keeper, but if Douglas County defers to the TRPA for planning and land issue uses at the Lake, unlike any other region in the county, perhaps you could assist us and help by informing us as to any agenda items that might affect the Lake region. Perhaps an email like this pre-meeting buzz. Maybe the Commissioner that serves on the TRPA staff or the Board could inform us of topics that are unique to the Lake. We have many leaders in our community on the East Shore or the Tahoe East Shore Alliance that could help in getting the word out and then signing up for those kinds of communications. Commissioner Gardner was correct, most of the comments today, the four hours worth, or three and a half, would have been better shared at the TRPA meeting that was held that many of us did not know about. So any help that you could provide in helping us communicate to the residents of the Lake region, we sometimes feel like the stepchildren of the county, would be greatly appreciated. Thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you and good observations.

Staci Clark speaks:
Good afternoon everybody. I'm an East Valley/Johnson Lane resident. Hopefully I can read this. I forgot my glasses so I'm a little blind. But I'm here to ask the County, as you guys are in talks with Knox and the Painted Rock Mine debacle kind of fell apart to stop playing the hot potato game and to ask the agencies to appoint a lead agency, just like in the Leviathan Mine, they pointed a lead agency. That gives you, the residents, and everybody a single point of contact in order to figure out when problems arise, instead of the agencies involved playing this hot potato game. For instance, right now we still don't know how much water the Painted Rock Mine is using. There's no evidence of what a meter is. And in the EA, which is not a movable document, it is a committed document that Knox said that they would do for a significant impact or no significant impact. So if they vary from that, for instance, not showing and being forthcoming of how much water they are using, they're not abiding by what they simply said they would do. Now, the County, you guys, have recently repaved Johnson Lane. That was a cost of millions, $3 to $4 million. I don't know the exact, but that was budgeted in your capital improvement. Now, to put that in perspective, for all of our Douglas County taxpayers, Knox only has contributed about $14,000 in the

UNAPPROVED

kitty, and yet the whole taxpayers are floating that bill. And I don't think that's fair. And I don't think a lot of taxpayers would feel that's fair. So I'm here to have, hopefully you guys have some pencils ready because as I'm about ready to state, the County actually has authority under some NRS codes to be able to have significant impact over exactly your own roads. Under NRS 244.155 gives the County Commissioners jurisdiction to, "lay out, control and manage public roads within the county." More importantly, NRS 484D.685 expressively states that the governing bodies of counties for roads under their jurisdiction may require application and authorize vehicle or loads exceeding a legal maximum weight limit to travel these roads, which clearly you had impacts from weight limits on Johnson Lane or you wouldn't need to pave it. So if you establish in addition to your ordinance that you passed earlier this year, you can apply with the help of your public roads or public engineer what your maximum weight limit was. So if you were able to do that, then you can have the ability to provide truck routes, hold the Painted Rock Mine accountable, put in counters so you know exactly how many trucks are coming up and down those roads. And that allows you to access permit fees, haul routes, have Knox apply and hold themselves accountable and have a lead agency that will help all of us in the future, whatever it's going to be. Thank you.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you.

Ms. Baker speaks:
I know I'm out of time, but please stop having them come on Sunrise Pass, which they are.

Jim Jackson speaks:
It's been an interesting day. I think you really do need to do something about TRPA. One of the comments that came out is, and I hope I didn't misunderstand it, was when it was said that TRPA has jurisdiction over you guys and that you can't tell them not to do something, but they can tell you not to do something. Well, TRPA does not represent the whole Valley, and therefore I think that that should be reversed to where you can overrule TRPA, but they can't overrule you. I don't know how this got set up, but I have in all the years that I've been coming to these meetings, and every time TRPA either showed up to make a presentation, they didn't show you all the numbers. They didn't tell you how much money they were spending. They didn't tell you how much money they were shifting back and forth between their entities. To me, it didn't look very good at all so why should you trust them? And I think this was a good case, is if they won't let a homeowner move a bush on their property, but yet they'll let this entity cut down 60 percent of the trees, it doesn't make sense. The other thing that you've been doing, and this is just a word of advice from the perspective of us taxpayers out here, is when you start saying, we are not responsible for this entity, this entity, this entity, and this entity, well that's true. You can't tell the Sheriff what to do. You can't tell the School Board what to do, and you can't tell the Fire Department what to do, but the way you stand, and then you said you can't tell TRPA what to do, well, that all may be true, but it doesn't make you look very good because you're the County Commissioners. You're the ones we elect to run the county. And if you keep telling us you don't have jurisdiction over all these people, then we start thinking, why do we have you there, maybe we need some other system that would work better. Just a bit of an advice. It doesn't go over well when we're out here. So I would suggest that you take a different tactic when you want to say that you're not responsible for somebody. I think the decision today to send that back to the commissions to relook at it was a wise decision, but I think they're trying to pull the wool over your eyes because their advertisement to their investors versus what they were telling you folks, and to the Commission and to TRPA are two totally different things. And the fact that they're already looking to sell the property, that sounds just like somebody down here in the Valley, what they did to you. So thank you.

UNAPPROVED

Doug Ritchie, Chief Civil Deputy District Attorney, speaks:
Thanks. There's been a lot of discussion about how the County has delegated or given up power, we need to take it back. The Tahoe Regional Planning Compact was created in 1969 via agreement between California and Nevada and ratified by the US Congress. These County Commissioners that you elect, there's nothing they can do about that agreement. If you want it changed, it's going to have to be at the state level and with the federal government's consent. Just for trivia purposes, it was the nation's first bi-state environmental agency created to protect Lake Tahoe. So just so the public is aware, when there's talk about why did the County delegate, the County didn't; the State of Nevada did more than 60 years ago, almost 60 years ago, and which was ratified by Congress.

Chairman Gardner speaks:
Thank you. With that happy note, oh, Public Comment is now closed. And with that since there's nothing else on the agenda, the meeting is hereby adjourned.

15. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board of County Commissioners, the meeting adjourned at 4:04 PM.

Respectfully submitted:

_____
Mark Gardner, Chairman
Douglas County Board of County Commissioners

ATTEST:

_____
Amy Burgans, Clerk-Treasurer

UNAPPROVED

AGENDA ITEM NO. 7.1

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **DOUGLAS COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD**

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to approve the Entertainment Endorsement to the existing Packaged Retail and On-Site Retail Unrestricted Liquor License and Non-Restricted Gaming License for Nevada Trading Company LLC, dba Nevada Trading Company, represented by owner Nachhater Singh and Manpreet Singh. Nachhater Singh and Manpreet Singh have each signed a Waiver of Notice of Hearing. Nevada Trading Company is located at 3900 Carter Way, Wellington, Nevada. (Captain Koontz)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Approve the Entertainment Endorsement to the existing Packaged Retail and On-Site Retail Unrestricted Liquor License and Non-Restricted Gaming License for Nevada Trading Company LLC, dba Nevada Trading Company.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
None. The Entertainment Endorsement will be added to the existing Packaged Retail and On-Site Retail Unrestricted Liquor License and Non-Restricted Gaming License.

BACKGROUND:
The Douglas County Sheriff's Office has reviewed the application and has no objection to the Liquor Board's issuance of the Entertainment Endorsement to the existing Packaged Retail and On-Site Retail Unrestricted Liquor License and Non-Restricted Gaming License.

ATTACHMENTS:

AGENDA ITEM NO. 7.2

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **DOUGLAS COUNTY LIQUOR BOARD**

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to approve the addition of a Packaged Retail Liquor License and the addition of Etienne Robert Lekumberry to the existing On-Site Unrestricted Liquor License for Gora J.T., Inc. dba J.T. Basque Bar & Dining Room, represented by owners Marie Louise Lekumberry and Jean Baptiste Lekumberry. Etienne Robert Lekumberry has signed a Waiver of Notice of Hearing. Gora J.T., Inc. dba J.T. Basque Bar & Dining Room, is located at 1426 HWY 395, Gardnerville, Nevada. (Captain Koontz)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Approve the addition of a Packaged Retail Liquor License and the addition of Etienne Robert Lekumberry to the existing On-Site Unrestricted Liquor License for Gora J.T., Inc. dba J.T. Basque Bar & Dining Room, represented by owners Marie Louise Lekumberry and Jean Baptiste Lekumberry.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
$150 collected towards General Fund revenue account 101.000.341.211 applicants license fee.

BACKGROUND:
Etienne Robert Lekumberry has signed a Waiver of Notice of Hearing and has cleared this background check. Gora J.T., Inc. dba J.T. Basque Bar & Dining Room, is located at 1426 Highway 395, Gardnerville, Nevada. The mailing address is P.O. Box 64, Gardnerville, Nevada 89410.

ATTACHMENTS:

AGENDA ITEM NO. A.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: CONSENT ITEMS

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion on the Outdoor Festival License application submitted by Emily Lawrence, Marketing and Events Manager, for the Golden Nugget Lake Tahoe, for the South Shore Chili Cook Off to be held October 17, 2026, from 11:00 AM to 2:00 PM, at 50 Highway 50, Stateline, Nevada. (Amy Burgans, Clerk-Treasurer)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Approve the Outdoor Festival License for the South Shore Chili Cook Off subject to the stated conditions/comments by the reviewing departments.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
None.

BACKGROUND:
Attached is the Outdoor Festival License application submitted by Emily Lawrence, Marketing and Events Manager, for Golden Nugget Lake Tahoe, for the South Shore Chili Cook Off to be held October 17, 2026, from 11:00 AM to 2:00 PM, at 50 Highway 50, Stateline, Nevada. Department comments and conditions, if any, are attached and incorporated herein.

ATTACHMENTS:
Application Packet.pdf
Approval Packet.pdf

DOUGLAS COUNTY OUTDOOR FESTIVAL LICENSE APPLICATION

1. **APPLICATION AND FEES.** This application is for outdoor events with attendance of 500 or more persons on any one day of the event. There is a $100.00 **nonrefundable** application fee. No application fee is required when the applicant is a Douglas County based charitable or nonprofit organization in good standing or when the applicant is an agency or department of the County. **INFORMATION GIVEN IN THIS APPLICATION WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC**.

2. **DEADLINE.** All applications must be submitted at least **sixty (60) days** in advance of the event. With good cause shown and approval from the Clerk/Treasurer, in cases of emergency or extenuating circumstances, applications may be submitted not less than twenty-five (25) days in advance of the event. For special approval, email boardclerk@douglasnv.us prior to submitting application.

3. **INSURANCE.** The Outdoor Festival application will not be accepted unless the applicant has furnished proof of insurance coverage that identifies County, it's officers, agendas, employees and volunteers as additional insured in an amount of not less than one million dollars for normal events or two million dollars for high risk events as determined by the County.

4. **CONDITIONS.** All conditions for approval imposed under Douglas County Code § 5.12 must be met before issuance of the Outdoor Festival license.

5. **APPROVAL AND AGENCY SIGN-OFFS.** The application will be reviewed by appropriate county agencies who shall investigate the application and report to the clerk with appropriate concerns. The completed application and agency approvals or concerns must be heard by the Board of County Commissioners for approval or denial. It is the responsibility of the applicant to reach out to county agencies for clarification on any issues identified.

6. **ISSUANCE OF LICENSE.** It is the responsibility of the applicant to ensure that the event was approved by the Board of County Commissioners and to follow up on any conditions set by the various agencies within the county that were submitted to the Board of County Commissioners.

OUTDOOR FESTIVAL EVENT LICENSE CHECKLIST

Materials required for submittal:

☑ $100 non-refundable application fee – check(s) made payable to "Douglas County"

-OR-

☐ Articles of Incorporation if waiver of application fee due to being a Douglas County Nonprofit

-OR-

☐ Applicant is a Douglas County agency or department

AND:

☑ Completed application

☐ Financial statement of the licensee (if applicable)

☑ Proof of Insurance

☑ Permits required by other governmental agencies (if applicable)

☑ Plot plan showing arrangement of facilities and parking, egress and ingress

DOUGLAS COUNTY OUTDOOR FESTIVAL LICENSE APPLICATION

OUTDOOR FESTIVAL LICENSE APPLICATION

Application Date: ~~August 28, 2025~~ September 18, 2026

Name of Event: South Shore Chili Cook Off

Location of Event: Golden Nugget Lake Tahoe Pool
(Address or Legal Description)

Applicant's name: Emily Lawrence Marketing & Events Manager

Mailing address: 50 hwy 50, Stateline, NV 89449
Street or P.O. Box City State Zip Code

Email Address: emily.lawrence@gnltn.com

Phone #(s): 7757902866 (Business) _____ (Cell)

Is the applicant a(n): ☑ Corporation ☐ Partnership ☐ Individual ☐ Other

If corporation or partnership, please list corporate officers or partners:

Name	Address	Title

Description of Event: Chamber of Commerce Chilli Cookoff
Proceeds go to charity of the winners choice.

Date(s) of Event: 10/17/2026 Hours of operation: 11Am - 2PM

Will an admission fee be charged for your event? ☑ Yes; $ Amount: 30 ☐ No

Number of tickets and/or passes available: 1500

DOUGLAS COUNTY OUTDOOR FESTIVAL LICENSE APPLICATION

List approximate number of participants: 13

List approximate number of spectators: 1500

List expected peak number of spectators: 1500

Will alcoholic beverages be served? ☑ Yes ☐ No
(all liquor vendors must be individually licensed with Douglas County Sheriff's Office)

Will food and/or beverages be served? ☑ Yes ☐ No
(all concessionaires must be licensed and operate under a valid health department permit pursuant to NRS chapter 446.)

Will there be live music? ☑ Yes ☐ No
- If Yes, Name of Performer(s) n/a Type of Music dj

INSURANCE:

Name of Insurer: Acord

Limits of liability: 1000000

Address of Insurer: 500 Dallas St. Suite 1500, Houston, TX 77002
Street City State Zip code

Policy number: 1000100053251

I, the undersigned, have answered all questions in this application and to the best of my knowledge all answers are true and correct. I further understand that disclosure of any false, misleading or incorrect answers could result in the denial of the permit. The filing of the application does not authorize the conducting of any business for which a permit is required, and any carrying on of such business before a permit is issued may also be grounds for denial of a permit.

Emily Lawrence
Signature of Applicant

8/28/2026
Date

Emily Lawrence
Name of Applicant

emily.lawrence@gnltn.com
Contact Email Address

DOUGLAS COUNTY OUTDOOR FESTIVAL LICENSE APPLICATION

FESTIVAL PLANS:

(MUST BE COMPLETED - ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES IF NECESSARY)

Detailed explanation of the applicant's plans to provide law enforcement protection; water facilities; food concessions; toilet facilities; trash cans and litter; medical facilities; fire protection; parking areas, access and parking control; maintenance of a headquarters or providing contact information; if it is proposed or expected that spectators or participants will remain at night or overnight, the arrangements for illuminating the premises and for camping or similar facilities; and what provisions shall be made for numbers of spectators in excess of the estimate. Applicant may submit a plot plan showing arrangement of the facilities including those for parking, egress and ingress shall be submitted with the application. (§ 5.12.040)

Describe law enforcement protection plan (§ 5.12.120(A))

DCSO on site

Describe plan for location and supply of water facilities (§ 5.12.120(B))

Water available at bars

Describe food concessions plan (§ 5.12.120(C))

13 competitors with Chilli - each will have a health department permit

Describe toilet facilities plan (§ 5.12.120(D))

2 public restrooms inside casino

Describe trash cans and litter plan (§ 5.12.120(E))

trash cans around the pool, and evs to change trashes

Describe medical facilities plan (§ 5.12.120(F))

TDFD on site

DOUGLAS COUNTY OUTDOOR FESTIVAL LICENSE APPLICATION

Describe fire protection plan (§ 5.12.120(G))

TDFD on site

Describe parking areas, access and parking control plan (§ 5.12.120(H))

1550 parking spots

Describe location of on-site headquarters or contact information (§ 5.12.120(J))

Andreya Russell - 7756007439

Describe illumination plan (§ 5.12.120(K))

it will be daytime

Describe overnight camping facilities plan (§ 5.12.120(L))

N/A

Describe communication system plan (§ 5.12.120(Q))

radios/cell phones

What provisions will be made for numbers of spectators in excess of the estimate (§ 5.12.040)

n/a

Attach a plot plan showing arrangement of the facilities including those for parking, egress and ingress. (§ 5.12.040)

DOUGLAS COUNTY OUTDOOR FESTIVAL LICENSE APPLICATION

CONTRIBUTORS & INVESTORS LIST

Please list anyone who has contributed, invested or who has a financial interest greater than $500.
Use additional sheets if necessary.

Investor's name: Tahoe Chamber of Commerce

Mailing address: PO Box 17181, South Lake Tahoe, CA 96151
Street or P.O. Box City State Zip Code

Email Address: ceo@tahoechamber.org

Phone #(s): 6502708999 (Business) _____ (Cell)

Investor's name: _____

Mailing address: _____
Street or P.O. Box City State Zip Code

Email Address: _____

Phone #(s): _____ (Business) _____ (Cell)

Investor's name: _____

Mailing address: _____
Street or P.O. Box City State Zip Code

Email Address: _____

Phone #(s): _____ (Business) _____ (Cell)

Investor's name: _____

Mailing address: _____
Street or P.O. Box City State Zip Code

Email Address: _____

Phone #(s): _____ (Business) _____ (Cell)

DOUGLAS COUNTY OUTDOOR FESTIVAL LICENSE APPLICATION

PROPERTY OWNER AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss:
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS)

I, Emily Lawrence being duly sworn, depose, and say that I am an owner* of property involved in this application, that the applicant has my full permission to use the property and that the foregoing statements and answers herein contained and the information herewith submitted are in all respects complete, true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Location of Event: 50 Highway 50 Stateline NV 89449
Address or Legal Description

Signed Emily Lawrence

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28 day of August, 2026

*Owner refers to the following. Please mark the appropriate box.

- [x] OWNER/JOINT OWNER
- [ ] CORPORATE OFFICER/PARTNER
- [ ] POWER OF ATTORNEY (Provide copy of Power of Attorney)
- [ ] AGENT (Notarized letter from property owner giving legal authority to agent)
- [ ] LETTER FROM GOVERNMENT AGENCY WITH STEWARDSHIP

Douglas County Clerk's Office

LINDA HUNT
Notary Public - State of Nevada
Appointment No. 22-2287-05
My Appointment Expires 01-25-2030

~OR~ Linda Hunt

Notary Public in and for said county and state

Jan. 25, 2030

My commission expires

DOUGLAS COUNTY OUTDOOR FESTIVAL LICENSE APPLICATION

INSURANCE, HOLD HARMLESS & INDEMNIFICATION REQUIREMENTS FOR AN OUTDOOR FESTIVAL LICENSE APPLICATION

Pursuant to Douglas County Code §5.12, any applicant for a Douglas County Outdoor Festival license must ensure the following requirements are met to the satisfaction of Douglas County before the Outdoor Festival license may be issued.

INDEMNIFICATION & HOLD HARMLESS

As respects acts, errors or omissions relating to the event, APPLICANT agrees to indemnify and hold harmless COUNTY, its officers, agents, employees, and volunteers from and against any and all claims, demands, defense costs, liability or consequential damages of any kind or nature arising directly or indirectly out of the event or any activity leading up to, during, or following the event, excepting those which arise out of the sole negligence of the COUNTY. APPLICANT further agrees to defend COUNTY and assume all costs, expenses and liabilities of any nature to which COUNTY may be subjected as a result of any claim, demand, action or cause of action arising out of the negligent acts, errors or omissions of APPLICANT or its agents concerning the event.

INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

COUNTY requires that APPLICANT purchase General Liability Insurance as described below against claims for injuries to persons or damages to property which may arise from or in connection with the event by APPLICANT, its agents, representatives, or employees. The cost of all such insurance shall be borne by APPLICANT. APPLICANT shall maintain coverage and limits of not less than $1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence for bodily injury, personal injury and property damage and not less than $2,000,000 for events determined to be high risk by Douglas County. APPLICANT is required to post an indemnity bond or a performance bond in favor of the county in connection with the operation of outdoor festival for not less than $100,000. Any Douglas County department or agency required to perform an duty during the outdoor festival that results in it incurring extraordinary costs may request the clerk of the board to invoice the licensee or proceed against a bond posted.

Any deductibles or self-insured retentions must be declared to and approved by the COUNTY prior to the event. COUNTY reserves the right to request additional documentation, financial or otherwise prior to giving its approval of the deductibles and self-insured retention and prior to issuing the license. The COUNTY prior to the change taking effect must approve any changes to the deductibles or self-insured retentions.

APPLICANT shall provide COUNTY with a certificate of insurance that identifies COUNTY, its officers, agents, employees and volunteers as additional insured.

I hereby agree to the all of the provisions stated above and have attached a copy of the Certificate of Liability Insurance:

Chamber of Commerce Chil — Name of Event

10/17/2026 — Date of Event

Emily Lawrence — Applicant's name (printed)

Emily Lawrence — Applicant's signature

8/28/2026 — Date

CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE

DATE (MM/DD/YYYY): 09/21/2026

THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFERS NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMATIVELY OR NEGATIVELY AMEND, EXTEND OR ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.

IMPORTANT: If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy(ies) must have ADDITIONAL INSURED provisions or be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s).

PRODUCER MARSH USA LLC. 500 Dallas St., Suite 1500 Houston, TX 77002 Attn: Houston.Certs@marsh.com CN107977820-GN-Cas-24-25	**CONTACT NAME:** **PHONE (A/C, No, Ext):** **FAX (A/C, No):** **E-MAIL ADDRESS:**
INSURED Neva One, LLC and Neva One PropCo LLC 50 HWY 50 Stateline, NV 89449	**INSURER(S) AFFORDING COVERAGE** — **NAIC #** **INSURER A :** Starr Indemnity & Liability Company — 38318 **INSURER B :** N/A — N/A **INSURER C :** N/A — N/A **INSURER D :** N/A — N/A **INSURER E :** **INSURER F :**

COVERAGES **CERTIFICATE NUMBER:** HOU-004495142-01 **REVISION NUMBER:** 2

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE POLICIES OF INSURANCE LISTED BELOW HAVE BEEN ISSUED TO THE INSURED NAMED ABOVE FOR THE POLICY PERIOD INDICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY REQUIREMENT, TERM OR CONDITION OF ANY CONTRACT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WITH RESPECT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY PERTAIN, THE INSURANCE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES DESCRIBED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ALL THE TERMS, EXCLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH POLICIES. LIMITS SHOWN MAY HAVE BEEN REDUCED BY PAID CLAIMS.

INSR LTR	TYPE OF INSURANCE	ADDL INSD	SUBR WVD	POLICY NUMBER	POLICY EFF (MM/DD/YYYY)	POLICY EXP (MM/DD/YYYY)	LIMITS	
A	X **COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY** CLAIMS-MADE X OCCUR X SIR: $500,000 GEN'L AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER: POLICY PRO-JECT X LOC OTHER:			1000100053251	09/21/2026	10/18/2026	EACH OCCURRENCE DAMAGE TO RENTED PREMISES (Ea occurrence) MED EXP (Any one person) PERSONAL & ADV INJURY GENERAL AGGREGATE PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGG Employee Benefits	$ 1,000,000 $ 1,000,000 $ Excluded $ 1,000,000 $ 2,000,000 $ 2,000,000 $ 1,000,000
	AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY ANY AUTO OWNED AUTOS ONLY SCHEDULED AUTOS HIRED AUTOS ONLY NON-OWNED AUTOS ONLY						COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT (Ea accident) BODILY INJURY (Per person) BODILY INJURY (Per accident) PROPERTY DAMAGE (Per accident)	$ $ $ $
	UMBRELLA LIAB OCCUR **EXCESS LIAB** CLAIMS-MADE DED RETENTION $						EACH OCCURRENCE AGGREGATE	$ $
	WORKERS COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY Y / N ANYPROPRIETOR/PARTNER/EXECUTIVE OFFICER/MEMBER EXCLUDED? **(Mandatory in NH)** If yes, describe under DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS below	N / A					PER STATUTE OTH-ER E.L. EACH ACCIDENT E.L. DISEASE - EA EMPLOYEE E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMIT	$ $ $

DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHICLES (ACORD 101, Additional Remarks Schedule, may be attached if more space is required)

Re: Our Location Name: Golden Nugget Lake Tahoe

Our Location Address: 50 HWY 50, Stateline, NV 89449.

CERTIFICATE HOLDER

Douglas County
PO Box 218
Minden, NV 89423


CANCELLATION

SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS.

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

Marsh USA LLC

© 1988-2016 ACORD CORPORATION. All rights reserved.


ADA/ Public Entrance
8
7
6
5
4
3
Vendor Bathroom
9
DJ
2
10
Public Entrance
1
11
= single chair
= cabana
Registration
= Day bed
= bar
Food Prep
Public Entrance

AMY BURGANS
CLERK-TREASURER
website: cltr.douglasnv.us

Clerk's Office *(775) 782-9014*
Treasurer's Office *(775) 782-9018*
Tahoe General Services *(775) 586-7270*

Public Hearing Within 30 Days Waiver Letter

To Whom It May Concern:

Per NRS 244.3544, I am aware that I have the right to have my outdoor festival license application presented at a regular meeting of the Board of County Commissioners within 30 days of submitting a complete application and fee, if applicable. Per this letter, I authorize the Douglas County Clerk's Office to waive the no more than 30 days requirement to allow all required Douglas County Departments adequate time needed to review the application and provide required conditions, if applicable.

Applicable Nevada Revised Statute:
NRS 244.3544 Hearing: Notice; investigation; grant, denial or conditioning of license; issuance of license.
1. Upon receipt of a complete application and the application fee, the clerk shall:
(a) Set the application for public hearing at a regular meeting of the board, not less than 15 days nor more than 30 days thereafter, and give not less than 10 days' written notice thereof to the applicant.

Name of Event: Chilli Cookoff Soum Shore

Applicant Signature: Emily Jamieson Date: 9/18/24

AMY BURGANS, CLERK-TREASURER
OUTDOOR FESTIVAL ENTERTAINMENT EVENT
APPROVAL FORM
775 782-9014

SUBJ: South Shore Chili Cook Off

EVENT DATE: October 17, 2026

APPROVE: YES_☑_ NO_☐_

DEPOSIT RECOMMENDED: YES_☐_ NO_☐_; if yes, amount $_____

COMMENTS/CONDITIONS:_____

_____

_____

_____

_____.

NAME & TITLE OF APPROVER: Kara Easton, Director

DEPARTMENT: Emergency Management

AMY BURGANS, CLERK-TREASURER
OUTDOOR FESTIVAL ENTERTAINMENT EVENT
APPROVAL FORM
775 782-9014

SUBJ: South Shore Chili Cook Off

EVENT DATE: October 17, 2026

APPROVE: YES_☑_ NO_☐_

DEPOSIT RECOMMENDED: YES_☐_ NO_☑_; if yes, amount $_____

COMMENTS/CONDITIONS: Ensure there is good signage to indicate to attendees where all exits are from pool area.

NAME & TITLE OF APPROVER: Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Principal Planner

DEPARTMENT: DC Community Development

AMY BURGANS, CLERK-TREASURER
OUTDOOR FESTIVAL ENTERTAINMENT EVENT
APPROVAL FORM
775 782-9014

SUBJ: South Shore Chili Cook Off

EVENT DATE: October 17, 2026

APPROVE: YES_☑_ NO_☐_

DEPOSIT RECOMMENDED: YES_☐_ NO_☑_; if yes, amount $_____

COMMENTS/CONDITIONS: none

NAME & TITLE OF APPROVER: Ryan Stanton, Deputy Director

DEPARTMENT: Community Services

AMY BURGANS, CLERK-TREASURER
OUTDOOR FESTIVAL ENTERTAINMENT EVENT
APPROVAL FORM
775 782-9014

SUBJ: South Shore Chili Cook Off

EVENT DATE: October 17, 2026

APPROVE: YES_☑_ NO_☐_

DEPOSIT RECOMMENDED: YES_☐_ NO_☑_; if yes, amount $_____

COMMENTS/CONDITIONS: None

NAME & TITLE OF APPROVER: Ron Elges/Undersheriff

DEPARTMENT: Sheriff's Office

AMY BURGANS, CLERK-TREASURER
OUTDOOR FESTIVAL ENTERTAINMENT EVENT
APPROVAL FORM
775 782-9014

SUBJ: South Shore Chili Cook Off

EVENT DATE: October 17, 2026

APPROVE: YES_☑_ NO_☐_

DEPOSIT RECOMMENDED: YES_☐_ NO_☑_; if yes, amount $_____

COMMENTS/CONDITIONS: The application for a license to conduct an outdoor festival was reviewed by Risk Management. The applicant provided sufficient proof of coverage to satisfy the requirements outlined in section N of Douglas County Code 5.12.120.

NAME & TITLE OF APPROVER: Megan Warren, Human Resources Analyst

DEPARTMENT: Human Resources/ Risk Management

AMY BURGANS, CLERK-TREASURER
OUTDOOR FESTIVAL ENTERTAINMENT EVENT
APPROVAL FORM
775 782-9014

SUBJ: South Shore Chili Cook Off

EVENT DATE: October 17, 2026

APPROVE: YES_☑_ NO_☐_

DEPOSIT RECOMMENDED: YES_☐_ NO_☑_; if yes, amount $_____

COMMENTS/CONDITIONS: Permitte must obtain an operational permit from the Tahoe Douglas Fire Protection District

NAME & TITLE OF APPROVER: Kris Rowlett Interim Fire Marshal

DEPARTMENT: Tahoe Douglas Fire Protection District

TAHOE DOUGLAS FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

193 Elks Point Rd. P.O. Box 919
Zephyr Cove, NV 89448
(775)588-3591
Email to: prevention@tahoefire.org

SPECIAL EVENT & OPERATIONAL PERMIT APPLICATION

All applications ***must*** have a site plan attached

Please check appropriate box(es)

- ☐ Candles and Open Flame in assembly areas (IFC 105.5.37)
- ☐ Carnivals & Fairs (IFC 105.5.5)
- ☐ Cutting & Welding (IFC 105.5.12)
- ☐ Exhibits & Trade Shows (IFC 105.5.15)
  Sq. Ft: _____
  No. of participants _____
- ☐ Explosive Materials (IFC 105.5.16)
  Number of Magazines: _____
- ☐ Fire hydrant use (IFC 105.5.17)
- ☐ Flammable/Combustible Liquids Storage and/or Use (IFC 105.5.18)
- ☑ Fueled vehicles or equipment inside assembly buildi (IFC 105.5.28)
- ☐ Hazardous Materials in excess of IFC Table 105.5.22
- ☐ High-piled storage exceeding 500 sq. ft. (IFC 105.5.24)
- ☐ Hot Work Operations (IFC 105.5.25
- ☐ Open Flames/Flame Effects (IFC 105.5.38)
- ☑ Open Burning (IFC 105.5.36)
- ☐ Places of Assembly (IFC 105.5.41)
  Sq. Ft: _____
  No. of participants _____
- ☐ Pyrotechnics/Special Effects/Fireworks – Proximate Indoor/Outdoor (IFC 105.5.44)
  Device Count: _____
- ☑ Temporary membrane structures, tents canopies (IFC 105.5.51)
  Sq. Ft: _____
  No. of participants _____
- ☐ Other activity, indicate type: _____

PERMIT INFORMATION

Application Date: 9/25/2026 **TDFPD Permit No.:** _____ **Building Permit No.: (if applicable)** _____

Name of Venue: Golden Nugget Lake Tahoe
Name of Event: South Shore Chili Cookoff
Event Address: 50 Highway 50
Event Location within venue: Pool
(i.e.: Name of ballroom, hall or parking lot location)
Type of Event: Fundraiser
Event Description: Fundraiser - Chili cookoff samples

No. of participants: 500
No. of Security personnel: 10
Event Move-in date: 10/16/2026
Event Move-out date: 10/17/2026
Date & Time of Event: 10/17/2026 11am-2pm
On-Site Inspection Contact Name: Andreya Russell
Telephone No.: 7756007439

APPLICANT CHECK LIST

☑ SITE PLAN/FLOOR PLAN reflecting the following:

- Venue Dimensions
- Exits & Fire Extinguisher - *Locations*
- Emergency Access - *(Emergency access lanes shall be maintained with a min 20' width and 30' turning radius)*
- Tents/ Booths/Canopies/Cabanas (inside/outside) *Location &Dimensions*
- Booths supplied with additional power (inside/outside) - *Locations*
- Booths used for cooking (inside/outside) – *Locations*
- Dance Floor & Bar *Location & Dimensions*
- Seating i.e. *(tables and chairs, bleachers, non-fixed chairs)*
- Displays or storage areas
- Outside Generator location with 20' separation isolated by physical guards, or fences and enclosures
- Vehicle parking locations, *if event is outside*

☑ CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE (COI) naming Tahoe Douglas Fire Protection District as additional insured

☑ FLAME CERTIFICATE i.e., backdrops, pipe & drape, tents etc. meeting the flame propagation performance criteria of NFPA 701

☑ SECURITY PERSONNEL trained Crowd Managers shall be provided for events where more than 1,000 persons congregate

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Responsible Party/Applicant: Emily Lawrence
Mailing Address: 50 Highway 50
City, State, Zip Code: Stateline, NV 89449

E-mail Address: emily.lawrence@gnltn.com
Telephone & Cell #: 7757902866
Fax #: _____

Emily Lawrence - Marketing Manager
Applicant Name and Title (Please print)

Emily Lawrence
Applicant Signature

AGENDA ITEM NO. B.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: CONSENT ITEMS

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to accept a $24,393 Community Services Block Grant from the State of Nevada, Department of Health and Human Services, for the time period of October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027, and authorize the County Manager to sign the grant award. (Brook Adie, Community Services Director)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Accept a $24,393 Community Services Block Grant from the State of Nevada, Department of Health and Human Services, for the time period of October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027, and authorize the County Manager to sign the grant award.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
This grant award will increase the revenue in the "Grant Revenue - Federal" account in the Social Services fund (216-000 331.500) by $24,393. The grant expenses are budgeted for in various expense accounts in the Social Services fund. There is no match requirement. The Community Services Department has completed the grants forms packet and routed it to the Grants Administrator. The grants administrator has reviewed and approved the packet, and has assigned a project number to the grant (27F14). This project number will be used to track all revenues and expenses related to the grant award.

BACKGROUND:
The Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) is a federal grant award, distributed through the State of Nevada, to the Douglas County Social Services Department. This grant is an annual, recurring grant. The grant is used to fund a variety of social services, including rent assistance, utility bill assistance, and workforce development programs. The period of the grant award is October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027. There is no match requirement. This grant award represents the initial funding for the year that is currently available from the Federal Government. The State of Nevada advised the Social Services Department that additional funding for this grant should be released at a later date within the current fiscal year.

ATTACHMENTS:

DO 1787 - Douglas County - CSBG Subaward_09.30.2027 For Signature.pdf

Grant Form 27F14.pdf

State of Nevada
Department of Human Services
Director's Office
(hereinafter referred to as the Department)

Agency Ref. #: **DO 1787**
Budget Account: 3195
Category: 29
GL: 8504
Job Number: 9356926
Sub Org: N/A

NOTICE OF SUBAWARD

Program Name: DHS Grants Management Unit Tawny Chapman, Program Manager / tawny.chapman@dhs.nv.gov	**Subrecipient's Name:** Douglas County Social Services Jodi Martinez, Manager / jmartinez@co.douglas.nv.us
Address: 1000 N. Division St. Carson City, NV 89703	**Address:** P.O. Box 218 Minden, NV 89423
Subaward Period: October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027	**Subrecipient's:** **EIN:** 88-600031 **Vendor #:** T40174400G **UEI #:** KE5GF37F6F95

Purpose of Award: Delivering community services (food, workforce, utility, rent, etc.) to individuals and families at risk.

Region(s) to be served: ☐ Statewide ☒ Specific county or counties: Douglas County

Approved Budget Categories:

1. Personnel	**$0.00**
2. Travel	**$0.00**
3. Operating	**$0.00**
4. Equipment	**$0.00**
5. Contractual/Consultant	**$0.00**
6. Training	**$0.00**
7. Other	**$24,393.00**
TOTAL DIRECT COSTS	**$24,393.00**
8. Indirect Costs	**$0.00**
TOTAL APPROVED BUDGET	**$24,393.00**

FEDERAL AWARD COMPUTATION:

Total Obligated by this Action:	$	24,393.00
Cumulative Prior Awards this Budget Period:	$	0.00
Total Federal Funds Awarded to Date:	$	24,393.00
Match Required ☐ Y ☒ N		
Amount Required this Action:	$	0.00
Amount Required Prior Awards:	$	0.00
Total Match Amount Required:	$	0.00

Research and Development (R&D) ☐ Y ☒ N

Federal Budget Period:
October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027

Federal Project Period:
October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027

FOR AGENCY USE, ONLY

Source of Funds:	% Funds:	CFDA:	FAIN:	Federal Grant #:	Grant Award Date by Federal Agency:
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Community Services Block Grant	100%	93.569	2601NVC OSR	2601NVCOSR	7/1/2026

Agency Approved Indirect Rate: N/A

Subrecipient Approved Indirect Rate: 0%

Terms and Conditions:
In accepting these grant funds, it is understood that:
1. This award is subject to the availability of appropriate funds.
2. Expenditures must comply with any statutory guidelines, the DHS Grant Instructions and Requirements, and the State Administrative Manual.
3. Expenditures must be consistent with the narrative, goals and objectives, and budget as approved and documented
4. Subrecipient must comply with all applicable Federal regulations
5. Quarterly progress reports are due by the 15th of each month following the end of the quarter, unless specific exceptions are provided in writing by the grant administrator.
6. Financial Status Reports and Requests for Funds must be submitted monthly, unless specific exceptions are provided in writing by the grant administrator.
7. Per NRS 232.359, subrecipients are required to add or update their agency profile to the Nevada 2-1-1 system and provide verification of enrollment, as applicable.

Incorporated Documents:
Section A: Grant Conditions and Assurances;
Section B: Description of Services, Scope of Work and Deliverables;
Section C: Budget and Financial Reporting Requirements;
Section D: Request for Reimbursement;
Section E: Audit Information Request;
Section F: Current/Former State Employee Disclaimer;
Section G: DHS Business Associate Addendum; and

Name	Signature	Date
Jennifer Davidson, Manager Douglas County Social Services		
Danacamile Roscom, MSW, Chief Grants Management Unit		
For Laura Rich, Director Department of Human Services		

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
Director's Office
NOTICE OF SUBAWARD

SECTION A

GRANT CONDITIONS AND ASSURANCES

General Conditions

1. Nothing contained in this Agreement is intended to, or shall be construed in any manner, as creating or establishing the relationship of employer/employee between the parties. The Subrecipient shall at all times remain an "independent contractor" with respect to the services to be performed under this Agreement. The Department of Human Services (hereafter referred to as "Department") shall be exempt from payment of all Unemployment Compensation, FICA, retirement, life and/or medical insurance and Workers' Compensation Insurance as the Subrecipient is an independent entity.

2. The Subrecipient shall hold harmless, defend and indemnify the Department from any and all claims, actions, suits, charges and judgments whatsoever that arise out of the Subrecipient's performance or nonperformance of the services or subject matter called for in this Agreement.

3. The Department or Subrecipient may amend this Agreement at any time provided that such amendments make specific reference to this Agreement, and are executed in writing, and signed by a duly authorized representative of both organizations. Such amendments shall not invalidate this Agreement, nor relieve or release the Department or Subrecipient from its obligations under this Agreement.

    - The Department may, in its discretion, amend this Agreement to conform with federal, state or local governmental guidelines, policies and available funding amounts, or for other reasons. If such amendments result in a change in the funding, the scope of services, or schedule of the activities to be undertaken as part of this Agreement, such modifications will be incorporated only by written amendment signed by both the Department and Subrecipient.

4. Either party may terminate this Agreement at any time by giving written notice to the other party of such termination and specifying the effective date thereof at least 30 days before the effective date of such termination. Partial terminations of the Scope of Work in Section B may only be undertaken with the prior approval of the Department. In the event of any termination for convenience, all finished or unfinished documents, data, studies, surveys, reports, or other materials prepared by the Subrecipient under this Agreement shall, at the option of the Department, become the property of the Department, and the Subrecipient shall be entitled to receive just and equitable compensation for any satisfactory work completed on such documents or materials prior to the termination.

    - The Department may also suspend or terminate this Agreement, in whole or in part, if the Subrecipient materially fails to comply with any term of this Agreement, or with any of the rules, regulations or provisions referred to herein; and the Department may declare the Subrecipient ineligible for any further participation in the Department's grant agreements, in addition to other remedies as provided by law. In the event there is probable cause to believe the Subrecipient is in noncompliance with any applicable rules or regulations, the Department may withhold funding.

Grant Assurances

A signature on the cover page of this packet indicates that the applicant is capable of and agrees to meet the following requirements, and that all information contained in this proposal is true and correct.

1. Adopt and maintain a system of internal controls which results in the fiscal integrity and stability of the organization, including the use of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).

2. Compliance with state insurance requirements for general, professional, and automobile liability; workers' compensation and employer's liability; and, if advance funds are required, commercial crime insurance.

3. These grant funds will not be used to supplant existing financial support for current programs.

4. No portion of these grant funds will be subcontracted without prior written approval unless expressly identified in the grant agreement.

5. Compliance with the requirements of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, P.L. 93-112, as amended, and any relevant program-specific regulations, and shall not discriminate against any employee for employment because of race, national origin, creed, color, sex, religion, age, disability or handicap condition (including AIDS and AIDS-related conditions).

6. Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (P.L. 101-136), 42 U.S.C. 12101, as amended, and regulations adopted there under contained in 28 CFR 26.101-36.999 inclusive, and any relevant program-specific regulations.

7. Compliance with Title 2 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) and any guidance in effect from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) related (but not limited to) audit requirements for grantees that expend $1,000,000 or more in Federal awards during the grantee's fiscal year must have an annual audit prepared by an independent auditor in accordance with the terms and requirements of the appropriate circular. **To acknowledge this requirement, Section E of this notice of subaward must be completed.**

8. Compliance with the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.) and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251–1387), as amended—Contracts and subgrants of amounts in excess of $150,000 must contain a provision that requires the non-Federal award to agree to comply with all applicable standards, orders or regulations issued pursuant to the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q) and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act as amended (33 U.S.C. 1251–1387). Violations must be reported to the Federal awarding agency and the Regional Office of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

9. Certification that neither the Subrecipient nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency. This certification is made pursuant to regulations implementing Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, 28 C.F.R. pt. 67 § 67.510, as published as pt. VII of May 26, 1988, Federal Register (pp. 19150-19211).

10. No funding associated with this grant will be used for lobbying.

11. Disclosure of any existing or potential conflicts of interest relative to the performance of services resulting from this grant award.

12. Provision of a work environment in which the use of tobacco products, alcohol, and illegal drugs will not be allowed.

13. An organization receiving grant funds through the Department of Human Services shall not use grant funds for any activity related to the following:

    - Any attempt to influence the outcome of any federal, state or local election, referendum, initiative or similar procedure, through in-kind or cash contributions, endorsements, publicity or a similar activity.

    - Establishing, administering, contributing to or paying the expenses of a political party, campaign, political action committee or other organization established for the purpose of influencing the outcome of an election, referendum, initiative or similar procedure.

    - Any attempt to influence:
        - The introduction or formulation of federal, state or local legislation; or
        - The enactment or modification of any pending federal, state or local legislation, through communication with any member or employee of Congress, the Nevada Legislature or a local governmental entity responsible for enacting local legislation, including, without limitation, efforts to influence State or local officials to engage in a similar lobbying activity, or through communication with any governmental official or employee in connection with a decision to sign or veto enrolled legislation.
    - Any attempt to influence the introduction, formulation, modification or enactment of a federal, state or local rule, regulation, executive order or any other program, policy or position of the United States Government, the State of Nevada or a local governmental entity through communication with any officer or employee of the United States Government, the State of Nevada or a local governmental entity, including, without limitation, efforts to influence state or local officials to engage in a similar lobbying activity.

    - Any attempt to influence:
        - The introduction or formulation of federal, state or local legislation;
        - The enactment or modification of any pending federal, state or local legislation; or
        - The introduction, formulation, modification or enactment of a federal, state or local rule, regulation, executive order or any other program, policy or position of the United States Government, the State of Nevada or a local governmental entity**, by preparing, distributing or using** publicity or propaganda, or by urging members of the general public or any segment thereof to contribute to or participate in any mass demonstration, march, rally, fundraising drive, lobbying campaign or letter writing or telephone campaign.

    - Legislative liaison activities, including, without limitation, attendance at legislative sessions or committee hearings, gathering information regarding legislation and analyzing the effect of legislation, when such activities are carried on in support of or in knowing preparation for an effort to engage in an activity prohibited pursuant to subsections 1 to 5, inclusive.

    - Executive branch liaison activities, including, without limitation, attendance at hearings, gathering information regarding a rule, regulation, executive order or any other program, policy or position of the United States Government, the State of Nevada or a local governmental entity and analyzing the effect of the rule, regulation, executive order, program, policy or position, when such activities are carried on in support of or in knowing preparation for an effort to engage in an activity prohibited pursuant to subsections 1 to 5, inclusive.

14. An organization receiving grant funds through the Department of Human Services may, to the extent and in the manner authorized in its grant, use grant funds for any activity directly related to educating persons in a nonpartisan manner by providing factual information in a manner that is:

    - Made in a speech, article, publication, or other material that is distributed and made available to the public, or through radio, television, cable television or other medium of mass communication; and

    - Not specifically directed at:

        - Any member or employee of Congress, the Nevada Legislature or a local governmental entity responsible for enacting local legislation;
        - Any governmental official or employee who is or could be involved in a decision to sign or veto enrolled legislation; or
        - Any officer or employee of the United States Government, the State of Nevada or a local governmental entity who is involved in introducing, formulating, modifying or enacting a Federal, State or local rule, regulation, executive order or any other program, policy or position of the United States Government, the State of Nevada or a local governmental entity.

    This provision does not prohibit a subrecipient or an applicant for a grant from providing information that is directly related to the grant or the application for the grant to the granting agency.

15. **Protections for Whistleblowers**

- In accordance with 41 U.S.C. § 4712, subrecipient may not discharge, demote, or otherwise discriminate against an employee in reprisal for disclosing to any of the list of persons or entities provided below, information that the employee reasonably believes is evidence of gross mismanagement of a federal contract or grant, a gross waste of federal funds, an abuse of authority relating to a federal contract or grant, a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to federal contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a contract) or grant.

- The list of persons and entities referenced in the paragraph above included the following: A member of Congress or a representative of a committee of Congress, an Inspector General, the Government Accountability Office, a treasury employee responsible for contract or grant oversight or management, an authorized official of the Department of Justice or other law enforcement agency, a court or grand jury, or a management official or other employee of subrecipient, contractor, or subcontractor who has the responsibility to investigate, discover, or address mis-conduct.

- Subrecipient shall inform its employees in writing of the rights and remedies provided under this section, in the predominant native language of the workforce.

16. To comply with reporting requirements of the Federal Funding and Accountability Transparency Act (FFATA), the sub-grantee agrees to provide the Department with copies of all contracts, sub-grants, and or amendments to either such documents, which are funded by funds allotted in this agreement.

Compliance with this section is acknowledged by signing the subaward cover page of this packet.

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
Director's Office
NOTICE OF SUBAWARD

SECTION B

Description of Services, Scope of Work and Deliverables

Douglas County Social Services, hereinafter referred to as Subrecipient, agrees to provide the following services and reports according to the identified timeframes:

Scope of Work for: Douglas County Social Services

Goal 1: Homelessness prevention						
Objective	***Activities***	***Expected Outcomes***	***Timeline: Begin-Completion***	***Target Population***	***Evaluation Measure (indicator)***	***Evaluation Tool***
1.1 Through September 30, 2027, Homelessness Prevention program will increase the number of clients stably housed, preventing homelessness within Douglas County	Provide direct assistance through Rental Assistance	Clients are able to maintain their residence and prevent eviction	October 1, 2026-September 30, 2027	Low-income individuals, families and household under the 200% FPL requiring Homelessness Prevention Services	# of securely housed individuals (identified in assessments)	Database reports Quarterly Progress Updates submitted on: • Q1: January 15th for Oct. – Dec. • Q2: April 15th for Jan. – Mar. • Q3: July 15th for Apr. – Jun. • Q4: October 15th for Jul. – Sept.
	Provide direct assistance through Utility Assistance	Clients are able to maintain a habitable residence and prevent eviction	October 1, 2026 – September 30, 2027	Low-income individuals, families and households under 200% FPL requiring Homelessness Prevention Services	# of securely housed individuals (identified in assessments)	Database reports Quarterly Progress Updates submitted on: • Q1: January 15th for Oct. – Dec. • Q2: April 15th for Jan. – Mar. • Q3: July 15th for Apr. – Jun.

						• Q4: October 15th for Jul. – Sept.

Goal 2: Employment Assistance to remove barriers						
Objective	***Activities***	***Expected Outcomes***	***Timeline: Begin-*** ***Completion***	***Target Population***	***Evaluation Measure (indicator)***	***Evaluation Tool***
2.1 Through September 30, 2027, the Workforce Development Program will decrease the number of unemployed and underemployed clients	Provide direct assistance helping obtain necessary support including gas cards and gift cards for clothing and supplies.	Clients are able to start employment with tools necessary for success and maintain their employment.	October 1, 2026 – September 30, 2027	Low-income individuals under the 200% FPL requiring Workforce Development assistance	# of employed individuals (identified in assessments)	Database reports Quarterly Progress Updates submitted on: • Q1: January 15th for Oct. – Dec. • Q2: April 15th for Jan. – Mar. • Q3: July 15th for Apr. – Jun. • Q4: October 15th for Jul. – Sept.
	Host two job fairs in collaboration with our local partner agencies throughout the year with employers that have open positions	Allow clients to be exposed to all open positions throughout the County and neighboring communities	October 1, 2026 – September 30, 2027	Low-income individuals under the 200% FPL requiring Workforce Development assistance	# of employed individuals (identified in assessments)	Database reports Quarterly Progress Updates submitted on: • Q1: January 15th for Oct. – Dec. • Q2: April 15th for Jan. – Mar. • Q3: July 15th for Apr. – Jun. • Q4: October 15th for Jul. – Sept.

	Offer training opportunities through partnerships with organizations that offer training programs	Increase the skills levels for clients and allow training for a new field of interest.	October 1, 2026 – September 30, 2027	Low-income individuals under the 200% FPL requiring Workforce Development assistance	# of employed individuals (identified in assessments)	Database reports Quarterly Progress Updates submitted on: • Q1: January 15th for Oct. – Dec. • Q2: April 15th for Jan. – Mar. • Q3: July 15th for Apr. – Jun. • Q4: October 15th for Jul. – Sept.
	Offer Employment workshops featuring application assistance, resume building, interview training, and/or soft skills training	Clients will have increased knowledge of job seeking skills	October 1, 2026 – September 30, 2027	Low-income individuals under the 200% FPL requiring Workforce Development assistance	# of employed individuals (identified in assessments)	Database reports Quarterly Progress Updates submitted on: • Q1: January 15th for Oct. – Dec. • Q2: April 15th for Jan. – Mar. • Q3: July 15th for Apr. – Jun. • Q4: October 15th for Jul. – Sept.

Quarterly Progress Updates are due to the Director's Office – Grants Management Unit via email) and to the current Program Manager by the following deadlines:

- **Quarter 1 (10/01/2026 – 12/31/2026): Due by January 15th**
- **Quarter 2 (01/01/2027 – 03/31/2027): Due by April 15th**
- **Quarter 3 (04/01/2027 – 06/30/2027): Due by July 15th**
- **Quarter 4 (07/01/2026 – 09/30/2026): Due by October 15th**

Compliance with this section is acknowledged by signing the subaward cover page of this packet.

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
Director's Office
NOTICE OF SUBAWARD

SECTION C

Budget and Financial Reporting Requirements

Identify the source of funding on all printed documents purchased or produced within the scope of this subaward, using a statement similar to: "This publication (journal, article, etc.) was supported by the Nevada State Department of Human Services through Grant Number 2601NVCOSR from U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Community Services Block Grant. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Department nor U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Community Services Block Grant."

Any activities performed under this subaward shall acknowledge the funding was provided through the Department by Grant Number 2601NVCOSR from U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Community Services Block Grant.

Subrecipient agrees to adhere to the following budget:

Applicant Name: Douglas County Social Services

BUDGET NARRATIVE
(Form Revised July 2025)

Total Personnel Costs	including fringe	**Total:**	**$ -**
Travel		**Total:**	**$ -**
Training		**Total:**	**$ -**
Other		**Total:**	**$ 24,393**

	Monthly Expense	FTE	Number of Months	Total
Homelessness Prevention - Rental Assistance and Utility Assistance to keep residents in their current housing situation and prevent homelessness.	$5,992		3	$17,976
Employment Assistance - Remove barriers to assistance through case management, referrals, and provide direct assistance for work related items, childcare and gas assistance. Approximately $1,464 per month for direct assistance to clients (employment materials, gas cards, pre-employment materials)	$1,464		3	$4,392
Senior Home Safety - Home evaluation, assessment, and assistance to frail and isolated seniors in need of handrails, grab bars or other minor improvements. Estimate one household per month at 425	$425		3	$1,275
Swim passes to Carson Valley Swim Center for Seniors and Families	$250		3	$750

Justification:
Direct services to Douglas County resident to assist in homelessness prevention with rental assistance, utility assistance.

Employment assistance to remove barrier to employment, hosting bi-annual job fairs and direct assistance to include gas, work clothes, and vehicle insurance/registration.

Senior Home Safety will allow seniors to live independently in their homes safely with the addition of grab bars or rails to increase stability throughout their homes.

Swim passes for seniors and families to increase physical and family inclusive activity.

TOTAL DIRECT CHARGES			**$**	**24,393**
Indirect Charges	**Indirect Rate:**	**0.00%**	**$**	**-**
Indirect Methodology: Partner chooses to accept no indirect on this award.				
TOTAL BUDGET	**Total:**		**$**	**24,393**

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
Director's Office
NOTICE OF SUBAWARD

Applicant Name: Douglas County Social Services **Form 2**

PROPOSED BUDGET SUMMARY
(Form Revised January 2025)

A. PATTERN BOXES ARE FORMULA DRIVEN - DO NOT OVERIDE - SEE INSTRUCTIONS

FUNDING SOURCES	***Funding Source***	Other Funding	Other Funding	Other Funding	Other Funding	Other Funding	Other Funding	Program Income	TOTAL
SECURED									
ENTER TOTAL REQUEST	$24,393								$24,393
EXPENSE CATEGORY									
Personnel	$0								$0
Travel	$0								$0
Operating	$0								$0
Equipment	$0								$0
Contractual/Consultant	$0								$0
Training	$0								$0
Other Expenses	$24,393								$24,393
Indirect	$0								$0
TOTAL EXPENSE	$24,393	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$24,393
These boxes should equal 0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0

Total Indirect Cost	$0

Total Agency Budget	$24,393
Percent of Subrecipient Budget	100%

B. Explain any items noted as pending:

C. Program Income Calculation:

- Department of Human Services policy allows no more than 10% flexibility of the total not to exceed amount of the subaward, within the approved Scope of Work/Budget. Subrecipient will obtain written permission to redistribute funds within categories. **Note: the redistribution cannot alter the total not to exceed amount of the subaward. Modifications in excess of 10% require a formal amendment.**

- Equipment purchased with these funds belongs to the federal program from which this funding was appropriated and shall be returned to the program upon termination of this agreement.

- Travel expenses, per diem, and other related expenses must conform to the procedures and rates allowed for State officers and employees. It is the Policy of the Board of Examiners to restrict contractors/ Subrecipients to the same rates and procedures allowed State Employees. The State of Nevada reimburses at rates comparable to the rates established by the US General Services Administration, with some exceptions (State Administrative Manual 0200.0 and 0320.0).

The Subrecipient agrees:
To request reimbursement according to the schedule specified below for the actual expenses incurred related to the Scope of Work during the subaward period.

- Total reimbursement through this subaward will not exceed $24,393;
- Requests for Reimbursement will be accompanied by supporting documentation, including a line-item description of expenses incurred;
- Additional expenditure detail will be provided upon request from the Department.

Additionally, the Subrecipient agrees to provide:

- A complete financial accounting of all expenditures to the Department within 30 days of the CLOSE OF THE SUBAWARD PERIOD. Any un-obligated funds shall be returned to the Department at that time, or if not already requested, shall be deducted from the final award.
- Any work performed after the BUDGET PERIOD will not be reimbursed.
- If a Request for Reimbursement (RFR) is received after the 45-day closing period, the Department may not be able to provide reimbursement.
- If a credit is owed to the Department after the 45-day closing period, the funds must be returned to the Department within 30 days of identification.

The Department agrees:
- Providing technical assistance, upon request from the Subrecipient;
- Providing prior approval of reports or documents to be developed;
- Forwarding a report to another party, i.e. CDC.
- The Department reserves the right to hold reimbursement under this subaward until any delinquent forms, reports, and expenditure documentation are submitted to and accepted by the Department.

Both parties agree:
- Site monitors will be conducted as determined by state and federal guidelines or as deemed necessary.
- The Subrecipient will, in the performance of the Scope of Work specified in this subaward, perform functions and/or activities that could involve confidential information; therefore, the Subrecipient is requested to fill out Section G, which is specific to this subaward, and will be in effect for the term of this subaward.
- All reports of expenditures and requests for reimbursement processed by the Department are SUBJECT TO AUDIT.
- This subaward agreement may be TERMINATED by either party prior to the date set forth on the Notice of Subaward, provided the termination shall not be effective until 30 days after a party has served written notice upon the other party. This agreement may be terminated by mutual consent of both parties or unilaterally by either party without cause. The parties expressly agree that this Agreement shall be terminated immediately if for any reason the Department, state, and/or federal funding ability to satisfy this Agreement is withdrawn, limited, or impaired.

Financial Reporting Requirements
- A Request for Reimbursement is due on a monthly basis, based on the terms of the subaward agreement, no later than the 15th of the month.
- Reimbursement is based on actual expenditures incurred during the period being reported.
- Payment will not be processed without all reporting being current.
- Reimbursement may only be claimed for expenditures approved within the Notice of Subaward.

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
Director's Office
NOTICE OF SUBAWARD
SECTION D

Agency Ref. #: **DO 1787**
Budget Account: 3195-29
GL: 8504
Draw #:

Request for Reimbursement

Program Name: DHS Grants Management Unit Tawny Chapman, Program Manager / tawny.chapman@dhs.nv.gov	**Subrecipient's Name:** Douglas County Social Services Jodi Martinez, Manager / jmartinez@co.douglas.nv.us
Address: 1000 N. Division St. Carson City, NV 89703	**Address:** P.O. Box 218 Minden, NV 89423
Subaward Period: October 1, 2026, through September 30, 2027	**Subrecipient's:** **EIN:** 88-600031 **Vendor #:** 40174400G

FINANCIAL REPORT AND REQUEST FOR REIMBURSEMENT

(must be accompanied by expenditure report/back-up)

Month(s) **Calendar year**

Approved Budget Category	A Approved Budget	B Total Prior Requests	C Current Request	D Year to Date Total	E Budget Balance	F Percent Expended
1. Personnel	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	-
2. Travel	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	-
3. Operating	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	-
4. Equipment	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	-
5. Contractual/Consultant	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	-
6. Training	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	-
7. Other		$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	-
8. Indirect	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	-
Total	**$0.00**	**$0.00**	**$0.00**	**$0.00**	**$0.00**	-

I, a duly authorized signatory for the applicant, certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is true, complete and accurate; that the expenditures, disbursements and cash receipts are for the purposes and objectives set forth in the terms and conditions of the grant award; and that the amount of this request is not in excess of current needs or, cumulatively for the grant term, in excess of the total approved grant award. I am aware that any false, fictitious or fraudulent information, or the omission of any material fact, may subject me to criminal, civil or administrative penalties for fraud, false statements, false claims, or otherwise. I verify that the cost allocation and backup documentation attached is correct.

Authorized Signature Title Date

FOR Department USE ONLY

Is program contact required? ____ Yes _____ No Contact Person: _____

Reason for contact: _____

Fiscal review/approval date: _____

Scope of Work review/approval date: _____

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
Director's Office
NOTICE OF SUBAWARD

SECTION E

Audit Information Request

1. Non-Federal entities that **expend** $1,000,000.00 or more in total federal awards are required to have a single or program-specific audit conducted for that year, in accordance with 2 CFR § 200.501(a).

2. Did your organization expend $1,000,000 or more in all federal awards during your organization's most recent fiscal year? ☐ YES ☐ NO

3. When does your organization's fiscal year end? _____

4. What is the official name of your organization? _____

5. How often is your organization audited? _____

6. When was your last audit performed? _____

7. What time-period did your last audit cover? _____

8. Which accounting firm conducted your last audit? _____

Compliance with this section is acknowledged by signing the subaward cover page of this packet.

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
Director's Office
NOTICE OF SUBAWARD

SECTION F

Current or Former State Employee Disclaimer

For the purpose of State compliance with NRS 333.705, subrecipient represents and warrants that if subrecipient, or any employee of subrecipient who will be performing services under this subaward, is a current employee of the State or was employed by the State within the preceding 24 months **and** is receiving PERS, subrecipient has disclosed the identity of such persons, and the services that each such person will perform, to the issuing Agency. Subrecipient agrees they will not utilize any of its employees who are Current State Employees or Former State Employees to perform services under this subaward without first notifying the Agency and receiving from the Agency approval for the use of such persons. This prohibition applies equally to any subcontractors that may be used to perform the requirements of the subaward.

The provisions of this section do not apply to the employment of a former employee of an agency of this State who is <u>not</u> receiving retirement benefits under the Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) during the duration of the subaward.

Are any current or former employees of the State of Nevada assigned to perform work on this subaward?

YES ☐ If "YES", list the names of any current or former employees of the State and the services that each person will perform.

NO ☐ Subrecipient agrees that if a current or former state employee is assigned to perform work on this subaward at any point after execution of this agreement, they must receive prior approval from the Department.

Name of Previous Employee	Services Performed for Award	Collecting PERS? (Yes/No)	If Yes, indicate the end date of state service

Subrecipient agrees that any employees listed cannot perform work until approval has been given from the Department.

Compliance with this section is acknowledged by signing the subaward cover page of this packet.

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
Director's Office
NOTICE OF SUBAWARD
SECTION G

Business Associate Addendum

BETWEEN

Nevada Department of Human Services

Hereinafter referred to as the "Covered Entity"

and

Douglas County Social Services

Hereinafter referred to as the "Business Associate"

PURPOSE. In order to comply with the requirements of HIPAA and the HITECH Act, this Addendum is hereby added and made part of the agreement between the Covered Entity and the Business Associate. This Addendum establishes the obligations of the Business Associate and the Covered Entity as well as the permitted uses and disclosures by the Business Associate of protected health information it may possess by reason of the agreement. The Covered Entity and the Business Associate shall protect the privacy and provide for the security of protected health information disclosed to the Business Associate pursuant to the agreement and in compliance with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, Public Law 104-191 ("HIPAA"), the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, Public Law 111-5 ("the HITECH Act"), and regulation promulgated there under by the U.S. Department of Human Services (the "HIPAA Regulations") and other applicable laws.

WHEREAS, the Business Associate will provide certain services to the Covered Entity, and, pursuant to such arrangement, the Business Associate is considered a business associate of the Covered Entity as defined in HIPAA, the HITECH Act, the Privacy Rule and Security Rule; and

WHEREAS**,** Business Associate may have access to and/or receive from the Covered Entity certain protected health information, in fulfilling its responsibilities under such arrangement; and

WHEREAS, the HIPAA Regulations, the HITECH Act, the Privacy Rule and the Security Rule require the Covered Entity to enter into an agreement containing specific requirements of the Business Associate prior to the disclosure of protected health information, as set forth in, but not limited to, 45 CFR Parts 160 & 164 and Public Law 111-5.

THEREFORE**,** in consideration of the mutual obligations below and the exchange of information pursuant to this Addendum, and to protect the interests of both Parties, the Parties agree to all provisions of this Addendum.

I. DEFINITIONS. The following terms shall have the meaning ascribed to them in this Section. Other capitalized terms shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the context in which they first appear.

1. **Breach** means the unauthorized acquisition, access, use, or disclosure of protected health information which compromises the security or privacy of the protected health information. The full definition of breach can be found in 42 USC 17921 and 45 CFR 164.402.
2. **Business Associate** shall mean the name of the organization or entity listed above and shall have the meaning given to the term under the Privacy and Security Rule and the HITECH Act. For full definition refer to 45 CFR 160.103.
3. **CFR** stands for the Code of Federal Regulations.
4. **Agreement** shall refer to this Addendum and that particular agreement to which this Addendum is made a part.
5. **Covered Entity** shall mean the name of the Department listed above and shall have the meaning given to such term under the Privacy Rule and the Security Rule, including, but not limited to 45 CFR 160.103.
6. **Designated Record Set** means a group of records that includes protected health information and is maintained by or for a covered entity or the Business Associate that includes, but is not limited to, medical, billing, enrollment, payment, claims adjudication, and case or medical management records. Refer to 45 CFR 164.501 for the complete definition.
7. **Disclosure** means the release, transfer, provision of, access to, or divulging in any other manner of information outside the entity holding the information as defined in 45 CFR 160.103.
8. **Electronic Protected Health Information** means individually identifiable health information transmitted by electronic media or maintained in electronic media as set forth under 45 CFR 160.103.
9. **Electronic Health Record** means an electronic record of health-related information on an individual that is created, gathered, managed, and consulted by authorized health care clinicians and staff. Refer to 42 USC 17921.
10. **Health Care Operations** shall have the meaning given to the term under the Privacy Rule at 45 CFR 164.501.
11. **Individual** means the person who is the subject of protected health information and is defined in 45 CFR 160.103.
12. **Individually Identifiable Health Information** means health information, in any form or medium, including demographic information collected from an individual, that is created or received by a covered entity or a business associate of the covered entity and relates to the past, present, or future care of the individual. Individually identifiable health information is information that identifies the individual directly or there is a reasonable basis to believe the information can be used to identify the individual. Refer to 45 CFR 160.103.
13. **Parties** shall mean the Business Associate and the Covered Entity.
14. **Privacy Rule** shall mean the HIPAA Regulation that is codified at 45 CFR Parts 160 and 164, Subparts A, D and E.
15. **Protected Health Information** means individually identifiable health information transmitted by electronic media, maintained in electronic media, or transmitted or maintained in any other form or medium. Refer to 45 CFR 160.103 for the complete definition.

16. **Required by Law** means a mandate contained in law that compels an entity to make a use or disclosure of protected health information and that is enforceable in a court of law. This includes but is not limited to: court orders and court-ordered warrants; subpoenas, or summons issued by a court; and statues or regulations that require the provision of information if payment is sought under a government program providing public benefits. For the complete definition refer to 45 CFR 164.103.
17. **Secretary** shall mean the Secretary of the federal Department of Human Services (HHS) or the Secretary's designee.
18. **Security Rule** shall mean the HIPAA regulation that is codified at 45 CFR Parts 160 and 164 Subparts A and C.
19. **Unsecured Protected Health Information** means protected health information that is not rendered unusable, unreadable, or indecipherable to unauthorized individuals through the use of a technology or methodology specified by the Secretary in the guidance issued in Public Law 111-5. Refer to 42 USC 17932 and 45 CFR 164.402.
20. **USC** stands for the United States Code.

II. OBLIGATIONS OF THE BUSINESS ASSOCIATE.

1. **Access to Protected Health Information.** The Business Associate will provide, as directed by the Covered Entity, an individual or the Covered Entity access to inspect or obtain a copy of protected health information about the Individual that is maintained in a designated record set by the Business Associate or, its agents or subcontractors, in order to meet the requirements of the Privacy Rule, including, but not limited to 45 CFR 164.524 and 164.504(e) (2) (ii) (E). If the Business Associate maintains an electronic health record, the Business Associate or, its agents or subcontractors shall provide such information in electronic format to enable the Covered Entity to fulfill its obligations under the HITECH Act, including, but not limited to 42 USC 17935.
2. **Access to Records.** The Business Associate shall make its internal practices, books and records relating to the use and disclosure of protected health information available to the Covered Entity and to the Secretary for purposes of determining Business Associate's compliance with the Privacy and Security Rule in accordance with 45 CFR 164.504(e)(2)(ii)(H).
3. **Accounting of Disclosures.** Promptly, upon request by the Covered Entity or individual for an accounting of disclosures, the Business Associate and its agents or subcontractors shall make available to the Covered Entity or the individual information required to provide an accounting of disclosures in accordance with 45 CFR 164.528, and the HITECH Act, including, but not limited to 42 USC 17935. The accounting of disclosures, whether electronic or other media, must include the requirements as outlined under 45 CFR 164.528(b).
4. **Agents and Subcontractors.** The Business Associate must ensure all agents and subcontractors to whom it provides protected health information agree in writing to the same restrictions and conditions that apply to the Business Associate with respect to all protected health information accessed, maintained, created, retained, modified, recorded, stored, destroyed, or otherwise held, transmitted, used or disclosed by the agent or subcontractor. The Business Associate must implement and maintain sanctions against agents and subcontractors that violate such restrictions and conditions and shall mitigate the effects of any such violation as outlined under 45 CFR 164.530(f) and 164.530(e)(1).
5. **Amendment of Protected Health Information.** The Business Associate will make available protected health information for amendment and incorporate any amendments in the designated record set maintained by the Business Associate or, its agents or subcontractors, as directed by the Covered Entity or an individual, in order to meet the requirements of the Privacy Rule, including, but not limited to, 45 CFR 164.526.
6. **Audits, Investigations, and Enforcement.** The Business Associate must notify the Covered Entity immediately upon learning the Business Associate has become the subject of an audit, compliance review, or complaint investigation by the Office of Civil Rights or any other federal or state oversight agency. The Business Associate shall provide the Covered Entity with a copy of any protected health information that the Business Associate provides to the Secretary or other federal or state oversight agency concurrently with providing such information to the Secretary or other federal or state oversight agency. The Business Associate and individuals associated with the Business Associate are solely responsible for all civil and criminal penalties assessed as a result of an audit, breach, or violation of HIPAA or HITECH laws or regulations. Reference 42 USC 17937.
7. **Breach or Other Improper Access, Use or Disclosure Reporting.** The Business Associate must report to the Covered Entity, in writing, any access, use or disclosure of protected health information not permitted by the agreement, Addendum or the Privacy and Security Rules. The Covered Entity must be notified immediately upon discovery or the first day such breach or suspected breach is known to the Business Associate or by exercising reasonable diligence would have been known by the Business Associate in accordance with 45 CFR 164.410, 164.504(e)(2)(ii)(C) and 164.308(b) and 42 USC 17921. The Business Associate must report any improper access, use or disclosure of protected health information by: The Business Associate or its agents or subcontractors. In the event of a breach or suspected breach of protected health information, the report to the Covered Entity must be in writing and include the following: a brief description of the incident; the date of the incident; the date the incident was discovered by the Business Associate; a thorough description of the unsecured protected health information that was involved in the incident; the number of individuals whose protected health information was involved in the incident; and the steps the Business Associate is taking to investigate the incident and to protect against further incidents. The Covered Entity will determine if a breach of unsecured protected health information has occurred and will notify the Business Associate of the determination. If a breach of unsecured protected health information is determined, the Business Associate must take prompt corrective action to cure any such deficiencies and mitigate any significant harm that may have occurred to individual(s) whose information was disclosed inappropriately.
8. **Breach Notification Requirements.** If the Covered Entity determines a breach of unsecured protected health information by the Business Associate has occurred, the Business Associate will be responsible for notifying the individuals whose unsecured protected health information was breached in accordance with 42 USC 17932 and 45 CFR 164.404 through 164.406. The Business Associate must provide evidence to the Covered Entity that appropriate notifications to individuals and/or media, when necessary, as specified in 45 CFR 164.404 and 45 CFR 164.406 has occurred. The Business Associate is responsible for all costs associated with notification to individuals, the media or others as well as costs associated with mitigating future breaches. The Business Associate must notify the Secretary of all breaches in accordance with 45 CFR 164.408 and must provide the Covered Entity with a copy of all notifications made to the Secretary.
9. **Breach Pattern or Practice by Covered Entity.** Pursuant to 42 USC 17934, if the Business Associate knows of a pattern of activity or practice of the Covered Entity that constitutes a material breach or violation of the Covered Entity's obligations under the Contract or Addendum, the Business Associate must immediately report the problem to the Secretary.
10. **Data Ownership.** The Business Associate acknowledges that the Business Associate or its agents or subcontractors have no ownership rights with respect to the protected health information it accesses, maintains, creates, retains, modifies, records, stores, destroys, or otherwise holds, transmits, uses or discloses.
11. **Litigation or Administrative Proceedings.** The Business Associate shall make itself, any subcontractors, employees, or agents assisting the Business Associate in the performance of its obligations under the agreement or Addendum, available to the Covered Entity, at no cost

to the Covered Entity, to testify as witnesses, or otherwise, in the event litigation or administrative proceedings are commenced against the Covered Entity, its administrators or workforce members upon a claimed violation of HIPAA, the Privacy and Security Rule, the HITECH Act, or other laws relating to security and privacy.

12. **Minimum Necessary.** The Business Associate and its agents and subcontractors shall request, use and disclose only the minimum amount of protected health information necessary to accomplish the purpose of the request, use or disclosure in accordance with 42 USC 17935 and 45 CFR 164.514(d)(3).
13. **Policies and Procedures.** The Business Associate must adopt written privacy and security policies and procedures and documentation standards to meet the requirements of HIPAA and the HITECH Act as described in 45 CFR 164.316 and 42 USC 17931.
14. **Privacy and Security Officer(s).** The Business Associate must appoint Privacy and Security Officer(s) whose responsibilities shall include: monitoring the Privacy and Security compliance of the Business Associate; development and implementation of the Business Associate's HIPAA Privacy and Security policies and procedures; establishment of Privacy and Security training programs; and development and implementation of an incident risk assessment and response plan in the event the Business Associate sustains a breach or suspected breach of protected health information.
15. **Safeguards.** The Business Associate must implement safeguards as necessary to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of the protected health information the Business Associate accesses, maintains, creates, retains, modifies, records, stores, destroys, or otherwise holds, transmits, uses or discloses on behalf of the Covered Entity. Safeguards must include administrative safeguards (e.g., risk analysis and designation of security official), physical safeguards (e.g., facility access controls and workstation security), and technical safeguards (e.g., access controls and audit controls) to the confidentiality, integrity and availability of the protected health information, in accordance with 45 CFR 164.308, 164.310, 164.312, 164.316 and 164.504(e)(2)(ii)(B). Sections 164.308, 164.310 and 164.312 of the CFR apply to the Business Associate of the Covered Entity in the same manner that such sections apply to the Covered Entity. Technical safeguards must meet the standards set forth by the guidelines of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The Business Associate agrees to only use or disclose protected health information as provided for by the agreement and Addendum and to mitigate, to the extent practicable, any harmful effect that is known to the Business Associate, of a use or disclosure, in violation of the requirements of this Addendum as outlined under 45 CFR 164.530(e)(2)(f).
16. **Training.** The Business Associate must train all members of its workforce on the policies and procedures associated with safeguarding protected health information. This includes, at a minimum, training that covers the technical, physical and administrative safeguards needed to prevent inappropriate uses or disclosures of protected health information; training to prevent any intentional or unintentional use or disclosure that is a violation of HIPAA regulations at 45 CFR 160 and 164 and Public Law 111-5; and training that emphasizes the criminal and civil penalties related to HIPAA breaches or inappropriate uses or disclosures of protected health information. Workforce training of new employees must be completed within 30 days of the date of hire and all employees must be trained at least annually. The Business Associate must maintain written records for a period of six years. These records must document each employee that received training and the date the training was provided or received.
17. **Use and Disclosure of Protected Health Information.** The Business Associate must not use or further disclose protected health information other than as permitted or required by the agreement or as required by law. The Business Associate must not use or further disclose protected health information in a manner that would violate the requirements of the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rule and the HITECH Act.

III. PERMITTED AND PROHIBITED USES AND DISCLOSURES BY THE BUSINESS ASSOCIATE. The Business Associate agrees to these general use and disclosure provisions:

1. **Permitted Uses and Disclosures:**
   a. Except as otherwise limited in this Addendum, the Business Associate may use or disclose protected health information to perform functions, activities, or services for, or on behalf of, the Covered Entity as specified in the agreement, provided that such use or disclosure would not violate the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rule or the HITECH Act, if done by the Covered Entity in accordance with 45 CFR 164.504(e) (2) (i) and 42 USC 17935 and 17936.
   b. Except as otherwise limited by this Addendum, the Business Associate may use or disclose protected health information received by the Business Associate in its capacity as a Business Associate of the Covered Entity, as necessary, for the proper management and administration of the Business Associate, to carry out the legal responsibilities of the Business Associate, as required by law or for data aggregation purposes in accordance with 45 CFR 164.504(e)(2)(A), 164.504(e)(4)(i)(A), and 164.504(e)(2)(i)(B).
   c. Except as otherwise limited in this Addendum, if the Business Associate discloses protected health information to a third party, the Business Associate must obtain, prior to making any such disclosure, reasonable written assurances from the third party that such protected health information will be held confidential pursuant to this Addendum and only disclosed as required by law or for the purposes for which it was disclosed to the third party. The written agreement from the third party must include requirements to immediately notify the Business Associate of any breaches of confidentiality of protected health information to the extent it has obtained knowledge of such breach. Refer to 45 CFR 164.502 and 164.504 and 42 USC 17934.
   d. The Business Associate may use or disclose protected health information to report violations of law to appropriate federal and state authorities, consistent with 45 CFR 164.502(j)(1).

2. **Prohibited Uses and Disclosures:**
   a. Except as otherwise limited in this Addendum, the Business Associate shall not disclose protected health information to a health plan for payment or health care operations purposes if the patient has required this special restriction and has paid out of pocket in full for the health care item or service to which the protected health information relates in accordance with 42 USC 17935.
   b. The Business Associate shall not directly or indirectly receive remuneration in exchange for any protected health information, as specified by 42 USC 17935, unless the Covered Entity obtained a valid authorization, in accordance with 45 CFR 164.508 that includes a specification that protected health information can be exchanged for remuneration.

IV. OBLIGATIONS OF COVERED ENTITY

1. The Covered Entity will inform the Business Associate of any limitations in the Covered Entity's Notice of Privacy Practices in accordance with 45 CFR 164.520, to the extent that such limitation may affect the Business Associate's use or disclosure of protected health information.

2. The Covered Entity will inform the Business Associate of any changes in, or revocation of, permission by an individual to use or disclose protected health information, to the extent that such changes may affect the Business Associate's use or disclosure of protected health information.
3. The Covered Entity will inform the Business Associate of any restriction to the use or disclosure of protected health information that the Covered Entity has agreed to in accordance with 45 CFR 164.522 and 42 USC 17935, to the extent that such restriction may affect the Business Associate's use or disclosure of protected health information.
4. Except in the event of lawful data aggregation or management and administrative activities, the Covered Entity shall not request the Business Associate to use or disclose protected health information in any manner that would not be permissible under the HIPAA Privacy and Security Rule and the HITECH Act, if done by the Covered Entity.

V. TERM AND TERMINATION

1. **Effect of Termination**:
   a. Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, upon termination of this Addendum, for any reason, the Business Associate will return or destroy all protected health information received from the Covered Entity or created, maintained, or received by the Business Associate on behalf of the Covered Entity that the Business Associate still maintains in any form and the Business Associate will retain no copies of such information.
   b. If the Business Associate determines that returning or destroying the protected health information is not feasible, the Business Associate will provide to the Covered Entity notification of the conditions that make return or destruction infeasible. Upon a mutual determination that return, or destruction of protected health information is infeasible, the Business Associate shall extend the protections of this Addendum to such protected health information and limit further uses and disclosures of such protected health information to those purposes that make return or destruction infeasible, for so long as the Business Associate maintains such protected health information.
   c. These termination provisions will apply to protected health information that is in the possession of subcontractors, agents, or employees of the Business Associate.
2. **Term**. The Term of this Addendum shall commence as of the effective date of this Addendum herein and shall extend beyond the termination of the contract and shall terminate when all the protected health information provided by the Covered Entity to the Business Associate, or accessed, maintained, created, retained, modified, recorded, stored, or otherwise held, transmitted, used or disclosed by the Business Associate on behalf of the Covered Entity, is destroyed or returned to the Covered Entity, or, if it not feasible to return or destroy the protected health information, protections are extended to such information, in accordance with the termination.
3. **Termination for Breach of Agreement**. The Business Associate agrees that the Covered Entity may immediately terminate the agreement if the Covered Entity determines that the Business Associate has violated a material part of this Addendum.

VI. MISCELLANEOUS

1. **Amendment.** The parties agree to take such action as is necessary to amend this Addendum from time to time for the Covered Entity to comply with all the requirements of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) of 1996, Public Law No. 104-191 and the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH) of 2009, Public Law No. 111-5.
2. **Clarification.** This Addendum references the requirements of HIPAA, the HITECH Act, the Privacy Rule and the Security Rule, as well as amendments and/or provisions that are currently in place and any that may be forthcoming.
3. **Indemnification.** Each party will indemnify and hold harmless the other party to this Addendum from and against all claims, losses, liabilities, costs and other expenses incurred as a result of, or arising directly or indirectly out of or in conjunction with:
   a. Any misrepresentation, breach of warranty or non-fulfillment of any undertaking on the part of the party under this Addendum; and
   b. Any claims, demands, awards, judgments, actions, and proceedings made by any person or organization arising out of or in any way connected with the party's performance under this Addendum.
4. **Interpretation.** The provisions of the Addendum shall prevail over any provisions in the agreement that may conflict or appear inconsistent with any provision in this Addendum. This Addendum and the agreement shall be interpreted as broadly as necessary to implement and comply with HIPAA, the HITECH Act, the Privacy Rule and the Security Rule. The parties agree that any ambiguity in this Addendum shall be resolved to permit the Covered Entity and the Business Associate to comply with HIPAA, the HITECH Act, the Privacy Rule and the Security Rule.
5. **Regulatory Reference.** A reference in this Addendum to a section of the HITECH Act, HIPAA, the Privacy Rule and Security Rule means the sections as in effect or as amended.
6. **Survival**. The respective rights and obligations of Business Associate under Effect of Termination of this Addendum shall survive the termination of this Addendum.

Compliance with this section is acknowledged by signing the subaward cover page of this packet.

Douglas County Finance Department

Grant Information Form

Complete only GREY areas

Today's Date	9/23/2026	**Fund-Department #**	216 551
Project Number	27F14	**Fund-Department Name**	Social Services
Grant Title	CSBG grant - FY27		
Grant Purpose	Deliver community services (food, workforce assistance, rent, utilities, etc) to individuals and families at risk.		
Awarding Entity	State of Nevada Department of Human Services		
Total Grant Funds	$ 24,393.00		
Grant Start Date	10/1/2026	**End Date**	9/30/2027
Grant Type	☑ Federal- Enter CFDA ☐ State ☐ Other	(description of Other)	
Federal CFDA#	93.569	**Agreement Number**	DO 1787
Method of Funding	☐ Advance ☑ Reimbursement		
Reporting Frequency	☑ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Semi-Annually ☐ Annually ☐ Upon Completion		
Reporting Due By	15th	**(EX: 10th, 15th, 30th after the end of the Quarter)**	
County Match Required?	☑ No ☐ Yes - enter $amount or %	**Match Amt**	$ - **or Match %** 0%
Match Type	☐ In-Kind **or** ☐ Cash		

Complete only GREY areas

	Fund	Dept		
Fund-Department #	216	551	**Project Number**	**27F14**
Fund-Department Name	Social Services			

Financial Information

Source of Funds

(Name of Awarding entity)

Revenue					
216-000 331.500	Grant Revenue - Federal	$ 24,393.00	$ -	$ -	
216-000 331.510	Grant Revenue - State	$ -	$ -	$ -	
216-000 360.300	Grant Revenue - Non-Govt.	$ -	$ -	$ -	
	Total Revenue	$ 24,393.00	$ -	$ -	
	Match - Non Grant Reimbursement	$ -	$ -	$ -	

Expenditures

		First Year	Second Year	Third Year
Various	Salaries & Benefits	$ -	$ -	$ -
216-551 520.166	Housing	$ 17,976.00	$ -	$ -
216-551 532.001	Operating Supplies	$ 6,417.00	$ -	$ -
216-551 521.100	Professional Services	$ -	$ -	$ -
216-551 520.116	Vehicle Maint - Co	$ -	$ -	$ -
216-551 520.156	Insurance	$ -	$ -	$ -
216-551 533.800	Office Supplies	$ -	$ -	$ -
216-551 000.000	Maint Bldg & Grounds	$ -	$ -	$ -
216-551 562.100	Grants-Capital Outlay	$ -	$ -	$ -
		$ -	$ -	$ -
		$ -	$ -	$ -
	Subtotal Expenses	$ 24,393.00	$ -	$ -
216-551 562.100	Grant Match - Capital Outlay	$ -	$ -	$ -
216-551 511.150	Grant Match - Salary	$ -	$ -	$ -
216-551 541.501	Grant Match - Serv & Supplies	$ -	$ -	$ -
	Subtotal Match	$ -	$ -	$ -
	Total Expenditure	$ 24,393.00	$ -	$ -
	Total Match	$ -	$ -	$ -

Where will match funds come from?

Grant Awarded Funds

Year	Amount
FY26-27	$ 24,393.00
FY27-27	$ -
FY28-29	$ -
Total	$ 24,393.00

AGENDA ITEM NO. C.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: CONSENT ITEMS

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to approve a 2-year contract with Spectrum for connectivity and internet services at Lake Tahoe. The cost is $28,740 per year with no additional fees. The total contract amount will not exceed $57,480 for the two-year term. (Peace Ruggia, Chief Information Officer)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Approve the contract with Spectrum for connectivity and internet services as presented.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
This contract is for the radio upgrade project. Funding for this contract is available in the Radio Upgrade Project CIP. The first 2 years of this funding will be from the project funds. After Year 2, the cost for internet services will be built into the radio user fees to be funded by the radio user entities. No additional appropriation or transfer is needed.

BACKGROUND:

This strategic investment goes beyond a standard infrastructure improvement; it is an absolute necessity for Douglas County's public safety and operational resilience. By establishing a robust internet backhaul for the County's critical public safety radio system, this project secures the primary communications lifeline for the Douglas County Sheriff's Office, East Fork Fire Protection District, Tahoe Douglas Fire Protection District, Washoe Tribal Police Department, and Douglas County 911 Emergency Dispatch and Management. The contract will cover the Lake Tahoe radio site locations. The request was supported by all entities at the Radio Executive meeting.

Upgrading this system replaces the existing legacy copper phone lines and safeguards public safety continuity during major incidents, and creates scalable capacity for future technology upgrades. Ultimately, this investment ensures that first responders and county emergency services maintain

uninterrupted, reliable communications.

ATTACHMENTS:

Fiber Business Case - Lake Sites.pdf
Lake Frequency Planning Document.docx
DOUGLAS COUNTY - Contract - Ethernet Intrastate Tax Form - Sales UI - 09_14_2026.pdf
DOUGLAS COUNTY - Service Order - 09_14_2026 (1).pdf
251121 Attachment H Managed Services.pdf
260210-Attachment-G-WAN-Services.pdf
260417 Spectrum Business Terms of Service - General.pdf

BUSINESS CASE & EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Multi-Site Network Connectivity Agreement with Spectrum Enterprise

Ethernet EPLAN & Network Edge Service

Submitted by:	Peace Ruggia, Chief Information Officer Griffin Kale, Technical Lead, Network & Infrastructure
Submitted to:	Internal Review Committee: for review
Requested action:	Approve a 24-month Ethernet EPLAN and Enterprise Network Edge service agreement with Spectrum Enterprise across four County-affiliated sites. Radio system backhaul for emergency radio system. 4 sites include: Glenbrook, Daggett Pass, Ski Run, Dispatch Center.
Date:	September 3, 2026

1. PURPOSE OF REQUEST

This business case requests Internal Review Committee approval, and approval by the Board of County Commissioners to authorize Peace Ruggia (Chief Information Officer), to enter into a service agreement with Spectrum Enterprise for dedicated Ethernet EPLAN and Enterprise Network Edge circuits at four County radio sites (current and future):

- Dispatch - 1615 8th St, Minden
- Glenbrook - 1803 Highway 50, Glenbrook
- Ski Run - 901 Ski Run Blvd, South Lake Tahoe
- Daggett Pass: 801 Boulder Ct, Stateline

Spectrum has quoted pricing under both a 12-month and a 24-month term, with no activation or construction cost under either option. This request recommends the County commit to the 24-month term based on the recurring cost savings detailed in Section 5.

2. BACKGROUND AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

These four sites support Douglas County radio network infrastructure operations, including the Boulder Court site currently under a separate 60-day feasibility test with Everest Infrastructure as a possible Casino Core/Stateline and East Peak radio coverage alternative. Each site requires dedicated, reliable bandwidth to support network edge security and day-to-day data transport. Locking in circuit pricing now, at the lowest available rate, ensures the County is not paying a premium for connectivity while longer-term infrastructure decisions are still being finalized.

3. PROPOSED SOLUTION & SITE OVERVIEW

Spectrum Enterprise has quoted the following circuits at each site, under both a 12-month and a 24-month term:

Site	Service	Speed	12-Mo MRR	24-Mo MRR
1615 8th St, Minden, NV	Ethernet EPLAN	200 Mbps	$1,148.00	$566.00
	Enterprise Network Edge	200 Mbps	$325.00	$216.00
1803 Highway 50, Glenbrook, NV	Ethernet EPLAN	50 Mbps	$787.00	$398.00
	Enterprise Network Edge	100 Mbps	$225.00	$139.50
901 Ski Run Blvd, South Lake Tahoe, CA	Ethernet EPLAN	50 Mbps	$787.00	$398.00
	Enterprise Network Edge	100 Mbps	$225.00	$139.50
801 Boulder Ct, Stateline, NV	Ethernet EPLAN	50 Mbps	$787.00	$398.00
	Enterprise Network Edge	100 Mbps	$225.00	$139.50
TOTAL — ALL SITES (MRR)			**$4,509.00**	**$2,395.00**

No activation or construction cost applies under either term.

4. SCOPE OF THE AGREEMENT

- Douglas County contracts with Spectrum Enterprise for Ethernet EPLAN and Enterprise Network Edge circuits at all four listed sites.
- The County selects a single term length — 12 months or 24 months — applied consistently across all four sites.
- No construction or activation charges apply at any site under either term.
- Circuits are provisioned and billed per site; each site's Ethernet EPLAN and Network Edge service can be tracked independently for budgeting purposes.
- IT will confirm early-termination terms with Spectrum prior to execution, given that the Boulder Court site's long-term use is still pending the outcome of a separate radio feasibility test.

5. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS — 12-MONTH VS. 24-MONTH TERM

	12-Month Term	24-Month Term
Monthly Recurring Cost (All Sites)	$4,509.00	$2,395.00
Annualized Cost	$54,108.00	$28,740.00
Total Cost Over 24 Months*	$108,216.00	$57,480.00
Activation / Construction Cost	$0.00	$0.00

**12-month total assumes the initial term is renewed for a second year at the same rate; actual renewal pricing is not guaranteed and is shown for comparison only.*

Estimated Savings — 24-Month vs. 12-Month Term: $2,114.00/month ($25,368.00/year), or approximately $50,736.00 over a two-year horizon.

During the transition, IT proposes to utilize the Radio Project funds. Once the upgrades are made the billing will coincide with cost to radio user fees. The aim of this project is to land the new connectivity close to the cost for the copper radio backhaul.

6. BENEFITS

- Replaces aging copper phone line radio backhaul infrastructure with modernized connectivity.
- Reduces recurring connectivity cost by roughly 47% per month versus the 12-month term, at identical speeds and identical $0 construction/activation cost.
- Secures dedicated Ethernet and Network Edge bandwidth at four operationally important sites, including Boulder Court, which is concurrently under evaluation as a radio infrastructure alternative.
- Standardizes service levels and billing across all four sites under a single vendor agreement.
- Locks in pricing for two years, insulating the County from near-term rate increases.

7. RISKS AND CONSIDERATIONS

- A 24-month commitment reduces flexibility at 801 Boulder Ct if the concurrent Everest Infrastructure feasibility test concludes the site is not viable for radio use; early-termination terms should be confirmed with Spectrum before signing.
- The 12-month renewal cost used for comparison in Section 5 is an estimate; Spectrum has not guaranteed that rate for a second year.
- Circuit needs at any of the four sites could change based on future infrastructure decisions, including the outcome of the radio modernization program.

8. RECOMMENDATION

IT recommends that the Internal Review Committee approve a 24-month Ethernet EPLAN and Enterprise Network Edge service agreement with Spectrum Enterprise across all four sites — 1615 8th St (Minden), 1803 Highway 50 (Glenbrook), 901 Ski Run Blvd (South Lake Tahoe), and 801 Boulder Ct (Stateline). The 24-month term carries no activation or construction cost, matches the 12-month term on speed and service type, and reduces the County's recurring connectivity spend by approximately $2,114 per month. IT further recommends confirming early-termination terms for the Boulder Court circuit given its dependency on the outcome of the concurrent radio site feasibility test.

SITE FREQUENCY PLANNING & EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Lake Frequency Planning Document

Frequency Assignments, Network Designations & Coverage Overview

Submitted by: Peace Ruggia, Chief Information Officer
Griffin Kale, Technical Lead, Network & Infrastructure
Reviewed by: Radio Executive Group / Radio Working Group
Date: September 9, 2026

1. PURPOSE OF DOCUMENT

This document presents future site frequency assignments, network designations, and map markers for the public safety radio sites, along with a coverage overview supporting ongoing site planning and radio system modernization decisions.

2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This planning effort supports the County's broader initiative to modernize the public safety radio backbone, identify sites that offer improved accessibility and more reliable infrastructure, and solidify the radio system's performance and resiliency for the long term. The frequency assignments and coverage modeling below reflect the current site inventory: active, new, and modified, and will inform the network and site-selection decisions that follow.

3. BACKGROUND

Douglas County's public safety radio network spans multiple mountain and valley sites supporting Law, Fire, and Command Net traffic. Site status varies across the network: some locations are active and in service, others are newly proposed to close coverage gaps, and at least one existing site (East Peak) is being evaluated for modification to improve its role in the network. Consistent frequency and network assignments across sites are necessary to maintain interoperability and coverage continuity as the network evolves.

4. SITE BREAKDOWN & ASSIGNMENTS

Site Location	Status	Future Assigned Networks
Glenbrook (S Point Place)	Active	Law, Fire
Hilton (901 Ski Run)	New Site	Law, Fire
Daggett Pass (Boulder Ct)	New Site	Law, Fire, Orange
East Peak (Heavenly)	Modify	Command Net

5. COVERAGE MAP OVERVIEW

The coverage model below reflects predicted signal propagation from the Glenbrook transmit site across the Lake Tahoe basin and into the Carson Valley, with marker points indicating current and proposed site locations referenced in Section 4 (minus East Peak).




Figure 1. Predicted coverage — Glenbrook transmit site, Lake Tahoe / Carson Valley corridor.

6. CONSIDERATIONS & NEXT STEPS

- Confirm frequency coordination and interference analysis for the two new sites (901 Ski Run and Daggett Pass / Boulder Ct) before activation.
- Validate coverage modeling against field measurements, test the new sites for operations, before any agreements.
- Finalize the scope of the East Peak modification and its effect on Command Net coverage before proceeding. Modify UHF backhaul to microwave (to dispatch).
- Align site buildout sequencing with the broader radio modernization program and related connectivity and backhaul planning.

7. RECOMMENDATION

IT recommends proceeding with frequency coordination and site validation for the sites identified above, with priority given to the two new sites supporting Law and Fire traffic. This positions the County to modernize the radio backbone, secure sites with better accessibility, and improve long-term system reliability as the broader radio modernization program advances.

Ethernet Intrastate-Only Traffic Certification

Customer Name (Legal Entity): DOUGLAS COUNTY

Billing Address:

3587 N SUNRIDGE DR

CARSON CITY NV 89705

Circuit ID / Account Number

Charter Communications Operating, LLC and its subsidiaries providing the Services ("Spectrum"), presumes that more than 10% of the traffic carried on the WAN/Ethernet services that we provide to you over any circuit will be interstate in nature, and that therefore by Federal Communications Commission regulation each such circuit must be treated as jurisdictionally interstate in its entirety. If you expect that **10% or less** of the traffic to be carried over any circuit will be **interstate** in nature, please complete the certification form below to identify the relevant circuit(s) and specify the expected jurisdictional allocation of your traffic associated with such circuit(s). Please note that all Internet-related traffic is presumptively interstate. Also, please note that **you must provide this when you enter into, or renew, your contract or Service Order, when you add WAN/Ethernet services or circuits, and whenever there is a material change in the actual or expected jurisdictional nature of your traffic**. In the event that you fail to provide this certification in accordance with procedures specified by Spectrum, Spectrum reserves the right to again presume that more than 10% of the traffic carried over each circuit is interstate in nature and calculate the fees applicable to that usage accordingly.

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the traffic carried by Spectrum in its provision of WAN/Ethernet services on the circuits **listed on the attached Service Order** is jurisdictionally intrastate and **will contain no more than 10% interstate traffic**.

(Authorized Customer Signature) (Date Signed)

(Printed Name) (Title)

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

Spectrum BUSINESS®

Ethernet Intrastate-Only Traffic Certification

Authorized Customer Contact Information:

Phone: (775) 783-6475 Email: pruggia@douglasnv.us

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

SERVICE ORDER

THIS SERVICE ORDER ("Service Order") is executed and effective upon the date of the signature set forth in the signature block below ("Effective Date") and is by and between Charter Communications Operating, LLC on behalf of those operating subsidiaries providing the Service(s) hereunder ("Spectrum") and Customer (as shown below), and is governed by and subject to the applicable Spectrum Business - Enterprise Commercial Terms of Service posted at https://enterprise.spectrum.com/legal/terms-and-conditions.html (or successor URL) or, if applicable, an existing service agreement executed by the parties (each, as appropriate, the "Service Agreement"). Except as specifically modified herein, all other terms and conditions of the Service Agreement shall remain unamended and in full force and effect.

Spectrum Contact Information
Contact: Brandon Lee Telephone: 928-210-0127 Email: brandon.lee@spectrum.com

Customer Information		
Customer Name DOUGLAS COUNTY		Order # 15684871
Address 3587 N SUNRIDGE DR CARSON CITY NV 89705		
Telephone (775) 783-6475		Email: pruggia@douglasnv.us
Contact Name Peace Ruggia	Telephone (775) 783-6475	Email: pruggia@douglasnv.us
Billing Address 3587 N SUNRIDGE DR CARSON CITY 89705		
Billing Contact Name	Telephone	Email:

NEW AND REVISED SERVICES AT 1803 Highway 50 Unit STNV, Glenbrook NV 89413				
Service Description	**Order Term**	**Quantity**	**Monthly Recurring Charge(s)**	**Total Monthly Recurring Charge(s)**
Spoke	24 Months	1	$0.00	$0.00
EP-LAN 50Mbps	24 Months	1	$398.00	$398.00
Enterprise Network Edge Up To 100 Mbps	24 Months	1	$139.50	$139.50
TOTAL				**$537.50**

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications Operating, LLC. All Rights Reserved

NEW AND REVISED SERVICES AT 1615 8th St , Minden NV 89423				
Service Description	**Order Term**	**Quantity**	**Monthly Recurring Charge(s)**	**Total Monthly Recurring Charge(s)**
Enterprise Network Edge Up To 200 Mbps	24 Months	1	$216.00	$216.00
EP-LAN 200Mbps	24 Months	1	$566.00	$566.00
Spoke	24 Months	1	$0.00	$0.00
TOTAL				**$782.00**

NEW AND REVISED SERVICES AT 801 Boulder Ct Unit STNV, Stateline NV 89449				
Service Description	**Order Term**	**Quantity**	**Monthly Recurring Charge(s)**	**Total Monthly Recurring Charge(s)**
Spoke	24 Months	1	$0.00	$0.00
Enterprise Network Edge Up To 100 Mbps	24 Months	1	$139.50	$139.50
EP-LAN 50Mbps	24 Months	1	$398.00	$398.00
TOTAL				**$537.50**

NEW AND REVISED SERVICES AT 901 Ski Run Blvd , South Lake Tahoe CA 96150				
Service Description	**Order Term**	**Quantity**	**Monthly Recurring Charge(s)**	**Total Monthly Recurring Charge(s)**
EP-LAN 100Mbps	24 Months	1	$398.00	$398.00
Spoke	24 Months	1	$0.00	$0.00
Enterprise Network Edge Up To 100 Mbps	24 Months	1	$139.50	$139.50
TOTAL				**$537.50**

ONE TIME CHARGE(S) AT 801 Boulder Ct Unit STNV, Stateline NV 89449			
Service Description	**Quantity**	**One Time Charge(s)**	**Total One Time Charge(s)**
Enterprise Network Edge Installation	1	$0.00	$0.00
Ethernet Fiber Install	1	$0.00	$0.00
Demarc Wiring Service	1	$500.00	$500.00
Demarc Wiring Service - Promotion	1	($500.00)	($500.00)
TOTAL			**$0.00**

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications Operating, LLC. All Rights Reserved

ONE TIME CHARGE(S) AT 901 Ski Run Blvd , South Lake Tahoe CA 96150			
Service Description	**Quantity**	**One Time Charge(s)**	**Total One Time Charge(s)**
Enterprise Network Edge Installation	1	$0.00	$0.00
Ethernet Fiber Install	1	$0.00	$0.00
Demarc Wiring Service	1	$500.00	$500.00
Demarc Wiring Service - Promotion	1	($500.00)	($500.00)
TOTAL			**$0.00**

ONE TIME CHARGE(S) AT 1803 Highway 50 Unit STNV, Glenbrook NV 89413			
Service Description	**Quantity**	**One Time Charge(s)**	**Total One Time Charge(s)**
Enterprise Network Edge Installation	1	$0.00	$0.00
Ethernet Fiber Install	1	$0.00	$0.00
Demarc Wiring Service	1	$500.00	$500.00
Demarc Wiring Service - Promotion	1	($500.00)	($500.00)
TOTAL			**$0.00**

ONE TIME CHARGE(S) AT 1615 8th St , Minden NV 89423			
Service Description	**Quantity**	**One Time Charge(s)**	**Total One Time Charge(s)**
Enterprise Network Edge Installation	1	$0.00	$0.00
Ethernet Fiber Install	1	$0.00	$0.00
Demarc Wiring Service	1	$500.00	$500.00
Demarc Wiring Service - Promotion	1	($500.00)	($500.00)
TOTAL			**$0.00**

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications Operating, LLC. All Rights Reserved

1. **TOTAL CHARGE(S). Total monthly recurring charges and total one-time charges are due in accordance with the monthly invoice.**
2. **TAXES.** Plus applicable taxes, fees, and surcharges as presented on the respective invoice(s).
3. **SPECIAL TERMS.**

By signing below, the signatory represents they are duly authorized to execute this Service Order

Customer	
Signature:	_____
Printed Name:	_____
Title:	_____
Date:	_____

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications Operating, LLC. All Rights Reserved

Attachment H to Commercial Terms of Service (Enterprise)

Managed Network Edge Service ("MNE"), Enterprise Network Edge Service ("ENE"), Managed WiFi Service, Secure Access with Cisco Duo ("Cisco Duo"), Cloud Security with Cisco+ Secure Connect ("Cisco+ Secure Connect"), Managed Router Service ("MRS"), and Managed Security Service ("MSS") (collectively, "Managed Services," and each individually, a "Managed Service")

If Customer elects to purchase a Managed Service, Spectrum shall provide Customer with any required customer premises equipment ("CPE") through which Customer can receive the purchased Managed Service(s) at Customer's Service Location(s) across Customer's network, as may be more particularly described and set forth in the applicable Service Order.

Customer's use of any of the Managed Services, as applicable, is subject to the following additional terms and conditions:

The Managed Services may include software, firmware, and hardware components supplied by Spectrum or third parties. Spectrum is not the manufacturer or supplier of any software, firmware or hardware components of the Managed Services. Spectrum may update a Managed Service from time to time based on manufacturer-provided updates.

Technical Configuration Questionnaire.
Spectrum may request that Customer complete a "Technical Configuration Questionnaire" to obtain necessary information in order to provide a Managed Service. Incomplete or incorrect configuration information may adversely affect the Managed Service.

Security Limitations.
In accordance with the Disclaimer of Warranty and Limitation of Liability section of Spectrum's Terms of Service, Customer assumes sole responsibility for use of the Managed Service and for access to and use of Customer Equipment used in connection with the Managed Service.

1. MANAGED NETWORK EDGE SERVICE. This section applies only if the Customer purchases one or more of the MNE Services below. Spectrum shall provide Customer with one or more CPE, as applicable, providing various network functions at Customer's Service Location(s) across Customer's network, as may be more particularly described and set forth herein and in the applicable Service Order. Customer and End Users are responsible for Internet connectivity and the provision of power (including any back-up power) at all Service Locations and End User locations (as applicable) in order for Customer and its End Users to utilize the MNE Service. If Internet connectivity or power at a Service Location, End User location, or for CPE suffers degradation or is unavailable for any reason, then the MNE Service at such location, or with respect to such CPE, may be degraded or inoperable. SPECTRUM SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY LOSSES OR DAMAGES ARISING AS A RESULT OF THE UNAVAILABILITY OF ANY MNE SERVICE, OR PART THEREOF, FOR ANY REASON, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY CAMERA RECORDING, STORAGE OR ARCHIVING FUNCTIONS, EVEN IF THE FOREGOING IS RELATED TO A SECURITY OR SAFETY RELATED EVENT.

Customer may not add devices obtained from third parties to the Spectrum/Customer co-managed MNE organization ("MNE Organization"). In the event Customer adds additional devices to such MNE Organization, then: (i) Spectrum has the option, but not the obligation, to remove the devices from the MNE Organization without notice to Customer; and (ii) any Service Level Agreements applicable to an MNE Service managed within the MNE Organization shall not apply until the devices are removed from the MNE Organization by Customer or Spectrum.

(a) Managed Network Edge. MNE Service may provide routing, VPN capabilities, security features, and unified threat management at Customer's Service Locations depending on the edge device deployed. Enhanced functionality and licensing options may be available upon request.

(b) Managed Network Edge WiFi. MNE WiFi Service provides Customer with wireless networking connectivity at Customer's Service Locations. Spectrum will provide Customer with wireless access plan with MNE WiFi to identify recommended placement locations and coverage areas (based on square footage) consistent with quantity of devices ordered. As with any wireless service, actual coverage may vary from design and is limited based on varying factors such as, but not limited to, the number of devices deployed, distance from power source, structural impediments, and other interference factors. It is Customer's responsibility to purchase additional MNE WiFi Service to augment coverage as may be needed.

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

(c) Managed Network Edge Switch. MNE Switch Service provides Customer with Layer 2/3 switching at Customer's Service Locations.

(d) Managed Network Edge Camera. MNE Camera Service provides Customer with CPE for capturing video data at Customer's Service Locations. Upon request, Spectrum will coordinate with Customer to help identify camera placement locations and coverage areas (based on square footage), consistent with quantity of devices ordered. Actual camera coverage may vary from design and is limited based on various factors, including, but not limited to, the number of devices deployed, distance from power source, structural impediments, and other interference factors. It is Customer's responsibly to purchase additional Camera Services to augment coverage as may be needed.

(e) Managed Network Edge Camera Cloud Storage. MNE Camera Cloud Storage Service provides camera cloud archiving to individual cameras as an add-on service to the MNE Camera Service (a separate cloud storage license is required for each camera). The Camera Cloud Storage Service is offered in several different storage periods.

(f) Managed Network Edge Teleworker. MNE Teleworker Service provides Customer with router and VPN capabilities for remote work locations (e.g., home or small office). MNE Teleworker Service devices will be shipped to the Customer's designated contact who will be responsible for forwarding the devices to End Users. Customer shall also be responsible for retrieving MNE Teleworker Service devices from End Users upon termination of the MNE Teleworker Service. Installation of the MNE Teleworker Service devices will be performed on a self-install basis. Individual End Users shall contact Customer for support regarding the MNE Teleworker Service, and not contact Spectrum directly. Notwithstanding anything in the Terms of Service to the contrary, the MNE Teleworker Service Initial Order Term and Billing Start Date will begin upon delivery of the MNE Teleworker Service equipment to Customer, as indicated by Spectrum's designated courier. Customer must purchase MNE Service from Spectrum in order to purchase MNE Teleworker Service.

(g) Managed Network Edge Virtual Edge (vMX). MNE Virtual Edge (vMX) Service provides a virtualized network edge connecting Customer's network to one of the following cloud environments: Amazon Web Services, Google Cloud Platform, or Microsoft Azure. Customer must establish its own account with Amazon, Google or Microsoft, as applicable, and purchase a cloud instance to host the Virtual Edge software. Customer must purchase MNE Service from Spectrum in order to purchase MNE Virtual Edge (vMX) Service.

(h) Managed Network Edge AnyConnect. MNE AnyConnect Service consists of a VPN server configured on Customer's MNE edge device and accessed by AnyConnect Plus VPN client software installed on Customer's End Users' device. When using AnyConnect products or services offered through Spectrum, Customer and each End User agrees and accepts Cisco's applicable AnyConnect terms, including the following: (i) Cisco End User License Agreement for AnyConnect Secure Mobility Client available at https://www.cisco.com/c/dam/en_us/about/doing_business/legal/seula/DOC-1.pdf, and (ii) Supplemental End User License Agreement available at https://www.cisco.com/c/dam/en_us/about/doing_business/legal/seula/anyconnect-SEULA-v4x.pdf. Notwithstanding anything in the Terms of Service to the contrary, the MNE AnyConnect Service Initial Order Term and Billing Start Date will begin upon the date that Spectrum provides AnyConnect license information to Customer. Customer must purchase MNE Service from Spectrum in order to purchase MNE AnyConnect Service.

(i) Managed Network Edge Sensors. MNE Sensors provide insights into Customer's workspace. The sensors provide Customer with near real-time visibility and the ability to avoid disruptions by setting alerts and notifications to Customer to changes in conditions in their environments via a portal. Examples of MNE Sensor types include: Temperature and Humidity. Open/Close, Water Detection, Temperature Probe, Air Quality, Smart Button.

(j) Managed Network Edge for Hospitality. MNE for Hospitality Service provides the Customer with a WiFi network solution with wireless access points ("WAPs") deployed at the Service Location to enable designated users of the Customer's choice to wirelessly access the Internet. MNE Hospitality Service or certain features (guest support, property management system (PMS) integration, site management portal, and conference manager), may not be available in all service areas and may change from time to time.

(k) Managed Network Edge for Campus Living. MNE for Campus Living Service provides the Customer with a WiFi network solution with WAPs deployed at the Service Location to enable designated users of the Customer's choice to wirelessly access the Internet. MNE for Campus Living Service or certain features thereof (personal area network, management portal, and captive portal), may not be available in all service areas and may change from time to time.

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

2. ENTERPRISE NETWORK EDGE SERVICE. This section applies only if the Customer purchases one or more of the ENE Services below. Spectrum shall provide Customer with one or more CPE, as applicable, providing various network functions at Customer's Service Location(s) across Customer's network, as may be more particularly described and set forth herein and in the applicable Service Order. Customer is responsible for Internet connectivity and the provision of power (including any back-up power) at all Service Locations in order for Customer to utilize the ENE Service. If Internet connectivity or power at a Service Location, or if CPE suffers degradation or is unavailable for any reason, then the ENE Service at such location may be degraded or inoperable.

SPECTRUM SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY LOSSES OR DAMAGES ARISING AS A RESULT OF THE UNAVAILABILITY OF ANY ENE SERVICE, OR PART THEREOF, FOR ANY REASON.

Customer may not add devices obtained from third parties to the Spectrum/Customer co-managed ENE organization ("ENE Organization"). In the event Customer adds additional devices to such ENE Organization, then: (i) Spectrum has the option, but not the obligation, to remove the devices from the ENE Organization without notice to Customer; and (ii) any Service Level Agreements applicable to an ENE Service managed within the ENE Organization shall not apply until the devices are removed from the ENE Organization by Customer or Spectrum.

(a) Enterprise Network Edge. ENE Service provides routing, VPN capabilities, and security features at Customer's Service Locations.

(b) Enterprise Network Edge Switch. ENE Switch Service provides Customer with Layer 2/3 switching at Customer's Service Locations.

(c) Enterprise Network Edge Virtual Machine. ENE Virtual Machine Service provides a virtualized network edge connecting Customer's network to one of the following cloud environments: Amazon Web Services, Microsoft Azure. Customer must establish its own account with Amazon or Microsoft, as applicable, and purchase a cloud instance to host the Virtual Machine software. Customer must purchase ENE Service from Spectrum in order to purchase ENE Virtual Machine Service.

(d) Enterprise Network Edge WiFi. ENE WiFi Service provides Customer with wireless Networking connectivity at Customer's Service Locations. Spectrum will provide Customer with a wireless access plan with ENE WiFi to identify recommended placement locations and coverage areas (based on square footage) consistent with quantity of devices ordered. As with any wireless service, actual coverage may vary from design and is limited based on varying factors such as, but not limited to, the number of devices deployed, distance from power source, structural impediments, and other interference factors. It is Customer's responsibly to purchase additional ENE WiFi Service to augment coverage as may be needed.

3. MANAGED WIFI SERVICE. This section applies only if Customer purchases the Managed WiFi Service.

(a) Spectrum will provide Managed WiFi solution with WAPs deployed at the designated Service Location to enable designated users of the Customer's choice to wirelessly access the Internet as more specifically set forth in a Service Order. Managed WiFi Service or certain features, may not be available in all service areas and may change from time to time, in Spectrum's sole discretion.

(b) Internet Access. Spectrum may provide Managed WiFi Service to locations where Spectrum will not be the primary Internet access provider, provided that Customer purchases an Internet access Service from Spectrum for the sole purpose of providing Spectrum direct internet connectivity to the Managed WiFi Service equipment (e.g., switches and controllers) for the purpose of administration and monitoring.

(c) Connectivity to Local Area Networks. Configuration of the Managed WiFi Service will be as agreed in the Spectrum WiFi questionnaire completed by the Parties. Customer will need to train and engage Customer's staff for all ongoing support issues. The Managed WiFi Service does not include support for connectivity to any device (printers, laptops, computers, routers, etc.).

4. SECURE ACCESS WITH CISCO DUO.
This section applies only if the Customer purchases Cisco Duo Service. Cisco Duo Service is a subscription-based service that delivers multi-factor authentication (MFA), device trust, policy-based access, and/or single sign on, as purchased by Customer. Cisco Duo Service adds protection to applications on Customer's network, and enables a second source of validation, such as via a smartphone or token, to verify user identity before granting access. Customer must purchase the number of subscriptions/seats that corresponds to the number of individual End Users of the Cisco Duo Service. The Cisco Duo Service includes an initial allotment of telephony credits for text message charges related to the transmission of a token/passcode to the End User. Once the initial allotment of telephony credits is used, Customer is responsible for purchasing additional telephony credits.

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

When using Cisco Duo Service offered through Spectrum, Customer and each End User agrees to and accepts Cisco's applicable Duo Service Terms and Conditions available at: https://duo.com/legal/pass-through-terms (or the applicable successor URL).

5. CLOUD SECURITY WITH CISCO+ SECURE CONNECT.
This section applies only if the Customer purchases Cisco+ Secure Connect Service. Cisco+ Secure Connect Service is a subscription-based service designed with security features to connect End Users to various resources, including, without limitation, applications hosted in common public cloud platforms and private data centers. Customer must purchase the number of subscriptions/seats that corresponds to the number of individual End Users of the Cisco+ Secure Connect Service.

When using Cisco+ Secure Connect Service offered through Spectrum, Customer and each End User agrees to and accepts the Cisco End User License Agreement and Product Specific Terms for Cisco+ Secure Connect, both available at www.cisco.com/go/eula (or the applicable successor URL).

6. DESIGN, INTEGRATION AND SUPPORT SERVICES ANCILLARY TO CISCO DUO SERVICE AND/OR CISCO+ SECURE CONNECT SERVICE. If Customer purchases Cisco Duo Service and/or Cisco+ Secure Connect Service, Customer may also purchase one or more of the following ancillary services, as applicable:

(a) Integration and Design Service.

i. Application Integration Service (Applicable only to Cisco Duo Service)
Customers who purchase Application Integration Service will receive assistance from Spectrum with configuring a client application (e.g., GoogleDocs, Salesforce, etc.) for Cisco Duo Service multi-factor authentication. Customer will be required to complete a Questionnaire that identifies applications to be configured.

ii. Design Service (Applicable to Cisco Duo Service and Cisco+ Secure Connect Service)
Design Service helps Customer plan, design, and execute the deployment of Cisco Duo Service and/or Cisco+ Secure Connect Service. Design Service is customized for each Customer on an individual case basis.

(b) Support Service.

i. QuickStart Service (Applicable to Cisco Duo Service and Cisco+ Secure Connect Service)
QuickStart Service includes 12 hours of technical support by Spectrum to assist Customer with onboarding, user profiles, change management, and security profile tuning. The 12 hours of technical support are scheduled between Customer and Spectrum, as needed, to assist with initial deployment. The 12 support hours must be used within the first 12 months following the Billing Start Date, and any unused hours expire at the end thereof.

ii. Advanced Support Service (Applicable to Cisco Duo Service and Cisco+ Secure Connect Service)
Advanced Support Service includes 4 hours per month of advanced technical support by Spectrum. Customer is responsible for scheduling Advanced Support Service working sessions with Spectrum personnel to assist with technical support services, including, without limitation, service configurations, user onboarding, application testing, change management, and security profile tuning. Unused hours in one month do not roll over to the following month.

7. MANAGED ROUTER SERVICE. This section only applies if Customer purchases MRS.

(a) Spectrum will provide a managed router solution with a router deployed at the designated Service Location configured according to the Questionnaire. MRS or certain features, may not be available in all service areas and may change from time to time.

(b) Connectivity. MRS is only available when connected via Spectrum Dedicated Fiber Internet ("DFI") Service, Spectrum Business High-Speed Internet Service, or Spectrum Ethernet Services. A third-party connection can be used as a secondary connection where Spectrum is providing the primary connection.

(c) Termination. If Customer terminates the Spectrum Service to which Customer has connected the MRS, then Customer shall be deemed to have terminated the corresponding MRS and may be subject to Termination Charges in accordance with the Terms of Service.

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

8. MANAGED SECURITY SERVICE. This section only applies if Customer purchases MSS.

(a) Spectrum will provide a managed firewall solution with a firewall deployed at the designated Service Location configured according to the Questionnaire. MSS or certain features, may not be available in all service areas and may change from time to time.

(b) Standard and Advanced. MSS has two service levels with different features. MSS Standard provides firewall functions such as port forwarding and NAT. MSS Advanced provides the features from MSS Standard plus additional features such as anti-virus/anti-malware, URL and web filtering, content filtering, intrusion prevention system (IPS) and deep packet inspection.

(c) Connectivity. MSS is only available when connected via Spectrum DFI Service or Spectrum Business High-Speed Internet Service. A third-party connection can be used as a secondary connection where Spectrum is providing the primary connection.

(d) Termination. If Customer terminates the Spectrum Service to which Customer has connected MSS, then Customer shall be deemed to have terminated the MSS and may be subject to Termination Charges in accordance with the Terms of Service.

9. WIRING ENABLEMENT SERVICE. Wiring Enablement Service provides the Customer with managed local area network ("LAN") ports in locations where coax wiring outlets exist at the Service Location, which allows for provision of additional Spectrum services that require an IP-based interface (e.g., Ethernet port) to connect. Wiring Enablement Service requires the Customer to have Spectrum's Fiber Connect Plus Service at the Service Location.

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

Attachment G to Commercial Terms of Service (Enterprise)

WIDE AREA NETWORK ("WAN") SERVICES
Ethernet, Cloud Connect and Wavelengths

1. Ethernet Service. Spectrum will provide Ethernet Services for Customer locations connected over coaxial and/or fiber-optic cable. Connectivity is established between two or more Customer endpoints under a unique customer topology. Spectrum will install the coaxial or fiber-optic cable into each Customer site as listed in the Service Order(s). Spectrum will also supply an edge or network interface device, which is Spectrum Equipment, at each site that will be capable of receiving the Service as specified in the Service Order(s).

Spectrum's Ethernet Services are "On-Net" if they are provided by Spectrum to Service Locations through the Spectrum Network. Spectrum may, in its discretion, provide Customer with "Off-Net" services to geographic locations that are outside of Spectrum's service area or are not currently connected to the Spectrum Network through third-party service providers.

2. Cloud Connect Service. Spectrum will provide a Cloud Connect Service allowing Customer a private, layer 2 connectivity to cloud service providers (CSPs). Therefore, all terms herein shall apply to the Cloud Connect Service in addition to the Ethernet Service.

3. Wavelengths Service. Spectrum will provide Wavelengths for Customer locations connected over fiber-optic cable. Wavelengths are a high speed, optical data transport solution that uses dense wave division multiplexing (DWDM) technology, delivering low-latency bandwidth across Spectrum's dense fiber network. Connectivity is established between two Customer endpoints in a point-to-point topology. Spectrum will install the fiber-optic cable into each Customer site as listed in the Service Order(s). Spectrum will also supply an edge device, which is Spectrum Equipment, at each site that will be capable of receiving the Service as specified in the Service Order(s).

Spectrum's Wavelengths are "On-Net" if they are provided by Spectrum to Service Locations through the Spectrum Network. Spectrum may, in its discretion, provide Customer with "Off-Net" services to geographic locations that are outside of Spectrum's service area or are not currently connected to the Spectrum Network through third-party service providers.

Data Center Cross Connect. A "Cross Connect" shall mean a connection between two networks within a data center. If Spectrum needs to connect its Network to Customer's network within a data center or to a third-party's network within a data center to deliver Spectrum Ethernet Service to Customer, then a Cross Connect will be required where an external network-to-network interface (ENNI) connection is not used/unavailable. In such case, Customer may obtain the Cross Connect from the data center operator to make the connection to Spectrum's Network or Customer can request that Spectrum purchase and coordinate installation of the Cross Connect, and if Spectrum agrees, Customer must execute a Service Order reflecting the applicable MRC and any OTC.

4. Additional terms of use. Customer's use of Ethernet Service, Wavelength and, as applicable, Cloud Connect Service, are subject to the following additional terms and conditions:

(a) Spectrum shall have the right, but not the obligation, to (a) monitor traffic on the Spectrum network, in its sole discretion; and (b) monitor Customer's bandwidth utilization as Spectrum deems appropriate to efficiently manage its Network.

(b) Customer's use of Ethernet and/or Wavelengths Services is presumed by Spectrum to be jurisdictionally interstate, pursuant to the Federal Communications Commission's mixed use "10% Rule" (47 C.F. R. 36.154, 4 FCC Rcd. 1352). It is Customer's sole responsibility to notify Spectrum if Customer's use of the Service is not jurisdictionally interstate pursuant to the 10% Rule and, so long as Customer's use of the Service remains not jurisdictionally interstate, at Supplier's request Customer will recertify that this condition remains in effect.

If Customer fails to provide such certification or if the Customer's certification is inaccurate or invalid, Customer shall be liable for any resulting fees, fines, penalties and/or costs imposed on Spectrum by the Federal Communications Commission or the state regulatory agency in charge of telecommunications services.

© 2016-2026 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

In addition, if Spectrum determines that Customer's use of the Ethernet Services is likely to be deemed not to be jurisdictionally interstate, and therefore that Spectrum's provision of the Ethernet Services is likely to put Spectrum or its licenses, permits or business at risk, or otherwise cause financial, regulatory or operational problems for Spectrum, then Spectrum may immediately suspend the provision of any or all Ethernet Service under any or all affected Service Orders until such time as either (a) Customer provides Spectrum with satisfactory assurances that Customer's use of Ethernet Services shall be deemed to be jurisdictionally interstate or (b) Customer is otherwise brought into full compliance with any applicable laws and regulations. Unless prohibited under applicable law, Customer at its own expense, shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless Indemnified Parties against any and all third-party claims, liabilities, lawsuits, damages, losses, judgments, costs, fees and expenses incurred by any Indemnified Parties, including reasonable attorney and other professional fees and court costs incurred by Spectrum Indemnified Parties, to the full extent that such arise from or relate to any fees, fines or penalties incurred by Spectrum as a result of Customer's violation of the 10% Rule.

© 2016-2026 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

COMMERCIAL TERMS OF SERVICE

(For service orders entered into on or after February 1, 2026 (except for (i) government customers, or E-Rate, Rural Health Care, or California Teleconnect Fund program eligible customers, or (ii) services ordered for less than a 12 month term))

These Commercial Terms of Service ("Terms of Service") include all Service Attachments (defined below), any applicable Service Level Agreements, and all other documents identified hereunder, each of which are incorporated herein by reference, and together with any service orders placed by Customer and accepted by Spectrum hereafter ("Service Order(s)"), constitute the "Service Agreement". The Service Attachments further describe Spectrum's services (each a "Service" and collectively the "Services") and set forth additional terms and conditions for the applicable Service.

As used herein, "Spectrum" means Charter Communications Operating, LLC on behalf of those operating subsidiaries providing the Services hereunder, and "Customer" means the customer identified on the Service Agreement cover page or Service Order, as applicable. Spectrum and Customer may each be referred to herein as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

GENERAL

1. SERVICE AGREEMENT TERM. The Service Agreement shall be effective upon the earlier to occur of: (a) the latest date of the signatures of the Parties on the Service Agreement cover page; (b) the date of the first Service Order referencing these Terms of Service; or (c) Spectrum's commencement of performance (the "Effective Date"). The Service Agreement shall remain in effect until the expiration or proper termination of the last remaining Service Order entered into under the Service Agreement (the "Term").

2. SERVICES. Customer shall request Services hereunder by submitting Service Orders in a manner required by Spectrum. All submitted Service Orders are subject to approval and acceptance by Spectrum. Upon Spectrum's acceptance of a Service Order, as indicated by: (a) Spectrum's written acceptance; or (b) Spectrum's commencement of performance, such Service Order shall be deemed incorporated into the Service Agreement. Spectrum shall provide the Services to Customer at the Service address(es) specified in the applicable Service Order ("Service Location(s)").

3. ORDER TERM. The "Initial Order Term" is the time period starting on the date the Services are functional in all material respects and available for use (the "Billing Start Date") and continuing for the period of time specified in the Service Order(s). Upon expiration of the Initial Order Term, the applicable Service Order shall automatically renew for successive one-year terms (each a "Renewal Order Term", collectively with the Initial Order Term, the "Order Term"), unless either Spectrum or Customer elects to not renew the Service Order by providing notice to the other at least sixty (60) days in advance of the expiration of the then-current Order Term.

4. AVAILABILITY OF FACILITIES. Customer understands that certain Services, or certain features, may not be available in all Spectrum service areas, may change from time to time, and Spectrum may decline to provide any requested Services. Spectrum's ability to provide Services depends upon its ability to secure and retain, without additional expense, suitable facilities, third-party connections, and rights to construct and maintain necessary facilities, such as pole attachments and conduits, to serve the Service Location. If Spectrum is unable to secure and retain such items in accordance with the foregoing, Spectrum may decline to accept or cancel a Service Order upon notice to Customer in accordance with Section 5(f). Spectrum may order access connection facilities provided by other providers or entities to facilitate connection of a Service Location to Spectrum's Network (defined below).

5. SERVICE LOCATION ACCESS AND INSTALLATION.

(a) Access. Spectrum requires reasonable access to each Service Location at any time throughout the Term as necessary for Spectrum to provide the Services and to install, inspect, maintain, repair, or remove any Spectrum-provided cabling, modems, related splitters, routers or other equipment ("Spectrum Equipment") used to provide the Services. If Customer owns or controls the Service Location(s), Customer hereby grants Spectrum permission to enter the Service Location(s) for Spectrum to fulfill its obligations and exercise its rights under the Service Agreement. If a Service Location is not owned or controlled by Customer, Customer will obtain, with Spectrum's reasonable assistance, an appropriate right of access. If such right of access for Spectrum is not obtained by either Party, then Spectrum may decline Customer's request for Services, or terminate or amend the related Service Order with respect to the Services at the Service Location that Spectrum cannot access, without any liability to Customer.

(b) Installation Review. Spectrum may perform, either before or after acceptance of a Service Order, an installation review (including a review of Customer's inside wiring) of each proposed Service Location prior to installation of the Services to determine the serviceability of such Service Location and/or the need to extend Spectrum's facilities, fiber optic cable, electronics, or other equipment (collectively, the "Network") to provide the Services at the Service Location.

(c) Site Preparation. Customer shall be responsible for necessary preparations at each Service Location (including the relocation of Customer's equipment, furniture, and furnishings as necessary for Spectrum to access the Spectrum Equipment), and shall provide Spectrum with floor space, rack space, other space, inside wiring (except if wiring is to be provided by Spectrum as set forth below), and clean power as reasonably necessary for the delivery, installation, and operation of Spectrum Equipment

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

and Services. Customer shall not charge Spectrum, and shall ensure that Spectrum does not incur, any fees or expenses whatsoever in connection with Customer's provision of space, power, inside wiring, or access as described herein, or otherwise in connection with Customer's performance of its obligations pursuant to this section. Any such fees or expenses charged by any other end user accessing or using the Services ("End User") shall be borne solely by Customer.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, any wiring that Spectrum installs between the point of entry into the building at the Service Location to the applicable network interface device ("NID") is referred to herein as "Demarc Wiring." Any wiring from the NID to the terminating equipment at the Service Location (e.g., cameras, WiFi access points, phones, etc.) is referred to herein as "Inside Wiring." Spectrum will install Demarc Wiring as necessary in connection with installation of the Service. Additionally, Spectrum offers a wiring installation service related to certain Services, whereby Spectrum will install Inside Wiring if selected by Customer and Customer enters a Service Order for such installation. With regard to both Demarc Wiring and Inside Wiring that Spectrum installs, such wiring is considered part of the Spectrum Equipment.

(d) Installation. Spectrum will schedule one or more installation visits with Customer. At Customer's request, Spectrum may perform installation or maintenance on weekends or times other than during normal business hours; provided, however, Customer may be assessed reasonable, additional Service Charges based on Spectrum's actual incurred labor, material or other costs for such non-routine installation or maintenance. Customer's authorized representative must be present during installation. If Spectrum is unable to install the Service as a result of (i) Customer's (or any End User's) failure to deliver any required materials, support or information to Spectrum; (ii) Customer's (or any End User's) failure to provide access to a Service Location; (iii) Spectrum's inability to obtain access to equipment at the Service Location as necessary for installation of the Service, or (iv) Customer's Equipment (as defined herein) being inadequate to interconnect with the Services, then Customer shall pay Spectrum a Service Charge at Spectrum's then prevailing rates for any installation trip made by Spectrum and an additional Service Charge for each subsequent trip necessary to perform the Service installation. In addition, if Spectrum's installation of the Service is delayed as a result of Customer's actions or inactions as set forth above or if Customer is otherwise refusing the installation of Services or is not ready to receive Services, then Spectrum will notify Customer that Spectrum is ready to commence or complete installation of the Services (the "Ready Notice"), and may begin invoicing Service Charges as set forth in Section 7 upon the earlier of the Billing Start Date or sixty (60) days after the date of the Ready Notice. Customer shall perform interconnection of the Services and Spectrum Equipment with any Customer-provided or End User equipment (collectively, "Customer Equipment"), unless otherwise set forth in a Service Attachment or agreed in writing between the Parties, and shall conform its Customer Equipment and software, and ensure that each End User conforms its equipment and software, to the technical specifications for the Services.

(e) Restoration; Hazardous Materials. Spectrum shall be responsible for reasonable restoration efforts necessary to address any displacement resulting from excavation and for those damages directly caused by Spectrum's faulty workmanship or installation of the Service, provided that the boring of holes or insertion of fasteners through the surface of walls for attachment of peripheral equipment will not be deemed damages but rather part of normal workmanship. If the installation and maintenance of Services at a Service Location is or becomes, in Spectrum's sole opinion, hazardous or dangerous to Spectrum's employees or Network, the public, or property, including without limitation due to the presence of asbestos or other hazardous materials, Spectrum may refuse to install and maintain such Services or stop providing Services until such time as the condition is remedied or an alternative Service Location is designated that is not hazardous or dangerous. Customer shall bear any additional costs incurred by Spectrum arising from any such hazardous or dangerous conditions.

(f) Service Order Revisions and Cancellations. If either before or after a Service Order is executed, or during the course of the Service Agreement, Spectrum determines that: (i) there is a lack of available service, facilities, or other items as detailed in Section 4, or the criteria outlined in Section 5 are not met; (ii) additional work is necessary to enable Spectrum to deliver the Services to the Service Location; (iii) access, transmission medium, equipment, adequate transmission capacity, or services from or interconnection with the services or facilities of other providers would require additional costs or are unavailable; (iv) Customer's inside wiring is causing signal leakage which violates the Federal Communications Commission's rules or guidelines; or (v) there is any other cause beyond Spectrum's control that causes an adverse effect on Spectrum's ability to provide the Service, then Spectrum may, at Spectrum's sole discretion, either decline to accept or cancel the applicable Service or Service Order. Alternatively, Spectrum will notify Customer of any additional Service Charges in excess of the amounts previously specified in a quote or Service Order. If Spectrum notifies Customer that additional Service Charges will apply and if Customer does not agree to pay such Service Charges by executing a revised Service Order within five (5) business days of receiving the same, Customer and Spectrum shall each have the right to cancel the applicable Service at the applicable Service Location or, if no Service Order has been executed, Spectrum has the right to decline to accept and cancel the pending Service or Service Order.

6. EQUIPMENT.

(a) Equipment Responsibilities and Safeguards. Spectrum shall use commercially reasonable efforts to maintain and secure the Spectrum Equipment used by Spectrum to provide Services to Customer. Except as otherwise provided in the Service Agreement or any Service Order(s), Customer shall be responsible for the maintenance and repair of any cable, electronics, structures, equipment, or materials owned or provided by Customer. Customer shall not, and shall not cause any third party to, move, modify, disturb, alter, remove, relocate to another Service Location, install software on the Spectrum Equipment not provided by Spectrum, or otherwise tamper with or use any portion of the Spectrum Equipment without the prior

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

consent of Spectrum. Customer shall be responsible for loss or damage to the Spectrum Equipment at each Service Location. Customer shall also ensure that all Spectrum Equipment at each Service Location remains free and clear of all liens and encumbrances.

(b) Customer Security Responsibilities. Customer shall be responsible for all access to and use of the Service, including whether or not Customer has knowledge of or authorizes such access or use. Customer shall be responsible for the implementation of reasonable security measures and procedures with respect to use of and access to the Service Location, Service, and Spectrum Equipment. Customer shall secure and maintain any and all Customer Equipment, including, but not limited to, private branch exchanges, where applicable, and any applications accessible through use of Customer Equipment, and shall be solely responsible for any conduct through and any charges incurred on Customer's Service account, regardless of whether such activity or charges are authorized by Customer management or involve fraudulent activity until such time as Customer informs Spectrum of any fraudulent or unauthorized access. Without limiting Customer's responsibilities, Spectrum has the right to implement reasonable measures to track, manage, and secure the connection between any Customer Equipment or applications used by Customer, End Users, or any third party who accesses the Customer Equipment and the Spectrum Network, including without limitation authentication or other security access procedures. Spectrum may suspend any affected Services if Spectrum discovers or becomes aware of any breach or compromise of the security of any Customer Equipment, Service, Service Location, Spectrum Equipment, or connection to the Spectrum Network.

(c) Equipment Return, Retrieval, Repair, and Replacement. Upon termination or expiration of the Service Agreement or Service Order(s) ("Termination"), if applicable, Customer shall immediately cease all use of any software or software services provided by Spectrum ("Software"). Additionally, upon Termination, at the discretion and direction of Spectrum (x) Customer shall return the applicable Spectrum Equipment to Spectrum; (y) Customer shall allow Spectrum to retrieve the applicable Spectrum Equipment, which must be in the condition in which the Spectrum Equipment was originally received by Customer, subject to ordinary wear and tear; or (z) Spectrum may choose not to recover all or certain portions of the applicable Spectrum Equipment at the Service Location. If, upon Spectrum's request, Customer fails to return the Spectrum Equipment, or does not allow Spectrum to retrieve the Spectrum Equipment within fifteen (15) days after Services are terminated, Spectrum may, at its discretion charge Customer an amount equal to: (i) Spectrum's then-applicable unreturned equipment charge, or the retail cost of replacement of the unreturned Spectrum Equipment, whichever is greater; plus (ii) any and all costs and expenses incurred by Spectrum in obtaining or attempting to regain possession of the Spectrum Equipment. If applicable, Customer shall pay for the repair or replacement of any damaged Spectrum Equipment, except such repairs or replacements as may be necessary due to normal and ordinary wear and tear or material or workmanship defects. The proper disposition of any Spectrum Equipment that is not returned to or recovered by Spectrum will be the sole responsibility of Customer, and must be in accordance with applicable laws. The foregoing Customer obligations will survive the termination of Service.

7. STANDARD PAYMENT TERMS. Customer shall pay (i) monthly recurring charges specific to the Services ("MRCs"); (ii) non-recurring, one-time charges ("OTCs"); (iii) taxes and fees for the Services; and (iv) other applicable charges as described in the Service Agreement (collectively, "Service Charges").

(a) Charges. Except as otherwise specified herein, Spectrum commences billing for Services on the Billing Start Date. Spectrum invoices for MRCs, plus applicable taxes, fees, and surcharges, in advance on a monthly basis. Spectrum invoices for OTCs, such as construction or installation charges, after the Billing Start Date or as specified in the Service Order or as otherwise provided herein. All other charges, including usage-based charges (e.g., phone usage, pay-per view charges), will be invoiced monthly in arrears. Service Charges are payable within thirty (30) days after the date appearing on the invoice. If Spectrum fails to present a Service Charge in a timely manner, such failure shall not constitute a waiver of the charges for the Services to which it relates, and Customer shall be responsible for and pay such Service Charges when invoiced in accordance with these payment terms. Spectrum shall have the right to increase MRCs for each Service after the Initial Order Term for such Service upon sixty (60) days' notice to Customer.

(b) Taxes, Surcharges, and Fees. Customer shall pay all applicable taxes, fees, or surcharges imposed on or in connection with the Services that are the subject of the Service Agreement, including but not limited to applicable federal, state, and local sales, use, excise, telecommunications, or other taxes, franchise fees, federal and state universal service fund fees, and other state or local governmental charges or regulatory fees, excluding income taxes measured on Spectrum's net income. If a Customer wishes to claim tax-exempt status, then Customer must supply Spectrum with a copy of Customer's tax exemption certificate or other documentation supporting Customer's certification of its entitlement to such exempt status within fifteen (15) days of installation of applicable Services. If Customer supplies such documentation after that time, Spectrum will apply it to Customer's account on a prospective basis, allowing Spectrum at least thirty (30) days for processing. To the extent such documentation is held invalid for any reason, Customer agrees to pay or reimburse Spectrum for any tax or fee not collected or liability incurred, including without limitation related interest and penalties arising from Spectrum's reliance on such invalid certificate or documentation.

Customer hereby consents that Spectrum may disclose such written documentation, which may include a tax exemption form, to any governmental authority. Tax-exempt status shall not relieve Customer of its obligation to pay applicable franchise fees or other non-tax fees and surcharges since the application of such fees and surcharges may not be governed by the tax standing of Customer. Spectrum reserves the right, from time to time, to change the surcharges for Services under the Service Agreement to reflect incurred costs, charges, or obligations imposed on Spectrum to the extent permitted, required, or otherwise not prohibited under applicable law (e.g., universal service fund charges). Furthermore, unless prohibited by applicable law,

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

Spectrum shall have the right to collect or recover from Customer the amount of any federal, state, or local fees or taxes arising as a result of the Service Agreement, which are imposed on Spectrum or its services, or otherwise assessed or calculated based on Spectrum's receipts from Customer or to otherwise charge Customer for Customer's use or receipt of the Services. Such fees or taxes shall be invoiced to Customer in the form of a surcharge included on Customer's invoice.

To the extent that a dispute arises under the Service Agreement as to which Party is liable for fees or taxes, Customer shall bear the burden of proof in showing that the fee or tax is imposed upon Spectrum's net income. This burden may be satisfied by Customer producing written documentation from the jurisdiction imposing the fee or tax indicating that the fee or tax is based on Spectrum's net income. Customer acknowledges that currently, and from time to time, there is uncertainty about the taxability or regulatory classification of some of the Services Spectrum provides and, consequently, uncertainty about what fees, taxes, and surcharges are due to or from Spectrum or from its customers. Customer agrees that Spectrum has the right to determine, in its sole discretion, what fees, taxes, and surcharges are due and to collect and remit them to the relevant governmental authorities, or to pay and pass them through to Customer. Customer hereby waives any claims it may have regarding Spectrum's collection or remittance of such fees, taxes, and surcharges.

(c) Change Requests. Any charges associated with Customer requested changes or additions related to a Service, Spectrum Equipment, installation, or Customer Equipment subsequent to executing a Service Order for the applicable Service Location are the sole financial responsibility of Customer. Spectrum shall notify Customer of any additional OTCs and/or adjustments to MRCs associated with or applicable to such Customer change requests prior to making any such change. Customer's failure to accept such additional charges within five (5) business days of receiving such notice shall be deemed a rejection by Customer, and Spectrum shall not be required to perform any work giving rise to such charges. For accepted charges, Customer shall be assessed such additional OTCs and/or adjustments of the MRCs either (i) in advance of implementation of the change request or (ii) beginning on Customer's next and/or subsequent invoice(s).

(d) Site Visits and Repairs. If Spectrum visits a Service Location to either inspect the Services or respond to a service request, and Spectrum reasonably determines that the cause of the service issue is not due to a problem arising from the Network or Spectrum Equipment, but rather is due to Customer misuse, abuse, or modification of the Services, Customer Equipment or facilities, or due to similar acts by a third party not under Spectrum's control or direction, then Spectrum may invoice Customer at Spectrum's then-prevailing commercial rates for an on-site visit, plus any charges for repairs or replacements that may be necessary as a result of damage to Spectrum Equipment caused by Customer or a third-party.

(e) Invoicing Disputes; Late and Collection Fees. Customer must provide notice to Spectrum of any disputed charges within sixty (60) days of the invoice date on which the disputed charges appear for Customer to receive any credit that may be due. Customer must present a reasonable basis for disputing any amount charged. Undisputed amounts not paid within thirty (30) days of the invoice date shall be past due and subject to a late fee up to the lesser of 1.5% of the Service Charges per month or the maximum amount permitted by law. If Services are suspended due to late payment, Spectrum may require that Customer pay all past due charges, a reconnect fee, and one or more MRCs in advance before reconnecting Services. Spectrum may charge a reasonable service fee for all returned checks and bankcard, credit card or other charge card chargebacks. Customer shall be responsible for all expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees and collection costs, incurred by Spectrum in collecting any unpaid amounts due under the Service Agreement.

(f) Credit Verification. Spectrum shall have the right to verify Customer's credit standing at any time.

(g) Bundled Pricing. If Customer has selected a bundled offer, meaning a discounted MRC for receiving more than one Service ("Bundle"), then the following conditions shall apply:

i. In consideration for Customer's purchase of all Services in the Bundle, and only with respect to that period of time during which Customer continues to purchase the specific Services in such Bundle and during which such Bundle is in effect, the correlating discount to the Services in such Bundle, ordered pursuant to the Spectrum program governing such Bundle, will be reflected in the MRC for the respective Services.

ii. Upon Termination by Customer, for any reason other than a Spectrum Default, of any Service component of the applicable Bundle, the pricing for the remaining Service(s) shall revert to Spectrum's unbundled pricing for such Service(s) in effect at the time of Termination. Termination liability applicable to the Services under the Service Agreement shall otherwise remain unchanged.

8. ADMINISTRATIVE WEBSITE. Spectrum may, at its sole option, make one or more administrative websites (each an "Administrative Website" and currently https://spectrumenterprise.net) available to Customer in connection with the Services. Spectrum may provide Customer with an initial user identification(s) and password(s) (collectively, "Credentials") for the Administrative Website, which Customer must promptly change to secure, Customer-designated Credentials. Customer is responsible for the confidentiality and use of all Credentials and must immediately notify Spectrum of any unauthorized release, use, or compromise thereof. Customer agrees that it shall keep confidential all information made available through the Administrative Website. Customer is solely responsible for all use of the Administrative Website, including managing access thereto, and acknowledges that anyone with such access will have full administrative rights regarding certain functionality and Services. Spectrum shall not be liable for any loss, cost, expense, or other liability arising from use of the Administrative Website.

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

Spectrum may change or discontinue the Administrative Website, or Customer's right to use it, at any time. Additional terms, if any, applicable to Customer's use will be posted on the Administrative Website.

9. SUPPORT. Spectrum shall provide contact information for inquiries and remote problem support for the Services. All such Customer support shall be provided only to Customer's designated personnel or as mutually agreed upon by Spectrum and Customer. Customer is responsible for all communications and support for its End Users. Customer shall provide routine operational support for Spectrum Equipment located at a Service Location, including without limitation, by performing reboots as requested by Spectrum. Customer is responsible for the installation, repair, and use of Customer Equipment and Customer-supplied software for the use of any Service or third-party services. Except as explicitly stated in a Service Attachment, Spectrum does not support third-party hardware or software used in conjunction with third-party services or supplied by Customer. Any questions concerning third-party hardware or software should be directed to the provider of that product. Spectrum assumes no liability or responsibility for the installation, maintenance, compatibility or performance of third-party software, or any Customer Equipment or Customer-supplied software with the Services. If such third-party hardware or software impairs the Services, Customer shall continue to pay all applicable Service Charges. If, at Customer's request, Spectrum should attempt to resolve difficulties caused by such third-party equipment or software, such efforts shall be performed at Spectrum's discretion and subject to Service Charges as set forth in Section 7.

10. CUSTOMER REPRESENTATIONS AND OBLIGATIONS.

(a) Representations. Customer represents and warrants to Spectrum that: (i) Customer has the authority to execute, deliver and carry out the terms of the Service Agreement; and (ii) its End Users and any person who accesses any Services at the Service Location will use the Service and Network for Customer's internal business purposes and will comply with the terms of the Service Agreement.

(b) No Reselling. Customer shall not resell or redistribute (whether for a fee or otherwise) access to the Service(s) or system capacity, or any part thereof, in any manner without the express prior consent of Spectrum, including without limitation, any use to provide services for the benefit of, or on behalf of, any third party other than Customer or its End Users.

(c) No Illegal Purpose or Unauthorized Access. Customer shall not use or permit End Users or third parties to use the Service(s), including the Spectrum Equipment and Software, for any illegal purpose, or to achieve unauthorized access to any computer systems, software, data, or copyright or patent protected material.

(d) No Interference. Customer shall not interfere with or cause technical difficulties for other customers' use of Spectrum Equipment or Services or interfere with or disrupt the Spectrum Network, backbone, nodes, other Services, or third-party providers. Customer shall not install any equipment, including without limitation, any antenna or signal amplification system, at the Service Location that interferes with the Services.

(e) Applicable Laws. With respect to Customer's and End Users' use of the Service (including the transmission or use of any content via the Service), Customer shall comply, and shall ensure that its End Users comply, with all applicable laws and regulations in addition to the terms of the Service Agreement. Spectrum shall have the right to audit Customer's use of the Service remotely or otherwise, to ensure compliance with the Service Agreement

(f) Acceptable Use. As between the Parties, Customer is solely responsible for (i) all use (whether or not authorized) of the Service by Customer, any End User or any unauthorized person or entity, which use shall be deemed Customer's use for purposes of the Service Agreement; (ii) all content that is viewed, stored or transmitted via the Service, as applicable; and (iii) all third-party charges incurred for merchandise and services accessed via the Service, if any. Customer shall not use, or allow the Services to be used, in any manner that would violate the applicable Spectrum Acceptable Use Policies or that would cause, or be likely to cause, Spectrum to qualify as a "Covered 911 Service Provider" as defined in 47 C.F.R. §9.19 or any successor provision of the rules of the Federal Communication Commission. For avoidance of doubt, Customer and Spectrum agree that any failure to satisfy the covenants set forth in the preceding sentence shall constitute a material breach of the Service Agreement.

11. PERFORMANCE. Unless otherwise set forth in a Service Attachment or Service Level Agreement, Spectrum will use commercially reasonable efforts to provide the Services to Customer twenty-four (24) hours per day, seven (7) days per week. The Service may be unavailable from time-to-time either for scheduled or unscheduled maintenance, technical difficulties, or reasons beyond Spectrum's reasonable control. Such temporary service interruptions or outages, as well as service interruptions or outages caused by Customer, its agents and employees, or by a Force Majeure Event, shall not constitute a failure by Spectrum to perform its obligations under the Service Agreement.

12. MONITORING, EQUIPMENT UPGRADES AND NETWORK MODIFICATIONS. Spectrum has the right, but not the obligation, to (a) upgrade, modify, and enhance the Spectrum Network and the Service and take any action that Spectrum deems appropriate to protect or improve the Service and its facilities; and (b) monitor, record, and maintain oral communications with Customer regarding Customer's account or Services for the purpose of service quality assurance, or as permitted under applicable law.

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

13. DEFAULT, SUSPENSION OF SERVICE, AND TERMINATION.

(a) Default; Mutual Termination Rights. A Party shall be in default under the Service Agreement if (i) it has failed to comply with the terms of the Service Agreement or any Service Orders, including without limitation the obligation to pay any amounts due, and such Party fails to correct each such noncompliance within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice from the non-defaulting Party describing in reasonable detail the default or noncompliance; or (ii) it liquidates, makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors, invokes any provision of law for general relief from its debts, initiates any proceeding seeking general protection from its creditors, or is removed or delisted from a trading exchange (each condition listed in (i) and (ii), a "Default"). Either Party may terminate the Service Agreement or a Service Order if the other Party is in Default.

(b) Termination for Convenience by Customer. Notwithstanding any other term or provision in the Service Agreement, Customer may terminate a Service Order, in full or in part, or the Service Agreement, at any time upon thirty (30) days prior notice to Spectrum submitted in accordance with Section 21(b) below, subject to payment of all outstanding amounts due, payment of any applicable Termination Charges (as defined below), and the return of any Spectrum Equipment.

(c) Spectrum's Right to Suspend. Spectrum shall have the right, at its option, without prior notice, and in addition to any other rights of Spectrum expressly set forth in the Service Agreement and any other remedies it may have under applicable law to suspend Services or any component thereof if Customer fails to comply with any applicable laws or regulations or the Service Agreement, or if Customer's or any End Users' use of the Service is determined by Spectrum, in its sole discretion, to result in a material degradation of the Spectrum Network until Customer remedies any such noncompliance or degradation. Any suspension shall not affect Customer's ongoing obligation to pay Spectrum any amounts due under the Service Agreement. If Spectrum suspends any Service, Spectrum may require the payment of reconnect or other charges before restarting the suspended Service.

(d) Termination Charges. Upon Termination, Customer must pay all Services Charges then due for Services provided through the effective date of Termination. In addition, if Termination is due to Customer Default or for Customer's convenience, Customer must pay Spectrum a termination charge (a "Termination Charge"), which the Parties recognize as liquidated damages and not as a penalty. This Termination Charge shall be equal to 100% of the unpaid balance of all Service Charges that would have been due throughout the applicable Order Term, including, without limitation, the outstanding balance of any and all unpaid OTCs. The foregoing terms will also apply to any partial Termination impacting one or more Service Orders, but not the entire Service Agreement.

(e) Survival. The provisions of Sections 6(c), 7(b), 7(e), 13(d), 13(e), 14, 15, and 18-22; and the Service Attachments shall survive the termination or expiration of the Service Agreement.

14. DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY; LIMITATION OF LIABILITY.

(a) DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTY. CUSTOMER ASSUMES TOTAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR USE OF THE SERVICE AND SPECTRUM EQUIPMENT, AND USES THE SAME AT ITS OWN RISK, AND FOR ACCESS TO AND SECURITY OF CUSTOMER'S EQUIPMENT AND CUSTOMER'S NETWORK. SPECTRUM EXERCISES NO CONTROL OVER AND HAS NO RESPONSIBILITY WHATSOEVER FOR THE APPLICATIONS OR CONTENT TRANSMITTED OR ACCESSIBLE THROUGH THE SERVICE AND SPECTRUM EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR SUCH APPLICATIONS OR CONTENT.

EXCEPT AS SPECIFICALLY SET FORTH IN THE SERVICE AGREEMENT, THE SERVICE, SPECTRUM EQUIPMENT, AND ANY SPECTRUM MATERIALS ARE PROVIDED "AS IS, WITH ALL FAULTS," WITHOUT WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTIES OF TITLE, NON-INFRINGEMENT, SYSTEM INTEGRATION, DATA ACCURACY, QUIET ENJOYMENT, MERCHANTABILITY, OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. NO ADVICE OR INFORMATION GIVEN BY SPECTRUM, ITS AFFILIATES OR ITS CONTRACTORS OR THEIR RESPECTIVE EMPLOYEES SHALL CREATE ANY WARRANTY. SPECTRUM DOES NOT REPRESENT OR WARRANT THAT THE SERVICE WILL MEET CUSTOMER'S REQUIREMENTS, PREVENT UNAUTHORIZED ACCESS BY THIRD PARTIES, WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, SECURE, ERROR FREE, WITHOUT DEGRADATION OF VOICE QUALITY OR WITHOUT LOSS OF CONTENT, DATA OR INFORMATION, OR THAT ANY MINIMUM TRANSMISSION SPEED IS GUARANTEED AT ANY TIME. EXCEPT AS SET FORTH IN THE SERVICE AGREEMENT, SPECTRUM DOES NOT WARRANT THAT ANY SERVICE OR EQUIPMENT PROVIDED BY SPECTRUM WILL PERFORM AT A PARTICULAR SPEED, BANDWIDTH, OR THROUGHPUT RATE. IN ADDITION, CUSTOMER ACKNOWLEDGES AND AGREES THAT TRANSMISSIONS OVER THE SERVICE MAY NOT BE SECURE.

CUSTOMER FURTHER ACKNOWLEDGES AND AGREES THAT ANY DATA, MATERIAL OR TRAFFIC OF ANY KIND WHATSOEVER CARRIED, UPLOADED, DOWNLOADED OR OTHERWISE OBTAINED THROUGH THE USE OF THE SERVICE IS DONE AT CUSTOMER'S OWN DISCRETION AND RISK AND THAT CUSTOMER WILL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY DAMAGE TO CUSTOMER'S OR ANY END USER'S EQUIPMENT OR LOSS OF SUCH DATA, MATERIAL OR TRAFFIC DURING, OR RESULTING FROM, CUSTOMER'S OR ANY END USER'S USE OF THE SERVICE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, VIA SENDING OR RECEIVING, UPLOADING OR DOWNLOADING, OR OTHER TRANSMISSION OF SUCH DATA, MATERIAL OR TRAFFIC. IN ADDITION, CUSTOMER ACKNOWLEDGES AND AGREES THAT SPECTRUM'S THIRD-PARTY SERVICE PROVIDERS DO NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTIES TO CUSTOMER UNDER

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

THE SERVICE AGREEMENT, AND SPECTRUM DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTIES ON BEHALF OF SUCH SERVICE PROVIDERS UNDER THE SERVICE AGREEMENT, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, NON-INFRINGEMENT, TITLE, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, SYSTEM INTEGRATION, DATA ACCURACY, OR QUIET ENJOYMENT.

(b) LIMITATION OF LIABILITY. NOTWITHSTANDING ANYTHING IN THE SERVICE AGREEMENT TO THE CONTRARY, NEITHER PARTY NOR ITS AFFILIATES SHALL BE LIABLE TO THE OTHER PARTY OR ITS AFFILIATES, ANY END USER, OR ANY THIRD PARTY FOR ANY INDIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, EXEMPLARY, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, RELIANCE, OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES (INCLUDING LOST BUSINESS, REVENUE, PROFITS, OR GOODWILL) ARISING IN CONNECTION WITH THE SERVICE AGREEMENT OR THE PROVISION OF SERVICES, INCLUDING ANY SERVICE IMPLEMENTATION DELAYS OR FAILURES, UNDER ANY THEORY OF TORT, CONTRACT, WARRANTY, STRICT LIABILITY, MISREPRESENTATION, OR NEGLIGENCE, EVEN IF THE PARTY HAS BEEN ADVISED, KNEW OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. THE FOREGOING LIMITATION OF LIABILITY SHALL NOT APPLY TO ANY OF CUSTOMER'S PAYMENT OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE SERVICE AGREEMENT. SPECTRUM'S AND ITS AFFILIATES' MAXIMUM AGGREGATE LIABILITY TO CUSTOMER AND ITS AFFILIATES WITH RESPECT TO ANY AND ALL LOSSES, DAMAGES, AND CAUSES OF ACTION SHALL NOT EXCEED THREE (3) MONTHS' WORTH OF MRCS UNDER THE SERVICE AGREEMENT AS OF THE DATE OF THE EVENTS FIRST GIVING RISE TO THE CLAIM. SPECTRUM SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY LOSSES OR DAMAGES ARISING AS A RESULT OF THE UNAVAILABILITY OF THE SERVICE, INCLUDING THE INABILITY TO REACH 911 OR ANY OTHER EMERGENCY SERVICES, THE INABILITY TO CONTACT A SECURITY SYSTEM OR REMOTE MEDICAL OR OTHER MONITORING SERVICE PROVIDER OR ANY FAILURE OR FAULT RELATING TO CUSTOMER-PROVIDED EQUIPMENT, FACILITIES, OR SERVICES.

15. INDEMNIFICATION. Unless prohibited under applicable law, Customer, at its own expense, shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless Spectrum, its affiliates, service providers, and suppliers, and their directors, employees, representatives, officers, and agents (collectively, the "Indemnified Parties") against any and all third-party claims, liabilities, lawsuits, damages, losses, judgments, costs, fees and expenses incurred by Indemnified Parties, including reasonable attorneys' and other professionals' fees and court costs, to the extent that such arise from or relate to any one or more of the following: (a) Customer's misuse of the Services; (b) Customer's failure to comply with any applicable law, order, rule, regulation or ordinance or the Service Agreement; or (c) bodily injury (including death) or tangible property damage caused by Customer's or its employees' or agents' negligence or willful misconduct. Indemnified Parties, at their own cost, shall have the right but not the obligation to participate in the defense of the claim.

16. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS. As between the Parties, Spectrum shall obtain and maintain at its own expense all licenses, approvals and regulatory authority required by law with respect to Spectrum's operation and provision of the Services as contemplated in the Service Agreement, and Customer shall obtain and maintain at its own expense all licenses, approvals, and regulatory authority required by law with respect to Customer's use of the Services as contemplated in the Service Agreement.

Unless otherwise specified in the Service Agreement, each Party shall give all notices, pay all fees and comply with all applicable laws, ordinances, rules and regulations relating to its performance obligations specified in the Service Agreement. The Service Agreement is subject to all applicable federal, state, or local laws and regulations in effect in the relevant jurisdiction(s) in which Spectrum provides the Services. If any provision of the Service Agreement contravenes or is in conflict with any such law or regulation, then the terms of such law or regulation shall take priority over the relevant provision of the Service Agreement. If the relevant law or regulation applies to some but not all of the Services being provided under the Service Agreement, then such law or regulation shall take priority over the relevant provision of the Service Agreement only for purposes of those Services to which the law or regulation applies. Except as explicitly stated in the Service Agreement, nothing contained in the Service Agreement shall constitute a waiver by Spectrum of any rights under applicable laws or regulations pertaining to the installation, construction, operation, maintenance, or removal of the Services, facilities or Spectrum Equipment.

17. REGULATORY CHANGES. If any change in applicable law, regulation, decision, rule, or order, including without limitation any new application of or increase in any government- or quasi-government-imposed fees or charges, increases the costs of Spectrum's delivery of Service to Customer, or in the event of any increase in pole attachment or conduit charges applicable to any facilities used by Spectrum in providing Services, then Spectrum may pass through to Customer any such increased fees or costs, but only to the extent of the actual increase. Spectrum shall use commercially reasonable efforts to notify Customer at least thirty (30) days in advance of the increase. If such increase materially increases the Service Charges payable by Customer for the applicable Service, Customer may, within thirty (30) days after notification of such increase, terminate the affected Service without an obligation to pay a Termination Charge, provided Customer notifies Spectrum at least thirty (30) days in advance of Customer's requested termination date. Further, if Spectrum is required to file tariffs or rate schedules with a regulatory agency or otherwise publish or make generally available its rates in accordance with regulatory agency rules or policies respecting the delivery of the Services or any portion thereof, then the terms set forth in the applicable tariff or rate schedule shall govern Spectrum's delivery of, and Customer's use or consumption of, Services. In addition, if Spectrum determines that offering or providing the Services, or any part thereof, has become impracticable for legal or regulatory reasons or circumstances, then Spectrum may terminate the Service Agreement and any affected Service Orders without liability by giving Customer thirty (30) days prior notice or any such notice as is required by law or regulation applicable to such determination.

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

18. ARBITRATION. The Service Agreement requires the use of arbitration to resolve disputes and otherwise limits the remedies available to Customer in the event of a dispute. Subject to the "Exclusions" paragraph below, Spectrum and Customer agree to arbitrate disputes and claims arising out of or relating to the Service Agreement, the Services, the Spectrum Equipment, Network, or marketing of the Services. The arbitrator of any dispute or claim brought under or in connection with the Service Agreement shall not have the power to award injunctive relief, which may only be sought in an appropriate court of law. No claim subject to arbitration under the Service Agreement may be combined with a claim subject to resolution before a court of law. THE SERVICE AGREEMENT MEMORIALIZES A TRANSACTION IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE. THE FEDERAL ARBITRATION ACT GOVERNS THE INTERPRETATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THESE ARBITRATION PROVISIONS.

(a) Arbitration Notice; AAA Rules; Costs; Venue. A Party who intends to seek arbitration must first send to the other a written notice of intent to arbitrate, entitled "Notice of Intent to Arbitrate" ("Arbitration Notice"). The Arbitration Notice to Spectrum should be addressed to: VP and Associate General Counsel, Litigation, Charter Communications, 12405 Powerscourt Drive, St. Louis, MO 63131 ("Arbitration Notice Address"). The Arbitration Notice must: (a) describe the nature and basis of the claim or dispute; and (b) set forth the specific relief sought. If the Parties do not reach an agreement to resolve the claim within thirty (30) days after the Arbitration Notice is received, Customer or Spectrum may commence an arbitration proceeding, in which all issues are for the arbitrator to decide (including the scope of the arbitration clause), but the arbitrator shall be bound by the terms of the Service Agreement. The arbitration shall be governed by the Commercial Arbitration Rules ("AAA Rules") of the American Arbitration Association ("AAA"), as modified by the Service Agreement, and the arbitration shall be administered by the AAA. The AAA Rules and fee information are available at www.adr.org. EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE SET FORTH HEREIN, EACH PARTY SHALL BEAR THE COST OF ANY ARBITRATION FILING FEES, ARBITRATOR'S FEES, AND OTHER COSTS OF ARBITRATION THAT SUCH PARTY INCURS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ATTORNEYS FEES OR EXPERT WITNESS COSTS UNLESS OTHERWISE REQUIRED UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. Unless Spectrum and Customer agree otherwise in writing, all hearings conducted as part of the arbitration shall take place in the Borough of Manhattan, City of New York

(b) Appeals. Except as otherwise set forth below, the arbitrator's decision shall be final and binding. If the arbitrator's award exceeds $75,000, either Party may appeal such award to a three-arbitrator panel administered by the AAA and selected according to the AAA Rules, by filing a written notice of appeal within thirty (30) days after the date of entry of the arbitration award. The appealing Party must provide the other Party with a copy of such appeal concurrently with its submission of the appeals notice to AAA. The three-arbitrator panel must issue its decision within one hundred twenty (120) days of the date of the appealing Party's notice of appeal. The decision of the three-arbitrator panel shall be final and binding, except for any appellate right which may exist under the Federal Arbitration Act.

(c) NO CLASS ACTION OR CONSOLIDATION. CUSTOMER AND SPECTRUM AGREE THAT ANY AND ALL CLAIMS, INCLUDING THOSE SPECIFICALLY EXCLUDED FROM ARBITRATION AS SET FORTH BELOW, MAY ONLY BE BROUGHT IN CUSTOMER'S INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY AND NOT ON BEHALF OF, OR AS PART OF, A CLASS ACTION OR REPRESENTATIVE PROCEEDING. Furthermore, unless both Customer and Spectrum agree otherwise in writing, an arbitrator may not consolidate proceedings or more than one person's claims and may not otherwise preside over any form of representative or class proceeding.

(d) EXCLUSIONS. CUSTOMER AND SPECTRUM AGREE THAT THE FOLLOWING CLAIMS OR DISPUTES SHALL NOT BE SUBJECT TO ARBITRATION:

i. ANY ACTION THAT IS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF A COURT THAT IS LIMITED TO ADJUDICATING SMALL CLAIMS.

ii. ANY DISPUTE OVER THE VALIDITY OF ANY PARTY'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS.

iii. ANY ALLEGATION OR DISPUTE RELATED TO OR ARISING FROM THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OR RECEIPT OF SERVICE.

iv. ANY CLAIM SEEKING INJUNCTIVE RELIEF.

19. PROPRIETARY RIGHTS AND CONFIDENTIALITY.

(a) Spectrum's Proprietary Rights. All materials including, but not limited to, any Spectrum Equipment (including related firmware), Software, data and information provided by Spectrum, any identifiers or passwords used to access the Service or otherwise provided by Spectrum, and any know-how, methodologies or processes including, but not limited to, all copyrights, trademarks, patents, trade secrets, and any other proprietary rights inherent therein and appurtenant thereto, used by Spectrum to provide the Service (collectively "Spectrum Materials") shall remain the sole and exclusive property of Spectrum or its suppliers and shall not become a fixture to the Service Location. Customer shall acquire no title to, interest, or right (including intellectual property rights) in the Spectrum Materials by virtue of the payments provided for herein other than the limited, non-exclusive, and non-transferable license to use the Spectrum Materials solely for Customer's use of the Service. Customer shall not disassemble, decompile, reverse engineer, reproduce, translate, modify, attempt to learn the source code of, or distribute the Spectrum Materials, in whole or in part, or use them for the benefit of any third party. Customer shall not cause or permit the disabling or circumvention of any security mechanism contained in or associated with the Services. All rights in the Spectrum

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

Materials not expressly granted to Customer herein are reserved to Spectrum or its suppliers. Customer shall not open, alter, misuse, tamper with, or remove the Spectrum Equipment or Spectrum Materials, and shall not remove any markings or labels from the Spectrum Equipment or Spectrum Materials indicating Spectrum (or its suppliers) ownership or serial numbers.

(b) Confidentiality. Customer agrees to maintain in confidence, and not to disclose to third parties or use, except for such use as is expressly permitted herein, the Spectrum Materials and any other information and materials provided by Spectrum in connection with the Service Agreement, including but not limited to the contents of the Service Agreement and any Service Orders. Customer may not issue a press release, public announcement or other public statements regarding the Service Agreement without Spectrum's prior consent.

(c) Software. If Software is provided to Customer hereunder, Spectrum grants Customer a limited, non-exclusive, and non-transferable license to use such Software, in object code form only, for the sole and limited purpose of using the Services for Customer's internal business purposes during the applicable Order Term. Upon termination of a Service Order, or relevant portion thereof, the license to use any Software provided by Spectrum to Customer in connection with the Services provided under the Service Order shall terminate and Customer shall destroy any copies of the Software provided to Customer.

(d) Marketing. During the Term, Customer grants Spectrum the right to include Customer's name and logo in any Spectrum marketing materials and to identify the general type of Services purchased by Customer. Customer shall have the right to require Spectrum to terminate the use of Customer's name, logo, and Service description at any time by written notice.

20. PRIVACY. Spectrum maintains a Privacy Policy that provides customers with notice of Spectrum's collection, use, maintenance, and disclosure of information, and their rights and choices with respect to such practices under applicable U.S. state and/or federal laws and regulations. The Privacy Policy may be found on Spectrum's website at https://enterprise.spectrum.com/. The Privacy Policy may be updated or modified from time-to-time by Spectrum, with or without notice to Customer. Customer's privacy interests, including Customer's ability to limit disclosure of certain information to third parties, may be addressed by, among other laws, the Federal Telecommunications Act, the Federal Cable Communications Act, the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, and, to the extent applicable, state laws and regulations. Customer proprietary network information and personally identifiable information that may be collected, used or disclosed in accordance with applicable laws is described in a Service Attachment, the Privacy Policy, and, if applicable, in Spectrum's tariff, which are incorporated into, and made a part of, the Service Agreement by this reference. In addition to the foregoing, Spectrum may disclose Customer's and its employees' personally identifiable information as required by law or regulation, or the American Registry for Internet Numbers or any similar agency, or in accordance with the Privacy Policy or, if applicable, tariff(s). Spectrum shall also have the right (except where prohibited by law), but not the obligation, to disclose any information to protect its rights, property or operations, or where circumstances suggest that individual or public safety is in peril.

21. NOTICES.

(a) Generally. Except for notice to terminate the Service Agreement or to disconnect any Services as set forth in Section 21(b) below, all other notices to be given under the Service Agreement shall be validly given or served only if in writing and sent by nationally recognized overnight delivery service or certified mail, return receipt requested, to the following addresses:

If to Spectrum:
Charter Communications Operating, LLC
ATTN: Commercial Customer Agreements
Corporate - Legal Operations
12405 Powerscourt Drive
St. Louis, MO 63131

Notice to Customer shall be sent to Customer's billing address or as set forth in the Service Agreement. Such notices shall be deemed effectively given in all respects when actually received (or if delivery is rejected at such address). Each Party may change its respective address(es) for legal notice by providing notice to the other Party. Upon Spectrum's request, Customer will also provide Spectrum with a current email address that Customer regularly checks so that Spectrum may provide copies of notices and other communications to Customer by email.

(b) Disconnect Notice. Customer may disconnect Service(s) by following the instructions available at this link: https://enterprise.spectrum.com/support/faq/account/how-to-cancel-service.html (such instructions in the link may be updated from time to time).

22. MISCELLANEOUS.

(a) Entire Agreement. These Terms of Service incorporate all applicable Service Attachments located at https://enterprise.spectrum.com/legal/terms-and-conditions.html (or successor URL), as the same are updated from time to time ("Service Attachments"). A Service Attachment will apply only if Customer purchases Service(s) associated with the particular Service Attachment, and Customer's use of the Service(s) shall be deemed acknowledgement that Customer has read and agreed to the applicable Service Attachments. The Service Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof. The Service Agreement supersedes all prior understandings,

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

promises, and agreements, if any, made orally or in writing by or on behalf of the Parties with respect to the subject matter of the Service Agreement, including without limitation any prior confidentiality or non-disclosure agreement between the Parties regarding the purchase and sale of the Services. For the avoidance of doubt, any existing confidentiality agreements between Spectrum and Customer or its affiliates specifically related to Spectrum's Network location information are separate and apart from the Service Agreement and shall continue in full force and effect.

Spectrum may change or modify the Terms of Service at any time by posting revised Terms of Service to the Spectrum website at https://enterprise.spectrum.com/legal/terms-and-conditions.html or such other URL as Spectrum may specify from time to time (the "Spectrum Website"). The revisions to the Terms of Service are effective upon posting to the Spectrum Website.

Customer should also consult Spectrum's website https://enterprise.spectrum.com/ to be sure Customer is aware of Spectrum's Acceptable Use Policies, Network Management Practices, applicable tariffs, online product descriptions, and other policies or practices that are applicable to Customer's use of the Services (collectively "Policies"). Customer's use of the Services shall be deemed acknowledgment that Customer has read and agreed to Spectrum's Policies as a part of the Service Agreement.

(b) Signatures; Electronic Transactions. The Service Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which is an original, but together constituting one and the same instrument. Execution of a facsimile or other electronic copy will have the same force and effect as execution of an original, and a facsimile or electronic signature will be deemed an original and valid signature. The Parties agree to conduct business using electronic means including using electronic records and electronic signatures, except as provided with respect to notices in Section 21.

(c) Order of Precedence. If Spectrum permits Customer to use its own purchase order form to order Services or Customer provides its purchase order form, any terms and conditions of such Customer purchase order shall have no force or effect, and the terms and conditions of the Service Agreement shall control. To the extent that the terms of the Service Agreement or any Service Order are inconsistent with the terms of any applicable tariff, the tariff shall control. Except for any mutually agreed terms in the Special Terms section of a Service Order, to the extent that the terms of any Service Order are inconsistent with the terms of these Terms of Service, the Terms of Service shall control.

(d) Assignment or Transfer. Customer may not assign or transfer (directly or indirectly by any means, including by operation of law or otherwise) the Service Agreement and the associated Service Order(s), or its rights or obligations hereunder to any other entity without first obtaining consent from Spectrum, and any assignment or transfer in violation of this Section shall be null and void. Spectrum may assign its rights and obligations under the Service Agreement and any Service Orders, in whole or in part, to affiliates controlling, controlled by or under common control with Spectrum, or to its successor-in-interest if Spectrum sells some or all of the underlying communications system(s), without the prior approval of or notice to Customer. The rights and obligations of Spectrum in the Service Agreement may accrue to, or be fulfilled by, Spectrum, its affiliates, and its subcontractors.

(e) Severability. To the extent any term, covenant, condition or portion of the Service Agreement is held to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of such term, covenant, condition or portion of the Service Agreement shall be amended to as closely as possible achieve the intended effect of the Parties in a valid, lawful, and enforceable manner, or if not possible, then deleted and ineffective to the extent thereof, all without affecting any other term, covenant, condition or portion of the Service Agreement.

(f) Force Majeure. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Service Agreement, neither Party shall be liable to the other or be deemed to have breached the Service Agreement for any failure or delay in performing its obligations under the Service Agreement to the extent such failure or delay is directly or indirectly caused by circumstances beyond such Party's control that prevent the delivery of Services, including but not limited to denial of use of poles or other facilities of a utility company, labor disputes, acts of war or terrorism, criminal, illegal or unlawful acts, weather, fire, flood, natural disaster, mechanical or power failures, fiber cuts, or governmental order, law or action (each a "Force Majeure Event"), except that Customer's obligation to pay for Services provided under the Service Agreement shall not be excused. Changes in economic, business, or competitive conditions shall not be considered a Force Majeure Event.

(g) Governing Law; Claims Limitation; WAIVER OF JURY TRIAL. The law of the state of New York shall govern the construction, interpretation, and performance of the Service Agreement, except that any conflicts-of-law principles of such state that would result in the application of the law of another jurisdiction shall be disregarded. Except as otherwise provided in the Service Agreement, any legal action brought under or in connection with the subject matter of the Service Agreement shall be brought only in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York or, if such court would not have jurisdiction over the matter, then only in a New York State court sitting in the Borough of Manhattan, City of New York. Each party submits to the exclusive jurisdiction of these courts and agrees not to commence any legal action under or in connection with the subject matter of the Service Agreement in any other court or forum. Each Party waives any objection to the laying of the venue of any legal action brought under or in connection with the subject matter of the Service Agreement in the Federal or state courts sitting in the Borough of Manhattan, City of New York, and agrees not to plead or claim in such courts that any such action has been brought in an inconvenient forum. Except as otherwise specified in Section 7(e), any claim that Customer wishes to assert under the Service Agreement must be initiated not later than one (1) year after the claim arose. IN ANY AND ALL CONTROVERSIES OR CLAIMS ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO THE SERVICE AGREEMENT, CUSTOMER AND SPECTRUM EACH HEREBY WAIVES ITS RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY WITH RESPECT TO SUCH CONTROVERSIES OR CLAIMS.

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

(h) No Third-Party Beneficiaries. The terms of the Service Agreement and the Parties' respective performance of obligations as described are not intended to benefit any person or entity not a Party to the Service Agreement, and no other person or entity shall have any rights hereunder nor the right to require performance of obligations by either of the Parties.

(i) Waiver. Except as otherwise provided herein, the failure of either Party to enforce any provision of the Service Agreement shall not constitute or be construed as a waiver of such provision or of the right to enforce such provision. To be legally binding on either Party, any waiver must be in writing.

(j) Remedies Cumulative and Nonexclusive. Unless stated otherwise in the Service Agreement, all rights and remedies of the Parties are cumulative, nonexclusive and in addition to, but not in lieu of, any other rights or remedies available to the Parties whether provided by law, in equity, by statute or otherwise, and the exercise of any right or remedy does not preclude the exercise of any other rights or remedies.

© 2016-2025 Charter Communications, all rights reserved

AGENDA ITEM NO. D.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: CONSENT ITEMS

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to approve Contract Addendum No. 2 to the 2022 Contract for Water Emergency On-Call Services with Haen Constructors; authorize the Director of Public Works to execute Addendum No. 2; and adopt **Resolution 2026R-057** to augment the Maintenance and Repair budget to reflect the addendum cost. The effect of the addendum is to increase the total contract price for Fiscal Year 2026-27 from $99,000 to $198,000. (Rick Robillard, Public Works Deputy Director)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Approve Contract Addendum No. 2 with Haen Constructors to increase the total amount from $99,000 to $198,000 for Water Emergency On-Call services for Fiscal Year 2026-27; authorize the Director of Public Works to execute the amendment; and adopt Resolution 2026R-057, as presented.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
Budget augment to increase the Maintenance and Repair budget (328 849 532.015) from Operating Reserves (328 849 625.200) in the amount of $99,000 for a total of $198,000.

BACKGROUND:
The Water Utility enters an annual contract with Haen Constructors to provide emergency on-call services on a time and material basis. Under the contract, the fiscal year cost is capped at $99,000. The Water Utility also has contracts with Summit Plumbing and Armac Excavating to provide emergency on-call services. Haen Constructors is located out of the Lake Tahoe Basin and services numerous Lake Tahoe water systems.
In July 2026, the Uppaway Water System experienced numerous water leaks and pipeline failures that required the services of a vactor truck due to the close proximity of numerous other utilities within the trench (NV Energy). Haen Constructors provide the on-call emergency services to the leaks and pipeline replacement for the Uppaway Water System. Repairs on the Lake Tahoe water systems typically require a vactor truck due to the close proximity to other utilities and roadway widths. Due to the large repair on the Uppaway System and other leaks at Lake Tahoe this summer, Haen Constructors has utilized a significant portion of its available budget. This action item increases the contract amount

by $99,000 through the remainder of the current fiscal year so that future unanticipated repairs on the Lake Tahoe water systems can be quickly addressed.

ATTACHMENTS:
Addendum 2_Haen_Constructors_Draft_2026 (2).pdf
Resolution_2026R-057_Haen.pdf
Addendum_1_Haen_Constructors_Final_executed.pdf
Document 2022-985617_Haen Constructors On-Call Water Emergency Contract_executed.pdf

CONTRACT ADDENDUM NO. 002

March 17, 2022 Contract For Professional Services

between

DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEVADA

and

Haen Constructors

This Contract Addendum No. 2 ("Addendum 2") is entered into by and between Douglas County, Nevada, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada ("the County") and Haen Constructors, a California corporation registered with the Nevada Secretary of State (NV20191313362) ("Contractor"). The County and Contractor are at times collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Parties" or individually as a "Party."

WHEREAS, effective March 17, 2022, the Parties entered into a Contract for Services related to Emergency on-call utility services ("Contract"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Contract, the initial term was effective for a period of two (2) years, expiring June 30, 2025; and

WHEREAS, the Parties executed Contract Addendum No. 1 ("Addendum 1") for the purpose of acknowledging the extended term and defining the compensation amount for July 1, 2026 to June 30, 2028 as $99,000 per year for a total bi-annual cost of $198,000; and

WHEREAS, numerous unanticipated water leaks and pipeline failures, requiring Contractor's services, occurred within the Uppaway water system in July 2026; and

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to increase the FY 2026-2027 (July 1, 2026 - June 30, 2027) budget by an additional $99,000 to enable the Parties to utilize Contractor's services for additional emergency repairs on the Lake Tahoe water systems.

NOW, Therefore, in consideration of the foregoing and of the mutual promises herein, the Parties agree:

1. The Contract, as amended by Addendum 1, shall be modified by increasing the total annual amount for July 1, 2026 to June 30, 2027 in the amount of $99,000 for a total amount of $198,000 for that one year period. The annual amount for the following year shall revert to a total of $99,000.
2. All other terms and conditions of the Contract, as amended by Addendum 1, remain unchanged and full effect.

Each natural person signing this instrument, for or on behalf of a legal entity party hereto, represents, warrants, assures and guarantees to each other such natural person, and to each other such legal entity, that he or she is duly authorized and has the legal power and authority to sign this instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Addendum 2 to be signed and intend to be legally bound hereby,

Haen Constructors

_____ _____

Name: Date

DOUGLAS COUNTY

_____ _____

Philip Ritger Date
Director Public Works – Douglas County, NV

RESOLUTION NUMBER 2026R-057

RESOLUTION AUGMENTING THE 328 WATER UTILITY FUND
2026 - 2027 FISCAL YEAR BUDGET

WHEREAS, there is a need to revise the budget to reflect revised revenues and expenditures to the County as follows:

Project: **N/A**
Project # **N/A**

Revenue		
	TOTAL REVENUES	-
Expenditures		
328-849 532.015	Maint. And Repair	99,000
328-849 625.200	Operating Reserves	(99,000)
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	-

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the 2026 - 2027 Fiscal Year budget is herein amended.

Adopted this 15th day of October, 2026 by the following vote:

VOTE: Ayes Commissioners: _____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Nays Commissioners: _____

_____

Absent Commissioners: _____

_____

_____
Mark Gardner, Chairman
Douglas County Board of Commissioners

ATTEST:

_____
Amy Burgans
Douglas County Clerk-Treasurer

DRAFT

CONTRACT ADDENDUM NO. 1

March 17, 2022 CONTRACT FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

between

DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEVADA

and

Haen Constructors

This Contract Addendum No. 1 ("Addendum") is entered into by and between Douglas County, Nevada, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada ("the County") and Haen Constructors (NV0086656) ("Contractor"). The County and Contractor are at times collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Parties" or individually as a "Party."

WHEREAS, effective March 17, 2022, the Parties entered into a Contract for Services related to Emergency on-call utility services ("Contract"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Contract, the initial term was effective for a period of two (2) years, expiring June 30, 2025; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 3.16 of Exhibit A of the Contract, the Contract will automatically renew for successive 2 year periods unless either Party provides the other with written notice of its intent not to renew; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 3.17 of Exhibit A, costs for any extension term shall be subject to adjustment only if increases or decreases occur in the industry, and such adjustments shall be negotiated at the time of renewal.

Now, Therefore, in consideration of the foregoing and of the mutual promises herein, the Parties agree:

1. Beginning July 1, 2025, the first 2-year extension period shall commence. The termination Date of "June 30, 2025" in Paragraph 1 of the Contract shall be amended to read "June 30, 2027"

2. Beginning July 1, 2025, Payment for Services on a time and materials bases will be billed at the rates set forth in Exhibit B-1 to this addendum. The total amount billed between July 1 of one year and June 30 of the following year shall remain capped at $99,000.

3. All other terms set forth in the Contract remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

Each natural person signing this instrument, for or on behalf of a legal entity party hereto, represents, warrants, assures and guarantees to each other such natural person, and to each other such legal entity, that he or she is duly authorized and has the legal power and authority to sign this instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused the Contract Addendum No. 001 to be signed and intent to be legally bound thereby,

Haen Constructors

5/27/2025

Name: Date

DOUGLAS COUNTY

7/15/25

Jenifer Davidson
County Manager – Douglas County, NV

Date

Exhibit B-1

Bid Schedule

Equipment Listing	Hourly Charge
105 - Hydro Excavator	$ 310
106 - Hydro Excavator	$ 345
1 Ton Utility Truck	$ 55
3/4 Ton Truck	$ 42
End Dump + Tractor	$ 145
60 Ton Lowbed + Tractor	$ 155
CAT 420 D Backhoe	$ 70
CAT 928G Loader	$ 80
CAT 303.5C Mini Excavator	$ 50
CAT 308 ECR Compact Excavator	$ 85
Asphalt Zipper	$600
Dump Trailer	$ 15
Air Compressor	$ 45
Boring Tool	$ 110
CAT 307.1D Mini Excavator	$ 45

Attach additional sheets as required to list all available equipment

Personnel Listing	**Classification**	**Hourly Wage**
Field Supervisor		$ 130
Operator		$ 115
Laborer		$ 105

Attach additional sheets as required to list all proposed Personnel.

DOUGLAS COUNTY, NV
This is a no fee document
NO FEE
DOUGLAS COUNTY/PUBLIC WORKS

2022-985617
05/26/2022 04:30 PM
Pgs=31

00155255202209856170310319

KAREN ELLISON, RECORDER

Recorder's Office Cover Sheet

Recording Requested By:

Name: Rick Robillard

Department: Public Works

Type of Document: (please select one)

- ☐ **Agreement**
- ☒ **Contract**
- ☐ **Grant**
- ☐ **Change Order**
- ☐ **Easement**
- ☐ **Other specify:** _____

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

FILED
NO. 2022.101
5/25/2022
DATE
DOUGLAS COUNTY CLERK
MINDEN, NV
BY ed DEPUTY

CONTRACT FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

A CONTRACT BETWEEN

DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEVADA

AND

HAEN CONSTRUCTORS

This Contract for Services by an Independent Contractor (the "Contract") is entered into by and between Douglas County, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada (the "County"), and ***HAEN Constructors*** (NV 0086656) ("Contractor"). The County and Contractor are at times collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Parties" or individually as the "Party."

WHEREAS, the County, from time to time, requires the services of independent contractors;

WHEREAS, the County believes that the services of Contractor are necessary, desirable, and in the best interests of Douglas County; and

WHEREAS, Contractor represents that Contractor is duly qualified, equipped, competent, ready, willing and able to perform the services required by County as hereinafter described.

WHEREAS, Contractor represents that Contractor possess all required licenses and permits to perform the services required by County;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the agreements herein made, the parties mutually agree as follows:

1. **EFFECTIVE DATE OF CONTRACT.** Upon execution by all parties, this Contract shall be effective March 17, 2022, and will terminate on June 30, 2025, unless the Contract is terminated earlier in accordance with Paragraph 9.

2. **SERVICES TO BE PERFORMED.** The Parties agree that the services to be performed by Contractor are as follows:

   a. The Services and Specifications to be performed under this Contract are specifically identified in Exhibit A, "Advertisement for Bids," and are further specified in Exhibit B, "Proposal," both of which are attached and incorporated by reference herein.

3. **PAYMENT FOR SERVICES.** Contractor agrees to provide the services set forth in Paragraph 2 on a time and materials basis as more particularly set forth in Exhibits A and B. The total annual amount (for the 12-month period from July 1 through June 30) shall not exceed $99,000. The Contractor agrees that there is no guaranteed minimum payment or "retainer" for any time period under this Contract and that payments will be limited to work actually performed in response to a County request for service. Contractor agrees to submit invoices within ten days

UNOFFICIAL COPY INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

of the end of the prior month for any services rendered. County will pay invoices it receives within a reasonable time.

Contractor shall be responsible for all costs and expenses incurred while performing any services under this Contract, including without limitation licenses fees, memberships and dues; automobile and other travel expenses; and all salary, expenses and other compensation paid to Contractor's employees or contract personnel Contractor hires to perform the services described by this Agreement.

4. **INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR STATUS.** The Parties agree that Contractor, his associates and employees shall have the status of an independent contractors and that this contract, by explicit agreement of the parties, incorporates and applies the provisions of NRS 333.700, as necessarily adapted to the parties, including that Contractor is not a Douglas County employee and that there shall be no:

(1) Withholding of income taxes by the County;
(2) Industrial insurance coverage provided by the County;
(3) Participation in group insurance plans which may be available to employees of the County;
(4) Participation or contributions by either the independent contractor or the County to the public employees' retirement system;
(5) Accumulation of vacation leave or sick leave;
(6) Unemployment compensation coverage provided by the County if the requirements of NRS 612.085 for independent contractors are met.

Contractor and County agree to the following rights and obligations consistent with an independent contractor relationship between the Parties:

a. Contractor has the right to perform services for others during the term of this Agreement.
b. Contractor has the sole right to control and direct the means, manner and method by which the services required by this Agreement will be performed.
c. Contractor shall not be assigned a work location on County premises.
d. Contractor, at Contractor's sole expense, will furnish all equipment and materials used to provide the services required by this Agreement.
e. Contractor, at Contractor's sole expense, has the right to hire assistants as subcontractors, or to use Contractor's employees to provide the services required by this Agreement.
f. Contractor or Contractor's employees or contract personnel shall perform the services required by this Agreement, and Contractor agrees to the faithful performance and delivery of described services in accordance with the time frames contained herein; County shall not hire, supervise or pay any assistants to help Contractor.
g. Neither Contractor nor contractor's employees or contract personnel shall receive any training from County in the skills necessary to perform the services required by this Agreement.
h. County shall not require Contractor or Contractor's employees or contract

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

personnel to devote full time to performing the services required by this Agreement.

i. Contractor understands that Contractor is solely responsible to pay any federal and state taxes and/or any social security or related payments applicable to money received for services provided under the terms of this contract. Contractor understands that an IRS Form 1099 will be filed by County for all payments County makes to Contractor.

5. INDUSTRIAL INSURANCE. Contractor shall, as a precondition to the performance of any work under this Contract and as a precondition to any obligation of the County to make any payment under this Contract, provide the County with a work certificate and/or a certificate issued by a qualified insurer in accordance with NRS 616B.627. Contractor also shall, prior to commencing any work under the contract, complete and provide the following written request to a qualified insurer:

> HAEN Constructors has entered into a contract with Douglas County to perform work through June 30, 2025, and requests that the insurer provide to Douglas County (1) a certificate of coverage issued pursuant to NRS 616B.627 and (2) notice of any lapse in coverage or nonpayment of coverage that the contractor is required to maintain. The certificate and notice should be mailed to:
>
> Douglas County
> Public Works Department
> Post Office Box 218
> Minden, Nevada 89423

Contractor agrees to maintain required workers compensation coverage throughout the entire term of the Contract. If Contractor does not maintain coverage throughout the entire term of the Contract, Contractor agrees that County may, at any time the coverage is not maintained by Contractor, order the Contractor to stop work, suspend the Contract, or terminate the Contract. For each six-month period this Contract is in effect, Contractor agrees, prior to the expiration of the six-month period, to provide another written request to a qualified insurer for the provision of a certificate and notice of lapse in or nonpayment of coverage. If Contractor does not make the request or does not provide the certificate before the expiration of the six-month period, Contractor agrees that County may order the Contractor to stop work, suspend the Contract, or terminate the Contract.

Contractor may, in lieu of furnishing a certificate of an insurer, provide an affidavit indicating that he is a sole proprietor and that:

A. In accordance with the provisions of NRS 616B.659, has not elected to be included within the terms, conditions and provisions of chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive, of NRS; and

B. Is otherwise in compliance with those terms, conditions and provisions

6. LICENSING. Contractor agrees to maintain any required licenses to perform any services for County. The failure to maintain any required license will result in immediate termination of this Contract.

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

7. **GENERAL LIABILITY INSURANCE.** Douglas County's liability coverage will not extend to the Contractor and Contractor is required to acquire and maintain general liability insurance during the term of this Contract at Contractor's sole expense. Proof of insurance must be sent to the Douglas County Manager. Such proof of insurance must be provided at least annually throughout the term of this Contract and Douglas County must be notified at least 30 days in advance of any cancellation or nonrenewal of such insurance. The minimum insurance requirements are set forth in Exhibit A, hereto.

8. **TERMINATION OF CONTRACT.** This Contract may be revoked without cause by either Party prior to the date set forth in Paragraph 1, provided that a revocation shall not be effective until 30 days after a party has served written notice upon the other party. The Contractor shall submit billings for work performed up to the effective date of termination. After the Effective date, the contract may be terminated with or without cause upon 60 days written notice by the terminating party to the other.

9. **CONSTRUCTION OF CONTRACT & DISPUTE RESOLUTION.** This Contract shall be construed and interpreted according to the laws of the State of Nevada. . There will be no presumption for or against the drafter in interpreting or enforcing the Contract. The Contract Documents consist of this document, and Exhibits A and B. The Parties agree to be bound by the terms, conditions and specifications set forth in all Contract Documents, except as specifically modified or amended. The terms of the Contract Documents shall, to the extent reasonably practical, be read as complimentary to one another. In the event of an irreconcilable conflict between the terms of the Contract Documents, the terms of this document shall prevail, thereafter the terms of Exhibit A, and finally Exhibit B.

In the event a dispute arises between the Parties, the Parties promise and agree to first meet and confer to resolve any dispute. If such meeting does not resolve the dispute, then the Parties agree to mediate any dispute arising from or relating to the Contract before an independent mediator mutually agreed to by the parties. The fee, rate or charge of the mediator will be shared equally by the Parties, who will otherwise be responsible for their own attorney's fees and costs. If mediation is unsuccessful, litigation may only proceed before a department of the Ninth Judicial Court of the State of Nevada in and for the County of Douglas that was not involved in the mediation process and attorney's fees and costs will be awarded to the prevailing party at the discretion of the court.

10. **WARRANTY.** Contractor agrees and hereby provides, warrants and represents each of the following with respect to any services provided under this Contract: the services, installations, or work performed under this Contract shall be free of defects in material and workmanship for a period of 365 days following the completion of such work. This term shall not be construed as a limitation on any other remedies that might be available to the County. The services will be provided in a professional and workman like manner and in conformity with the professional standards for comparable services in the industry, and shall comply with the applicable specifications or other requirements set forth in this Agreement.

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

11. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS. Contractor shall fully and completely comply with all applicable local, state and federal laws, regulations, orders, or requirements of any sort in carrying out the obligations of this contract, including, but not limited to, all federal, state, and local accounting procedures and requirements and all immigration and naturalization laws.

12. ASSIGNMENT. Contractor shall neither assign, transfer nor delegate any rights, obligations or duties under this contract without the prior written consent of the County.

13. COUNTY INSPECTION. The books, records, documents and accounting procedures and practices of Contractor related to this contract shall be subject to inspection, examination and audit by the County.

14. DISPOSITION OF CONTRACT MATERIALS. Any books, reports, studies, photographs, negatives or other documents, data, or other materials prepared by or supplied to Contractor in the performance of its obligations under this Contract shall be the exclusive property of the County and all such materials shall be remitted and delivered, at Contractor's expense, by Contractor to the County upon completion of the project, or termination or cancellation of this Contract.

15. PUBLIC RECORDS LAW. Contractor expressly agrees that all documents submitted, filed, or deposited with the County by Contractor, unless designated as confidential by a specific statute of the State of Nevada or a court of competent jurisdiction, shall be treated as public records pursuant to NRS Chapter 239 and shall be available for inspection and copying by any person, as defined in NRS 0.039, or any governmental entity.

16. INDEMNIFICATION. Contractor agrees to indemnify and save and hold the County, its agents and employees harmless from any and all claims, causes of action or liability arising from the performance of this contract by Contractor or Contractor's agents or employees.

17. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT. This Contract constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may only be modified by a written amendment signed by the Parties.

18. AUTHORITY. The Parties represent and warrant that they have the authority to enter into this Contract. Justice of the Peace Cassandra Jones is expressly designated as the representative to oversee and implement the provisions of this Contract on behalf of the County.

19. INCORPORATED DOCUMENTS. The Parties agree that this Contract references or incorporates no other documents or exhibits.

20. SEVERABILITY. The illegality or invalidity of any provision or portion of this Agreement shall not affect the validity of the remainder of the Agreement and this Agreement shall be construed as if such provision did not exist and the non-enforceability of such provision shall not be held to render any other provision or provisions of the Agreement unenforceable.

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

21. NO APPROPRIATION OF FUNDS. All payments and services provided under this agreement are contingent upon the availability of the necessary public funding. In the event that Douglas County does not receive the funding necessary to perform in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, this Agreement shall automatically terminate and all fees due and owing shall be paid.

22. NOTICES. All notices or other communications required or permitted to be given under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given three business days after mailing by United States, postage prepaid, first class mail addressed to the other party at the addresses set forth below:

To County: Douglas County
Attn: Public Works Director
1120 Airport Road, F2
PO Box 218
Minden, Nevada 89423
(775) 783-6840

To Contractor: HAEN Constructors
Attn: Robert Haen
PO Box 8998
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96158
(530) 541-4700

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this contract for professional services to be signed and intend to be legally bound thereby.

5/23/22

Patrick Cates — Date
Douglas County Manager

As Authorized during a Public Meeting on March 17, 2022.

4/14/2022

[Contractor] — Date

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

Exhibit A

Advertisement for Bids
Emergency On-Call Water Utility Contractor

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES
ONLY



ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS:
EMERGENCY ON-CALL WATER-UTILITY CONTRACTOR

1 Overview

Douglas County, Nevada (the "County") is requesting bids from qualified contractors to provide Emergency On-Call services for unanticipated repairs to water utility infrastructure in accordance with the terms, conditions and specifications set forth in the Bid Documents.

2 Advertisement for Bids

Bid Title	Emergency On-Call Water-Utility Contractor
Objective	The services consist of emergency repairs to support the Water Utility.
Bid End Date	**February 3, 2022 at 10:00 a.m.**
Bid Submission	Sealed bids for the Emergency On-Call Water-Utility Contractor, will be received by Douglas County at 1120 Airport Road, #F-2, Minden, Nevada 89423 until February 3, 2022 10:00 a.m., at which time the Bids received will be opened publicly. Bids received after February 3, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. will not be opened or considered.
Pre-Bid Conference	January 20, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. Douglas County Public Works 1120 Airport Road, #F-2, Minden, Nevada 89423 Attendance at the pre-bid conference is encouraged, but not mandatory
Bid Contact	Rick Robillard, P.E. Deputy Director, Public Works Department 1120 Airport Road #F2 PO Box 218 Minden, Nevada 89423 rrobillard@douglasnv.us 775-782-6274

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES
ONLY

Bid Documents:	Bid Documents can be obtained from the Douglas County Website. https://www.douglascountynv.gov/r f p b i d s

2.1 Short Description of Contract Needs

Douglas County has needs for Contractors to provide on-call Emergency support for the Water Utility. Douglas County Utilities owns and operates groundwater and surface water systems within Carson Valley and the Lake Tahoe Basin. The Contractor will support the Water Utility to perform unanticipated repairs such as water leak repairs, emergency valve replacements, and other emergency services. The service period will begin on execution of the contract and run for an initial term of thirty-six months. The annual not-to-exceed amount for the contract is $99,000 per fiscal year (July 1 to June 30). The Agreement that will be used for contracting resulting from this "Request For Statements Of Qualifications" is attached as Exhibit A. All work shall conform with Douglas County Standards and all applicable State and Federal regulations.

2.2 Site Visit

There will not be a formal site visit for this project. It is the responsibility of the Contractor to be familiar with the Douglas County Utility Water System(s). By submitting a proposal, the Bidders agree that they have familiarized themselves with the nature and extent of the work, equipment, materials, and labor required.

Bidders are free to visit any publically accessible site where services are to be performed and may submit questions or seek admittance to non-publically admissible areas by contacting Rick Robillard, using the contact information above.

2.3 Bid Documents

Bid documents may be examined and obtained electronically via the Douglas County website:

https://www.douglascountynv.gov/r f p b i d s

The Bid Documents include: This Advertisement for Bids, including Exhibits A and B, and all subsequently posted Addenda

2.4 Point of Contact

For information concerning clarification or procedures to submit proposals, contractors may contact Rick Robillard at 775-782-6274.

UNOFFICIAL COPY INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

Questions received less than three (3) business days prior to the Bid End Date may not be answered.

3 SPECIAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

3.1 Addenda, Changes, and Interpretations

Any individual or entity submitting a bid in response to this Advertisement is responsible to ensure that it has clarified any ambiguity, conflict, discrepancy, omission or other discovered error in this solicitation. Requests for such clarification must be received at least three (3) business days prior to Bid Opening. Questions received after this date may not be addressed. By submitting a bid, the Bidder agrees and warrants that its questions have been answered or that it is otherwise satisfied that the documents are clear and unambiguous.

Answers to questions that do not materially affect the scope of services or solicitation process may be provided at the Pre-bid conference or via email. Responses to questions and requests for information that may have a material impact on the scope of services or the solicitation process will only be provided in the form of a written Addendum, which will be posted on the website with the other bid documents. Other than as specifically set forth herein, no oral explanation giving by a County employee or representative shall be binding upon the County and such explanations should be disregarded. Each Bidder is responsible for reviewing the website on a regular and ongoing basis to ensure that it is apprised of any and all addenda. All addenda are part of the solicitation documents and each bidder will be bound by the addenda.

3.2 Changes and Withdrawals

Bidders may change or withdraw their bids at any time prior to the Bid Opening, provided, however, that Bidders must make such changes or withdrawals by submitting written notifications in the same manner as required for Bid submission. No oral modifications will be allowed.

3.3 Bid Costs

The County does not intend, and is under no obligation, to pay any costs incurred by any Bidder to prepare and submit a Bid. The County shall not be liable for any costs incurred in responding to this Advertisement for Bids.

3.4 Pricing and Delivery

All Bidders shall complete the equipment and bid schedule forms included in Exhibit B and submit the same with their bid. The pricing schedule must include hourly rates for all available equipment and personnel. To the extent that the County engages Bidder in a Contract for Services, Bidder will provide the services on a time and materials basis as set forth in Bidder's Exhibit B.

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

Failure to provide a cost breakdown as requested may result in the bid being deemed unresponsive. Any travel costs or other associated costs must be listed in the proposal. The County will not accept additional costs.

3.5 Payment for Services

If the County enters a contract with a bidder, unless Bidder has received a written exemption from the County, Bidder shall submit requests for payment for services performed under the Contract. Requests for payment shall be submitted no later than fifteen (15) days after the end of a month in which services were performed and must include a detailed summary of the expenditures reported in a form that supports the approved budget. For requests that are not in dispute, the County will make prompt payments within 30 days of receiving the request. For requests that are in dispute, the County will provide written notice of the disputed amounts and will pay any undisputed amounts. Disputed amounts will be resolved in accordance with the Dispute Resolution section.

3.6 Mistakes

Each bidder is responsible for reviewing all contract and solicitation documents carefully. The submission of a bid shall be construed as the Bidder's acknowledgement that it has full knowledge of the scope, nature and quality of the work to be performed; that the Bidder has a full understanding of the detailed requirements of the specifications, and the conditions under which the work is to be performed. Ignorance of the requirements will not relieve the Bidder from liability and obligations under the Contract.

In the event of a discrepancy between pricing set forth in the Bid Schedule, the individual, line-item costs will prevail over any written sums, products, or quotients.

3.7 Modification of Services

[Intentionally Deleted]

3.8 No Exclusive Contract

The Bidder agrees and understands that the contract shall not be construed as an exclusive arrangement and further agrees that the County may, at any time, secure similar or identical services from other vendors at the County's sole option.

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

3.9 Sample Contract

A sample of the County's standard contract can be found as Exhibit A of this document. The Bidder's execution of a contract similar to the one labeled as Exhibit A shall be required prior to beginning of any work.

3.10 Responsiveness

In order to be considered responsive to this solicitation, a Bidder's proposal shall fully conform in all material respects to the solicitations and all of its requirements, including form and substance. The County maintains the right to waive minor discrepancies if the County determines that such waiver is in its best interest.

3.11 Minimum Qualifications & SOQ Requirements

To demonstrate Bidder's qualifications to perform the solicited work, the bidder shall submit, at a minimum, the following:

3.11.1 Business License & Contractor's License

Evidence of Bidder's authority to do business in the State of Nevada. Evidence of Bidder's Nevada Contractor's License pertinent to the type of work to be performed under the contract.

3.11.2 Completed and fully executed equipment and billing schedule and accompanying acknowledgements, provided in Exhibit B.

3.11.3 Representations

Each Bidder must, before submitting a bid:

- Examine and carefully review the Bidding Documents and Contract Documents along with any data referenced or identified in those documents
- Become familiar with and satisfy itself as to all Laws and Regulations that may affect cost, progress, and performance under the Contract
- Certify in writing, based on the information and observations referred to above, that at the time of submitting its Bid, no further examinations, investigations, or information is necessary for the determination that performance of the obligations under the contract at the price within the bid
- Certify in writing that it has given written notice of all conflicts, errors, ambiguities, or discrepancies that Bidder discovers in the Bidding Documents and confirm that the written resolution by the County thereof is acceptable to Bidder

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

- Certify in writing that the submission of a Bid will constitute an incontrovertible representation by the Bidder that Bidder has complied with every requirement of this Advertisement for Bids, and that without exception the Bid and all prices in the Bid are premised upon performing and furnishing all work required within the timelines required by the Bidding Documents.

3.11.4 Requests for Information

Nothing contained in this section will limit or prejudice the right of the County to seek additional pertinent information regarding Bidder's qualifications.

3.12 Subcontractors and Assignments

If awarded a contract for services, no Bidder or Contractor is permitted to subcontract or assign any obligation or right without the County's prior written consent to such an assignment.

3.13 Insurance Requirements

3.13.1 Insurance Requirements

If awarded a Contract, Contractor shall maintain Insurance as follows:

3.13.1.1 Commercial General Liability: Insurance written on a per occurrence basis with limits not less than $1,000,000, for bodily injury and property damage including coverage for contractual liability, personal injury, independent contractors, property in the Contractor's care, custody, or control, ongoing and products and completed operations.

3.13.1.2 Commercial Automobile Liability: Insurance written on a per accident/occurrence basis with a single limit of liability for $1,000,000 for bodily injury and property damage. Said policy shall include coverage for any auto, owned, non- owned, leased and hired cars.

3.13.1.3 Workers' Compensation: Insurance policy as required by the Labor Code or legally self-insured pursuant to Labor Code section 3700 et seq. along with employer's liability limits of $1,000,000.

The insurance policies must name Douglas County, the Douglas County Board of Commissioners, and the County's officers, agents, and employees as additional insureds. Certificates of Insurance must be delivered to the Douglas County Public Works Director. Douglas County must be notified in writing at least 30 days in advance of the cancellation of any required insurance policy. Douglas County reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to require insurance limits in an amount greater than that specified above. The issuing insurance company is subject to approval by the County.

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

3.14 Safety

Extreme care shall be taken to safeguard all existing facilities, site amenities, irrigation systems, fire suppression systems, vehicles, etc. on or around the job sites. Damage by Contractor or its agents to public and/or private property shall be the responsibility of the Contractor and shall be repaired and/or replaced a no additional cost to the County.

3.15 Force Majeure

In the event Contractor is delayed in performance of services by any act or neglect of the County or by unforeseeable Acts of God, lockouts, or other events beyond the control of Contractor (collectively or individually "Force Majeure"), then Contractor's nonperformance during the Force Majeure shall be excused, provided that: the excused nonperformance is of no greater scope and of no longer duration than is required by the Force Majeure; no obligation of either party that arose before the Force majeure shall be excused as a result of the Force Majeure; Contractor shall not be entitled to any compensation for work that was excused and not performed.

3.16 Contract Period and Service Test Period

The initial contract term shall commence on the date on which the Contract is executed by both parties, and shall expire three (3) years thereafter, provided however that the Contract will automatically renew for successive two-year periods unless either party provides the other with written notice of its intent not to renew the Contract at least 60 days in advance of the anniversary date of the Contract.

3.17 Cost Adjustments

Prices quoted shall be firm for the initial contract term of three (3) years except for the allowance of a 3% cost increase per fiscal year for the listed hourly rates in Exhibit B. Bidders should consider this when providing pricing upon their bids.

After the initial term, costs for any extension terms shall be subject to an adjustment only if increases or decreases occur in the industry. Such adjustments shall be negotiated at the time of renewal.

4 Bidder Selection Process

4.1 Submittal requirements

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

Each Bidder is responsible for ensuring that it has provided documentation and information sufficient to establish that it is qualified to perform the Work set forth herein. It is the Bidder's responsibility to ensure that it has followed all of the instructions and made all of the necessary representations in accordance with the Bidding Documents. Each Bidder is also responsible for ensuring that its bid arrives within the time prescribed and at the place indicated in the advertisement or invitation. Any bids received after the date and time prescribed for opening, or not submitted to the correct location or in the manner designated herein, will not be accepted.

4.2 Review of Submittals

A panel of the County Water Utility (Selection Committee) will review the Statements of Qualifications. The County may seek additional pertinent information regarding Bidder's qualifications. The County reserves the right to waive any minor informalities required by the Bidding Documents or other documents. The Committee may call for oral interviews. The County reserves the right to retain all SOQ's submitted. Upon Bid Opening, the submittals will become a public record.

4.3 Notice of Intent to Recommend Award

After Bid Opening, Douglas County Public Works will review all timely submitted bids.

It is anticipated that the County will select a total of three (3) Contractors to be placed on the On-Call Emergency List and that each will be invited to enter into a contract.

Douglas County Public Works will post a Notice of Intent to Recommend Award on the County website. All Bidders are responsible for regularly reviewing the aforementioned website for such postings. A Bidder's failure to timely review the website or inform itself of postings thereon shall not constitute grounds to extend the protest period set forth below.

4.4 Protest Process

Any person who submits a bid in response to this solicitation may, after the bids are opened and within 10 calendar days of the date on which Douglas County Public Works issues a notice of intent to recommend award, file with Douglas County Public Works a notice of protest. The protest process shall mirror the process set forth in NRS 332.068.

Any person who files a notice of protest shall be required at the time the notice of protest is filed, to post a bond with a good and solvent surety authorized to do business in this State, in an amount equal to the lesser of:
(1) twenty-five percent of the total value of the bid submitted by the person filing the notice of protest; or

(2) Two hundred, and Fifty Thousand Dollars.

The bid protest will be processed in accordance with NRS 332.068.

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

4.5 Contract Award

A Contract (or multiple contracts) may be awarded by the Douglas County Board of Commissioners at a public hearing. The County reserves the right to dismiss all Bids received.

5 Technical Specifications

5.1 Objective

If a Bidder is a awarded a Contract with the County, the Bidder will become the "Contractor" and will be responsible to meet or exceed the Technical Specification, set forth herein.

The purpose of this request is to establish a list of Contractors to perform work for a period of three (3) years. The selected Contractors will enter into a contract with Douglas County and be placed on an "On-Call Emergency List" for water utility repairs. It is anticipated that Douglas County will select a total of three (3) Contractors to be placed on the On-Call Emergency List. As needs arise, the County will select Contractors from the On-Call Emergency List to perform emergency services. Contractors will be compensated on a time and materials basis, as more particularly set forth in the contract, for work completed in response to a County request. Contractors will be required to provide the County with an On-Call telephone number with 24 hour response times. Contractors will be required to make an affirmative two-way response within 30 minutes of contact by the County and shall respond to the emergency within four hours.

Contractor shall furnish all necessary labor, tools, appliances, equipment, and other accessories, services, maintenance, and facilities to perform the services specified in this Contract except those items that are specifically identified in the contract that will be provide by the County.

5.2 Response Time

Contractors will be required to provide the County with an On-Call telephone number with 24 hour response times. Contractors will be required to make an affirmative two-way response within 30 minutes of contact by the County and shall respond to the emergency within four hours

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

5.3 Service Locations

Service Locations exist throughout the Carson Valley and in the Lake Tahoe Basin. Response times should account for this geographical range.

5.4 Qualification Categories

Douglas County will use the submitted SOQ's to generate a short list of qualified Contractor based on the completeness of each of the following categories:

5.4.1 Contractor Experience with Municipal Water

Contractors shall list all work experience within the last three (3) years with Municipal Water including emergency repairs performed, projects performed and clients worked for. Contractor shall provide references and direct contact phone numbers for the Municipal Water for whom the Contractor performed work for.

5.4.2 Contractor Work Force

Contractor shall list all work force currently on-staff and payroll including job title and a description of each personnel function and roles.

5.4.3 Contractor Equipment List

Contractor shall submit a complete list of all equipment currently on-hand that could be utilized during an emergency repair. Contractor shall submit on the list a description of the year, make and model of the equipment. Equipment valued at $1,000 or less does not require listing. Examples of such equipment to list is vactor trucks, backhoes, dump trucks, etc.

5.4.4 Response time commitment

Contractor shall submit a brief explanation of how it currently answers and responds to after-hours calls for service. If Contractor intends to offer different response strategies to the County, then it shall also provide an explanation of those services. Additionally, Contractor shall submit a declaration committing to the four (4) hour response time regardless of time of day or day of the year called. Douglas County recognizes that there are conditions that are out of the control of the Contractor (road closures, fires, etc.) and will evaluate each response on a case-by-case basis should this occur.

5.5 Nonexclusive

By submitting a bid, Bidders understand, acknowledge, and agree that, if selected, the Bidder will not have the exclusive right to perform the scope of work described herein during the term of this Contract, including any extensions or renewals. The County may at any time secure similar or

UNOFFICIAL COPY INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

identical services from another vendor, at the County's sole option, or the County may elect to perform similar or identical services itself.

5.6 Miscellaneous

5.6.1 Security & Safety

The Contractor shall be responsible for maintaining security and job site safety on the premises while performing the Contractor's services. Other than employees of the Contractor or authorized personnel who have independent access to the facilities, Contractor shall not facilitate the entry of any person upon the premises.

Any damage to, or loss of, real or personal caused by the Contractor or its subcontractors, employees, or agents must be promptly repaired or replaced to the satisfaction of the Manager.

5.6.2 Indemnification

The Contractor shall release and discharge Douglas County, the Douglas County Board of Commissioners, its officers, agents, and employees from liability for, and assume the risk of loss or damage to Contractor's property. Further, the Contractor shall save Douglas County, its agents and employees, and the Douglas County Board of Commissioners harmless from, and defend against, all losses, liabilities, expenses and other detriments of any nature and description, to which Douglas County, its agents and employees, and the Douglas County Board of Commissioners may be subjected to by reason of any intentional, reckless, or negligent act or omission of the Contractor, or by any of the Contractor's subcontractors, employees, agents, invitees or licensees, where such loss, liability, expense or other detriment arises out of or in connection with the contract including, but not limited to, liens, personal injury, death or loss of, or damage to, the property of Douglas County or others.

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

Exhibit B

Proposal

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES
ONLY

HAEN CONSTRUCTORS

5.4.1 Contractor Experience with Municipal Water

Starting in 2019 Haen Constructors has the following experience in this specialty-

Emergency on call water leak services provided to-

Lukins Brothers Water Company

Tahoe Keys Water Company

Lakeside Park Water Company

Edgewood Water Company

This work included Response, excavation, repair of the leaking infrastructure, backfill, compaction of the excavation, and temp paving.

Municipal Contract Work-

STPUD Fallen Leaf lake Sewer Improvements- Installation of new wet well vault in heavy groundwater and associated intake and discharge piping

STPUD Large Meter Installation- Installation of 5 large meters for existing customers

STPUD Rocky 2 Waterline- Installation of approx 5000 feet of new 8" waterline in a residential neighborhood.

STPUD Rocky PRV Installation- Installation of a new PRV station with SCADA and Electrical

Lukins Water Company Water System Improvements- Construction of a 1000,000 gallon storage tank and a new treatment plant with GAC treatment system.

STPUD Rocky 1 Waterline- Installation of approx 4000 fully restrained ductile iron pipeline with a PRV station.

Edgewood Water Company Hwy 50 Stateline Emergency Repairs- Repair of a 12" watermain in the NDOT ROW in the casino corridor and above the underground tunnel. Emergency Response, excavation, NDOT coordination, installation of approx 60' of new pipe, backfill, testing, and final Asphalt patching to meet NDOT requirements.

Douglas County Lake Tahoe Sewer Authority Stateline Sewer Main Collapse- Removal and Replacement of approx 150' of 6" sewer main in the NDOT ROW in the Stateline corridor.

Lake Tahoe Boys and Girls Club Utility Installation- Installation of all new utilites for a new clubhouse building

Lake Tahoe Unified School District water system upgrade project- new water system and fire hydratns for the Al Tahoe Campus.

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

PO BOX 8998
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE, CA 96158 INFO@HCISLT.COM 530-541-4700

STATE OF NEVADA CONSUMER USE TAX PERMIT
DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION

Taxpayer ID: 1042043876-001
Correspondence ID: 2000013545948
Date: 07/10/2020

HAEN CONSTRUCTORS
PO BOX 8998
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE CA 96158-1998

THIS PERMIT:
IS NOT TRANSFERABLE TO ANY OTHER PERSON.
IS VOID IF ALTERED.
IS NOT ISSUED IN LIEU OF ANY LOCALLY REQUIRED BUSINESS LICENSE, PERMIT OR REGISTRATION.

Is registered as a Consumer and not authorized to make purchases for resale.

Permit Location:
HAEN CONSTRUCTORS
1012 INDUSTRIAL AVE
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE CA 96150-3673

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

Southern Nevada Office
2310 Corporate Circle, Suite 200
Henderson, Nevada 89074
(702) 486-1100

Northern Nevada Office
5390 Kietzke Lane, Suite 102
Reno, Nevada 89511
(775) 688-1141

STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD

The Nevada State Contractors Board certifies that

HAEN CONSTRUCTORS

Licensed since April 08, 2020

License No. ***0086656***

Is duly licensed as a contractor in the following classification(s):

PRINCIPALS:

LYNN HAEN, President
THOMAS HAEN, Secretary, QI
ROBERT HAEN, Treasurer

B General Building

LIMIT: **$2,000,000**
EXPIRES: **04/30/2022**

STATE OF NEVADA · THE GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE OF NEVADA · STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD ·

Chair, Nevada State Contractors Board

Southern Nevada Office
2310 Corporate Circle, Suite 200
Henderson, Nevada 89074
(702) 486-1100

Northern Nevada Office
5390 Kietzke Lane, Suite 102
Reno, Nevada 89511
(775) 688-1141

STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD

The Nevada State Contractors Board certifies that

HAEN CONSTRUCTORS

Licensed since April 08, 2020

License No. ***0086655***

Is duly licensed as a contractor in the following classification(s):

PRINCIPALS:

LYNN HAEN, President
THOMAS HAEN, Secretary, QI
ROBERT HAEN, Treasurer

A General Engineering

LIMIT: **$2,000,000**
EXPIRES: **04/30/2022**

STATE OF NEVADA · THE GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE OF NEVADA · STATE CONTRACTORS BOARD ·

Chair, Nevada State Contractors Board

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

Bid Schedule

Equipment Listing	Hourly Charge
Vactor Truck	270.00
1 Ton Utility Truck	45
3/4 Ton Utiltity Truck	38
5 Yd Dump Truck	70
Street Sweeper	152.00
End Dump Tractor Trailer	130
60 ton low bed transport	155.00
Cat 420 backhoe	70
Cat 928 Loader	80
Cat 303.5 Excavator	50
Cat 308 Excavator	85
Asphalt Zipper	600.00
Dump Trailer	15.00
Air Compressor	45.00
Boring Tool	110

Attach additional sheets as required to list all available equipment

Personnel Listing	Classification	Hourly Wage
Field Superintendent	Superintendent	125
Operator Position	Operator	110
Laborer Position	Laborer	105

Attach additional sheets as required to list all proposed Personnel.

UNOFFICIAL COPY INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

By: _____

Patrick Cates
County Manager, Douglas (Date)

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES
ONLY

Exhibit B

[*Bid Schedule* - 4 attached pages]

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES
ONLY

For additional equipment used but not listed above, the hourly rate shall be the rental rate plus 10% as substantiated by documentation.

This Bid is submitted to Douglas County, Nevada, C/O Douglas county Public Works for Emergency On-Call Water Utility services.

The undersigned Bidder proposes and agrees, if this Bid is accepted, to enter into an Agreement with Douglas County in the form included in the Bid Documents to perform all janitorial services as specified or indicated in the Bid Documents for the prices indicated in this Bid and in accordance with the other terms and conditions of the Bid Documents. Bidder further acknowledges and agrees that the term of the contract will begin upon acceptance of the bid, and that services will commence upon execution of the contract.

By submitting this Bid, Bidder acknowledges, represents, warrants and agrees that it:

- ☑ Examined and carefully reviewed the Bid Documents along with any data referenced or identified in those documents, the following Addenda, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged:

Addendum No.	Addendum Date

- ☑ Has become familiar with and satisfied itself as to all Laws and Regulations that may affect cost, progress, and performance under the Contract
- ☑ Certifies in writing, based on the information and observations referred to above, that at the time of submitting its Bid, no further examinations, investigations, or information are necessary for the determination that performance of the obligations under the contract at the price within the bid
- ☑ Certifies in writing that it has given written notice of all conflicts, errors, ambiguities, or discrepancies that Bidder discovers in the Bid Documents and confirm that the written resolution by the County thereof is acceptable to Bidder
- ☑ Certifies in writing that the submission of a Bid will constitute an incontrovertible representation by the Bidder that Bidder has complied with every requirement of the Advertisement for Bids, and that without exception the Bid and all prices in the Bid are premised upon performing and furnishing all work required within the timelines required by the Bid Documents
- ☑ Acknowledges and accepts all of the terms and conditions of the Bid Documents, including the Advertisement for Bids and all Exhibits and Addenda. This Bid will remain subject to acceptance for 90 days after the Bid opening, or for such longer period of time that Bidder may agree to in writing upon request of Owner.

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

☐ Has made a genuine Bid and not made in the interest or on behalf any undisclosed individual or entity and is not submitted in conformity with any collusive agreement or rules of any group, association, organization, or corporation; Bidder has not engaged in any deceptive, fraudulent, corrupt or collusive practice in the preparation or submission of this bid.

☐ That the prices and terms referenced in the proposal will remain valid and open to acceptance for a period of no less than 90 days after bid opening.

This Bid is submitted by:

An Individual

Name (typed or printed): _____

By: _____
(Individual's signature)

Doing business as: _____

A Partnership

Partnership Name: _____

By: _____
(Signature of general partner -- attach evidence of authority to sign)

Name (typed or printed): _____

A Corporation

Corporation Name: Haen Constructors (SEAL)

State of Incorporation: California

Type (General Business, Professional, Service, Limited Liability): _____

By: _____
(Signature -- attach evidence of authority to sign)

Name (typed or printed): Robert Haen

Title: Vice President

(CORPORATE SEAL)

Attest _____

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

Date of Qualification to do business in Nevada is 4/8/2020
A Joint Venture

Name of Joint Venture: _____

First Joint Venturer Name: _____(SEAL)

By: _____
(Signature of first joint venture partner -- attach evidence of authority to sign)

Name (typed or printed): _____

Title: _____

Second Joint Venturer Name: _____ (SEAL)

By: _____
(Signature of second joint venture partner -- attach evidence of authority to sign)

Name (typed or printed): _____

Title: _____

(Each joint venturer must sign. The manner of signing for each individual, partnership, and corporation that is a party to the joint venture should be in the manner indicated above.)

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES
ONLY

HAEN
CONSTRUCTORS

5.4.4 Response Time Commitment

Haen Constructors currently has two key personnel that answer and respond to emergencies with cell phone calls or texts.

Robert Haen	5303186464
Andrew Davis	5305459579

Our current system is for one of these two to be always in South Lake Tahoe with the ability to coordinate themselves or additional personnel to respond to emergency calls. Any change in this status or adding additional personnel will be in email form to the appropriate Douglas County Water Personnel ahead of time.

We can commit to the 4 hour response time as required by the contract documents provided.

I, Robert Haen. Vice President of Haen Constructors do herby declare and affirm that to the best of my knowledge and belief the statements made herein above are correct, complete, and truly stated.

Verified Today the 3rd of February 2022

Robert Haen- Vice President

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

PO BOX 8998
SOUTH LAKE TAHOE, CA 96158
INFO@HCISLT.COM
530-541-4700

UNOFFICIAL COPY
INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES
ONLY

Douglas County State of Nevada

CERTIFIED COPY

I certify that the document to which this certificate is attached is a full and correct copy of the original record on file in the Clerk-Treasurer's Office on this

25th day of May, 2022

By Emmy [illegible] Deputy

AGENDA ITEM NO. E.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: CONSENT ITEMS

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to accept the auditor's report on Douglas County's general ledger cash balances through September 15, 2026, per Nevada Revised Statute 251.030. (Kathy Kaiser, Comptroller)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Accept the auditor's report on Douglas County's general ledger cash balances through September 15, 2026, as presented.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
None.

BACKGROUND:
Pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute 251.030, County Comptrollers are required to report to the Board of County Commissioners, at each regular board meeting, the condition of each fund in the treasury. The attached Cash Report reflects the cash balances currently recorded in the County's general ledger, or official accounting record. Actual general ledger cash balances may differ from reported cash balances due to timing differences of general ledger entries.

ATTACHMENTS:
Cash Report as of September 15, 2026.pdf

Douglas County

Douglas County, Nevada Cash Report

From Date: 9/1/2026 - To Date: 9/15/2026

Summary Listing, Report By Fund - Account

Fund	Description	Beginning Balance	Net Change	Notes	Ending Balance
101	General Fund	$25,912,312.78	($1,450,254.51)	1	$24,462,058.27
201	Stabilization Fund	$1,755,276.57	$0.00		$1,755,276.57
202	Nv Cooperative Extension	$716,570.43	$7,555.10		$724,125.53
208	Cash Trust	$836,289.32	$818.26		$837,107.58
211	Solid Waste Mgmt.	$4,081,955.07	($32,740.38)		$4,049,214.69
212	Landscape Maintenance	$15,747.56	$301.41		$16,048.97
215	Assistance To Indigents	$891,813.43	$43,813.69		$935,627.12
216	Social Services	$3,186,225.36	($64,236.50)		$3,121,988.86
217	One Nevada (Opioid	$1,423,404.19	($26,871.41)		$1,396,532.78
220	PALS Sales Tax Fund	$0.00	$0.00		$0.00
222	Law Library	$79,846.33	$1,620.00		$81,466.33
224	Library	$1,212,042.47	($99,517.62)		$1,112,524.85
232	Road Operating	$1,866,471.10	($25,000.23)		$1,841,470.87
234	Room Tax	$4,055,719.04	($564,261.44)		$3,491,457.60
235	Library Gift Fund	$155,313.87	($2,774.84)		$152,539.03
236	Tahoe-Douglas Trans.Dist.	$5,109,932.97	$213,493.12		$5,323,426.09
240	Justice Ct. Admin. Assess	$1,719,618.33	$17,936.51		$1,737,554.84
242	China Spring Youth Camp	$518,348.00	$1,018,939.70	2	$1,537,287.70
246	Flood Litigation Settlement Fund	$76,208.60	$0.00		$76,208.60
255	911 Emergency Services	$1,976,638.52	($50,655.38)		$1,925,983.14
256	911 Surcharge	$461,968.55	$9,767.69		$471,736.24
260	Senior Services Program	$1,664,363.31	($57,666.86)		$1,606,696.45
297	Restricted Accounts Fund	$4,357,196.64	$25,590.26		$4,382,786.90
309	Risk Management	$4,534,712.16	($67,072.17)		$4,467,639.99
310	Self Ins.Dental Insurance	$816,297.59	($166.26)		$816,131.33
313	Motor Pool/Vehicle Maint	$1,830,242.02	($61,865.16)		$1,768,376.86
324	Regional Water Fund	$2,781,674.51	($146,236.18)		$2,635,438.33
325	Sewer Utility	$13,231,391.58	$147,927.99		$13,379,319.57
328	Douglas County Water Utility	$22,252,887.20	$174,759.06		$22,427,646.26
360	Airport Enterprise Fund	$2,598,829.60	($3,199.06)		$2,595,630.54
361	Stormwater Enterprise Fund	$1,418,662.30	($35,309.74)		$1,383,352.56
401	Extraordinary Maintenance	$3,613,493.16	($194,019.20)		$3,419,473.96
405	Ad Val Capital Projects	$1,470,705.08	$37,777.63		$1,508,482.71
410	County Construction	$7,461,335.00	($48,807.62)		$7,412,527.38
420	Park Resident.Const.Tax	$1,072,673.61	($90,781.04)		$981,892.57
430	Regional Transportation	$13,187,889.25	$18,814.20		$13,206,703.45
440	Capital Projects-Debt Financed	$28,054,559.42	($1,558,225.34)	3	$26,496,334.08
541	Debt Service	$1,139,008.77	$0.00		$1,139,008.77
600	Dc Redevelopment Area 2-	$1,373,787.85	$56,800.40		$1,430,588.25
601	Dc Redevl. Area 2-Cap.	$28,986.57	$0.00		$28,986.57

Fund	Description	Beginning Balance	Net Change	Notes	Ending Balance
606	Dc Redevel.-Cap.Projects	$2,800,222.18	$0.00		$2,800,222.18
610	Gardnerville Town	$761,896.58	($63,315.24)		$698,581.34
611	Gardnerville Trash	$923,554.80	($28,448.30)		$895,106.50
614	Gardnerville Ad Val Cap Proj	$100,870.74	$0.00		$100,870.74
620	Genoa Town	$771,729.42	($38,436.82)		$733,292.60
622	Genoa Ad Val Cap Projects	$63,756.76	$0.00		$63,756.76
624	Genoa Construction Res	$57,843.23	$0.00		$57,843.23
630	Minden Town	$1,373,460.39	($41,830.24)		$1,331,630.15
631	Minden Ad Val Cap Project	$133,648.52	$0.00		$133,648.52
635	Minden Trash	$858,155.00	$6,570.00		$864,725.00
636	Minden Cap.Equip./Constr.	$3,459,609.57	($20,232.00)		$3,439,377.57
639	Minden Wholesale Water Utility	$7,833,888.80	$110,155.13		$7,944,043.93
640	Minden Town Water	$13,006,217.84	($73,109.65)		$12,933,108.19
710	School District	$10,599,400.75	$577,873.08		$11,177,273.83
720	School Dist. Debt	$1,433,385.38	$77,144.46		$1,510,529.84
804	Carson Water Sub	$283,917.69	$14,752.53		$298,670.22
806	Cave Rock	$25,174.59	$5,331.67		$30,506.26
808	Dcsid M&O	$0.00	$0.00		$0.00
809	EFFPD	$4,442,972.26	$230,074.43		$4,673,046.69
810	Elk Point Sanitation	$1,822.39	$207.97		$2,030.36
811	Gardnerville Main Street	$0.00	$0.00		$0.00
812	Gardnerville Rancho Gid	$401,299.40	$15,721.19		$417,020.59
813	Genoa Lakes District	$256,221.52	$0.00		$256,221.52
814	Indian Hill	$405,721.12	$12,349.03		$418,070.15
815	G'ville Mainstreet Rev.Ln	$0.00	$0.00		$0.00
818	Kingsbury Gid	$403,889.09	$16,814.58		$420,703.67
822	Lakeridge	$24,272.46	$2,642.06		$26,914.52
823	Legal Services	$9,094.36	$2,495.00		$11,589.36
824	Logan Creek	$27,949.08	$6,863.56		$34,812.64
826	Mgsd	$200,846.46	$8,965.06		$209,811.52
829	NV Tahoe Conserv.Dist.	$0.00	$0.00		$0.00
830	Oliver Park	$30,831.33	$682.12		$31,513.45
832	Round Hill GID	$93,897.03	$14,463.49		$108,360.52
834	Sierra Estates	$8,662.85	$402.01		$9,064.86
836	Skyland	$37,785.98	$2,329.83		$40,115.81
838	Tahoe Douglas Sanitation	$89,062.96	$5,454.57		$94,517.53
839	Tahoe Douglas Fire	$2,752,248.09	$163,305.16		$2,915,553.25
840	Topaz Estates	$81,924.37	$3,315.04		$85,239.41
842	Zephyr Cove	$11,339.84	$0.00		$11,339.84
844	Zephyr Heights	$46,331.77	$3,007.53		$49,339.30
846	Zephyr Knolls	$20,348.48	$81.61		$20,430.09
847	Ef Swim Pool Dist Oper	$1,176,560.49	$61,063.49		$1,237,623.98
848	Ef Swim Pool Debt	$0.00	$0.00		$0.00
850	Employee Benefit Trust	$1,078,809.28	($366,026.28)		$712,783.00
852	T-D VISITORS AUTHORITY	$0.00	$0.00		$0.00
853	Western NV Reg.Youth Fac.	$0.00	$0.00		$0.00

Fund	Description	Beginning Balance	Net Change	Notes	Ending Balance
855	Inmate Commissary Fund	$85,559.81	($1,239.69)		$84,320.12
856	Inmates Trust	$21,080.15	($13,078.77)		$8,001.38
860	Profit From Tax Sale	$0.00	$0.00		$0.00
865	Unclaimed Monies	$117,245.01	$1,016.86		$118,261.87
866	Public Guardian	$1,641,701.89	($226,502.21)		$1,415,199.68
867	Public Administrator	$2,763,888.73	($1,844,828.68)		$919,060.05
868	Library Gift Fund	$0.00	$0.00		$0.00
885	Mosquito District	$292,487.55	$15,276.87		$307,764.42
890	State Of Nevada	$5,382,195.79	$489,167.55		$5,871,363.34
892	Department Of Wildlife	$5,921.11	$0.00		$5,921.11
894	Range Improvements	$332.99	$0.00		$332.99
895	Sierra Forest Fire	$0.00	$0.00		$0.00
896	Refund Of Taxes	$0.00	$0.00		$0.00
Grand Total: 100 Funds		$235,339,433.99	($3,673,267.92)		$231,666,166.07

Notes

1	General Fund	Accounts Payable, Payroll
2	China Spring Youth Camp	Revenue Collections
3	Capital Projects-Debt Financed	Accounts Payable

AGENDA ITEM NO. F.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: CONSENT ITEMS

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to accept $4,856.28 in additional funding from the Nevada Department of Public Safety, Joining Forces Grant # JF-2026-DCSO-2038, to provide additional reimbursement for overtime expenses associated with traffic control for qualifying events, and increase the revenue and expenditure budgets of the general fund by the amount of the grant increase. (Undersheriff Elges)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Accept $4,856.28 in additional funding from the Nevada Department of Public Safety, Joining Forces Grant # JF-2026-DCSO-2038, to provide additional reimbursement for overtime expenses associated with traffic control for qualifying events, and increase the revenue and expenditure budgets of the general fund by the amount of the grant increase.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
The additional funds will reimburse the Douglas County Sheriff's Office for overtime expenses associated with providing traffic control for qualifying events, which would otherwise be funded through the County's General Fund. The County's required 25% match will be calculated based on the number of vehicle hours utilized for these traffic control events, multiplied by the State's allowable rate of $20 per vehicle hour. The $4,856.28 increase in grant funding will be added to Project 26F05. The total amount of the grant award will now be $32,856.28 with a County match of $8,214.07 (25% of total grant award).

BACKGROUND:
The Nevada Department of Public Safety has increased the current Joining Forces Grant (JF-2026-DCSO-2038) to provide additional reimbursement for overtime expenses associated with traffic control for qualifying events. These expenses would otherwise be funded through the County's General Fund.

ATTACHMENTS:
Joining Forces Additional Fund Award.pdf
Grant Form 26F05 Additional Funding.pdf

Nevada Department of
Public Safety
Office of Traffic Safety

OFFICE OF TRAFFIC SAFETY
107 Jacobsen Way
Carson City, NV 89701
(775) 684-7470

AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED

CFDA#
Effective Date: 02/26/26
Subrecipient Agency: Douglas County Sheriff's Office
is authorized to proceed with the project below and to request reimbursement for expenses up to the authorized amount.

Signature: Amy Davey
Administrator/Highway Safety Coordinator, NV DPS-OTS

Date: September 21, 2026

Doc ID# JF-2026-DCSO-2038				ATP # ATP: 2
Grant Period:	From: Effective Date of Authorization To: September 30, 2026			
TOTAL AMOUNT OF AWARD			$32,856.28	
CFDA	FAIN	AMOUNT OF THIS AUTHORIZATION	FUNDS PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED	TOTAL FUNDS AUTHORIZED TO DATE
20.608 (164 funds)	69A37523300001640NVA, 69A37524300001640NVA	$1,204.25	$9,000.00	$10,204.25
20.600 (402 funds)	69A37524300004020NV0, 69A37525300004020NV0	$3,652.03	$16,000.00	$19,652.03
20.616(e) (405 DD)	69A3752430000405ENVA, 69A3752530000405ENVA	$0.00	$3,000.00	$3,000.00
FUNDS REMAINING			$0.00	

Kirsten Scheetz

From: Carrie Krupp <clkrupp@dps.state.nv.us>
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2026 8:36 AM
To: Kirsten Scheetz; John Lenz
Subject: RE: Joining Forces

Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. If you did not expect to receive something from this sender - we suggest you call the sender to verify (only if you know the sender).

Otherwise - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. You should almost NEVER be prompted to enter your login credentials as a result of opening or clicking anything.

Good morning!

I KNOW and that's part of the reason I hated to even bring it up !!!! I have an agency that has not been able to participate so I'm looking at moving the funds to you!!!

I'm going to take what you have spent on the last few and put those amounts onto the next few events so it will look like this:

- Event #5 from $3,000 to $3,400
- Event #6 from $3,000 to $4,500
- Event #8 from $1,500 to $1,800
- Event #9 from $3,000 to $3,400
- Event #10 from $3,500 to $4,500

I do not have extra funds for #7 and #11, Joining Forces was awarded all the funds we received from Federal.
I am going to move the remaining travel to #4 to help too.

I will work on this change order and send you both the updated spreadsheet.

Carrie Krupp | Grants & Projects Analyst II | TSEP/Joining Forces Program Manager
State of Nevada | Department of Public Safety | Office of Traffic Safety
107 Jacobsen Way, Bldg. B, Carson City, Nevada 89701
T: 775.684.7469 | C:775-434-4276|E: clkrupp@dps.state.nv.us
SCHEDULE: Monday-Friday, 6:30am-3:00pm
website: http://ots.nv.gov/

Douglas County Finance Department

Grant Information Form

Complete only GREY areas

Today's Date	8.21.25	**Fund-Department #**	101	226
Project Number	26F05	**Fund-Department Name**	General	DCSO
Grant Title	Joining Forces Project Agreement			
Grant Purpose	Pays for overtime to participate in twelve traffic safety events during the grant period			
Awarding Entity	US Dept of Transportation thru NV DPS Office of Traffic Safety			
Total Grant Funds	$ 4,856.25	**Addtional Funding**		
Grant Start Date	10/1/2025	**End Date**	9/30/2026	
Grant Type	☑ Federal- Enter CFDA ☐ State ☐ Other	(description of Other)		
Federal CFDA#	20.600 & 20.608	**Agreement Number**	JF-2026-DCSO-2038	
Method of Funding	☐ Advance ☑ Reimbursement			
Reporting Frequency	☑ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Semi-Annually ☐ Annually ☐ Upon Completion			
Reporting Due By	(EX: 10th, 15th, 30th after the end of the Quarter)			
County Match Required?	☐ No ☑ Yes - enter $amount or %	**Match Amt**	$ 1,214.07	**or Match %** 25%
Match Type	☑ In-Kind **or** ☐ Cash	**Additional Match**		

Complete only GREY areas

	Fund	Dept		
Fund-Department #	101	226	**Project #**	**26F05**
Fund-Department Name	Patrol Division - Douglas County Sheriff's Office			

Additional funds

Financial Information			**Source of Funds** State Of Nevada DPS	
Revenue				
101-000 331.500	Grant Revenue - Federal	$ -	$ 4,856.25	$ -
101-000 331.510	Grant Revenue - State	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-000 360.300	Grant Revenue - Non-Govt.	$ -	$ -	$ -
	Total Revenue	$ -	$ -	$ -
	Match - Non Grant Reimbursement	**$ -**	**$ 4,856.25**	**$ -**

Expenditures

		First Year	Second Year	Third Year
Various	Salaries & Benefits	$ -	$ 4,856.25	$ -
101-226 532.003	Gas & Oil	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 520.088	Utilities	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 521.100	Professional Services	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 520.116	Vehicle Maint - Co	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 520.156	Insurance	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 533.800	Office Supplies	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 520.085	Communications	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 532.050	Food Commodities	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 000.000	Operating Expense	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 000.000	Maint Bldg & Grounds	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 562.100	Grants-Capital Outlay	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 520.064	Travel	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 540.010	Grants Services & Supplies (equip)	$ -	$ -	$ -
	Subtotal Expenses	**$ -**	**$ 4,856.25**	**$ -**
101-226 562.100	Grant Match - Capital Outlay	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 511.150	Grant Match - Salary	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 541.501	Grant Match - Serv & Supplies	$ -	$ 1,214.07	$ -
	Subtotal Match	**$ -**	**$ 1,214.07**	**$ -**
Various	In Direct Costs - Salaries	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 533.800	In Direct Costs - Office supplies	$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 000.000		$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 000.000		$ -	$ -	$ -
101-226 000.000		$ -	$ -	$ -
	Total Indirect Costs	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**
	Total Expenditure	$ -	$ 4,856.25	$ -
	Total Match	$ -	$ 1,214.07	$ -
	Total Cumulative Expenditure	**$ 4,856.25**		
	Total Cumulative Match	**$ 1,214.07**		

Where will match funds come from?

Match comes from Oil & Gas 101-219 532.003

Grant Awarded Funds

Year	**Amount**
FY27-28	$ 4,856.25
FY28-29	
FY29-30	
Total	$ 4,856.25

AGENDA ITEM NO. G.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: CONSENT ITEMS

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to approve changes to the Fiscal Year 2026-27 secured property tax roll as recommended by the Douglas County Assessor pursuant to NRS 361.765 and/or NRS 361.768 to adjust the taxable values of the affected properties, for a total decrease in net taxable value of $302,366, authorize the Chair to execute an Order to Adjust Tax Bills and Correct Secured and Unsecured Tax Rolls, and direct the Douglas County Treasurer to correct the error in the tax roll pursuant to Nevada law. (Trent Tholen, Assessor)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Approve the changes to Fiscal Year 2026-27 secured property tax roll as recommended by the Douglas County Assessor to adjust the taxable value of the affected properties, for a total decrease in net taxable value of $302,366, as presented, authorize the Chair to execute an Order to Adjust Tax Bills and Correct Secured and Unsecured Tax Rolls, and direct the Douglas County Treasurer to correct the error in the tax roll pursuant to Nevada law.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
The proposed action will decrease the taxable value of the affected properties by a total of $302,366 in the 2026-27 fiscal year, as detailed in the supporting documentation attached. This will result in an adjustment to the 2026-27 tax roll of approximately $3,268.01 over various funds.

BACKGROUND:
As required by NRS Chapter 361, the County Assessor is required to discover, list and value all real and personal property in Douglas County as of July 1st of each year. NRS Chapter 361 also allows for various methods to correct assessment errors.

The Board of County Commissioners is authorized to make corrections to the secured and unsecured assessment rolls, for up to three prior years, caused by a mathematical, clerical, or typographical error under NRS 361.765 or as a result of a factual error under NRS 361.768.

Included in this item are four (4) parcels or accounts that need tax adjustments due to various errors or omissions, or because veteran exemptions were claimed by property owner after closure of the 2026-27 tax roll. Supporting documentation for the required changes are provided, outlining the need for change. These corrections are being submitted to the Board of County Commissioners for correction under NRS 361.765 and/or NRS 361.768.

ATTACHMENTS:
EXHIBIT A - Tax Rolls 10-15-26.pdf
Listing of Changes 10-15-26.pdf

EXHIBIT "A"

ORDER TO ADJUST TAX BILLS AND CORRECT TAX ROLLS

PURSUANT TO NRS 361.765 and NRS 361.768

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, pursuant to NRS 361.765 and 361.768, the minutes of the Board of Douglas County Commissioners shall reflect the adjustment of the tax bills of the following property owners and the correction of the 2026/27 secured roll for the following properties:

APN/Account #	Owner	TV Before	TV After	Difference in TV Amt
1220-10-401-004	Cooper Family Trust 2019	800,504	721,538	-78,966
1220-15-310-081	Anthony Moreno	185,763	81,763	-104,000
1220-15-610-008	Scharo Trust 2024	663,820	648,420	-15,400
1420-35-201-039	Greagory Highfill	575,477	471,477	-104,000

The County Treasurer is hereby directed to correct the errors identified herein pursuant to Nevada law and the direction of the Board of County Commissioners.

DATED this ____ day of _____, 2026.

By: _____
Chairman

ATTEST:

By: _____
Douglas County Clerk

Parcel Number	FY	Owners Name	Reason for Change	TV Before	TV After	Difference in TV amount	Est. Tax
1220-10-401-004	26/27	Cooper Family Trust 2019	Improvement correction - overvalued	800,504	721,538	-78,966	-793.19
1220-15-310-081	26/27	Anthony Moreno	Late Veteran exemption	185,763	81,763	-104,000	-1,244.84
1220-15-610-008	26/27	Scharo Trust 2024	Improvement correction - overvalued	663,820	648,420	-15,400	-184.33
1420-35-201-039	26/27	Greagory Highfill	Late Veteran exemption	575,477	471,477	-104,000	-1,044.64
					Total AV:	**-302,366**	**-3,267.01**

AGENDA ITEM NO. H.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: CONSENT ITEMS

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to accept the Douglas County Safe Streets for All Plan completed by Parametrix and authorize its publication on the County's website. The plan incorporates community engagement, recommended projects, and a final safety analysis and will enable Douglas County to apply for future funding to implement projects identified in the plan. (Josh Nordloh, Acting Transportation Engineering Manager and Debbie Swickard, Grants Administrator)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Accept the Safe Streets for All Plan completed by Parametrix as presented, and authorize its publication on the County's website.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
None.

BACKGROUND:
In July 2025, the Douglas County Board of Commissioners approved a $125,000 contract with Parametrix to prepare a county-wide safety plan funded by a $100,000 grant and $25,000 County match. The purpose of this presentation is for Parametrix to provide the Board and public with an update on the progress of the project.

The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) established the Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) competitive grant program with $5 billion in appropriated funds over five years (2022-26). The SS4A program funds regional, local, and Tribal initiatives through grants to prevent roadway fatalities and serious injuries. Approximately $1 billion is still available for the next funding round.

The SS4A program supports the U.S. Department of Transportation's National Roadway Safety

Strategy and the goal of zero roadway deaths using a Safe System Approach.

Douglas County will create a Comprehensive Safety Action Plan addressing safety improvements throughout the County, especially along U.S. 395, and improve the overall quality of life for County residents. The plan will also consider the seasonal population, which can exceed 80,000 visitors due to the County's proximity to Lake Tahoe, Reno, Carson City, and Northern California. Public outreach occurred March 18, 2026, at the Douglas County Community & Senior Center Dining Room. A public online survey received over 175 responses which were incorporated into the Comprehensive Safety Action Plan.

ATTACHMENTS:
Douglas County SS4A Action Plan.pdf
Douglas County SS4A Action Plan_Appendices.pdf






DOUGLAS COUNTY

SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

October 2026

DOUGLAS COUNTY NEVADA

Parametrix

Acknowledgments

Douglas County would like to extend our sincere gratitude to the team of local government staff, regional leaders, transportation consultants, and engaged citizens that provided direction in the development of our Safe Streets for All Master Plan. Together, this team has analyzed crash trends, assessed a variety of effective solutions, and crafted an action plan aimed to eliminate fatal and serious injury crashes on Douglas County roadways.

Douglas County Board of County Commissioners

Danny Tarkanian, District 1, Commissioner

Sharla Hales, District 2, Commissioner

Mark Gardner, District 3, Chairman

Wesley Rice, District 4, Commissioner

Nathan Tolbert, District 5, Vice Chair

Safe Streets for All Project Management Team

Josh Nordloh, PE, Acting Transportation Engineering Manager, Douglas County

Debbie Swickard, Grants Administrator, Douglas County

Erik Nilssen, PE, Town Manager, Town of Gardnerville

JD Frisby, PE, Town Manager, Town of Minden

Jon Erb, PE, Transportation Engineering Manager, Douglas County (retired)

Safe Streets for All Stakeholder Working Group Member Organizations

Nevada Department of Transportation

Tahoe Regional Planning Agency

Carson Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California

Main Street Gardnerville

Kingsbury GID

Gardnerville Ranchos GID

Indian Hills GID

Planning Effort Support

Parametrix

Funding

This plan was paid for in part by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) through a Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) grant award.

Safety Commitment

The Douglas County Board of Commissioners is committed to eliminating traffic fatalities and serious injuries on area roadways and adopts the following safety vision and goal:

Vision: Provide safe public roads across Douglas County for all road users.

Goal: Strive for a 35% reduction in fatal and serious injury crashes by 2035, with a long-term goal of Zero Fatalities by 2050.

Contents

1. Introduction 1

2. Planning Framework 6

3. Safety Needs 15

4. Understanding Community Experiences and Priorities 24

5. Establishing Strategies and Enacting the Plan 31

6. Implementation and Monitoring 50

Appendices

Appendix A – Literature Review

Appendix B – US 395 Southern Sierra Corridor Study Recommendations

Appendix C – High Injury Network Methodology

Appendix D – Public Comments

Acronyms

BUILD – Better Utilizing Investments to Leverage Development

CAMPO – Carson Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

FHWA – Federal Highway Administration

FLAP – Federal Lands Access Program

GID – General Improvement District

GIS – Geographic Information System

HIN – High Injury Network

HSIP – Highway Safety Improvement Program

LRSP – Local Road Safety Plan

MPO – Metropolitan Planning Organization

NDOT – Nevada Department of Transportation

NHP – Nevada State Police Highway Patrol

NHPP – National Highway Performance Program

PMT – Project Management Team

RRFB – Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon

RTP – Regional Transportation Plan

SHSP – Strategic Highway Safety Plan

SRTS – Safe Routes to School

SS4A – Safe Streets and Roads for All

SSA – Safe System Approach

STBG – Surface Transportation Block Grant

STIP – State Transportation Improvement Program

TAP – Transportation Alternatives Program

TMP – Douglas County Transportation Master Plan

TRPA – Tahoe Regional Planning Agency

USDOT – U.S. Department of Transportation



1. Introduction

Between 2019 and 2023, 30 people were killed and 81 people were seriously injured in traffic crashes on roadways within Douglas County.

Douglas County is dedicated to providing safe and accessible roadways for everyone. The development of this Safe Streets for All Master Plan (also known as a "safety action plan") is community-driven, led by Douglas County in collaboration with local and regional partners, with the goal of reducing traffic-related deaths and serious injuries.

This plan does the following:

- Uses data analysis and public input to develop policy changes and on-the-ground solutions that save lives.
- Fosters collaboration among local agencies and members of the public.
- Uses the Safe System Approach (SSA), which acknowledges that humans make mistakes and that roadway deaths and serious injuries are unacceptable.
- Develops recommendations to improve the safety of people walking, biking, transit, riding, and driving.

The Safe Streets for All Master Plan identifies the goals, priorities, and strategies necessary to create and maintain a transportation system that safely serves all transportation users in Douglas County. It focuses on county-owned roadways and incorporates previous Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) studies on state and U.S. highways. It also identifies projects that are eligible for various state and federal safety funding programs.

1.1 About Douglas County

Located on Nevada's western border, framed by Lake Tahoe and the Pine Nut Mountains, Douglas County is home to over 49,000 residents. Seasonal populations can exceed 65,000 due to its proximity to Lake Tahoe and other recreational/visitor destinations.


Three towns are located within the county: Genoa, Gardnerville, and Minden. The county also has multiple general improvement districts (GIDs), which provide additional public facilities and services within their boundaries, including Gardnerville Ranchos, Kingsbury, Indian Hills, and Round Hill.

Douglas County is included in the ancestral lands of the Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California. The Washoe Tribe headquarters is located in Gardnerville and houses various administrative departments. The Washoe Tribe Court, Police, and Health Center are also located in Gardnerville. The Dresslerville, Lower and Upper Clear Creek, Silverado, and Stewart Ranch Tribal communities are located within Douglas County. Additional information is available in the Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California Integrated Resource Management Plan and the Washoe Tribal Lands Element of the Douglas County Master Plan.

The northern portion of Douglas County lies within the metropolitan planning area of the Carson Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO), which coordinates regional transportation planning, allocates federal and state funds, and ensures local priorities are reflected in long-term transportation projects. The western portion of the county is located within the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) planning area, which is the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for the Lake Tahoe Region.

Approximately 65% of the county's total land mass is public land, managed by federal and state agencies. NDOT maintains the state and U.S. highways in the county. US 395 runs north-south from Carson City through Minden and Gardnerville, continuing south to California. In addition, SR 88 extends from US 395 into California, and US 50 runs alongside the eastern edge of Lake Tahoe. Other NDOT highways include SRs 206 (Foothill Road), 207 (Kingsbury Grade), and 208. The study area is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Study Area



1.2 Prioritizing Transportation Safety in the County

This Safe Streets for All Master Plan identifies solutions to reduce the number of deaths and serious injuries on roads across Douglas County. While the plan covers the entirety of Douglas County, it has a focus on local roads where the county has primary jurisdiction to implement improvements.

Safe System Approach

The Safe Streets for All Master Plan was developed using the SSA framework and addresses all elements of a comprehensive safety action plan, as defined by the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) SS4A grant program. Key elements include the following:

- Formalize a commitment from leadership to eliminate fatal and serious injury crashes.
- Establish a task force to guide and implement the plan.
- Identify needs through data-driven safety analysis.
- Engage and collaborate with the public and key partners.
- Consider the impacts of safety on underserved populations.
- Assess agency policies and processes to better prioritize safety.
- Develop comprehensive safety strategies and prioritized projects.
- Establish a transparent process for tracking progress and post the plan publicly.

The SSA has been embraced by the transportation community as an effective way to address and mitigate the risks inherent in our transportation system. It works by building and reinforcing multiple layers of protection to both prevent crashes from happening and minimize the harm caused to those involved when crashes do occur. It is a holistic and comprehensive approach that provides a guiding framework to make places safer for people. It incorporates the following principles:



- Death and serious injuries are unacceptable.
- Humans make mistakes.
- Humans are vulnerable.
- Responsibility is shared.
- Safety is proactive.
- Redundancy is crucial.

The study addresses all aspects of safety through the following Safe System elements: safe road users, safe vehicles, safe speeds, safe roads, and postcrash care. Together, these elements create a holistic approach with layers of protection for all road users. The plan uses this approach and addresses all five elements, with particular focus and attention on areas where Douglas County can effectuate the most change for decreasing traffic fatalities.

1.3 Planning Structure

A Project Management Team (PMT) met monthly to guide development of this Safe Streets for All Master Plan, The PMT includes representatives from Douglas County Public Works, Douglas County Finance Division, the Town of Gardnerville, and the Town of Minden. Douglas County Public Works took the lead in the technical development of the plan, with input from the town representatives. All members of the PMT will have roles in implementation, where projects fall within their specific jurisdictions. Douglas County will lead monitoring and overall implementation, tracking completed projects and leading future grant efforts.

Douglas County consulted with key partners, such as NDOT, CAMPO, TRPA, the Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California, and others through a stakeholder working group.

The Douglas County Board of County Commissioners has authority as the decision-making body in Douglas County. The County Commission has been actively involved in advocating for transportation safety across the county.

1.4 Vision and Goals

This plan builds on the transportation safety goals that have been developed across Nevada and the region. The following transportation safety goal has been adopted by NDOT and approved by the Governor in the Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) and incorporated by CAMPO in the 2050 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). TRPA adopted a Vision Zero Plan that calls for elimination of traffic deaths and serious injuries by 2050.

Vision: Provide safe public roads across Douglas County for all road users.

Goal: Strive for a 35% reduction in fatal and serious injury crashes by 2035, with a long-term goal of Zero Fatalities by 2050.

The following **approaches** have been identified to aid the region in reaching this goal:

- Collaborate with regional partners to consider and integrate safety measures into new road construction and the maintenance or enhancement of existing roads where feasible.
- Collaborate with regional partners to raise awareness of transportation safety needs and encourage safe practices for driving, walking, and bicycling.
- Continue to maximize the effectiveness of local traffic enforcement activities to improve transportation safety in partnership with state and Tribal agencies.



2. Planning Framework

2.1 Peer Agency Best Practice Review

The following roadway safety themes emerged as consistent pillars of other Vision Zero and SS4A initiatives reviewed and peer agency interviews conducted. The full literature review can be found in **Appendix A**, which included analysis of FHWA best practice recommendations and the safety plans and processes from other peer agencies.

Stakeholder and Community Engagement

Community engagement is an essential component of a successful safety action plan and will provide context for other Douglas County plans, policies, and projects. A best practice is to establish a structure to collaborate on needs and develop a project prioritization process. Douglas County designates the County Commission as the body to champion and advance safety initiatives, supported by the staff of the Douglas County Public Works Department.

Expedite and Enhance Crash Data Collection and Reporting

Coordination among agencies is critical in ensuring access to consistent crash data with the appropriate attributes to inform decision-making and project prioritization. Standards have been established across law enforcement and first responders, including the Douglas County Sheriff's Office and Nevada State Police Highway Patrol (NHP), at the point of collection to minimize postprocessing and reporting efforts from agencies such as NDOT.

Consolidation of Policies and Documents Addressing Transportation Safety

Many safety action plans recommend incorporation of traffic safety within agency polices and procedures, focusing on supporting countermeasures for identified corridors or projects. The following are examples of these policies:

- Incorporation of land use and development policies.
- Support of legislative efforts related to setting and enforcing speed limits.
- Development of a policy for mobility devices and new or emerging alternative transportation modes and technologies.
- Incorporation of identified projects for potential funding opportunities.

Implementation of Safety-Related Policies

Safety should be considered in the project selection and prioritization process. This should be designed to evaluate and prioritize projects based on both short-term and long-term safety needs of Douglas County and its agency partners. The criteria can also include an evaluation of how the absence of proposed safety improvements could impact progress toward achieving safety goals. This involves analyzing potential risks and consequences of inaction, such as increased crash rates or delays in meeting safety objectives.

Prioritize Capital Projects


Safety action plans prioritize projects based on a variety of criteria. Typical factors consider vulnerable populations (those without access to a vehicle, those with impaired mobility), vulnerable roadway users (pedestrians, bicyclists, motorcyclists), and proximity to critical services and high-demand locations (schools, medical facilities, groceries).

Plan Implementation

Successful safety action plans contain a clearly identified vision and goals that guide the actions and initiatives for implementation. These actions usually include recommendations on policies and initiatives, beneficial partnerships, potential funding sources, education campaigns, and training for staff and partners.

2.2 Summary of Area Plans

This plan builds on previously completed plans and studies, as summarized below. Additional information is available in **Appendix A**.



Douglas County Plans

Douglas County has developed multiple plans that provide a foundation for this SS4A analysis:

- **2020 Master Plan** – The 2020 Master Plan includes the following goals related to transportation:
  - Provide and maintain an integrated transportation system for the safe, efficient movement of people and goods throughout Douglas County.

  - Support programs aimed at strengthening the accessible labor pool, such as attainable housing, recreational opportunities, transportation alternatives, and higher/continued education.

  The plan also calls for coordination with NDOT on improvements to SR 206, Genoa Lane, and Jacks Valley Road.

- **2017 Douglas County Transportation Master Plan** – The Douglas County Transportation Master Plan (TMP) identifies policies and strategies to guide decision-making for transportation. Goals in the TMP include the following:
  - Provide and maintain an integrated transportation system for the safe, efficient movement of people and goods throughout Douglas County.
  - Provide appropriate transportation facilities to ensure a high quality of life for Douglas County residents.


  The plan highlights the traffic safety concerns on US 395 through Gardnerville and Minden, including bicycle and pedestrian crossings.

- **Complete Street Policy** – The Douglas County Regional Transportation Commission adopted a Complete Street Policy Statement in 2016. The policy states that Complete Streets are designed, operated, maintained, and enforced to provide safety and accessibility for all roadway users, including pedestrians, bicyclists, motorists, and transit users of all ages and abilities.

- **Five-Year Transportation Plan** – The Five-Year Transportation Plan for Douglas County provides a safe and efficient multimodal transportation system for vehicular, bicycle, pedestrian, and transit modes. The Safety Improvements Program consists of identifying locations with a high number of crashes and making low-cost improvements, such as new lighting, LED signing systems, increased speed limit signs, and additional guardrail and other safety mitigation measures.

- **Comprehensive Trails Plan** – The 2023 Douglas County Comprehensive Trails Plan establishes the vision for a trail system that connects Douglas County residents and visitors with each other and their natural environment. There are 989 miles of existing and 280 miles of proposed pathways and trails. The plan includes design guidelines, including bike lanes and shared-use paths.

- **Safe Routes to School Action Plan** – The Douglas County Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Action Plan focuses on making walking and biking safer and more accessible to students in the region. Recommended safety improvements include new or improved sidewalk and pedestrian facilities, traffic-calming measures and lane treatments, and operational changes. Recognizing the unique needs of each school, the plan includes roughly 100 tailored recommendations.



Regional Plans

Both CAMPO and TRPA have adopted RTPs that address needs in portions of Douglas County. In addition, both agencies have developed targeted safety plans.


- **2050 Regional Transportation Plan** – CAMPO's 2050 RTP was updated to prioritize infrastructure improvements, safety enhancements, mobility efficiency, asset stewardship, and equitable transit access. The RTP focuses on reducing crashes, increasing multimodal safety, and supporting safe infrastructure for all. Two RTP projects also included the following Safe Streets for All Master Plan short-term recommendations:



  - **Jacks Valley Road/Arcadia Drive Intersection Improvements** – Improve intersection safety, including restriping crosswalks and installing a rectangular rapid-flashing beacon (RRFB) across Jacks Valley Road.
  - **Stephanie Way Multimodal Improvements** – Install a sidewalk or paved shoulder along the south side of Stephanie Way between Gordon Avenue and Fuller Avenue, along the frontage of Pinion Hills Elementary School.

- **Local Road Safety Plan** – The CAMPO Local Road Safety Plan (LRSP) was developed in partnership with NDOT and provides a locally tailored framework for identifying, analyzing, and prioritizing roadway safety improvements. The intersection of US 395 and Topsy Lane is identified as a priority intersection in the LRSP. Recommended improvements include enhancements to the traffic signals and timing, signage and striping, pavement rehabilitation, and intersection design.

- **Connections 2050** – TRPA's RTP provides a multimodal plan that connects land use decisions with transportation improvements and aims to reduce car dependency by promoting transit, improving walking and biking infrastructure, and incorporating technology-enabled mobility solutions. The RTP strongly emphasizes safety under the Vision Zero framework, recommending improvements on US 50 (South Shore/Main Street Project). It also focuses on bicycle and pedestrian safety, transit safety, speed management and traffic-calming measures, emergency access and evacuation routes, and safety education campaigns.



- **Vision Zero Plan** – TRPA adopted a Vision Zero Plan aimed at eliminating all traffic-related fatal and serious injury crashes by 2050 in the Lake Tahoe Region. The plan includes core safety recommendations, such as the following:
  - Installing protected bike lanes, sidewalks, and crosswalk enhancements.
  - Creating traffic-calming measures.
  - Implementing Complete Streets designs.

  - Managing speed by lowering speed limits in critical areas, like schools, parks, and commercial centers, and designing roads to encourage slower driving.
  - Improving crash data collection and analysis and promoting education and public awareness.

- **South Lake Tahoe Vision Zero Plan** – The South Lake Tahoe Vision Zero Plan aims to eliminate all traffic-related fatal and serious injuries by 2035. The plan includes proposed intersection improvements on US 50, sidewalk and crosswalk upgrades, lighting and visibility improvements on high-crash corridors and near nightlife and public transit stops, and active transportation and transit projects.

NDOT Plans

- **One Nevada** – The One Nevada Transportation Plan is Nevada's statewide long-range transportation plan that serves as the framework for multimodal transportation planning and development across the state. The plan focuses on six key goal areas: enhancing safety, preserving infrastructure, optimizing mobility, transforming economies, fostering sustainability, and connecting communities.

- **Strategic Highway Safety Plan** – Nevada's SHSP is a statewide plan and framework for reducing fatalities and serious injuries on Nevada's roadways. The SHSP establishes goals and strategies developed in collaboration with federal, state, local, and private-sector safety stakeholders. This plan informed the development of the vision and goals for the Douglas County SS4A Master Plan.



- **US 395 Southern Sierra Corridor Study** – NDOT led a corridor study for US 395 from E Clearview Drive in southern Carson City to the border of Nevada and California at Topaz Lake. A full listing of recommendations is provided in **Appendix B**, which includes the following:
  - Intersection improvements at Jacks Valley Road.
  - Roundabout at US 395 and SR 88.
  - Installation of RRFB at Lucerne Street.
  - Crosswalk improvements at Toler Lane and High School Street.
  - Bicycle/pedestrian path from Drayton to Waterloo to link the Ranchos to Waterloo.

- **US 50 Tahoe East Corridor Management Plan** – NDOT led a safety study of US 50 in the Lake Tahoe area, which contains one of the highest concentrations of severe crashes in the county. A series of safety recommendations was proposed and received community input.

Other NDOT studies consulted in this plan include the Nevada Freight Plan and Nevada Speed Management Action Plan.


2.3 Land Use and Land Management

Douglas County contains a variety of land use types, as described in the 2020 Douglas County Master Plan. While most of the county is located in the Great Basin Desert, it extends to include the Carson Range of the Sierra Nevada Mountains in the west and the Pine Nut Mountains in the east. Carson Valley is in the middle of the county and includes about 420 square miles. These policies are designed to preserve the county's predominant agriculture, forest, and range land uses. Land use goals are intended to achieve the following:

- Retain the beauty, natural setting/resources, and rural/agricultural character of the county while providing opportunities for managed growth and development.
- Locate commercial and industrial development in areas that is consistent with the county's economic development strategy.
- Preserve and promote historic, cultural, and archaeological structures, landmarks, sites, and resources as integral parts of the past and as focal points to shape the county's future identity.

Carson Valley has a high percentage of low- and medium-density residential development. High-density residential uses occupy about 2% of all residential land in Carson Valley. Douglas County contains a limited amount of industrial land as well as Minden-Tahoe Airport. Future urban development may only occur in designated areas. Planned land uses are shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Land Use



2.4 Demographics

Douglas County has a population of almost 50,000 residents. A summary of demographic information is provided in Table 1. Seven percent of county households are considered low income and 3% of households do not own a vehicle. At 15%, the county has a lower proportion of residents under age 18 compared with the state as a whole (22%). In contrast, the senior population for Douglas County (32%) is substantially higher than for the state (17%). The Hispanic population (13%) is lower in Douglas County than in Nevada (29%).

Table 1: Douglas County Demographics

Demographic Data	Douglas County		Nevada	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total Population	49,624	N/A	3,141,000	N/A
Low Income Households (below poverty line)	1,247	7%	148,671	12%
Minority Population	8,932	18%	1,476,270	47%
Hispanic Population	6,451	13%	910,890	29%
Younger than 18	7,635	15%	692,871	22%
65 Years Old or Older	15,664	32%	522,417	17%
People with a Disability (by household)	4,808	27%	334,510	27%
Limited English Proficiency (by household)	178	1%	61,946	5%
Households Without a Vehicle	534	3%	86,725	7%

Source: 2023 American Community Survey.


Underserved Communities

As part of its effort to identify and better serve historically underserved communities, USDOT defines an underserved community consistent with the definition of an area of persistent poverty in the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (49 USC 6702(a)(1)):

- Counties – At least 20% of the population was living in poverty in all three of the following datasets: the 1990 Decennial Census, the 2000 Decennial Census, and the most recent Small Area Income Poverty Estimates.
- Census Tracts – There is a poverty rate of at least 20% as measured by the 5-year data series available from the American Community Survey from 2014 through 2018.
- Any territory or possession of the United States.

Douglas County has two census tracts that meet the criteria for an underserved community (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Underserved Communities






3. Safety Needs


This plan is based on data-driven safety analyses that incorporate crashes, demographics, travel patterns, infrastructure condition, and community input. As stated in the SSA, while no crashes are acceptable, crashes that result in death or serious injuries are prioritized, since no one should lose their life or be seriously injured when using the road system. In contrast to statewide trends that reflect an increase in traffic fatalities since 2019, the number of traffic fatalities in Douglas County has trended downward. The number of Douglas County traffic deaths each year from 2010 through 2024 is provided in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Yearly Traffic Fatalities in Douglas County, 2010 Through 2024




3.1 Comprehensive Crash Analysis

This section presents key findings from a comprehensive crash analysis for 5 years of data from 2019 through 2023 (the most recent available data). Understanding this data will allow Douglas County to direct resources where they are needed most.



The crash analysis identified 2,678 total crashes in Douglas County, including 30 fatal crashes and 81 serious injury crashes. Of these crashes, 31% were noncollisions involving only one vehicle, such as running off the road or rollover crashes. About 28% were rear-end collisions, and 22% were angle crashes. Approximately 61 crashes (2%) involved a bicyclist or pedestrian. The majority of crashes in Douglas County occurred on state and U.S. highways, such as US 395. These highways also carry the largest traffic volumes. High-crash locations include US 50 along Lake Tahoe and US 395 near Jacks Valley Road and in the central Minden and Gardnerville areas, as shown in Figure 5.



Because NDOT has recently completed major corridor studies for both US 395 and US 50, this plan incorporates those findings and recommendations rather than duplicating the analysis. In addition, this plan seeks to identify improvements that Douglas County can implement. For these reasons, additional analysis has been conducted for the safety needs on local roads.

Between 2019 and 2023, 784 crashes occurred on local roadways, as shown in Figure 6. These crashes resulted in seven fatalities and 29 serious injuries. Seventeen pedestrians and seven bicyclists were involved in these crashes.

How Are Crashes Reported and Data Collected?

Crash reports are filed by law enforcement officers, including Douglas County and NHP. A standard digital crash reporting system has recently been implemented statewide to improve consistency in data collection. NDOT receives these reports and maintains the data, sharing it with partner agencies and the public.

Figure 5: High-Crash Locations on All Roads (2019 Through 2023)






Figure 6: High-Crash Locations on Local Roads (2019 Through 2023)





Contributing Factors

Contributing factors are the behaviors, roadway characteristics, environmental conditions, or systemic issues that increase the likelihood or severity of crashes. Understanding these factors helps identify where and how improvements can most effectively reduce fatal and serious injury crashes.

Table 2 summarizes the top contributing factors for crashes in Douglas County for total, fatal, and serious injury crashes. One crash may have multiple identified contributing factors.

Table 2: Douglas County Top Crash Contributing Factors (2019 through 2023)

Contributing Factor	Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes		Total Crashes	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
Impairment Involved (alcohol and/or drugs)	27	25%	232	9%
Improper Driving	20	18%	346	13%
Inattention	4	4%	205	8%
Fatigued/Asleep	2	2%	65	3%

Note: Between 2019 and 2023, Douglas County experienced 111 fatal and serious injury crashes and 2,678 total crashes.

Impaired Driving

At 25% of all crashes, driving while impaired is the most common contributing factor in fatal and serious injury crashes in Douglas County. Over 230 crashes involving impaired drivers occurred in Douglas County over the study period. Impaired driving is also major contributing factor to traffic fatalities and serious injuries across Nevada, including over 1,700 crashes between 2019 and 2023. The Nevada SHSP includes the following strategy:

STRATEGY SP-3: Impaired Driving Prevention through Systems Disruption – Reducing impaired driving by implementing innovative and disruptive actions that alter systemic behaviors and practices.

Approaches recommended in the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's Traffic Safety Countermeasures that Work in Rural Communities report include the following:

- Impaired driving mass media campaigns.
- Alternative transportation, such as rider share or transit.
- Alcohol vendor compliance checks.
- Alcohol ignition interlocks, which prevent a vehicle from starting unless the driver provides a breath sample at an acceptable breath alcohol level.
- Establish driving while intoxicated or impaired courts.

Between 2021 and 2025, the following implementation measures were taken in Nevada to address impaired driving:

- Established and supported a Nevada State Judicial Outreach Liaison position.
- Established and supported a Tribal Court Liaison.
- Sustained a Law Enforcement Liaison program.
- Conducted Advanced Roadside Impaired Driving Enforcement, Drug Recognition Expert, and Standard Field Sobriety Test training for law enforcement officers.

Speed

Speed is a major factor in the severity of crash outcomes. Strategies in the Nevada SHSP to address speeding include the following:

- Speed Management Task Forces – Groups tailored to the needs of rural areas that are aimed at improving safety through coordinated efforts and strategies.
- Safety Corridor Program – Designating specific high-risk road segments as safety corridors, coupled with enhanced speed management measures.
- Fatal and Serious Injury Speeding-Related Crash Communication Program – Informing the public about the dangers of speeding that lead to fatal and serious injury crashes, aiming to increase awareness and promote safer behaviors.
- Advance the Use of Infrastructure Techniques, Design, and Technology to Manage Target Speed and Set Speed Limits – Implement and promote design and technology solutions to control and regulate vehicles speeds.

3.2 High Injury Network

Douglas County developed a High Injury Network (HIN) to identify priority locations where greater numbers of people have been killed or severely injured in traffic crashes. The HIN is a useful framework that helps governments focus their limited resources on what is needed at these priority roads and intersections, including appropriate design solutions. The HIN will change over time as safety trends change.

NDOT crash data from 2019 through 2023 formed the basis for this plan. The analysis mapped the crash data in geographic information system (GIS) and linked each crash to the nearest road segment, with a goal to identify overall trends. Additional detail about the HIN development methodology is available in **Appendix C** and the underlying data used for the analysis is available upon request to Douglas County.


Analysis of Crash Severity

The crash data was analyzed through comparing roadway segments with different types, frequencies, and severities of crashes. This method assigns a cost to each crash based on its severity, meaning serious crashes are weighted more than minor ones. Crash values are added together for each roadway segment. As a result, segments with more crashes or more severe crashes receive higher total scores, highlighting roadway segments with greater safety problems.

This analysis was used to develop the HIN, which represents roadway segments where a disproportionate number of higher-severity crashes have occurred. Shown in Figure 7, the HIN for Douglas County includes three elements: state highways, local roads, and SRTS priorities. This approach helps the county identify all areas of safety concern while also clarifying which agencies may be involved. It incorporates findings from previous transportation safety studies, as described in Section 2.2.

As roadway improvement projects are implemented and new crash data becomes available, the transportation network should be reevaluated on a regular basis to identify changes to the HIN.

Figure 7: High Injury Network





4. Understanding Community Experiences and Priorities

4.1 Public Engagement Purpose and Activities

Public engagement was a crucial component of the Safe Streets for All Master Plan development process. Community input helped shape the plan's priorities, added context to the data analysis results, and informed both the plan's emphasis areas and its recommended strategies. By collecting feedback on safety priorities and key concerns, the county gained valuable insights into which issues and locations are most important to residents.

Douglas County reached over 300 community members through a combination of online and in-person activities. Community members were invited to learn about the plan and share feedback about specific locations and other transportation safety issues. Table 3 summarizes the public engagement activities.

Table 3: Engagement Tools

Engagement Tool	Description	Timeframe
Project Website	A project website provided details about plan purpose and recommendations, with a link available on the Douglas County Public Works web page.	
Survey	An online survey about County transportation needs was taken by over 240 participants.	March through April 2026
Douglas County Commission Meeting	A presentation to the Douglas County Board of Commissioners was made about the draft High Injury Network (HIN).	March 5, 2026
Public Meeting	A public open house was held at the Douglas County Community Center to gather input on the HIN and transportation safety needs.	March 18, 2026
Stakeholder Working Group	State, Tribal, local, and regional partner agency representatives helped guide development of the plan.	November 5, 2025 Online comment on HIN beginning March 4, 2026
Project Management Team	This core team led development of the plan and provided coordination across County departments as well as Gardnerville, Minden, and other local areas.	Third Monday of each month, beginning August 2025
One-on-One Stakeholder Meetings	Individual meetings with regional partners, including Douglas County Sheriff's Office and Gardnerville Main Street.	October 10, 2025 (Main Street) December 4, 2025 (Sheriff's Office)
Site Walk	Site walk of the Carson Valley Middle School and Heritage Park area with Gardnerville Public Works representative.	April 22, 2026

4.2 Community Input

A variety of outreach opportunities were provided to gather input from area residents. The project team collaborated with the Douglas County Public Information Officer to raise awareness about the study and opportunities to participate. Area demographics influenced the outreach approach. Due to the large senior population, outreach included popular publications such as the Record-Courier newspaper and Board Buzz newsletter. To reach families more broadly, the Douglas County Library, Douglas County Community Center, and swim center supported the project by prominently posting the meeting flyer. Social media posts were used to target a broad online audience. Spanish language translation services were provided to expand access as well. Additional documentation of public comments is provided in Appendix D.


The Record-Courier

Douglas School District avoids fiscal watch

Effort underway to make Douglas roads safer

Public Meeting

A public open house was held at the Douglas County Community Center on May 18, 2026. Area residents gathered to review safety data and the draft HIN and to identify their safety concerns. Discussions with participants included concerns about the lack of connected bicycle and sidewalk facilities, intersection safety needs, and high travel speeds that lead to crashes. Participants were invited to take a community survey or view an interactive map. A Spanish-language facilitator was present to support Spanish-speaking residents.




Community Survey

A survey was conducted to ask community members about transportation safety needs in Douglas County. Questions asked about which modes of transportation residents use, feelings of safety using different modes, the most significant safety issues, which safety improvements residents prefer, and where they would like to see improvements implemented. Respondents were also given the option to provide additional written comments at the end of the survey. With almost 250 responses, the survey provides important insights into community views on transportation.

How People Travel


The majority of survey participants drive for most of their trips. About 7% of respondents indicated that they usually walk, bike, or use a scooter or wheelchair/mobility device to get around. This in part reflects limited multimodal infrastructure and concerns about safety when walking or bicycling.



Safety Concerns

Safety concerns for people with disabilities are evident, as two-thirds of respondents who said they use a wheelchair or other mobility device indicated they feel somewhat unsafe or very unsafe getting around. Concerns about being able to safely walk and bike, as well as a disjointed pedestrian and bicycle network, were also noted in the responses.

The most commonly cited safety issues by survey respondents included speeding (60%), aggressive/reckless driving (52%), distracted/impaired driving (39%), and pedestrian safety (38%). These responses indicate that residents are concerned about behaviors that result in part from a highway network designed for high travel speeds.



Note: Respondents could select up to three.


Requested Improvements

In terms of addressing said safety issues, the most commonly chosen roadway improvements were increased traffic enforcement, improved pedestrian crossings and signals, and more bike lanes and bike paths. These results indicate a desire for infrastructure improvements that create a safer walking and biking environment as well as a desire for stronger enforcement of existing traffic laws. In terms of where these improvements should take place, the two most commonly chosen locations were busy roads and places where crashes have happened before, indicating that residents greatest concerns center on corridors with high speeds and traffic volumes as well as other locations with limited infrastructure for walking and bicycling.

Additional written comments generally echoed the safety concerns and desires for improvements expressed in the multiple-choice questions. However, a couple of additional themes emerged:

- There are concerns about roadway conditions and maintenance.
- There is a desire for more education and awareness for all road users.

What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial?



Note: Respondents could select up to three.

What Can You Personally Do to Improve Transportation Safety in Douglas County?



The survey asked participants what they each could do to improve transportation safety. Respondents consistently addressed a few key themes. First and foremost, many respondents said they can drive at or below the speed limit, with one response saying, “Drive like a police officer is right behind me.” Another common theme was safety and awareness, with several respondents expressing a need to pay better attention, particularly at intersections in other high-activity areas. Finally, responses discussed traveling at off peak hour times and/or choosing alternate routes to avoid heavy traffic times and locations as well sometimes avoiding driving altogether so as not to contribute to traffic and avoid the stress of driving in it.

4.3 Partner Agency Input

A stakeholder working group contributed to regional information sharing and collaboration throughout development of the plan. A meeting was held to introduce the study and gather input about priorities and concerns of the partner agencies. The stakeholder working group members also reviewed and provided feedback on the draft HIN and reviewed the draft plan document.

A PMT met monthly to guide development of the plan. This group included representatives from Douglas County Public Works, Douglas County Finance Division, the Town of Gardnerville, and the Town of Minden.

Stakeholder meetings also provided insights into transportation challenges in Douglas County. A stakeholder meeting was conducted with the Douglas County Sheriff's Office to gather input about priority safety concerns as well as the crash data collection and reporting process. The team discussed limited staff resources and how speed feedback signs can help with reducing vehicle travel speeds.

Stakeholder Working Group Member Organizations

- NDOT
- CAMPO
- TRPA
- Washoe Tribe of Nevada and California
- Town of Gardnerville
- Town of Minden
- Douglas County
- Kingsbury GID
- Gardnerville Ranchos GID
- Indian Hills GID
- Main Street Gardnerville

A meeting was also held with the Main Street Gardnerville organization to gather input on safety concerns in the downtown area. The group emphasized the importance of pedestrian safety and access along US 395. This highway functions as the Gardnerville Main Street and is home to a thriving local business community. NDOT reconstructed this road in 2025 and installed some safety and sidewalk accessibility improvements. Due to limited funding, not all planned safety elements were constructed during this phase.

A site walk was held to review the highly utilized travel paths for Carson Valley Middle School students and associated infrastructure challenges. School pickup and drop-off occurs at the nearby Heritage Park due to the space constraints on the school campus. A representative of the Gardnerville Public Works Department highlighted pedestrian crossing infrastructure needs and the importance of speed feedback signs in improving speed compliance.





5. Establishing Strategies and Enacting the Plan

5.1 Systemic Strategies

Taking a systemic approach to transportation safety involves the broad application of lower-cost, high-benefit safety solutions at locations with the highest risk of future crashes (based on the roadway characteristics that are often linked to high-severity crashes), not just treating locations where crashes have already happened. Systemic safety strategies should be prioritized for their proven effectiveness in reducing the frequency and severity of crashes and because of their alignment with the county's focus areas. These systemic strategies should be considered when planning all future projects and initiatives

This plan incorporates FHWA Proven Safety Countermeasures, a set of strategies and tools identified by FHWA that have been proven through research and real-world application to effectively reduce serious injuries and fatalities on the nation's roadways. These countermeasures are intended to guide transportation professionals and decision-makers in implementing safety improvements that are both effective and cost-efficient.

FHWA PROVEN SAFETY COUNTERMEASURES

SPEED MANAGEMENT

- Appropriate Speed Limits for All Road Users
- Speed Feedback Signs
- Variable Speed Limits

PEDESTRIAN/ BICYCLIST

- Bicycle Lanes
- Crosswalk Visibility Enhancements
- Leading Pedestrian Interval
- Medians and Pedestrian Refuge Islands in Urban and Suburban Areas
- Pedestrian Hybrid Beacons
- Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB)
- Road Diets (Roadway Reconfiguration)
- Sidewalks and Multiuse Paths

ROADWAY DEPARTURE

- Enhanced Delineation for Horizontal Curves
- Longitudinal Rumble Strips and Stripes on Two-Lane Roads
- Median Barriers
- Roadside Design Improvements at Curves
- SafetyEdge^{SM}
- Wider Edge Lines

INTERSECTIONS

- Backplates with Retroreflective Borders
- Corridor Access Management
- Dedicated Left- and Right-Turn Lanes at Intersections
- Reduced Left-Turn Conflict Intersections
- Roundabouts
- Systemic Application of Multiple Low-Cost Countermeasures at Stop-Controlled Intersections
- Yellow Change Intervals

CROSSCUTTING

- Lighting
- Local Road Safety Plans
- Pavement Friction Management
- Road Safety Audit

Infrastructure Strategies

Infrastructure improvements are physical changes to the roadway and roadside aimed at enhancing traffic safety and mobility for all road users. These strategies address critical safety challenges by removing severe conflicts, reducing speeds, managing conflict points, and increasing awareness. These infrastructure strategies are scalable, ranging from cost-effective temporary measures to permanent upgrades, providing benefits to all users including those in disadvantaged communities, making them practical for implementation across the county.

Larger-Scale Infrastructure Investments



- **Roundabouts** – Intersections with a circular configuration that safely and efficiently move traffic through channelized approaches that reduce vehicle speed and provide yield control to minimize conflict points. Due to lower speeds and reduced conflicts, injury and fatal crashes are substantially reduced. A roundabout on SR 88 at Mahogany Drive and County Road has reduced crash numbers and severity. Roundabouts received support from the community survey and public meeting comments.
- **Separated Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities** – These facilities can mitigate or prevent interactions, conflicts, and crashes between active transportation users and motor vehicles while creating a network of safer

roadways. Separated facilities align with the SSA principle of recognizing human vulnerability. Separated facilities are recommended on roadways with higher vehicle volumes and speeds. According to FHWA, converting a traditional bicycle lane to a separated bicycle lane can reduce bicycle/vehicle crashes up to 53%, and sidewalks provide a 65% to 89% reduction in crashes involving pedestrians walking along roadways.

- **Construction of New Roadways with Multimodal Facilities** – Otherwise known as Complete Steets, these facilities prioritize safety for all users and seek to plan, design, and construct roadways that minimize or eliminate the chances of fatal and life-changing injuries. The design elements of these facilities are highly dependent on the context of the adjacent land use, traffic characteristics, and setting of the roadway. However, there are opportunities to install pedestrian and bicycle facilities, as well as traffic-calming and speed-consistency measures, and generally to create a more community-focused environment that accommodates the needs of all road users.
- **Access Management** – Access management is the design, application, and control of entry and exit points along a roadway, including intersections and driveways. Strategic access management can enhance safety for all modes, facilitate walking and biking, and reduce trip delay and congestion. For example, reducing driveway density can result in a 5% to 23% reduction in total crashes along two-lane rural roads and a 25% to 31% reduction in fatal and injury crashes along urban and suburban arterials.

Smaller-Scale Infrastructure Investments

- **Crosswalk Visibility** – Three primary enhancements help make crosswalk users more visible to drivers. These include the following:
  - High-Visibility Crosswalks – Patterns that are visible to both the driver and pedestrian from farther away compared with traditional transverse line crosswalks. These are most suitable for midblock pedestrian crossings and uncontrolled intersections and are most effective with materials such as inlay or thermoplastic tape for highly reflective markings.
  - Leading Pedestrian Intervals – Providing an opportunity for pedestrians to cross prior to automobiles receiving a green light improves visibility and reduces conflicts with turning vehicles.



  - Improved Lighting – Illumination with positive contrast to make it easier for a driver to see pedestrians by placing the luminaires in forward locations to avoid a silhouette effect of the pedestrian.
  - Enhanced Signing and Pavement Markings – Signs 20 to 50 feet in advance of a marked crosswalk on multilane roadways to indicate where a driver should stop or yield to pedestrians and installation of a STOP or YIELD bar (shark's teeth) pavement markings.

- **RRFBs** – RRFBs consist of two rectangular-shaped yellow signals that flash at alternating frequencies when activated. They are placed on both sides of a crosswalk below the pedestrian crossing sign. RRFBs are most effective at multilane crossings with speed limits less than 40 miles per hour. Research suggests that RRFBs can result in motorist yield rates of up to 98% at marked crosswalks.
- **Rumble Strips** – Rumble strips are milled or raised features on the roadway pavement to alert drivers through vibration and sound that their vehicle has left the travel lane. They can be installed on the shoulder, on the edge line, or near the center line of an undivided roadway. Douglas County prefers installation of milled rumble strips to avoid the risk of potential damage to raised rumble strips from snowplow operations. Center line rumble strips yield a 44% to 64% reduction in head-on fatal and injury crashes on two-lane rural roads, while shoulder rumble strips provide a 13% to 51% reduction in run-off-road fatal and injury crashes. While most rumble strips are generally discouraged in residential areas due to noise, there is an alternative design called sinusoidal rumble strips, or mumble strips, that minimizes exterior noise.
- **Transverse Rumble Strips** – On roadway approaches where the need to stop may be unexpected, rumble strips can be milled across the roadway to provide an audible warning to drivers. These are discouraged in residential areas due to noise.
- **Wider Pavement Edge Lines** – Wider edge lines (an increase from the standard 4-inch width to a 6-inch width) enhance the visibility of travel lane boundaries. Wider edge lines are most effective in reducing crashes on rural two-lane highways where there are narrow shoulder widths, the presence of roadway curves, and a history of nighttime crashes.
- **Speed Feedback Signs** – Speed feedback signs have been used effectively by local law enforcement and public works departments to make drivers aware of their speed and to slow down.
- **High-Visibility Striping and Signage** – These materials reflect light from vehicles back to the driver to increase visibility of lane lines, markers, and signs in low-light conditions.
- **Curve Warning Signs** – Advance warning, advisory speed, chevron, and arrow signs posted in advance of and throughout the curve.

Many of the smaller-scale infrastructure investments identified above are both highly effective at improving safety and relatively low cost to implement, resulting in a high benefit-cost ratio.

Furthermore, multiple treatments can be applied in one location through crosscutting strategies that address multiple safety focus areas, thereby compounding the overall safety benefit.

Noninfrastructure Strategies

Noninfrastructure strategies are essential components of a comprehensive approach to improving traffic safety. These strategies focus on influencing behaviors, enhancing education and awareness, and promoting a cultural shift toward safety as a priority. By addressing factors such as driver behavior, vulnerable road user awareness, and community engagement, noninfrastructure strategies will support physical infrastructure improvements.

- **Enforcement –** While the number of law enforcement personnel is limited, the Douglas County Sheriff's Office works closely with local agency staff and the public to prioritize enforcement activities in priority areas. The NHP provides enforcement on state and U.S. highways.
- **Postcrash Assistance and Hazard Removal –** The Douglas County Sheriff and NHP support safety by assisting motorists who have been involved in crashes, moving vehicles out of the travel lane, and providing traffic control. NDOT and Douglas County Public Works support in the removal of road hazards or debris.
- **Education** – The Western Nevada SRTS Program conducts safety education activities for schools across Douglas County. Other safety messages for the general public, including impaired driving awareness campaigns, are conducted by Douglas County and NDOT.



5.2 Near-Term High Injury Network Safety Improvements

Project Prioritization

Proposed safety improvements are located on the HIN, as described in Section 3.2. A package of near-term, lower-cost projects was prioritized for initial implementation. These improvements address safety needs identified through the Douglas County SRTS Action Plan and were refined through this SS4A planning process. Longer-term improvements are also included in this plan for implementation as funding becomes available.

Recommended near-term improvements build upon the priorities adopted in the RTP and SRTS Action Plan.

This section describes proposed early-action items that would be suitable for a SS4A implementation grant.

Carson Valley Middle School Area

Carson Valley Middle School is located on US 395 in the heart of Minden-Gardnerville. The school is adjacent to both residential and commercial areas in a high-traffic part of town. Many students commute to school by walking or bicycling either from adjacent residential areas or from nearby Heritage Park after a parent drops the student off. The improvements below are targeted toward pedestrian safety for the corridor between Carson Valley Middle School, Heritage Park, and surrounding neighborhoods, as summarized below.

A number of safety issues were identified during the walking audit conducted on March 16, 2023, and confirmed during the April 22, 2026 site walk, including the following:

- Angled crosswalk just east of school location could be realigned for a shorter and more direct pedestrian crossing.
- There is no school zone signage along High School Street and Courthouse Street.
- There is a sight line issue near Courthouse Street and Slaughterhouse Lane due to overgrown vegetation.

Proposed improvements are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4: Carson Valley Middle School Area Near-Term Safety Improvements

Location	Description
Intersection of High School Street and Courthouse Street	Crosswalk Improvements: Extend sidewalk; install ADA-compliant curb ramp and high-visibility crosswalk; add school zone signage and solar-powered rectangular rapid-flashing beacon (RRFB).
Intersection of Courthouse Street and Gilman Avenue	Crosswalk Improvements: Install high-visibility crosswalk and solar-powered RRFB.
Base Estimated Cost	$208,000



Douglas High School Area

Douglas High School is located in the northern section of Minden, adjacent to the US 395 and SR 88 intersection. SR 88, in front of the school, experiences high truck freight volumes. The school is in an area of Minden with many different land uses, including single- and multifamily housing and commercial businesses. The area surrounding the school sees high traffic volumes during pickup and drop-off times.

Because Douglas High School is the principal high school for the Minden-Gardnerville area, students from a variety of areas attend and travel to the school. Driving and walking are both significant modes of travel, leading to the potential for modal conflicts.

The Carson Valley Swim Center is located on SR 88 across Mahogany Drive from Douglas High School. This community pool is an important local resource for recreation, training, and entertainment. The swim center is closely linked to the county's school district, hosting high school aquatics classes and after-school activities for all ages.


A number of safety issues were identified during the walking audit conducted on March 16, 2023, and confirmed on an April 22, 2026, site visit, including the following:

- An incomplete crosswalk south of the school along SR 88.
- A missing segment of sidewalk along Mahogany Drive just south of the school.
- High volumes of students crossing SR 88 at the Maverick driveway.

Proposed improvements are summarized in Table 5.

Table 5: Douglas High School Area Near-Term Safety Improvements

Location	Description
Intersection of SR 88 and Maverick Driveway	Relocate crosswalk to south side of intersection; install pedestrian refuge island, high-visibility crosswalk, solar-powered rectangular rapid-flashing beacon (RRFB), sidewalk curb, and gutter.
Mahogany Drive Crosswalk West of SR 88	Install high-visibility crosswalk with solar-powered RRFB.
SR 88	Update school speed zone signs.
Base Estimated Cost	$391,000



Jacks Valley Road Area

Jacks Valley Road experiences high travel speeds on the straight segment between Bavarian Drive and US 395. Although Jacks Valley Elementary School is located on an isolated stretch of Jacks Valley Road, there is a residential area within 2 miles of the school that has the potential to generate bicycling trips by students. The school could be a candidate for a park and walk program. In particular, the section of the Indian Hills subdivision near the school has a high density of school-aged children.

Jacks Valley Road/Arcadia Drive intersection improvements are included in the CAMPO RTP. This project would improve intersection safety, including restriping crosswalks and installing RRFB across Jacks Valley Road. Improvements include the installation of accessible walkway or curb ramps on the northeast and southeast corners of the intersection and advance warning signs in both directions of crossing. A summary of proposed improvements is provided in Table 6.

Table 6: Jacks Valley Elementary School Area Near-Term Safety Improvements

Location	Description
Intersection of Jacks Valley Road and Arcadia Drive	Relocate to west side of Arcadia intersection and install high-visibility crosswalk and ADA-compatible landing area, solar-powered rectangular rapid-flashing beacon, and advance crossing signs.
Jacks Valley Road Near Elementary School	Install wide edge line to reduce travel lane widths, update school speed zone signage, install rumble strips, install wayfinding signs.
Estimated Cost	$178,000



Piñon Hills Elementary School Area

Piñon Hills Elementary School is located in the Johnson Lane subdivision in the northern section of Douglas County. Johnson Lane is a residential area, with the school located in the center of the community. Although the area has a relatively large school-aged population with the potential to walk and bicycle to school, the community is lacking sidewalks in many locations.

A number of safety issues were identified during the walking audit conducted on March 16, 2023, and confirmed during an April 22, 2026, site visit, including the following:

- Missing crosswalk at Stephanie Way and Fuller Avenue.
- Missing sidewalks on the north side of Stephanie way in front of the school building. This section of roadway is also lacking bicycle facilities.
- Concerns about vehicular speeds along Stephanie Way.
- Missing sidewalk along the parking access road northeast of the school.

Table 7: Piñon Hills Elementary School Area Near-Term Safety Improvements

Location	Description
Stephanie Way Between Gordon Avenue and Fuller Avenue	Install wide edge line to reduce travel lane width, improve high-visibility crosswalks, install ADA-compatible landing area.
Intersection of Stephanie Way and Fuller Avenue	Install high-visibility crosswalk and ADA-compatible landing area.
Estimated Cost	$77,000

5.2 Longer-Term Recommendations

This section describes transportation safety needs in Douglas County that may take additional time to complete due to scale or complexity.

Safe Multimodal Connectivity Between Gardnerville Ranchos, Lampe Park, and Gardnerville Main Street

The Gardnerville Ranchos would benefit from safe multimodal connections to key community resources, including Lampe Park, the Douglas County Community and Senior Center, and Gardnerville Main Street. Multiple safety improvements are recommended on the HIN in this area.


Waterloo Lampe Park Road Realignment Project



Douglas County was awarded a Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity grant for the design and environmental analysis of this project to realign Waterloo Lane and connect it to US 395 at a new local on Stodick Parkway. It includes a new roundabout at SR 756/Centerville Lane, new intersection at US 395 and traffic control measures on the current Waterloo Lane near Lampe Park. A map is shown in Figure 8.

Project goals are to reduce traffic along the current Waterloo Lane, implement safer crossings for pedestrians, and decrease conflict areas through the addition of a roundabout. The new road will divert high volumes of through-traffic away from Lampe Park and community centers. The project will plan a designated space for bicyclists and pedestrians to travel and seeks to promote active transportation within the vicinity of the park and community centers.

Figure 8: Waterloo Lampe Park Road Realignment Project



Bicycle Facility on SR 756 (Centerville Lane)


Bicycle lanes are currently provided on Gilman Avenue west of US 395. However, as the road transitions into Centerville Lane and becomes narrower, these bike lanes end. The road becomes more rural in character and crosses two waterways with narrow bridges, where right-of-way is limited. Safe bicycle connectivity is needed between Dresslerville Road and Gilman Avenue. This would provide access to Waterloo Lane, where Lampe Park and the Douglas County Community and Senior Center are located.



Gardnerville Ranchos Safety Improvements

The Gardnerville Ranchos neighborhood is an underserved community with many wide, straight, low-traffic volume streets. As a result of high speeds, this neighborhood experiences a higher frequency and severity of crashes. Proposed improvements are summarized in Figure 9 and the descriptions below.

Figure 9: Gardnerville Ranchos Area Safety Improvements



- **Long Valley Road/Dresslerville Road** – Restripe with buffered bike lanes from Rancho Road to Riverview Drive. This will improve safety for students bicycling to Gene Scarselli Elementary School or Pau-Wa-Lu Middle School. High-visibility crosswalks with RRFBs are recommended in the vicinity of the schools, including at Ann Way, Angora Drive, and the bus access road.

- **Muir Drive** – High-visibility wide edge lines are recommended on Muir Drive to constrain the roadway width and encourage slower speeds. Paved walkways are recommended to improve safe pedestrian access to Aspen Park, including on the south side of Muir Drive, on the east side of Mitch Drive, and across open space west of Mitch Drive. Numerous school safety improvements are recommended in the vicinity of C.C. Meneley Elementary School in the Douglas County SRTS Action Plan. Because the Douglas County School District is considering the closure of this school, additional coordination regarding the timeline of improvements is recommended as funding becomes available.




- **Tillman Lane** – Striped buffered bike lanes are recommended on Tillman Lane to improve bicycle connectivity and safety. Narrower vehicle travel lanes would calm traffic. High visibly crosswalks with RRFBs are recommended for the crosswalk at Tillman Lane and Yellowjacket Lane, Kimmerling Road, and Muir Drive.



- **Kimmerling Road** – Multiple head-on and rollover crashes have occurred on the curves on Kimmerling Road between Verde Way and Rojo Way. Center line and edge line rumble strips and high-visibility striping are recommended, along with advance warning of road curvature. More closely spaced, high-visibility chevron signs on the outside of the curves could also provide additional safety benefit in the segment shown in Figure 10.

  A roundabout is proposed for the SR 88 and Kimmerling Way intersection. Intersection lighting is proposed as a shorter-term improvement.

Figure 10: Kimmerling Way Improvements



Jacks Valley Road Multiuse Path

Construct a South Carson/North Douglas Multiuse Path Connection. This facility would be parallel to a HIN corridor and provide an alternate route for walking and bicycling. This path would extend from Old Clear Creek to Jacks Valley Road and connect between communities.

Johnson Lane


The Johnson Lane Pavement and Drainage Repair project includes full pavement reconstruction from Heybourne Road to Vicky Lane, including construction of stormwater improvements to mitigate future flooding in the area and provide roadway resiliency. This project is partially located on the HIN and is recommended to include safety enhancements such as high-visibility edge and intersection signage. The Johnson Lane Reconstruction Project is currently included in the NDOT State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

Stephanie Way

Stephanie Way multimodal improvements would include the construction of sidewalk or paved shoulder along the south side of Stephanie Way between Gordon Avenue and Fuller Avenue, along the frontage of Pinion Hills Elementary School. This is identified in the SRTS Action Plan and CAMPO RTP and is included on the HIN.

US 395 Safety Improvements

NDOT conducted a comprehensive analysis of safety and mobility needs along US 395 in Douglas County. The full listing of these recommendations is provided in **Appendix B**. A summary of some of the higher-priority improvements is provided below.



- **Topsy Lane Intersection Improvements** – Construct additional turn lanes, implement safety recommendations, modify median island geometry, and complete signing and striping upgrades to the at the intersection of US 395 and Topsy Lane. This planned improvement is on the HIN and included in the CAMPO RTP.
- **US 395 Auxiliary Lanes** – Construct additional turn lanes, construct new acceleration lanes, and extend existing lanes at various intersections along US 395 between Jacks Valley Road/Sunridge Ridge Drive and S Sunridge Drive/Plymouth Drive. This planned improvement would support intersection and mainline operations on the HIN and is included in the CAMPO RTP.
- **Jacks Valley Road Intersection Improvements** – Continue US 395 southbound right travel lane; continue this lane through the intersection to the shopping center driveways. Add cable rail in the unpaved median north of Jacks Valley Road.
- **Mica Drive to Sunridge Drive/North Jacks Valley Road** – Add truck climbing lane northbound and construct an additional lane in each direction.
- **Genoa Lane/Airport Road –** Align roadway or add left-turn acceleration lanes with a combination of northbound grade separation at Airport Road. Construct restricted crossing U-turn intersection at Genoa Lane.
- **Muller Lane and Ironwood Lane –** Install acceleration lanes and lower speeds.
- **Ironwood Drive –** Construct roundabout and/or eliminate left turn from Ironwood Drive with a raised median.
- **SR 88 –** Construct roundabout at SR 88 and US 395 intersection.
- **Mill Street Intersection –** Restripe crosswalk pavement markings for high visibility, and upgrade crossing to an overhead mast arm RRFB per NDOT standards.
- **Muller Parkway Extension –** Complete this roadway extension.
- **Mission Street to Toler Lane –** Move existing crosswalk from the east side of US 395 at Mission Street to the south/east side of US 395 and Toler Lane. Upgrade to an overhead mast arm RRFB per NDOT standards. Alternatively, add a crossing at US 395 Toler Lane in addition to the existing crossing at Mission Street.
- **Update signals, signing, lighting, and intelligent transportation system to current standards.**
- **Install ADA-compatible updates to meet current standards.**
- **Crosswalks at 2nd, 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, and Lucerne Streets** – Install pedestrian crossing signs with downward-pointing arrow signs and advance pedestrian crossing signage and pavement markings.

- **Drayton to Waterloo** – Install bicycle/pedestrian path to link the Ranchos to Waterloo.
- **Riverview Drive –** Install acceleration/deceleration lanes and intersection capacity improvements.
- **Double Springs Area –** Install southbound truck climbing lane to terminate near China Springs Road.
- **Install wildlife fencing.**

The NDOT STIP currently includes a pavement preservation project on US 395 from Waterloo to First Street. It is recommended that safety improvements from the US 395 Corridor Safety Improvement Plan be incorporated.

SR 88

SR 88 is an important freight corridor that connects US 395 to California while also crossing directly in front of Douglas County High School and serving the local community. Future pavement improvements on SR 88 are recommended to include systemic improvements such as high-visibility striping and signage. Intersection improvements are recommended where multiple HIN roadway segments connect:

- **Mottsville Lane/Waterloo Lane** – Potential improvements to consider at this signalized intersection include high-visibility crosswalks, reflective signal backplates, and advance intersection warning signage. Future conversion to a roundabout should also be considered to reduce the number and severity of crashes.
- **Kimerling Way** – Potential improvements include solar-powered illuminated stop signs and advance intersection warning signage. Future construction of a roundabout should be considered as a longer-term improvement.


Additional improvements in the vicinity of Douglas High School are proposed in the near-term recommendations.

Mottsville Lane

The approaches to both SR 88 and Foothill Drive along Mottsville Lane are included on the HIN (Figure 11). Two fatalities occurred due to running off the road at a curve west of Mottsville Meadow Way. In this segment approaching the curve, center line and edge line rumble strips and high-visibility striping are recommended, along with advance warning of road curvature.

The Douglas County 5-Year Transportation Plan includes the reconstruction of pavement and roadbed modifications on Mottsville Lane from Foothill to SR 88. It is recommended that systemic safety measures be incorporated into this pavement project.

Figure 11: Mottsville Lane



County Road

The Douglas County 5-Year Transportation Plan includes the construction of a storm drain along County Road between SR 88 and US 395. It is recommended that systemic safety measures be incorporated into this pavement project. In addition, the installation of bike lanes along this corridor, to provide connectivity between the swim center, Douglas County Library, and downtown Minden, should be considered.

SR 206/Main Street (Genoa)

Main Street near Carson Street has experienced a fatal crash at the curve. High-visibility pavement markings and additional advance curvature signage are recommended. Rumble strips are not recommended due to noise impacts on nearby residences.

Lake Tahoe Area Improvements

The western portion of Douglas County extends across the Sierra Nevada range to Lake Tahoe. SR 207/Kingsbury Grade is a key corridor providing access to the Lake Tahoe area, and US 50 connects communities and destinations along the East Shore. The NDOT STIP includes the Kahle Drive Complete Street Project and Nevada Stateline to Stateline Bikeway from Laura Drive to Stateline. Both of these initiatives have a strong safety focus. Additional focused corridor improvements are described below.

SR 207/Kingsbury Grade

Segments of SR 207/Kingsbury Grade are included on the HIN. Most severe crashes along this corridor involve negotiating curves and/or running off the road. NDOT has a STIP pavement preservation project identified for this corridor. It is recommended that rural highway safety countermeasures be incorporated, including wide edge line striping and dynamic curve warning systems.

This corridor has unique seasonal operational challenges. SR 207/Kingsbury Grade is regularly impacted by snow and ice during the winter season. During major storms, snow-removal resources are limited and often focused on more heavily populated areas. There are currently no chain control stations on SR 207. During a severe winter storm on February 17, 2026, multiple vehicles became stuck near the top of Kingsbury Grade, resulting in significant traffic backups and access issues.

US 50

The US 50 East Shore Corridor Management Plan was completed in 2024 and included extensive community input. This corridor contains some of the highest crash locations in the county. The plan established that safety is the most important need in the corridor. The following key aspects were identified as central to future safety solutions:

- Private property impacts should be minimized to the extent possible.
- All shoulder parking should be relocated to off-highway parking areas, which may include park-and-ride lots served by transit.
- TRPA thresholds should be considered in every solution.
- Emergency vehicle access/evacuations should be included.
- The Tahoe Trail is a regional priority.
- Transit is a key element in serving peak demands.

The Douglas County 5-Year Plan includes the US 50 Road Safety Project from Elks Point to north of Cave Rock.

US 50 Early-Action Corridor Roadway Safety Improvements

- Add turn lanes where pavement and right-of-way widths permit.
- Incorporate wide edge line striping.
- Install edge-lit speed limit signs, in-pavement speed limit markings, and speed feedback signs.
- Narrow lane widths (~11 feet wide) and increase separation of opposing directions of traffic.
- Add transverse markings to wide shoulder locations (> 8 feet) Develop and deploy additional signal timing plans.
- Conduct intersection control evaluation of US 50/SR 28 .
- Improve signing to Cave Rock.
- NDOT to evaluate reduced speed limits, particularly south of Cave Rock.
- Continue to monitor and evaluate safety performance and opportunities in critical safety areas.
- Establish a multiagency Safety and Operations Committee to coordinate ongoing safety evaluations and operations.



6. Implementation and Monitoring

6.1 Policies and Procedures

To have a USDOT-approved safety action plan, an agency must review and update policies and procedures that impact implementation of transportation safety. As part of this effort, a series of policies and procedures were reviewed, including administrative policies, Douglas County policies, Douglas County plans, regional transportation funding programs, and regional planning studies. Table 8 presents a summary of the proposed approach to updates of existing policies and procedures guiding transportation decision-making in Douglas County.

Overall, safety should be considered in the project selection and prioritization process for all studies, whether it is a funding/programming exercise or transportation improvement study. Evaluation screening processes should be designed to prioritize and communicate the benefits of safety for Douglas County residents. By doing this, project selection processes can ensure that safety initiatives are strategically positioned to address both immediate and future needs, ultimately supporting the overall vision of reducing traffic fatalities and serious injuries in Douglas County.

Table 8: Proposed Updates to Policies and Procedures

Policy/Plan	Potential SS4A Update
Administrative Policies and Procedures	No update required.
Complete Street Policy Statement	No update required.


Policy/Plan	Potential SS4A Update
Douglas County Standard Construction Details	Add design detail for Complete Streets (and road diet guidance) and other safety countermeasure options, such as roundabouts, on-street bike lanes, or wider sidewalks.
Five-Year Transportation Plan	In the future, Section II. Identification of Programs and Projects can pull/prioritize projects from the Safe Streets for All Master Plan. Include information about Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Safety Countermeasures.
Capital Improvement Plan	Include safety as a prioritization measure and communicate safety benefit(s) of proposed projects.
2020 Master Plan	Consider including transportation safety as a stand-alone goal and further integrating transportation safety into the public safety section.
Transportation Master Plan	Consider including a separate transportation safety goal and policies. Describe the method used to incorporate safety as a prioritization factor and how safety is included in the project design process. Recommended projects could include a description of the nexus to safety. The plan could be all-encompassing and include project recommendations for all modes (bike, pedestrian, roadway, trails, transit, Complete Streets). Include FHWA Safety Countermeasures.
Comprehensive Trails Plan	Include safety as a prioritization measure and communicate safety benefit(s) of proposed projects.
Douglas County Safe Routes to School Action Plan	No update required.
Regional Transportation Plans	No update required. Continue to coordinate with metropolitan planning organization staff on regional planning efforts for safety improvements in Douglas County and participate in project solicitation process during Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) updates.
Regional Vision Zero Plans/ Local Road Safety Plans	No update required. Douglas County participated on Technical Advisory Committee in development of plans, which also align with RTPs. Continue to participate in regional plan updates and coordinate with agency partners.

6.2 Implementation Plan

Monitoring Progress

Douglas County participates in the ongoing MPO safety performance reporting through CAMPO and TRPA. NDOT maintains a dashboard of traffic fatalities by county in Nevada, which is available at https://zerofatalitiesnv.com/nevadacrashdata/ and illustrated in Figure 12.

Figure 12: NDOT Traffic Safety Dashboard



The Douglas County Public Works Department tracks the implementation and completion of roadway improvements. The Douglas County Finance Division administers state and federal grants and manages compliance with grant reporting requirements.

Potential Funding Sources

Transportation funding available to Douglas County is limited. However, local officials partner with area MPOs and NDOT to maximize the effectiveness of these limited resources. Douglas County also seeks to utilize competitive federal funds. Potential funding sources for safety improvements are summarized below.

Federal Funding

The following federal formula funds are available through the MPO process in the CAMPO and TRPA planning areas. To be eligible for these funds, projects must be included in the RTP and Transportation Improvement Program/STIP.

- **Surface Transportation Block Grants (STBGs)** – Flexible funding that may be used on a variety of project types, including federal, state, and regional roads, bridges, planning, nonmotorized transportation, and transit facilities. A portion of STBG funds is distributed through CAMPO and TRPA in their respective planning areas.
- **Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP)** – Funds are for a variety of alternative transportation projects, such as transportation safety, bicycle or pedestrian improvements, and SRTS programs. The MPOs receive an allocation that is awarded to local projects through a competitive process.

Additional federal funding sources are available through NDOT. These funds are prioritized through the One Nevada process, and projects must be included in the STIP to receive funding:

- **STBG** – This portion of STBG funds is used statewide by NDOT and prioritized through the One Nevada process.
- **TAP** –NDOT receives an allocation that is awarded to local projects through a competitive process.

- **Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)** – Funds are to improve highway safety on all public roads through a systematic and strategic approach.
- **Federal Lands Access Program (FLAP)** – Funds used on road and alternative transportation projects that enhance or provide access to federal lands. NDOT facilitates a competitive call for projects for FLAP funds in partnership with FHWA.
- **National Highway Performance Program (NHPP)** – Funds primarily support the condition and performance of roads on the National Highway System.

Other federal funding programs have independent competitive award processes through FHWA or USDOT:

- **SS4A** – The Bipartisan Infrastructure Law established the new SS4A discretionary grant program, with $5 billion in appropriated funds over 5 years, 2022 through 2026. The SS4A program funds regional, local, and Tribal initiatives through grants to prevent roadway deaths and serious injuries. Projects must be included in a safety action plan.
- **Better Utilizing Investments to Leverage Development (BUILD)** – BUILD is a discretionary grant program that funds both capital and planning projects. In addition to road, bridge, and public transportation projects, the grant funds nonmotorized, transit oriented development, and mobility on demand projects. Merit criteria focus on improvements to safety, quality of life, mobility and community connectivity, economic competitiveness and opportunity, state of good repair, partnership and collaboration, and innovation.

State Funding

NDOT uses the State Highway Fund to match federal formula or grant funding in support of projects on state-owned roadways. State funding sources include fuel taxes, vehicle registration fees, motor carrier fees, and driver license fees. Most of the state funding is applicable only to road and highway projects. NDOT also funds and implements projects on state and U.S. highways with state funds.

Local Funding

Local transportation funds are very limited, and securing local funds to match federal grants is an ongoing challenge. The following sources are eligible for use on new construction, reconstruction, overlays, and pavement preservation on regional roads:

- **Douglas County Gas Tax** – This is at the maximum of 9 cents per gallon of gasoline. The county increased the gas tax to the maximum allowable, which went into effect on February 1, 2016.
- **Carson Valley Room Tax** – This is a 1% room tax on hotels in the Carson Valley.
- **Property Tax.**
- **Residential Construction Tax** – This is a $500-per-unit tax for residential construction.
- **Commercial Construction Tax** – This is a tax of 50 cents per square foot on commercial construction.

Long-Term Engagement and Advisory Committee

As described in Section 4.3, Douglas County established a PMT to develop, monitor, and lead implementation of this plan. The PMT includes representatives from Douglas County Public Works, Douglas County Finance Division, Town of Gardnerville, and Town of Minden. This group invites participation from other local and regional representatives as appropriate. The PMT meets monthly.

The Douglas County Board of Commissioners is the decision-making body for the county. They support the elimination of traffic fatalities and serious injuries in the county. The County Commission allocates funding for local road improvements.

Appendix A

Literature Review






DOUGLAS COUNTY

SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Literature Review

September 2025

Contents

1. Introduction 1

2. Current Plans and Projects 2
   - **2.1** Douglas County Plans 2
   - **2.2** Regional Plans 4
   - **2.3** NDOT Plans 6

3. Federal Guidance and Tools 7

4. Comparative Travel Mode Safety and Crash Statistics 10

5. Common Pedestrian and Bicycle Protection Measures 11

6. Societal Cost of Motor Vehicle Crashes and Fatalities 12

7. Academic Studies 14

8. National Best Practices 14

Figures

Figure 1: Speed and Impact on Pedestrians 11

Tables

Table 1: Crash Cost Assumptions per Event 13

Table 2: Recommended Monetized Value(s) 13

Attachments

Attachment A: US 395 Southern Sierra Corridor Recommendations

1. Introduction

This Douglas County Safe Streets for All Plan is intended to be a community-driven action plan that leverages new data sources to prioritize equitable mobility projects that utilize cost-effective, impactful strategies to progress the goal of ZERO traffic deaths annually in Douglas County.

The literature review provides an overview of national and local academic safety studies, reports, and initiatives related to safety. The following summaries note metrics, methodologies, outcomes, best practices, and/or recommendations that may be relevant to incorporate in the Douglas County Safe Streets for All Plan. This study includes summaries of regional planning documents, as Douglas County includes areas that are located in the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA) and Carson Area Metropolitan Planning Agency (CAMPO) planning areas. The Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) has also developed multiple plans related to Douglas County. A summary of the items reviewed and findings are organized as follows:

- Current plans and projects.
- Federal guidance and tools.
- Comparative travel mode safety and crash statistics.
- Common pedestrian and bicycle protection measures.
- Societal cost of motor vehicle crashes and fatalities.
- Academic studies.
- National best practices.

The intent of this plan is to create a countywide safety plan using the Safe Systems Approach, which has been embraced by the transportation community as an effective way to address and mitigate the risks inherent in our transportation system. It works by building and reinforcing multiple layers of protection to both prevent crashes from happening and minimize the harm caused to those involved when crashes do occur. It is a holistic and comprehensive approach that provides a guiding framework to make places safer for people.

The Safe System Approach incorporates the following principles:

- Death and serious injuries are unacceptable.
- Humans make mistakes.
- Humans are vulnerable.
- Responsibility is shared.
- Safety is proactive.
- Redundancy is crucial.


The study will address all aspects of safety through the following Safe System elements: safe road users, safe vehicles, safe speeds, safe roads, and postcrash care. Together, these elements create a holistic approach with layers of protection for all road users. The plan will utilize this approach and address all five elements, with particular focus and attention on areas where Douglas County can effectuate the most change for decreasing traffic fatalities.

2. Current Plans and Projects

The current plans and projects from state, regional, and local jurisdictions were reviewed to incorporate the recommended projects into the action plan.

2.1 Douglas County Plans

2020 Master Plan




The 2020 Master Plan was developed between 2016 and 2020 and included extensive community input. The plan is organized around five distinct regions in the county: Carson Valley, Pinenut, Sierra, Tahoe Basin, and Topaz. It also includes individual community plans, reflecting the unique characteristics of communities across the county. Two Master Plan goals relate to transportation:

- Provide and maintain an integrated transportation system for the safe, efficient movement of people and goods throughout Douglas County.
- Support programs aimed at strengthening the accessible labor pool, such as attainable housing, recreational opportunities, transportation alternatives, and higher/ continued education.

The plan also calls for coordination with NDOT to ensure that modifications to SR 206, Genoa Lane, and Jacks Valley Road are compatible with the existing character of Genoa and increase the safety or desirability of pedestrian traffic.

The plan also addresses emergency evacuation routes. It is noted that during flood events, many of the east-west arterials between Foothill/Jacks Valley Road and US 395 are impassable due to floodwaters. Some of the designated evacuation routes, such as East Valley Road, are not improved transportation corridors. Tahoe Douglas Fire annually provides training to residents for the three primary evacuation routes: Spooner Summit/US 50 to the east, Kingsbury Grade/SR 207, and US 50 to the west. The evacuation route is dependent on the source of the emergency.



2017 Douglas County Transportation Plan

The Douglas County Transportation Master Plan (TMP) was adopted in 2019 and addresses current and planned transportation challenges through 2040. The TMP identifies policies and

strategies to guide decision-making around eight transportation elements that make up the chapters of the TMP. Following are the goals of the TMP:

- Provide and maintain an integrated transportation system for the safe, efficient movement of people and goods throughout Douglas County.
- Provide appropriate transportation facilities to ensure a high quality of life for Douglas County residents.

The plan highlights the traffic safety concerns on US 395 through Gardnerville and Minden, including bicycle and pedestrian crossings. Policies in the plan related to safety are listed below:

- Identify high-accident locations and take appropriate actions to ensure continued public health and safety.
- Protect public safety by removing snow and other hazards from roadways.
- Implement selected near-term traffic safety and traffic operations improvements from 2017 to 2020.

Complete Street Policy Statement

The Douglas County Regional Transportation Commission adopted a Complete Street Policy Statement in 2016. The policy states that Complete Streets are designed, operated, maintained, and enforced to provide safety and accessibility for all roadway users, including pedestrians, bicyclists, motorists, and transit users of all ages and abilities. The policy applies to all Douglas County-funded projects and privately funded projects or developments in the county. It directs Douglas County staff to work with towns, the state, and tribal entities to encourage Complete Streets implementation. The policy establishes the following expectations:

- All project proposals should strive to make the existing street network safer and better for all motorists, transit users, bicyclists, and pedestrians.
- Route planning, design, connectivity, operations, maintenance, and the enforcement of street safety are all important for travelers of all agencies and abilities, regardless of mode.
- All projects requiring approval from Douglas County (public and private), including all new development proposals, infrastructure, and roadway maintenance projects, should be designed as Complete Streets.
- Provide context-sensitive, aesthetic improvements for new and existing roadways that best meet the needs and desires of the community.
- Site-contextual design and engineering of Complete Streets solutions should be consistent with current best practices and from nationally recognized standards.


Five-Year Transportation Plan

The purpose of the 5-Year Transportation Plan for Douglas County is to provide a safe and efficient multimodal transportation system for vehicular, bicycle, pedestrian, and transit modes. It is updated annually and identifies both funded and unfunded needs. The plan identifies safety improvements as the first of seven program types. The Safety Improvements Program consists of identifying locations with a high number of crashes and making low-cost improvements, such as new lighting, LED signing systems, increased speed limit signs, and guardrail and other safety mitigation measures.

Comprehensive Trails Plan

The 2023 Douglas County Comprehensive Trails Plan establishes the vision for a trail system that connects Douglas County residents and visitors with each other and their natural environment. The plan is the guiding document for recreational trails and multiuse pathways. There are 989 miles of existing and 280 miles of proposed pathways and trails. The first goal of the plan is to:

> *Develop a sustainable, safe, equitable, and well-connected trail system that provides recreational and transportation opportunities for all trail users. Priority should be given to trails that close gaps in the trail network and provide the highest public benefit in overall connectivity to neighborhoods, public services, and recreation opportunities.*



The plan includes design guidelines for facility types, including bike lanes and shared-use paths. Project recommendations for on- and off-street facilities are also provided.

Safe Routes to School Action Plan

The Douglas County Safe Routes to School Action Plan focuses on making walking and biking safer and more accessible to students in their region. This plan combines data and school-site observance with public input to deliver a wide range of proposed improvements. Projects span from infrastructure upgrades, like sidewalks and emergency routes, to maintenance of roads, policy updates, and collaboration with law enforcement and emergency response. Recommended safety improvements include new or improved sidewalk and pedestrian facilities, traffic-calming measures and lane treatments, and operational changes. Recognizing the unique needs of each school, the plan includes roughly 100 tailored recommendations.

2.2 Regional Plans

CAMPO Regional Transportation Plan

CAMPO's 2050 Regional Transportation Plan is currently being updated to prioritize infrastructure improvements, safety enhancements, mobility efficiency, asset stewardship, and equitable transit access. The RTP focuses on reducing crashes, increasing multimodal safety, and supporting safe infrastructure for all. It also highlights Safe Routes to School, emergency access, transit stop and access improvements, speed management and traffic calming, and pavement asset management.

CAMPO Local Road Safety Plan

The CAMPO Local Road Safety Plan (LRSP) was developed in partnership with NDOT and provides a locally tailored framework for identifying, analyzing, and prioritizing roadway safety improvements. A local road, for the purposes of the LRSP, is defined as any publicly owned road in the CAMPO area (with the exception of I-580) and includes all functionally classified arterial, collector, and local roads owned by NDOT, Carson City, Lyon County, and Douglas County within the CAMPO boundary. The intersection of US-395 and Topsy Lane is identified as a priority intersection in the LRSP, and

recommended improvements include enhancements to the traffic signals and timing, signage and striping, pavement rehabilitation, and intersection design.

TRPA Regional Transportation Plan

TRPA's Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Connections 2050, provides an inclusive multimodal plan that connects land use decisions with transportation improvements and aims to reduce car dependency by promoting transit, improving walking and biking infrastructure, and incorporating technology-enabled mobility solutions. This plan balances connectivity needs with environmental preservation and climate resilience. The RTP strongly emphasizes safety under the "Vision Zero" framework, recommending improvements on two major corridors: US 50 (South Shore/Main Street Project) and SR 89 and SR 28 (East Shore Trail and Resort Triangle). It also focuses on bicycle and pedestrian safety, transit safety, speed management and traffic-calming measures, emergency access and evacuation routes, and safety education campaigns.

TRPA Vision Zero Plan

TRPA has adopted a Vision Zero Plan aimed at eliminating all traffic-related fatal and serious-injury crashes by 2050 in the Lake Tahoe Region. Vision Zero highlights collaborative partnerships across local governments, state agencies, law enforcement, and the public. It aligns with six foundational principles:

1. Traffic fatalities and severe injuries are preventable.
2. Human life and health are prioritized.
3. Human error is inevitable; systems should be forgiving.
4. Focus on system-level interventions, not just behavior change.
5. Speed is a critical determinant of crash severity.
6. Safety relies on both reactive and proactive measures.

Vision Zero is a comprehensive, data-driven plan that emphasizes infrastructure design, speed management, active transportation initiatives, and collaborative partnerships to shape a safer, more equitable future. The plan includes a number of core safety recommendations, including designing safer streets by installing protected bike lanes, sidewalks, and crosswalk enhancements; creating traffic-calming measures; implementing Complete Streets designs; managing speed by lowering speed limits in critical areas, like schools, parks, and commercial centers; designing roads to encourage slower driving; aligning enforcement with policy by ensuring that law enforcement focuses on dangerous driving behaviors while incorporating equity to avoid disproportionate impacts on marginalized communities; improving crash data collection and analysis; and promoting education and public awareness.

South Lake Tahoe Vision Zero Action Plan

The South Lake Tahoe Vision Zero Plan aims to eliminate all traffic-related fatal and serious injuries by 2035. It employs a data-driven and equity-focused methodology to create a safer, more connected, and inclusive transportation system. It is focused on the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) six guiding principles while integrating five key Safe System elements: safe road users, safe vehicles, safe speeds, safe roads, and postcrash care. This plan sets a strong foundation for and commitment to road safety though a data-informed, community-driven process.

The plan includes proposed intersection improvements on US 50 and Ski Run Boulevard; sidewalk and crosswalk upgrades near Tahoe Elementary School Zone, near South Tahoe Middle School routes, and around Stateline and Heavenly Village; speed management efforts on Pioneer Trail, Johnson Boulevard, and parts of Lake Tahoe Boulevard; lighting and visibility improvements on high-crash corridors and near nightlife and public transit stops; active transportation and transit projects along Lake Tahoe Boulevard and on Barbara Avenue; and Safe Routes to School projects at Tahoe Valley Elementary, Bijou Community School, and South Tahoe Middle Schools.

2.3 NDOT Plans

One Nevada Transportation Plan

The One Nevada Transportation Plan is Nevada's statewide long-range transportation plan that serves as the framework for multimodal transportation planning and development across the state. The plan focuses on six key goal areas: enhancing safety, preserving infrastructure, optimizing mobility, transforming economies, fostering sustainability, and connecting communities. The plan is performance based, data driven, and safety focused. This plan provides a consistent, transparent foundation to better align statewide investments efficiently across multiple modes of travel, with a clear emphasis on safety.

US 395 Southern Sierra Corridor Study

NDOT led a corridor study for US 395 from E Clearview Drive in southern Carson City to the border of Nevada and California at Topaz Lake. The study defines a long-term vision for the corridor and the needs and priorities for the action plan. This study is a collaborative effort to enhance the safety and efficiency of a vital corridor in northern Nevada. Through technical analysis and public engagement, the study delivers a targeted action plan of safety improvements and corridor enhancements that will guide future projects and studies in this area. A full list of improvements is provided in Attachment A.

Nevada Freight Plan Update

The Nevada Freight Plan Update focuses on a strategic framework to enhance freight mobility with an emphasis on safety and sustainability. Priority improvements outlined in the plan include truck parking facilities, passing lanes, and freight corridor upgrades. These improvements aim to reduce fatigue driving, ease congestion, and enhance access to safe spaces for drivers. The freight plan aligns with the One Nevada Transportation Plan to ensure that freight-related projects are prioritized within broader transportation planning efforts to make more informed funding decisions.

Nevada Strategic Highway Safety Plan

The Nevada Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) is a comprehensive statewide safety plan that identifies the greatest causes of fatalities and serious injuries on Nevada roadways and provides a coordinated framework for reducing the crashes that cause fatalities and serious injuries. The SHSP establishes statewide goals and strategies focusing on the six E's of traffic safety: Equity, Engineering, Education, Enforcement, Emergency Medical Services/Emergency Response/Incident Management, and Everyone.

Nevada Speed Management Action Plan

The Speed Management Action Plan (SMAP) characterizes Nevada's speed and speeding-related safety problems and speed management issues; identifies appropriate engineering, enforcement, and educational countermeasures and strategies; and outlines actions that NDOT and partner agencies can take to implement these strategies to reduce speeding and speed-related fatal and serious-injury crashes.

NDOT Safety Management Plans

NDOT prioritizes the safety, connectivity, and mobility of all state roads across Nevada. To achieve these goals, NDOT is implementing a series of safety management plans (SMPs) statewide. These SMPs focus on addressing safety concerns in specific areas by thoroughly analyzing crash data and accessibility challenges and by incorporating input from the public and stakeholders as well as roadway engineering insights. The ultimate objective of these studies is to enhance safety, mobility, and connectivity, ensuring that pedestrians, cyclists, and motorists can safely and efficiently share the road. This process aligns with the goals outlined in the Nevada SHSP, which aims to reduce crashes and fatalities.

3. Federal Guidance and Tools

This section includes a summary of federal planning resources related to transportation safety.

National Roadway Safety Strategy

The National Roadway Safety Strategy (NRSS) is a comprehensive plan initiated by USDOT aimed at significantly reducing traffic fatalities and serious injuries on the nation's roadways. It serves as a key component of USDOT's broader goal to reach zero roadway deaths, referred to as Vision Zero. The NRSS outlines a multifaceted approach that includes safe road design, vehicle safety technologies, enhanced data collection and analysis, and increased public awareness. It emphasizes the Safe System Approach, which seeks to create redundancies in road safety measures so that if one safety layer fails, others will protect road users. The NRSS also calls for increased collaboration among federal, state, local, and tribal governments, as well as private-sector and nonprofit organizations, to achieve its ambitious safety goals.

Federal Highway Administration Vision Zero Toolkit

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Vision Zero Toolkit is a resource developed by FHWA to support communities in adopting and implementing Vision Zero strategies aimed at eliminating traffic fatalities and serious injuries. The toolkit provides guidance on a data-driven approach to traffic safety, emphasizing the integration of safe system principles. It offers best practices, case studies, policy recommendations, and tools to help agencies at various levels (local, regional, and state) implement Vision Zero effectively. The toolkit also highlights the importance of collaboration among stakeholders, including transportation agencies, law enforcement, public health officials, and the community, to create safer road environments for all users.

The toolkit is organized into four sections, each representing a different phase of a successful Vision Zero initiative:

- **Section 1** describes how to build support for Vision Zero and establish a team of partners to lead the work.
- **Section 2** focuses on the Vision Zero Action Plan, a key element of any Vision Zero initiative.
- **Section 3** outlines actions and considerations that may be useful when implementing your Vision Zero Action Plan, emphasizing the importance and complexity of meaningful and equitable community engagement.
- **Section 4** offers ways to deepen your engagement in and understanding of Vision Zero, including a list of resources that may help guide you.

The guide is designed to be flexible, allowing users to skip to sections that best meet their needs and support their Vision Zero journey.

FHWA Safe System Roadway Design Hierarchy

The hierarchy is a tool developed by FHWA to help transportation agencies prioritize safety countermeasures in alignment with the Safe System Approach. The hierarchy consists of four tiers, arranged from most to least effective in eliminating traffic-related fatalities and serious injuries:

- **Remove Severe Conflicts** – Eliminating high-risk conditions by separating road users moving at different speeds or directions.
- **Reduce Vehicle Speeds** – Implementing design features and speed management strategies to lower vehicle speeds and reduce kinetic energy in potential crashes.
- **Manage Conflicts in Time** – Using traffic control devices to separate users in time when they must occupy the same space.
- **Increase Attentiveness and Awareness** – Alerting road users to potential conflicts so they can take appropriate action.

The hierarchy emphasizes that physical changes to the system are more effective than relying on road users to make safe decisions. The document also mentions how this hierarchy aligns with Complete Streets and FHWA's Proven Safety Countermeasures and provides examples of countermeasures for each tier. The ultimate goal is to achieve zero deaths and serious injuries on the nation's roadways.

Model Inventory of Roadway Elements

The Model Inventory of Roadway Elements (MIRE) is a comprehensive database framework developed by FHWA to improve roadway safety analysis and decision-making. MIRE provides a standardized set of roadway and traffic data elements that can be collected and maintained to support various safety analysis tools and methods.

FHWA Proven Safety Countermeasures

The FHWA Proven Safety Countermeasures are a set of strategies and tools identified by FHWA that have been proven through research and real-world application to effectively reduce serious injuries and fatalities on the nation's roadways. These countermeasures are intended to guide transportation professionals and decision-makers in implementing safety improvements that are both effective and cost-efficient.

Crash Modification Factors Clearinghouse

The Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is a comprehensive online resource that provides information about the effectiveness of various road safety measures. It offers a database of CMFs, which are numerical values used to estimate the expected change in crash frequency after implementing a specific road safety treatment or countermeasure. The CMF Clearinghouse allows users to search for CMFs based on different criteria such as road type, crash type, and countermeasure. Each CMF in the database is associated with a quality rating, helping users determine the reliability of the data. The CMF Clearinghouse is a valuable tool for transportation engineers, planners, and safety professionals to identify effective strategies for reducing crashes and improving road safety.

Safe System Alignment Framework (Project Based and Policy Based)

FHWA has developed two robust frameworks designed to measure and enhance alignment with the Safe System Approach, a strategy focused on creating safer roadways by addressing all elements of the transportation system. These frameworks provide a structured methodology to assess both specific projects and broader policies, ensuring that safety considerations, including equity, are thoroughly integrated into decision-making processes:

- The **Safe System Project-Based Alignment Framework** is specifically designed to evaluate roadway locations and potential improvements through the lens of the Safe System Approach. This framework allows for a detailed comparison of alternatives for specific locations, helping to determine which options offer the greatest safety benefits. It includes a quantitative scoring matrix that evaluates key elements, such as safe roads and safe speeds, considering factors like crash exposure, crash likelihood, and crash severity for both vulnerable road users and motor vehicle occupants. Additionally, it incorporates qualitative safety prompts to capture other Safe Systems Approach elements—safe road users, safe vehicles, post-crash care—as well as equity considerations. This comprehensive approach ensures that all relevant factors are considered when assessing existing conditions and evaluating project alternatives, ultimately guiding decision-makers toward the best solutions.
- The **Safe System Policy-Based Alignment Framework** provides a tool for assessing policies, plans, processes, programs, and documents through the Safe System lens. It is built around core principles, such as the unacceptability of deaths and serious injuries, human fallibility and vulnerability, shared responsibility, proactive safety measures, redundancy, and equity. This framework allows agencies to benchmark and track progress in aligning their practices with Safe Systems Approach principles, identify gaps in existing policies, and generate strategies to improve alignment. The assessment process can be undertaken individually or as a group and follows a five-phase adoption process: initiation, development, execution, evaluation, and integration. This structured approach not only raises awareness but also drives meaningful changes in agency business practices, fostering a safer and more equitable transportation system.

National Public Transportation Safety Plan

The National Public Transportation Safety Plan, updated in April 2024, outlines the Federal Transit Administration's strategic approach to managing safety risks in the nation's public transportation systems. This plan builds on the previous 2017 version and aligns with new requirements from the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act). The key components of the Plan are:

- **Safety as a Top Priority** – The plan reinforces USDOT's goal of zero transportation-related fatalities and serious injuries. It highlights the adoption of safety management systems to enhance safety across public transportation modes.
- **Safety Performance Criteria** – The plan introduces safety performance measures and targets that must be adhered to by agencies subject to the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan regulation. It emphasizes a performance-based approach to reduce injuries and fatalities.
- **Voluntary Minimum Safety Standards** – The plan includes voluntary minimum safety standards and recommended practices for transit systems, addressing various aspects like transit worker safety, pedestrian and bicyclist safety, rail grade crossing safety, and bus transit safety. The plan also covers precautionary and reactive actions necessary to ensure public and personnel safety during emergencies, developed in consultation with the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

4. Comparative Travel Mode Safety and Crash Statistics

Crash statistics are collected both locally, by police departments, and nationally. Facts on crash data can be found at the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) website: https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/#!/. A summary of key findings is provided below.

Pedestrians

- The 60-64 age group had the highest percentage of pedestrian traffic fatalities, at 23%.
- Sixteen percent of pedestrian fatalities occurred at intersections.
- The most (26%) fatalities occurred from 6 a.m. to 8:59 p.m., followed by 25% occurring from 9:00 to 11:59 p.m.

Bicyclists

- In 2022, there were 1,105 bicyclist fatalities, accounting for 2.6% of all traffic fatalities.
- In 2022, an estimated 46,195 bicyclists were injured, an 11% increase from 41,615 in 2021.

Statistical trends are also reviewed in vision plans and apart from traffic safety review. In Nevada, from 2018 to 2022, the number of fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and the number of serious traffic injuries per 100 million VMT have been on an increasing trend since 2019. The number of nonmotorized fatalities and serious injuries per 100 million VMT has been increasing since 2020.

There is also an emphasis to review the data in different perspectives to understand the needs and opportunities of a community. Most safety action plans review the statistics by severity, location, time of day, and cause to determine the main areas with the deadliest rates and as well as the least cost and time for improvements. NHTSA's three major behavioral focus areas are speeding involvement, alcohol-impaired driving, and restraint use (seat belt or child restraint). These factors are analyzed to see the need for policing enforcement and culture shift on safety, which can be seen in some safety action plans. The main reviewed factor is speed involvement because of the increased severity of crashes for both parties, especially pedestrian and bicyclist. The SMAP was created solely to combat speed issues in Nevada because of the impact that speed has on pedestrian and nonmotorized road users (summarized in Figure 1).

Figure 1: Speed and Impact on Pedestrians



5. Common Pedestrian and Bicycle Protection Measures

Safety for people walking and bicycling is important for Douglas County. Safety action plans incorporate safety strategies for these forms of transit, including vertical barriers separating bike lanes, high-visibility markings on the transportation network, low-cost treatments to improve roadway safety, no turn on red, no U-turns in school zones, using cameras as an enforcement technique at intersections, and more. Additional strategies include the following:

- Temporary demonstration of projects of improvements.
- Incorporation of information on cyclist and pedestrian safety into driver education courses.
- Implementation of programs to teach safe walking and biking practices, particularly for children and new cyclists.
- Campaigns to educate drivers about sharing the road safely with cyclists and pedestrians.

Examples of supportive projects and strategies used by other agencies in the west include the following:

- Establishment of a process to conduct regular bicycle and pedestrian counts and identify count locations.
- Incorporation of pedestrian and bicyclist protection in local and regional jurisdiction plans.
- Incorporation of a pedestrian countdown timer, modification of signal phasing (implement a leading pedestrian interval), implementation of systemic signing and visibility improvements at signalized intersection, and installation of curb ramps.
- Promotion of land use changes for walkable street design.

The November 2023 NDOT Vulnerable Road User (VRU) Safety Assessment emphasizes strategies and programs to reduce VRU high-risk areas:

- **Installing** sidewalks, bike lanes, and traffic-calming measures. Sidewalks and bike lanes provide a dedicated space for VRUs to travel.
- **Incorporating traffic-calming measures** to help slow down traffic and make it safer for VRUs to cross the street.
- **Protecting bike lanes** by separating them from traffic by a physical barrier, such as a curb or a barrier made of plastic or metal bollards. This helps protect cyclists from traffic and make them more visible to other road users.
- **Incorporating low-speed zones**, areas where the speed limit is reduced to 20 mph or less. This helps slow down traffic and make it safer for VRUs to cross the street or walk along the side of the road.
- **Incorporating shared-space road design**, which eliminates traditional traffic controls, such as stop signs and traffic lights. This forces drivers and VRUs to share the road and be more aware of each other.
- **Raising awareness** of the dangers faced by VRUs.
- **Providing education programs** that can teach VRUs about the importance of following the rules of the road and being aware of their surroundings.

6. Societal Cost of Motor Vehicle Crashes and Fatalities

The loss of life and health resulting from vehicle crashes is devastating for families and communities. The further costs to communities resulting from vehicle crashes are far reaching and can include medical costs, lost productivity, legal and court costs, emergency service costs, insurance administration costs, congestion costs, property damage, and workplace losses. Analysis of these costs is used to develop a comprehensive cost per crash.

One of the earliest sources for the real societal cost of motor vehicle crashes and fatalities is the Highway Safety Manual (HSM) crash cost estimates, which base the costs on the 2005 Crash Cost Estimates by Maximum Police-Reported Injury Severity Within Selected Crash Geometries. The estimates are updated based on inflation and economic changes, such as income and wage growth.

Table 1 shows crash cost assumption being used by NDOT, as reflected in the 2024 Performance Management Report, which is the HSM crash cost estimates updated to 2022 costs using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Price Index data (NDOT, 2023). The KABCO scale is used to identify the level of injury to people as a result of vehicle crashes.

Table 1: Crash Cost Assumptions per Event

Crash Severity	Crash Cost per Event
Fatal Injury (K)	$7,587,157
Suspected Serious Injury (A)	$399,795
Suspected Minor Injury (B)	$145,991
Possible/Claimed Injury (C)	$82,009
Property Damage Only (O)	$13,197

Source: Highway Safety Manual's crash cost estimates converted into 2022 dollars using Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Price Index data.

Table 2: Recommended Monetized Value(s)

KABCO	Monetized Value (2022 Dollars)
O – No Injury	$5,300
C – Possible Injury	$118,000
B – Non-incapacitating	$246,900
A – Incapacitating	$1,254,700
K – Killed	$13,200,000
U – Injured (Severity Unknown)	$229,800
Number of Accidents Reported (Unknown if Injured)	$162,600

It is recommended to adjust the national crash cost to reflect state-specific cost and injury levels when possible. This is because of the differences in cost of living, income, and medical costs, as well as better distribution of the factors necessary to develop the cost estimates. The crash costs for highway safety analysis provides steps to develop national KABCO crash unit costs and the state-specific adjustment.

The process to convert national crash costs is outlined below:

1. Translate person-injury unit costs from the Maximum Abbreviated Injury Scale to KABCO.
2. Calculate injury-to-crash and vehicle-to-crash ratios for each severity.
3. Convert KABCO person-injury unit costs and vehicle units costs to KABCO crash unit costs.
4. Update KABCO crash unit costs to current year.
5. Adjust national person-injury unit costs to state crash unit costs.

In 2019, the estimated economic cost for crashes in Nevada was $2.6 million (NHSTA, 2023). Statistics like these, which focus on the annual economic costs, are used to compare the costs with the amount needed for roadway improvement, including safety.

7. Academic Studies

The Worldwide Approach to Vision Zero by April Mendoza describes strategies to reduce or control speed, design safety features, and develop a safety culture. The central ideas of Vision Zero mention are summarized as follows:

- Traffic injuries are preventable, not accidental.
- Experts from all sectors, including road users, share responsibility for road safety.
- Transportation systems should be designed and accommodating to common road users' errors to prevent death or major injury.
- Humans should not be subjected to kinetic forces that can fatally harm or seriously injure.
- Traffic safety is a social equity issue.
- Assistance from high-income to low-income counties should adjust for local conditions and needs.
- Prevention strategies should take into consideration local practices and knowledge, not just professional guidelines.

It highlighted traffic strategies used in New York City Vison Zero, as summarized below.

- **Leading Pedestrian Interval** – The signal to walk is given 6 to 10 seconds prior to parallel movement of traffic.
- **Barnes Dance (Exclusive Pedestrian Phase)** – Pedestrians are allowed to cross in any direction while all traffic is stopped.
- **Split Phase** – The signal to walk is given while vehicles are prevented to turn through a crosswalk; a dedicated turn lane is required.
- **Split Pedestrian Crossings** – The signal to walk allows pedestrians to walk across a service road and then wait on the median and complete crossing when traffic in the main roadway is stopped.
- **Recessed Crosswalks** – The crosswalk is moved 60 to 80 feet, pedestrian separators are built, and a separate signal coordinates with the intersection signal.
- **Arterial Timing Patterns** – Signal cycle lengths are adjusted based on overall traffic flow patterns.

8. National Best Practices

A review of safety action plan best practices was conducted, and the best practices offered below are in consideration of the development of a Douglas County safety action plan.

Stakeholder and Community Engagement

Community engagement is an essential component of a successful safety action plan and will provide context for other Douglas County plans, policies, and projects. A best practice is to establish a committee with community and jurisdictional staff to collaborate on needs and develop a project

prioritization process. Outreach throughout the development of the plan will encourage a broad range of perspectives that cannot be captured through traditional data-collection methods.

Expedite and Enhance Crash Data Collection and Reporting

Coordination between agencies is critical in ensuring access to consistent crash data with the appropriate attributes to inform decision-making and project prioritization. Standards should be established across all law enforcement and first responders, such as the Douglas County Sheriff's Office and Nevada State Police, at the point of collection to minimize postprocessing and reporting efforts from agencies such as NDOT. Following are some of the best practices identified in the literature review:

- **Collaborative Data Sharing** – A successful multistakeholder approach can leverage resources and improve data accuracy and timeliness. Building a network with partner agencies could significantly enhance crash data quality and integration.
- **Standardization Across Jurisdictions** – Adopt a standardized data format and reporting criteria across all partner agencies. This can facilitate easier data integration and analysis.
- **Enhanced Data Quality** – Investment in technologies that improve the accuracy and timeliness of crash data entry, such as automated reporting tools or mobile apps for first responders, will result in cost savings and efficiency in reporting.
- **Real-Time and Historical Data Integration** – Combining real-time data systems with historical databases allows for a more comprehensive analysis. This dual approach can improve traffic safety by providing both immediate insights and long-term trends.
- **Advanced Data Platforms and Specialized Analysis Tools** – The integration of platforms underscores the importance of both standardized datasets and advanced analysis capabilities. Many tools allow for real-time data visualization, detailed crash analysis, and customizable reporting, which together can significantly improve agency collaboration, data consistency, and traffic safety planning. Agency partners could consider adopting this approach to streamline data collection, enhance safety analysis, and support informed decision-making.
- **Public Access and Transparency** – Development of public-facing dashboards, which allow stakeholders and the public to view and interact with crash data, fosters accountability and community engagement.

By integrating these concepts, Douglas County can significantly improve crash data collection and reporting systems, leading to better safety outcomes.

Consolidation of Policies and Documents Addressing Transportation Safety

Many safety action plans recommend incorporation of traffic safety within agency polices and procedures focusing on supporting countermeasures for identified corridors or projects. Following are examples of these policies:

- Incorporation of land use and development policies.
- Support of legislative efforts related to setting and enforcing speed limits.

- Development of a policy for mobility devices and new or emerging alternative transportation modes and technologies.
- Incorporation of identified projects for potential funding opportunities.

Douglas County's policies and procedures primarily address administrative and human resources matters. However, there are some documents that directly address or impact transportation safety and should be reviewed for relevant elements that would benefit from an update or incorporation into the development of a safety action plan. The most relevant documents include the following:

- Complete Streets Policy Statement
- 5-Year Transportation Plan
- Capital Improvement Program

Prioritize Capital Projects

Safety action plans prioritize projects based on a variety of criteria. Typical factors consider vulnerable populations (those without access to a vehicle, those with impaired mobility), vulnerable roadway users (pedestrians, bicyclists, motorcyclists), and proximity to critical services and high-demand locations (schools, medical facilities, groceries). A prioritization framework could be developed to address solutions that meet the greatest safety needs and align with a funding structure or strategy for implementation.

Implementation

Successful safety action plans contain a clearly identified vision and goals that guide the actions and initiatives for implementation. These actions usually include recommendations on policies and initiatives, beneficial partnerships, potential funding sources, education campaigns, and training for staff and partners.

Report Structure

Safety action plans typically prioritize transparency and accessibility, ensuring that all stakeholders and the public can easily understand and engage with the safety initiatives. Public reporting should include clear, concise summaries of progress, challenges, and outcomes that are presented through various channels, such as online platforms, community meetings, and printed materials. Plan content should detail strategies, goals, and metrics alongside an actionable road map for implementation and evaluation. It is crucial to incorporate feedback mechanisms that allow community members to provide input and track the impact of the plan. Transparency will help build trust and support for safety goals, fostering a collaborative effort toward achieving zero traffic fatalities and serious injuries.

Organizational Structures and Decision-Making Structures

The recommendations below were developed from insights gathered in the literature review and identify potential measures for consideration to facilitate the decision-making process:

- Define clear roles and responsibilities for all stakeholders involved in safety planning and the decision-making process. Consider establishing a transportation safety committee to

regularly address issues in the county and oversee the implementation of the safety action plan, using the committee's recommendations to guide project selection and prioritization.

- Establish accountability mechanisms, such as audits, performance reviews, and safety metrics, to ensure compliance with federal safety protocols and procedures.
- Utilize tools to streamline the monitoring and management of safety tasks, communication, and decision-making processes.
- Employ data analytics to identify trends, predict potential risks, and support decision-making.
- Promote local collaboration to enhance data collection and coordination with law enforcement, business owners, and the public. Consider creating a crash data team with representatives from relevant state and local agencies.
- Offer regular training programs to ensure that all stakeholders and decision-makers understand their role in the implementation of safety policies and foster continuous improvement by integrating feedback and lessons learned into the safety structure.
- Define a clear project-selection process to prioritize projects that address the greatest transportation safety needs.
- Identify resource gaps or duplication of efforts by traffic safety professionals across state and local agencies, considering how best to integrate safety efforts into broader transportation and community planning.
- Recognize that there is no perfect decision-making structure that can be directly adopted from other regions; instead, one must be uniquely developed based on input from local stakeholders and the public that addresses the specific needs of Douglas County.

Implementation of Safety-Related Policies

Safety should be considered in the project selection and prioritization process. This should be designed to evaluate and prioritize projects based on both short-term and long-term safety needs of Douglas County and its agency partners. It must address specific safety challenges and priorities in each area while aligning with broader Douglas County goals. Additionally, the selection process should incorporate an assessment of available funding sources for each project to ensure financial feasibility and effective resource allocation.

The criteria can also include an evaluation of how the absence of proposed safety improvements could impact progress toward achieving safety goals. This involves analyzing potential risks and consequences of inaction, such as increased crash rates or delays in meeting safety objectives. By integrating these considerations, the project selection process can ensure that safety initiatives are strategically positioned to address both immediate and future needs, ultimately supporting the overall vision of reducing traffic fatalities and serious injuries in Douglas County.

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Appendix B

US 395 Southern Sierra Corridor Study Recommendations

In addition to identifying needs based on public and stakeholder feedback, traffic operations, and safety concerns, previous NDOT-led studies (e.g., 2007 Southern Sierra Feasibility Study, Scoping Reports, Preservation Reports, Roadside Safety Audits, and Local Road Safety Plans) as well as the CAMPO Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Douglas County's Transportation Master Plan, Bike Plan, and Safe Routes to School Action Plan were reviewed and analyzed.

Completed Projects


Approximately 18 recommended projects have been completed. Completed project details are included in the table below and the figure on the following page

Upcoming Projects

Ongoing and upcoming NDOT projects include the following (NDOT ESTIP link: estip.nevadadot.com):

- US 395 from Waterloo Lane to First Street (Phases 1 & 2) (STIP DO20170029 and DO20230001)
  - Phase 1 (underway) - pavement rehabilitation with hydraulic and lighting improvements
  - Phase 2 (projected 2027) - will enhance sidewalks and accessibility
- US 395 from the California/Nevada state line to three miles north of Leviathan Mine Road
  - Minor pavement rehabilitation (underway) with reconstruction in select locations
- US 395 from First Street to the Douglas/Carson City county line (Phases 1 & 2) (STIP XS20230002 and XS20230003)
  - Pavement rehabilitation with accessibility and drainage improvements
  - Phase 1 (2025 construction) - pavement rehabilitation and hydraulic improvements
  - Phase 2 (projected 2027) - will include hydraulic, signage, lighting, and accessibility improvements not included in Phase 1 (includes SR 759/Airport Road)


Letter	Completed Projects
A	Median barrier from Mica Drive to Muller Lane
B	Old Clear Creek Road - Northbound deceleration lane
C	Johnson Lane widening and northbound right turn acceleration lane
D	Access Management Assessment for traffic entry and exit points US 395
E	Install third lane on northbound US 395 between Jacks Valley Road and Old Clear Creek Road
F	Install half traffic signal at Stephanie Way
G	US 395/SR 88 Intersection - Construct a new roadway connecting to Ironwood Drive and Monte Vista Avenue
H	Study intersection improvements for US 395/Muller Pkwy/Riverview Drive
I	South Sunridge Drive - Northbound decelerations lanes
J	Recreational Vehicle Park - Northbound decelerations lane
K	Genoa Lane - Lengthen southbound deceleration lane
L	Genoa Lane - Northbound left turn lane storage increase
M	SR 88/Roundabout - Install slower right turn lane at SR 88 intersection
N	Airport Road - Extend northbound acceleration lane and offset northbound right turn lane
O	US 395 corridor from future US 50 interchange - Construct an additional lane in each direction to North Sunridge
P	Johnson Lane - Flashing Warning Lights to alert braking
Q	Stephanie Lane - Flashing Warning Lights to alert braking
R	Muller Parkway Extension - Southern intersection and Pinenut Road roundabout complete, design for extension is complete


Carson City
Clearview Drive
B
580
50
Old Clear Creek Road
Topsy Lane
O
E
Jacks Valley Road
Sunridge Drive
Mica Drive
A
J
I
Q
Stephanie Way
F
P
Johnson Lane
C
D
395
L
Airport Road
N
Genoa Lane
K
Muller Lane
Ironwood Drive
G
Buckeye Road
Lucerne Street
Minden
M
State Route 88
Gardnerville
Toler Avenue
Waterloo Lane
Muller Parkway
R
Centerville Lane
H
Pinenut Road
Palomino Lane

Corridor Needs

More than 90 new needs were identified for the US 395 corridor. These improvements have a construction value of over a billion dollars. The following pages detail these improvements in segments and provide visual components to demonstrate where these needs are located along the corridor. For each identified need, the project team performed a qualitative assessment to determine the implementation timeline, rough order of magnitude cost, and priority ranking.


Segment 1 - Clearview Drive to Topsy Lane



Segment 1 - Clearview Drive to Topsy Lane

No.	Recommendation	Implementation	Cost	Priority
1.1	Construct an underpass interchange on US 50 west of the US 395/US 50 interchange; widen and extend Vista Grande Blvd. to Jacks Valley Rd.	■	$$$	▲
1.2	US 395/Topsy Ln. Intersection - Construct a southbound acceleration lane	■	$$	◆
1.3	US 395/Topsy Ln. Intersection - Improve large radius soundbound right turn; add striping to clarify no free right	■	$	◆
	US 395/Topsy Ln. Intersection - Install retroreflective backplates on each signal head	■	$	▼
	US 395/Topsy Ln. Intersection - Coordinate signal timing with Jacks Valley/Clear Creek intersection	■	$	▼
	US 395/Topsy Ln. Intersection - Evaluate curb ramps per Public Right-of-Way Accessibility Guidelines (PROWAG) guidelines	■	$	▼
	US 395/Topsy Ln. Intersection - Redesign right turn lanes to improve sight visibility, reduce speeds for right turning vehicles, and shorten the pedestrian crossing distance	■	$$	◆
	US 395/Topsy Ln. Intersection - Install oversized speed limit signage	■	$	▼
	US 395/Topsy Ln. Intersection - Install advance street name signs	■	$	▼
	US 395/Topsy Ln. Intersection - Rehabilitate pavement and restripe crosswalks and lane lines	■	$$	▼
	US 395/Topsy Ln. Intersection (East Leg) - Install bike lane signage on Topsy Lane	■	$	▼
	US 395/Topsy Ln. Intersection (East Leg) - Align signal heads with one centered over each lane	■	$	▼
1.4	1350 feet south of Topsy Ln. - Evaluate intersection design and modify medians to prevent vehicles from making a left out of the driveway	■	$$	◆
	US 395/Topsy Ln. Intersection (Northeast Corner) - Design compliant taper on northbound US 395 to allow for vehicles to merge	■	$$	▼
1.5	Clearview Dr. Intersection - Install new pedestrian ramps to allow for crosswalk to be perpendicular to travel lanes	■	$	▼
	Clearview Dr. Intersection - Install new pedestrian ramp that complies with PROWAG and allows for proper drainage	■	$	▼
	Clearview Dr. Intersection - Reinforce with signage and install bollards to prohibit vehicles from entering the multi-use path	■	$	▼
	Clearview Dr. Intersection - Change from flashing yellow arrows to protected phasing	■	$	▼
	Clearview Dr. Intersection - Restripe crosswalk markings at intersection	■	$	▼
1.6	Appion Wy. Intersection - Evaluate the need for a signal based on traffic impact study for the new development; Install traffic signal if warranted	■	$	▼
	Appion Wy. Intersection (West leg) - Install advanced signage to alert drivers of bike crossing	■	$	▼
1.7	Appion Wy. Connector - Construct controlled intersection to improve east-west access across South Carson St. between Cochise St. and Snyder Ave.	■	$$	◆

■ Near-Term Implementation | ■ Mid-Term Implementation | ■ Long-Term Implementation
▲ High Priority | ◆ Medium Priority | ▼ Low Priority



Near-Term Implementation | Mid-Term Implementation | Long-Term Implementation
US 50
Old Clear Creek Road
Topsy Lane
Carson City
2.1
2.2
2.3
2.7
Jacks Valley Road
Mica Drive
2.19
2.4
Sunridge Drive
2.8
2.9
2.5
2.10
2.6
2.20
US 395
Stephanie Way
2.12
2.21
2.11
Johnson Lane
2.14
2.13
Airport Road
Genoa Lane
2.15
2.17
2.16
2.18
Muller Lane

Segment 2 - Topsy Lane to SR 88

No.	Recommendation	Implementation	Cost	Priority
2.1	US 395/Jacks Valley Rd. - Continuation of US 395 southbound right travel lane, continuation of this lane through the intersection and ending it south of the shopping center driveways	■	$$	▲
2.2	Add cable rail in the unpaved median north of Jacks Valley Rd.	■	$	▲
2.3	US 395/North Sunridge Dr. - Construct a dedicated right turn lane from northbound	■	$$	♦
2.4	US 395/Mica Dr. - Extend the US 395 southbound left turn pocket to Mica Dr.	■	$$	♦
2.5	US 395/South Sunridge Dr. - Northbound acceleration lane	■	$$	♦
2.6	US 395/Recreational Vehicle Park - Northbound acceleration lane	■	$$	♦
2.7	US 395/Mica Dr. - Truck climbing lane northbound from Mica Dr. to Sunridge Dr./North Jacks Valley Rd.	■	$$	▲
2.8	US 395 corridor from south of Mica Dr. to North Sunridge Dr. - Construct an additional lane in each direction	■	$$	▲
2.9	US 395/Plymouth Dr./South Sunridge Dr. - Construct north and south bound acceleration lanes	■	$$	♦
2.10	Sunridge Dr./Plymouth Dr. - Traffic signal when warrants are met	■	$	▼
2.11	Johnson Ln. grade separation	■	$$$	♦
2.12	Wildlife crossing Hobo Hot Springs area	■	$$	♦
2.13	Genoa Ln./Airport Rd. realignment	■	$$$	▲
2.14	Genoa Ln./Airport Rd. - If no realignment then left turn acceleration lanes (or hight T) with a combination of northbound grade seperation at Airport Rd.	■	$$	▲
2.15	US 395/Genoa Ln. - Construct Restricted Crossing U-Turn intersection	■	$	▲
2.16	Intersection evaluation at Genoa Ln./US 395 and add luminaire	■	$	♦
2.17	Genoa Ln. - Lengthen southbound acceleration lane	■	$$	♦
2.18	Speed study from Muller Pkwy. to SR 88	■	$	▼
2.19	US 395 MUP from SR 88 to Mica Dr. or further north into Carson City	■	$$	♦
2.20	Installation of bicycle signal or grade crossing on US 395 between SR 88 and Mica Dr.	■	$$	▼
2.21	Interchanges at Stephanie Wy., Johnson Ln., and from Airport Rd. to Genoa Ln. (with frontage roads)	■	$	♦

■ Near-Term Implementation | ■ Mid-Term Implementation | ■ Long-Term Implementation

▲ High Priority | ♦ Medium Priority | ▼ Low Priority




Near-Term Implementation | Mid-Term Implementation | Long-Term Implementation

Segment 3 - SR 88 to Pinenut Road

No.	Recommendation	Implementation	Cost	Priority
3.1	US 395/Muller Ln. and Ironwood Ln. - Acceleration lanes and lower speeds	■	$$	▲
3.2	Construct a new roadway connecting Ironwood Dr. and Muller Ln. on the west side of US 395	■	$$$	◆
3.3	US 395/Ironwood Dr. - Roundabout and/or eliminate left turn from Ironwood Dr. (raised median)	■	$$$	▲
3.4	US 395/SR 88 - Roundabout	■	$$$	▼
3.5	Alternative Routes - Frontage roads and connections onto US 395	■	$$$	◆
3.6	US 395 at Lucerne St. - Installation of Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB) system	■	$	▲
3.7	Review traffic signal timing for the application of the existing yellow flashing arrow at the Sixth St./US 395 Buckeye Rd. intersection for possible reduction of crashes (Dismissed in 2020 D2 Preservation Report - corridor study to address)	■	$	▼
3.8	US 395/Mill St. intersection - Restripe crosswalk pavement markings for high visibility and upgrade crossing to an overhead mast arm RRFB per NDOT standards	■	$	▲
3.9	Add a W11-8 Emergency vehicle sign in the northbound direction	■	$	◆
3.10	Muller Pkwy. Extension	■	$$	▲
3.11	Washoe Tribal Headquarters installation of access improvements from US 395 to the entrance	■	$$	◆
3.12	US 395 and Mission St. to US 395 and Toler Ln. - Move existing crosswalk from the east side of US 395/Mission St. to the south/east side of US 395/Toler Ln.; upgrade to an overhead mast arm RRFB per NDOT standards. Alternatively, add a crossing at US 395/Toler Ln. in addition to the existing crossing at US 395/Mission St.	■	$	▲
3.13	US 395/High School St. intersection - Upgrade crossing to an overhead mast arm RRFB per NDOT standards	■	$	▲
3.14	Update signals, signing, lighting, intelligent transportation system (ITS) to current standards	■	$	▲
	ADA - Update all existing ADA to standard (43 Curb ramps, 30 Driveways, 38 Pedestrian Buttons)	■	$	▲
3.15	Fourth St. intersection - The crosswalk on the north side has pedestrian activated overhead RRFB and overhead lighting; remove the crosswalk striping and ramps connecting the crosswalk on the south side	■	$	◆
3.16	Install compliant sidewalk/driveways in front of COD Garage	■	$	▲
	Investigate encroachment permits; remove and replace non standard driveways	■	$	▲
3.17	Water quality and hydraulic maintenance	■	$$	▼
3.18	Bicycle Lane: Hwy 395, Riverview Dr. to Ironwood Ln.	■	$$	◆
3.19	Install pedestrian crossing signs with downward pointing arrow plaques and install advance pedestrian crossing ahead in conjunction with the Yield Here to Pedestrian signs at the crosswalks at 2nd, 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, and Lucerne Streets.	■	$	▲
3.20	Bicycle/Pedestrian Path from Drayton to Waterloo to link Ranchos to Waterloo	■	$$	▲
3.21	Riverview Dr. - Acceleration/Deceleration Lanes/Intersection capacity improvements	■	$$	▲

■ Near-Term Implementation | ■ Mid-Term Implementation | ■ Long-Term Implementation
▲ High Priority | ◆ Medium Priority | ▼ Low Priority



■ Near-Term Implementation | ■ Mid-Term Implementation | ■ Long-Term Implementation

Segment 4 - Pinenut Road to Topaz Lake

No.	Recommendation	Implementation	Cost	Priority
4.1	Expand US 395 to four lanes with a center divider north to Riverview Dr.	■	$$$$	♦
4.2	Speed not just SB but coming into town NB	■	$	▲
4.3	Southbound truck climbing lane would be extended to the Double Springs area (terminates near China Springs Rd.)	■	$$	▲
4.4	Wildlife fencing	■	$$	▲
4.5	Penrod Lane SB decel improvements	■	$$	♦
4.6	Reese Lane intersction SB decel improvements	■	$$	♦
4.7	Penrod Lane NB merge in conflict with left turn	■	$$	▲
4.8	Double Springs truck climbing lane	■	$$	▲

■ Near-Term Implementation | ■ Mid-Term Implementation | ■ Long-Term Implementation


▲ High Priority | ♦ Medium Priority | ▼ Low Priority

Regional and Local Connections

Regional and local road connection improvements are needed surrounding key locations within the corridor.

Expanding the existing US 395 into a controlled access facility, creating a new controlled access facility to bypass the US 50/I-580 to South of Carson City, and/or creating a new controlled access facility to bypass the Gardnerville/Minden area would alleviate current and future safety, traffic operations, and access issues. Expanding local road connections, especially in the north-south direction, would relieve the demand for existing and future US 395 corridor travel and provide safer multimodal opportunities.

Regional and Local Connections



Interchanges/Bypass Options

Recommendation	Implementation	Cost	Priority
On Existing US 395 Controlled Access Facility - Elevated four-lane freeway with frontage roads (two lanes one way until Jacks Valley Rd. - transition to one lane south of Jacks Valley Rd.); Two-lane direct connector ramps to connect; Grade separations at Airport Ln., a shared interchange at Johnson Ln. and Stephanie Wy., and interchanges at Jacks Valley Rd. and Topsy Ln.; US 395 freeway would terminate at Muller Pkwy. and become a six-lane arterial where the frontage roads merge/diverge with the freeway lanes	■	$$$$$	▲
Parallel to Existing US 395 Controlled Access Facility	■	$$$$$	▲
South West Bypass - Four-lane facility that intersects as a half-interchange with US 395 between Airport Rd./Genoa Ln. and Muller Ln., overpasses Muller Ln. with an alignment west of the Ironwood subdivision, crosses the Carson River and connects to SR 88 as a free flow facility continuing the four-lane section to Kimmerling Rd.; Stage 2 would be Dressler Ln. extension from SR 88 to US 395	■	$$$$$	▲
West Side Bypass - Construct a fully controlled freeway to the west of the shopping area departing from US 50 and tying into the existing alignment south of Plymouth Dr. including an interchange at Jacks Valley Rd.	■	$$$$$	▲
East Side Bypass - Construct a bypass departing from the new US 395 to the east of the interchange at US 395 and US 50 to tie in south of Gardnerville	■	$$$$$	▲

Local Road Connections

Recommendation	Implementation	Cost	Priority
Extension of Heybourne Rd. from Buckeye Rd. to the south Carson I-580 area	■	$$$$	▼
Extension of Vicky Ln. from North Santa Barbara Dr. to the south Carson I-580 area; construct new road to improve north-south circulation and access between Carson City and Douglas County between Stephanie Wy. and Bigelow Dr. Includes a 12-foot path to accommodate the Historic V&T Trail over the river and possibly in other areas as appropriate and approved by the local jurisdictions	■	$$$$	▼
Dressler Lane Extension - A two-lane Dressler Ln. extension from SR 88 to US 395	■	$$$$	▼
South Ranchos Connector - Dressler Ln. Extension is re-placed with the South Ranchos Connector, this would connect SR 88 to the East Ranchos connector road	■	$$$$	▼
North Valleys Connector - Construct new roadway between Topsy Ln. and North Sunridge Dr. to improve north/south travel	■	$$	▼
Vista Grande Boulevard Connector - Construct new road to improve north/south travel between Topsy Ln. and Jacks Valley Rd.	■	$$	▲

■ Near-Term Implementation | ■ Mid-Term Implementation | ■ Long-Term Implementation

▲ High Priority | ♦ Medium Priority | ▼ Low Priority

The US 395 Southern Sierra corridor has significant needs if it is to continue providing safe and effective transportation options as the area grows and the associated traffic volumes increase. These needs range from simple, low-cost improvements to new regional corridors. NDOT's commitment to the corridor has been demonstrated by the implementation of several improvements, addressing some of the corridor's needs. With more than ninety recommendations made as part of this study, it is essential to identify an implementation plan to ensure necessary improvements are implemented in the corridor over the next 20 years and beyond.

NDOT alone cannot address these needs. It will be incumbent for agencies such as CAMPO and Douglas County to partner and champion projects with NDOT to ensure projects are supported and become part of the statewide prioritization. Furthermore, there may be opportunities for NDOT's Local Public Agency (LPA) program and discretionary grants for local agencies to assist in managing and identifying additional federal dollars to assist overall implementation, including initial planning and feasibility studies.

The following tables outline the improvement concepts by potential phasing, which is based on engineering complexity, project readiness, and immediacy of need. The project IDs are not meant to infer priority or phasing but to easily cross-reference projects between the recommendation maps and the following tables. Generally:

» **Near-term needs** are typically smaller or easier to implement. They can move through design and environmental clearance quickly and likely do not require a lot of capital expense. While it is envisioned that most of these could be completed in the next five years, they must be evaluated against NDOT's project needs across all of Nevada, meaning timing could shift based on priority.

» **Mid-term needs** generally require additional coordination and engineering work, such as further design, right-of-way acquisition, or environmental review.

» **Long-term needs** are not immediately warranted or have a longer planning, design, and regulatory review lead time. Long-term needs also have the potential to be combined or implemented with significant rehabilitation projects. Even though a project may be considered long-term, feasibility and other initial planning studies related to those long-term improvements should be considered as near or mid-term activities that must be done to accomplish the long-term implementation.

As improvement concepts are refined and prioritized, specific project characteristics, such as lane widths, number of lanes, shoulder widths, and access management, will need to be finalized to maintain consistency and ensure that improvements are providing a safe roadway and preserving overall mobility needs.

It is important to note that phasing priorities presented in this study may fluctuate as statewide funding availability or transportation needs shift. The improvement concept recommendations made in this Corridor Plan are intended to remain the foundational vision for future development. Specific concepts, however, may change or evolve.

Near-Term Needs

Near-term needs are identified as those that are typically low-cost and easy to implement. These needs can be addressed in short-range planning documents or when smaller projects are needed to fulfill short-term budget funding availability.

Near-Term Recommendations

Seg.	No.	Location/Description	Project Partners	Cost
1	**1.2**	US 395/Topsy Ln. – Southbound acceleration lane	NDOT	$$
1	**1.3**	US 395/Topsy Ln. – Miscellaneous intersection safety improvements	NDOT, CAMPO, Douglas County	$
1	**1.5**	Carson St./Clearview Dr. – Multimodal path safety enhancements and intersection safety and ADA improvements	CAMPO, Carson City	$
1	**1.6**	Appion Wy. – Signal warrant analysis and advanced bike lane signage	CAMPO, Carson City	$
2	*2.1*	***US 395/Jacks Valley Rd. – extend US 395 southbound travel lane***	***NDOT***	***$$***
2	*2.2*	***US 395 – Install cable rail in median north of Jacks Valley Rd.***	***NDOT***	***$***
2	**2.5**	US 395 northbound acceleration lane at Sunridge Dr.	NDOT	$$
2	**2.6**	US 395 northbound acceleration lane at RV Park driveway	NDOT	$$
2	**2.9**	US 395 southbound acceleration lane at Sunridge Dr.	NDOT	$$
2	*2.15*	***US 395/Genoa Ln. – Construct restricted crossing U-turn***	***NDOT***	***$***
2	**2.16**	US 395/Genoa Ln. – Conduct intersection control evaluation and lighting improvements	NDOT	$
2	**2.17**	US 395/Genoa Ln. – Lengthen southbound acceleration lane	NDOT	$$
2	**2.18**	US 395 – Conduct speed study from Muller Pkwy. to SR 88	NDOT	$
3	*3.1*	***US 395/Muller Pkwy. – Construct acceleration lanes and lower speed limit***	***NDOT***	***$$***
3	*3.3*	***US 395/Ironwood Dr. – Construct roundabout or eliminate left turns from Ironwood to US 395***	***NDOT, Douglas County***	***$$$***
3	*3.6*	***US 395/Lucerne St. – Install RRFB System***	***NDOT, Douglas County***	***$***
3	*3.8*	***US 395/Mill St. – Pavement markings and upgrade RRFB***	***NDOT, Douglas County***	***$***
3	**3.9**	US 395 – Add W11-8 emergency vehicle sign northbound	NDOT	$
3	*3.10*	***Muller Pkwy. extension from Pinenut to US 395***	***Douglas County, Private***	***$$***
3	**3.11**	US 395 – Improve access at Washoe Tribal Headquarters	NDOT	$$
3	*3.12*	***US 395 – Pedestrian improvements including RRFB from Mission to Toler***	***NDOT, Douglas County***	***$***
3	*3.13*	***US 395/High School Street – Upgrade RRFB***	***NDOT, Douglas County***	***$***
3	*3.14*	***US 395 – Update ADA, signals, signing, lighting, and ITS***	***NDOT***	***$***
3	**3.15**	US 395/4th St. – Pedestrian striping modifications	NDOT	$
3	*3.16*	***US 395 – Driveway improvements and consolidation***	***NDOT***	***$***
3	**3.17**	US 395 – Water quality and drainage improvements	NDOT	$$

Near-Term Recommendations (cont)

Seg.	No.	Location/Description	Project Partners	Cost
3	*3.19*	*US 395 – Install and upgrade existing pedestrian signs*	*NDOT*	*$*
Local Road		*Vista Grande Blvd. Connector from Bucks Wy. to Jacks Valley Rd.*	*Douglas County*	*$$*
Regional Connect.		*US 395/US 50/I-580 intersection – Construct grade separated interchange and separate local/regional trips*	*NDOT, CAMPO*	*$$$$*

Needs with blue text have been identified as higher-priority needs that should be addressed based on stakeholder and public feedback.

Mid-Term Needs

Mid-term needs are identified as those needs that will require additional scoping and planning considerations. These needs may also require right-of-way acquisition or lower-class level environmental clearances.

Mid-Term Recommendations

Seg.	No.	Location/Description	Project Partners	Cost
1	**1.4**	US 395/Topsy Ln. – Higher level intersection improvements	NDOT	$$
1	**1.7**	Appion Wy. Connector – Construct controlled intersection to improve east-west access	CAMPO, Carson City, Private	$$
2	**2.3**	US 395/N. Sunridge Dr. – Construct northbound dedicated right turn lane	NDOT	$$
2	**2.4**	US 395/Mica Dr. – Extend southbound left turn pocket to N. Sunridge Dr.	NDOT	$$
2	**2.12**	US 395 – Construct wildlife crossing near Hobo Hot Springs area	NDOT, Douglas County, NDOW	$$
2	**2.20**	US 395 – Install bicycle signal or grade crossing between SR 88 and Mica Dr.	NDOT, Douglas County	$$
3	**3.7**	US 395 – Review signal timing and flashing yellow arrow for 6th St./Buckeye Rd./US 395 intersection	NDOT, Douglas County	$
3	***3.21***	***US 395/Riverview Dr. – Improve intersection capacity***	***NDOT, Douglas County***	***$$***
4	**4.2**	US 395 – Evaluate speed management enhancements south of Gardnerville urban area	NDOT	$
4	***4.4***	***US 395 – Provide wildlife fencing***	***NDOT, NDOW, USFS***	***$$***
4	**4.5**	US 395/Penrod Ln. – Provide southbound deceleration lane	NDOT	$$
4	**4.6**	US 395/Reese Ln. – Provide southbound deceleration lane	NDOT	$$
4	***4.7***	***US 395/Penrod Ln. – Evaluate northbound merge lane conflict with left turn***	***NDOT***	***$$***

Needs with blue text have been identified as higher-priority needs that should be addressed based on stakeholder and public feedback.

Long-Term Needs

Long-term needs have the greatest implementation complexity and require several additional steps before the concepts can be refined into programmable projects. Most of these improvement concepts require additional coordination among study stakeholders and may not be immediately warranted. As traffic and development patterns change, these needs will move through the project development process.

Long-Term Recommendations

Seg.	No.	Location/Description	Project Partners	Cost
1	**1.1**	US 395/US 50/I-580 Intersection – Construct underpass west of existing intersection to connect Vista Grande Blvd. with Cochise	NDOT, CAMPO	$$$
2	*2.7*	***US 395 – Construct northbound truck climbing lane from Mica Dr. to Jacks Valley Rd.***	***NDOT***	***$$***
2	*2.8*	***US 395 – Construct additional southbound lane from Mica Dr. to Jacks Valley Rd.***	***NDOT***	***$$***
2	**2.10**	US 395/S. Sunridge Dr. – Conduct signal warrant analysis and construct signal when warranted	NDOT, Douglas County	$
2	**2.11**	US 395/Johnson Ln. – Construct northbound grade separation	NDOT	$$$
2	*2.13*	***US 395/Genoa Ln. – Realign Genoa Ln. to tie into Airport Rd. intersection***	***NDOT, Douglas County***	***$$$***
2	*2.14*	***US 395/Genoa Ln. – Provide left turn lanes if Genoa Ln. is Project ID 2.14 is not constructed. Provide grade separation at Airport Rd.***	***NDOT, Douglas County***	***$$***
2	**2.21**	US 395 – Construct interchanges at Stephanie Wy., Johnson Ln., and Airport Rd. with frontage roads	NDOT	$$$$
3	**3.2**	US 395/Ironwood Dr. – Construct new roadway connecting Ironwood Dr. to Muller Pkwy. west of US 395	Douglas County	$$$
3	**3.4**	US 395/SR 88 – Construct roundabout	NDOT, Douglas County	$$$
3	**3.5**	US 395 – Construct frontage roads and alternative routes onto US 395	NDOT, Douglas County	$$$
3	**3.18**	US 395 – Construct bicycle lane from US 395 from Riverview Dr. to Ironwood Dr.	NDOT, Douglas County	$$
3	*3.20*	***Construct bicycle/pedestrian path from Drayton to Waterloo***	***Douglas County***	***$$***
4	**4.1**	US 395 – Widen US 395 with center divider south of Riverview Dr.	NDOT	$$$$
4	*4.3*	***US 395 – Extend southbound truck climbing lane past China Springs Rd.***	***NDOT***	***$$***
4	*4.8*	***US 395 – Construct Double Springs truck climbing lane***	***NDOT***	***$$***

Needs with blue text have been identified as higher-priority needs that should be addressed based on stakeholder and public feedback.

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Appendix C

High Injury Network Methodology

DATE: January 9, 2026
TO: Douglas County
FROM: Kate Bradbury, Rachel Graff | Parametrix
SUBJECT: High-Injury Network Summary Methodology
PROJECT NAME: Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All

Introduction

This memorandum describes the methodology used to develop the high-injury network (HIN) for the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) Safety Action Plan. The process used an equivalent property damage only (EPDO) analysis to form the basis of the HIN for both state and non-state facilities. To align with recent County planning efforts, the Douglas County Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Action Plan was used to identify HIN segments near schools.

Methodology

Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) crash data from 2019 to 2023 was collected for Douglas County. The analysis mapped crash data in GIS and linked each crash to the nearest road segment in the Douglas County GIS roadway layer. Because crashes occur relatively infrequently and the goal was to better identify overall trends, the analysis did not separate crashes into segment-related and intersection-related categories. That distinction will be evaluated later when improvements for specific locations are reviewed in more detail. As a result, HIN locations may reflect crash history related to roadway segments, intersections, or both.

EPDO Analysis

The crash data was analyzed using an EPDO analysis, which compares roadway segments with different types and numbers of crashes. This method assigns a cost to each crash based on how severe it was, so more serious crashes count more than minor ones. Crash values are added together for each roadway segment. As a result, segments with more crashes or more severe crashes receive higher total scores, highlighting roadway segments with greater safety problems.

The analysis used crash cost data from the NDOT Performance Management Report from December 2024[1]. For each crash severity, the cost was compared to the cost of a property-damage-only (PDO) crash to determine how many PDO crashes it was equivalent to. The crash costs and resulting EPDO weights for each severity are shown in **Table 1**.

[1] https://www.leg.state.nv.us/Division/Research/Documents/RTTL_NRS244A.638_408.133_2024.pdf

Table 1. Crash Costs and EPDO Weights

Crash Severity	Crash Cost	EPDO Weight
Fatal	$7,587157	575
Serious Injury	$399,795	30
Minor Injury	$145,991	11
Possible Injury	$82,009	6
PDO	$13,197	1

EPDO weights for all crashes on each roadway segment were added together and then divided by the segment length to calculate an EPDO score per mile. This step accounts for the fact that roadway segments in the Douglas County GIS layer have different lengths. This approach makes it possible to directly compare roadway segments even though they are different lengths.

HIN Development

The HIN represents locations on a network where a disproportionate number of higher severity crashes have occurred. The draft HIN for Douglas County includes three categories: State Network HIN, Non-State Network HIN, and SRTS HIN. This approach helps the County identify all areas of safety concern while also clarifying roadway ownership, which will support future funding pursuits for projects on County roads and coordination with agency partners for improvements on facilities maintained by other agencies.

State and Non-State HIN

The analysis ranked Douglas County roadway segments by EPDO score, and the highest scoring segments formed the basis of the HIN. The process set separate thresholds for state and non-state networks based on the distribution of EPDO scores and the need to create a manageable priority network. The analysis selected a threshold score of 60 for non-state roadways and 388 for state roadways.

The analysis then applied a manual smoothing process to the HIN roadway segments to create more logical, continuous corridors and reduce fragmentation. This process added segments where adjacent roadways met the HIN criteria and removed short, isolated segments with only one or two lower-severity crashes. Roadway segments on the draft HIN represent:

- 90% of fatal and serious injury crashes on 2.6% of the non-state roadway network
- 61% of fatal and serious injury crashes on 20% of the state roadway network


SRTS HIN


To align with other regional planning efforts, priority locations from the Douglas County Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Action Plan analysis were incorporated into the HIN. The SRTS Action Plan did not explicitly identify a directly comparable priority network, so the SRTS HIN for this analysis identified priority locations based on proximity to schools and crash history. This included:

- Locations immediately adjacent to each school in the region
- Locations within a 2-mile radius of each school with fatal and/or serious injury crashes during the study period (note: the 2-mile buffer was established as part of the SRTS analysis)


Similar to the state and non-state HIN development described above, some manual adjustments were made to this portion of the network to create more logical and continuous corridors.



High-Injury Network (DRAFT)
HIN Segments
Lake Tahoe
Genoa
Minden
Gardnerville
Jacks Valley Rd
Heybourne Rd
Sunrise Pass Rd
Mineral Valley Rd
Gallagher Pass Rd
Buckeye Creek Rd
Sunrise Pass Rd
Mason Pass Rd
Bybee
Pete Henrichs Rd
Artesia Rd
Delphi Rd
Old Sr2C
Indian Creek Rd
Horseshoe Bend Rd
Upper Colony Rd
Hudson Way
East Side Ln
Hudson Aurora Rd
Pine Grove Rd
E Walker Rd
Radio Facility Rd
Risue Rd
50
580
95 Alt
207
395
206
827
339
823
208
338
89
88
4
0 2.5 5 Miles
N
Sources: Douglas County, NDOT, ESRI



High-Injury Network (DRAFT)
Non-State Network HIN
State Network HIN
SRTS HIN
SRTS HIN + State Network HIN
Schools
George Whittell High School
Zephyr Cove Elementary School
Genoa
50
206
207
757
Sewer Plant Rd
Chimney Rock Rd
Castle Rock Rd
Andria Dr
Barrett Dr
S Benjamin Dr
Tramway Dr
Quaking Aspen Ln
Easy St
Lake Pkwy
Centennial Dr
Eagle Ridge Rd
Genoa Lakes Dr
Chula Vista Ln
Lake Tahoe
0 0.25 0.5 Miles
N
Sources: Douglas County, NDOT, ESRI



High-Injury Network (DRAFT)
Non-State Network HIN
State Network HIN
SRTS HIN
SRTS HIN + State Network HIN
Schools
Centennial Dr
Jacks Valley Rd
Main St
Old Barn Rd
Fifth St
Genoa St
Kinsey Way
Pioneer Tr
School House Dr
Meadow Lark Ln
Water Canyon Rd
206
Genoa
Carson St
Candy Dance Ln
Trimmer Ct
Genoa Heights Ln
0 250 500 Feet
N
Sources: Douglas County, NDOT, ESRI


High-Injury Network (DRAFT)
Non-State Network HIN
State Network HIN
SRTS HIN
SRTS HIN + State Network HIN
Schools
E Appion Way
E Roland St
Clear Creek Ave
50
395
705
Golf Club Dr
Old Clear Creek Rd
Topsy Ln
Vista Grande Blvd
Bucks Way
Race Track Rd
Golden Eagle Ln
Walton Toll Rd
Redding Way
Green Acre Dr
Silverado Dr
Center Dr
N Sunridge Dr
Haystack Dr
Cherokee Dr
Jack's Valley Elementary School
Mica Dr
Smoketree Ave
Jacks Valley Ranch Rd
Alpine View Ct
Vicky Ln
Long Dr
Coloma Dr
Plymouth Dr
S Sunridge Dr
Heybourne Rd
Jacks Valley Rd
Hobo Hot Springs Rd
0 0.25 0.5 Miles
N
Sources: Douglas County, NDOT, ESRI



High-Injury Network (DRAFT)
Non-State Network HIN
State Network HIN
SRTS HIN
SRTS HIN + State Network HIN
Schools
Hobo Hot Springs Rd
395
Vicky Ln
Hot Springs Rd
S Santa Barbara Dr
Porter Dr
Jackie Ln
Pinion Hills Elementary School
Stephanie Way
Heybourne Rd
Wildhorse Ln
Kayne Ave
Squires St
Esaw St
Downs Dr
Clapham Ln
Gordon Ave
Stewart Ave
Fuller Ave
Jones St
Judy St
Johnson Ln
Business Pkwy
East Valley Rd
Fremont Ave
Sunrise PassRd Alt
Sunrise Pass Rd
Bliss Rd
Galeppi Ln
395
0 0.25 0.5 Miles
N
Sources: Douglas County, NDOT, ESRI


High-Injury Network (DRAFT)
Non-State Network HIN
State Network HIN
SRTS HIN
SRTS HIN + State Network HIN
Schools
Minden
Douglas High School
Minden Elementary School
Wncc (School)
Carson Valley Middle School
Gardnerville Elementary School
Gardnerville
Muller Ln Westbound
Heybourne Rd
Monte Vista Ave
Lantana Dr
Bougainvillea Dr
Ironwood Dr
Lucerne St
Wisteria Dr
Mahogany Dr
County Rd
Mono Ave
Deseret Dr
Buckeye Rd
Bently Parkway North
Bently Parkway South
East Va
Lombardy Rd
Orchard Rd
Broken Arrow Rd
Sanchez Rd
Scoti Ln
Toler Ln
Home Ranch Rd
Douglas Ave
Hussman Ave
Gilman Ave
Mill St
N Marion Russell Dr
Granborough Dr
Chichester Dr
Decker Rd
Aspen Lp
Kittyhawk Ave
Wilhelm Pl
Waterloo Ln
Stodick Pkwy
S Orchard Rd
395
88
756
0
0.25
0.5 Miles
N
Sources: Douglas County, NDOT, ESRI


High-Injury Network (DRAFT)
Non-State Network HIN
State Network HIN
SRTS HIN
SRTS HIN + State Network HIN
Schools
Mottsville Ln
Waterloo Ln
Heise Rd
Burr Rd
West Fork Vista Ln
Centerville Ln
206
756
88
Sierra Vista Dr
Dresslerville Rd
Glenwood Dr
Topaz Ln
Manhattan Way
S Riverview Dr
C.c. Meneley Elementary School
Muir Dr
Watasheamu Rd
Langley Dr
Long Valley Rd
Kimmerling Rd
Rojo Way
Rubio Way
Tillman Ln
Bluerock Rd
Leonard Rd
Scarselli Elementary School
Pau-wa-lu Middle School
Patricia Dr
Mary Jo Dr
Douglas Unnamed 8
Douglas Unnamed 10
Five Creek Rd
Bollen Ci
Sheridan Ln
Artemesia Ln
Green Acres Dr
Black Bear Tr
Anderson Ranch Rd
Heritage Ln
Dressler Ln
0 0.25 0.5 Miles
N
Sources: Douglas County, NDOT, ESRI



Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All | High-Injury Network (HIN) - Draft


High-Injury Network (DRAFT)
Non-State Network HIN
State Network HIN
SRTS HIN
SRTS HIN + State Network HIN
Schools
Big Valley Rd
Pine Valley Rd
Cavelti Rd
Devenpeck Dr
395
Canyon Dr
Sandstone Dr
Slate Rd
Bald Mountain Rd
Topaz Ranch Dr
Zeolite Ci
Walker View Rd
Eagle Mountain Rd
Gray Hills Rd
Bosler Way
208
Old Hwy 395
Reese Ln
Highland Way
Granite Way
Andesite Rd
Kyle Dr
Quail Run Way
Gun Club Rd
Big Springs Rd
0 0.25 0.5 Miles
N
Sources: Douglas County, NDOT, ESRI

Appendix D

Public Comments

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- [x] Personal vehicle
- [x] Bicycle
- [ ] Motorcycle
- [ ] Rideshare/taxi
- [ ] Walk
- [ ] Public transit
- [x] Scooter or e-bike
- [ ] Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? 89410

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Walking	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
Bicycling	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
On transit	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
On a motorcycle	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
On a scooter or e-bike	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- [ ] Speeding
- [x] Aggressive/reckless driving
- [x] Intersections
- [ ] Distracted/impaired driving
- [ ] Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- [ ] Bicycle/scooter safety
- [ ] Not enough law enforcement
- [x] Street lighting
- [x] Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- [ ] Improved pedestrian crossings and signals
- [ ] Increased traffic enforcement
- [x] More bike lanes and bike paths
- [ ] Slower travel speeds
- [x] Better street lighting
- [ ] More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- ☐ Busy roads
- ☐ Around schools
- ☒ Near parks or playgrounds
- ☐ Places where crashes have happened before
- ☐ On neighborhood road
- ☒ Where people work or go shopping
- ☐ Other (please specify) WATERLOO/Centerville Needs Light!

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- ☐ Personal vehicle
- ☐ Walk
- ☐ Bicycle
- ☐ Public transit
- ☐ Motorcycle
- ☐ Scooter or e-bike
- ☐ Rideshare/taxi
- ☐ Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? _____

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Walking	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Bicycling	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
On transit	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
On a motorcycle	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
On a scooter or e-bike	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Speeding
- ☐ Aggressive/reckless driving
- ☐ Intersections
- ☐ Distracted/impaired driving
- ☐ Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- ☐ Bicycle/scooter safety
- ☐ Not enough law enforcement
- ☐ Street lighting
- ☐ Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Improved pedestrian crossings and signals
- ☐ Increased traffic enforcement
- ☐ More bike lanes and bike paths
- ☐ Slower travel speeds
- ☐ Better street lighting
- ☐ More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- ☐ Busy roads
- ☐ Places where crashes have happened before
- ☐ Around schools
- ☐ On neighborhood road
- ☐ Near parks or playgrounds
- ☐ Where people work or go shopping
- ☐ Other (please specify) _____

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- [x] Personal vehicle
- [ ] Bicycle
- [ ] Motorcycle
- [ ] Rideshare/taxi
- [ ] Walk
- [ ] Public transit
- [ ] Scooter or e-bike
- [ ] Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? 89410

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	[x]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
Walking	[ ]	[x]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
Bicycling	NA [ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
On transit	NA [ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
On a motorcycle	NA [ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
On a scooter or e-bike	NA [ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	NA [ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- [ ] Speeding
- [x] Aggressive/reckless driving
- [ ] Intersections
- [x] Distracted/impaired driving
- [ ] Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- [x] Bicycle/scooter safety
- [ ] Not enough law enforcement
- [ ] Street lighting
- [ ] Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- [x] Improved pedestrian crossings and signals
- [ ] Increased traffic enforcement
- [ ] More bike lanes and bike paths
- [ ] Slower travel speeds
- [ ] Better street lighting
- [ ] More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- [x] Busy roads
- [ ] Around schools
- [x] Near parks or playgrounds
- [ ] Places where crashes have happened before
- [ ] On neighborhood road
- [x] Where people work or go shopping
- [ ] Other (please specify) _____

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- [x] Personal vehicle
- [x] Walk
- [ ] Bicycle
- [ ] Public transit
- [ ] Motorcycle
- [ ] Scooter or e-bike
- [ ] Rideshare/taxi
- [ ] Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? _____

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐
Walking	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐
Bicycling	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
On transit	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
On a motorcycle	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
On a scooter or e-bike	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- [ ] Speeding
- [x] Aggressive/reckless driving
- [ ] Intersections WATERLOO / GILLMAN
- [ ] Distracted/impaired driving
- [ ] Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- [ ] Bicycle/scooter safety - FOLLOW LAWS
- [ ] Not enough law enforcement
- [ ] Street lighting
- [ ] Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- [ ] Improved pedestrian crossings and signals
- [x] Increased traffic enforcement
- [ ] More bike lanes and bike paths
- [ ] Slower travel speeds
- [ ] Better street lighting
- [ ] More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- ☐ Busy roads
- ☐ Around schools
- ☐ Near parks or playgrounds
- ☑ Places where crashes have happened before
- ☐ On neighborhood road
- ☐ Where people work or go shopping
- ☐ Other (please specify) _____

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- [x] Personal vehicle
- [ ] Bicycle
- [ ] Motorcycle
- [ ] Rideshare/taxi
- [ ] Walk
- [ ] Public transit
- [ ] Scooter or e-bike
- [ ] Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? 89460

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
Walking	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Bicycling	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
On transit	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
On a motorcycle	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
On a scooter or e-bike	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- [x] Speeding
- [ ] Aggressive/reckless driving
- [x] Intersections
- [ ] Distracted/impaired driving
- [ ] Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- [ ] Bicycle/scooter safety
- [x] Not enough law enforcement
- [ ] Street lighting
- [ ] Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- [ ] Improved pedestrian crossings and signals
- [x] Increased traffic enforcement
- [ ] More bike lanes and bike paths
- [ ] Slower travel speeds
- [ ] Better street lighting
- [ ] More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- ☐ Busy roads
- ☐ Places where crashes have happened before
- ☐ Other (please specify) Traffic Lights & STOP Signs
- ☐ Around schools
- ☐ On neighborhood road
- ☐ Near parks or playgrounds
- ☐ Where people work or go shopping

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- [x] Personal vehicle
- [ ] Bicycle
- [ ] Motorcycle
- [ ] Rideshare/taxi
- [ ] Walk
- [ ] Public transit
- [ ] Scooter or e-bike
- [ ] Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? 89423

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	[x]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
Walking	[x]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
Bicycling	[x]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
On transit	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[x]
On a motorcycle	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[x]
On a scooter or e-bike	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[x]
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]	[x]

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- [x] Speeding
- [x] Aggressive/reckless driving
- [ ] Intersections
- [ ] Distracted/impaired driving
- [ ] Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- [ ] Bicycle/scooter safety
- [ ] Not enough law enforcement
- [ ] Street lighting
- [ ] Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- [ ] Improved pedestrian crossings and signals
- [ ] Increased traffic enforcement
- [x] More bike lanes and bike paths
- [ ] Slower travel speeds
- [ ] Better street lighting
- [x] More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- ☐ Busy roads
- ☐ Places where crashes have happened before
- ☐ Around schools
- ☐ On neighborhood road
- ☐ Near parks or playgrounds
- ☐ Where people work or go shopping
- ☐ Other (please specify) _____

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- ☑ Personal vehicle
- ☑ Walk
- ☐ Bicycle
- ☐ Public transit
- ☐ Motorcycle
- ☐ Scooter or e-bike
- ☐ Rideshare/taxi
- ☐ Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? 89410

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐
Walking	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐
Bicycling	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐
On transit	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
On a motorcycle	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑
On a scooter or e-bike	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- ☑ Speeding
- ☑ Aggressive/reckless driving
- ☑ Intersections
- ☑ Distracted/impaired driving
- ☑ Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- ☑ Bicycle/scooter safety No lanes!
- ☐ Not enough law enforcement
- ☐ Street lighting
- ☐ Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- ☑ Improved pedestrian crossings and signals
- ☐ Increased traffic enforcement
- ☑ More bike lanes and bike paths
- ☐ Slower travel speeds
- ☐ Better street lighting
- ☑ More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- [x] Busy roads
- [ ] Around schools
- [ ] Near parks or playgrounds
- [ ] Places where crashes have happened before
- [ ] On neighborhood road
- [ ] Where people work or go shopping
- [ ] Other (please specify) bridges - transportation Routes between S & Center town

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

more bike lanes / paths / widen roads

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

Wear a helmet & vest on bike w/ lights

Never drive distracted

Keep eye out for others

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- [x] Personal vehicle
- [ ] Bicycle
- [ ] Motorcycle
- [ ] Rideshare/taxi
- [ ] Walk
- [ ] Public transit
- [ ] Scooter or e-bike
- [ ] Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? 89423

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐
Walking	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐
Bicycling	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒
On transit	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒
On a motorcycle	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒
On a scooter or e-bike	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- [x] Speeding
- [x] Aggressive/reckless driving
- [ ] Intersections
- [ ] Distracted/impaired driving
- [ ] Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- [ ] Bicycle/scooter safety
- [x] Not enough law enforcement
- [ ] Street lighting
- [ ] Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- [x] Improved pedestrian crossings and signals
- [x] Increased traffic enforcement
- [ ] More bike lanes and bike paths
- [x] Slower travel speeds
- [ ] Better street lighting
- [ ] More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- ☐ Busy roads
- ☐ Around schools
- ☐ Near parks or playgrounds
- ☐ Places where crashes have happened before
- ☐ On neighborhood road
- ☐ Where people work or go shopping
- ☐ Other (please specify) _____

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- [x] Personal vehicle
- [x] Bicycle
- [x] Motorcycle
- [ ] Rideshare/taxi
- [x] Walk
- [ ] Public transit
- [x] Scooter or e-bike
- [x] Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? 89410

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐
Walking	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐
Bicycling	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐
On transit	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐
On a motorcycle	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐
On a scooter or e-bike	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- [2] [x] Speeding
- [ ] Aggressive/reckless driving
- [3] [x] Intersections
- [ ] Distracted/impaired driving
- [1] [x] Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- [ ] Bicycle/scooter safety
- [ ] Not enough law enforcement
- [ ] Street lighting
- [ ] Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- [x] Improved pedestrian crossings and signals
- [x] Increased traffic enforcement
- [ ] More bike lanes and bike paths
- [x] Slower travel speeds
- [ ] Better street lighting
- [ ] More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- [x] Busy roads
- [x] Around schools
- [x] Near parks or playgrounds
- [ ] Places where crashes have happened before
- [ ] On neighborhood road
- [ ] Where people work or go shopping
- [ ] Other (please specify) _____

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

Please work with legislature, Douglas County and Towns / GID's to address e Bikes. They race on the sidewalks and bike lanes & trails / paths and are becoming a very REAL threat to Pedestrian safety. Please -

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

Enforce Speed limits on All Roads. Neighbor Road Rds are becoming speedways around traffic on majr Roads

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- [x] Personal vehicle
- [ ] Bicycle
- [ ] Motorcycle
- [ ] Rideshare/taxi
- [x] Walk
- [ ] Public transit
- [ ] Scooter or e-bike
- [ ] Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? 80466

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Walking	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Bicycling	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐
On transit	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒
On a motorcycle	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒
On a scooter or e-bike	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- [ ] Speeding
- [x] Aggressive/reckless driving
- [x] Intersections
- [ ] Distracted/impaired driving
- [x] Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- [x] Bicycle/scooter safety
- [ ] Not enough law enforcement
- [ ] Street lighting
- [ ] Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- [x] Improved pedestrian crossings and signals — in kid/school areas
- [ ] Increased traffic enforcement
- [x] More bike lanes and bike paths
- [ ] Slower travel speeds
- [ ] Better street lighting
- [x] More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- ☐ Busy roads
- ☒ Around schools
- ☐ Near parks or playgrounds
- ☒ Places where crashes have happened before
- ☐ On neighborhood road
- ☒ Where people work or go shopping
- ☐ Other (please specify) _____

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

- social media campaigns FAQs easy tips, no costs ideas
- providing signage for businesses
- bike paths + walking paths
- earlier signage around Douglas High

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

- Watch traffic patterns around business entries
- Continue to focus on safe routes to school network initative
- Bring in collaborators for adult bike riders to understand needs in cyclist community.

Pathway + Intersections
88 — county - Buckeye - Highway 7 cyclist

All of Long Valley for Kids
All of Tillman for Kids

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- [x] Personal vehicle
- [ ] Bicycle
- [ ] Motorcycle
- [ ] Rideshare/taxi
- [ ] Walk
- [ ] Public transit
- [ ] Scooter or e-bike
- [ ] Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? 89460

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐
Walking	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐
Bicycling	☐	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐
On transit	☐	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐
On a motorcycle	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐	☐
On a scooter or e-bike	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- [x] Speeding
- [x] Aggressive/reckless driving
- [ ] Intersections
- [ ] Distracted/impaired driving
- [ ] Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- [x] Bicycle/scooter safety
- [ ] Not enough law enforcement
- [ ] Street lighting
- [ ] Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- [ ] Improved pedestrian crossings and signals
- [ ] Increased traffic enforcement
- [x] More bike lanes and bike paths
- [ ] Slower travel speeds
- [ ] Better street lighting
- [ ] More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- [x] Busy roads
- [ ] Around schools
- [ ] Near parks or playgrounds
- [x] Places where crashes have happened before
- [ ] On neighborhood road
- [x] Where people work or go shopping
- [ ] Other (please specify) _____

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

I AM A ROAD cyclist I don't feel SAFE riding my bike on ANY of the roads in the county. When I drive Around in my CAR I see so much land that could be MADE into bicycle lanes it would be a wonderful place to ride.

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

DOUGLAS COUNTY

SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Please write your comments about the Douglas County Safe Streets for All Action Plan below:

It would be nice to have some bike Paths for cyclists.

Forms can be left at the desk or mailed to the project manager at:

Douglas County Safe Streets for All

Debbie Swickard

1594 Esmeralda Ave/P.O. Box 218

Minden, NV 89423-0218

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- [x] Personal vehicle
- [ ] Walk
- [ ] Bicycle
- [ ] Public transit
- [ ] Motorcycle
- [ ] Scooter or e-bike
- [ ] Rideshare/taxi
- [ ] Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? 89410

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Walking	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Bicycling	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒
On transit	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒
On a motorcycle	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒
On a scooter or e-bike	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- [x] Speeding
- [x] Aggressive/reckless driving
- [ ] Intersections
- [x] Distracted/impaired driving
- [ ] Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- [ ] Bicycle/scooter safety
- [ ] Not enough law enforcement
- [ ] Street lighting
- [ ] Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- [x] Improved pedestrian crossings and signals
- [x] Increased traffic enforcement
- [ ] More bike lanes and bike paths
- [x] Slower travel speeds
- [ ] Better street lighting
- [ ] More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- [x] Busy roads
- [ ] Around schools
- [ ] Near parks or playgrounds
- [x] Places where crashes have happened before
- [x] On neighborhood road
- [ ] Where people work or go shopping
- [ ] Other (please specify) _____

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

Use Radar Trailers to remind drivers of speed limits

Some drivers don't know the rules how to drive in a round about

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

(I don't know)

I try to drive like a police car is behind me.

DOUGLAS COUNTY
SAFE STREETS FOR ALL ACTION PLAN

Welcome to the Safe Streets for All Survey

Thank you for participating in the Douglas County Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) survey! Your feedback will help identify transportation safety needs and shape future transportation improvements. The brief survey will take only a couple minutes to complete.

1. How do you usually get around? Please select 1.

- [x] Personal vehicle
- [x] Walk
- [x] Bicycle
- [ ] Public transit
- [ ] Motorcycle
- [ ] Scooter or e-bike
- [ ] Rideshare/taxi
- [ ] Wheelchair or mobility device

2. In what zip code do you live? 89460

3. How safe do you feel when using Douglas County roadways (from very safe to very unsafe)

	Very Safe	Somewhat Safe	Neutral	Somewhat Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Not Sure or Doesn't Apply
In a vehicle	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
Walking	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐
Bicycling	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐
On transit	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
On a motorcycle	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒
On a scooter or e-bike	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐
Using a Wheelchair or mobility device	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒

4. What traffic safety issues concern you the most? Please select up to 3.

- [x] Speeding
- [ ] Aggressive/reckless driving
- [ ] Intersections
- [ ] Distracted/impaired driving
- [ ] Pedestrian safety (crosswalks and sidewalks)
- [x] Bicycle/scooter safety
- [ ] Not enough law enforcement
- [ ] Street lighting
- [ ] Roadway pavement conditions

5. What roadway safety improvements do you think would be most beneficial? Please select up to 3.

- [x] Improved pedestrian crossings and signals
- [ ] Increased traffic enforcement
- [x] More bike lanes and bike paths
- [ ] Slower travel speeds
- [ ] Better street lighting
- [ ] More sidewalks and safe routes to schools

6. Where should we focus on making roads safer? (Please select up to 3)

- [x] Busy roads
- [ ] Around schools
- [ ] Near parks or playgrounds
- [ ] Places where crashes have happened before
- [ ] On neighborhood road
- [ ] Where people work or go shopping
- [ ] Other (please specify) Would love to see bike (ROAD) PATHS.

7. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions for improving traffic safety in Douglas County?

There are no "SAFE" Road bike paths. All are right on the ROAD with very NARROW bike lanes. CARS are driving just a few feet, if that, away from you (Rider).

8. What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

Comments provided in answer to the question:

What could you personally do to improve traffic safety in Douglas County?

1. Obey traffic laws by thoughtfully paying attention and researching when necessary.
2. I personally always check my GPS to see how traffic and if I see it's too heavy in certain areas especially heading towards Carson City or on Highway 50 I look for alternative routes to not get caught in heavy traffic. I've spent time learning alternative ways to get around town because traffic has been getting heavier on 395 going up to Carson City or to Gardnerville certain times of day or time of year due to accidents or heavy traffic. It's important to plan your trips ahead. Yet my concern is in case of a major disaster like a Fire or Flood. Is Douglas County ready? Does the county have a secure evacuation route for those living in Minden and Johnson Lane areas? That's something that I have been working as a family and that is why I have been checking out the different routes of my neighborhood since I've only been here 9 months. It's good to be prepared.
3. Slow down and obey traffic laws.
4. Report speeding and impaired drivers
5. Vote differently.
6. I drive the speed limit and abide by local traffic laws
7. Drive 25.
8. I can help by letting motorcycle traffic patrol use my property to park and write tickets all day. I bet you could write over 30 tickets per day Monday thru Friday.
9. Drive slower and give bikes and people space
10. Be aware of pedestrian and bicycle traffic
11. Continue reporting to dcso and explaining their role and the law each time, begging and explaining for basic service!
12. Report unsafe electric scooter users.
13. Use my bike lights more often
14. Avoid visiting Douglas County.
15. Drive the speed limit.
16. I will strive to be a more focused driver.
17. Me personally? Honestly, I don't know. My husband has yelled at drivers but it usually just makes them go faster.
18. I do my best to drive safely.
19. Always drive at the speed limit
20. I don't think my solutions are legal
21. Keep head on swivel
22. Report off leash dogs in regulated areas, follow up with multiple agencies including DCSO, animal services, town of Gardnerville and code enforcement.
23. I try to drive like a police car is behind me
24. Watch traffic around business centers - Continue to focus on safe routes school network initiative - Bring in collaborators for adult bike riders to understand needs in cyclist community

25. Wear a helmet & vest w/ bike light Never drive distracted Keep eye out for others
26. I am voicing my concerns.
27. Figure out how to obtain $$$$ legislated to improve Douglas County roads
28. Have more patience for our very old population when they are behind the wheel.
29. Paint bike lanes, paint crosswalks
30. Defensive driving
31. Drive the posted speed
32. I can continue to pay my property taxes on time, adhere to the "laws of the road" and if it helps, I could be a petition circulator/ signature gatherer for proposed roundabout improvements.
33. One thing I find challenging is giving bikes and walking students enough room on busy roads where there isn't a path or sidewalk for them. It's so dangerous and giving them room often means pushing close to oncoming traffic.
34. Personally, I do not use my phone and try to be mindful of the traffic speed.
35. Work with the State to get more funding for Northern Roads
36. I always drive the posted speed limit, and always use my turn signals even if no one seems to be near.
37. I go out later in the day so as to avoid the above mentioned drivers.
38. Pay more for more traffic enforcement
39. Adhering to speed limits, wear reflective clothing when outside after dark, use lights on bikes after dark
40. Request the County or State to strongly take a look at 395 from 580 interchange to Waterloo to come up with a better traffic plan to reduce truck traffic and get Mueller Bypass open
41. I have a sign on the corner of our property kid alert slow down.
42. Move out
43. Drive during off-peak hours
44. I try to obey the traffic laws and to know what they are.
45. Encourage californians to not move here.
46. Obey speed limits, maintain safe following distance.
47. Just pay attention to your surroundings. Do not be distracted.
48. Be hyper vigilant when driving down those reds.
49. Adhere to speed limits while driving.
50. Travel the speed limit on Main Street!
51. I could ride my bike or walk instead of drive if there were clear pedestrian or bike paths.
52. Stay attentive and alert.
53. Drive as little as possible...the traffic is getting out of control due to growth and no infrastructure improvements. We've outgrown our roads.
54.
55. Maintain the speed limit Be aware of bikers and walkers throughout Douglas County Not be a distracted driver
56. Drive more alert!
57. I drive the speed limit. I do not drive recklessly. I respect law enforcement.
58. Advocate for sidewalks and start a non-profit to help maintain/plow sidewalks
59. Stay off the street and blm. Nothing else to do.
60. Obey all laws at all times.
61. Drive more cautiously and increase awareness of hazards. Perhaps some public outreach by the county could encourage others to do the same by

identifying the risky situations here and how to navigate them safely.
62. Move
63. Don't drive and add to the chaos.
64. Drive less, if we had public transit available
65. Drive less
66. Try not to be irritated by other drivers who are speeding, tailgating, and distracted. Turn phone on silent while driving.
67. Lol. I'm an old lady, and I usually drive slower than the posted limit because I am in no hurry and I enjoy the scenery. Wish the speed demons would get off my tail!
68. I take the back roads as much as possible or simply do not drive (have stuff delivered). People are NUTS.
69. Put signs out to tell people to slow down.
70. Drive safely at a reasonable speed.
71. We can all improve. I avoid the busy roads if possible
72. As I get older driving at night is getting more difficult, so I could do all my errands early.
73. Drive defensibly and cautiously.
74. You don't want me to lol. I'd just start confronting idiots and rude drivers. Personally, I stop for pedestrians and stay in the right lane.
75. Stay aware and not use a phone!
76. Pay more taxes to improve traffic safety I'd gladly do so
77. Be more alert while driving
78. Avoid 395 (also Main Street) whenever possible.
79. I'm going to avoid East Valley. And, I'm extra vigilant at those horrible intersections on the 395 at Johnson and Stephanie.
80. Not hurry and look around carefully
81. Don't get distracted
82. Pay better attention to what's going on around me
83. Give myself extra travel time to prevent rushing, speeding.
84. Continue to obey traffic control devices and speed limits and exercise defensive driving.
85. Provide my feedback on current versus expected quality of roadways
86. Not ride my bicycle
87. I am a very safe an observant driver. I do all that I can to help others be safe along with myself.
88. Stay off the roads to avoid the elderly and the Californian.
89. Use alternative transportation, if available.
90. "Not get intimidated" and "forced" to drive faster due to someone tailgating my car, flipping me off because I drive the speed limit, throwing up their hands at me in frustration!!!!
91. Reduce my vehicular traffic through more walking and bicycling (tricycle). Be aware of pedestrian and cyclists.
92. Educate people, drive cautiously
93. Stay home and avoid going out to local shops and restaurants, we are all already doing our part
94. I always make sure to triple check when crossing because drivers are reckless
95. Drive the speed limit
96. Use dash cams and submit reckless illegal actions to dcso
97. Drive appropriately for road conditions; wait several seconds & look both directions before entering controlled intersections; be courteous; report reckless driving
98. Unsure
99. Pay more attention.
100. Leave with plenty of time.
101. Not drive when it snow.

102. I do everything already: drive carefully and pay attention.
103. Continue to be patient and I never will turn my phone on as usual it'll be off.
104. Use bike and walk more instead of having to drive everywhere
105. Drive defensively. Blow my horn when drivers are making errors.
106. Continue to be aware.
107. Continue to be the example and follow the rules I expect others to be following ;)
108. Pay my taxes keep a better distance between cars
109. Make all Californians return to California.
110. Stay calm and not get so frustrated when I'm around bad drivers.
111. Start holding the county responsible for issues
112. Not sure.
113. Be more attentive to other traffic so I don't get surprised by their movements ie. lane changes, turning movements etc.

AGENDA ITEM NO. I.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: CONSENT ITEMS

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to retroactively approve the salary of a new Deputy Sheriff II to start at Step 6 ($43.22 per hour) based upon the experience and qualifications of the candidate. (Undersheriff Elges)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Retroactively approve the salary for a new Deputy Sheriff II to start at Step 6 ($43.22 an hour) based upon the experience and qualifications of the candidate.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
The position will be funded out of Patrol Salaries and Benefits 101.226.510.000. Total cost of the position with benefits is approximately $159,940.

BACKGROUND:
The newly hired Deputy Sheriff II comes from a larger Nevada metropolitan city police department with more than 13 years of patrol experience. The new hire has been involved in a large number of stressful, high-risk incidents, as well as working with a high volume calls for service. The new hire is a Nevada Category I police officer set by Nevada POST standards and training with the opportunity to apply for higher level Nevada POST certifications based on the current years of service, education, and experience. The new hire started employment on October 5, 2026.

ATTACHMENTS:
Deputy II Resume_Redacted 101526 BOCC.pdf

[redacted]

[redacted] | [redacted]

Professional Summary

Nevada POST Category I certified law enforcement professional with more than 13 years of experience at the Henderson Police Department. Background in proactive patrol, criminal investigations, traffic enforcement, courtroom testimony, field training, and acting supervisory responsibilities.

Law Enforcement Experience

Police Officer | Henderson Police Department

Henderson, Nevada | 2013 - 2026

- Conducted proactive patrol and responded to a wide range of calls for service.
- Enforced state and local laws through investigations, arrests, and traffic enforcement.
- Investigated property crimes, violent offenses, and driving under the influence incidents.
- Prepared detailed police reports and provided courtroom testimony.
- Served as Officer in Charge, performing sergeant supervisory duties in the sergeant's absence and supervising up to 10 employees.
- Served as a Field Training Officer, mentoring and evaluating probationary officers. Brought nearly nine years of field training experience.
- Exercised sound judgment in high-risk situations and ensured compliance with departmental policy, legal standards, and officer safety protocols.

Certification and Training

Nevada POST Category I Certification | Issued September 19, 2013
Southern Desert Regional Police Academy

Education

Associate Degree in General Studies
Ensign College formerly LDS Business College | Salt Lake City, Utah

High School Diploma
Cheyenne High School | Las Vegas, Nevada | 2008

Additional Experience and Languages

Yard Worker | Ahern Rental Company | Las Vegas, Nevada | June - September 2011
Performed semi-trailer maintenance, maintained mechanic workspaces, and transported parts and coworkers.

Spanish | Fair proficiency in speaking, reading, and writing

AGENDA ITEM NO. J.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: CONSENT ITEMS

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to retroactively approve a one-year extension of the contract with Government Software Assurance, Inc. (GSA), effective February 2026, in the amount of $97,350, for software maintenance and hosting of the Property Tax and Computer Aided Mass Appraisal (CAMA) system used by the Assessor's Office for Fiscal Year 2026–27. (Trent Tholen, Assessor)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Retroactively approve a one-year extension of the contract with GSA, effective February 2026, in the amount of $97,350, for software maintenance and hosting of the Property Tax and CAMA system used by the Assessor's Office for Fiscal Year 2026–27, as presented.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
$97,350 was previously budgeted for Fiscal Year 2026-27 (Software 101-162 533.806).

BACKGROUND:
On March 5, 2020, the Douglas County Board of Commissioners approved a contract for services with Government Software Assurance, Inc. (GSA) for Property Tax and Computer Aided Mass Appraisal (CAMA) software development, tax collection and maintenance. The contract includes provisions for annual fiscal year extensions or renewals. The software supports critical property tax appraisal and tax collection functions performed by the Assessor's Office and the Clerk-Treasurer's Office and is essential to the continued operations of both offices.

Historically, the Assessor and Treasurer portions of the software were administered under the same contract, with costs allocated between the two departments. More recently, GSA began separately billing each office and issuing separate contract amendments for the Assessor and Treasurer portions of the agreement. The attached extension letter pertains specifically to the Assessor's Office and was signed by the Assessor in February 2026. This contract extension is for Fiscal Year 2026-27.

ATTACHMENTS:

2026-27 GSA Contract Ext - Assessor.pdf
GSA_Recorded_Contract_31220.pdf

December 8, 2025

Douglas County
1616 8th St.
Minden, NV 89423

Attn: Assessor's office:

Government Software Assurance Corporation hereinafter referred to as "GSA" is pleased to offer this proposal for Maintenance and SaaS Hosting of the GSA CAMA system for Douglas County Assessor hereinafter referred to as "Client".

Upon acceptance, GSA shall be responsible for the obligations detailed in the Master Services Agreement and Hosting Workplan ("Agreements") between GSA and Client.

Annual support and maintenance fees are as follows:

Support Services	**Fee**
SaaS Subscription Fees	$97,350

Annual services will be billed quarterly at twenty-five percent (25%) the annual rate. GSA and Client agree that the term of this agreement shall be from July 1, 2026, through June 30, 2027.

For Client:

Signature

Trent Tholen
Name

Assessor
Title

2/2/2026
Date

For GSA:

Signature

Larry Zirbel
Name

President
Title

Date

1276 Minnesota Ave, Winter Park, FL 32789
www.gsacorp.io – (407) 951-8038

DOUGLAS COUNTY, NV
This is a no fee document
NO FEE
DOUGLAS COUNTY/CLERK
TREASURER

2020-943358
03/11/2020 10:54 AM
Pgs=19



KAREN ELLISON, RECORDER

Recorder's Office Cover Sheet

Recording Requested By:

Name: KATHY LEWIS

Department: CLERK/TREASURER

Type of Document: (please select one)

- [X] **Agreement**
- [ ] **Contract**
- [ ] **Grant**
- [ ] **Change Order**
- [ ] **Easement**
- [ ] **Other** **specify:**_____

FILED
NO. 2020.255
3-10-20
DATE
DOUGLAS COUNTY CLERK
MINDEN, NV
BY _____ DEPUTY

MASTER AGREEMENT FOR SOFTWARE LICENCES and PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

This Agreement is entered into effective as of: April 1st, 2020 ("**Effective Date**")

By and Between	**And**
Douglas County 1616 8th St. Minden, NV 89423 Attention: Kathy Lewis Phone: ( ) 775-782-6273 Email: klewis@douglasnv.us	**GOVERNMENT SOFTWARE ASSURANCE, INC.** 1276 Minnesota Avenue Winter Park, Florida 32789 Attention: Lawrence D. Zirbel Phone: (407) 951-8038 **Email: ldz@localgsa.com**

Whereas Douglas County Assessor (CLIENT) is a governmental body charged and empowered with the responsibilities to properly manage and maintain their duties in accordance with its charter in accordance with the Laws of the State of Nevada; and Whereas Government Software Assurance, Inc. (VENDOR) is a computer software developer and IT services company and hardware reseller experienced in the installation and implementation of software used by the local and county governments to manage their operations and provide public services; and Whereas CLIENT desires that VENDOR configure and install the software and services defined in the Scope of Work (SOW) hereunder in accordance with VENDOR's standard procedures and practices; and Whereas VENDOR has agreed to proceed with the installation and to provide the services as defined in the SOW; Therefore in consideration of these recitals and mutual promises and agreements hereinafter set forth,

the parties agree as follows:

1. **DEFINITIONS.**

"**Deliverables**" means the list of software licenses, hardware, software and tasks to be provided as identified in the "SOW".

"**Documentation**" means the user documentation necessary to instruct users in the efficient use and operation of the Software and all of its features, and the technical documentation necessary to maintain, support, and enhance the Software, all written according to generally accepted industry standards.

"**CPI**" means the consumer price index as published by the Federal Government.

"**Scope of Work**" and "**SOW**" Means the list of activities, responsibilities and deliverables as defined in Work Plan

"**Proprietary Rights**" means all patents, patent applications and rights to file same, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets, know-how and all other intellectual property and proprietary rights of any kind.

"**Services**" means all work necessary to perform the services described in this Agreement and to develop the Deliverables to be provided by VENDOR under this Agreement, including, but not limited to, consulting, analysis, design, programming, testing, conversion, installation, implementation, demonstrations, maintenance, and support services.

"**Software**" or "**Licensed Software**" means the computer software, in object code format, developed by VENDOR (whether developed solely or in collaboration with any other party) in performing the Services under this Agreement and provided to CLIENT by VENDOR or otherwise accessed by CLIENT under this agreement.

"**Source Code**" or "**Source Code License**" means the computer software in source code format of the of the Licensed Software granted hereunder.

"**Purchase Order**" means the written document authorizing the commencement of a Work Plan and commitment to pay the applicable fees.

"**Web Site Content**" means the text, graphics and supporting HTML and scripts that comprises the working web site excluding third party software Licensed Software.

"**Work Plans**" shall have the meaning as set forth in section 2.1.

"**Recurring Fees**" means fees for, i) support of Licensed Software, ii) Hosting Services, iii) Software Subscriptions.

"**Fiscal Year**" means the month that a new budget takes effect as per governing law for CLIENT and the following eleven months.

2. **SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED**

2.1 **Work Plans:** VENDOR shall develop a written document that contains a detailed description of the tasks to be performed by VENDOR (the "Work Plan"), based on the products and services requested by CLIENT and procured through release of a Purchase Order by CLIENT. The Deliverables, the Payment Schedule, the responsibilities of the parties, the prerequisites to performance and the commencement and completion dates for the tasks shall be identified in the Work Plan and once approved, shall be considered the SOW for the engagement listed in the Purchase Order.

2.2 **Amendments.** CLIENT may, from time to time, add or removed products and services identified in the SOW. All amendments to the SOW shall be in writing and signed by the Project Manager for each party. The fees associated with any amendments shall be in writing and signed by the Project Manager for each party.

3. **PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND STAFFING.**

3.1 **Vendor Project Manager.** VENDOR shall assign and designate a staff member as its project manager ("Vendor Project Manager") who shall have the principal responsibility for overseeing and managing the performance of obligations of VENDOR under this Agreement, including, without limitation, arranging all meetings, visits, and consultations between the parties, and for the transfer of Deliverables and technical information between the parties. The VENDOR Project Manager shall be the primary point of contact for VENDOR and shall also be responsible for receiving all notices under this Agreement and for all administrative matters. VENDOR may replace the person serving as VENDOR Project Manager with written notice to CLIENT.

3.2 **Client Project Manager.** CLIENT shall assign and designate a person, either staff member or consultant for each constitutional office, as its project manager ("Client Project Manager") who shall have the principal responsibility for overseeing and managing the performance of obligations of CLIENT under this Agreement, including, without limitation, arranging all meetings, visits, and consultations between the parties, and for the transfer of Deliverables and technical information between the parties. The CLIENT Project Manager shall be the primary point of contact for CLIENT and shall also be responsible for receiving all notices under this Agreement and for all administrative matters. CLIENT may replace the person serving as its Project Manager upon prior written notice to VENDOR. Any written agreement between the Project Managers shall be binding on both parties.

3.3 **Staffing.** Personnel provided by VENDOR to perform services under this Agreement shall have the appropriate technical and application skills to enable them to adequately perform their duties. VENDOR shall use its best efforts to assure continuity in staffing of personnel.

4. **TIMING.**

4.1 **Efforts.** Each party agrees to use commercially reasonable efforts to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement and to meet the performance dates set forth in the Implementation Plan.

4.2 **Interdependency of Dates.** Each party understands that any variation from the performance dates in the Work Plan may adversely impact project milestones, including, without limitation, the date of completion of this project.

4.3 **Notice of Delay.** Each party agrees to notify the other party promptly of any factor, occurrence, or event coming to its attention that may affect that party's ability to meet the requirements of this Agreement, or that is likely to occasion any material delay in delivery of any Deliverable.

5. **TERMS OF PAYMENT.**

5.1 **License Fees:** CLIENT shall pay VENDOR the license fees set forth in the Work Plan for licensed software provided therein. Unless otherwise provided in the applicable Work Plan, License Fees shall be payable in full by CLIENT upon delivery of Software to Client.

5.2 **Support Fees:** CLIENT agrees to pay VENDOR the support fees set forth in the Work Plan. Unless otherwise stated in the applicable Work Plan, support fees shall be invoiced annually, in advance, on the first day of the month following the day of Delivery of the Licensed Software.

5.3 **Subscription and Hosting Fees:** CLIENT agrees to pay VENDOR hosting and subscription fees set forth in the Work Plan. Unless otherwise

stated in the applicable Work Plan, Subscription and Hosting Fees shall be billed quarterly, in advance, starting on the first day of the month following completion of both the provisioning of the hosted environment and setup of the subscribed to software

5.4 **Hardware Fees.** CLIENT agrees to pay VENDOR the fees set forth in the Work Plan for hardware. Unless the Work Plan states otherwise, all hardware fees shall be invoiced at the time of delivery.

5.5 **Other Service Fees.** Unless otherwise stated in the applicable Work Plan, CLIENT shall pay all fees for Services, other than Recurring Fees, on a time and materials basis according to VENDOR's then current rates for Services. VENDOR will invoice monthly for Services as used.

5.6 **Payment Terms.** The fees and terms of payment set forth in the Payment Plan shall be payable to VENDOR as set forth in the Payment Schedule and Fees of the applicable Work Plan. Unless otherwise stated in the applicable Work Plan, all invoices shall be payable within thirty days of receipt of the invoice. Payments not received within forty-five (45) days of invoicing shall be designated as Late Payments.

5.7 **Late Payments:** VENDOR may assess a 5% fee of the unpaid invoice each month for all Late Payments.

5.8 **Increases in Fees.** Increases in Recurring Fees shall only take place on the first day of CLIENT's Fiscal Year and shall not exceed CPI plus 3.5% for the first two (2) Fiscal Years from the effective date of the Agreement. VENDOR will provide written notification of fee increases at least thirty (30) days prior to the new Fiscal Year in which the fees will become effective.

5.9 **Fee Period.** Service Fees and rates stated under any Work Plan shall remain in effect for twelve (12) months from the date of Work Plan unless otherwise specified in the applicable Work Plan.

5.10 **No Cost to self-host.** In the event CLIENT elects to host the licensed software, VENDOR shall not charge any additional fees, except only that VENDOR may charge fees, either under a fixed price or time and materials at CLIENT's request to assist CLIENT with setup and configuration of VENDOR's software on CLIENT's computers. Such work shall be provided under a separate Work Plan.

5.11 **Reimbursable Expenses.** Unless otherwise stated in the applicable work plan, CLIENT agrees to reimburse VENDOR for all reasonable and customary out-of-pocket expenses including but not limited to travel, parking, tolls, meals and lodging expenses incurred by VENDOR in connection with the performance of any Work Plan. Meals shall not exceed VENDOR's then in effect per diem amount.

6. CUSTOMER RESPONSIBILITIES

6.1 **Communications.** CLIENT agrees to provide VENDOR with access to its network using an industry standard, gateway to gateway VPN via the Internet capable of connecting to VENDOR's Cisco VPN appliance. VENDOR requires access to servers and other hardware to provide support and CLIENT agrees that VENDOR shall not be required to provide support if access is denied.

6.2 **Security.** CLIENT shall, at its own expense, protect its network, data and computer services and protect against any unauthorized access to such facilities and computer viruses. VENDOR shall not be responsible for any security breach or virus and expressly disclaims any liability for loss or damage caused by the unauthorized access or virus to CLIENT's computer systems.

6.3 **Security Policy.** VENDOR agrees to abide by CLIENT's published security policies.

7. OWNERSHIP AND LICENSES.

7.1 **Ownership by VENDOR.** The Deliverables and all elements of all Deliverables shall be exclusively owned by VENDOR. VENDOR shall exclusively own all Proprietary Rights embodied in or pertaining to the Deliverables and any portions of the Deliverables made or conceived by VENDOR including the right to Copyright or Patent except only that Web Site Content shall be jointly owned by VENDOR and CLIENT.

7.2 **LICENSES.** All software originating with VENDOR under a Workplan shall be provided to CLIENT under a non-exclusive, irrevocable, perpetual Software License granted to CLIENT upon full payment of all Fees under that Work Plan to allow CLIENT to use the software. In no event shall CLIENT have the right to grant sublicenses for use or copy of the VENDOR software.

7.3 **Third-Party Rights.** In performing services under this Agreement, VENDOR agrees not to design, develop or provide to CLIENT any items that infringe any Proprietary Rights, privacy, publicity or other rights of any person or entity.

7.4 **Client Data.** All data maintained by the VENDOR system, whether hosted by CLIENT

or VENDOR shall remain the property of the CLIENT. All CLIENT owned data is confidential and proprietary to the CLIENT and VENDOR shall not use or disclose any CLIENT data without express written consent by CLIENT. Configuration methods and algorithms are owned by the VENDOR and are confidential and proprietary to the VENDOR.

8. **ACCESS TO SOURCE CODE.**

8.1 In the event that (i) GSA becomes insolvent or bankrupt, (ii) makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors, (iii) voluntarily initiates bankruptcy, insolvency, or reorganization proceedings (iv) is the subject of involuntary bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization proceedings or (v) ceases business operations, CLIENT shall then have rights to Licensed Software source code for its own internal use subject to the provisions in sections 8.2 and 8.3 herein.

8.2 The CLIENT's right to receive a copy of the Licensed Software source code pursuant to Section 8.1 is subject to the following conditions which must be satisfied as of the date of CLIENT's request for such source code: (1) CLIENT must have an active licensed software maintenance agreement with GSA, or its assignees, covering the Licensed Software, (2) CLIENT must have paid to GSA, or its assignees, all fees and other amounts due under all Work Plans hereunder, and (3) there must not have been any lapse in maintenance coverage with respect to the Licensed Software.

8.3 The Licensed Software source code is "Confidential Information" and shall not be disclosed by CLIENT to any third parties.

9. **REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES.**

9.1 **Third Party Rights**. VENDOR warrants that none of the written or recorded material prepared for CLIENT by VENDOR pursuant to the Agreement, or any portion of it, nor the use of description thereof, violates or will violate any copyright, trade secret or other intellectual property right or privacy or like right to a third party. VENDOR agrees to inform CLIENT promptly, in writing, in the event VENDOR becomes aware of such a claim.

9.2 **Qualifications**. VENDOR warrants that the technical services provided by VENDOR shall be rendered by qualified personnel who will perform the tasks assigned to VENDOR with good professional practice in accordance with the current state of the art involved.

9.3 **DISCLAIMER. Except for the forgoing express warranties VENDOR disclaims all warranties, either expressed or implied, with respect to the written and/or recorded material prepared for or supplied to CLIENT pursuant to this Agreement, including, but not limited to any warranties as to the merchantability of such materials or fitness of such materials for a particular use.**

10. **CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.**

10.1 **Nondisclosure**. Except as necessary to perform the Services and except as otherwise expressly permitted under this Agreement, both parties shall maintain the confidentiality of, and shall not, directly or indirectly, disclose or use, the Confidential Information of the other party. As used in this Agreement, the term "**Confidential Information**" means and includes all source code, product specifications, client lists, business goals, finances, planned or proposed products, manuals, documentation, database schemas and any information labeled as "Proprietary", or "Confidential". Both parties shall disclose such information only to employees who require such knowledge of use in the ordinary course and scope of their employment under this Agreement. Both Parties agree to ensure that any employees or approved subcontractors who are in receipt of any Confidential Information are bound by an appropriate non-disclosure agreement.

10.2 **No Export and Use**. Neither party shall export, disclose, share, or otherwise transmit, directly or indirectly, any Confidential Information to any person or entity nor disclose any Confidential Information without the other party's prior written consent

10.3 **Duration**. The obligations set forth in this Section 9 shall be in effect during the term of this Agreement and shall survive indefinitely after termination of this Agreement.

10.4 **Use of Trademarks**. Neither party shall, without the other's written agreement, use any trademark, service mark, trade name, logo or other commercial or product designation belonging to the other.

11. **INDEMNIFICATION.**

11.1 VENDOR agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold CLIENT, its officers, directors, employees, representatives, agents, and the like harmless with respect to all liability, loss, damage, claims, actions and expenses (including attorneys' fees)

based upon or arising out of (a) any infringement by the Deliverables of any patent, copyright, trade secret, or other proprietary right of any third party.

11.2 CLIENT agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold VENDOR, its officers, directors, employees, representative, agents and the like harmless with respect to all liability, loss, damage, claims, actions and expenses (including attorneys' fees) based upon or arising out of any claim of infringement in connection with an assertion that any CLIENT Database (or any data contained therein), or any other data, software, documentation, or materials provided or made available by the CLIENT to VENDOR in connection with performance under this Agreement, or any use of any of the foregoing in accordance with this Agreement, infringes any copyright, trademark, patent, or other intellectual property or proprietary right, or constitutes a misappropriation of any trade secret.

11.3 Both parties agree to notify the other party in the event of a claim or suit and shall reasonably cooperate with the other party regarding such defense and shall furnish, on request, information reasonably available for such defense. Neither party shall be authorized to agree to any settlement or compromise that would require the other party to make a payment or that does fully release the other party without their express written consent.

12. **TERMINATION.**

12.1 **By Either Party**. Either party shall have the right to terminate this Agreement upon written notice upon the occurrence of either of the following events:

12.1.1 *Default.* If the other party defaults in the performance of any of its material obligations under this Agreement and such default continues for a period of thirty (30) days after receipt of written notice specifying the nature of the breach.

12.1.2 *Convenience.* This Agreement shall be for an initial term of thirty-six (36) months. Thereafter, the Agreement shall automatically renew for additional periods of twelve (12) months, for a maximum term of 10 years at which point a new contract may be entered into for a fixed term, unless terminated by either party pursuant to this Section. Either party may terminate this Agreement with sixty (60) day notice prior to the end of the then current term.

12.1.3 *Ceases Doing Business, Etc.* If the other party ceases conducting business in the normal course, admits its insolvency, makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors, or becomes the subject of any judicial or administrative proceedings in bankruptcy, receivership or reorganization.

12.1.4 *Non-Funding.* CLIENT shall make all reasonable efforts to secure the appropriate funding for the continuation of this Agreement. In the event the proper appropriation of funds is not available for any fiscal year after the first fiscal year, then this agreement may be terminated by CLIENT with 30 days written notice and without penalty and CLIENT shall make payment of all sums then owed to VENDOR under this agreement and CLIENT shall discontinue all use of Software provided under this Workplan for which funding was not appropriated.

12.1.5 *Time is of the Essence.* Time is of the essence in the performance of this agreement.

12.2 **Deliverables**. If this Agreement is terminated for any reason, VENDOR shall advise CLIENT of the extent to which performance has been completed through the termination notice date, and collect and deliver to CLIENT all Deliverables, including, without limitation, all work-in-progress. VENDOR shall be paid for all work performed through the date of receipt of notice of termination.

13. **GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

13.1 **Independent Contractor**. VENDOR is an independent contractor and nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to make VENDOR an agent, employee or joint venture of CLIENT. Neither VENDOR nor any employees, agents or subcontractors of VENDOR shall be entitled to any benefits that CLIENT provides for its own employees, including, without limitation, worker's compensation and unemployment insurance. VENDOR shall be solely and entirely responsible for VENDOR's acts and the acts of VENDOR's employees, agents and subcontractors.

13.2 **Delays**. Neither party shall be liable to the other party for any delay or failure to perform its obligations if such delay or failure arises from any cause beyond the reasonable control of that party.

13.3 **Return of Materials**. Upon termination of this Agreement, both parties shall deliver to the other, all Confidential Information and other materials, including, without limitation, all source code, flow charts, diagrams, drawings, blueprints, keys, tools, business notes, memoranda, specifications, devices, and documents. Except

as may be necessary to defend against any legal claims and held in confidence my legal counsel, each party shall not retain any photocopies, facsimiles, tapes or other copies of any of the materials or Confidential Information.

13.4 **Notices**. All notices given under this Agreement shall be in writing and mailed by regular first-class mail or expedited mail service, postage prepaid and addressed to the parties at the addresses first set forth above or at such other addresses as the parties may designate in writing. Notices sent via email shall be deemed given once the receiving party acknowledges receipt of the email.

13.5 **Amendment**. No provision of this Agreement may be modified except by a written document signed by a duly authorized representative of each party.

13.6 **Assignment**. VENDOR may subcontract or assign this Agreement including any of VENDOR's rights or obligations under this Agreement with 30 days prior written notice to CLIENT.

13.7 **Waiver**. No provision of this Agreement shall be deemed waived and no breach excused, unless such waiver or consent shall be in writing and signed by the party claimed to have waived or consented. Any consent by any party to, or waiver of, a breach of the other party, whether express or implied, shall not constitute a consent to, waiver of, or excuse for any different or subsequent breach.

13.8 **Binding Effect**. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of VENDOR and CLIENT and their respective legal representatives, successors and authorized assigns.

13.9 **Counterparts**. This Agreement may be executed simultaneously in one or more counterparts each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same agreement.

13.10 **Severability**. If any provision of this Agreement shall be prohibited or unenforceable by any applicable law, the provision shall be ineffective only to the extent and for the duration of the prohibition of unenforceability, without invalidating any of the remaining provisions.

13.11 **Remedies**. The rights and remedies provided herein shall be cumulative and in addition to any other remedies available at law and in equity. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, the liability of VENDOR for any breach of it obligations under this Agreement and/or for any indemnity obligation hereunder shall be limited to the aggregate amounts received by VENDOR under the specific work plan for which the breach occurred. and in no event shall VENDOR be liable for lost profits or special or consequential damages.

13.12 **Compliance with Laws**. In connection with the performance of services, VENDOR shall fully comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations of all authorities, including, without limitation, immigration, occupational safety, civil rights, and insurance laws.

13.13 **Governing Law**. This Agreement shall be governed by and interpreted according to the laws of the State of Nevada.

13.14 **Venue.** To the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, each party hereto agrees: (a) that any claim, action or proceeding by such party seeking any relief arising out of, or in connection with, this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby shall be brought only in the Federal court located in Douglas County, Nevada, and not in any other state or federal court; (b) to submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of such court and waives and agrees not to assert any objection that the laying of such venue has been brought in an inconvenient forum; and (c) that a judgment in any such action or proceeding may be enforced in other jurisdictions by suit on the judgment or in any other manner provided by applicable law.

13.15 **Survival of Certain Obligations**. After expiration or termination of this Agreement, those provisions that specifically provide for survival beyond expiration or termination, and all provisions, if any, regarding indemnification, warranty, limitations of liability, and confidentiality and/or protection of proprietary rights and trade secrets shall survive indefinitely or until the expiration of the time period specified elsewhere in this Agreement with respect to the provision in question.

13.16 **Entire Agreement**. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between VENDOR and CLIENT with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement and supersedes all earlier agreements and understandings, oral and written, between the parties.

The parties have signed this Agreement as of the date first written above.

CLIENT:
DOUGLAS COUNTY NEVADA

By: _____

Title: County Manager

Date: 3/9/20

VENDOR:
GOVERNMENT SOFTWARE ASSURANCE, CORP.

By: _____

Title: President

Date: _____

Work Plan

GSA-Douglas 01

CAMA System Work Plan

Government Software Assurance, Inc.

4/1/ 2020

Work Plan: GSA-Douglas 01

This Work Plan # 01 ("Work Plan") is between Government Software Assurance, Corp. ("GSA") and Douglas County ("CLIENT") and is issued in accordance with and as a supplement to the provisions of the Master Agreement For Software Licenses and Professional Services executed between GSA and CLIENT on the date of Douglas County Board of County Commissioners' approval (the "Agreement"). By executing this Work Plan, both parties agree that the terms and conditions of the Agreement shall be incorporated herein. In the event of a conflict between the terms of this Work Plan and the terms of the Agreement, this Work Plan shall take precedence over any conflicting terms of the Agreement.

Project: GSA CAMA System Installation
Project Duration: _____, 2020 – _____, 2025

The Work has been approved by:

For CLIENT

By: _____

Its: _____

Date: _____

For Government Software Assurance Inc.:

By: _____

Its: Insurance Risk Consultant

Effective Date: _____

DELIVERABLES

#	Name	Description
1	GSA CAMA System License	Upon full payment of all fees due hereunder, GSA hereby grants a license to the following GSA Modules: a. CAMA (real estate valuation and assessment) b. PP (personal property valuation and assessment) c. TABLE MAINT (system setting and costing tables) d. ROLL PROC, (Tax roll processing – NV compliant) e. USER MGT, (stand-a-lone user ID or AD integration) f. CONDO (Condo complex valuation) g. SALES RATIO (Ratio study tool) h. PERMIT IMPORT i. MOVING PERMITS (Trailer moving permit processing)
2	GSA Collections System License	Upon full payment of all fees due hereunder, GSA hereby grants a license to the following GSA Modules a. COLLECTIONS (Collections Processing) b. Cashier (Payments processing) c. TABLE MAINT (system settings and configuration) d. ROLL PROC (Tax roll import and processing – NV Compliant)
3	Data Conversion	CLIENT shall provide all data to be converted in machine readable form or access to electronic files. GSA shall write conversion scripts to convert data into GSA's Database or link to data as appropriate and consistent with GSA common practice. GSA shall convert current and all prior year data as made available in consistent machine-readable form such that GSA is not required to write custom conversion scripts for every prior year's data. Data cleaning or manual conversion of data will be Client's responsibly GSA and Douglas County will work together to define sample transactions to validate system functionality. Douglas County will be responsible for processing sample transactions in the software and verifying sufficiency and correctness. GSA will also test the software for compliance with the requirements in Section 5 and 6 of this scope of work.
4	Project Management	GSA and CLIENT shall each designate a project manager to work together for project planning and implementation support. GSA shall be primarily responsible for project management and will conduct regular project status meetings throughout the term of this work plan. The detailed project plan and project milestones will be determined by the project managers.

#	Name	Description
5	Basic System Features	The GSA tax collection and appraisal system will provide an integrated solution for Computer Assisted Mass Appraisal Assessment and Tax Roll Extension and Collection ("software") in accordance with Nevada Revised Statutes that reduces or eliminates the need for redundant data entry between Assessment and Tax Collection and Cashiering, promotes efficiency, and ensures data consistency and integrity to the extent practical and using methods consistent with GSA standard practices and using GSA's product's standard look and feel.
6	Specific Features	The software will match or enhance the current Douglas County assessment, tax roll extension and collection primary functions of the Assessor's and Clerk-Treasurer's Offices, including: 1. Tax assessment, including Computer Assisted Mass Appraisals 2. Tax roll extension and billing, including grouping bills for an agent 3. Tax roll collection, including but not limited to: a) Assessing interest and penalties b) Partial payments c) Processing payments with a prior effective date d) Electronic data imports of payments from tax service companies e) Account status file for tax service companies f) Single payment for multiple parcels/accounts g) Ability to apply payment to specific installment h) Ability to process internet transactions i) Refund capability j) Process payments that are returned for nonsufficient funds k) Add delinquent publication costs to penalties 4. Website inquiry and payment options 5. Integration with Active Directory 6. Appraisal mobile interface for remote, wireless access for iPad or tablet devices 7. Workflow capability that allows automatic distribution of work to staff, supervisory review and approval processes, and ability to reassign work 8. Access security by roles, individuals, and groups to include create, read, update, and delete access 9. Audit trail for changes and transactions with the ability to track by user, date, and time. The methods, look and feel, user interface, keystrokes and specific features will not be identical to the legacy system as the two systems use different

#	Name	Description
		technologies. The GSA system will accomplish the primary functions of the legacy system using GSA's published or standard methods and GSA's methods of operation. Specific Legacy System features that are desired in the GSA system will be identified during project workshops and incorporated to the extent possible into the GSA System
7	Integration	GSA will provide integration of its software and system to the following third-party systems or applications using an interface as mutually agreed upon by GSA and the third parties or using standard API's available within the various third-party systems: Marshall and Swift MVP Apex County's financial system for cash collections and general ledger Recorder's system for deed informati0n ESRI GIS system Excel imports of Tax Roll Assessments
8	Reporting	The software will produce reports, forms, files, and documents required for tax roll assessment, collection and processing that are currently being provided by Douglas County's existing processes, including: Mandatory reporting per the State of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS), the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC), the Nevada Department of Taxation. Douglas County shall provide samples of all reports it currently uses, together with descriptions of personnel who run each report, the frequency with which each report is run, and all intended recipients of the report. Before requesting the creation of new reports, Douglas County will review the software's available reports and shall use available reports whenever possible to the extent such reports sufficiently satisfy Douglas County's requirements. Douglas County will inspect all these documents and verify their sufficiency and correctness. The software will allow ad-hoc queries including robust search/inquiry capabilities for data analysis and inquiry, with ability to save the query template and to export the data to Excel.

#	Name	Description
9	Training	GSA will provide training on the system and its features to CLIENT staff and CLIENT and GSA will work together to train all users on how to use the system. The project managers will determine the training to be provided and mechanisms for training during the course of the project. See Pricing Assumptions
10	Software Platform	The software will operate on Microsoft server architecture with Microsoft SQL as the database being used. Douglas County will provide the associated hardware and licenses and host the data. GSA will be responsible for installing the software and regular database maintenance.
11	Maintenance	GSA will provide maintenance services in accordance with the GSA Service Level Standards commencing when the system is first used in a production capacity. Maintenance fees are for the CLIENT's fiscal year and renew each year once in production.
12	Legislative Changes	GSA will modify its software to keep it compliant with legislative requirements while CLIENT is under a maintenance agreement with GSA.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PARTIES

CLIENT Responsibilities

1. Provide VPN access to both test and production systems using a gateway to gateway VPN (if CLIENT is hosting GSA CAMA at their facility)
2. Participate in Project Planning discussions, Workshops and approve Project Plans
3. Provide access to systems where integration is required
4. Participate in design discussions and approve the business processes to be implemented
5. Purchase directly any components or third-party licenses, if needed
6. Actively participate in training of end-users
7. Provide source code of modules written by CLIENT where GSA needs to replicate functionality
8. Conduct acceptance testing in advance of each milestone as outlined in the Project Plan which will be created and maintained by GSA and CLIENT Project Managers as part of this project
9. Provide information on current system's methods and operations
10. Interface with current system's authors to facilitate knowledge transfer if possible
11. Provide access to existing systems provided it does not violate any intellectual property rights
12. Provide data in electronic formats, in a consistent manner
13. Actively participate in the cleaning of inconsistent data or convert data from prior years into a consistent format
14. If client withholds payments for late deliverables against contract milestones, CLIENT will Indicate in writing any deficiencies for deliverables that GSA has submitted for acceptance testing within 30 calendar days.

15. Perform all System Administration and Database Administrative functions on CLIENT's server environment if self-hosting at their facility (unless CLIENT elects to secure those services from GSA at an additional cost).

GSA Responsibilities

1. Provide status and answer questions regarding the status of the project as requested by the CLIENT Project Manager
2. Manage the tasks, project plan, project documents and deliverables for the project
3. Provide Business Analysis (BA) services for configuration, setup, data conversion and system enhancements, as may be requested
4. Assist CLIENT in the setup and configuration of web services or other services as needed to interact with GSA systems
5. Convert all data provided by CLIENT into GSA's system
6. Provide the various modules and related functionality described in this Work Plan
7. During implementation GSA will host CLIENT'S instance of the application on GSA servers until the client goes into production, at which time CLIENT can elect to host themselves at CLIENT location or have GSA continue to host under a separate Work Plan.

Prerequisites to Performance

1. CLIENT will Provide written requirements for any specific feature at least 90 days prior to its due date or allow GSA to build such features according to its standard practice following industry standards and consistent with GSA's product look and feel
2. CLIENT will configure a gateway to gateway VPN connection and provide access to any servers to which GSA is required to access or to install software upon (if CLIENT is hosting GSA CAMA at their facilities)
3. CLIENT will provide fully configured servers and operational SQL Server instances and functioning IIS web servers and access onto which the software will be installed (if CLIENT is hosting GSA CAMA at their facilities).

MILESTONES

The following milestones must be met prior to the indicated due date. The project managers may change this set of milestones with written agreement by both CLIENT and GSA project managers. Final milestones will be determined and agreed upon within the first 60 days of the project.

#	Milestone	Due Date
1	Project Commencement	Apr 1, 2020
2	System Installation	May 1, 2020
3	Milestone and Project Plan Complete	Jun 1, 2020
4	Phase 1 Data Conversion	Aug 3, 2020
5	Phase 2 Data Conversion	Sept 1, 2020
6	Phase 3 Data Conversion - Initial Calculations	Dec 14, 2020
7	Reports Converted	Jan 18, 2021
8	PRC Available	Mar 8, 2021
9	Interface with GIS Complete	Apr 1, 2021

#	Milestone	Due Date
10	Phase 3 Data Conversion Verified	May 8, 2021
11	Public Portal Complete	Jul 2, 2021
12	State Reports Available	Aug 2, 2021
13	Roll Processing Complete	Aug 16, 2021
14	Tax Payment Processing Complete	Aug 16, 2021
15	Cashiering Module Complete	Sept 1, 2021
16	Training Complete	Sept 15, 2021
17	Full Production	Oct 1, 2021

Milestone Definitions

1. **Project Commencement:** Assignment of resources, detailed requests sent to county for data files and information. IT discussion on VPN access and file transfer procedures
2. **System Installation:**
    a. Setup of demo site for CLIENT access
    b. Creation of client databases for real estate, personal property and tax collection.
    c. Setup of login credentials and access to GSA wiki-based documentation.
    d. Setup of report server and file space for report and query storage
    e. Setup of M&S server
3. **Milestones and Project Plan Complete:**
    a. CLIENT and GSA will confirm or adjust milestones in this Work Plan
    b. GSA project manager will present a project management workbook with GAANT Chart
4. **Phase 1 Data Conversion:**
    a. Create data load scripts for client data files.
    b. Write conversion scripts to move data from client data files to GSA data tables all parcel objects, notes, names, addresses, legal descriptions and other attributes of parcels and personal property accounts.
    c. Provide access to converted data in GSA demo system to enable CLIENT to review initial converted data.
    d. Convert tax bills and events for collection system
5. **Phase 2 Data Conversion:**
    a. Costing tables implemented to provide object level values
    b. Run full system recalculation for PP accounts, Land, Buildings and Extra Features
    c. Install balance queries so CLIENT may see how GSA values compare to legacy system
    d. Configure and test payment processing in collection system
    e. Convert history summary data for collections, real estate and personal property
6. **Phase 3 Data Conversion – Initial Calculations:**
    a. Configure assessment components including exemptions, abatements
    b. Configure personal exemptions application
    c. Setup taxing districts and calculate taxes for all accounts and parcels
7. **Reports Converted:** Up to 10 simple, 5 moderate and 3 complex reports implemented

8. **PRC Available:** CLIENT may use PRC from an alternate Nevada County or GSA can create a custom PRC for Douglas. If a custom PRC is desired, specifications must be submitted to GSA at least sixty days prior to this milestone deadline.
9. **Interface with GIS Complete:** Client able to successfully map parcel data housed in GSA CAMA with ESRI GIS tools
10. **Phase 3 Data Conversion Verified:**
    a. Utilizing SQL scripts GSA will compare GSA values to legacy system
        i. Values are to be within 3% overall
        ii. Values to be within 5% on any one parcel
11. **Public Portal Complete:**
    a. Public facing website allowing constituents to search property data
    b. Personal Property online filing configured and available for deployment
12. **State Reports Available:** All state required reports are implemented and available
13. **Roll Processing Complete:** Ability to process entire tax roll on GSA CAMA
14. **Tax Payment Processing Complete:** Ability to process credit card payments
15. **Cashiering Module Complete:** Ability to post, batch and view payments
16. **Training Complete:** Training is ongoing through implementation in the form of workshops conducted primarily online and concludes with onsite training as described in "Additional pricing assumptions"
17. **Full Production:** Client successfully performing assessment and collection responsibilities in GSA CAMA

PAYMENT SCHEDULE

CLIENT shall make payments according to the following schedule. In the event a milestone is late, CLIENT, at its sole discretion may elect to withhold payments until such time as the milestone has been completed. Once a late milestone has been completed, CLIENT will remit withheld payments up through that milestone.

Projected Due Date	Milestone(s)	Project Fees	Maintenance Fees	Total
April 1, 2020	1	$70,000		$70,000
July 1, 2020	2, 3	$70,000		$70,000
October 1, 2020	4, 5	$70,000		$70,000
January 1, 2021	6	$70,000		$70,000
April 1, 2021	7, 8	$70,000		$70,000
July 1, 2021	9, 10	$70,000		$70,000
October 1, 2021	11-17	$70,000		$70,000
January 1, 2022	NA	$70,000		$70,000
April 1, 2022	NA	$80,000	$31,350	$111,350
July 1, 2022	NA	$80,000	$31,350	$111,350
October 1, 2022	NA		$31,350	$31,350
January 1, 2023	NA		$31,350	$31,350
April 1, 2023	NA		$31,350	$31,350
July 1, 2023	NA		$31,350	$31,350

Projected Due Date	Milestone(s)	Project Fees	Maintenance Fees	Total
October 1, 2023	NA		$31,350	$31,350
January 1, 2024	NA		$31,350	$31,350
April 1, 2024	NA		$31,350	$31,350
July 1, 2024	NA		$31,350	$31,350
October 1, 2024	NA		$31,350	$31,350
January 1, 2025	NA		$31,350	$31,350
Totals Over Contract Term		**$720,000**	**$376,200**	**$1,096,200**

A one-time licensing fee is included in the amortized project fees. Project fees cover all of GSA's expenses to bring CLIENT live on GSA CAMA software including (but not limited to) Project Management, Data Conversion, State Reporting Requirements, and Training unless otherwise stated in the following section "Pricing does not include".

Maintenance fees are for a fiscal year and commence on the first day of the month following the day when any part of the system is used in a production capacity and the yearly fee will be pro-rated. Production is expected to start on or before July 1, 2021. Please see GSA's Service Level Standards (SLS) for a detailed overview of Maintenance Support.

Pricing Does Not Include

- CoreLogic fees for use and integration with Marshall and Swift costing data will be paid directly by CLIENT or reimbursed by CLIENT if paid by GSA.
- Licenses for third party software will be purchased directly by CLIENT or reimbursed by CLIENT if purchased by GSA.
- 3rd party integrations (beyond SPSS integration, ESRI and M&S)
- Hosting, Hardware or 3rd Party Licensing Fees: SPSS, Marshal & Swift, Crystal, SQL, Windows Server (please see alternate hosting proposal)
- Field devices, scanners or other desktop / customer service counter equipment

Additional Pricing Assumptions

- Hardware and all operating environments will be provided by Client (unless Client elects to engage with GSA for a fully hosted solution under an alternate Work Plan)
- Douglas County will execute your own server environment set-up
- Douglas County will conduct data clean-up activities in your legacy CAMA system shortly before going live
- Travel is included and assumes an average of one site visit per quarter during implementation
- On-site training will consist of at least one trainer for a two-week duration
- The balance of training will be conducted online with zoom meetings (or similar product)
- GSA will be responsible for all source code modifications
- Significant system modifications may be subject to additional cost and/or separate workplan
- Additional modules developed by GSA may be made available at additional cost.
- Douglas County Assessor's Office will conduct Sys. Administration and Database Administration tasks (typical task outlined in the following table)

System Administration (SA)	Database Administration (DBA)
• Server monitoring and issue resolution • Microsoft Server installation, patches and management • Regular backups • System problem resolution • Replace failed hard drives • Installation of new GSA application software and patch releases	• Server monitoring and issue resolution • SQL Server installation, patches and management • Regular system backups • Database restoration as needed • Creation of test DB's as needed • End of year roll-over to new DB • Creating print files for TRIM and exemption cards • Replace failed hard drives as needed • Database updates in support of new GSA application releases

ADDITIONAL WORK

Any additional work performed outside of the scope of this Work Plan will be addressed in separate Work Orders. Each Work Order is subject to the terms of this Master Agreement and will be quoted separately based on scope and necessary resources, using the then current rates. Rates as of January 2020 outlined below, (rates are subject to change).

Title	Hourly Rate
Project Manager	$150
Business Analyst	$125
Software Developer	$125
Trainer	$120
System Administrator	$85
Technical Writer	$80
Travel, parking, tolls, meals and lodging expenses	Billed at Cost

Douglas County State of Nevada

CERTIFIED COPY

I certify that the document to which this certificate is attached is a full and correct copy of the original record on file in the Clerk-Treasurer's Office on this

10th day of [illegible], 2020

By: [illegible] Deputy

AGENDA ITEM NO. K.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: CONSENT ITEMS

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to approve an increase of $58,406 to Technology Services' current $733,370.56 purchase order with SHI International Corp. ("SHI") for Fiscal Year 2026-27, for a new total of $791,776.56, utilizing the State of Nevada Master Purchasing Agreement (99SWC-S108) for all purchases of software subscriptions, software licensing, and support services. (Peace Ruggia, Chief Information Officer)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Approve an increase of $58,406 to the current purchase order with SHI International Corp. for FY2026-27 as presented and authorize the Chief Information Officer to sign any necessary documents for the purchase order.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
Funding for the increase of $58,406, for a new total of $791,776.56, is available in the Technology Services software fund (101.192.533.806) and no additional budget transfer or augmentation is needed.

BACKGROUND:
Douglas County utilizes SHI as its technology software vendor to renew contracts for critical technology solution. The renewal was procured through SHI following a multi-vendor quoting process in which SHI provided the most cost effective option. Because this software had not previously been purchased through SHI, the additional amount of $58,406 was not reflected in the initial Purchase Order request. After completing the vendor evaluation for the renewal, it became clear that SHI offered the most advantageous pricing. Please refer to the original PO request below for further details.

This PO represents the aggregated purchase cost of the main suite of Douglas County software's including Microsoft 365 suite, VMware, Rubrik, and others. These software packages support operations across every department of Douglas County and are vital to the operations and security of Douglas County information technology.

SHI International Corp. is a major supplier of computer equipment, software, and technology services to government agencies and businesses. The State of Nevada Department of Administration Purchasing Division has established SHI International Corp. as a software value-added reseller with a Master Agreement, 99SWC-S108 ("Master Agreement"). The State of Nevada agreements are available on the Purchasing Division website at: https://purchasing.nv.gov. As set forth in NRS 3323.115, purchases for software and computer hardware are not adapted to award through the competitive bidding process and the County uses the State Master Agreement. Such purchases are also an exception to bidding requirements as allowed under Section II, F.1.d of Douglas County Purchasing Policy 300.19. The purpose of this agenda item is to fund all purchases for FY2026-27 (up to $733,370.56) to be completed under the State of Nevada's Master Purchasing Agreement.

ATTACHMENTS:

AGENDA ITEM NO. L.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: CONSENT ITEMS

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to retroactively approve Douglas County's participation in the Albertsons settlement agreement as a part of the One Nevada Agreement on the Allocation of Opioid Recoveries, and authorize the County Manager to execute any required documents. (Mark B. Jackson, District Attorney)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Retroactively approve Douglas County's participation in the Albertsons settlement agreement as a part of the One Nevada Agreement on the Allocation of Opioid Recoveries, and authorize the County Manager to execute any required documents.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

It is anticipated that Douglas County will recover approximately $133,011.64 after the deduction of all costs, including attorney's fees.

BACKGROUND:

On December 6, 2018, the Board of County Commissioners approved an agreement with the law firm of Eglet Adams to pursue claims against the manufacturers, distributors, and retailers of prescription opioids on behalf of Douglas County. On July 29, 2020, a complaint against numerous defendants was filed by Douglas County in the Ninth Judicial District Court.

The State of Nevada and many of the other counties and cities within Nevada have filed similar lawsuits. In an effort to improve the legal position of the State of Nevada and its political subdivisions, the County Commissioners approved Douglas County’s joining with the State of Nevada and its political subdivisions to allocate future opioid recoveries and authorized the County Manager to execute the "One Nevada

Agreement" on behalf of Douglas County.

The State of Nevada, Douglas County, and other local governments have previously joined multistate settlements with numerous opioids distributor and manufacturer defendants. The proposed settlement with Albertsons will resolve the claims the participants in the One Nevada Agreement, including Douglas County, have against this defendant. After deducting all costs, it is estimated that Douglas County's net allocation will be $133,011.64 of the $19,028,722.17 in settlement payments Albertons will pay to the State of Nevada and its counties and cities.

All settlement agreements are subject to oversight by the court. The State of Nevada, Douglas County, and other plaintiffs will continue to pursue the remaining defendants, including the opioid manufacturers.

ATTACHMENTS:
Albertsons Estimated Opioid Settlement Allocation (10-05-2026).pdf
Albertsons Opioid Settlement Agreement w Exhibits Circulated to States Aug 21 2026.pdf
Albertson Exhibit K - Douglas County executed.pdf

ALBERTSONS ESTIMATED
OPIOID LITIGATION SETTLEMENT CALCULATOR

NEVADA RECOVERY	**$19,028,722.17**
LEAD LITIGATOR COSTS ESTIMATE	**$ -**
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CMS MEDICAID COSTS (22.52%)	
ALLOCATION AMOUNT ESTIMATE	**$ 19,028,722.17**

GOVERNMENT ENTITY	GROSS ALLOCATION	ATTORNEY FEES	NET ALLOCATION
STATE OF NEVADA	$ 8,345,997.53	$ 1,794,389.47	$ 6,551,608.06
CARSON CITY	$ 193,848.80	$ 48,462.20	$ 145,386.60
CHURCHILL COUNTY	$ 74,918.50	$ 18,729.63	$ 56,188.87
CLARK COUNTY	$ 7,149,392.38	$ 1,787,348.10	$ 5,362,044.28
DOUGLAS COUNTY	$ 177,348.85	$ 44,337.21	$ 133,011.64
ELKO COUNTY	$ 115,648.46	$ -	$ 115,648.46
ESMERALDA COUNTY	$ 5,312.43	$ 1,328.11	$ 3,984.32
EUREKA COUNTY	$ 11,041.38	$ -	$ 11,041.38
HUMBOLDT COUNTY	$ 108,886.07	$ 27,221.52	$ 81,664.55
LANDER COUNTY	$ 38,750.93	$ -	$ 38,750.93
LINCOLN COUNTY	$ 25,353.14	$ 6,338.29	$ 19,014.85
LYON COUNTY	$ 167,947.36	$ 41,986.84	$ 125,960.52
MINERAL COUNTY	$ 64,020.82	$ 16,005.21	$ 48,015.61
NYE COUNTY	$ 171,103.51	$ 42,775.88	$ 128,327.63
PERSHING COUNTY	$ 38,719.52	$ -	$ 38,719.52
STOREY COUNTY	$ 13,501.99	$ -	$ 13,501.99
WASHOE COUNTY	$ 973,618.06	$ 243,404.52	$ 730,213.54
WHITE PINE COUNTY	$ 111,726.09	$ 27,931.52	$ 83,794.57
BOULDER CITY	$ 15,796.12	$ 3,949.03	$ 11,847.09
ELY CITY	$ 706.91	$ 176.73	$ 530.18
FERNLEY CITY	$ 1,543.73	$ 385.93	$ 1,157.80
HENDERSON CITY	$ 245,923.20	$ 61,480.80	$ 184,442.40
LAS VEGAS CITY	$ 504,299.07	$ 126,074.77	$ 378,224.30
MESQUITE CITY	$ 15,650.93	$ 3,912.73	$ 11,738.20
NORTH LAS VEGAS CITY	$ 259,150.80	$ 64,787.70	$ 194,363.10
RENO CITY	$ 144,888.33	$ 36,222.08	$ 108,666.25
SPARKS CITY	$ 45,436.08	$ 11,359.02	$ 34,077.06
WEST WENDOVER CITY	$ 6,025.23	$ 1,506.31	$ 4,518.92
CENTRAL LYON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	$ 1,612.27	$ 403.07	$ 1,209.20
NORTH LYON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT	$ 553.68	$ 138.42	$ 415.26
TOTAL:	**$ 19,028,722.17**	**$ 4,410,655.09**	**$ 14,618,067.08**

ALBERTSONS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

ALBERTSONS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement, dated as of August 20, 2026 (the "*Agreement"),* sets forth the terms of settlement between and among the Settling States, the Participating Subdivisions, and Albertsons (as those terms are defined below). Upon satisfaction of the conditions set forth in Sections II and VIII, this Agreement will be binding on the Settling States, Albertsons, and the Participating Subdivisions. This Agreement will then be filed as part of a Consent Judgment in the respective courts of each of the Settling States, pursuant to the terms set forth in Section VIII.

I. Definitions

Unless otherwise specified, the following definitions apply:

A. *"Additional Remediation Amount."* The amount available to the Settling States totaling up to $13,102,288.96, to be paid in accord with the payment schedule at Exhibit M-3.

B. *"Adjusted State Remediation Payment."* The State Remediation Payment reduced by the Overall State Allocation for each Non-Settling State on Exhibit F.

C. *"Agreement."* This agreement, as set forth above. For the avoidance of doubt, this Agreement is inclusive of all exhibits.

D. *"Albertsons."* Albertsons Companies, Inc. and all of its past and present direct and indirect parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, joint ventures, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

E. *"Alleged Harms."* The alleged past, present, and future financial, societal, and public nuisance harms and related expenditures arising out of the alleged misuse and abuse of Products, non-exclusive examples of which are described in the documents listed on Exhibit A, that have allegedly arisen as a result of the physical and bodily injuries sustained by individuals suffering from opioid-related addiction, abuse, death, and other related diseases and disorders, and that have allegedly been caused by Released Entities.

F. *"Allocation Statute."* A state law that governs allocation, distribution, and/or use of some or all of the Settlement Fund amounts allocated to that Settling State and/or its Subdivisions. An Allocation Statute may, without limitation, contain a Statutory Trust, further restrict expenditures of funds, form an advisory committee, establish oversight and reporting requirements, or address other default provisions and other matters related to the funds. An Allocation Statute is not required to address all three (3) types of funds comprising the Settlement Fund or all default provisions.

G. *"Annual Fees Payment."* The amounts payable by Albertsons in each Payment Year comprised of the Additional Remediation Amount payment and the Private Attorney Fees payment, and not including the Annual Remediation Payment.

H. *"Annual Remediation Maximum."* The total amount available to Eligible States for Annual Remediation Payments in each Payment Year as set forth in the "Maximum Annual Remediation Payment" column of Exhibit M-1. In no event shall an Annual Remediation Payment in Payment Years 1-2, or 5-8 exceed the Annual Remediation Maximum for that Payment Year set forth in Exhibit M-1. For Payment Years 3-4, the Annual Remediation Payment may exceed the Annual Remediation Maximum for that Payment Year only to the extent needed for the Incentive Payment A Catch-up Payment for a Settling State that has not earned some or all of Incentive Payment BC in prior Payment Years, having resulted in correspondingly lower Annual Remediation Payments in those prior Payment Years. For Payment Year 9, the Annual Remediation Payment may exceed the Annual Remediation Maximum for that Payment Year only to the extent needed to pay the Incentive Payment Earn-Back provided by Section IV.H.7.

I. *"Annual Remediation Payment."* The amount payable to the Settlement Fund by Albertsons for Settling States' Base Payments and Incentive Payments on the Payment Date for each Payment Year, as calculated by the Settlement Fund Administrator.

J. *"Appropriate Official."* As defined in Section XIII.F.3.

K. *"Bankruptcy Code."* Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. § 101, et seq.

L. *"Bar."* Either: (1) a law barring Subdivisions in a Settling State from maintaining Released Claims against Released Entities (either through a direct bar or through a grant of authority to release claims and the exercise of such authority in full) or (2) a ruling by the highest court of the Settling State (or, in a Settling State with a single intermediate court of appeals, the intermediate court of appeals) when setting forth the general principle that Subdivisions in the Settling State may not maintain any Released Claims against Released Entities, whether on the ground of this Agreement (or the release in it) or otherwise. For the avoidance of doubt, a law or ruling that is conditioned or predicated upon payment by a Released Entity (apart from the Annual Remediation Payments by Albertsons under this Agreement) shall not constitute a Bar.

M. "*Base Payment.*" As defined in Section IV.G.

N. *"Case-Specific Resolution."* Either: (1) a law barring the Subdivision at issue from maintaining any Released Claims against any Released Entities (either through a direct bar or through a grant of authority to release claims and the exercise of such authority in full); or (2) a ruling by a court of competent jurisdiction over the Subdivision at issue that the Subdivision may not maintain any Released Claims at issue against any Released Entities, whether on the ground of this Agreement (or the release in it) or otherwise. For the avoidance of doubt, a law or ruling that is conditioned or predicated upon payment by a Released Entity (apart from the annual payments by Albertsons under this Agreement) shall not constitute a Case-Specific Resolution.

O. *"Claim."* Any past, present or future cause of action, claim for relief, cross-claim or counterclaim, theory of liability, demand, derivative claim, request, assessment, charge, covenant, damage, debt, lien, loss, penalty, judgment, right, obligation, dispute, suit, contract, controversy, agreement, *parens patriae* claim, promise, performance, warranty, omission, or grievance of any nature whatsoever, whether legal, equitable, statutory, regulatory or administrative, whether arising under federal, state or local common law, statute, regulation,

guidance, ordinance or principles of equity, whether filed or unfiled, whether asserted or unasserted, whether known or unknown, whether accrued or unaccrued, whether foreseen, unforeseen or unforeseeable, whether discovered or undiscovered, whether suspected or unsuspected, whether fixed or contingent, and whether existing or hereafter arising, in all such cases, including, but not limited to, any request for declaratory, injunctive, or equitable relief, compensatory, punitive, or statutory damages, absolute liability, strict liability, restitution, remediation, subrogation, contribution, indemnity, apportionment, disgorgement, reimbursement, attorney fees, expert fees, consultant fees, fines, penalties, expenses, costs or any other legal, equitable, civil, administrative, or regulatory remedy whatsoever.

P. *"Claim-Over."* A Claim asserted by a Non-Released Entity against a Released Entity on the basis of contribution, indemnity, or other claim-over on any theory relating to a Non-Party Covered Conduct Claim asserted by a Releasor.

Q. *"Compensatory Restitution Amount."* The aggregate amount paid or incurred by Albertsons hereunder for Opioid Remediation, which includes each Annual Remediation Payment and does not include amounts paid as attorneys' fees and costs or identified pursuant to Section V.B.2 as being used to pay attorneys' fees, investigation costs or litigation costs, which shall be up to the amount of the Adjusted State Remediation Payment.

R. *"Consent Judgment."* A consent judgment in a form to be agreed by the Settling States and Albertsons prior to the Effective Date that, among other things, (1) approves this Agreement and (2) provides for the release set forth in Section X.A, including the dismissal with prejudice of any Released Claims that the Settling State has brought against Released Entities.

S. *"Covered Conduct."* Any actual or alleged act, failure to act, negligence, statement, error, omission, breach of any duty, conduct, event, transaction, agreement, misstatement, misleading statement or other activity of any kind whatsoever from the beginning of time through the Initial Participation Date (and any past, present, or future consequence of any such act, failure to act, negligence, statement, error, omission, breach of duty, conduct, event, transaction, agreement, misstatement, misleading statement or other activity) relating in any way to (1) compounding, counseling and documentation relating to any Product or class of Products (2) the discovery, development, manufacture, packaging, repackaging, marketing, promotion, advertising, labeling, recall, withdrawal, distribution, delivery, monitoring, reporting, supply, sale, prescribing, dispensing, physical security, warehousing, use or abuse of, or operating procedures relating to, any Product, or any system, plan, policy or advocacy relating to any Product or class of Products, including, but not limited to, any unbranded promotion, marketing, programs, or campaigns relating to any Product or class of Products; (3) the characteristics, properties, risks, or benefits of any Product; (4) the reporting, disclosure, non-reporting or nondisclosure to federal, state or other regulators of orders placed with any Released Entity; or (5) diversion control programs or suspicious order monitoring.

T. "*Designated State.*" The State of Illinois.

U. *"Effective Date."* The date sixty (60) calendar days after the Reference Date.

V. "*Eligible States*." The thirty-five (35) states of the United States listed in Exhibit F, and the District of Columbia.

W. *"Enforcement Committee."* A committee consisting of representatives of the Settling States and of the Participating Subdivisions. Exhibit B contains the organizational bylaws of the Enforcement Committee. Notice pursuant to Section XIII.R shall be provided when there are changes in membership or contact information.

X. *"Final Order."* An order or judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction with respect to the applicable subject matter (1) which has not been reversed or superseded by a modified or amended order, is not currently stayed, and as to which any right to appeal or seek certiorari, review, reargument, stay, or rehearing has expired, and as to which no appeal or petition for certiorari, review, reargument, stay, or rehearing is pending, or (2) as to which an appeal has been taken or petition for certiorari, review, reargument, stay, or rehearing has been filed and (a) such appeal or petition for certiorari, review, reargument, stay, or rehearing has been resolved by the highest court to which the order or judgment was appealed or from which certiorari, review, reargument, stay, or rehearing was sought, or (b) the time to appeal further or seek certiorari, review, reargument, stay, or rehearing has expired and no such further appeal or petition for certiorari, review, reargument, stay, or rehearing is pending.

Y. "*Global Settlement Amount.*" The Global Settlement Amount is $749,450,928.67, which is comprised of the State Remediation Payment, Private Attorney Fees, and Additional Remediation Amount.

Z. "*Implementation Administrator*." Rubris, Inc., which is the vendor that will be retained by Albertsons for costs of up to $1,500,000, to provide notice pursuant to Section VII.A and to manage the initial joinder period for Subdivisions, including the issuance and receipt of Subdivision Participation Agreements.

AA. *"Incentive Payment A."* The incentive payment described in Section IV.H.4.

BB. "*Incentive Payment A Catch-up Payment*." As defined in Section IV.H.4.f.

CC. *"Incentive Payment BC."* The incentive payment described in Section IV.H.5.

DD. *"Incentive Payment D."* The incentive payment described in Section IV.H.6.

EE. *"Incentive Payment Earn-Back."* The incentive payment described in Section IV.H.7.

FF. *"Initial Participating Subdivision."* A Subdivision that meets the requirements set forth in Section VII.D.

GG. *"Initial Participation Date."* The date ninety (90) calendar days after the Preliminary Agreement Date, unless it is extended by written agreement of Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee.

HH. *"Injunctive Relief Terms."* The terms described in Section III and set forth in Exhibit P.

II. *"Later Litigating Subdivision."* A Subdivision (or Subdivision official asserting the right of or for the Subdivision to recover for Alleged Harms to the Subdivision and/or the people thereof) that: (1) first files a lawsuit bringing a Released Claim against a Released Entity after the Trigger Date; or (2) adds a Released Claim against a Released Entity after the Trigger Date to a lawsuit brought before the Trigger Date that, prior to the Trigger Date, did not include any Released Claims against a Released Entity; or (3) (a) was a Litigating Subdivision whose Released Claims against Released Entities were resolved by a legislative Bar or legislative Case-Specific Resolution as of the Trigger Date, (b) such legislative Bar or legislative Case-Specific Resolution is subject to a Revocation Event after the Trigger Date, and (c) the earlier of the date of completion of opening statements in a trial in an action brought by a Subdivision in that Settling State that includes a Released Claim against a Released Entity or one hundred eighty (180) days from the Revocation Event passes without a Bar or Case-Specific Resolution being implemented as to that Litigating Subdivision or the Litigating Subdivision's Released Claims being dismissed; or (4) (a) was a Litigating Subdivision whose Released Claims against Released Entities were resolved by a judicial Bar or judicial Case-Specific Resolution as of the Trigger Date, (b) such judicial Bar or judicial Case-Specific Resolution is subject to a Revocation Event after the Trigger Date, and (c) such Litigating Subdivision takes any action in its lawsuit asserting a Released Claim against a Released Entity other than seeking a stay or dismissal.

JJ. *"Later Participating Subdivision."* A Participating Subdivision that is not an Initial Participating Subdivision, but meets the requirements set forth in Section VII.E.

KK. *"Litigating Subdivision."* A Subdivision (or Subdivision official) that brought any Released Claim against any Released Entity prior to the Trigger Date; *provided, however,* that a Subdivision (or Subdivision official) that is a Prior Litigating Subdivision shall not be considered a Litigating Subdivision. Exhibit C is an agreed list of all Litigating Subdivisions. Exhibit C will be updated (including with any corrections) periodically, and a final version of Exhibit C will be attached hereto as of the Reference Date.

LL. *"National Arbitration Panel."* The panel comprised as described in Section VI.F.2.b.

MM. "*National Disputes."* As defined in Section VI.F.2.a.

NN. "*Non-Eligible State*." Any state or territory of the United States of America that is not an Eligible State.

OO. *"Non-Litigating Subdivision."* Any Subdivision that is neither a Litigating Subdivision nor a Later Litigating Subdivision.

PP. "*Non-Litigating Threshold Subdivisions*." Primary Subdivisions (a) with populations greater than 30,000 that have not sued Albertsons as of the Initial Participation Date and (b) with populations between 10,000 and 30,000 that have not sued Albertsons but did sue McKesson, AmerisourceBergen, Cardinal or Janssen prior to July 1, 2021 related to any allegations regarding the Products for Covered Conduct Attached as Exhibit W is a list of the Non-Litigating Threshold Subdivisions in each Eligible State. Exhibit W will be updated (including with any corrections) periodically, and a final version of Exhibit W will be attached hereto as of the Initial Participation Date.

QQ. *"Non-Participating Subdivision."* Any Subdivision that is not a Participating Subdivision.

RR. *"Non-Party Covered Conduct Claim."* A Claim against any Non-Released Entity involving, arising out of, or related to Covered Conduct (or conduct that would be Covered Conduct if engaged in by a Released Entity).

SS. *"Non-Party Settlement."* A settlement by any Releasor that settles any Non-Party Covered Conduct Claim and includes a release of any Non-Released Entity.

TT. *"Non-Released Entity."* An entity that is not a Released Entity.

UU. "*Non-Settling State."* Any Eligible State that is not a Settling State.

VV. *"Opioid Remediation."* Care, treatment, and other programs and expenditures (including reimbursement for past such programs or expenditures[1] except where this Agreement restricts the use of funds solely to future Opioid Remediation) designed to (1) address the misuse and abuse of opioid products in the Settling States, (2) treat or mitigate opioid use or related disorders in the Settling States, or (3) mitigate other alleged effects of, including on those injured as a result of, the opioid epidemic in the Settling States. Exhibit E provides a non-exhaustive list of expenditures that qualify as being paid for Opioid Remediation. Qualifying expenditures may include reasonable related administrative expenses in connection with the above.

WW. "*Opioid Tax*." Any tax, assessment, license fee, surcharge or any other fee (other than a fixed prospective excise tax or similar tax or fee that has no restriction on pass-through) imposed by a Settling State on Albertsons on the sale, transfer or distribution of opioid products; *provided*, *however*, that none of the following as currently enacted shall be considered an Opioid Tax for purposes of this Agreement: 16 Del. Code Chapter 48B; fees assessed by the Minnesota Board of Pharmacy under Minnesota Statutes section 151.065; any penalty assessed under Minnesota's Opiate Product Registration Fee law, Minnesota Statutes section 151.066; the MinnesotaCare Wholesale Drug Distributor and Use Tax, Minnesota Statutes section 292.52; the Excise Tax on sale of Opioids, Article 20-D of New York's Tax Law; the Opioid Stewardship Act, Article 33, Title 2-A of New York's Public Health Law; and Rhode Island's Opioid Stewardship Act, R.I. Gen. Laws §§ 21-28.10, *et seq*.).

[1] Reimbursement includes amounts paid to any governmental entities for past expenditures or programs

XX. "*Overall Allocation Percentage.*" A Settling State's percentage as set forth in Exhibit F.

YY. *"Participating Subdivision."* Any Subdivision that meets the requirements for becoming a Participating Subdivision under Section VII.B and Section VII.C. Participating Subdivisions include both Initial Participating Subdivisions and Later Participating Subdivisions.

ZZ. "*Participation Percentage of Incentive BC Eligible Subdivision Population*." As defined in Section IV.H.5.e.

AAA. *"Parties."* Albertsons and the Settling States (each, a *"Party").*

BBB. *"Payment Date."* March 31 for each Payment Year, other than Payment Year 1, which is the date by which Albertsons makes the Annual Remediation Payment and the Annual Fees Payment.

CCC. *"Payment Year."* The calendar year during which the applicable Annual Remediation Payment and the Annual Fees Payment is due. Payment Year 1 is 2026, Payment Year 2 is 2027 and so forth. References to payment "*for a Payment Year"* mean the Annual Remediation Payment and/or Annual Fees Payment due during that year. References to eligibility "*for a Payment Year"* mean eligibility in connection with the Annual Remediation Payment and/or Annual Fees Payment due during that year.

DDD. *"Potential Earn-Back Amount."* As defined in Section IV.H.7.

EEE. "*Preliminary Agreement Date*." The date on which Albertsons is to inform the Settling States of their determination whether the condition in Section II.B has been satisfied. The Preliminary Agreement Date shall be no more than ten (10) calendar days after the end of the notice period to Eligible States, unless it is extended by written agreement of Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee.

FFF. "*Primary Fire District."* A fire district that covers a population of 25,000, or 0.20% of an Eligible State's population if an Eligible State's population is greater than 18 million. If not easily calculable from state data sources and agreed to between the Eligible State and Albertsons, a fire district's population is calculated by dividing the population of the county or counties a fire district serves by the number of fire districts in the county or counties. "Primary Fire Districts" shall mean fire districts as identified in connection with the implementation of the July 21, 2021 Janssen Settlement Agreement.

GGG. *"Primary Subdivision."* A Subdivision that is a General Purpose Government (including, but not limited to, a municipality, county, county subdivision, city, town, township, parish, village, borough, gore, or any other entities that provide municipal-type government) with population over 10,000. Attached as Exhibit I is an agreed list of the Primary Subdivisions in each Eligible State.

HHH. *"Prior Litigating Subdivision."* A Subdivision (or Subdivision official) that brought any Released Claim against any Released Entity prior to the Trigger Date and all such Released

Claims were separately settled or finally adjudicated prior to the Trigger Date; *provided, however,* that if the final adjudication was pursuant to a Bar, such Subdivision shall not be considered a Prior Litigating Subdivision. Notwithstanding the prior sentence, Albertsons and the Settling State of the relevant Subdivision may agree in writing that the Subdivision shall not be considered a Prior Litigating Subdivision.

III. *"Private Attorney Fees"* are the amount to be paid by Albertsons for private attorneys' litigation fees and costs on behalf of Participating Subdivisions. The maximum amount of Private Attorney Fees are $81,234,191.57. For avoidance of doubt, Private Attorney Fees do not include Additional Remediation Amount.

JJJ. *"Product."* Any chemical substance, whether used for medicinal or non-medicinal purposes, and whether natural, synthetic, or semi-synthetic, or any finished pharmaceutical product made from or with such substance, that is: (1) an opioid or opiate, as well as any product containing any such substance; or (2) benzodiazepine, carisoprodol, or gabapentin; or (3) a combination or "cocktail" of chemical substances prescribed, sold, bought, or dispensed to be used together that includes opioids or opiates. "Product" shall include, but is not limited to, any substance consisting of or containing buprenorphine, codeine, fentanyl, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, meperidine, methadone, morphine, oxycodone, oxymorphone, tapentadol, tramadol, opium, heroin, carfentanil, diazepam, estazolam, quazepam, alprazolam, clonazepam, oxazepam, flurazepam, triozolam, temazepam, midazolam, carisoprodol, gabapentin, or any variant of these substances or any similar substance. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this section prohibits a Settling State from taking administrative or regulatory action related to benzodiazepine (including, but not limited to, diazepam, estazolam, quazepam, alprazolam, clonazepam, oxazepam, flurazepam, triozolam, temazepam, and midazolam), carisoprodol, or gabapentin that is wholly independent from the use of such drugs in combination with opioids, *provided* such action does not seek money (including abatement and/or remediation) for conduct prior to the Initial Participation Date.

KKK. *"Reference Date."* The date on which Albertsons is to inform the Settling States of its determination whether the condition in Section VIII has been satisfied. The Reference Date shall be no later than thirty (30) calendar days after the Initial Participation Date, unless it is extended by written agreement of Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee.

LLL. *"Released Claims."* Any and all Claims that directly or indirectly are based on, arise out of, or in any way relate to or concern the Covered Conduct occurring prior to the Initial Participation Date. Without limiting the foregoing, Released Claims include any Claims that have been asserted against Released Entities, by a Settling State or Litigating Subdivision in any federal, state, or local action or proceeding (whether judicial, arbitral, or administrative) based on, arising out of, or relating to, in whole or in part, the Covered Conduct, or any such Claims that could be or could have been asserted prior to the Initial Participation Date by a Settling State, Subdivision, or Releasor (whether or not such Settling State, Subdivision, or Releasor has brought such action or proceeding). The Parties intend that this term, "Released Claims," be interpreted broadly. This Agreement does not release Claims by private individuals. It is the intent of the Parties that Claims by private individuals be treated in accordance with applicable law. Released Claims is also used herein to describe claims brought by a Later Litigating Subdivision or other non-party Subdivision that would have been Released Claims if they had been brought by a Releasor against a Released Entity.

MMM. *"Released Entities."* With respect to Released Claims, Albertsons and (1) all past and present subsidiaries, divisions, predecessors, successors, and assigns (in each case, whether direct or indirect) of Albertsons; (2) all past and present subsidiaries and divisions (in each case, whether direct or indirect) of any entity described in subsection (1); (3) the respective past and present officers, directors, members, trustees, and employees of any of the foregoing (each for actions that occurred during and related to their work for, or employment with, any of Albertsons or the foregoing entities); (4) all past and present joint ventures (whether direct or indirect) of Albertsons or its subsidiaries, including in any subsidiary's capacity as a participating member in such joint venture; (5) all direct or indirect parents and shareholders of Albertsons (solely in their capacity as parents or shareholders of Albertsons with respect to Covered Conduct); and (6) any insurer of Albertsons or any person or entity otherwise described in subsections (1)-(5) (solely in its role as insurer of such person or entity and subject to the last sentence of Section X.C). An illustrative list of Albertsons's joint ventures, subsidiaries and affiliates and predecessor entities is set forth in Exhibit J. Any person or entity described in subsections (3)-(6) shall be a Released Entity solely in the capacity described in such clause and shall not be a Released Entity with respect to its conduct in any other capacity. Any other entity acquired, or joint venture entered into, by Albertsons after the Initial Participation Date is not a Released Entity. Further and notwithstanding anything else in this paragraph, no entity sued in *In re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, No. 1:17-md-2804 (N.D. Ohio) is included as a Released Entity other than the entities listed on Exhibit J.

NNN. *"Releasors."* With respect to Released Claims, (1) each Settling State; (2) each Participating Subdivision; and (3) without limitation and to the maximum extent of the power of each Settling State's Attorney General's and/or Participating Subdivision to release Claims, (a) the Settling State's and Participating Subdivision's departments, agencies, divisions, boards, commissions, Subdivisions, districts, instrumentalities of any kind and attorneys, including its Attorney General, and any person in his or her official capacity whether elected or appointed to serve any of the foregoing and any agency, person, or other entity claiming by or through any of the foregoing, (b) any public entities, public instrumentalities, public educational institutions, unincorporated districts, fire districts, irrigation districts, and other Special Districts in a Settling State, and (c) any person or entity acting in a *parens patriae,* sovereign, quasi-sovereign, private attorney general, *qui tam,* taxpayer, or other capacity seeking relief on behalf of or generally applicable to the general public with respect to a Settling State or Subdivision in a Settling State, whether or not any of them participate in this Agreement. The inclusion of a specific reference to a type of entity in this definition shall not be construed as meaning that the entity is not a Subdivision. Each Settling State's Attorney General represents that he or she has or has obtained (or will obtain no later than the Initial Participation Date) the authority set forth in Section X.G. In addition to being a Releasor as provided herein, a Participating Subdivision shall also provide the Subdivision Settlement Participation Form referenced in Section VII providing for a release to the fullest extent of the Participating Subdivision's authority.

OOO. *"Remediation Accounts Fund."* The component of the Settlement Fund described in Section V.

PPP. *"Revocation Event."* With respect to a Bar, Settlement Class Resolution, or Case-Specific Resolution, a revocation, rescission, reversal, overruling, or interpretation that in any way limits the effect of such Bar, Settlement Class Resolution, or Case-Specific Resolution on Released

Claims, or any other action or event that otherwise deprives the Bar, Settlement Class Resolution, or Case-Specific Resolution of force or effect in any material respect.

QQQ. *"Settlement Class Resolution."* A class action resolution in a court of competent jurisdiction in a Settling State (that is not successfully removed to federal court) with respect to a class of Subdivisions in that Settling State that (1) conforms with that Settling State's statutes, case law, and rules of procedure regarding class actions; (2) is approved and entered as an order of a court of competent jurisdiction in that Settling State and such order has become a Final Order; (3) is binding on all Non-Participating Subdivisions in that Settling State (other than opt outs as permitted under the next sentence); (4) provides that all such Non-Participating Subdivisions may not bring any Released Claims against any Released Entities, whether on the ground of this Agreement (or the releases herein) or otherwise; and (5) does not impose any costs or obligations on Albertsons other than those provided for in this Agreement, or contain any provision inconsistent with any provision of this Agreement. If applicable state law requires that opt-out rights be afforded to members of the class, a class action resolution otherwise meeting the foregoing requirements shall qualify as a Settlement Class Resolution unless Subdivisions collectively representing more than one percent (1%) of the total population of that Settling State opt out. In seeking certification of any Settlement Class, the applicable Settling State and Participating Subdivisions shall make clear that certification is sought solely for settlement purposes and shall have no applicability beyond approval of the settlement for which certification is sought. Nothing in this Agreement constitutes an admission by any Party that class certification would be appropriate for litigation purposes in any case or for purposes unrelated to this Agreement.

RRR. *"Settlement Fund."* The interest-bearing fund established at U.S. Bank pursuant to this Agreement into which the Annual Remediation Payments are made under Section IV, which is intended to be classified as a "qualified settlement fund" within the meaning of 26 C.F.R. §§ 1.468B-1 *et seq*. and which shall be approved by any Settling State in accordance with the requirements of 26 C.F.R. § 1.468B-1.

SSS. *"Settlement Fund Administrator."* BrownGreer PLC, which is the entity that annually determines the Annual Remediation Payment (including calculating offset or reduction and Incentive Payments pursuant to Section IV and any amounts subject to offset or reduction pursuant to Section XII) and Additional Remediation Amount, administers the Settlement Fund, and distributes amounts into the Remediation Accounts Fund, State Fund, and Subdivision Fund pursuant to this Agreement. The duties of the Settlement Fund Administrator shall be governed by this Agreement. Prior to the Effective Date, Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee shall agree to a detailed description of the Settlement Fund Administrator's duties and responsibilities, including a detailed mechanism for paying the Settlement Fund Administrator's fees and costs, all of which shall be appended to the Agreement as Exhibit L.

TTT. *"Settlement Fund Escrow."* The interest-bearing escrow fund established pursuant to this Agreement to hold disputed payments made under this Agreement.

UUU. *"Settlement Payment Schedule."* The schedule attached to this Agreement as Exhibit M-1, Exhibit M-2, and Exhibit M-3.

VVV. "*Settling State.*" An Eligible State that has entered into this Agreement and delivers executed releases in accordance with Section VIII.A.

WWW. *"State Allocation."* The State Remediation Payment multiplied by the percentage for each Eligible State listed in Exhibit F. The allocation percentages in Exhibit F reflect a re-allocation to only states in which the Released Entities operate because any and all potential claims and/or liability against the Released Entities arise from alleged Covered Conduct related to Products in those states.

XXX. *"State Fund."* The component of the Settlement Fund described in Section V.

YYY. *"Statewide Payment Amount."* The amount from an Annual Remediation Payment to be paid to a Settling State, its separate types of funds (if applicable), and its Participating Subdivision listed on Exhibit G.

ZZZ. *"State Remediation Payment."* The maximum amount owed by Albertsons to the Settling States and Subdivisions, exclusive of the Private Attorney Fees, Additional Remediation Amount, and is in the amount of $655,114,448.14.

AAAA. *"State-Subdivision Agreement."* An agreement that a Settling State reaches with the Subdivisions in that Settling State regarding the allocation, distribution, and/or use of funds allocated to that Settling State and to its Subdivisions. A State-Subdivision Agreement shall be effective if approved pursuant to the provisions of Exhibit O or if adopted by statute. Preexisting agreements addressing funds other than those allocated pursuant to this Agreement shall qualify if the approval requirements of Exhibit O are met. A Settling State and its Subdivisions may revise a State-Subdivision Agreement if approved pursuant to the provisions of Exhibit O, or if such revision is adopted by statute.

BBBB. *"Statutory Trust."* A trust fund established by state law to receive funds allocated to a Settling State's Remediation Accounts Fund and restrict any expenditures made using funds from the Settling State's Remediation Accounts Fund to Opioid Remediation, subject to reasonable administrative expenses. A Settling State may give a Statutory Trust authority to allocate one (1) or more of the three (3) types of funds comprising such Settling State's Settlement Fund, but this is not required.

CCCC. *"Subdivision."* Any (1) General Purpose Government (including, but not limited to, a municipality, county, county subdivision, city, town, township, parish, village, borough, gore, or any other entities that provide municipal-type government), School District, or Special District within a Settling State, and (2) any other subdivision, subdivision official (acting in an official capacity on behalf of the subdivision) or sub-entity of or located within a Settling State (whether political, geographical or otherwise, whether functioning or non-functioning, regardless of population overlap, and including, but not limited to, Nonfunctioning Governmental Units and public institutions) that has filed a lawsuit that includes a Released Claim against a Released Entity in a direct, *parens patriae,* or any other capacity. "General Purpose Government," "School District," and "Special District" shall correspond to the "five basic types of local governments"

recognized by the U.S. Census Bureau and match the 2017 list of Governmental Units.[2] The three (3) General Purpose Governments are county, municipal, and township governments; the two (2) special purpose governments are School Districts and Special Districts.[3] "Fire District," "Health District," "Hospital District," and "Library District" shall correspond to categories of Special Districts recognized by the U.S. Census Bureau.[4] References to a Settling State's Subdivisions or to a Subdivision "in," "of," or "within" a Settling State include Subdivisions located within the Settling State even if they are not formally or legally a sub-entity of the Settling State; *provided, however,* that a "Health District" that includes any of the following words or phrases in its name shall not be considered a Subdivision: mosquito, pest, insect, spray, vector, animal, air quality, air pollution, clean air, coastal water, tuberculosis, and sanitary.

DDDD. "*Subdivision Allocation Percentage.*" The portion of a Settling State's Subdivision Fund set forth in Exhibit G that a Subdivision will receive pursuant to Section V if it becomes a Participating Subdivision. The aggregate Subdivision Allocation Percentage of all Subdivisions receiving a Subdivision Allocation Percentage in each Settling State shall equal one hundred percent (100%). Immediately upon the effectiveness of any State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, Statutory Trust, or voluntary redistribution allowed by Section V.D.3 (or upon the effectiveness of an amendment to any State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, Statutory Trust, or voluntary redistribution allowed by Section V.D.3) that addresses allocation from the Subdivision Fund, whether before or after the Initial Participation Date, Exhibit G will automatically be amended to reflect the allocation from the Subdivision Fund pursuant to the State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, Statutory Trust, or voluntary redistribution allowed by Section V.D.3. The Subdivision Allocation Percentages contained in Exhibit G may not change once notice is distributed pursuant to Section VII.A, except upon the effectiveness of any State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, Statutory Trust, or voluntary redistribution allowed by Section V.D.3 (or upon the effectiveness of an amendment to any State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, Statutory Trust, or voluntary redistribution allowed by Section V.D.3) that addresses allocation from the Subdivision Fund. For the avoidance of doubt, no Subdivision not listed on Exhibit G shall receive an allocation from the Subdivision Fund and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to create such an entitlement.

---

[2] https://www.census.govidataidatasets/2017/econ/gus/public-use-files.html.

[3] *E.g.,* U.S. Census Bureau, "Technical Documentation: 2017 Public Use Files for State and Local Government Organization" at 7 (noting that "the Census Bureau recognizes five basic types of local governments," that three of those are "general purpose governments" (county governments, municipal governments, and township governments), and that the other two are "school district and special district governments"), https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveysigus/datasets/2017/2017_gov_org_meth_tech_doc.pdf.

[4] A list of 2017 Government Units provided by the Census Bureau identifies 38,542 Special Districts and categorizes them by "FUNCTION_NAME." "Govt_Units_2017_Final" spreadsheet, "Special District" sheet, included in "Independent Governments - list of governments with reference information," https://www.census.govidataidatasets/2017/econ/gus/public-use-files.html. As used herein, "Fire District" corresponds to Special District function name "24 — Local Fire Protection," "Health District" corresponds to Special District function name "32 — Health," "Hospital District" corresponds to Special District function name "40 —Hospitals," and "Library District" corresponds to Special District function name "52 — Libraries." *See id.*

EEEE. *"Subdivision Fund."* The component of the Settlement Fund described in Section V.A.

FFFF. *"Subdivision Settlement Participation Form."* The form attached as Exhibit K that Participating Subdivisions must execute and return to the Implementation Administrator or Settlement Fund Administrator, and which shall (1) make such Participating Subdivisions signatories to this Agreement, (2) include a full and complete release of any and of such Subdivision's claims, and (3) require the prompt dismissal with prejudice of any Released Claims that have been filed by any such Participating Subdivision

GGGG. *"Threshold Motion."* A motion to dismiss or equivalent dispositive motion made at the outset of litigation under applicable procedure. A Threshold Motion must include as potential grounds for dismissal any applicable Bar or the relevant release by a Settling State or Participating Subdivision provided under this Agreement and, where appropriate under applicable law, any applicable limitations defense.

HHHH. *"Total Unearned Incentive Payments."* As defined in Section IV.H.7.

IIII. *"Trigger Date."* In the case of a Primary Subdivision, the Reference Date. In the case of all other Subdivisions, the Preliminary Agreement Date.

II. Participation by Eligible States and Condition to Preliminary Agreement

A. *Notice to Eligible States.* On August 21, 2026, this Agreement shall be distributed to all Eligible States. The Attorneys General of the Eligible States shall then have until September 21, 2026 to decide whether to become Settling States. Eligible States that determine to become Settling States shall so notify Albertsons and shall further commit to obtaining any necessary additional state releases prior to the Reference Date. This notice period may be extended by written agreement of Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee.

B. *Condition to Preliminary Agreement*. Following the notice period set forth in Section II.A, Albertsons shall determine whether, in its sole discretion, enough Eligible States have agreed to become Settling States to proceed with notice to Subdivisions as set forth in Section VII. If Albertsons determines that this condition has been satisfied, and that notice to the Subdivisions should proceed, it will so notify the Settling States by providing notice to the Enforcement Committee on the Preliminary Agreement Date. If Albertsons determine that this condition has not been satisfied, and this Agreement will have no further effect and all releases and other commitments or obligations contained herein will be void.

C. *Later Joinder by Eligible States*. After the Preliminary Agreement Date, an Eligible State may only become a Settling State with the consent of Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee. If an Eligible State becomes a Settling State more than sixty (60) calendar days after the Preliminary Agreement Date, but on or before the Reference Date, the Subdivisions in that Eligible State that become Participating Subdivisions within ninety (90) calendar days of the Eligible State becoming a Settling State shall be considered Initial Participating Subdivisions. An Eligible State may not become a Settling State after the Reference Date.

D. *Litigation Activity.* Following the Preliminary Agreement Date, Eligible States that determine to become Settling States shall make reasonable efforts to cease litigation activity against Albertsons, including by jointly seeking stays or, where appropriate, severance of claim against Albertsons, where feasible, and otherwise to minimize such activity by means of agreed deadline extensions and agreed postponement of depositions, document productions, and motion practice if a motion to stay or sever is not feasible or is denied.

III. Injunctive Relief

A. *Injunctive Relief.* As part of the Consent Judgment, the Parties agree to the entry of the injunctive relief terms attached in Exhibit P.

IV. Settlement Payments

A. *Settlement Fund.* All payments under this Section IV shall be made into the Settlement Fund, except that, where specified, they shall be made into the Settlement Fund Escrow. The Settlement Fund shall be allocated and used only as specified in Section V.

B. *Annual Remediation Payments*

1. Albertsons shall make nine (9) Annual Remediation Payments, each comprised of Base Payments and Incentive Payments as provided in this Section IV and as determined by the Settlement Fund Administrator as set forth in this Agreement, *provided that* the Annual Remediation Payment in Payment Year 1 shall consist solely of Base Payments. The payment schedule for the Annual Remediation Payments is attached hereto as Exhibit M-2.

2. In no instance shall Albertsons's Annual Remediation Payment obligation exceed the Annual Remediation Maximum, reduced for Non-Settling States, except as specified in the definition of "Annual Remediation Maximum" for Payment Years 3, 4, and 9. In no instance shall the sum of Albertsons's Annual Remediation Payment obligations for Payment Years 1-9 exceed the sum of Albertsons's Annual Remediation Maximums for Payment Years 1-9, reduced for Non-Settling States.

C. *Settlement Fund Payment Process*

1. To determine each Annual Remediation Payment for Payment Year 2 forward, the Settlement Fund Administrator shall use the data as of sixty (60) days prior to the Payment Date for each payment, unless another provision of the Agreement specifies a different date. Prior to the Effective Date, the Parties will include an exhibit to the Agreement setting forth in detail the process for the Settlement Fund Administrator obtaining relevant data and for distributing funds to the Settling States and their Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G consistent with the terms of this Agreement as quickly as practical.

2. The Settlement Fund Administrator shall determine the Annual Remediation Payment and the Statewide Payment Amount for each Settling State, consistent with the provisions in Exhibit L, by:

a. Determining, for each Settling State, the amount of Base Payments and Incentive Payments to which the Settling State is entitled by applying the criteria under Section IV.F, Section IV.G, and Section IV.H;

b. applying any offsets, credits or reductions as specified under Section IV and Section XII;

c. determining the total amount owed by Albertsons to all Settling States and the Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G; and

d. the Settlement Fund Administrator shall then allocate, after subtracting the portion of any Settlement Fund Administrator costs and fees owed out of funds from the Settlement Fund pursuant to Section V.C.5, the Annual Remediation Payment pursuant to Section V among the Settling States, among the separate types of funds for each Settling State (if applicable), and among the Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G.

3. If, no later than fifty (50) days prior to the Payment Date, Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee inform the Settlement Fund Administrator that they agree on the amount of the Annual Remediation Payment and the Statewide Payment Amount for each Settling State, Albertsons shall pay the agreed-upon Annual Remediation Payment amount on the Payment Date and the Settlement Fund Administrator shall treat those amounts as the determination described in Section IV.C.2. If the Settlement Fund Administrator is not so informed, it shall give notice to Albertsons, the Settling States, and the Enforcement Committee of the amount of the Annual Remediation Payment, and the Statewide Payment Amount for each Settling State, following the determination described in Section IV.C.2, and the following timeline shall apply:

a. Within twenty-one (21) calendar days of the notice provided by the Settlement Fund Administrator, Albertsons, any Settling State or the Enforcement Committee may dispute, in writing, the calculation of the Annual Remediation Payment or the Statewide Payment Amount for a Settling State. Such disputing party must provide a written notice of dispute to the Settlement Fund Administrator, the Enforcement Committee, any affected Settling State, and Albertsons identifying the nature of the dispute, the amount of money that is disputed, and the Settling State(s) affected.

b. Within twenty-one (21) calendar days of the sending of a written notice of dispute, any affected party may submit a response, in writing, to the Settlement Fund Administrator, the Enforcement Committee, any affected Settling State, and Albertsons identifying the basis for disagreement with the notice of dispute.

c. If no response is filed, the Settlement Fund Administrator shall adjust the amount calculated consistent with the written notice of dispute, and Albertsons shall pay the adjusted amount, collectively totaling that year's Annual Remediation Payment, on the Payment Date. If a written response to the written

notice of dispute is timely sent to the Settlement Fund Administrator, the Settlement Fund Administrator shall notify Albertsons of the preliminary amount to be paid, which shall be the greater of the amount originally calculated by the Settlement Fund Administrator or the amount that would be consistent with the notice of dispute, *provided, however,* that in no circumstances shall the preliminary amount to be paid be higher than the Annual Remediation Maximum. For the avoidance of doubt, a transfer of payments from the Settlement Fund Escrow for other Payment Years does not count toward determining whether the amount to be paid is higher than the Annual Remediation Maximum.

d. The Settlement Fund Administrator shall place any disputed amount of the preliminary amount paid by Albertsons into the Settlement Fund Escrow and shall disburse any undisputed amount to each Settling State and its Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G pursuant to Section IV.C.4.

4. If a Settling State informs the Settlement Fund Administrator that it and its Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G have agreed on the amount of its Statewide Payment Amount, determined pursuant to Section IV.C.2 or Section IV.C.3, to be distributed to the Settling State, among its separate types of funds (if applicable), and among its Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G, the Settlement Fund Administrator shall disburse the Statewide Payment Amount pursuant to the consensus distribution amounts provided by the Settling State as quickly as practical. For a Settling State that does not so notify the Settlement Fund Administrator, the Settlement Fund Administrator shall allocate the Settling State's Statewide Payment Amount, pursuant to Section V, among the separate types of funds for the Settling State (if applicable), and among its Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G using the following procedures:

a. As soon as possible for each payment and following the determination described in Section IV.C.2 or Section IV.C.3, the Settlement Fund Administrator shall give notice to the relevant Settling States and their Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G of the amount to be received by each Settling State, the amount to be received by the separate types of funds for each Settling State (if applicable), and the amount to be received by each Participating Subdivision listed on Exhibit G for each Settling State.

b. Within twenty-one (21) days of the notice provided by the Settlement Fund Administrator, any Settling State or Participating Subdivision listed on Exhibit G may dispute, in writing, the calculation of the amount to be received by the relevant Settling State and/or its Participating Subdivision listed on Exhibit G. A dispute will be deemed invalid and disregarded if it challenges the allocations adopted by a State-Subdivision Agreement approved pursuant to the provisions of Exhibit O or by statute. Such disputing party must provide a written notice of dispute to the Settlement Fund Administrator, any affected Settling State, and any affected Participating Subdivision identifying the nature of the dispute, the amount of money that is disputed, and the Settling State(s) affected.

c. Within twenty-one (21) days of the sending of a written notice of dispute, any affected Settling State or any affected Participating Subdivision may submit a response, in writing, to the Settlement Fund Administrator, any affected Settling State and any affected Participating Subdivision identifying the basis for disagreement with the notice of dispute.

d. If no response is filed, the Settlement Fund Administrator shall adjust the amount calculated consistent with the written notice of dispute.

e. The Settlement Fund Administrator shall place any disputed amount into the Settlement Fund Escrow and shall disburse any undisputed amount to the Settling State and its Participating Subdivisions eligible for payment.

5. Disputes described in this subsection shall be resolved in accordance with the terms of Section VI.F.

6. The Settlement Fund Administrator may combine the disbursements of Annual Remediation Payments with disbursement of funds under other comparable opioid settlements. In determining when disbursements for each Annual Remediation Payment will be made, the Settlement Fund Administrator may take into account the timeline for the availability of disbursements under other comparable opioid settlements.

7. For the avoidance of doubt, a Subdivision not listed on Exhibit G shall not receive an allocation from the Subdivision Fund and no provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted to create such an entitlement.

D. *Procedure for Annual Remediation Payment and Annual Fees Payment in Payment Year 1.* The process described in Section IV.C shall not apply to Payment Year 1. The procedure in lieu of Section IV.C for Payment Year 1 is as set forth below:

1. The Payment Date for Payment Year 1 is April 30, 2026. Albertsons shall deposit into a segregated interest-bearing bank account it establishes the "Maximum Base Payment" for Payment Year 1 as specified in Exhibit M-2. Albertsons shall also deposit into two (2) separate segregated interest-bearing bank accounts it establishes: (a) the "Maximum Exhibit R Attorney Fee and Cost Funds" for Payment Year 1 as specified in Exhibit M-3; and (b) the "Maximum Additional Remediation Amount" for Payment Year 1 as specified in Exhibit M-3. Albertsons shall separately account for the interest earned on each of the three (3) accounts.

2. Albertsons shall provide proof to the Enforcement Committee of the deposits required by Section IV.D.1 by April 30, 2026. Albertsons shall not remove any money from the segregated bank accounts, except as provided by Section IV.D.3 and Section IV.D.4.

3. In the event that an Eligible State does not agree to become a Settling State by the Preliminary Agreement Date, such State's share of the funds held in the segregated bank account holding the "Maximum Base Payment" for Payment Year 1 shall

immediately revert to Albertsons. This amount shall be calculated by multiplying the aggregate Overall Allocation Percentage attributable to Eligible States that have not agreed to become Settling State by the Preliminary Agreement Date by the "Maximum Base Payment" amount specified in Exhibit M-2 for Payment Year 1. In the event that, in accordance with the terms of Section VIII.B, Albertsons determines not to proceed with the Settlement, or the Settlement does not become effective for any other reason, the funds held in the segregated bank accounts shall immediately revert to Albertsons.

4. If the condition set forth in Section VIII.B is met, Albertsons shall transfer into the Settlement Fund, no later than five (5) business days after the Reference Date (unless the Enforcement Committee specifies a later date), the amount in the segregated account holding the "Maximum Base Payment" for Payment Year 1 (including all interest accrued on that account) plus the total amount of the Base Payment owed in Payment Year 1 for the Eligible States that newly agreed to become a Settling State between the Preliminary Agreement Date and the Reference Date, which shall be calculated by multiplying those Settling States' Overall Allocation Percentage by the amount specified in Exhibit M-2 for Payment Year 1. If the condition set forth in Section VIII.B is met, Albertsons also shall transfer into the relevant funds as directed by the Enforcement Committee, no later than five (5) business days after the Reference Date (unless the Enforcement Committee specifies a later date), the amount in the segregated account holding the "Maximum Exhibit R Attorney Fee and Cost Funds" for Payment Year 1 (including all interest accrued on that account), and the amount in the segregated account holding the sum of the "Maximum Additional Remediation Amount" for Payment Year 1 (including all interest accrued on that account), except that any reductions provided by Section IX.B and Exhibits R shall revert to Albertsons. Albertsons shall provide an accounting to the Enforcement Committee of the transfers, including Statewide Payment Amount for Payment Year 1 for each Eligible State that newly agreed to become a Settling State between the Preliminary Agreement Date and the Reference Date.

5. The Annual Remediation Payment for Payment Year 1 transferred by Albertsons into the Settlement Fund pursuant to Section IV.D.4 shall be disbursed by the Settlement Fund Administrator after the Effective Date to each Settling State and to its Initial Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G pursuant to Section IV.C.4 through Section IV.C.7; *provided, however*, that for any Settling State where the Consent Judgment has not been entered as of the Effective Date, the funds allocable to that Settling State and its Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G shall not be disbursed until ten (10) calendar days after the entry of the Consent Judgment in that Settling State.

E. *Payment Date for Payment Years 2 through 9.* The Payment Date for Payment Year 2 is March 31, 2027. The Payment Date for Payment Years 3 through 9 shall be each successive March 31, or the first business day thereafter if March 31 falls on a weekend. The Annual Remediation Payments for those Payment Years shall be made pursuant to the process set forth in Section IV.C.

F. *Offsets to Annual Remediation Payments to the Settlement Fund for Non-Settling States.* An offset equal to $655,114,448.14 times the Overall Allocation Percentage assigned to each Non-Settling State in Exhibit F shall be deducted from the total amount to be paid by

Albertsons to the Settlement Fund. For the avoidance of doubt, the Adjusted State Remediation Payment is calculated in a way that reflects this offset. The Base Payments and Incentive Payments are also subject to offset and reduction as provided in Section XII.

G. *Base Payments.*

1. Subject to the offset and reduction provisions set forth in Section XII, Albertsons shall make Base Payments into the Settlement Fund in an amount equal to thirty-eight percent (38%) of the Adjusted State Remediation Payment. The maximum total for Base Payments is $248,943,490.30. The Base Payments shall be due in installments consistent with Exhibit M-2 over the nine (9) Payment Years, subject to potential offsets for Non-Settling States as provided in Section IV.F.

2. The Base Payment for any Settling State in each Payment Year shall be the Base Payment for that Payment Year specified in Exhibit M-2 times the Settling State's Overall Allocation Percentage specified in Exhibit F.

H. *Incentive Payments.*

1. Subject to the offset and reduction provisions set forth in Section XII, Albertsons shall make potential additional incentive payments totaling up to a maximum of sixty-two percent (62%) of the Adjusted State Remediation Payment, with the Incentive Payment amount depending on whether and the extent to which the criteria set forth below are met in each Settling State. The maximum total for Incentive Payments is $406,170,957.84.

2. A Settling State qualifies to receive Incentive Payments in addition to Base Payments if it meets the incentive eligibility requirements specified below. With the exception of the Incentive Payment Earn-Back, the maximum total Incentive Payment for any Settling State shall be no more than the maximum total for Incentive Payments listed in Section IV.H.1 times the Settling State's Overall Allocation Percentage specified in Exhibit F. With the exception of the Incentive Payment Earn-Back, Incentive Payments are state-specific, with the actual amount depending on whether and the extent to which the criteria set forth below are met in such Settling State.

3. The incentive payments shall be divided among four (4) categories, referred to as Incentive Payments A, BC and D, and the Incentive Payment Earn-Back. Incentive Payments A and BC will be due in installments over the eight (8) Payment Years beginning with Payment Year 2, and Incentive Payment D will be due in installments over Payment Years 6 through 9, as shown on Exhibit M-2. The Incentive Payment Earn-Back will be due, if earned pursuant to Section IV.H.7, in Payment Year 9. The total amount of incentive payments in an Annual Remediation Payment shall be the sum of the incentive payments for which the individual Settling States are eligible for that Payment Year under the criteria set forth below. The incentive payments shall be made with respect to a specific Settling State based on its eligibility for that Payment Year under the criteria set forth below. For the avoidance of doubt, eligibility for Incentive Payments A, BC, and D shall be determined on a Settling State-by-Settling State basis.

4. Incentive Payment A.

a. Incentive Payment A is mutually exclusive with Incentive Payments BC and D; if a Settling State receives Incentive Payment A in an Annual Remediation Payment, such Settling State is not eligible for Incentive Payments BC or D in that Annual Remediation Payment. A Settling State that receives Incentive Payment A is eligible to receive the Incentive Payment Earn-Back, if the requirements of Section IV.H.7 are met.

b. Incentive Payment A shall be equal to sixty-two percent (62%) of the Adjusted State Remediation Payment, provided all Settling States satisfy the requirements of Incentive Payment A. Incentive Payment A will be due to a Settling State as part of the Annual Remediation Payment over eight (8) Payment Years, starting in Payment Year 2, and shall equal a total potential maximum of $406,170,957.84 if all Eligible States qualify for Incentive Payment A. Each Settling State's share of Incentive Payment A in a given year, *provided* that Settling State qualifies, shall equal the total maximum amount available for Incentive Payment A for that year as reflected in Exhibit M-2 times the Settling State's Overall Allocation Percentage.

c. Qualification for Incentive Payment A is as follows: A Settling State qualifies for Incentive Payment A if, as of two (2) years of the Effective Date: (i) there is a Bar in that Settling State in full force and effect, (ii) there is a Settlement Class Resolution in that Settling State in full force and effect, (iii) the Released Claims of all of the following entities are released through the execution of Subdivision Settlement Participation Forms, or there is a Case-Specific Resolution against such entities: all Primary Subdivisions, Litigating Subdivisions, School Districts with a K-12 student enrollment of at least 25,000 or .10% of a Settling State's population, whichever is greater, Hospital Districts that have at least one hundred twenty-five (125) hospital beds in one or more hospitals rendering services in that district, and Primary Fire Districts; or (iv) a combination of the actions in clauses (i)-(iii) has achieved the same level of resolution of Claims by Subdivisions (*e.g.,* a Bar against future litigation combined with full joinder by Litigating Subdivisions). For the avoidance of doubt, subsection (iv) cannot be satisfied unless all Litigating Subdivisions are Participating Subdivisions or there is a Case-Specific Resolution against any such Subdivisions that are not Participating Subdivisions. Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee shall meet and confer in order to agree on data sources for purposes of this Section prior to the Initial Participation Date.

d. A Settling State that does not qualify for Incentive Payment A as of two (2) years after the Effective Date shall not be eligible for Incentive Payment A for that Payment Year or any subsequent Payment Years.

e. Albertsons shall indicate before the Initial Participation Date whether existing legislation in a Settling State is sufficient to qualify as a Bar.

f. If a Settling State does not qualify for Incentive Payment A as of the Payment Date for Payment Year 2, and becomes eligible for Incentive Payment A as of Payment Date for Payment Year 3, it shall receive the payment that it would have received for Incentive Payment A for Payment Year 2 (the "Incentive Payment A Catch-up Payment") on the Payment Date for Payment Year 3. If a Settling State is not eligible for Incentive Payment A as of the Payment Date for Payment Year 3, and becomes eligible for Incentive Payment A as of two (2) years after the Effective Date, it shall receive the payment that it would have received for Incentive Payment A for Payment Years 2 and 3 (also an "Incentive Payment A Catch-up Payment") on the Payment Date for Payment Year 4. The Incentive Payment A Catch-up Payment shall be reduced by any amounts paid to the Settling State under Incentive Payment BC prior to the Settling State's eligibility for Incentive Payment A.

g. If Albertsons made a payment under Incentive Payment A solely on the basis of a Bar or Settlement Class Resolution in a Settling State and that Bar or Settlement Class Resolution is subsequently removed, revoked, rescinded, reversed, overruled, interpreted in a manner to limit the scope of the release, or otherwise deprived of force or effect in any material respect, that Settling State shall not be eligible for Incentive Payment A thereafter, unless the Settling State requalifies for Incentive Payment A through any method pursuant to Section IV.H.4.c, in which case the Settling State shall be eligible for Incentive Payment A less any litigation fees and costs incurred by Albertsons in the interim, except that, if the re-imposition occurs after the completion of opening statements in a trial involving a Released Claim, the Settling State shall not be eligible for Incentive Payment A (unless this exception is waived by Albertsons).

5. Incentive Payment BC.

a. Incentive Payment BC shall be available to Settling States that do not qualify for Incentive Payment A.

b. Incentive Payment BC shall be up to a maximum of fifty-nine percent (59%) of the Settling State's State Allocation. Incentive Payment BC will be due to a Settling State as part of the Annual Remediation Payment over ten (8) Payment Years, starting in Annual Remediation Payment 2. Subject to Section IV.F, Incentive Payment BC shall equal a total potential maximum of $386,517,524.40 if all states are eligible.

c. A Settling State may earn Incentive Payment BC in Annual Remediation Payments 2 through 9 and may receive, when combined with the Settling State's Base Payment, a maximum of up to ninety-seven 97% of the Settling State's State Allocation. The maximum Incentive Payment BC for any Settling State in a given Payment Year shall be the Incentive Payment BC for that Payment Year specified in Exhibit M-2 times the Settling State's Overall Allocation Percentage specified in Exhibit F, provided such Settling State becomes eligible for

Incentive Payment BC by sixty (60) days before the Payment Date for Payment Year 6.

d. The amount of Incentive Payment BC for which a Settling State is eligible shall be determined based on the aggregate population of the Settling State's Incentive BC Subdivisions that are Participating Subdivisions or have had their claims resolved through a Case-Specific Resolution, divided by the aggregate population of all the Settling State's Incentive BC Subdivisions. The Settling State's Incentive BC Subdivisions are (i) all Litigating Subdivisions (including School Districts and Special Districts) and (ii) all Non-Litigating Threshold Subdivisions (collectively, all Litigating Subdivisions and all Non-Litigating Threshold Subdivisions are "*Incentive BC Subdivisions*").

e. The percentage of the Settling State's maximum Incentive Payment BC for the Payment Year provided by Section IV.H.5.c to which the Settling State is entitled shall be determined according to the table of Incentive BC payment levels below:

Participation Percentage of Incentive BC Eligible Subdivision Population[5]	**Incentive Payment BC Percentage for the Relevant Payment Year**
Less than 85%	0%
85% or more but less than 86%	3.57%
86% or more but less than 87%	8.93%
87% or more but less than 88%	14.29%
88% or more but less than 89%	19.64%
89% or more but less than 90%	25%
90% or more but less than 91%	30.36%

[5] The "Participation Percentage of Incentive BC Eligible Subdivision Population" shall be determined by the aggregate population of the Settling State's Incentive BC Subdivisions that are Participating Subdivisions, or have had their claims resolved through a Case-Specific Resolution, divided by the aggregate population of the Settling State's Incentive BC Subdivisions. In calculating the Settling State's population that resides in Incentive BC Subdivisions, the population of the Settling State's Incentive BC Subdivisions shall be the sum of the population of all Incentive BC Subdivisions in the Settling State, notwithstanding that persons may be included within the population of more than one Incentive BC Subdivision. An individual Subdivision shall not be included more than once in the numerator, and shall not be included more than once in the denominator, of the calculation regardless if it (or any of its officials) is named as multiple plaintiffs in the same lawsuit; provided, however, that for the avoidance of doubt, no Subdivision will be excluded from the numerator or denominator under this sentence unless a Subdivision otherwise counted in the denominator has the authority to release the Claims (consistent with Section X) of the Subdivision to be excluded.

91% or more but less than 92%	35.71%
92% or more but less than 93%	41.07%
93% or more but less than 94%	46.43%
94% or more but less than 95%	51.79%
95% or more but less than 96%	60.71%
96% or more but less than 97%	68.75%
97% or more but less than 98%	76.79%
98% or more but less than 99%	84.82%
99% or more but less than 100%	92.86%
100%	100%

f. For Payment Years 2-5, the percentage of the available Incentive Payment BC amount for which a Settling State is eligible will be based on the Participation Percentage of Incentive BC Eligible Subdivision Population as of sixty (60) days before the Payment Date. For Payment Years 6-9, the percentage of the available Incentive Payment BC amount for which a Settling State is eligible will be based on the Participation Percentage of Incentive BC Eligible Subdivision Population as of sixty (60) days before the Payment Date for Payment Year 6. If Incentive BC Eligible Subdivisions that have become Participating Subdivisions, or achieved Case-Specific Resolution status, collectively represent less than eighty-five percent (85%) of a Settling State's Incentive BC Eligible Subdivision population by sixty (60) days before the Payment Date for Payment Year 6, the Settling State shall not receive any Incentive Payment BC.

g. If there are no Incentive BC Eligible Subdivisions in a Settling State, and that Settling State is otherwise eligible for Incentive Payment BC because it is not eligible for Incentive Payment A, that Settling State will receive its maximum Incentive Payment BC for that Annual Remediation Payment provided by Section IV.H.5.c.

6. Incentive Payment D.

a. Incentive Payment D shall be available to Settling States that do not qualify for Incentive Payment A.

b. Incentive Payment D shall be equal to up to a maximum of ten percent (10%) of the Adjusted State Remediation Payment, with the actual amount depending whether and the extent to which the criteria set forth below are met in each Settling State. The maximum total for Incentive Payment D is $65,511,444.81.[6]

c. Incentive Payment D shall be paid starting at Payment Year 6 and the amount of Incentive Payment D in Payment Years 6-9 will depend on (i) the Settling State meeting the qualifications set out in Section IV.H.6.d and (ii) the Participation Percentage of Incentive BC Eligible Subdivision Population achieved by the Settling State as of sixty (60) days prior to the Payment Date for Payment Year 6.

d. A Settling State qualifies for Incentive Payment D if no Later Litigating Subdivision (for purposes of Incentive Payment D, Later Litigating Subdivisions are limited to (i) a Primary Subdivision; (ii) a school district with a K-12 student enrollment of at least 25,000 or 0.10% of the Settling State's population, whichever is greater; (iii) a health district or hospital district that has at least one hundred twenty-five (125) hospital beds in one or more hospitals rendering services in that district; and (iv) Primary Fire Districts) in that Settling State has a lawsuit against a Released Entity survive more than six (6) months after denial in whole or in part of a Threshold Motion.

e. A Settling State's qualification for Incentive Payment D shall be determined as of sixty (60) calendar days prior to the Payment Date ("*Incentive Payment D Look-Back Date*"). If a Later Litigating Subdivision's lawsuit in that Settling State survives more than six (6) months after denial in whole or in part a Threshold Motion after that date, that Settling State shall not be eligible for Incentive Payment D for the Payment Year in which that occurs and any subsequent Payment Year.

f. The Incentive Payment D for any Settling State qualifying for Incentive Payment D in Payment Years 6-9 shall be equal to between three percent (3%) and ten percent (10%) of the State Remediation Payment times the Settling State's Overall Allocation Percentage specified in Exhibit F. The applicable percentage shall be determined based on the Participation Percentage of Incentive BC Eligible Subdivision Population achieved by the Settling State as of sixty (60) days prior to the Payment Date for Payment Year 6 as shown in the table below:

[6] The Incentive Payment BC table specified in Section IV.H.5.e and Incentive Payment D table specified in Section IV.H.6.f operate so that the combined amount of Incentive Payment BC and Incentive Payment D cannot exceed sixty-two percent (62%) of the Adjusted State Remediation Payment over the term of the Agreement. Albertsons will have no obligation to pay more than $406,170,957.84 for the combined amounts of Incentive Payment BC and Incentive Payment D minus any offsets for Non-Settling States specified in Section IV.F.

Participation Percentage of Incentive BC Eligible Subdivision Population as of sixty (60) days prior to the Payment Date for Payment Year 6	Each Eligible Settling State's Applicable Incentive Payment D Percentage
Participation of less than 95%	10% of State Allocation
Participation of 95% but less than 96%	9% of State Allocation
Participation of 96% but less than 97%	8% of State Allocation
Participation of 97% but less than 98%	7% of State Allocation
Participation of 98% but less than 99%	6% of State Allocation
Participation of 99% but less than 100%	5% of State Allocation
Participation of 100%	3% of State Allocation

g. Incentive Payment D shall be paid in four equal installments. Prior to making the Annual Remediation Payment for Payment Years 6-9, the Settlement Fund Administrator shall determine a Settling State's qualification for Incentive Payment D as of the Incentive Payment D Look-Back Date for that Payment Year. Prior to the Incentive Payment D Look-Back Date for each Payment Year, Albertsons may provide the Settlement Fund Administrator and the Enforcement Committee with notice identifying any Settling State(s) it believes do not qualify for Incentive Payment D and information supporting its belief.

h. Notwithstanding Section IV.H.6.d and Section IV.H.6.e, a Settling State can become re-eligible for Incentive Payment D if the lawsuit that survived a Threshold Motion is dismissed pursuant to a later motion on grounds included in the Threshold Motion, in which case the Settling State shall be eligible for Incentive Payment D less any litigation fees and costs incurred by Albertsons in the interim, except that if the dismissal motion occurs after the completion of opening statements in such action, the Settling State shall not be eligible for Incentive Payment D.

7. Incentive Payment Earn-Back. Upon calculation of Incentive Payments A, BC, and D for Payment Year 9, all Incentive Payment amounts that have been unearned by any Settling State under this Agreement for Payment Years 2-9 will be aggregated to determine the Total Unearned Incentive Payments for all Settling States. In Payment Year 9, the Settling States can collectively qualify to receive the Total Unearned Incentive Payments, up to a maximum of 2% of the State Remediation Payment ($13,102,288.96) (the "Potential Earn-Back Amount"), if there has been no lawsuit for Covered Conduct against a Released Entity by a Non-Eligible State or Subdivision in a Non-Eligible State that survived more than six (6) months after denial in whole or in part of a Threshold Motion. If, at any point prior to Payment Year 9, any lawsuit for Covered Conduct against a Released Entity by a Non-Eligible State or Subdivision in a Non-Eligible State survives more than (6) months after denial in whole or in part of a Threshold Motion, no Settling State is eligible for any portion of the Potential Earn-Back Amount. If no lawsuit for Covered Conduct against a Released Entity by a Non-Eligible State or Subdivision in a Non-Eligible State survives more than six (6) months after denial in whole or in part of a Threshold Motion, then the Settling States will receive the Potential Earn-Back Amount in accordance with the Overall Allocation Percentages in Exhibit F.

I. In no event shall any Settling State receive Base Payments and Incentive Payments A, BC, and D totaling more than one hundred percent (100%) of its respective Overall Allocation Percentage specified in Exhibit F times the State Remediation Payment. However, if the requirements in Section IV.H.7 are met by the Settling States, a Settling State may receive Base Payments and Incentive Payments totaling more than one hundred percent (100%) of its respective Overall Allocation Percentage specified in Exhibit F times the State Remediation Payment.

V. Allocation and Use of Settlement Payments

A. *Components of Settlement Fund.* The Settlement Fund shall be funded by the Annual Remediation Payments and comprised of a Remediation Accounts Fund, a State Fund, and a Subdivision Fund for each Settling State. The payments made under Section IV into the Settlement Fund shall be initially allocated among those three (3) sub-funds and distributed and used as provided below. Payments placed into the Settlement Fund do not revert back to Albertsons.

B. *Use of Settlement Payments.*

1. It is the intent of the Parties that the payments disbursed from the Settlement Fund to Settling States and Participating Subdivisions be for Opioid Remediation, subject to exceptions that must be documented in accordance with Section V.B.2. In no event may less than ninety-five (95%) of Albertsons's maximum amount of payments pursuant to Section IV as set forth on Exhibit M-2 over the entirety of all Payments Years (but not any single Payment Year) be spent on Opioid Remediation.

2. While disfavored by the Parties, a Settling State or a Participating Subdivision set forth on Exhibit G may use monies from the Settlement Fund (that have not been restricted by this Agreement solely to future Opioid Remediation) for purposes that do not qualify as Opioid Remediation. If, at any time, a Settling State or a Participating Subdivision set forth on Exhibit G uses any monies from the Settlement Fund for a purpose that does not qualify as Opioid Remediation, such Settling State or Participating Subdivision set forth on Exhibit G shall identify such amounts and report to the Settlement Fund Administrator and Albertsons how such funds were used, including if used to pay attorneys' fees, investigation costs, litigation costs, or costs related to the operation and enforcement of this Agreement, respectively. It is the intent of the Parties that the reporting under this Section V.B.2 shall be available to the public. For the avoidance of doubt, (a) any amounts not identified under this Section V.B.2 as used to pay attorneys' fees, investigation costs, or litigation costs shall be included in the Compensatory Restitution Amount for purposes of Section V.F and (b) Participating Subdivisions not listed on Exhibit G may only use monies from the Settlement Fund for purposes that qualify as Opioid Remediation.

C. *Allocation of Settlement Fund.* The allocation of the Settlement Fund allows for different approaches to be taken in different states, such as through a State-Subdivision Agreement. Given the uniqueness of Settling States and their Subdivisions, Settling States and their Subdivisions are encouraged to enter into State-Subdivision Agreements in order to direct the allocation of their portion of the Settlement Fund. As set out below, the Settlement Fund Administrator will make an initial allocation to three (3) state-level sub-funds. The Settlement

Fund Administrator will then, for each Settling State and its Participating Subdivisions, apply the terms of this Agreement and any relevant State-Subdivision Agreement, Statutory Trust, Allocation Statute, or voluntary redistribution of funds as set out below before disbursing the funds.

1. Base Payments. The Settlement Fund Administrator will allocate Base Payments under Section IV.G among the Settling States pursuant to Section IV.G.2. Base payments for each Settling State will then be allocated fifteen percent (15%) to its State Fund, seventy percent (70%) to its Remediation Accounts Fund, and fifteen percent (15%) to its Subdivision Fund. Amounts may be reallocated and will be distributed as provided in Section V.D.

2. Incentive Payments. The Settlement Fund Administrator will treat Incentive Payments under Section IV.H on a state-specific basis. Incentive Payments for which a Settling State is eligible under Section IV.H will be allocated fifteen percent (15%) to its State Fund, seventy percent (70%) to its Remediation Accounts Fund, and fifteen percent (15%) to its Subdivision Fund. Amounts may be reallocated and will be distributed as provided in Section V.D.

3. Application of Adjustments. If an offset or reduction under Section XII applies with respect to a Settling State, the offset or reduction shall be applied proportionally to all amounts that would otherwise be apportioned and distributed to the State Fund, the Remediation Accounts Fund, and the Subdivision Fund for that Settling State.

4. Settlement Fund Administrator. Prior to the Effective Date, Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee will agree to a detailed mechanism consistent with the foregoing for the Settlement Fund Administrator to follow in allocating, apportioning, and distributing payments, which shall then be appended hereto as Exhibit L.

5. Settlement Fund Administrator Costs. Any costs and fees associated with or arising out of the duties of the Settlement Fund Administrator as described in Exhibit L shall be paid from the interest accrued in the Settlement Fund Escrow and the Settlement Fund; *provided, however,* that if such accrued interest is insufficient to pay the entirety of any such costs and fees, Albertsons shall pay fifty percent (50%) of the additional amount and fifty percent (50%) shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund.

D. *Settlement Fund Reallocation and Distribution*. As set forth below, within a particular Settling State's account, amounts contained in the Settlement Fund sub-funds may be reallocated and distributed per a State-Subdivision Agreement or other means. If the apportionment of amounts is not addressed and controlled under Section V.D.1 and Section V.D.2, then the default provisions of Section V.D.4 apply. It is not necessary that a State-Subdivision Agreement or other means of allocating funds pursuant to Section V.D.1 and Section V.D.2 address all of the Settlement Fund sub-funds. For example, a Statutory Trust might only address disbursements from a Settling State's Remediation Accounts Fund.

1. Distribution by State-Subdivision Agreement. If a Settling State has a State-Subdivision Agreement, amounts apportioned to that Settling State's State Fund, Remediation Accounts Fund, and Subdivision Fund under Section V.C shall be reallocated and distributed as provided by that agreement. Any State-Subdivision Agreement entered into after the Preliminary

Agreement Date shall be applied only if it requires: (a) that all amounts be used for Opioid Remediation, except as allowed by Section V.B.2, and (b) that at least seventy percent (70%) of amounts be used solely for future Opioid Remediation.[7] For a State-Subdivision Agreement to be applied to the relevant portion of an Annual Payment, notice must be provided to Albertsons and the Settlement Fund Administrator at least sixty (60) calendar days prior to the Payment Date.

2. Distribution by Allocation Statute. If a Settling State has an Allocation Statute and/or a Statutory Trust that addresses allocation or distribution of amounts apportioned to such Settling State's State Fund, Remediation Accounts Fund, and/or Subdivision Fund and that, to the extent any or all such sub-funds are addressed, requires (1) all amounts to be used for Opioid Remediation, except as allowed by Section V.B.2, and (2) at least seventy percent (70%) of all amounts to be used solely for future Opioid Remediation then, to the extent allocation or distribution is addressed, the amounts apportioned to that Settling State's State Fund, Remediation Accounts Fund, and Subdivision Fund under Section V.C shall be allocated and distributed as addressed and provided by the applicable Allocation Statute or Statutory Trust. For the avoidance of doubt, an Allocation Statute or Statutory Trust need not address all three (3) sub-funds that comprise the Settlement Fund, and if the applicable Allocation Statute or Statutory Trust does not address distribution of all or some of these three (3) sub-funds, the applicable Allocation Statute or Statutory Trust does not replace the default provisions described in Section V of any such unaddressed fund. For example, if an Allocation Statute or Statutory Trust that meets the requirements of this Section V.D.2 only addresses funds restricted to remediation, then the default provisions of this Agreement concerning allocation among the three (3) sub-funds comprising the Settlement Fund and the distribution of the State Fund and Subdivision Fund for that Settling State would still apply, while the distribution of the applicable State's Remediation Accounts Fund would be governed by the qualifying Allocation Statute or Statutory Trust.

3. Voluntary Redistribution. A Settling State may choose to reallocate all or a portion of its State Fund to its Remediation Accounts Fund. A Participating Subdivision included on Exhibit G may choose to reallocate all or a portion of its allocation from the Subdivision Fund to the Settling State's Remediation Accounts Fund or to another Participating Subdivision. The Settlement Fund Administrator is not required to honor a voluntary redistribution for which notice is provided to it less than sixty (60) calendar days prior to the applicable Payment Date.

4. Distribution in the Absence of a State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, or Statutory Trust. If Section V.D.1 and Section V.D.2 do not apply, amounts apportioned to that Settling State's State Fund, Remediation Accounts Fund, and Subdivision Fund under Section V.C shall be distributed as follows:

a. Amounts apportioned to that Settling State's State Fund shall be distributed to that Settling State.

b. Amounts apportioned to that Settling State's Remediation Accounts Fund shall be distributed consistent with Section V.E. Each Settling State shall

[7] Future Opioid Remediation includes amounts paid to satisfy any future demand by another governmental entity to make a required reimbursement in connection with the past care and treatment of a person related to the Alleged Harms

submit to the Settlement Fund Administrator a designation of a lead state agency or other entity to serve as the single point of contact for that Settling State's funding requests from the Remediation Accounts Fund and other communications with the Settlement Fund Administrator. The designation of an individual entity is for administrative purposes only and such designation shall not limit funding to such entity or even require that such entity receive funds from this Agreement. The designated entity shall be the only entity authorized to request funds from the Settlement Fund Administrator to be disbursed from that Settling State's Remediation Accounts Fund. If a Settling State has established a Statutory Trust then that Settling State's single point of contact may direct the Settlement Fund Administrator to release the Settling State's Remediation Accounts Fund to the Statutory Trust.

c. Amounts apportioned to that Settling State's Subdivision Fund shall be distributed to Participating Subdivisions in that Settling State included on Exhibit G per the Subdivision Allocation Percentage listed in Exhibit G. Section VII.I shall govern amounts that would otherwise be distributed to Non-Participating Subdivisions listed in Exhibit G. For the avoidance of doubt and notwithstanding any other provision in this Agreement, no Non-Participating Subdivision will directly receive any amount from the Settlement Fund, regardless of whether such Subdivision is included on Exhibit G.

d. Special Districts shall not be allocated funds from the Subdivision Fund, except through a voluntary redistribution allowed by Section V.D.3 to Special Districts that are Participating Subdivisions. A Settling State may allocate funds from its State Fund or Remediation Accounts Fund for Special Districts that are Participating Subdivisions.

5. Restrictions on Distribution. No amounts may be distributed from the Subdivision Fund contrary to Section VII, i.e., no amounts may be distributed directly to Non-Participating Subdivisions or to Later Participating Subdivisions to the extent such a distribution would violate Section VII.E through Section VII.H. Amounts allocated to the Subdivision Fund that cannot be distributed by virtue of the preceding sentence shall be distributed into the sub-account in the Remediation Accounts Fund for the Settling State in which the Subdivision is located, unless those payments are redirected elsewhere by a State-Subdivision Agreement described in Section V.D.1 or by an Allocation Statute or a Statutory Trust described in Section V.D.2.

E. *Provisions Regarding the Remediation Accounts Fund.*

1. State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, and Statutory Trust Fund Provisions. A State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, or Statutory Trust may govern the operation and use of amounts in that Settling State's Remediation Accounts Fund so long as it complies with the requirements of Section V.D.1 or Section V.D.2, as applicable, and all direct payments to Subdivisions comply with Section VII.E through Section VII.H.

2. Absence of a State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, or Statutory Trust. In the absence of a State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, or Statutory Trust that

addresses distribution, the Remediation Accounts Fund will be used solely for future Opioid Remediation and the following shall apply with respect to a Settling State:

a. Regional Remediation.

(i) At least fifty percent (50%) of distributions for remediation from a Settling State's Remediation Accounts Fund shall be annually allocated and tracked to the regional level. A Settling State may allow the Advisory Committee established pursuant to Section V.E.2.d to define its regions and assign regional allocations percentages. Otherwise, the Settling State shall (A) define its initial regions, which shall consist of one (1) or more General Purpose Subdivisions and which shall be designated by the state agency with primary responsibility for substance abuse disorder services employing, to the maximum extent practical, existing regions established in that Settling State for opioid abuse treatment or other public health purposes; (B) assign initial regional allocation percentages to the regions based on the Subdivision Allocation Percentages in Exhibit G and an assumption that all Subdivisions included on Exhibit G will become Participating Subdivisions.

(ii) This minimum regional expenditure percentage is calculated on the Settling State's initial Remediation Accounts Fund allocation and does not include any additional amounts a Settling State has directed to its Remediation Accounts Fund from its State Fund, or any other amounts directed to the fund. A Settling State may dedicate more than fifty percent (50%) of its Remediation Accounts Fund to the regional expenditure and may annually adjust the percentage of its Remediation Accounts Fund dedicated to regional expenditures as long as the percentage remains above the minimum amount.

(iii) The Settling State (A) has the authority to adjust the definition of the regions, and (B) may annually revise the percentages allocated to each region to reflect the number of General Purpose Subdivisions in each region that are Non-Participating Subdivisions.

b. *Subdivision Block Grants.* Certain Subdivisions shall be eligible to receive regional allocation funds in the form of a block grant for future Opioid Remediation. A Participating Subdivision eligible for block grants is a county or parish (or in the case of Settling States that do not have counties or parishes that function as political subdivisions, a city) that (1) does not contain a Litigating Subdivision or a Later Litigating Subdivision for which it has the authority to end the litigation through a release, bar or other action, (2) either (i) has a population of 400,000 or more or (ii) in the case of California has a population of 750,000 or more and (3) has funded or otherwise managed an established health care or treatment infrastructure *(e.g.,* health department or similar agency). Each Subdivision eligible to receive block grants shall be assigned its own region.

c. *Small Settling States.* Notwithstanding the provisions of Section V.E.2.a, Settling States with populations under four (4) million that do not have existing regions described in Section V.E.2.a shall not be required to establish regions. However, such a Settling State that contains one (1) or more Subdivisions eligible for block grants under Section V.E.2.b shall be divided regionally so that each block-grant eligible Subdivision is a region and the remainder of the state is a region.

d. *Advisory Committee.* The Settling State shall designate an Opioid Settlement Remediation Advisory Committee (the *"Advisory Committee")* to provide input and recommendations regarding remediation spending from that Settling State's Remediation Accounts Fund. A Settling State may elect to use an existing advisory committee or similar entity (created outside of a State-Subdivision Agreement or Allocation Statute); *provided, however,* the Advisory Committee or similar entity shall meet the following requirements:

(i) Written guidelines that establish the formation and composition of the Advisory Committee, terms of service for members, contingency for removal or resignation of members, a schedule of meetings, and any other administrative details;

(ii) Composition that includes at least an equal number of local representatives as state representatives;

(iii) A process for receiving input from Subdivisions and other communities regarding how the opioid crisis is affecting their communities, their remediation needs, and proposals for remediation strategies and responses; and

(iv) A process by which Advisory Committee recommendations for expenditures for Opioid Remediation will be made to and considered by the appropriate state agencies.

3. Remediation Accounts Fund Reporting. The Settlement Fund Administrator shall track and assist in the report of remediation disbursements as agreed to between Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee

F. *Nature of Payment*. Albertsons, the Settling States, and the Participating Subdivisions each acknowledge and agree that notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, including, but not limited to, the scope of the Released Claims:

1. They have entered into this Agreement to avoid the delay, expense, inconvenience, and uncertainty of further litigation;

2. (a) The Settling States and Participating Subdivisions sought compensatory restitution and remediation for alleged damage or harm caused by the potential violation of a law (within the meaning of 26 U.S.C. § 162(f)(2)(A) and 26 C.F.R. § 1.162-21(e)(4)(i) as

damages for the Alleged Harms allegedly suffered by the Settling States and Participating Subdivisions; (b) the Compensatory Restitution Amount is less than or equal to the amount, in the aggregate, of the Alleged Harms allegedly suffered by the Settling States and Participating Subdivisions; and (c) the portion of the Compensatory Restitution Amount received by each Settling State or Participating Subdivision is less than or equal to the amount of the Alleged Harms allegedly suffered by such Settling State or Participating Subdivision;

3. The payment of the Compensatory Restitution Amount by Albertsons constitutes, and is paid for, compensatory restitution and remediation for alleged damage or harm caused by the potential violation of a law (within the meaning of 26 U.S.C. § 162(f)(2)(A) and 26 C.F.R. § 1.162-21(e)(4)(i) in order to restore, in whole or in part, the Settling States, Participating Subdivisions, and persons to the same position or condition that they would be in had the Settling States, Participating Subdivisions, and persons not suffered the Alleged Harms, and constitutes compensatory restitution and remediation for alleged damage or harm allegedly caused by the potential violation of a law; and

4. For the avoidance of doubt: (a) the entire Compensatory Restitution Amount is properly characterized as described in Section V.F, (b) no portion of the Compensatory Restitution Amount represents reimbursement to any Settling State or Participating Subdivision or other person or entity for the fees or costs of any investigation or litigation, including without limitation attorneys' fees, (c) no portion of the Global Settlement Amount constitutes the disgorgement of any allegedly ill-gotten gains, and (d) no portion of the Global Settlement Amount is paid for, is in place of, or is properly characterized as the payment of any fine, penalty, punitive damages, or other punitive assessments.

VI. Enforcement

A. *Enforceability.* This Agreement is enforceable only by the Settling States and Albertsons; *provided, however,* that Released Entities may enforce Section X and Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G have the enforcement rights described later in this paragraph and in Section VI.D. Except to the extent allowed by the Injunctive Relief Terms, Settling States and Participating Subdivisions shall not have enforcement rights against Albertsons with respect to either the terms of this Agreement that apply only to or in other Settling States or any Consent Judgment entered into by another Settling State. Participating Subdivisions shall not have enforcement rights against Albertsons with respect to this Agreement or any Consent Judgment except that Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G shall have enforcement rights as set forth herein as to payments that would be allocated to the Participating Subdivisions or the Remediation Accounts Fund in such Settling State; *provided, however,* that each Settling State shall allow Participating Subdivisions in such Settling State to notify it of any perceived violations of this Agreement or the applicable Consent Judgment.

B. *Jurisdiction.* Albertsons consents to the jurisdiction of the court in which each Settling State files its Consent Judgment, limited to resolution of disputes identified in Section VI.F.1 for resolution in that court.

C. *Specific Terms Dispute Resolution.*

1. Any dispute that is addressed by the provisions set forth in the Injunctive Relief Terms shall be resolved as provided therein.

2. In the event that Albertsons believes that the ninety-five percent (95%) threshold established in Section V.B.1 is not being satisfied, any Party may request that Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee meet and confer regarding the use of funds to implement Section V.B.1. The completion of such meet-and-confer process is a precondition to further action regarding any such dispute. Further action concerning Section V.B.1 shall: (i) be limited to Albertsons seeking to reduce its Annual Remediation Payments by no more than five percent (5%) of the difference between the actual amount of Opioid Remediation and the ninety-five percent (95%) threshold established in Section V.B.1; (ii) only reduce Annual Remediation Payments to those Settling States and their Participating Subdivision(s) that are below the ninety-five percent (95%) threshold established in Section V.B.1; and (iii) not reduce Annual Remediation Payments restricted to future Opioid Remediation.

D. *State-Subdivision Enforcement.*

1. A Subdivision shall not have enforcement rights against a Settling State in which it is located with respect to this Agreement or any Consent Judgment except that a Participating Subdivision listed on Exhibit G shall have enforcement rights (a) as provided for in a State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, or Statutory Trust with respect to intrastate allocation or (b) in the absence of a State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, or Statutory Trust, to allegations that (i) the Settling State's use of Remediation Accounts Fund monies were not used for uses similar to or in the nature of those uses contained in Exhibit E; or (ii) a Settling State failed to pay funds directly from the Remediation Accounts Fund to a Participating Subdivision eligible to receive a block grant pursuant to Section V.E.2.b.

2. A Settling State shall have enforcement rights against a Participating Subdivision located in its territory (a) as provided for in a State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, or Statutory Trust; or (b) in the absence of a State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, or Statutory Trust, to allegations that the Participating Subdivisions' uses of Remediation Accounts Fund monies were not used for purposes similar to or in the nature of those uses contained in Exhibit E.

3. As between the Settling States and Participating Subdivisions, the above rights are contractual in nature and nothing herein is intended to limit, restrict, change or alter any other existing rights under law.

E. *Subdivision Albertsons Payment Enforcement.* A Participating Subdivision listed on Exhibit G shall have the same right as a Settling State to seek resolution regarding the failure by Albertsons to make its Annual Remediation Payment in a Payment Year.

F. *Other Terms Regarding Dispute Resolution.*

1. Except to the extent provided by Section VI.C or Section VI.F.2, all disputes shall be resolved in either the court that entered the relevant Consent Judgment or, if no such Consent

Judgment was entered, a state or territorial court with jurisdiction located wherever the seat of the relevant state government is located.

a. State court proceedings shall be governed by the rules and procedures of the relevant forum.

b. For the avoidance of doubt, disputes to be resolved in state court include, but are not limited to, the following:

(i) disputes concerning whether expenditures qualify as Opioid Remediation;

(ii) disputes between a Settling State and its Participating Subdivisions as provided by Section VI.D, except to the extent the State-Subdivision Agreement provides for other dispute resolution mechanisms. For the avoidance of doubt, disputes between a Settling State and any Participating Subdivision shall not be considered National Disputes;

(iii) whether this Agreement and relevant Consent Judgment are binding under state law;

(iv) the extent of the Attorney General's or other participating entity's authority under state law, including the extent of the authority to release claims; and

(v) whether the definition of a Bar, a Case-Specific Resolution, Final Order, lead state agency as described in Section V.D.4.b, Later Litigating Subdivision, Litigating Subdivision, or Threshold Motion have been met; and

(vi) all other disputes not specifically identified in Section VI.C or Section VI.F.2.

c. Any Party may request that the National Arbitration Panel provide an interpretation of any provision of the settlement that is relevant to the state court determination, and the National Arbitration Panel shall make reasonable best efforts to supply such interpretation within the earlier of thirty (30) calendar days or the time period required by the state court proceedings. Any Party may submit that interpretation to the state court to the extent permitted by, and for such weight provided by, the state court's rules and procedures. If requested by a Party, the National Arbitration Panel shall request that its interpretation be accepted in the form of an *amicus curiae* brief, and any attorneys' fees and costs for preparing any such filing shall be paid for by the requesting Party.

2. National Disputes involving a Settling State, a Participating Subdivision that has enforcement rights pursuant to Section VI.A, and/or Albertsons shall be resolved by the National Arbitration Panel.

a. National Disputes are disputes that are not addressed by Section VI.C, and which are exceptions to Section VI.F.1's presumption of resolution in state courts because they involve issues of interpretation of terms contained in this Agreement applicable to all Settling States without reference to a particular state's law. Disputes between a Settling State and any Participating Subdivision shall not be considered National Disputes. National Disputes are limited to the following:

(i) the amount of offset and/or credit attributable to Non-Settling States;

(ii) issues involving the scope and definition of Product;

(iii) interpretation and application of the terms "Covered Conduct," "Released Entities," and "Released Claims";

(iv) the failure by Albertsons to pay the Annual Remediation Payment or the Additional Restitution Amount in a Payment Year, but for the avoidance of doubt, disputes between Albertsons and a Settling State over the amounts owed only to that state that do not affect any other Settling State shall not be considered National Disputes;

(v) the interpretation and application of any most-favored-nation provision in Section XIII.D;

(vi) questions regarding the performance and/or removal of the Settlement Fund Administrator;

(vii) disputes involving liability of successor entities;

(viii) disputes that require a determination of the sufficiency of participation in order to qualify for Incentive Payments A, BC or D;

(ix) disputes involving a Releasor's compliance with, and the appropriate remedy under, Section X.B.4.c;

(x) disputes requiring the interpretation of Agreement terms that are national in scope or impact, which shall mean disputes requiring the interpretation of Agreement terms that (i) concretely affect four (4) or more Settling States; and (ii) do not turn on unique definitions and interpretations under state law; and

(xi) any dispute subject to resolution under Section VI.F.1 but for which all parties to the dispute agree to arbitration before the National Arbitration Panel under the provisions of this Section VI.F.2.

b. The National Arbitration Panel shall be comprised of three (3) arbitrators. One (1) arbitrator shall be chosen by Albertsons, one (1) arbitrator shall be chosen by the Enforcement Committee with due input from Participating

Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G, and the third arbitrator shall be agreed upon by the first two (2) arbitrators. The membership of the National Arbitration Panel is intended to remain constant throughout the term of this Agreement, but in the event that replacements are required, the retiring arbitrator shall be replaced by the party that selected him/her.

c. The National Arbitration Panel shall make reasonable best efforts to decide all matters within one hundred eighty (180) calendar days of filing, and in no event shall it take longer than one (1) year.

d. The National Arbitration Panel shall conduct all proceedings in a reasonably streamlined process consistent with an opportunity for the parties to be heard. Issues shall be resolved without the need for live witnesses where feasible and with a presumption in favor of remote participation to minimize the burdens on the parties.

e. To the extent allowed under state law, a Settling State, a Participating Subdivision that has enforcement rights pursuant to Section VI.A, and (at any party's request) the National Arbitration Panel may certify to an appropriate state court any question of state law. The National Arbitration Panel shall be bound by a final state court determination of such a certified question. The time period for the arbitration shall be tolled during the course of the certification process.

f. The arbitrators will give due deference to any authoritative interpretation of state law, including any declaratory judgment or similar relief obtained by a Settling State, a Participating Subdivision that has enforcement rights pursuant to Section VI.A, or Albertsons on a state law issue.

g. The decisions of the National Arbitration Panel shall be binding on Settling States, Participating Subdivisions, Albertsons, and the Settlement Fund Administrator. In any proceeding before the National Arbitration Panel involving a dispute between a Settling State and Albertsons whose resolution could prejudice the rights of a Participating Subdivision(s) in that Settling State, such Participating Subdivision(s) shall be allowed to file a statement of view in the proceeding.

h. Nothing herein shall be construed so as to limit or otherwise restrict a Settling State from seeking injunctive or other equitable relief in state court to protect the health, safety, or welfare of its citizens.

i. Each party shall bear its own costs in any arbitration or court proceeding arising under this Section VI. The costs for the arbitrators on the National Arbitration Panel shall be divided and paid equally by the disputing sides for each individual dispute, e.g., a dispute between Albertsons and Settling States/Participating Subdivisions shall be split fifty percent (50%) by Albertsons and fifty percent (50%) by the Settling States/Participating Subdivisions that are parties to the dispute; a dispute between a Settling State and a Participating Subdivision shall be split fifty percent (50%) by the Settling State that is party to

the dispute and fifty percent (50%) by any Participating Subdivisions that are parties to the dispute.

3. Prior to initiating an action to enforce pursuant to this Section VI.F, the complaining party must:

a. Provide written notice to the Enforcement Committee and/or Albertsons of its complaint, including the provision of the Consent Judgment and/or Agreement that the practice appears to violate, as well as the basis for its interpretation of the disputed provision. The Enforcement Committee shall establish a reasonable process and timeline for obtaining additional information from the involved parties; *provided, however,* that the date the Enforcement Committee establishes for obtaining additional information from the parties shall not be more than forty-five (45) calendar days following the notice. The Enforcement Committee may advise the involved parties of its views on the complaint and/or seek to resolve the complaint informally.

b. Wait to commence any enforcement action until thirty (30) calendar days after the date that the Enforcement Committee establishes for obtaining additional information from the involved parties.

4. If the parties to a dispute cannot agree on the proper forum for resolution of the dispute under the provisions of Section VI.F.1 or Section VI.F.2, a committee comprising the Enforcement Committee and sufficient representatives of Albertsons such that the members of the Enforcement Committee have a majority of one (1) member will determine the forum where the dispute will be initiated within twenty-eight (28) calendar days of receiving notification of the dispute relating to the proper forum. The forum identified by such committee shall be the sole forum for litigating the issue of which forum will hear the substantive dispute, and the committee's identification of such forum in the first instance shall not be entitled to deference by the forum selected.

G. To the extent allowed by applicable law, this Settlement Agreement shall not be deemed to create a lien or encumbrance against any real property owned by Albertsons or its affiliates, unless in the event of a default or breach of the payment provisions by Albertsons. Nothing in this Section shall be construed to limit any remedy of any Settling State or Participating Subdivision in the event of a default or breach of this Agreement by Albertsons.

H. *No Effect.* Nothing in this Agreement shall be interpreted to limit the Settling States' Civil Investigative Demand *("CID")* or investigative subpoena authority, to the extent such authority exists under applicable state law and the CID or investigative subpoena is issued pursuant to such authority, and Albertsons reserve all of its rights in connection with a CID or investigative subpoena issued pursuant to such authority.

VII. Participation by Subdivisions

A. *Notice.* No later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the Preliminary Agreement Date, the Implementation Administrator shall send individual written notice (which may be

delivered via e-mail or other electronic means and may be combined with distribution of the Subdivision Settlement Participation Form) of the opportunity to participate in this Agreement and the requirements of participation to all Subdivisions in the Settling States that are (1) Litigating Subdivisions or (2) Non-Litigating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G. To the extent a Special District is entitled to an allocation for a direct payment through its inclusion in Exhibit G pursuant to a State-Subdivision Agreement, Allocation Statute, Statutory Trust, or voluntary redistribution, the Implementation Administrator, with the cooperation of the Settling States shall also send individual written notice (which may be delivered via e-mail or other electronic means) of the opportunity to participate in this Agreement and the requirements of participation to such Special Districts. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the version of Exhibit G used for notice shall be the one in place as of the Preliminary Agreement Date. Notice (which may be delivered via e-mail or other electronic means) shall also be provided simultaneously to counsel of record for Litigating Subdivisions and known counsel for Non-Litigating Subdivisions and Special Districts listed on Exhibit G. Up to $1,500,000 of the costs of the Implementation Administrator shall be paid for by Albertsons. The Settling States, with the cooperation of Albertsons, may also provide general notice reasonably calculated to alert Non-Litigating Subdivisions in the Settling States to this Agreement, the opportunity to participate in it, and the requirements for participation. Such notice may include publication and other standard forms of notification, as well as notice to state and county organizations such as the National Association of Counties and the National League of Cities. The notice will include that the deadline for becoming an Initial Participating Subdivision is the Initial Participation Date. Nothing contained herein shall preclude a Settling State from providing further notice to or otherwise contacting any of its Subdivisions about becoming a Participating Subdivision, including beginning any of the activities described in this paragraph prior to the Preliminary Agreement Date.

B. *Requirements for Becoming a Participating Subdivision—Non-Litigating Subdivisions.* A Non-Litigating Subdivision in a Settling State may become a Participating Subdivision by returning an executed Subdivision Settlement Participation Form to the Implementation Administrator or Settlement Fund Administrator (which may be executed and returned by electronic means established by the Implementation Administrator or Settlement Fund Administrator) specifying (1) that the Subdivision agrees to the terms of this Agreement pertaining to Subdivisions, (2) that the Subdivision releases all Released Claims against all Released Entities, (3) that the Subdivision agrees to use monies it receives, if any, from the Settlement Fund pursuant to the applicable requirements of Section V; *provided, however,* that Non-Litigating Subdivisions may only use monies originating from the Settlement Fund for purposes that qualify as Opioid Remediation, and (4) that the Subdivision submits to the jurisdiction of the court where the applicable Consent Judgment is filed for purposes limited to that court's role under this Agreement. The required Subdivision Settlement Participation Form is attached as Exhibit K.

C. *Requirements for Becoming a Participating Subdivision—Litigating Subdivisions/Later Litigating Subdivisions.* A Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating Subdivision in a Settling State may become a Participating Subdivision by returning an executed Subdivision Settlement Participation Form to the Implementation Administrator or Settlement Fund Administrator (which may be executed and returned by electronic means established by the Implementation Administrator or Settlement Fund Administrator) and upon prompt dismissal with prejudice of its lawsuit following the Reference Date or the date on which the conditions for

effectiveness in Section VIII.B have been met, whichever is later. A Settling State may require each Litigating Subdivision in that Settling State to specify on the Subdivision Settlement Participation Form whether its counsel has waived any contingency fee contract with that Participating Subdivision and whether, if eligible, it intends to seek fees pursuant to Exhibit R. The Settlement Fund Administrator shall provide quarterly reports of this information to the parties organized by Settling State. A Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating Subdivision may not become a Participating Subdivision after the completion of opening statements in a trial of the lawsuit it brought that includes a Released Claim against a Released Entity.

D. *Initial Participating Subdivisions.* A Subdivision qualifies as an Initial Participating Subdivision if it meets the applicable requirements for becoming a Participating Subdivision set forth in Section VII.B or Section VII.C by the Initial Participation Date. All Subdivision Settlement Participation Forms shall be held in escrow by the Implementation Administrator until the Reference Date. If, for any reason, the Agreement does not become effective, all obligations created by such forms and releases in them shall be void *ab initio* and/ all Subdivision Participation Agreements shall be returned to Counsel for Litigating Subdivisions or to the Subdivisions not represented by counsel or destroyed to the extent that such destruction is not prohibited by then existing document preservation obligations.

E. *Later Participating Subdivisions.* A Subdivision that is not an Initial Participating Subdivision may become a Later Participating Subdivision by meeting the applicable requirements for becoming a Participating Subdivision set forth in Section VII.B or Section VII.C after the Initial Participation Date and by agreeing to be subject to the terms of a State-Subdivision Agreement (if any) or any other structure adopted or applicable pursuant to Section V.D or Section V.E. The following provisions govern what a Later Participating Subdivision can receive (but do not apply to Initial Participating Subdivisions):

1. A Later Participating Subdivision shall not receive any share of any Annual Remediation Payment due before it became a Participating Subdivision.

2. A Later Participating Subdivision that becomes a Participating Subdivision after June 30, 2027, shall receive seventy-five percent (75%) of the share of future Base Payments or Incentive Payments that it would have received had it become a Later Participating Subdivision prior to that date (unless the Later Participating Subdivision is subject to Section VII.E.3 or Section VII.E.4).

3. A Later Participating Subdivision that, after the Initial Participation Date, maintains a lawsuit for a Released Claim(s) against a Released Entity and has judgment entered against it on every such Claim before it became a Participating Subdivision (other than a consensual dismissal with prejudice) shall receive fifty percent (50%) of the share of future Base Payments or Incentive Payments that it would have received had it become a Later Participating Subdivision prior to such judgment; *provided, however,* that if the Subdivision appeals the judgment and the judgment is affirmed with finality before the Subdivision becomes a Participating Subdivision, the Subdivision shall not receive any share of any Base Payment or Incentive Payments.

4. A Later Participating Subdivision that becomes a Participating Subdivision while a Bar or Case-Specific Resolution involving a different Subdivision exists in its state shall receive

twenty-five percent (25%) of the share of future Base Payments or Incentive Payments that it would have received had it become a Later Participating Subdivision without such Bar or Case-Specific Resolution.

F. *No Increase in Payments.* Amounts to be received by Later Participating Subdivisions shall not increase the payments due from Albertsons.

G. *Ineligible Subdivisions.* Prior Litigating Subdivisions whose claims have already been resolved, Subdivisions located in Non-Settling States, and Subdivisions not located in Eligible States cannot be Participating Subdivisions.

H. *Non-Participating Subdivisions.* Non-Participating Subdivisions shall not directly receive any portion of any Annual Remediation Payment, including from the State Fund and direct distributions from the Remediation Accounts Fund; however, a Settling State may choose to fund future Opioid Remediation that indirectly benefits Non-Participating Subdivisions.

I. *Unpaid Allocations to Later Participating Subdivisions and Non-Participating Subdivisions.* Any Base Payment and Incentive Payments allocated pursuant to Section V.D to a Later Participating Subdivision or Non-Participating Subdivision that cannot be paid pursuant to this Section VII, including the amounts that remain unpaid after the reductions required by Section VII.E.2 through Section VII.E.4, will be allocated to the Remediation Accounts Fund for the Settling State in which the Subdivision is located, unless those payments are redirected elsewhere by a State-Subdivision Agreement or by a Statutory Trust.

VIII. Condition to Effectiveness of Agreement and Filing of Consent Judgment

A. *Determination to Proceed with Settlement—Settling States*. Following the Initial Participation Date, the Settling States on the Enforcement Committee shall determine whether to proceed with the Agreement. No later than fifteen (15) calendar days prior to the Reference Date, the Settling States shall provide notice to Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee of their decision. If the Settling States elect not to proceed, this Agreement will have no further effect, and all releases (including those contained in Subdivision Participation Agreements) and other commitments or obligations contained herein or in Subdivision Settlement Participation Forms will be void. Within seven (7) calendar days of informing Albertsons that there is sufficient participation to proceed, the Settling States will deliver all signatures and releases required by the Agreement to be provided by the Settling States to Albertsons.

B. *Determination to Proceed with Settlement—Albertsons*. If the Settling States elect to proceed, Albertsons will then determine on or before the Reference Date whether there is sufficient Eligible State participation, sufficient Subdivision participation, and sufficient resolution of the Claims of the Litigating Subdivisions in the Settling States (through participation under Section VII, Case-Specific Resolution(s) and Bar(s)) to proceed with this Agreement. The determination shall be in the sole discretion of Albertsons and may be based on any criteria or factors deemed relevant by Albertsons.

C. *Notice by Albertsons.* On or before the Reference Date, Albertsons shall inform the Settling States of its determination pursuant to Section VIII.B. If Albertsons determines to proceed,

the Parties will proceed to file the Consent Judgments and the obligations in the Subdivision Settlement Participation Forms will be effective and binding as of the Reference Date. If Albertsons determines not to proceed, this Agreement will have no further effect, any amounts deposited for Payment Year 1, including funds referenced in Section IV.D.1 and Exhibit M, shall revert to Albertsons, and all releases (including those contained in Subdivision Settlement Participation Forms) and other commitments or obligations contained herein or in Subdivision Settlement Participation Forms will be void.

IX. Participating Subdivision Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Additional Remediation Amount

A. The Agreement on Subdivision Attorneys' Fees, Expenses and Costs is set forth in Exhibit R and incorporated herein by reference.

B. *Additional Remediation Amount*.

1. Subject to and without exceeding the maximum payment amounts set forth in the "Additional Remediation Amount" column of Exhibit M-3 and subject to the reduction specified in Section IX.B.2, Albertsons shall pay an Additional Remediation Amount to the Settling States listed in Exhibit N. Such funds shall be paid, on the schedule set forth in Exhibit M-3, as allocated by the Settlement Fund Administrator pursuant to Exhibit N.

2. *Reduction of Additional Remediation Amount*. The amounts owed by Albertsons pursuant to this Section IX.B shall be reduced by the allocations set forth on Exhibit N for Non-Settling States.

3. For the avoidance of doubt, (1) a Settling State that retained outside counsel in connection with the investigation of Albertsons that receives an Additional Remediation Amount may choose to have the Additional Remediation Amount designated to pay the Settling State's outside counsel, and may instruct the Settlement Fund Administrator to pay those funds directly to the Settling State's outside counsel, and (2) Additional Remediation Amount funds, including funds designated by a Settling State to pay its outside counsel under this paragraph, shall not be subject to allocation as provided in Section V.C through Section V.E.

C. All payments addressed by this Section IX will be made for each Payment Year in which they are due pursuant to Exhibit M-3.

X. Release

A. *Scope.* As of the Effective Date, the Released Entities are hereby released and forever discharged from all of the Releasors' Released Claims. Each Settling State (for itself and its Releasors) and Participating Subdivisions hereby absolutely, unconditionally, and irrevocably covenants not to bring, file, or claim, or to cause, assist or permit to be brought, filed, or claimed, or to otherwise seek to establish liability for any Released Claims against any Released Entity in any forum whatsoever. The releases provided for in this Agreement are intended by the Parties to be broad and shall be interpreted so as to give the Released Entities the broadest possible bar

against any liability relating in any way to Released Claims and extend to the full extent of the power of each Settling State and its Attorney General to release claims. This Agreement shall be a complete bar to any Released Claim.

B. *Claim-Over and Non-Party Settlement.*

1. It is the intent of the Parties that:

a. Released Entities should not seek contribution or indemnification (other than pursuant to an insurance contract), from other parties for their payment obligations under this Agreement;

b. the payments made under this Agreement shall be the sole payments made by the Released Entities to the Releasors involving, arising out of, or related to Covered Conduct (or conduct that would be Covered Conduct if engaged in by a Released Entity);

c. Claims by Releasors against non-Parties should not result in additional payments by Released Entities, whether through contribution, indemnification or any other means; and

d. the Agreement meets the requirements of the Uniform Contribution Among Joint Tortfeasors Act and any similar state law or doctrine that reduces or discharges a released party's liability to any other parties.

The provisions of this Section X.B are intended to be implemented consistent with these principles. This Agreement and the releases and dismissals provided for herein are made in good faith.

2. No Released Entity shall seek to recover for amounts paid under this Agreement based on indemnification, contribution, or any other theory from a manufacturer, pharmacy, hospital, pharmacy benefit manager, health insurer, third-party vendor, trade association, distributor, or health care practitioner; *provided* that a Released Entity shall be relieved of this prohibition with respect to any entity that asserts a Claim-Over against it. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing herein shall prohibit a Released Entity from recovering amounts owed pursuant to insurance contracts.

3. To the extent that, on or after the Reference Date, any Releasor enters into a Non-Party Settlement, including in any bankruptcy case or through any plan of reorganization (whether individually or as a class of creditors), the Releasor will include (or in the case of a Non-Party Settlement made in connection with a bankruptcy case, will cause the debtor to include), unless prohibited from doing so under applicable law, in the Non-Party Settlement a prohibition on contribution or indemnity of any kind substantially equivalent to that required from Albertsons in Section X.B.2, or a release from such Non-Released Entity in favor of the Released Entities (in a form equivalent to the releases contained in this Agreement) of any Claim-Over. The obligation to obtain the prohibition and/or release required by this subsection is a material term of this Agreement.

4. In the event that any Releasor obtains a judgment with respect to Non-Party Covered Conduct against a Non-Released Entity that does not contain a prohibition like that described in Section X.B.3 or any Releasor files a Non-Party Covered Conduct Claim against a Non-Released Entity in bankruptcy or a Releasor is prevented for any reason from obtaining a prohibition/release in a Non-Party Settlement as provided in Section X.B.3, and such Non-Released Entity asserts a Claim-Over against a Released Entity, the Released Entity shall be relieved of the prohibition in Section X.B.2 with respect to that Non-Released Entity and that Releasor and Albertsons shall take the following actions to ensure that the Released Entities do not pay more with respect to Covered Conduct to Releasors or to Non-Released Entities than the amounts owed under this Settlement Agreement by Albertsons:

a. Albertsons shall notify that Releasor of the Claim-Over within sixty (60) calendar days of the assertion of the Claim-Over or sixty (60) calendar days of the Effective Date of this Settlement Agreement, whichever is later;

b. Albertsons and that Releasor shall meet and confer concerning the means to hold Released Entities harmless and ensure that they are not required to pay more with respect to Covered Conduct than the amounts owed by Albertsons under this Agreement;

c. That Releasor and Albertsons shall take steps sufficient and permissible under the law of the state of the Releasor to hold Released Entities harmless from the Claim-Over and ensure Released Entities are not required to pay more with respect to Covered Conduct than the amounts owed by Albertsons under this Agreement. Such steps may include, where permissible:

(i) Filing of motions to dismiss or such other appropriate motion by Albertsons or Released Entities, and supported by Releasors, in response to any claim filed in litigation or arbitration;

(ii) Reduction of that Releasors' Claim and any judgment it has obtained or may obtain against such Non-Released Entity by whatever amount or percentage is necessary to extinguish such Claim-Over under applicable law, up to the amount that Releasor has obtained, may obtain, or has authority to control from such Non-Released Entity;

(iii) Placement into escrow of funds paid by the Non-Released Entities such that those funds are available to satisfy the Claim-Over;

(iv) Return of monies paid by Albertsons to that Releasor under this Settlement Agreement to permit satisfaction of a judgment against or settlement with the Non-Released Entity to satisfy the Claim-Over;

(v) Payment of monies to Albertsons by that Releasor to ensure they are held harmless from such Claim-Over, up to the amount that Releasor has obtained, may obtain, or has authority to control from such Non-Released Entity;

(vi) Credit to Albertsons under this Agreement to reduce the overall amounts to be paid under the Agreement such that they are held harmless from the Claim-Over; and

(vii) Such other actions as that Releasor and Albertsons may devise to hold Albertsons harmless from the Claim-Over.

d. The actions of that Releasor and Albertsons taken pursuant to paragraph (c) must, in combination, ensure Albertsons is not required to pay more with respect to Covered Conduct than the amounts owed by Albertsons under this Agreement.

e. In the event of any dispute over the sufficiency of the actions taken pursuant to paragraph (c), that Releasor and Albertsons may seek review by the National Arbitration Panel, provided that, if the parties agree, such dispute may be heard by the state court where the relevant Consent Judgment was filed. The National Arbitration Panel shall have authority to require Releasors to implement a remedy that includes one or more of the actions specified in paragraph (c) sufficient to hold Released Entities fully harmless. In the event that the Panel's actions do not result in Released Entities being held fully harmless, Albertsons shall have a claim for breach of this Agreement by Releasors, with the remedy being payment of sufficient funds to hold Albertsons harmless from the Claim-Over. For the avoidance of doubt, the prior sentence does not limit or eliminate any other remedy that Albertsons may have.

5. To the extent that the Claim-Over is based on a contractual indemnity, the obligations under Section X.B.4 shall extend solely to a Non-Party Covered Conduct Claim against a clinic, hospital or other purchaser, distributor or dispenser of Products, a manufacturer that sold Products, a consultant, and/or a pharmacy benefit manager or other third-party payor. Albertsons shall notify the Settling States, to the extent permitted by applicable law, in the event that any of these types of Non-Released Entity asserts a Claim-Over arising out of contractual indemnity against it.

C. *Indemnification and Contribution Prohibited.* No Released Entity shall seek to recover for amounts paid under this Agreement based on indemnification, contribution, or any other theory, from a manufacturer, pharmacy, hospital, pharmacy benefit manager, health insurer, third-party vendor, trade association, distributor, or health care practitioner. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing herein shall prohibit a Released Entity from recovering amounts owed pursuant to insurance contracts.

D. *General Release.* In connection with the releases provided for in this Agreement, each Settling State (for itself and its Releasors) and Participating Subdivision expressly waives, releases, and forever discharges any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States or other jurisdiction, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads:

> **General Release; extent.** A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

A Releasor may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which it knows, believes, or assumes to be true with respect to the Released Claims, but each Settling State (for itself and its Releasors) and Participating Subdivision hereby expressly waives and fully, finally, and forever settles, releases and discharges, upon the Effective Date, any and all Released Claims that may exist as of such date but which Releasors do not know or suspect to exist, whether through ignorance, oversight, error, negligence or through no fault whatsoever, and which, if known, would materially affect the Settling States' decision to enter into this Agreement or the Participating Subdivisions' decision to participate in this Agreement.

E. *Assigned Interest Waiver.* To the extent that any Settling State has any direct or indirect interest in any rights of a third-party that is a debtor under the Bankruptcy Code as a result of a claim arising out of Covered Conduct by way of assignment or otherwise, including as a result of being the beneficiary of a trust or other distribution entity, to assert claims against Albertsons (whether derivatively or otherwise), under any legal or equitable theory, including for indemnification, contribution, or subrogation, the Settling State waives the right to assert any such claim, or to receive a distribution or any benefit on account of such claim and such claim, distribution, or benefit shall be deemed assigned to Albertsons.

F. *Res Judicata.* Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to reduce the scope of the res judicata or claim preclusive effect that the settlement memorialized in this Agreement, and/or any Consent Judgment or other judgment entered on this Agreement, gives rise to under applicable law.

G. *Representation and Warranty.* The signatories hereto on behalf of their respective Settling States expressly represent and warrant that they have (or have obtained, or will obtain no later than the Initial Participation Date) the authority to settle and release, to the maximum extent of the Settling State's power, all Released Claims of (1) their respective Settling States, (2) all past and present executive departments, state agencies, divisions, boards, commissions and instrumentalities with the regulatory authority to enforce state and federal controlled substances acts, and (3) any of their respective Settling State's past and present executive departments, agencies, divisions, boards, commissions, and instrumentalities that have the authority to bring Claims related to Covered Conduct seeking money (including abatement and/or remediation) or revocation or suspension of a pharmaceutical distribution or dispensing license. For the purposes of clause (3) above, executive departments, agencies, divisions, boards, commissions, and instrumentalities are those that are under the executive authority or direct control of the Settling State's Governor. Also for the purposes of clause (3), a release from a Settling State's Governor as set forth in Exhibit X is sufficient to demonstrate that the appropriate releases have been obtained.

H. *Effectiveness.* The releases set forth in this Agreement shall not be impacted in any way by any dispute that exists, has existed, or may later exist between or among the Releasors.

Nor shall such releases be impacted in any way by any current or future law, regulation, ordinance, or court or agency order limiting, seizing, or controlling the distribution or use of the Settlement Fund or any portion thereof, or by the enactment of future laws, or by any seizure of the Settlement Fund or any portion thereof.

I. *Cooperation.* Releasors (1) will not encourage any person or entity to bring or maintain any Released Claim against any Released Entity and (2) will reasonably cooperate with and not oppose any effort by Albertsons to secure the prompt dismissal of any and all Released Claims, including suits brought by non-Releasors based on Released Claims. Releasors will meet and confer and make reasonable efforts to resolve any action that is filed by a Subdivision against Albertsons on or after the date the Preliminary Agreement Date. This provision shall not require a Settling State to make any monetary payment or adjustment to allocation or incur other obligation.

J. *Non-Released Claims.* Notwithstanding the foregoing or anything in the definition of Released Claims, this Agreement does not waive, release or limit any criminal liability, workers' compensation Claims, Claims for liability under tax law, Claims under securities law by a Settling State Releasor as investor, Claims against parties who are not Released Entities, Claims by private individuals, and any claims arising under this Agreement for enforcement of this Agreement.

XI. Later Litigating Subdivisions

A. *Released Claims against Released Entities*. If a Later Litigating Subdivision in a Settling State maintains a lawsuit for a Released Claim against a Released Entity after the Reference Date, the following shall apply subject to Section XI.B:

1. The Released Entity shall take ordinary and reasonable measures to defend the action, including filing a Threshold Motion with respect to the Released Claim. The Released Entity shall further notify the Settling State and Settlement Fund Administrator immediately upon notice of a Later Litigating Subdivision bringing a lawsuit for a Released Claim and shall not oppose a Settling State's submission in support of the Threshold Motion. Albertsons shall give the relevant Settling State a reasonable opportunity to extinguish the Released Claims without any payment or any other obligations being imposed upon any Released Entities (apart from the Global Settlement Amount payable by Albertsons under the Agreement or the Injunctive Relief Terms incurred by it). The relevant Settling State and Albertsons shall confer and use reasonable efforts to promptly resolve the lawsuit so that it is dismissed with prejudice. Nothing in this subsection creates an obligation for a Settling State to make a monetary payment or incur any other obligation to an entity filing a lawsuit.

2. If the lawsuit asserting a Released Claim is resolved with finality on terms requiring payment by the Released Entity, Albertsons shall receive a dollar-for-dollar offset against Incentive Payment D for the amount paid. The offset shall be applied against the relevant portion of the Annual Remediation Payments starting in Payment Year 9 and working backwards.

3. For the avoidance of doubt, any offset pursuant to this Section XI in a Settling State that at the time is not eligible for Incentive Payment A shall continue to apply even if the Settling State at issue subsequently becomes eligible for Incentive Payment A.

4. *"Terms requiring payment"* shall mean (i) a final monetary judgment or (ii) a settlement; *provided* that the Released Entity sought the applicable State Attorney General's consent to the settlement and such consent was either obtained or unreasonably withheld. Should the judgment or settlement resolve claims that are not Released Claims, the offset shall be for the Released Claims portion only, which shall be distinguishable in the judgment or settlement.

B. *Exceptions*

1. Section XI.A shall not apply where the Settling State at issue meets the eligibility criteria for and is entitled to Incentive Payment A for the Payment Year at issue, except as expressly provided therein.

2. An offset under Section XI.A.2 shall not apply where the Later Litigating Subdivision opted out of a Settlement Class Resolution in the Settling State at issue that was in full force and effect in that Settling State as of the due date of the payment for Payment Year 2 and remains in full force and effect; *provided* that an offset relating to that Subdivision may apply under Section XII.

3. Section XI.A shall not apply where the Later Litigating Subdivision seeks less than $10 million, or so long as its total claim is reduced to less than $10 million, in the lawsuit for a Released Claim at issue.

C. *No Effect on Other Provisions.* An offset under Section XI.A shall not affect the Injunctive Relief Terms or the Consent Judgment.

D. *No Effect on Other States*. An offset under Section XI.A applicable to one State shall not affect the allocation or payment of the Annual Remediation Payment to other Settling States.

E. *Litigating Subdivisions in Non-Eligible States.* The Settling States will not encourage, facilitate, or assist in any manner whatsoever claims for Covered Conduct against Albertsons in any Settling, Non-Settling, or Non-Eligible State, regardless of whether those claims were filed against Albertsons prior to, on, or after the Effective Date.

XII. Other Reductions/Offsets

A. *Settlement Class Resolution Opt Outs.* If a Settling State is eligible for Incentive Payment A on the basis of a Settlement Class Resolution, and a Primary Subdivision that opted out of the Settlement Class Resolution maintains a lawsuit asserting a Released Claim against a Released Entity, the following shall apply. If the lawsuit asserting a Released Claim either survives a Threshold Motion or has an unresolved Threshold Motion fewer than sixty (60) calendar days prior to the scheduled start of a trial involving a Released Claim, and is resolved with finality on terms requiring payment by the Released Entity, Albertsons shall receive a dollar-for-dollar offset for the amount paid against its obligation to make remaining Incentive Payment A payments that would be apportioned to that Settling State and to its Subdivisions. For the avoidance of doubt, an offset shall not be applicable under this subsection if it is applicable under Section XI.A with respect to the Subdivision at issue.

B. *Revoked Bar, Settlement Class Resolution, or Case-Specific Resolution.* If Albertsons made any Annual Remediation Payments that included any incentive payments earned as a result of the existence of a Bar, Settlement Class Resolution, or Case-Specific Resolution in a Settling State, and there is subsequently a Revocation Event with respect to that Bar, Settlement Class Resolution, or Case-Specific Resolution after the determination of the amount of such Annual Remediation Payment, Albertsons shall receive a dollar-for-dollar offset against the portion of remaining Annual Remediation Payments that would be allocated to that Settling State and its Participating Subdivisions. This offset will be calculated as the dollar amount difference between (1) the total amount of incentive payments paid by Albertsons by virtue of the Bar, Settlement Class Resolution, or Case-Specific Resolution subject to the Revocation Event and (2) the total amount of incentive payments that would have been due from Albertsons during that time had the Bar, Settlement Class Resolution, or Case-Specific Resolution subject to the Revocation Event not been in effect. The amount of incentive payments that would have been due, referenced in clause (2) above, will be calculated one hundred eighty (180) calendar days after the Revocation Event; for purposes of calculating the amount of incentive payments that would have been due, any relevant Subdivision shall be included as a Participating Subdivision if: (1) its Released Claims are extinguished by any subsequent Bar, Settlement Class Resolution, or Case-Specific Resolution in effect as of the date of such calculation, or (2) it becomes a Participating Subdivision (in addition to all other Participating Subdivisions) prior to the date of such calculation.

C. *Certain Taxes.* Amounts paid by Albertsons under an Opioid Tax in a Settling State in a Payment Year shall give rise to a dollar-for-dollar offset against Albertsons obligation to pay its share of the Annual Remediation Payment in that Payment Year that would be allocated to the taxing Settling State or its Participating Subdivisions. If such amounts paid exceed the Annual Remediation Payment allocable to the taxing Settling State or its Participating Subdivisions in that Payment Year, the excess shall carry forward as an offset against its remaining Annual Remediation Payments that would be allocated to the taxing Settling State or its Participating Subdivisions. Albertsons represents that as of August 20, 2026, there is no Opioid Tax currently in effect in any Eligible State that would give rise to this offset provision.

XIII. Miscellaneous

A. *Population of General Purpose Governments.* The population figures for General Purpose Governments shall be the published U.S. Census Bureau's population estimates for July 1, 2019, released May 2020. These population figures shall remain unchanged during the term of this Agreement.

B. *Population of Special Districts.* For any purpose in this Agreement in which the population of a Special District is used other than Section IV.H.4.c and Section IV.H.6.d: (a) School Districts' population will be measured by the number of students enrolled who are eligible under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act *("IDEA")* or Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; (b) Health Districts' and Hospital Districts' population will be measured at twenty-five percent (25%) of discharges; and (c) all other Special Districts' (including Fire Districts' and

Library Districts') population will be measured at ten percent (10%) of the population served.[8] For the avoidance of doubt, this means that California healthcare districts will be measured at ten percent (10%) of their membership. Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee shall meet and confer in order to agree on data sources for purposes of this Section prior to the Preliminary Agreement Date.

C. *Population Associated with Sheriffs*. For any purpose in this Agreement in which the population associated with a lawsuit by a sheriff is used, the population will be measured at twenty percent (20%) of the capacity of the jail(s) operated by the sheriff.

D. *Most-Favored-Nation Provision*

1. If, after the Effective Date, Albertsons enters into any settlement agreement with any Non-Settling State that resolves Claims similar in scope to the Claims released by a Settling State under this Agreement on overall payment terms that are more favorable to such Non-Settling State than the overall payment terms of the Agreement (after due consideration of relevant differences in population or other appropriate factors), then the Settling States, individually or collectively, may elect to seek review, pursuant to Section XIII.D.3, of the overall payment terms of this Agreement and the Non-Settling State agreement so that such Settling State(s) may obtain overall payment terms at least as favorable as those obtained by such Non-Settling State. "Overall payment terms" refers to consideration of all payment terms of the two agreements, taken together, including, but not limited to the amount of payments, the timing of payments, and conditions or contingencies on payments.

2. For any settlement with a Non-Settling State involving Released Claims that is entered into after the Effective Date, Albertsons shall provide the Enforcement Committee with a copy of the settlement agreement or relevant consent judgment within thirty (30) calendar days of the consummation of such settlement. The Enforcement Committee will promptly distribute such copy to all Settling States.

3. In the event that one or more Settling State(s) believes that the overall payment terms of an agreement by Albertsons with a Non-Settling State are more favorable to the Non-Settling State, when compared based on the totality of the consideration set forth in Section XIII.D.1, the Settling State(s) and Albertsons shall engage in the following process:

a. Within sixty (60) calendar days of the date on which the Enforcement Committee provides a settlement agreement or consent judgment to Settling States, the Settling State(s) shall provide notice to Albertsons of its intent to seek revision of this Agreement to provide payment terms that are, on an overall basis, as favorable as those obtained by the Non-Settling State. To the extent allowed by law, such notice shall be confidential and not disclosed publicly and

[8] The estimates for counties and parishes were accessed at https://www.census.gov/data/datasets/time-series/demo/popest/2010s-counties-total.html. The estimates for cities and towns can currently be found at https://www.census.gov/data/datasets/time-series/demo/popest/2010s-total-cities-and-towns.html.

shall provide, in detail, the basis for the Settling State(s)'s belief that it is entitled to a revision of the Agreement.

b. Albertsons shall, within thirty (30) calendar days, provide a response to the Settling State(s), explaining its position, in detail, as to whether the Settling State(s) are entitled to more favorable overall payment terms than those provided for in this Agreement.

c. In the event the Settling State(s) and Albertsons do not reach agreement as to the application of Section XIII.D.1, the Settling State(s) may petition the National Arbitration Panel to seek a ruling from the Panel as to the applicability of Section XIII.D.1, provided that the Settling State(s) may seek such review only if at least five (5) Settling States co-sign the petition. The Panel shall consider submissions and argument by the parties pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section VI.F.2.

d. The Settling State and Albertsons shall be bound by the determination of the National Arbitration Panel of the overall payment terms of this Agreement and the Non-Settling State agreement so that such Settling State(s) may obtain, with respect to Albertsons, overall payment terms at least as favorable as those obtained by such Non-Settling State.

4. This Section XIII.D does not apply to, and there is no ability of any Settling State to seek or obtain revision of this Agreement based on, any agreement with Albertsons that is entered into with a Non-Settling State: (a) either the earlier of (i) after the close of expert discovery or (ii) after a date ninety (90) calendar days prior to the scheduled start date of a trial between Albertsons and the Non-Settling State, or any severed or bifurcated portion thereof, provided that, where, in order to complete a settlement, a Non-Settling State and Albertsons jointly request an adjournment of the scheduled start date of a trial within ninety (90) days of that date, this exception will apply as if the trial date had not been adjourned; (b) that previously litigated to judgment a case related to opioids against any manufacturer, distributor, or pharmacy; (c) the earlier of (i) after a Non-Settling State that has obtained any court order or judicial determination that grants judgment (in whole or in part) against Albertsons in the Non-Settling State's case; (ii) after a sanctions ruling against Albertsons in the Non-Settling State's case against Albertsons; or (iii) after any ruling has issued in the Non-Settling State's case against any manufacturer, distributor, or pharmacy on the issue of joint and several liability. The National Arbitration Panel shall have no power to review agreements that satisfy any of the conditions described in this paragraph.

5. This Section XIII.D does not apply to, and there is no ability of any Settling State to seek or obtain revision of this Agreement based on, any agreement between Albertsons and Non-Participating Subdivisions or Non-Participating Special Districts.

6. This Section XIII.D will not apply to any agreement entered into more than eighteen (18) months after the Effective Date.

E. *No Admission.* Albertsons does not admit liability or wrongdoing. Neither this Agreement nor the Consent Judgments shall be considered, construed or represented to be (1) an admission, concession or evidence of liability or wrongdoing or (2) a waiver or any limitation of any defense otherwise available to Albertsons.

F. *Tax Cooperation and Reporting.*

1. Upon request by Albertsons, the Settling States and Participating Subdivisions agree to perform such further acts and to execute and deliver such further documents as may be reasonably necessary for Albertsons to establish the statements set forth in Section V.F to the satisfaction of their tax advisors, their independent financial auditors, the Internal Revenue Service, or any other governmental authority, including as contemplated by 26 C.F.R § 1.162-21(b)(3)(ii) and any subsequently proposed or finalized relevant regulations or administrative guidance.

2. Without limiting the generality of Section XIII.F.1, each Settling State and Participating Subdivision shall cooperate in good faith with Albertsons with respect to any tax claim, dispute, investigation, audit, examination, contest, litigation, or other proceeding relating to this Agreement.

3. Pursuant to 26 C.F.R. § 1.6050X-1(a) and (b), the Designated State, on behalf of all Settling States and Participating Subdivisions, shall designate one of its officers or employees to act as the "appropriate official" within the meaning of 26 C.F.R. § 1.6050X-1(f)(1)(ii)(B) (the "Appropriate Official"). The Designated State shall direct and ensure that the Appropriate Official timely (a) files (i) at the time this Agreement becomes binding on the Parties, an IRS Form 1098-F in the form attached as Exhibit U with respect to Albertsons and (ii) any legally required forms, returns or amended returns with any applicable governmental authority, or any returns requested by Albertsons, and (b) provides to Albertsons a copy of (i) the IRS Form 1098-F filed with respect to Albertsons and (ii) any legally required written statement pursuant to any applicable law and any other document referred to in clause (a)(ii) above. Any such forms, returns, or statements shall be prepared and filed in a manner fully consistent with Section V.F. and as set forth in Section XIII.F.4.

4. Any form, return, amended return, or written statement filed or provided pursuant to Section XIII.F.3, and any similar document, shall be prepared and filed in a manner consistent with reporting the Global Settlement Amount as the "Total amount to be paid" pursuant to this Agreement in Box 1 of IRS Form 1098-F and the Compensatory Restitution Amount as "Restitution/remediation amount" in Box 3 of IRS Form 1098-F, as reflected in the attached Exhibit U. If the Designated State or Appropriate Official shall be required to file any form, return, amended return, or written statement contemplated by this Section XIII.F other than an IRS Form 1098-F in the form attached as Exhibit U, the Designated State shall direct and ensure that the Appropriate Official provides to Albertsons a draft of such form, return, amended return, or written statement no later than sixty (60) calendar days prior to the due date thereof, and shall accept any reasonable revisions from Albertsons on the return, amended return, or written statement.

5. For the avoidance of doubt, neither Albertsons nor the Settling States and Participating Subdivisions make any warranty or representation to any Settling State, Participating Subdivision, or Releasor as to the tax consequences of the payment of the Compensatory Restitution Amount (or any portion thereof).

G. *No Third-Party Beneficiaries.* Except as expressly provided in this Agreement, no portion of this Agreement shall provide any rights to, or be enforceable by, any person or entity that is not the Settling State or Released Entity. Settling States may not assign or otherwise convey any right to enforce any provision of this Agreement.

H. *Calculation.* Any figure or percentage referred to in this Agreement shall be carried to seven decimal places.

I. *Construction.* None of the Parties and no Participating Subdivision shall be considered to be the drafter of this Agreement or of any of its provisions for the purpose of any statute, case law, or rule of interpretation or construction that would or might cause any provision to be construed against the drafter of this Agreement. The headings of the provisions of this Agreement are not binding and are for reference only and do not limit, expand, or otherwise affect the contents or meaning of this Agreement.

J. *Cooperation.* Each Party and each Participating Subdivision agrees to use its best efforts and to cooperate with the other Parties and Participating Subdivisions to cause this Agreement and the Consent Judgments to become effective, to obtain all necessary approvals, consents and authorizations, if any, and to execute all documents and to take such other action as may be appropriate in connection herewith. Consistent with the foregoing, each Party and each Participating Subdivision agrees that it will not directly or indirectly assist or encourage any challenge to this Agreement or any Consent Judgment by any other person, and will support the integrity and enforcement of the terms of this Agreement and the Consent Judgments.

K. *Entire Agreement.* This Agreement, including its exhibits and any other attachments, embodies the entire agreement and understanding between and among the Parties and Participating Subdivisions relating to the subject matter hereof and supersedes (1) all prior agreements and understandings relating to such subject matter, whether written or oral and (2) all purportedly contemporaneous oral agreements and understandings relating to such subject matter.

L. *Execution.* This Agreement may be executed in counterparts and by different signatories on separate counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which shall together be one and the same Agreement. One or more counterparts of this Agreement may be delivered by facsimile or electronic transmission with the intent that it or they shall constitute an original counterpart hereof. One or more counterparts of this Agreement may be signed by electronic signature.

M. *Good Faith and Voluntary Entry.* Each Party warrants and represents that it negotiated the terms of this Agreement in good faith. Each of the Parties and Participating Subdivisions warrants and represents that it freely and voluntarily entered into this Agreement without any degree of duress or compulsion. The Parties and Participating Subdivisions state that

no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever (other than the written terms of this Agreement) was made to them to induce them to enter into this Agreement

N. *Legal Obligations.* Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as relieving Albertsons of the obligation to comply with all state and federal laws, regulations or rules, nor shall any of the provisions herein be deemed to be permission to engage in any acts or practices prohibited by such laws, regulations, or rules. Except with respect to the Injunctive Relief Terms, in the event of a conflict between this Agreement and any requirement or requirements of federal, state, or local laws, such that Albertsons cannot comply with this Agreement without violating such a requirement or requirements, Albertsons shall document such conflicts and notify the Attorney(s) General of the relevant Settling State(s) that it intends to comply with the requirement or requirements to the extent necessary to eliminate the conflict. With respect to the Injunctive Relief Terms, in the event of such a conflict, the procedures set forth in the Injunctive Relief Terms will be followed.

O. *No Prevailing Party.* The Parties and Participating Subdivisions each agree that they are not the prevailing party in this action, for purposes of any claim for fees, costs, or expenses as prevailing parties arising under common law or under the terms of any statute, because the Parties and Participating Subdivisions have reached a good faith settlement.

P. *Waive Challenge.* The Parties and Participating Subdivisions each further waive any right to challenge or contest the validity of this Agreement on any ground, including, without limitation, that any term is unconstitutional or is preempted by, or in conflict with, any current or future law. Nothing in the previous sentence shall modify, or be construed to conflict with, Section XIII.N.

Q. *Non-Admissibility.* The settlement negotiations resulting in this Agreement have been undertaken by the Parties and by certain representatives of the Participating Subdivisions in good faith and for settlement purposes only, and no evidence of negotiations or discussions underlying this Agreement shall be offered or received in evidence in any action or proceeding for any purpose. This Agreement shall not be offered or received in evidence in any action or proceeding for any purpose other than in an action or proceeding arising under or relating to this Agreement.

R. *Notices.* All notices or other communications under this Agreement shall be in writing (including, but not limited to, electronic communications) and shall be given to the recipients indicated below:

For the Attorney(s) General:

Rob Bonta, Attorney General
State of California Department of Justice
Attn: David Jones, Supervising Deputy Attorney General
1300 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
David.Jones@doj.ca.gov
Kwame Raoul, Attorney General

Office of the Illinois Attorney General
Attn: Susan Ellis, Consumer Protection Division Chief
Andrea Law, Deputy Chief, Health Care Bureau
115 South LaSalle Street
Chicago, IL 60603
Susan.Ellis@ilag.gov
Andrea.Law@ilag.gov

Dan Rayfield, Attorney General
State of Oregon Department of Justice
Attn: Ian Van Holder, Senior Assistant Attorney General
100 SW Market Street
Portland, OR 97201
Ian.Vanloh@doj.oregon.gov

For the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee:

Co-leads
Jayne Conroy
Simmons Hanly Conroy LLC
112 Madison Avenue
7th Floor
New York, NY 10016-7416
JConroy@simmonsfirm.com

Paul T. Farrell, Jr.
Farrell & Fuller, LLP
270 Munoz Rivera Ave.
Suite 201
San Juan, Puerto Rico 00918
paul@farrellfuller.com

Joseph F. Rice
Motley Rice LLC
28 Bridgeside Blvd.
Mount Pleasant, SC 29464
jrice@motleyrice.com

For Albertsons:

Francis A. Citera
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
360 North Green Street, Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60607
CiteraF@gtlaw.com

Randall Haimovici
Vice President of Litigation
Albertsons Companies
250 Parkcenter Blvd.
Boise, ID 83706
Randall.Haimovici@albertsons.com

Any Party or the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee may change or add the contact information of the persons designated to receive notice on its behalf by notice given (effective upon the giving of such notice) as provided in this Section XIII.R.

S. *No Waiver.* The waiver of any rights conferred hereunder shall be effective only if made by written instrument executed by the waiving Party or Parties. The waiver by any Party of any breach of this Agreement shall not be deemed to be or construed as a waiver of any other breach, whether prior, subsequent, or contemporaneous, nor shall such waiver be deemed to be or construed as a waiver by any other Party.

T. *Preservation of Privilege.* Nothing contained in this Agreement or any Consent Judgment, and no act required to be performed pursuant to this Agreement or any Consent Judgment, is intended to constitute, cause, or effect any waiver (in whole or in part) of any attorney-client privilege, work product protection, or common interest/joint defense privilege, and each Party and Participating Subdivision agrees that it shall not make or cause to be made in any forum any assertion to the contrary.

U. *Successors.*

1. This Agreement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, Albertsons and its respective successors and assigns.

2. Albertsons shall not, in one (1) transaction or a series of related transactions, sell or transfer U.S. assets having a fair market value equal to twenty-five percent (25%) or more of the consolidated assets of Albertsons (other than sales or transfers of inventories, or sales or transfers to an entity owned directly or indirectly by Albertsons) where the sale or transfer is announced after the Reference Date, is not for fair consideration, and would foreseeably and unreasonably jeopardize Albertsons's ability to make the payments under this Agreement that are due on or before the third Payment Date following the close of a sale or transfer transaction, unless Albertsons obtains the acquiror's agreement that it will be either a guarantor of or successor to the percentage of Albertsons's remaining Payment Obligations under this Agreement equal to the percentage of Albertsons's consolidated assets being sold or transferred in such transaction. Percentages under this section shall be determined in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles and as of the date of Albertsons most recent publicly filed consolidated balance sheet prior to the date of entry into the sale or transfer agreement at issue. This Section XIII.U shall be enforceable solely by the Settling States, and any objection under this Section XIII.U not raised within sixty (60) calendar days of the announcement of the relevant transaction is waived.

V. *Modification, Amendment, Alteration.* In the event the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee, the Executive Committee of the State Attorneys General, or Albertsons concludes

prior to the Reference Date that technical corrections are required to this Agreement, the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee, the Executive Committee of the State Attorneys General, and Albertsons shall meet and confer and make such amendments as they agree are appropriate. After the Reference Date, any modification, amendment, or alteration of this Agreement by the Parties shall be binding only if evidenced in writing signed by Albertsons, along with the signature of at least two-thirds of those then serving as Attorney General of the Settling States along with a representation from each Attorney General that either: (1) the advisory committee or similar entity established or recognized by that Settling State (either pursuant to Section V.E.2.d, by a State-Subdivision Agreement, or by statute) voted in favor of the modification, amendment or alteration of this Agreement including at least one member appointed by the Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G; or (2) in Settling States without any advisory committee, that 50.1% (by population) of the Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G expressed approval of the modification, amendment, or alteration of this Agreement in a writing.

W. *Termination.*

1. Unless otherwise agreed to by each of Albertsons and the Settling States, this Agreement and all of its terms (except Section XIII.Q and any other non-admissibility provisions, which shall continue in full force and effect) shall be canceled and terminated with respect to the Settling State, and the Agreement and all orders issued by the courts in the Settling State pursuant to the Agreement shall become null and void and of no effect if one or more of the following conditions applies:

a. a Consent Judgment approving this Agreement without modification of any of the Agreement's terms has not been entered as to a Settling State by a court of competent jurisdiction on or before one hundred eighty (180) calendar days after the Effective Date;

b. this Agreement or the Consent Judgment as to that Settling State has been disapproved by a court of competent jurisdiction to which it was presented for approval and/or entry (or, in the event of an appeal from or review of a decision of such a court to approve this Agreement and the Consent Judgment, by the court hearing such appeal or conducting such review), and the time to appeal from such disapproval has expired, or, in the event of an appeal from such disapproval, the appeal has been dismissed or the disapproval has been affirmed by the court of last resort to which such appeal has been taken and such dismissal or disapproval has become no longer subject to further appeal (including, without limitation, review by the United States Supreme Court); or

2. If this Agreement is terminated with respect to a Settling State for whatever reason pursuant to Section XIII.W.1, then:

a. an applicable statute of limitation or any similar time requirement (excluding any statute of repose) shall be tolled from the date the Settling State signed this Agreement until the later of the time permitted by applicable law or for one year from the date of such termination, with the effect that Albertsons and the

Settling State shall be in the same position with respect to the statute of limitation as they were at the time the Settling State filed its action; and

b. Albertsons and the Settling State shall jointly move the relevant court of competent jurisdiction for an order reinstating the actions and claims dismissed pursuant to the terms of this Agreement governing dismissal, with the effect that Albertsons and the Settling State shall be in the same position with respect to those actions and claims as they were at the time the action or claim was stayed or dismissed.

3. Unless Albertsons and the Enforcement Committee agree otherwise, this Agreement, with the exception of the Injunctive Relief Terms that have their own provisions on duration, shall terminate as to all Parties as of the Payment Date for Payment Year 9, *provided* that Albertsons has performed its Payment obligations under the Agreement as of that date. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Section XIII.W.3 or in this Agreement, all releases under this Agreement will remain effective despite any termination under this Section XIII.W.3.

X. *Governing Law.* Except as (1) otherwise provided in this Agreement or (2) as necessary, in the sole judgment of the National Arbitration Panel, to promote uniformity of interpretation for matters within the scope of the National Arbitration Panel's authority, this Agreement shall be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the respective laws of the Settling State, without regard to the conflict of law rules of such Settling State, that is seeking to enforce the Agreement against Albertsons or against which Albertsons is seeking enforcement. Notwithstanding any other provision in this subsection on governing law, any disputes relating to the Settlement Fund Escrow shall be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the law of the state where the escrow agent has its primary place of business.

Y. *Bankruptcy.* The following provisions shall apply if Albertsons enters bankruptcy and (i) the Albertsons bankruptcy estate recovers, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550, any payments made under this Agreement, or (ii) this Agreement is deemed executory and is rejected by Albertsons pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 365:

1. In the event that both a number of Settling States equal to at least seventy-five percent (75%) of the total number of Settling States and Settling States having aggregate Overall Allocation Percentages as set forth on Exhibit F equal to at least seventy-five percent (75%) of the total aggregate Overall Allocation Percentages assigned to all Settling States deem (by written notice to Albertsons) that the financial obligations of this Agreement have been terminated and rendered null and void (except as provided in Section XIII.Y.1.a) due to a material breach by Albertsons, whereupon:

a. all agreements, all concessions, all reductions of Releasing Parties' Claims, and all releases and covenants not to sue, contained in this Agreement shall immediately and automatically be deemed null and void as to Albertsons; the Settling States shall be deemed immediately and automatically restored to the same position they were in immediately prior to their entry into this Settlement Agreement in respect to Albertsons and the Settling States shall have the right to assert any and all claims against Albertsons in the bankruptcy or otherwise without

regard to any limits or agreements as to the amount of the settlement otherwise provided in this Agreement; *provided, however,* that notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, (i) all reductions of Releasing Parties' Claims, and all releases and covenants not to sue, contained in this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect as to all persons or entities other than Albertsons itself; and (ii) in the event the Settling State asserts any Released Claim against Albertsons after the rejection and/or termination of this Agreement as described in this Section XIII.Y.1.a and receives a judgment, settlement or distribution arising from such Released Claim, then the amount of any payments the Settling State has previously received from Albertsons under this Agreement shall be applied to reduce the amount of any such judgment, settlement or distribution (provided that no credit shall be given against any such judgment, settlement or distribution for any payment that the Settling State is required to disgorge or repay to Albertsons's bankruptcy estate); and

b. the Settling States may exercise all rights provided under the federal Bankruptcy Code (or other applicable bankruptcy or non-bankruptcy law) with respect to their Claims against Albertsons subject to all defenses and rights of the Albertsons.

Z. *Waiver*. Albertsons, for good and valuable consideration the receipt of which is acknowledged, hereby (a) waives, foregoes and relinquishes all rights to utilize and/or seek relief under any of the following laws of the State of Texas for the restructuring of its debts or liabilities related to Released Claims, Claims that would have been Released Claims if they had been brought by a Releasor against a Released Entity before the Effective Date, or this Agreement: Tex. Bus. Orgs. Code § 10.003 (Contents of Plan of Merger: More Than One Successor) or any other statute of Subchapter A of Chapter 10 of Tex. Bus. Orgs. Code to the extent such statute relates to multi-successor mergers (and/or any other similar laws or statutes in any other state or territory); Tex. Bus. Orgs. Code §§ 11.01–11.414 (Winding Up and Termination of Domestic Entity); or Tex. Bus. & Com. Code §§ 23.01–23.33 (Assignments for the Benefit of Creditors) (collectively, the "Texas Statutes"), and (b) agrees, warrants and represents that it will not file, request or petition for relief under the Texas Statutes related to its debts or liabilities related to Released Claims, Claims that would have been Released Claims if they had been brought by a Releasor against a Released Entity before the Effective Date, or this Agreement, in each case until such time as all of Albertsons's payment obligations incurred hereunder are satisfied in full. The foregoing waiver and relinquishment includes, without limitation, until such time as all of Albertsons's payment obligations incurred hereunder are satisfied in full, Albertsons's rights to execute a divisional merger or equivalent transaction or restructuring related to its debts or liabilities related to Released Claims, Claims that would have been Released Claims if they had been brought by a Releasor against a Released Entity before the Effective Date, or this Agreement that in each case has the intent or foreseeable effect of (i) separating material assets from material liabilities and (ii) assigning or allocating all or a substantial portion of those liabilities to any subsidiary or affiliate that files for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, or pursuant to which such subsidiary or affiliate that files for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code would be assuming or retaining all or a substantial portion of those liabilities.

EXHIBIT A

Alleged Harms

The following expert reports that were served in connection with the case captioned *In re: National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, No. 1:17-md-2804 (N.D. Ohio) provide non-exclusive examples of Alleged Harms:

1. Expert report of Carmen A. Catizone, MS, RPh, DPh, dated April 15, 2024.

2. Expert report of Lacey R. Keller, dated April 15, 2024.

3. Expert report of Katherine M. Keyes, Ph.D., dated April 15, 2024.

4. Expert report of Dr. Anna Lembke, M.D., dated April 15, 2024.

5. Expert report of Craig J. McCann, Ph.D., CFA, dated April 15, 2024.

6. Expert report of Joseph T. Rannazzisi, dated April 15, 2024.

EXHIBIT B

Enforcement Committee Organization Bylaws

ARTICLE I

These bylaws constitute the code of rules adopted by the Settling States and Participating Subdivisions for the creation of an Enforcement Committee (the "Committee") to exist and operate during the term of the Agreement in connection with Albertsons and shall control the regulation and management of the Committee's affairs.

ARTICLE II
Purpose

The Committee is organized for the sole purpose of evaluating and taking such action as deemed reasonable, necessary, and appropriate by the members of the Committee on the matters delegated to the Committee under that certain Settlement Agreement between the Settling States and Albertsons dated August 20, 2026.

ARTICLE III
Members of the Committee

(1) Number of Members

The Committee will consist of nine (9) members (the "Members"). Upon majority resolution of the Committee, the number of Members may be increased or decreased from time to time, but in no event shall a decrease have the effect of decreasing the total number of Members to less than seven Members.

(2) Initial Members

The Committee initially will consist of six (6) Settling State Members and three (3) Participating Subdivision Members; at least one (1) of the Participating Subdivisions shall be a county and at least one (1) shall be a municipality. The initial Settling State Members are representatives from: California, Colorado, Illinois, Oregon, Pennsylvania, [*additional state member to be inserted]. The initial Participating Subdivision Members are: [*to be inserted]. Until fifteen (15) months from the Effective Date contained in the Settlement Agreement, the Participating Subdivisions may designate their outside counsel to serve as their representative. After fifteen (15) months from the Effective Date, an employee or official of the Participating Subdivision must be the designated as the representative of the Participating Subdivision.

(3) Term of Members

The term of office for Members of the Committee will be until the end of the term of the Settlement Agreement unless and until a Member withdraws or resigns from the Committee.

(4) Resignation

Any Member may resign at any time by delivering written notice to the Chairperson of the Committee. Such resignation shall take effect upon receipt or, if later, at the time specified in the notice.

(5) Removal

(a) Any Member may be removed without cause, at any time, by a majority of the entire Committee, at a Regular or Special Meeting called for that purpose. Any Member under consideration of removal must first be notified about the consideration by written notice at least five days prior to the meeting at which the vote takes place.

(b) In the event that any Member is not a Settling State or a Participating Subdivision or the Member subsequently becomes a Later Litigating Subdivision, the Member shall be removed immediately without notice or vote of the Committee.

(6) Vacancies

In the event of a vacancy, the Members of the same type (Settling State or Participating Subdivision) shall select another Settling State or Participating Subdivision to fill that Member's position.

(7) Compensation

Members shall not receive any salaries or other compensation for their services, but, by resolution of the Committee, may be reimbursed for any actual expenses incurred in the performance of their duties for the Committee, as long as a majority of disinterested Members approve the reimbursement. Any reimbursement shall be sought from the Settlement Fund Administrator.

ARTICLE IV
Conflicts of Interest and Code of Ethics

If a Member, agent, or employee of the Committee has a conflict of interest, he or she may not participate in a vote, discussion, or decision about the matter. Each Member shall follow any applicable state or local law with respect to conflicts, gifts, and ethics.

ARTICLE V
Committee Meetings

(1) Place of Meetings

Meetings of the Committee will be held at any place that the Chairperson may designate, including by telephonic or electronic means.

(2) Regular Meetings

Regular meetings of the Committee shall be held as deemed necessary by the Chairperson or any three members.

(3) Notice of Meetings

Written notice of the date, time, place and subject of each meeting must be provided to the Members at least 72 hours before the scheduled time of the meeting, except when there is an emergency or urgent public necessity.

(4) Quorum

A majority of the incumbent Members (not counting vacancies) shall constitute a quorum for the purposes of convening a meeting or conducting business.

(5) Voting and Proxy

When it is necessary to vote on any matter before the Committee, Members may vote by electronic means as provided in these Bylaws. Proxy voting is permitted. In order for a matter to pass, the matter must have a majority vote of Members present and must have at least one vote from a Settling State Member and a Participating Subdivision Member. In the event that there is a Quorum, but no Settling State or Participating Subdivision Member is present, then a matter may pass with a simple majority vote.

(6) Minutes

The Committee shall prepare and keep minutes. The minutes must state the subject of each deliberation and indicate each vote, order, decision, or other action taken.

ARTICLE VI
Officers

(1) Roster of Officers

The Committee shall have a Chairperson, a Vice Chairperson, and a Secretary. The Committee may have at its discretion, such other officers as may be appointed by the Members of the Committee. One person may hold two or more offices, except those serving as Chairperson.

(2) Election and Removal of Officers

All officers shall serve two-year terms. The election shall be conducted at the first meeting of the fiscal year. Officers shall remain in office until their successors have been selected. Officers may serve consecutive terms without limit. The election of officers shall be by majority vote of the Members of the Committee attending the meeting.

(3) Vacancies

If a vacancy occurs during the term of office for any elected officer, the Members of the Committee shall elect a new officer to fill the remainder of the term as soon as practical, by majority vote of Members present.

(4) Chairperson

The Chairperson will supervise and control the affairs of the Committee and shall exercise such supervisory powers as may be given him/her by the Members of the Committee. The Chairperson will perform all duties incident to such office and such other duties as may be provided in these bylaws or as may be prescribed from time to time by the Committee. The Chairperson shall preside at all meetings and shall exercise parliamentary control in accordance with Robert's Rules of Order.

(5) Vice Chairperson

The Vice Chairperson shall act in place of the Chairperson in the event of the Chairperson's absence, inability, or refusal to act, and shall exercise and discharge such other duties as may be required by the Committee. The Vice Chairperson shall serve as the parliamentarian and interpret any ambiguities of the bylaws.

(6) Secretary

The Secretary will keep and maintain all records related to the Committee and take minutes of all meetings.

(7) Records

All elected officers and committee chairpersons shall relinquish their records to the Chairperson immediately upon the completion of their term of office or completion of a project.

(8) Resignation

An officer may resign the office while not resigning membership from the Committee, by submitting a letter to the Chairperson. Vacancies occurring in any office shall be appointed for the remainder of the term.

ARTICLE VII
Duties

(1) Prior to the Effective Date

The Committee shall be responsible for any additional negotiations with Albertsons, including, but not limited to, negotiating extensions of any periods created by the Settlement Agreement, except that any additional negotiations related to the Injunctive Relief Terms (Exhibit P) shall be handled by the States Injunctive Relief Committee in accordance with the terms of Exhibit P.

(2) After the Effective Date

The Committee shall establish procedures for the receipt of notices that a dispute exists concerning the Agreement and review of such disputes, pursuant to Section VI of the Agreement. Members may engage with Albertsons, Settling States, and Participating Subdivisions attempting to resolve any dispute without further action by the Committee. The Committee may request additional information from Albertsons, Settling States, and Participating Subdivisions to the extent the Committee believes such information is necessary to understand, resolve, or provide advice related to a dispute. The Committee shall endeavor to provide advice relative to the dispute no later than 60 days after receipt of notice.

ARTICLE VIII
Rules of Procedure

The proceedings and business of the Committee shall be governed by Robert's Rules of Order unless otherwise waived by the Committee.

ARTICLE IX
Operations

(1) Records

The Committee will keep correct and complete records and will also keep minutes of the proceedings of the Committee meetings and Committees. The Committee will keep such records at its principal place of business at a place designated by the Chairperson**.**

All elected officers and committee chairpersons shall relinquish their records to the Chairperson, immediately upon the completion of their term of office.

(2) Inspection of Books and Records

The minutes of a meeting are public records and shall be available for public inspection and copying on request to the Committee's Chairperson or the Chairperson's designee.

(3) Amendments

The bylaws may be amended at any time by a vote of a majority of Members present and must have at least one vote from a Settling State Member and a Participating Subdivision Member. In the event that there is a Quorum, but no Settling State or Participating Subdivision Member is present, then a matter may pass with a simple majority vote.

EXHIBIT C (Litigating Subdivisions List)

#	City, County, Town	State of Occurrence
1	Cochise County	Arizona
2	Maricopa County	Arizona
3	Mohave County	Arizona
4	Navajo County	Arizona
5	Pima County	Arizona
6	Pinal County	Arizona
7	Yuma County	Arizona
8	Kingman City	Arizona
9	Tucson City	Arizona
10	Alameda County	California
11	Butte County	California
12	Contra Costa County	California
13	Del Norte County	California
14	El Dorado County	California
15	Fresno County	California
16	Imperial County	California
17	Inyo County	California
18	Madera County	California
19	Mendocino County	California
20	Mono County	California
21	Monterey County	California
22	Nevada County	California
23	Placer County	California
24	Riverside County	California
25	Sacramento County	California
26	San Benito County	California
27	San Diego County	California
28	San Mateo County	California
29	Santa Barbara County	California
30	Santa Clara County	California
31	Shasta County	California
32	Sutter County	California
33	Tuolumne County	California
34	Chico City	California
35	Chula Vista City	California
36	Dublin City	California
37	Encintas City	California
38	La Mesa City	California
39	Murrieta City	California
40	Onard City	California
41	San Jose City	California
42	Adams County	Colorado
43	Boulder County	Colorado
44	Fremont County	Colorado
45	Jefferson County	Colorado
46	Larimar County	Colorado
47	Mesa County	Colorado
48	Teller County	Colorado
49	Town of Hudson	Colorado
50	Arapahoe City	Colorado
51	Aurora City	Colorado

#	City, County, Town	State of Occurrence
52	Brighton City	Colorado
53	Broomfield City	Colorado
54	Commerce City	Colorado
55	Denver City	Colorado
56	Federal Heights City	Colorado
57	Greeley City	Colorado
58	Northglenn City	Colorado
59	Sheridan City	Colorado
60	Thornton City	Colorado
61	Westminister City	Colorado
62	Bay County	Florida
63	Escambia County	Florida
64	Leon County	Florida
65	Pasco County	Florida
66	Pinellas County	Florida
67	Seminole County	Florida
68	Volusia County	Florida
69	Bradenton City	Florida
70	Niceville City	Florida
71	Ormond Beach City	Florida
72	Oviedo City	Florida
73	Palmetto City	Florida
74	Panama City	Florida
75	Pensacola City	Florida
76	Pinellas Park	Florida
77	Sanford City	Florida
78	St. Petersburg	Florida
79	Tallahassee	Florida
80	Hawaii County	Hawaii
81	Kauai County	Hawaii
82	Ada County	Idaho
83	Adams County	Idaho
84	Bingham County	Idaho
85	Canyon County	Idaho
86	Boone County	Illinois
87	Bureau County	Illinois
88	Champaign County	Illinois
89	Cook County	Illinois
90	Dekalb County	Illinois
91	Dupage County	Illinois
92	Henry County	Illinois
93	Kane County	Illinois
94	Kankakee County	Illinois
95	Kendall County	Illinois
96	Logan County	Illinois
97	Macon County	Illinois
98	Macoupin County	Illinois
99	Mchenry County	Illinois
100	Piatt County	Illinois
101	Putnam County	Illinois
102	Rock Island County	Illinois
103	Stephenson County	Illinois

#	City, County, Town	State of Occurrence
104	Will County	Illinois
105	Baton Rouge City, East Baton Rouge Parish	Louisiana
106	Rapids Parish	Louisiana
107	Town of Richwood	Louisiana
108	Alexandria City	Louisiana
109	Monroe City	Louisiana
110	Pineville City	Louisiana
111	West Monroe City	Louisiana
112	York County	Maine
113	Sanford City	Maine
114	Calvert County	Maryland
115	Charles County	Maryland
116	Prince George's County	Maryland
117	Town of Cottage City	Maryland
118	Town of Scituate	Massachusetts
119	Town of Tewksbury	Massachusetts
120	Missoula County	Montana
121	Keith County	Nebraska
122	Clark County	Nevada
123	Belknap County	New Hampshire
124	Carroll County	New Hampshire
125	Grafton County	New Hampshire
126	Town of Belmont	New Hampshire
127	Laconia City	New Hampshire
128	Atlantic County	New Jersey
129	Burlington County	New Jersey
130	Barnegat Township	New Jersey
131	Clackamas County	Oregon
132	Coos County	Oregon
133	Multnomah County	Oregon
134	Portland City	Oregon
135	Bucks County	Pennsylvania
136	Chester County	Pennsylvania
137	Dauphin County	Pennsylvania
138	Delaware County	Pennsylvania
139	Erie County	Pennsylvania
140	Northampton County	Pennsylvania
141	Westmoreland County	Pennsylvania
142	City of Philadelphia	Pennsylvania
143	Pennington County	South Dakota
144	Bowie County	Texas
145	Clay County	Texas
146	Dallas County	Texas
147	McLennan County	Texas
148	Tarrant County	Texas
149	Wichita County	Texas
150	Beaver County	Utah
151	Cache County	Utah
152	Daggett County	Utah
153	Duchesne County	Utah
154	Emery County	Utah
155	Garfield County	Utah

#	City, County, Town	State of Occurrence
156	Juab County	Utah
157	Kane County	Utah
158	Piute County	Utah
159	Rich County	Utah
160	Sevier County	Utah
161	Summit County	Utah
162	Tooele County	Utah
163	Uintah County	Utah
164	Wasatch County	Utah
165	Washington County	Utah
166	Wayne County	Utah
167	Weber County	Utah
168	Culpeper County	Virginia
169	Fairfax County	Virginia
170	Loudoun County	Virginia
171	Prince William County	Virginia
172	Alexandria City	Virginia
173	Fairfax City	Virginia
174	Casper	Wyoming
175	Cheyenne	Wyoming
176	Riverton	Wyoming
177	Rock Springs	Wyoming

Exhibit D

[Intentionally Omitted]

EXHIBIT E

List of Opioid Remediation Uses

Schedule A
Core Strategies

Settling States and Participating Subdivisions listed on Exhibit G may choose from among the abatement strategies listed in Schedule B. However, priority may be given to the following core abatement strategies ("*Core Strategies*").[1]

A. NALOXONE OR OTHER FDA-APPROVED DRUG TO REVERSE OPIOID OVERDOSES

1. Expand training for first responders, schools, community support groups and families; and

2. Increase distribution to individuals who are uninsured or whose insurance does not cover the needed service.

B. MEDICATION-ASSISTED TREATMENT ("*MAT*") DISTRIBUTION AND OTHER OPIOID-RELATED TREATMENT

1. Increase distribution of MAT to individuals who are uninsured or whose insurance does not cover the needed service;

2. Provide education to school-based and youth-focused programs that discourage or prevent misuse;

3. Provide MAT education and awareness training to healthcare providers, EMTs, law enforcement, and other first responders; and

4. Provide treatment and recovery support services such as residential and inpatient treatment, intensive outpatient treatment, outpatient therapy or counseling, and recovery housing that allow or integrate medication and with other support services.

[1] As used in this Schedule A, words like "expand," "fund," "provide" or the like shall not indicate a preference for new or existing programs.

C. PREGNANT & POSTPARTUM WOMEN

1. Expand Screening, Brief Intervention, and Referral to Treatment ("*SBIRT*") services to non-Medicaid eligible or uninsured pregnant women;

2. Expand comprehensive evidence-based treatment and recovery services, including MAT, for women with co-occurring Opioid Use Disorder ("*OUD*") and other Substance Use Disorder ("*SUD*")/Mental Health disorders for uninsured individuals for up to 12 months postpartum; and

3. Provide comprehensive wrap-around services to individuals with OUD, including housing, transportation, job placement/training, and childcare.

D. EXPANDING TREATMENT FOR NEONATAL ABSTINENCE SYNDROME ("*NAS*")

1. Expand comprehensive evidence-based and recovery support for NAS babies;

2. Expand services for better continuum of care with infant-need dyad; and

3. Expand long-term treatment and services for medical monitoring of NAS babies and their families.

E. EXPANSION OF WARM HAND-OFF PROGRAMS AND RECOVERY SERVICES

1. Expand services such as navigators and on-call teams to begin MAT in hospital emergency departments;

2. Expand warm hand-off services to transition to recovery services;

3. Broaden scope of recovery services to include co-occurring SUD or mental health conditions;

4. Provide comprehensive wrap-around services to individuals in recovery, including housing, transportation, job placement/training, and childcare; and

5. Hire additional social workers or other behavioral health workers to facilitate expansions above.

F. TREATMENT FOR INCARCERATED POPULATION

1. Provide evidence-based treatment and recovery support, including MAT for persons with OUD and co-occurring SUD/MH disorders within and transitioning out of the criminal justice system; and

2. Increase funding for jails to provide treatment to inmates with OUD.

G. PREVENTION PROGRAMS

1. Funding for media campaigns to prevent opioid use (similar to the FDA's "Real Cost" campaign to prevent youth from misusing tobacco);

2. Funding for evidence-based prevention programs in schools;

3. Funding for medical provider education and outreach regarding best prescribing practices for opioids consistent with the CDC guidelines, including providers at hospitals (academic detailing);

4. Funding for community drug disposal programs; and

5. Funding and training for first responders to participate in pre-arrest diversion programs, post-overdose response teams, or similar strategies that connect at-risk individuals to behavioral health services and supports.

H. EXPANDING SYRINGE SERVICE PROGRAMS

1. Provide comprehensive syringe services programs with more wrap-around services, including linkage to OUD treatment, access to sterile syringes and linkage to care and treatment of infectious diseases.

I. EVIDENCE-BASED DATA COLLECTION AND RESEARCH ANALYZING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE ABATEMENT STRATEGIES WITHIN THE STATE

Schedule B
Approved Uses

Support treatment of Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) and any co-occurring Substance Use Disorder or Mental Health (SUD/MH) conditions through evidence-based or evidence-informed programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

PART ONE: TREATMENT

A. TREAT OPIOID USE DISORDER (OUD)

Support treatment of Opioid Use Disorder ("*OUD*") and any co-occurring Substance Use Disorder or Mental Health ("*SUD/MH*") conditions through evidence-based or evidence-informed programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, those that:[2]

1. Expand availability of treatment for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including all forms of Medication-Assisted Treatment ("*MAT*") approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

2. Support and reimburse evidence-based services that adhere to the American Society of Addiction Medicine ("*ASAM*") continuum of care for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

3. Expand telehealth to increase access to treatment for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including MAT, as well as counseling, psychiatric support, and other treatment and recovery support services.

4. Improve oversight of Opioid Treatment Programs ("*OTPs*") to assure evidence-based or evidence-informed practices such as adequate methadone dosing and low threshold approaches to treatment.

5. Support mobile intervention, treatment, and recovery services, offered by qualified professionals and service providers, such as peer recovery coaches, for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions and for persons who have experienced an opioid overdose.

6. Provide treatment of trauma for individuals with OUD (*e.g.*, violence, sexual assault, human trafficking, or adverse childhood experiences) and family members (*e.g.*, surviving family members after an overdose or overdose fatality), and training of health care personnel to identify and address such trauma.

[2] As used in this Schedule B, words like "expand," "fund," "provide" or the like shall not indicate a preference for new or existing programs.

7. Support evidence-based withdrawal management services for people with OUD and any co-occurring mental health conditions.

8. Provide training on MAT for health care providers, first responders, students, or other supporting professionals, such as peer recovery coaches or recovery outreach specialists, including telementoring to assist community-based providers in rural or underserved areas.

9. Support workforce development for addiction professionals who work with persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

10. Offer fellowships for addiction medicine specialists for direct patient care, instructors, and clinical research for treatments.

11. Offer scholarships and supports for behavioral health practitioners or workers involved in addressing OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH or mental health conditions, including, but not limited to, training, scholarships, fellowships, loan repayment programs, or other incentives for providers to work in rural or underserved areas.

12. Provide funding and training for clinicians to obtain a waiver under the federal Drug Addiction Treatment Act of 2000 ("*DATA 2000*") to prescribe MAT for OUD, and provide technical assistance and professional support to clinicians who have obtained a DATA 2000 waiver.

13. Disseminate web-based training curricula, such as the American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry's Provider Clinical Support Service–Opioids web-based training curriculum and motivational interviewing.

14. Develop and disseminate new curricula, such as the American Academy of Addiction Psychiatry's Provider Clinical Support Service for Medication–Assisted Treatment.

B. SUPPORT PEOPLE IN TREATMENT AND RECOVERY

Support people in recovery from OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions through evidence-based or evidence-informed programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the programs or strategies that:

1. Provide comprehensive wrap-around services to individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including housing, transportation, education, job placement, job training, or childcare.

2. Provide the full continuum of care of treatment and recovery services for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including supportive housing, peer support

services and counseling, community navigators, case management, and connections to community-based services.

3. Provide counseling, peer-support, recovery case management and residential treatment with access to medications for those who need it to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

4. Provide access to housing for people with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including supportive housing, recovery housing, housing assistance programs, training for housing providers, or recovery housing programs that allow or integrate FDA-approved mediation with other support services.

5. Provide community support services, including social and legal services, to assist in deinstitutionalizing persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

6. Support or expand peer-recovery centers, which may include support groups, social events, computer access, or other services for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

7. Provide or support transportation to treatment or recovery programs or services for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

8. Provide employment training or educational services for persons in treatment for or recovery from OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

9. Identify successful recovery programs such as physician, pilot, and college recovery programs, and provide support and technical assistance to increase the number and capacity of high-quality programs to help those in recovery.

10. Engage non-profits, faith-based communities, and community coalitions to support people in treatment and recovery and to support family members in their efforts to support the person with OUD in the family.

11. Provide training and development of procedures for government staff to appropriately interact and provide social and other services to individuals with or in recovery from OUD, including reducing stigma.

12. Support stigma reduction efforts regarding treatment and support for persons with OUD, including reducing the stigma on effective treatment.

13. Create or support culturally appropriate services and programs for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including new Americans.

14. Create and/or support recovery high schools.

15. Hire or train behavioral health workers to provide or expand any of the services or supports listed above.

C. CONNECT PEOPLE WHO NEED HELP TO THE HELP THEY NEED (CONNECTIONS TO CARE)

Provide connections to care for people who have—or are at risk of developing—OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions through evidence-based or evidence-informed programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, those that:

1. Ensure that health care providers are screening for OUD and other risk factors and know how to appropriately counsel and treat (or refer if necessary) a patient for OUD treatment.

2. Fund SBIRT programs to reduce the transition from use to disorders, including SBIRT services to pregnant women who are uninsured or not eligible for Medicaid.

3. Provide training and long-term implementation of SBIRT in key systems (health, schools, colleges, criminal justice, and probation), with a focus on youth and young adults when transition from misuse to opioid disorder is common.

4. Purchase automated versions of SBIRT and support ongoing costs of the technology.

5. Expand services such as navigators and on-call teams to begin MAT in hospital emergency departments.

6. Provide training for emergency room personnel treating opioid overdose patients on post-discharge planning, including community referrals for MAT, recovery case management or support services.

7. Support hospital programs that transition persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, or persons who have experienced an opioid overdose, into clinically appropriate follow-up care through a bridge clinic or similar approach.

8. Support crisis stabilization centers that serve as an alternative to hospital emergency departments for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions or persons that have experienced an opioid overdose.

9. Support the work of Emergency Medical Systems, including peer support specialists, to connect individuals to treatment or other appropriate services following an opioid overdose or other opioid-related adverse event.

10. Provide funding for peer support specialists or recovery coaches in emergency departments, detox facilities, recovery centers, recovery housing, or similar settings; offer services, supports, or connections to care to persons with OUD and any co-

occurring SUD/MH conditions or to persons who have experienced an opioid overdose.

11. Expand warm hand-off services to transition to recovery services.

12. Create or support school-based contacts that parents can engage with to seek immediate treatment services for their child; and support prevention, intervention, treatment, and recovery programs focused on young people.

13. Develop and support best practices on addressing OUD in the workplace.

14. Support assistance programs for health care providers with OUD.

15. Engage non-profits and the faith community as a system to support outreach for treatment.

16. Support centralized call centers that provide information and connections to appropriate services and supports for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

D. ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE-INVOLVED PERSONS

Address the needs of persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions who are involved in, are at risk of becoming involved in, or are transitioning out of the criminal justice system through evidence-based or evidence-informed programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, those that:

1. Support pre-arrest or pre-arraignment diversion and deflection strategies for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including established strategies such as:

    1. Self-referral strategies such as the Angel Programs or the Police Assisted Addiction Recovery Initiative ("*PAARI*");

    2. Active outreach strategies such as the Drug Abuse Response Team ("*DART*") model;

    3. "Naloxone Plus" strategies, which work to ensure that individuals who have received naloxone to reverse the effects of an overdose are then linked to treatment programs or other appropriate services;

    4. Officer prevention strategies, such as the Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion ("*LEAD*") model;

5. Officer intervention strategies such as the Leon County, Florida Adult Civil Citation Network or the Chicago Westside Narcotics Diversion to Treatment Initiative; or

6. Co-responder and/or alternative responder models to address OUD-related 911 calls with greater SUD expertise.

2. Support pre-trial services that connect individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions to evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, and related services.

3. Support treatment and recovery courts that provide evidence-based options for persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

4. Provide evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, recovery support, harm reduction, or other appropriate services to individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions who are incarcerated in jail or prison.

5. Provide evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, recovery support, harm reduction, or other appropriate services to individuals with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions who are leaving jail or prison or have recently left jail or prison, are on probation or parole, are under community corrections supervision, or are in re-entry programs or facilities.

6. Support critical time interventions ("*CTI*"), particularly for individuals living with dual-diagnosis OUD/serious mental illness, and services for individuals who face immediate risks and service needs and risks upon release from correctional settings.

7. Provide training on best practices for addressing the needs of criminal justice-involved persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions to law enforcement, correctional, or judicial personnel or to providers of treatment, recovery, harm reduction, case management, or other services offered in connection with any of the strategies described in this section.

E. ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF PREGNANT OR PARENTING WOMEN AND THEIR FAMILIES, INCLUDING BABIES WITH NEONATAL ABSTINENCE SYNDROME

Address the needs of pregnant or parenting women with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, and the needs of their families, including babies with neonatal abstinence syndrome ("*NAS*"), through evidence-based or evidence-informed programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, those that:

1. Support evidence-based or evidence-informed treatment, including MAT, recovery services and supports, and prevention services for pregnant women—or women who

could become pregnant—who have OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, and other measures to educate and provide support to families affected by Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome.

2. Expand comprehensive evidence-based treatment and recovery services, including MAT, for uninsured women with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions for up to 12 months postpartum.

3. Provide training for obstetricians or other healthcare personnel who work with pregnant women and their families regarding treatment of OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

4. Expand comprehensive evidence-based treatment and recovery support for NAS babies; expand services for better continuum of care with infant-need dyad; and expand long-term treatment and services for medical monitoring of NAS babies and their families.

5. Provide training to health care providers who work with pregnant or parenting women on best practices for compliance with federal requirements that children born with NAS get referred to appropriate services and receive a plan of safe care.

6. Provide child and family supports for parenting women with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

7. Provide enhanced family support and child care services for parents with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

8. Provide enhanced support for children and family members suffering trauma as a result of addiction in the family; and offer trauma-informed behavioral health treatment for adverse childhood events.

9. Offer home-based wrap-around services to persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, including, but not limited to, parent skills training.

10. Provide support for Children's Services—Fund additional positions and services, including supportive housing and other residential services, relating to children being removed from the home and/or placed in foster care due to custodial opioid use.

F. PREVENT OVER-PRESCRIBING AND ENSURE APPROPRIATE PRESCRIBING AND DISPENSING OF OPIOIDS

Support efforts to prevent over-prescribing and ensure appropriate prescribing and dispensing of opioids through evidence-based or evidence-informed programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Funding medical provider education and outreach regarding best prescribing practices for opioids consistent with the Guidelines for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, including providers at hospitals (academic detailing).

2. Training for health care providers regarding safe and responsible opioid prescribing, dosing, and tapering patients off opioids.

3. Continuing Medical Education (CME) on appropriate prescribing of opioids.

4. Providing Support for non-opioid pain treatment alternatives, including training providers to offer or refer to multi-modal, evidence-informed treatment of pain.

5. Supporting enhancements or improvements to Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs ("*PDMPs*"), including, but not limited to, improvements that:

    1. Increase the number of prescribers using PDMPs;

    2. Improve point-of-care decision-making by increasing the quantity, quality, or format of data available to prescribers using PDMPs, by improving the interface that prescribers use to access PDMP data, or both; or

    3. Enable states to use PDMP data in support of surveillance or intervention strategies, including MAT referrals and follow-up for individuals identified within PDMP data as likely to experience OUD in a manner that complies with all relevant privacy and security laws and rules.

6. Ensuring PDMPs incorporate available overdose/naloxone deployment data, including the United States Department of Transportation's Emergency Medical Technician overdose database in a manner that complies with all relevant privacy and security laws and rules.

7. Increasing electronic prescribing to prevent diversion or forgery.

8. Educating dispensers on appropriate opioid dispensing.

G. PREVENT MISUSE OF OPIOIDS

Support efforts to discourage or prevent misuse of opioids through evidence-based or evidence-informed programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Funding media campaigns to prevent opioid misuse.

2. Corrective advertising or affirmative public education campaigns based on evidence.

3. Public education relating to drug disposal.

4. Drug take-back disposal or destruction programs.

5. Funding community anti-drug coalitions that engage in drug prevention efforts.

6. Supporting community coalitions in implementing evidence-informed prevention, such as reduced social access and physical access, stigma reduction—including staffing, educational campaigns, support for people in treatment or recovery, or training of coalitions in evidence-informed implementation, including the Strategic Prevention Framework developed by the U.S. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration ("*SAMHSA*").

7. Engaging non-profits and faith-based communities as systems to support prevention.

8. Funding evidence-based prevention programs in schools or evidence-informed school and community education programs and campaigns for students, families, school employees, school athletic programs, parent-teacher and student associations, and others.

9. School-based or youth-focused programs or strategies that have demonstrated effectiveness in preventing drug misuse and seem likely to be effective in preventing the uptake and use of opioids.

10. Create or support community-based education or intervention services for families, youth, and adolescents at risk for OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

11. Support evidence-informed programs or curricula to address mental health needs of young people who may be at risk of misusing opioids or other drugs, including emotional modulation and resilience skills.

12. Support greater access to mental health services and supports for young people, including services and supports provided by school nurses, behavioral health workers or other school staff, to address mental health needs in young people that (when not properly addressed) increase the risk of opioid or another drug misuse.

H. PREVENT OVERDOSE DEATHS AND OTHER HARMS (HARM REDUCTION)

Support efforts to prevent or reduce overdose deaths or other opioid-related harms through evidence-based or evidence-informed programs or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Increased availability and distribution of naloxone and other drugs that treat overdoses for first responders, overdose patients, individuals with OUD and their friends and family members, schools, community navigators and outreach workers, persons being released from jail or prison, or other members of the general public.

2. Public health entities providing free naloxone to anyone in the community.

3. Training and education regarding naloxone and other drugs that treat overdoses for first responders, overdose patients, patients taking opioids, families, schools, community support groups, and other members of the general public.

4. Enabling school nurses and other school staff to respond to opioid overdoses, and provide them with naloxone, training, and support.

5. Expanding, improving, or developing data tracking software and applications for overdoses/naloxone revivals.

6. Public education relating to emergency responses to overdoses.

7. Public education relating to immunity and Good Samaritan laws.

8. Educating first responders regarding the existence and operation of immunity and Good Samaritan laws.

9. Syringe service programs and other evidence-informed programs to reduce harms associated with intravenous drug use, including supplies, staffing, space, peer support services, referrals to treatment, fentanyl checking, connections to care, and the full range of harm reduction and treatment services provided by these programs.

10. Expanding access to testing and treatment for infectious diseases such as HIV and Hepatitis C resulting from intravenous opioid use.

11. Supporting mobile units that offer or provide referrals to harm reduction services, treatment, recovery supports, health care, or other appropriate services to persons that use opioids or persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

12. Providing training in harm reduction strategies to health care providers, students, peer recovery coaches, recovery outreach specialists, or other professionals that provide care to persons who use opioids or persons with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions.

13. Supporting screening for fentanyl in routine clinical toxicology testing.

PART THREE: OTHER STRATEGIES

I. FIRST RESPONDERS

In addition to items in section C, D and H relating to first responders, support the following:

1. Education of law enforcement or other first responders regarding appropriate practices and precautions when dealing with fentanyl or other drugs.

2. Provision of wellness and support services for first responders and others who experience secondary trauma associated with opioid-related emergency events.

J. LEADERSHIP, PLANNING AND COORDINATION

Support efforts to provide leadership, planning, coordination, facilitations, training and technical assistance to abate the opioid epidemic through activities, programs, or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Statewide, regional, local or community regional planning to identify root causes of addiction and overdose, goals for reducing harms related to the opioid epidemic, and areas and populations with the greatest needs for treatment intervention services, and to support training and technical assistance and other strategies to abate the opioid epidemic described in this opioid abatement strategy list.

2. A dashboard to (a) share reports, recommendations, or plans to spend opioid settlement funds; (b) to show how opioid settlement funds have been spent; (c) to report program or strategy outcomes; or (d) to track, share or visualize key opioid- or health-related indicators and supports as identified through collaborative statewide, regional, local or community processes.

3. Invest in infrastructure or staffing at government or not-for-profit agencies to support collaborative, cross-system coordination with the purpose of preventing overprescribing, opioid misuse, or opioid overdoses, treating those with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, supporting them in treatment or recovery, connecting them to care, or implementing other strategies to abate the opioid epidemic described in this opioid abatement strategy list.

4. Provide resources to staff government oversight and management of opioid abatement programs.

K. TRAINING

In addition to the training referred to throughout this document, support training to abate the opioid epidemic through activities, programs, or strategies that may include, but are not limited to, those that:

1. Provide funding for staff training or networking programs and services to improve the capability of government, community, and not-for-profit entities to abate the opioid crisis.

2. Support infrastructure and staffing for collaborative cross-system coordination to prevent opioid misuse, prevent overdoses, and treat those with OUD and any co-occurring SUD/MH conditions, or implement other strategies to abate the opioid epidemic described in this opioid abatement strategy list (*e.g.*, health care, primary care, pharmacies, PDMPs, etc.).

L. RESEARCH

Support opioid abatement research that may include, but is not limited to, the following:

1. Monitoring, surveillance, data collection and evaluation of programs and strategies described in this opioid abatement strategy list.

2. Research non-opioid treatment of chronic pain.

3. Research on improved service delivery for modalities such as SBIRT that demonstrate promising but mixed results in populations vulnerable to opioid use disorders.

4. Research on novel harm reduction and prevention efforts such as the provision of fentanyl test strips.

5. Research on innovative supply-side enforcement efforts such as improved detection of mail-based delivery of synthetic opioids.

6. Expanded research on swift/certain/fair models to reduce and deter opioid misuse within criminal justice populations that build upon promising approaches used to address other substances (*e.g.*, Hawaii HOPE and Dakota 24/7).

7. Epidemiological surveillance of OUD-related behaviors in critical populations, including individuals entering the criminal justice system, including, but not limited to approaches modeled on the Arrestee Drug Abuse Monitoring ("ADAM") system.

8. Qualitative and quantitative research regarding public health risks and harm reduction opportunities within illicit drug markets, including surveys of market participants who sell or distribute illicit opioids.

9. Geospatial analysis of access barriers to MAT and their association with treatment engagement and treatment outcomes.

Exhibit F
List of Eligible States and Overall Allocation Percentages

Alaska	1.3494290164%
Arizona	6.2738632814%
Arkansas	1.0434867005%
California	17.7463118622%
Colorado	4.8645929787%
Connecticut	0.7241212493%
Delaware	0.5490845804%
District of Columbia	0.2014600176%
Florida	7.8645429812%
Hawaii	0.5647377600%
Idaho	1.9837173617%
Illinois	7.7124641613%
Indiana	1.9852055207%
Iowa	0.8304835981%
Louisiana	1.6399671519%
Maine	0.8888984056%
Maryland	2.7685656332%
Massachusetts	2.5785363664%
Missouri	0.4490092636%
Montana	1.5757139456%
Nebraska	0.4669471928%
Nevada	2.8476969074%
New Hampshire	0.8622922237%
New Jersey	3.0839948974%
New York	1.2067579851%
Oklahoma	1.3720975791%
Oregon	5.9141817395%
Pennsylvania	5.1359052989%
Rhode Island	0.3998746639%
South Dakota	0.2218656197%
Texas	8.8303416266%
Utah	1.3867483371%
Vermont	0.2848654679%
Virginia	2.5522749697%
Wisconsin	0.9840628156%
Wyoming	0.8559008388%

Exhibit G

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1002	Anchorage Municipality	Anchorage Borough	Alaska	0.4765780000000000000000
1009	Fairbanks City	Fairbanks North Star Borough	Alaska	0.0512260000000000000000
1001	Fairbanks North Star Borough	Fairbanks North Star Borough	Alaska	0.1096270000000000000000
7050	Juneau City And Borough	Juneau Borough	Alaska	0.0523240000000000000000
1016	Kenai Peninsula Borough	Kenai Peninsula Borough	Alaska	0.0949220000000000000000
1014	Ketchikan Gateway Borough	Ketchikan Gateway Borough	Alaska	0.0224060000000000000000
1003	Kodiak Island Borough	Kodiak Island Borough	Alaska	0.0218390000000000000000
1018	Matanuska-Susitna Borough	Matanuska-Susitna Borough	Alaska	0.1547260000000000000000
1000	Wasilla City	Matanuska-Susitna Borough	Alaska	0.0163510000000000000000
1814	Apache County	Apache County	Arizona	0.0039074700000000000000
1878	Apache Junction City	Maricopa County, Pinal County	Arizona	0.0022013400000000000000
1852	Avondale City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0056771400000000000000
1819	Benson City	Cochise County	Arizona	0.0006529600000000000000
1822	Bisbee City	Cochise County	Arizona	0.0006436850000000000000
1855	Buckeye City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0026647800000000000000
1877	Bullhead City	Mohave County	Arizona	0.0064163800000000000000
1911	Camp Verde Town	Yavapai County	Arizona	0.0004162270000000000000
1867	Carefree Town	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0002317200000000000000
1895	Casa Grande City	Pinal County	Arizona	0.0021251440000000000000
1865	Cave Creek Town	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0003475800000000000000
1853	Chandler City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0165679800000000000000
1916	Chino Valley Town	Yavapai County	Arizona	0.0002917880000000000000
1914	Clarkdale Town	Yavapai County	Arizona	0.0003089520000000000000
1846	Clifton Town	Greenlee County	Arizona	0.0001028700000000000000
1820	Cochise County	Cochise County	Arizona	0.0117736850000000000000
1828	Coconino County	Coconino County	Arizona	0.0120118080000000000000
1880	Colorado City Town	Mohave County	Arizona	0.0002987780000000000000
1897	Coolidge City	Pinal County	Arizona	0.0006444480000000000000
1913	Cottonwood City	Yavapai County	Arizona	0.0020982990000000000000
1909	Dewey-Humboldt Town	Yavapai County	Arizona	0.0006608140000000000000
1823	Douglas City	Cochise County	Arizona	0.0015656200000000000000
1848	Duncan Town	Greenlee County	Arizona	0.0000025200000000000000
1815	Eagar Town	Apache County	Arizona	0.0014255400000000000000
1859	El Mirage City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0022592700000000000000
1898	Eloy City	Pinal County	Arizona	0.0134183280000000000000
1829	Flagstaff City	Coconino County	Arizona	0.0031143600000000000000
1901	Florence Town	Pinal County	Arizona	0.0004564840000000000000
1872	Fountain Hills Town	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0009848100000000000000
1827	Fredonia Town	Coconino County	Arizona	0.0000523280000000000000
1875	Gila Bend Town	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0001737900000000000000
1838	Gila County	Gila County	Arizona	0.0077804460000000000000
1856	Gilbert Town	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0099060300000000000000
1857	Glendale City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0152355900000000000000
1839	Globe City	Gila County	Arizona	0.0011682660000000000000
1858	Goodyear City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0044026800000000000000
1842	Graham County	Graham County	Arizona	0.0044764940000000000000
1845	Greenlee County	Greenlee County	Arizona	0.0007946100000000000000
1873	Guadalupe Town	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0000000000000000000000
1841	Hayden Town	Gila County, Pinal County	Arizona	0.0002638020000000000000
1882	Holbrook City	Navajo County	Arizona	0.0005756250000000000000
1830	Huachuca City Town	Cochise County	Arizona	0.0001688050000000000000
1912	Jerome Town	Yavapai County	Arizona	0.0000128730000000000000
1903	Kearny Town	Pinal County	Arizona	0.0001074080000000000000
1876	Kingman City	Mohave County	Arizona	0.0048539180000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1851	La Paz County	La Paz County	Arizona	0.0026701710000000000000
1883	Lake Havasu City	Mohave County	Arizona	0.0067935260000000000000
1866	Litchfield Park City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0002317200000000000000
1904	Mammoth Town	Pinal County	Arizona	0.0000613760000000000000
1892	Marana Town	Pima County, Pinal County	Arizona	0.0038412820000000000000
1902	Maricopa City	Pinal County	Arizona	0.0010472280000000000000
1854	Maricopa County	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.2985132900000000000000
1860	Mesa City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0351055800000000000000
1835	Miami Town	Gila County	Arizona	0.0003094820000000000000
1884	Mohave County	Mohave County	Arizona	0.0306173980000000000000
1885	Navajo County	Navajo County	Arizona	0.0107895150000000000000
1905	Nogales City	Santa Cruz County	Arizona	0.0008343500000000000000
1896	Oro Valley Town	Pima County	Arizona	0.0032072840000000000000
1833	Page City	Coconino County	Arizona	0.0005756080000000000000
1869	Paradise Valley Town	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0019696200000000000000
1850	Parker Town	La Paz County	Arizona	0.0001562190000000000000
1908	Patagonia Town	Santa Cruz County	Arizona	0.0000247900000000000000
1837	Payson Town	Gila County	Arizona	0.0018466140000000000000
1874	Peoria City	Maricopa County, Yavapai County	Arizona	0.0087474300000000000000
1861	Phoenix City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.1232750400000000000000
1890	Pima County	Pima County	Arizona	0.1346126930000000000000
1844	Pima Town	Graham County	Arizona	0.0001596180000000000000
1899	Pinal County	Pinal County	Arizona	0.0203346360000000000000
1888	Pinetop-Lakeside Town	Navajo County	Arizona	0.0007291250000000000000
1910	Prescott City	Yavapai County	Arizona	0.0059172890000000000000
1915	Prescott Valley Town	Yavapai County	Arizona	0.0034714190000000000000
1849	Quartzsite Town	La Paz County	Arizona	0.0001839110000000000000
1870	Queen Creek Town	Maricopa County, Pinal County	Arizona	0.0006372300000000000000
1843	Safford City	Graham County	Arizona	0.0019290770000000000000
1894	Sahuarita Town	Pima County	Arizona	0.0015104070000000000000
1918	San Luis City	Yuma County	Arizona	0.0009638400000000000000
1906	Santa Cruz County	Santa Cruz County	Arizona	0.0028408600000000000000
1863	Scottsdale City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0231140700000000000000
1832	Sedona City	Coconino County, Yavapai County	Arizona	0.0006903920000000000000
1881	Show Low City	Navajo County	Arizona	0.0014413650000000000000
1824	Sierra Vista City	Cochise County	Arizona	0.0030848650000000000000
1887	Snowflake Town	Navajo County	Arizona	0.0004512900000000000000
1922	Somerton City	Yuma County	Arizona	0.0004497920000000000000
1891	South Tucson City	Pima County	Arizona	0.0005780570000000000000
1818	Springerville Town	Apache County	Arizona	0.0007403700000000000000
1821	St. Johns City	Apache County	Arizona	0.0008266200000000000000
1836	Star Valley Town	Gila County	Arizona	0.0003997000000000000000
1900	Superior Town	Pinal County	Arizona	0.0001687840000000000000
1868	Surprise City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0056771400000000000000
1889	Taylor Town	Navajo County	Arizona	0.0004113800000000000000
1864	Tempe City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0189431100000000000000
1847	Thatcher Town	Graham County	Arizona	0.0006240920000000000000
1862	Tolleson City	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0015641100000000000000
1825	Tombstone City	Cochise County	Arizona	0.0002151800000000000000
1893	Tucson City	Pima County	Arizona	0.0427202770000000000000
1834	Tusayan Town	Coconino County	Arizona	0.0001130960000000000000
1917	Wellton Town	Yuma County	Arizona	0.0001224880000000000000
1879	Wickenburg Town	Maricopa County, Yavapai County	Arizona	0.0005793000000000000000
1826	Willcox City	Cochise County	Arizona	0.0004433450000000000000
1831	Williams City	Coconino County	Arizona	0.0003240960000000000000
1840	Winkelman Town	Gila County, Pinal County	Arizona	0.0000114200000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1886	Winslow City	Navajo County	Arizona	0.0009501650000000000000
1907	Yavapai County	Yavapai County	Arizona	0.0297409210000000000000
1871	Youngtown Town	Maricopa County	Arizona	0.0002896500000000000000
1921	Yuma City	Yuma County	Arizona	0.0052850560000000000000
1920	Yuma County	Yuma County	Arizona	0.0132588240000000000000
1652	Adona City	Perry County	Arkansas	0.0000207905060000000000
1704	Alexander City	Pulaski County, Saline County	Arkansas	0.0001034760180000000000
1532	Alicia Town	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.0000023095790000000000
1591	Allport Town	Lonoke County	Arkansas	0.0000265873500000000000
1372	Alma City	Crawford County	Arkansas	0.0018752366730000000000
1243	Almyra Town	Arkansas County	Arkansas	0.0000108810660000000000
1298	Alpena Town	Boone County, Carroll County	Arkansas	0.0001413193470000000000
1520	Altheimer City	Jefferson County	Arkansas	0.0000710818080000000000
1434	Altus City	Franklin County	Arkansas	0.0001611496750000000000
1504	Amagon Town	Jackson County	Arkansas	0.0000165877590000000000
1321	Amity City	Clark County	Arkansas	0.0001059472970000000000
1391	Anthonyville Town	Crittenden County	Arkansas	0.0000109783720000000000
1665	Antoine Town	Pike County	Arkansas	0.0000105762700000000000
1323	Arkadelphia City	Clark County	Arkansas	0.0027105931660000000000
1410	Arkansas City	Desha County	Arkansas	0.0000263655500000000000
1242	Arkansas County	Arkansas County	Arkansas	0.0022720049280000000000
1444	Ash Flat City	Fulton County, Sharp County	Arkansas	0.0005609644560000000000
1561	Ashdown City	Little River County	Arkansas	0.0002106453600000000000
1249	Ashley County	Ashley County	Arkansas	0.0030245585690000000000
1684	Atkins City	Pope County	Arkansas	0.0005075407690000000000
1554	Aubrey Town	Lee County	Arkansas	0.0000211668500000000000
1803	Augusta City	Woodruff County	Arkansas	0.0001346453210000000000
1579	Austin City	Lonoke County	Arkansas	0.0002017476130000000000
1283	Avoca Town	Benton County	Arkansas	0.0000302277270000000000
1788	Bald Knob City	White County	Arkansas	0.0010482270050000000000
1303	Banks Town	Bradley County	Arkansas	0.0000068228460000000000
1732	Barling City	Sebastian County	Arkansas	0.0015886785630000000000
1613	Bassett Town	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.0000114992430000000000
1485	Batesville City	Independence County	Arkansas	0.0013189657850000000000
1721	Bauxite Town	Saline County	Arkansas	0.0000498162220000000000
1257	Baxter County	Baxter County	Arkansas	0.0086902354700000000000
1364	Bay City	Craighead County	Arkansas	0.0002504934720000000000
1641	Bearden City	Ouachita County	Arkansas	0.0001611010220000000000
1316	Beaver Town	Carroll County	Arkansas	0.0000513659580000000000
1789	Beebe City	White County	Arkansas	0.0024120922130000000000
1515	Beedeville Town	Jackson County	Arkansas	0.0000180301720000000000
1282	Bella Vista City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.0051366487450000000000
1297	Bellefonte Town	Boone County	Arkansas	0.0000084355450000000000
1810	Belleville City	Yell County	Arkansas	0.0000508808600000000000
1739	Ben Lomond Town	Sevier County	Arkansas	0.0000124994890000000000
1712	Benton City	Saline County	Arkansas	0.0137626166670000000000
1265	Benton County	Benton County	Arkansas	0.0194935241560000000000
1270	Bentonville City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.0132121483470000000000
1294	Bergman Town	Boone County	Arkansas	0.0000801913380000000000
1311	Berryville City	Carroll County	Arkansas	0.0017676738150000000000
1266	Big Flat Town	Baxter County, Searcy County	Arkansas	0.0000055521480000000000
1651	Bigelow Town	Perry County	Arkansas	0.0000283517310000000000
1703	Biggers Town	Randolph County	Arkansas	0.0000025714460000000000
1612	Birdsong Town	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.0000012105970000000000
1365	Black Oak Town	Craighead County	Arkansas	0.0000030794390000000000
1534	Black Rock City	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.0000205529660000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1629	Black Springs Town	Montgomery County	Arkansas	0.000007714338000000000
1462	Blevins City	Hempstead County	Arkansas	0.000042289340000000000
1309	Blue Eye Town	Carroll County	Arkansas	0.000007084713000000000
1564	Blue Mountain Town	Logan County	Arkansas	0.000023457827000000000
1633	Bluff City Town	Nevada County	Arkansas	0.000001956131000000000
1603	Blytheville City	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.003444881956000000000
1636	Bodcaw Town	Nevada County	Arkansas	0.000004892473000000000
1725	Bonanza City	Sebastian County	Arkansas	0.000271534397000000000
1362	Bono City	Craighead County	Arkansas	0.000166311169000000000
1287	Boone County	Boone County	Arkansas	0.006844345049000000000
1568	Booneville City	Logan County	Arkansas	0.002395670446000000000
1794	Bradford City	White County	Arkansas	0.000196136795000000000
1530	Bradley City	Lafayette County	Arkansas	0.000127167093000000000
1300	Bradley County	Bradley County	Arkansas	0.000903557767000000000
1431	Branch City	Franklin County	Arkansas	0.000001595527000000000
1260	Briarcliff City	Baxter County	Arkansas	0.000001841653000000000
1618	Brinkley City	Monroe County	Arkansas	0.000788794288000000000
1366	Brookland City	Craighead County	Arkansas	0.000336729211000000000
1713	Bryant City	Saline County	Arkansas	0.010536173112000000000
1533	Buckner City	Lafayette County	Arkansas	0.000065180504000000000
1589	Bull Shoals City	Marion County	Arkansas	0.000692543217000000000
1611	Burdette Town	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.000001210597000000000
1576	Cabot City	Lonoke County	Arkansas	0.009626014299000000000
1325	Caddo Valley Town	Clark County	Arkansas	0.000447599031000000000
1759	Caldwell Town	St Francis County	Arkansas	0.000042239256000000000
1639	Cale Town	Nevada County	Arkansas	0.000007827384000000000
1301	Calhoun County	Calhoun County	Arkansas	0.001397959405000000000
1500	Calico Rock City	Izard County	Arkansas	0.000024459503000000000
1761	Calion City	Union County	Arkansas	0.000029361993000000000
1644	Camden City	Ouachita County	Arkansas	0.004098542963000000000
1697	Cammack Village City	Pulaski County	Arkansas	0.000112054660000000000
1505	Campbell Station City	Jackson County	Arkansas	0.000043090681000000000
1367	Caraway City	Craighead County	Arkansas	0.000225855098000000000
1578	Carlisle City	Lonoke County	Arkansas	0.001468534652000000000
1306	Carroll County	Carroll County	Arkansas	0.005928260605000000000
1405	Carthage City	Dallas County	Arkansas	0.000016610654000000000
1649	Casa Town	Perry County	Arkansas	0.000015121018000000000
1368	Cash Town	Craighead County	Arkansas	0.000012319187000000000
1577	Caulksville Town	Logan County	Arkansas	0.000006928738000000000
1498	Cave City	Independence County, Sharp County	Arkansas	0.000850463202000000000
1284	Cave Springs City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.000320796835000000000
1381	Cedarville City	Crawford County	Arkansas	0.000178802072000000000
1267	Centerton City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.001131077079000000000
1741	Central City Town	Sebastian County	Arkansas	0.000174268772000000000
1430	Charleston City	Franklin County	Arkansas	0.000410054775000000000
1447	Cherokee Village City	Fulton County, Sharp County	Arkansas	0.002125413902000000000
1398	Cherry Valley City	Cross County	Arkansas	0.000081466329000000000
1379	Chester Town	Crawford County	Arkansas	0.000004361585000000000
1313	Chicot County	Chicot County	Arkansas	0.002832352637000000000
1643	Chidester City	Ouachita County	Arkansas	0.000007419559000000000
1617	Clarendon City	Monroe County	Arkansas	0.000270484068000000000
1319	Clark County	Clark County	Arkansas	0.003342708117000000000
1531	Clarksville City	Johnson County	Arkansas	0.002458837581000000000
1328	Clay County	Clay County	Arkansas	0.000479674136000000000
1339	Cleburne County	Cleburne County	Arkansas	0.003503158049000000000
1345	Cleveland County	Cleveland County	Arkansas	0.001143679597000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1768	Clinton City	Van Buren County	Arkansas	0.0008240346890000000000
1523	Coal Hill City	Johnson County	Arkansas	0.0013287135260000000000
1747	Colt City	St Francis County	Arkansas	0.0000852054440000000000
1352	Columbia County	Columbia County	Arkansas	0.0006716639910000000000
1344	Concord Town	Cleburne County	Arkansas	0.0001507851880000000000
1417	Conway City	Faulkner County	Arkansas	0.0209227430150000000000
1360	Conway County	Conway County	Arkansas	0.0051389712030000000000
1326	Corning City	Clay County	Arkansas	0.0001918095540000000000
1258	Cotter City	Baxter County	Arkansas	0.0002339257470000000000
1808	Cotton Plant City	Woodruff County	Arkansas	0.0000249860990000000000
1679	Cove Town	Polk County	Arkansas	0.0000017772600000000000
1581	Coy Town	Lonoke County	Arkansas	0.0000781965720000000000
1361	Craighead County	Craighead County	Arkansas	0.0092651890500000000000
1373	Crawford County	Crawford County	Arkansas	0.0095658879670000000000
1384	Crawfordsville Town	Crittenden County	Arkansas	0.0000372294440000000000
1382	Crittenden County	Crittenden County	Arkansas	0.0048646893510000000000
1396	Cross County	Cross County	Arkansas	0.0031149684360000000000
1252	Crossett City	Ashley County	Arkansas	0.0024829264640000000000
1489	Cushman City	Independence County	Arkansas	0.0000312351270000000000
1668	Daisy Town	Pike County	Arkansas	0.0000190361420000000000
1403	Dallas County	Dallas County	Arkansas	0.0011701038160000000000
1432	Damascus Town	Faulkner County, Van Buren County	Arkansas	0.0003288331410000000000
1816	Danville City	Yell County	Arkansas	0.0005545980880000000000
1811	Dardanelle City	Yell County	Arkansas	0.0012703186630000000000
1330	Datto Town	Clay County	Arkansas	0.0000020419890000000000
1746	De Queen City	Sevier County	Arkansas	0.0018251771870000000000
1692	De Valls Bluff City	Prairie County	Arkansas	0.0001533695120000000000
1269	Decatur City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.0004612060880000000000
1458	Delaplaine Town	Greene County	Arkansas	0.0000124093380000000000
1663	Delight City	Pike County	Arkansas	0.0000190361420000000000
1602	Dell Town	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.0000496273340000000000
1433	Denning Town	Franklin County	Arkansas	0.0000668933710000000000
1315	Dermott City	Chicot County	Arkansas	0.0000988110690000000000
1693	Des Arc City	Prairie County	Arkansas	0.0004619029680000000000
1406	Desha County	Desha County	Arkansas	0.0018804425270000000000
1247	Dewitt City	Arkansas County	Arkansas	0.0005614724490000000000
1296	Diamond City	Boone County	Arkansas	0.0000674814980000000000
1513	Diaz City	Jackson County	Arkansas	0.0001447994560000000000
1484	Dierks City	Howard County	Arkansas	0.0001098438170000000000
1482	Donaldson Town	Hot Spring County	Arkansas	0.0000972885210000000000
1687	Dover City	Pope County	Arkansas	0.0003575612150000000000
1414	Drew County	Drew County	Arkansas	0.0043143426630000000000
1407	Dumas City	Desha County	Arkansas	0.0003452091160000000000
1375	Dyer City	Crawford County	Arkansas	0.0000392485370000000000
1614	Dyess Town	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.0000048423890000000000
1385	Earle City	Crittenden County	Arkansas	0.0001699200660000000000
1646	East Camden Town	Ouachita County	Arkansas	0.0000778259520000000000
1387	Edmondson Town	Crittenden County	Arkansas	0.0000806649880000000000
1370	Egypt Town	Craighead County	Arkansas	0.0000071863120000000000
1765	El Dorado City	Union County	Arkansas	0.0075497226010000000000
1657	Elaine City	Phillips County	Arkansas	0.0000612725360000000000
1786	Elkins City	Washington County	Arkansas	0.0005848200920000000000
1289	Elm Springs City	Benton County, Washington County	Arkansas	0.0001692704070000000000
1353	Emerson Town	Columbia County	Arkansas	0.0000077129070000000000
1470	Emmet City	Hempstead County, Nevada County	Arkansas	0.0000067326950000000000
1580	England City	Lonoke County	Arkansas	0.0012777339290000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1423	Enola Town	Faulkner County	Arkansas	0.0001662839810000000000
1610	Etowah Town	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.0000169455000000000000
1318	Eudora City	Chicot County	Arkansas	0.0000901279670000000000
1310	Eureka Springs City	Carroll County	Arkansas	0.0038913619720000000000
1745	Evening Shade City	Sharp County	Arkansas	0.0001128774650000000000
1288	Everton Town	Boone County	Arkansas	0.0000238255850000000000
1348	Fairfield Bay City	Cleburne County, Van Buren County	Arkansas	0.0009941837100000000000
1624	Fargo Town	Monroe County	Arkansas	0.0000035402100000000000
1774	Farmington City	Washington County	Arkansas	0.0009084502400000000000
1419	Faulkner County	Faulkner County	Arkansas	0.0100721165400000000000
1776	Fayetteville City	Washington County	Arkansas	0.0211036586240000000000
1770	Felsenthal Town	Union County	Arkansas	0.0000171816100000000000
1762	Fifty-Six City	Stone County	Arkansas	0.0000046148660000000000
1667	Fisher City	Poinsett County	Arkansas	0.0000196056660000000000
1594	Flippin City	Marion County	Arkansas	0.0009569013200000000000
1401	Fordyce City	Dallas County	Arkansas	0.0005450635610000000000
1563	Foreman City	Little River County	Arkansas	0.0000205830160000000000
1751	Forrest City	St Francis County	Arkansas	0.0029610909310000000000
1729	Fort Smith City	Sebastian County	Arkansas	0.0384349300880000000000
1598	Fouke City	Miller County	Arkansas	0.0000543724180000000000
1254	Fountain Hill Town	Ashley County	Arkansas	0.0000071505370000000000
1450	Fountain Lake Town	Garland County	Arkansas	0.0000282701660000000000
1650	Fourche Town	Perry County	Arkansas	0.0000096590210000000000
1428	Franklin County	Franklin County	Arkansas	0.0059816871540000000000
1499	Franklin Town	Izard County	Arkansas	0.0001470632450000000000
1690	Fredonia (Biscoe) Town	Prairie County	Arkansas	0.0000780305800000000000
1475	Friendship Town	Hot Spring County	Arkansas	0.0000513029950000000000
1440	Fulton County	Fulton County	Arkansas	0.0049581786570000000000
1465	Fulton Town	Hempstead County	Arkansas	0.0000663524660000000000
1273	Garfield Town	Benton County	Arkansas	0.0000744760600000000000
1445	Garland County	Garland County	Arkansas	0.0173345884430000000000
1600	Garland Town	Miller County	Arkansas	0.0000028919820000000000
1799	Garner Town	White County	Arkansas	0.0000779347050000000000
1261	Gassville City	Baxter County	Arkansas	0.0007275632500000000000
1271	Gateway Town	Benton County	Arkansas	0.0000628780800000000000
1275	Gentry City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.0009048613770000000000
1802	Georgetown Town	White County	Arkansas	0.0000402659540000000000
1730	Gilbert Town	Searcy County	Arkansas	0.0000248315540000000000
1246	Gillett City	Arkansas County	Arkansas	0.0001146160890000000000
1744	Gillham Town	Sevier County	Arkansas	0.0000138016680000000000
1386	Gilmore City	Crittenden County	Arkansas	0.0000009544540000000000
1628	Glenwood City	Montgomery County, Pike County	Arkansas	0.0004800218610000000000
1783	Goshen Town	Washington County	Arkansas	0.0000316601240000000000
1620	Gosnell City	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.0002723471860000000000
1559	Gould City	Lincoln County	Arkansas	0.0001712480020000000000
1560	Grady City	Lincoln County	Arkansas	0.0003131039620000000000
1683	Grannis City	Polk County	Arkansas	0.0000092426100000000000
1449	Grant County	Grant County	Arkansas	0.0026644817150000000000
1278	Gravette City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.0008034545350000000000
1317	Green Forest City	Carroll County	Arkansas	0.0011760881160000000000
1422	Greenbrier City	Faulkner County	Arkansas	0.0007200664190000000000
1456	Greene County	Greene County	Arkansas	0.0052985282130000000000
1779	Greenland City	Washington County	Arkansas	0.0002374459240000000000
1333	Greenway City	Clay County	Arkansas	0.0000047579620000000000
1731	Greenwood City	Sebastian County	Arkansas	0.0023100671940000000000
1347	Greers Ferry City	Cleburne County	Arkansas	0.0004998607740000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1787	Griffithville Town	White County	Arkansas	0.000045461792000000000
1511	Grubbs City	Jackson County	Arkansas	0.000056885194000000000
1494	Guion Town	Izard County	Arkansas	0.000188333737000000000
1327	Gum Springs Town	Clark County	Arkansas	0.000030512489000000000
1320	Gurdon City	Clark County	Arkansas	0.000633258293000000000
1429	Guy City	Faulkner County	Arkansas	0.000155481618000000000
1733	Hackett City	Sebastian County	Arkansas	0.000171567108000000000
1251	Hamburg City	Ashley County	Arkansas	0.000524650830000000000
1305	Hampton City	Calhoun County	Arkansas	0.000149258347000000000
1443	Hardy City	Fulton County, Sharp County	Arkansas	0.000225704847000000000
1312	Harrell Town	Calhoun County	Arkansas	0.000015608978000000000
1671	Harrisburg City	Poinsett County	Arkansas	0.000610374284000000000
1291	Harrison City	Boone County	Arkansas	0.007509041666000000000
1734	Hartford City	Sebastian County	Arkansas	0.000079703379000000000
1528	Hartman City	Johnson County	Arkansas	0.000041808536000000000
1716	Haskell City	Saline County	Arkansas	0.000719017520000000000
1677	Hatfield Town	Polk County	Arkansas	0.000003119506000000000
1812	Havana City	Yell County	Arkansas	0.000028832535000000000
1555	Haynes Town	Lee County	Arkansas	0.000021807923000000000
1694	Hazen City	Prairie County	Arkansas	0.000648458015000000000
1340	Heber Springs City	Cleburne County	Arkansas	0.003767547633000000000
1688	Hector Town	Pope County	Arkansas	0.000046732490000000000
1658	Helena-West Helena City	Phillips County	Arkansas	0.001739214762000000000
1463	Hempstead County	Hempstead County	Arkansas	0.002734659153000000000
1302	Hermitage City	Bradley County	Arkansas	0.000121839606000000000
1402	Hickory Ridge City	Cross County	Arkansas	0.000037770349000000000
1343	Higden Town	Cleburne County	Arkansas	0.000085654767000000000
1790	Higginson Town	White County	Arkansas	0.000151972889000000000
1281	Highfill Town	Benton County	Arkansas	0.000156011076000000000
1750	Highland City	Sharp County	Arkansas	0.000298718460000000000
1590	Hindsville Town	Madison County	Arkansas	0.000033816590000000000
1421	Holland City	Faulkner County	Arkansas	0.000148864831000000000
1622	Holly Grove City	Monroe County	Arkansas	0.000044608936000000000
1464	Hope City	Hempstead County	Arkansas	0.002248866206000000000
1740	Horatio City	Sevier County	Arkansas	0.000029417800000000000
1441	Horseshoe Bend City	Fulton County, Izard County, Sharp County	Arkansas	0.001112109623000000000
1393	Horseshoe Lake Town	Crittenden County	Arkansas	0.000014319677000000000
1473	Hot Spring County	Hot Spring County	Arkansas	0.004782149797000000000
1442	Hot Springs City	Garland County	Arkansas	0.035617977507000000000
1654	Houston Town	Perry County	Arkansas	0.000052922849000000000
1480	Howard County	Howard County	Arkansas	0.001730249760000000000
1538	Hoxie City	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.000120318489000000000
1756	Hughes City	St Francis County	Arkansas	0.000113608689000000000
1582	Humnoke City	Lonoke County	Arkansas	0.000148176536000000000
1253	Humphrey City	Arkansas County, Jefferson County	Arkansas	0.000142685920000000000
1806	Hunter Town	Woodruff County	Arkansas	0.000001735762000000000
1737	Huntington City	Sebastian County	Arkansas	0.000062142563000000000
1587	Huntsville City	Madison County	Arkansas	0.000854508543000000000
1767	Huttig City	Union County	Arkansas	0.000090756161000000000
1539	Imboden Town	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.000007621325000000000
1488	Independence County	Independence County	Arkansas	0.010893020276000000000
1496	Izard County	Izard County	Arkansas	0.005513048629000000000
1503	Jackson County	Jackson County	Arkansas	0.001817241049000000000
1514	Jacksonport Town	Jackson County	Arkansas	0.000050680525000000000
1695	Jacksonville City	Pulaski County	Arkansas	0.026389305280000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1635	Jasper City	Newton County	Arkansas	0.000070526594000000000
1521	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Arkansas	0.005992841535000000000
1397	Jennette Town	Crittenden County, St Francis County	Arkansas	0.000012409338000000000
1395	Jericho Town	Crittenden County	Arkansas	0.000009641849000000000
1782	Johnson City	Washington County	Arkansas	0.001307711237000000000
1519	Johnson County	Johnson County	Arkansas	0.003131687844000000000
1606	Joiner City	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.000294738943000000000
1371	Jonesboro City	Craighead County	Arkansas	0.021740597362000000000
1793	Judsonia City	White County	Arkansas	0.000470208295000000000
1771	Junction City	Union County	Arkansas	0.000041819983000000000
1605	Keiser City	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.000065363668000000000
1792	Kensett City	White County	Arkansas	0.000362397881000000000
1583	Keo Town	Lonoke County	Arkansas	0.000137063654000000000
1380	Kibler City	Crawford County	Arkansas	0.000431741065000000000
1349	Kingsland City	Cleveland County	Arkansas	0.000062036672000000000
1331	Knobel City	Clay County	Arkansas	0.000009218283000000000
1527	Knoxville Town	Johnson County	Arkansas	0.000058832739000000000
1529	Lafayette County	Lafayette County	Arkansas	0.000910619584000000000
1461	Lafe Town	Greene County	Arkansas	0.000028363178000000000
1551	Lagrange Town	Lee County	Arkansas	0.000008239503000000000
1369	Lake City	Craighead County	Arkansas	0.000259733220000000000
1660	Lake View City	Phillips County	Arkansas	0.000047133160000000000
1324	Lake Village City	Chicot County	Arkansas	0.000224886334000000000
1262	Lakeview City	Baxter County	Arkansas	0.000193402219000000000
1524	Lamar City	Johnson County	Arkansas	0.001021685446000000000
1735	Lavaca City	Sebastian County	Arkansas	0.000302604964000000000
1536	Lawrence County	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.004807663922000000000
1604	Leachville City	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.000232401768000000000
1290	Lead Hill Town	Boone County	Arkansas	0.000069168607000000000
1545	Lee County	Lee County	Arkansas	0.000989039387000000000
1448	Leola Town	Grant County	Arkansas	0.000013687190000000000
1669	Lepanto City	Poinsett County	Arkansas	0.000682260295000000000
1720	Leslie City	Searcy County	Arkansas	0.000254444370000000000
1791	Letona Town	White County	Arkansas	0.000096119422000000000
1535	Lewisville City	Lafayette County	Arkansas	0.000166148039000000000
1659	Lexa Town	Phillips County	Arkansas	0.000073056542000000000
1777	Lincoln City	Washington County	Arkansas	0.000464338472000000000
1557	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	Arkansas	0.001387881111000000000
1286	Little Flock City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.000530723850000000000
1556	Little River County	Little River County	Arkansas	0.003040825791000000000
1702	Little Rock City	Pulaski County	Arkansas	0.067135694892000000000
1742	Lockesburg City	Sevier County	Arkansas	0.000062672021000000000
1567	Logan County	Logan County	Arkansas	0.005058103018000000000
1685	London City	Pope County	Arkansas	0.000142372538000000000
1585	Lonoke City	Lonoke County	Arkansas	0.002452247983000000000
1575	Lonoke County	Lonoke County	Arkansas	0.005819398431000000000
1446	Lonsdale Town	Garland County	Arkansas	0.000094329280000000000
1647	Louann Town	Ouachita County	Arkansas	0.000021192610000000000
1272	Lowell City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.002449366017000000000
1609	Luxora City	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.000119226662000000000
1547	Lynn Town	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.000001385175000000000
1758	Madison City	St Francis County	Arkansas	0.000058260353000000000
1586	Madison County	Madison County	Arkansas	0.003196927018000000000
1566	Magazine City	Logan County	Arkansas	0.000318730520000000000
1486	Magness Town	Independence County	Arkansas	0.000014179443000000000
1354	Magnolia City	Columbia County	Arkansas	0.005824169272000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1476	Malvern City	Hot Spring County	Arkansas	0.0038356959610000000000
1436	Mammoth Spring City	Fulton County	Arkansas	0.0000724083140000000000
1607	Manila City	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.0004091246470000000000
1728	Mansfield City	Scott County, Sebastian County	Arkansas	0.0000079976690000000000
1552	Marianna City	Lee County	Arkansas	0.0008466482460000000000
1621	Marie Town	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.0000098493390000000000
1392	Marion City	Crittenden County	Arkansas	0.0011269158290000000000
1592	Marion County	Marion County	Arkansas	0.0039523368670000000000
1670	Marked Tree City	Poinsett County	Arkansas	0.0009920215200000000000
1460	Marmaduke City	Greene County	Arkansas	0.0003917613040000000000
1724	Marshall City	Searcy County	Arkansas	0.0003917970790000000000
1662	Marvell City	Phillips County	Arkansas	0.0006103757150000000000
1701	Maumelle City	Pulaski County	Arkansas	0.0025720126880000000000
1420	Mayflower City	Faulkner County	Arkansas	0.0006086943290000000000
1705	Maynard Town	Randolph County	Arkansas	0.0000131405610000000000
1469	Mccaskill Town	Hempstead County	Arkansas	0.0000128944350000000000
1804	Mccrory City	Woodruff County	Arkansas	0.0001474839490000000000
1342	Mcdougal Town	Clay County	Arkansas	0.0000011891330000000000
1409	Mcgehee City	Desha County	Arkansas	0.0004299938560000000000
1472	Mcnab Town	Hempstead County	Arkansas	0.0000091510280000000000
1351	Mcneil City	Columbia County	Arkansas	0.0000063906940000000000
1795	Mcrae City	White County	Arkansas	0.0003078437300000000000
1501	Melbourne City	Izard County	Arkansas	0.0006872958650000000000
1678	Mena City	Polk County	Arkansas	0.0077168665920000000000
1363	Menifee Town	Conway County	Arkansas	0.0001061447700000000000
1736	Midland Town	Sebastian County	Arkansas	0.0000027016640000000000
1597	Miller County	Miller County	Arkansas	0.0037690945070000000000
1481	Mineral Springs City	Howard County	Arkansas	0.0001335692350000000000
1540	Minturn Town	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.0000023238890000000000
1601	Mississippi County	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.0045615034150000000000
1411	Mitchellville City	Desha County	Arkansas	0.0000001903180000000000
1376	Monette City	Craighead County	Arkansas	0.0002309879740000000000
1619	Monroe County	Monroe County	Arkansas	0.0007512672030000000000
1623	Montgomery County	Montgomery County	Arkansas	0.0022640916850000000000
1415	Monticello City	Drew County	Arkansas	0.0005267471960000000000
1256	Montrose City	Ashley County	Arkansas	0.0000598830680000000000
1495	Moorefield Town	Independence County	Arkansas	0.0000096160920000000000
1550	Moro Town	Lee County	Arkansas	0.0000198589470000000000
1357	Morrilton City	Conway County	Arkansas	0.0025971504690000000000
1574	Morrison Bluff Town	Logan County	Arkansas	0.0000017329000000000000
1626	Mount Ida City	Montgomery County	Arkansas	0.0001335506320000000000
1506	Mount Pleasant Town	Izard County	Arkansas	0.0001222946530000000000
1427	Mount Vernon Town	Faulkner County	Arkansas	0.0000716756590000000000
1263	Mountain Home City	Baxter County	Arkansas	0.0084471000250000000000
1451	Mountain Pine City	Garland County	Arkansas	0.0001113119890000000000
1757	Mountain View City	Stone County	Arkansas	0.0014442626050000000000
1374	Mountainburg City	Crawford County	Arkansas	0.0005058779860000000000
1378	Mulberry City	Crawford County	Arkansas	0.0008394962780000000000
1664	Murfreesboro City	Pike County	Arkansas	0.0004018782350000000000
1483	Nashville City	Howard County	Arkansas	0.0011740046300000000000
1631	Nevada County	Nevada County	Arkansas	0.0010429724980000000000
1491	Newark City	Independence County	Arkansas	0.0007439592590000000000
1510	Newport City	Jackson County	Arkansas	0.0029570241250000000000
1640	Newton County	Newton County	Arkansas	0.0025389559410000000000
1335	Nimmons Town	Clay County	Arkansas	0.0000014052090000000000
1264	Norfork City	Baxter County	Arkansas	0.0000717357600000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1627	Norman Town	Montgomery County	Arkansas	0.000054255078000000000
1764	Norphlet City	Union County	Arkansas	0.000199309247000000000
1699	North Little Rock City	Pulaski County	Arkansas	0.016145764729000000000
1457	Oak Grove Heights Town	Greene County	Arkansas	0.000108133813000000000
1314	Oak Grove Town	Carroll County	Arkansas	0.000031881924000000000
1467	Oakhaven Town	Hempstead County	Arkansas	0.000008458440000000000
1630	Oden Town	Montgomery County	Arkansas	0.000002086349000000000
1565	Ogden City	Little River County	Arkansas	0.000000829960000000000
1492	Oil Trough Town	Independence County	Arkansas	0.000018456600000000000
1710	O'Kean Town	Randolph County	Arkansas	0.000001714297000000000
1322	Okolona Town	Clark County	Arkansas	0.000019046158000000000
1813	Ola City	Yell County	Arkansas	0.000262882776000000000
1293	Omaha Town	Boone County	Arkansas	0.000030405167000000000
1356	Oppelo City	Conway County	Arkansas	0.000090335457000000000
1608	Osceola City	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.002492878833000000000
1642	Ouachita County	Ouachita County	Arkansas	0.002913601460000000000
1502	Oxford City	Izard County	Arkansas	0.000264156336000000000
1466	Ozan Town	Hempstead County	Arkansas	0.000011369025000000000
1438	Ozark City	Franklin County	Arkansas	0.001131238778000000000
1753	Palestine City	St Francis County	Arkansas	0.000190803585000000000
1796	Pangburn City	White County	Arkansas	0.000157168727000000000
1459	Paragould City	Greene County	Arkansas	0.010056390223000000000
1572	Paris City	Logan County	Arkansas	0.001616167421000000000
1255	Parkdale City	Ashley County	Arkansas	0.000007150537000000000
1399	Parkin City	Cross County	Arkansas	0.000145157198000000000
1471	Patmos Town	Hempstead County	Arkansas	0.000012638292000000000
1807	Patterson City	Woodruff County	Arkansas	0.000019780244000000000
1276	Pea Ridge City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.001099874863000000000
1337	Peach Orchard City	Clay County	Arkansas	0.000002743162000000000
1478	Perla Town	Hot Spring County	Arkansas	0.000015089537000000000
1648	Perry County	Perry County	Arkansas	0.002411764522000000000
1653	Perry Town	Perry County	Arkansas	0.000054813155000000000
1474	Perrytown Town	Hempstead County	Arkansas	0.000014218079000000000
1656	Perryville City	Perry County	Arkansas	0.000413931262000000000
1655	Phillips County	Phillips County	Arkansas	0.003245121955000000000
1336	Piggott City	Clay County	Arkansas	0.003895380125000000000
1661	Pike County	Pike County	Arkansas	0.003481536152000000000
1727	Pindall Town	Searcy County	Arkansas	0.000030350790000000000
1518	Pine Bluff City	Jefferson County	Arkansas	0.009840818047000000000
1508	Pineville Town	Izard County	Arkansas	0.000022012551000000000
1817	Plainview City	Yell County	Arkansas	0.000125505741000000000
1497	Pleasant Plains Town	Independence County	Arkansas	0.000024498139000000000
1355	Plumerville City	Conway County	Arkansas	0.000292462276000000000
1707	Pocahontas City	Randolph County	Arkansas	0.004671181241000000000
1666	Poinsett County	Poinsett County	Arkansas	0.004009911787000000000
1674	Polk County	Polk County	Arkansas	0.000691418478000000000
1334	Pollard City	Clay County	Arkansas	0.000004521853000000000
1681	Pope County	Pope County	Arkansas	0.008568421621000000000
1542	Portia Town	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.000009455824000000000
1250	Portland City	Ashley County	Arkansas	0.000081334680000000000
1686	Pottsville City	Pope County	Arkansas	0.000356473681000000000
1549	Powhatan Town	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.000001556891000000000
1452	Poyen Town	Grant County	Arkansas	0.000022812461000000000
1691	Prairie County	Prairie County	Arkansas	0.000903177130000000000
1780	Prairie Grove City	Washington County	Arkansas	0.000877670159000000000
1454	Prattsville Town	Grant County	Arkansas	0.000011406231000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1632	Prescott City	Nevada County	Arkansas	0.0009255645940000000000
1698	Pulaski County	Pulaski County	Arkansas	0.0235361091910000000000
1588	Pyatt Town	Marion County	Arkansas	0.0000614356660000000000
1346	Quitman City	Cleburne County, Faulkner County	Arkansas	0.0007208763450000000000
1709	Randolph County	Randolph County	Arkansas	0.0005330491700000000000
1570	Ratcliff City	Logan County	Arkansas	0.0000381924840000000000
1708	Ravenden Springs Town	Randolph County	Arkansas	0.0000011433420000000000
1541	Ravenden Town	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.0000212469840000000000
1341	Rector City	Clay County	Arkansas	0.0000565016950000000000
1526	Redfield City	Jefferson County	Arkansas	0.0001998887880000000000
1412	Reed Town	Desha County	Arkansas	0.0000092941250000000000
1711	Reyno City	Randolph County	Arkansas	0.0000017142970000000000
1350	Rison City	Cleveland County	Arkansas	0.0002661582580000000000
1479	Rockport City	Hot Spring County	Arkansas	0.0004662588290000000000
1625	Roe Town	Monroe County	Arkansas	0.0000062976820000000000
1277	Rogers City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.0174098086060000000000
1553	Rondo Town	Lee County	Arkansas	0.0000012821460000000000
1801	Rose Bud Town	White County	Arkansas	0.0002013326330000000000
1634	Rosston Town	Nevada County	Arkansas	0.0000127198570000000000
1383	Rudy Town	Crawford County	Arkansas	0.0000436101220000000000
1797	Russell Town	White County	Arkansas	0.0000727388670000000000
1689	Russellville City	Pope County	Arkansas	0.0091716010070000000000
1435	Salem City	Fulton County	Arkansas	0.0000449623850000000000
1268	Salesville City	Baxter County	Arkansas	0.0000644049210000000000
1717	Saline County	Saline County	Arkansas	0.0108002006620000000000
1718	Scott County	Scott County	Arkansas	0.0033804054870000000000
1571	Scranton City	Logan County	Arkansas	0.0000727531770000000000
1798	Searcy City	White County	Arkansas	0.0098808736490000000000
1722	Searcy County	Searcy County	Arkansas	0.0021162528570000000000
1726	Sebastian County	Sebastian County	Arkansas	0.0219348338340000000000
1543	Sedgwick Town	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.0000053117460000000000
1738	Sevier County	Sevier County	Arkansas	0.0026130599490000000000
1714	Shannon Hills City	Saline County	Arkansas	0.0004981636480000000000
1743	Sharp County	Sharp County	Arkansas	0.0028118855300000000000
1453	Sheridan City	Grant County	Arkansas	0.0022766713080000000000
1517	Sherrill Town	Jefferson County	Arkansas	0.0000257616820000000000
1700	Sherwood City	Pulaski County	Arkansas	0.0042418298880000000000
1773	Shirley Town	Van Buren County	Arkansas	0.0000091453040000000000
1748	Sidney Town	Sharp County	Arkansas	0.0000357741520000000000
1274	Siloam Springs City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.0074777836440000000000
1766	Smackover City	Union County	Arkansas	0.0000080076860000000000
1548	Smithville Town	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.0000016241460000000000
1295	South Lead Hill Town	Boone County	Arkansas	0.0000183135040000000000
1404	Sparkman City	Dallas County	Arkansas	0.0000350658240000000000
1285	Springdale City	Benton County, Washington County	Arkansas	0.0201367863330000000000
1280	Springtown Town	Benton County	Arkansas	0.0000029248950000000000
1749	St Francis County	St Francis County	Arkansas	0.0020442151630000000000
1245	St. Charles Town	Arkansas County	Arkansas	0.0000246641310000000000
1332	St. Francis City	Clay County	Arkansas	0.0000071376590000000000
1723	St. Joe Town	Searcy County	Arkansas	0.0000767570200000000000
1595	St. Paul Town	Madison County	Arkansas	0.0000416196480000000000
1537	Stamps City	Lafayette County	Arkansas	0.0001802072810000000000
1558	Star City	Lincoln County	Arkansas	0.0005648652700000000000
1645	Stephens City	Ouachita County	Arkansas	0.0001165865290000000000
1760	Stone County	Stone County	Arkansas	0.0028377688440000000000
1546	Strawberry Town	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.0000069287380000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1769	Strong City	Union County	Arkansas	0.000029361993000000000
1248	Stuttgart City	Arkansas County	Arkansas	0.002203815101000000000
1573	Subiaco Town	Logan County	Arkansas	0.000109146937000000000
1338	Success Town	Clay County	Arkansas	0.000001784415000000000
1493	Sulphur Rock Town	Independence County	Arkansas	0.000032654646000000000
1279	Sulphur Springs City	Benton County	Arkansas	0.000120908047000000000
1593	Summit City	Marion County	Arkansas	0.000074467474000000000
1394	Sunset Town	Crittenden County	Arkansas	0.000016041130000000000
1507	Swifton City	Jackson County	Arkansas	0.000178930859000000000
1358	Taylor City	Columbia County	Arkansas	0.000014103602000000000
1599	Texarkana City	Miller County	Arkansas	0.007683955804000000000
1304	Thornton City	Calhoun County	Arkansas	0.000022437548000000000
1413	Tillar City	Desha County, Drew County	Arkansas	0.000003265465000000000
1307	Tinsman Town	Calhoun County	Arkansas	0.000003150987000000000
1487	Tollette Town	Howard County	Arkansas	0.000032731918000000000
1781	Tontitown City	Washington County	Arkansas	0.000610355681000000000
1715	Traskwood City	Saline County	Arkansas	0.000177678764000000000
1672	Trumann City	Poinsett County	Arkansas	0.002319945153000000000
1509	Tuckerman City	Jackson County	Arkansas	0.000405439909000000000
1455	Tull Town	Grant County	Arkansas	0.000030797252000000000
1512	Tupelo Town	Jackson County	Arkansas	0.000005171511000000000
1389	Turrell City	Crittenden County	Arkansas	0.000090687475000000000
1426	Twin Groves Town	Faulkner County	Arkansas	0.000156102657000000000
1676	Tyronza City	Poinsett County	Arkansas	0.000382955139000000000
1696	Ulm Town	Prairie County	Arkansas	0.000000897216000000000
1763	Union County	Union County	Arkansas	0.004520045466000000000
1299	Valley Springs Town	Boone County	Arkansas	0.000032716177000000000
1377	Van Buren City	Crawford County	Arkansas	0.017649031789000000000
1772	Van Buren County	Van Buren County	Arkansas	0.003641848711000000000
1682	Vandervoort Town	Polk County	Arkansas	0.000000659675000000000
1615	Victoria Town	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.000005446257000000000
1424	Vilonia City	Faulkner County	Arkansas	0.001035441323000000000
1439	Viola Town	Fulton County	Arkansas	0.000032700437000000000
1525	Wabbaseka Town	Jefferson County	Arkansas	0.000082530968000000000
1673	Waldenburg Town	Poinsett County	Arkansas	0.000023526513000000000
1359	Waldo City	Columbia County	Arkansas	0.000028426141000000000
1719	Waldron City	Scott County	Arkansas	0.001501465474000000000
1544	Walnut Ridge City	Lawrence County	Arkansas	0.000315460763000000000
1584	Ward City	Lonoke County	Arkansas	0.001482609634000000000
1308	Warren City	Bradley County	Arkansas	0.001331456688000000000
1468	Washington City	Hempstead County	Arkansas	0.000014218079000000000
1775	Washington County	Washington County	Arkansas	0.020141562897000000000
1408	Watson City	Desha County	Arkansas	0.000005695240000000000
1675	Weiner City	Poinsett County	Arkansas	0.000115016760000000000
1516	Weldon Town	Jackson County	Arkansas	0.000015514534000000000
1784	West Fork City	Washington County	Arkansas	0.000278779379000000000
1388	West Memphis City	Crittenden County	Arkansas	0.006402086383000000000
1800	West Point Town	White County	Arkansas	0.000031173596000000000
1638	Western Grove Town	Newton County	Arkansas	0.000057204300000000000
1752	Wheatley City	St Francis County	Arkansas	0.000050976735000000000
1329	Whelen Springs Town	Clark County	Arkansas	0.000002381128000000000
1785	White County	White County	Arkansas	0.009979591705000000000
1522	White Hall City	Jefferson County	Arkansas	0.000551959387000000000
1680	Wickes Town	Polk County	Arkansas	0.000001422380000000000
1754	Widener Town	St Francis County	Arkansas	0.000014565804000000000
1437	Wiederkehr Village City	Franklin County	Arkansas	0.000015955272000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1755	Williford Town	Sharp County	Arkansas	0.000025041906000000000
1637	Willisville Town	Nevada County	Arkansas	0.000003913692000000000
1416	Wilmar City	Drew County	Arkansas	0.000018078825000000000
1259	Wilmot City	Ashley County	Arkansas	0.000171605744000000000
1616	Wilson City	Mississippi County	Arkansas	0.000038733390000000000
1562	Wilton City	Little River County	Arkansas	0.000002323889000000000
1418	Winchester Town	Drew County	Arkansas	0.000011094280000000000
1778	Winslow City	Washington County	Arkansas	0.000059329284000000000
1569	Winthrop City	Little River County	Arkansas	0.000004315794000000000
1805	Woodruff County	Woodruff County	Arkansas	0.002136270641000000000
1425	Wooster Town	Faulkner County	Arkansas	0.000052930004000000000
1706	Wrightsville City	Pulaski County	Arkansas	0.000089014675000000000
1400	Wynne City	Cross County	Arkansas	0.001541190523000000000
1809	Yell County	Yell County	Arkansas	0.005032932325000000000
1596	Yellville City	Marion County	Arkansas	0.000268081476000000000
1292	Zinc Town	Boone County	Arkansas	0.000018492375000000000
2222	Adelanto City	San Bernardino County	California	
2079	Agoura Hills City	Los Angeles County	California	
1924	Alameda City	Alameda County	California	
1919	Alameda County	Alameda County	California	
1928	Albany City	Alameda County	California	
2012	Alhambra City	Los Angeles County	California	
2147	Aliso Viejo City	Orange County	California	
1935	Amador County	Amador County	California	
2118	American Canyon City	Napa County	California	
2125	Anaheim City	Orange County	California	
2299	Anderson City	Shasta County	California	
2213	Apple Valley Town	San Bernardino County	California	
2011	Arcadia City	Los Angeles County	California	
1981	Arcata City	Humboldt County	California	
2250	Arroyo Grande City	San Luis Obispo County	California	
2074	Artesia City	Los Angeles County	California	
1999	Arvin City	Kern County	California	
2254	Atascadero City	San Luis Obispo County	California	
2105	Atwater City	Merced County	California	
2160	Auburn City	Placer County	California	
2006	Avenal City	Kings County	California	
2014	Azusa City	Los Angeles County	California	
1993	Bakersfield City	Kern County	California	
2018	Baldwin Park City	Los Angeles County	California	
2167	Banning City	Riverside County	California	
2203	Barstow City	San Bernardino County	California	
2165	Beaumont City	Riverside County	California	
2016	Bell City	Los Angeles County	California	
2077	Bell Gardens City	Los Angeles County	California	
2061	Bellflower City	Los Angeles County	California	
2256	Belmont City	San Mateo County	California	
2305	Benicia City	Solano County	California	
1923	Berkeley City	Alameda County	California	
2017	Beverly Hills City	Los Angeles County	California	
2166	Blythe City	Riverside County	California	
1984	Brawley City	Imperial County	California	
2126	Brea City	Orange County	California	
1942	Brentwood City	Contra Costa County	California	
2127	Buena Park City	Orange County	California	
2015	Burbank City	Los Angeles County	California	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2257	Burlingame City	San Mateo County	California	
1944	Butte County	Butte County	California	
2060	Calabasas City	Los Angeles County	California	
1941	Calaveras County	Calaveras County	California	
1991	Calexico City	Imperial County	California	
2002	California City	Kern County	California	
2345	Camarillo City	Ventura County	California	
2281	Campbell City	Santa Clara County	California	
2183	Canyon Lake City	Riverside County	California	
2295	Capitola City	Santa Cruz County	California	
2219	Carlsbad City	San Diego County	California	
2277	Carpinteria City	Santa Barbara County	California	
2088	Carson City	Los Angeles County	California	
2185	Cathedral City	Riverside County	California	
2320	Ceres City	Stanislaus County	California	
2020	Cerritos City	Los Angeles County	California	
1939	Chico City	Butte County	California	
2204	Chino City	San Bernardino County	California	
2214	Chino Hills City	San Bernardino County	California	
2090	Chowchilla City	Madera County	California	
2225	Chula Vista City	San Diego County	California	
2197	Citrus Heights City	Sacramento County	California	
1945	City Of Antioch	Contra Costa County	California	
2252	City Of Lathrop	San Joaquin County	California	
2293	City Of Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz County	California	
2019	Claremont City	Los Angeles County	California	
1961	Clayton City	Contra Costa County	California	
2010	Clearlake City	Lake County	California	
1969	Clovis City	Fresno County	California	
2170	Coachella City	Riverside County	California	
1970	Coalinga City	Fresno County	California	
2201	Colton City	San Bernardino County	California	
1946	Colusa County	Colusa County	California	
2071	Commerce City	Los Angeles County	California	
2022	Compton City	Los Angeles County	California	
1948	Concord City	Contra Costa County	California	
1943	Contra Costa County	Contra Costa County	California	
2003	Corcoran City	Kings County	California	
2168	Corona City	Riverside County	California	
2226	Coronado City	San Diego County	California	
2130	Costa Mesa City	Orange County	California	
2021	Covina City	Los Angeles County	California	
2075	Cudahy City	Los Angeles County	California	
2024	Culver City	Los Angeles County	California	
2280	Cupertino City	Santa Clara County	California	
2148	Cypress City	Orange County	California	
2262	Daly City	San Mateo County	California	
2151	Dana Point City	Orange County	California	
1956	Danville Town	Contra Costa County	California	
2346	Davis City	Yolo County	California	
1960	Del Norte County	Del Norte County	California	
1988	Delano City	Kern County	California	
2184	Desert Hot Springs City	Riverside County	California	
2063	Diamond Bar City	Los Angeles County	California	
2326	Dinuba City	Tulare County	California	
2307	Dixon City	Solano County	California	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2059	Downey City	Los Angeles County	California	
2068	Duarte City	Los Angeles County	California	
1938	Dublin City	Alameda County	California	
2270	East Palo Alto City	San Mateo County	California	
2182	Eastvale City	Riverside County	California	
2229	El Cajon City	San Diego County	California	
1992	El Centro City	Imperial County	California	
1947	El Cerrito City	Contra Costa County	California	
1965	El Dorado County	El Dorado County	California	
2026	El Monte City	Los Angeles County	California	
2249	El Paso De Robles (Paso Robles) City	San Luis Obispo County	California	
2023	El Segundo City	Los Angeles County	California	
2198	Elk Grove City	Sacramento County	California	
1925	Emeryville City	Alameda County	California	
2233	Encinitas City	San Diego County	California	
2227	Escondido City	San Diego County	California	
1983	Eureka City	Humboldt County	California	
2324	Exeter City	Tulare County	California	
2308	Fairfield City	Solano County	California	
2337	Farmersville City	Tulare County	California	
2343	Fillmore City	Ventura County	California	
2190	Folsom City	Sacramento County	California	
2202	Fontana City	San Bernardino County	California	
1985	Fortuna City	Humboldt County	California	
2271	Foster City	San Mateo County	California	
2153	Fountain Valley City	Orange County	California	
1927	Fremont City	Alameda County	California	
1971	Fresno City	Fresno County	California	
1968	Fresno County	Fresno County	California	
2131	Fullerton City	Orange County	California	
2195	Galt City	Sacramento County	California	
2132	Garden Grove City	Orange County	California	
2027	Gardena City	Los Angeles County	California	
2279	Gilroy City	Santa Clara County	California	
2029	Glendale City	Los Angeles County	California	
2030	Glendora City	Los Angeles County	California	
1982	Glenn County	Glenn County	California	
2276	Goleta City	Santa Barbara County	California	
2224	Grand Terrace City	San Bernardino County	California	
2120	Grass Valley City	Nevada County	California	
2113	Greenfield City	Monterey County	California	
2251	Grover Beach City	San Luis Obispo County	California	
2269	Half Moon Bay City	San Mateo County	California	
2001	Hanford City	Kings County	California	
2083	Hawaiian Gardens City	Los Angeles County	California	
2028	Hawthorne City	Los Angeles County	California	
1926	Hayward City	Alameda County	California	
2309	Healdsburg City	Sonoma County	California	
2173	Hemet City	Riverside County	California	
1949	Hercules City	Contra Costa County	California	
2025	Hermosa Beach City	Los Angeles County	California	
2211	Hesperia City	San Bernardino County	California	
2212	Highland City	San Bernardino County	California	
7017	Hillsborough Town	San Mateo County	California	
2199	Hollister City	San Benito County	California	
1980	Humboldt County	Humboldt County	California	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2129	Huntington Beach City	Orange County	California	
2033	Huntington Park City	Los Angeles County	California	
2231	Imperial Beach City	San Diego County	California	
1987	Imperial City	Imperial County	California	
1986	Imperial County	Imperial County	California	
2172	Indio City	Riverside County	California	
2032	Inglewood City	Los Angeles County	California	
1990	Inyo County	Inyo County	California	
2152	Irvine City	Orange County	California	
2179	Jurupa Valley City	Riverside County	California	
1976	Kerman City	Fresno County	California	
1989	Kern County	Kern County	California	
2110	King City	Monterey County	California	
1998	Kings County	Kings County	California	
1972	Kingsburg City	Fresno County	California	
2089	La Cañada Flintridge City	Los Angeles County	California	
2136	La Habra City	Orange County	California	
2230	La Mesa City	San Diego County	California	
2078	La Mirada City	Los Angeles County	California	
2128	La Palma City	Orange County	California	
2031	La Puente City	Los Angeles County	California	
2189	La Quinta City	Riverside County	California	
2034	La Verne City	Los Angeles County	California	
1962	Lafayette City	Contra Costa County	California	
2135	Laguna Beach City	Orange County	California	
2144	Laguna Hills City	Orange County	California	
2154	Laguna Niguel City	Orange County	California	
2145	Laguna Woods City	Orange County	California	
2007	Lake County	Lake County	California	
2169	Lake Elsinore City	Riverside County	California	
2141	Lake Forest City	Orange County	California	
2005	Lakeport City	Lake County	California	
2036	Lakewood City	Los Angeles County	California	
2085	Lancaster City	Los Angeles County	California	
2094	Larkspur City	Marin County	California	
2009	Lassen County	Lassen County	California	
2076	Lawndale City	Los Angeles County	California	
2238	Lemon Grove City	San Diego County	California	
2004	Lemoore City	Kings County	California	
2159	Lincoln City	Placer County	California	
2330	Lindsay City	Tulare County	California	
1930	Livermore City	Alameda County	California	
2103	Livingston City	Merced County	California	
2243	Lodi City	San Joaquin County	California	
2218	Loma Linda City	San Bernardino County	California	
2084	Lomita City	Los Angeles County	California	
2274	Lompoc City	Santa Barbara County	California	
2037	Long Beach City	Los Angeles County	California	
2150	Los Alamitos City	Orange County	California	
2282	Los Altos City	Santa Clara County	California	
2035	Los Angeles City	Los Angeles County	California	
2013	Los Angeles County	Los Angeles County	California	
2104	Los Banos City	Merced County	California	
2283	Los Gatos Town	Santa Clara County	California	
2040	Lynwood City	Los Angeles County	California	
2092	Madera City	Madera County	California	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2091	Madera County	Madera County	California	Allocations in California will be made in accordance with the California State-Subdivision Agreement.
2064	Malibu City	Los Angeles County	California	
2041	Manhattan Beach City	Los Angeles County	California	
2241	Manteca City	San Joaquin County	California	
2093	Marin County	Marin County	California	
2119	Marina City	Monterey County	California	
2097	Mariposa County	Mariposa County	California	
1950	Martinez City	Contra Costa County	California	
2354	Marysville City	Yuba County	California	
2038	Maywood City	Los Angeles County	California	
1994	Mcfarland City	Kern County	California	
2100	Mendocino County	Mendocino County	California	
1973	Mendota City	Fresno County	California	
2176	Menifee City	Riverside County	California	
2260	Menlo Park City	San Mateo County	California	
2106	Merced City	Merced County	California	
2101	Merced County	Merced County	California	
2095	Mill Valley City	Marin County	California	
2265	Millbrae City	San Mateo County	California	
2286	Milpitas City	Santa Clara County	California	
2149	Mission Viejo City	Orange County	California	
2318	Modesto City	Stanislaus County	California	
2108	Modoc County	Modoc County	California	
2107	Mono County	Mono County	California	
2042	Monrovia City	Los Angeles County	California	
2217	Montclair City	San Bernardino County	California	
2039	Montebello City	Los Angeles County	California	
2117	Monterey City	Monterey County	California	
2109	Monterey County	Monterey County	California	
2043	Monterey Park City	Los Angeles County	California	
2347	Moorpark City	Ventura County	California	
1966	Moraga Town	Contra Costa County	California	
2186	Moreno Valley City	Riverside County	California	
2287	Morgan Hill City	Santa Clara County	California	
2259	Morro Bay City	San Luis Obispo County	California	
2288	Mountain View City	Santa Clara County	California	
2181	Murrieta City	Riverside County	California	
2122	Napa City	Napa County	California	
2115	Napa County	Napa County	California	
2235	National City	San Diego County	California	
2121	Nevada County	Nevada County	California	
1929	Newark City	Alameda County	California	
2319	Newman City	Stanislaus County	California	
2133	Newport Beach City	Orange County	California	
2188	Norco City	Riverside County	California	
2066	Norwalk City	Los Angeles County	California	
2099	Novato City	Marin County	California	
2321	Oakdale City	Stanislaus County	California	
1932	Oakland City	Alameda County	California	
1952	Oakley City	Contra Costa County	California	
2228	Oceanside City	San Diego County	California	
2206	Ontario City	San Bernardino County	California	
2134	Orange City	Orange County	California	
2123	Orange County	Orange County	California	
1977	Orange Cove City	Fresno County	California	
1959	Orinda City	Contra Costa County	California	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
1940	Oroville City	Butte County	California	
2344	Oxnard City	Ventura County	California	
2111	Pacific Grove City	Monterey County	California	
2266	Pacifica City	San Mateo County	California	
2187	Palm Desert City	Riverside County	California	
2174	Palm Springs City	Riverside County	California	
2086	Palmdale City	Los Angeles County	California	
2284	Palo Alto City	Santa Clara County	California	
2045	Palos Verdes Estates City	Los Angeles County	California	
2070	Paramount City	Los Angeles County	California	
1974	Parlier City	Fresno County	California	
2044	Pasadena City	Los Angeles County	California	
2322	Patterson City	Stanislaus County	California	
2175	Perris City	Riverside County	California	
2313	Petaluma City	Sonoma County	California	
2067	Pico Rivera City	Los Angeles County	California	
1931	Piedmont City	Alameda County	California	
1954	Pinole City	Contra Costa County	California	
1951	Pittsburg City	Contra Costa County	California	
2137	Placentia City	Orange County	California	
2157	Placer County	Placer County	California	
1967	Placerville City	El Dorado County	California	
1963	Pleasant Hill City	Contra Costa County	California	
1934	Pleasanton City	Alameda County	California	
2162	Plumas County	Plumas County	California	
2047	Pomona City	Los Angeles County	California	
2340	Port Hueneme City	Ventura County	California	
2333	Porterville City	Tulare County	California	
2234	Poway City	San Diego County	California	
2196	Rancho Cordova City	Sacramento County	California	
2221	Rancho Cucamonga City	San Bernardino County	California	
2192	Rancho Mirage City	Riverside County	California	
2087	Rancho Palos Verdes City	Los Angeles County	California	
2146	Rancho Santa Margarita City	Orange County	California	
2336	Red Bluff City	Tehama County	California	
2298	Redding City	Shasta County	California	
2205	Redlands City	San Bernardino County	California	
2046	Redondo Beach City	Los Angeles County	California	
2264	Redwood City	San Mateo County	California	
1978	Reedley City	Fresno County	California	
2208	Rialto City	San Bernardino County	California	
1953	Richmond City	Contra Costa County	California	
2000	Ridgecrest City	Kern County	California	
2242	Ripon City	San Joaquin County	California	
2327	Riverbank City	Stanislaus County	California	
2171	Riverside City	Riverside County	California	
2163	Riverside County	Riverside County	California	
2161	Rocklin City	Placer County	California	
2317	Rohnert Park City	Sonoma County	California	
2082	Rosemead City	Los Angeles County	California	
2164	Roseville City	Placer County	California	
2194	Sacramento City	Sacramento County	California	
2191	Sacramento County	Sacramento County	California	
2112	Salinas City	Monterey County	California	
2098	San Anselmo Town	Marin County	California	
2193	San Benito County	San Benito County	California	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2207	San Bernardino City	San Bernardino County	California	
2200	San Bernardino County	San Bernardino County	California	
2261	San Bruno City	San Mateo County	California	
2341	San Buenaventura (Ventura) City	Ventura County	California	
2267	San Carlos City	San Mateo County	California	
2138	San Clemente City	Orange County	California	
2236	San Diego City	San Diego County	California	
2223	San Diego County	San Diego County	California	
2080	San Dimas City	Los Angeles County	California	
2048	San Fernando City	Los Angeles County	California	
2245	San Francisco City	San Francisco	California	
2051	San Gabriel City	Los Angeles County	California	
2178	San Jacinto City	Riverside County	California	
2240	San Joaquin County	San Joaquin County	California	
2285	San Jose City	Santa Clara County	California	
2158	San Juan Capistrano City	Orange County	California	
1933	San Leandro City	Alameda County	California	
2255	San Luis Obispo City	San Luis Obispo County	California	
2248	San Luis Obispo County	San Luis Obispo County	California	
2246	San Marcos City	San Diego County	California	
2049	San Marino City	Los Angeles County	California	
2263	San Mateo City	San Mateo County	California	
2253	San Mateo County	San Mateo County	California	
1957	San Pablo City	Contra Costa County	California	
2096	San Rafael City	Marin County	California	
1958	San Ramon City	Contra Costa County	California	
1979	Sanger City	Fresno County	California	
2139	Santa Ana City	Orange County	California	
2273	Santa Barbara City	Santa Barbara County	California	
2272	Santa Barbara County	Santa Barbara County	California	
2291	Santa Clara City	Santa Clara County	California	
2278	Santa Clara County	Santa Clara County	California	
2065	Santa Clarita City	Los Angeles County	California	
2292	Santa Cruz County	Santa Cruz County	California	
2069	Santa Fe Springs City	Los Angeles County	California	
2275	Santa Maria City	Santa Barbara County	California	
2050	Santa Monica City	Los Angeles County	California	
2342	Santa Paula City	Ventura County	California	
2311	Santa Rosa City	Sonoma County	California	
2239	Santee City	San Diego County	California	
2290	Saratoga City	Santa Clara County	California	
2297	Scotts Valley City	Santa Cruz County	California	
2140	Seal Beach City	Orange County	California	
2116	Seaside City	Monterey County	California	
1975	Selma City	Fresno County	California	
1995	Shafter City	Kern County	California	
2296	Shasta County	Shasta County	California	
2301	Shasta Lake City	Shasta County	California	
2052	Sierra Madre City	Los Angeles County	California	
2054	Signal Hill City	Los Angeles County	California	
2349	Simi Valley City	Ventura County	California	
2302	Siskiyou County	Siskiyou County	California	
2232	Solana Beach City	San Diego County	California	
2303	Solano County	Solano County	California	
2114	Soledad City	Monterey County	California	
2314	Sonoma City	Sonoma County	California	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2312	Sonoma County	Sonoma County	California	
2072	South El Monte City	Los Angeles County	California	
2053	South Gate City	Los Angeles County	California	
1964	South Lake Tahoe City	El Dorado County	California	
2056	South Pasadena City	Los Angeles County	California	
2268	South San Francisco City	San Mateo County	California	
2316	Stanislaus County	Stanislaus County	California	
2142	Stanton City	Orange County	California	
2247	Stockton City	San Joaquin County	California	
2310	Suisun City	Solano County	California	
2289	Sunnyvale City	Santa Clara County	California	
2008	Susanville City	Lassen County	California	
2328	Sutter County	Sutter County	California	
1996	Tehachapi City	Kern County	California	
2331	Tehama County	Tehama County	California	
2180	Temecula City	Riverside County	California	
2081	Temple City	Los Angeles County	California	
2348	Thousand Oaks City	Ventura County	California	
2055	Torrance City	Los Angeles County	California	
2244	Tracy City	San Joaquin County	California	
2329	Trinity County	Trinity County	California	
2124	Truckee Town	Nevada County	California	
2334	Tulare City	Tulare County	California	
2332	Tulare County	Tulare County	California	
2339	Tuolumne County	Tuolumne County	California	
2325	Turlock City	Stanislaus County	California	
2143	Tustin City	Orange County	California	
2215	Twentynine Palms City	San Bernardino County	California	
2102	Ukiah City	Mendocino County	California	
1936	Union City	Alameda County	California	
2210	Upland City	San Bernardino County	California	
2304	Vacaville City	Solano County	California	
2306	Vallejo City	Solano County	California	
2338	Ventura County	Ventura County	California	
2220	Victorville City	San Bernardino County	California	
2335	Visalia City	Tulare County	California	
2237	Vista City	San Diego County	California	
2073	Walnut City	Los Angeles County	California	
1955	Walnut Creek City	Contra Costa County	California	
1997	Wasco City	Kern County	California	
2294	Watsonville City	Santa Cruz County	California	
2057	West Covina City	Los Angeles County	California	
2062	West Hollywood City	Los Angeles County	California	
2350	West Sacramento City	Yolo County	California	
2155	Westminster City	Orange County	California	
2058	Whittier City	Los Angeles County	California	
2177	Wildomar City	Riverside County	California	
2315	Windsor Town	Sonoma County	California	
2351	Woodland City	Yolo County	California	
2352	Yolo County	Yolo County	California	
2156	Yorba Linda City	Orange County	California	
2323	Yuba City	Sutter County	California	
2353	Yuba County	Yuba County	California	
2216	Yucaipa City	San Bernardino County	California	
2209	Yucca Valley Town	San Bernardino County	California	
2357	Adams County	Adams County	Colorado	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
13013	Aguilar Town	Las Animas County	Colorado	
13097	Akron Town	Washington County	Colorado	
2364	Alamosa City	Alamosa County	Colorado	
2365	Alamosa County	Alamosa County	Colorado	
13058	Alma Town	Park County	Colorado	
12931	Antonito Town	Conejos County	Colorado	
2368	Arapahoe County	Arapahoe County	Colorado	
2369	Archuleta County	Archuleta County	Colorado	
13019	Arriba Town	Lincoln County	Colorado	
2358	Arvada City	Adams County, Jefferson County	Colorado	
13054	Aspen City	Pitkin County	Colorado	
13098	Ault Town	Weld County	Colorado	
2360	Aurora City	Adams County, Arapahoe County, Douglas County	Colorado	
12957	Avon Town	Eagle County	Colorado	
2377	Baca County	Baca County	Colorado	
12948	Basalt Town	Eagle County, Pitkin County	Colorado	
13009	Bayfield Town	La Plata County	Colorado	
12892	Bennett Town	Adams County, Arapahoe County	Colorado	
2374	Bent County	Bent County	Colorado	
13010	Berthoud Town	Larimer County, Weld County	Colorado	
13001	Bethune Town	Kit Carson County	Colorado	
2405	Black Hawk City	Gilpin County	Colorado	
12935	Blanca Town	Costilla County	Colorado	
13091	Blue River Town	Summit County	Colorado	
13064	Boone Town	Pueblo County	Colorado	
2378	Boulder City	Boulder County	Colorado	
2370	Boulder County	Boulder County	Colorado	
12895	Bow Mar Town	Arapahoe County	Colorado	
13014	Branson Town	Las Animas County	Colorado	
13087	Breckenridge Town	Summit County	Colorado	
2361	Brighton City	Adams County, Weld County	Colorado	
12969	Brookside Town	Fremont County	Colorado	
13040	Brush City	Morgan County	Colorado	
12921	Buena Vista Town	Chaffee County	Colorado	
13002	Burlington City	Kit Carson County	Colorado	
12962	Calhan Town	El Paso County	Colorado	
12897	Campo Town	Baca County	Colorado	
2398	Cañon City	Fremont County	Colorado	
12977	Carbondale Town	Garfield County	Colorado	
2395	Castle Pines City	Douglas County	Colorado	
2396	Castle Rock Town	Douglas County	Colorado	
12943	Cedaredge Town	Delta County	Colorado	
2373	Centennial City	Arapahoe County	Colorado	
13076	Center Town	Rio Grande County, Saguache County	Colorado	
12978	Central City	Clear Creek County, Gilpin County	Colorado	
2386	Chaffee County	Chaffee County	Colorado	
13044	Cheraw Town	Otero County	Colorado	
12901	Cherry Hills Village City	Arapahoe County	Colorado	
2382	Cheyenne County	Cheyenne County	Colorado	
12929	Cheyenne Wells Town	Cheyenne County	Colorado	
2384	City and County of Broomfield	Broomfield County	Colorado	
13030	City Of Creede Town	Mineral County	Colorado	
2385	Clear Creek County	Clear Creek County	Colorado	
12968	Coal Creek Town	Fremont County	Colorado	
13015	Cokedale Town	Las Animas County	Colorado	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
13031	Collbran Town	Mesa County	Colorado	
2399	Colorado Springs City	El Paso County	Colorado	
12902	Columbine Valley Town	Arapahoe County	Colorado	
2356	Commerce City	Adams County	Colorado	
2387	Conejos County	Conejos County	Colorado	
13033	Cortez City	Montezuma County	Colorado	
2388	Costilla County	Costilla County	Colorado	
13034	Craig City	Moffat County	Colorado	
12946	Crawford Town	Delta County	Colorado	
12987	Crested Butte Town	Gunnison County	Colorado	
13074	Crestone Town	Saguache County	Colorado	
13093	Cripple Creek City	Teller County	Colorado	
13027	Crook Town	Logan County	Colorado	
2383	Crowley County	Crowley County	Colorado	
12937	Crowley Town	Crowley County	Colorado	
2391	Custer County	Custer County	Colorado	
13101	Dacono City	Weld County	Colorado	
13024	De Beque Town	Mesa County	Colorado	
12903	Deer Trail Town	Arapahoe County	Colorado	
13068	Del Norte Town	Rio Grande County	Colorado	
12945	Delta City	Delta County	Colorado	
2390	Delta County	Delta County	Colorado	
2389	Denver City	Denver	Colorado	
13088	Dillon Town	Summit County	Colorado	
13032	Dinosaur Town	Moffat County	Colorado	
2393	Dolores County	Dolores County	Colorado	
13035	Dolores Town	Montezuma County	Colorado	
2392	Douglas County	Douglas County	Colorado	
12951	Dove Creek Town	Dolores County	Colorado	
2418	Durango City	La Plata County	Colorado	
13000	Eads Town	Kiowa County	Colorado	
2404	Eagle County	Eagle County	Colorado	
12953	Eagle Town	Eagle County	Colorado	
13099	Eaton Town	Weld County	Colorado	
13115	Eckley Town	Yuma County	Colorado	
12995	Edgewater City	Jefferson County	Colorado	
2401	El Paso County	El Paso County	Colorado	
2402	Elbert County	Elbert County	Colorado	
12959	Elizabeth Town	Elbert County	Colorado	
12925	Empire Town	Clear Creek County	Colorado	
2366	Englewood City	Arapahoe County	Colorado	
2381	Erie Town	Boulder County, Weld County	Colorado	
13011	Estes Park Town	Larimer County	Colorado	
2463	Evans City	Weld County	Colorado	
13057	Fairplay Town	Park County	Colorado	
2359	Federal Heights City	Adams County	Colorado	
2462	Firestone Town	Weld County	Colorado	
13005	Flagler Town	Kit Carson County	Colorado	
13022	Fleming Town	Logan County	Colorado	
12971	Florence City	Fremont County	Colorado	
2421	Fort Collins City	Larimer County	Colorado	
13102	Fort Lupton City	Weld County	Colorado	
2438	Fort Morgan City	Morgan County	Colorado	
2400	Fountain City	El Paso County	Colorado	
13046	Fowler Town	Otero County	Colorado	
12898	Foxfield Town	Arapahoe County	Colorado	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
12980	Fraser Town	Grand County	Colorado	
2465	Frederick Town	Weld County	Colorado	
2406	Fremont County	Fremont County	Colorado	
13089	Frisco Town	Summit County	Colorado	
2439	Fruita City	Mesa County	Colorado	
13104	Garden City Town	Weld County	Colorado	
2403	Garfield County	Garfield County	Colorado	
13020	Genoa Town	Lincoln County	Colorado	
12924	Georgetown Town	Clear Creek County	Colorado	
13100	Gilcrest Town	Weld County	Colorado	
2408	Gilpin County	Gilpin County	Colorado	
12900	Glendale City	Arapahoe County	Colorado	
12979	Glenwood Springs City	Garfield County	Colorado	
2415	Golden City	Jefferson County	Colorado	
13059	Granada Town	Prowers County	Colorado	
12983	Granby Town	Grand County	Colorado	
2410	Grand County	Grand County	Colorado	
2431	Grand Junction City	Mesa County	Colorado	
12974	Grand Lake Town	Grand County	Colorado	
2464	Greeley City	Weld County	Colorado	
12964	Green Mountain Falls Town	El Paso County, Teller County	Colorado	
2367	Greenwood Village City	Arapahoe County	Colorado	
13103	Grover Town	Weld County	Colorado	
12986	Gunnison City	Gunnison County	Colorado	
2411	Gunnison County	Gunnison County	Colorado	
12954	Gypsum Town	Eagle County	Colorado	
13060	Hartman Town	Prowers County	Colorado	
12999	Haswell Town	Kiowa County	Colorado	
13052	Haxtun Town	Phillips County	Colorado	
13071	Hayden Town	Routt County	Colorado	
13042	Hillrose Town	Morgan County	Colorado	
2407	Hinsdale County	Hinsdale County	Colorado	
13061	Holly Town	Prowers County	Colorado	
13053	Holyoke City	Phillips County	Colorado	
12904	Hooper Town	Alamosa County	Colorado	
12973	Hot Sulphur Springs Town	Grand County	Colorado	
12944	Hotchkiss Town	Delta County	Colorado	
2467	Hudson Town	Weld County	Colorado	
2409	Huerfano County	Huerfano County	Colorado	
13021	Hugo Town	Lincoln County	Colorado	
12927	Idaho Springs City	Clear Creek County	Colorado	
13008	Ignacio Town	La Plata County	Colorado	
13029	Iliff Town	Logan County	Colorado	
2413	Jackson County	Jackson County	Colorado	
12916	Jamestown Town	Boulder County	Colorado	
2412	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Colorado	
2420	Johnstown Town	Larimer County	Colorado	
13085	Julesburg Town	Sedgwick County	Colorado	
13106	Keenesburg Town	Weld County	Colorado	
13105	Kersey Town	Weld County	Colorado	
13018	Kim Town	Las Animas County	Colorado	
2414	Kiowa County	Kiowa County	Colorado	
12961	Kiowa Town	Elbert County	Colorado	
2424	Kit Carson County	Kit Carson County	Colorado	
12926	Kit Carson Town	Cheyenne County	Colorado	
12982	Kremmling Town	Grand County	Colorado	

Allocations in Colorado will be made in accordance with the Colorado Opioids Settlement Memorandum of Understanding

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
12930	La Jara Town	Conejos County	Colorado	Understanding.
13045	La Junta City	Otero County	Colorado	
2417	La Plata County	La Plata County	Colorado	
13108	La Salle Town	Weld County	Colorado	
12992	La Veta Town	Huerfano County	Colorado	
2376	Lafayette City	Boulder County	Colorado	
12991	Lake City Town	Hinsdale County	Colorado	
2422	Lake County	Lake County	Colorado	
12998	Lakeside Town	Jefferson County	Colorado	
2419	Lakewood City	Jefferson County	Colorado	
13062	Lamar City	Prowers County	Colorado	
2423	Larimer County	Larimer County	Colorado	
12950	Larkspur Town	Douglas County	Colorado	
12917	Las Animas City	Bent County	Colorado	
2428	Las Animas County	Las Animas County	Colorado	
13007	Leadville City	Lake County	Colorado	
13026	Limon Town	Lincoln County	Colorado	
2427	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	Colorado	
2372	Littleton City	Arapahoe County, Douglas County, Jefferson County	Colorado	
13117	Lochbuie Town	Adams County, Weld County	Colorado	
13041	Log Lane Village Town	Morgan County	Colorado	
2430	Logan County	Logan County	Colorado	
2394	Lone Tree City	Douglas County	Colorado	
2379	Longmont City	Boulder County, Weld County	Colorado	
2375	Louisville City	Boulder County	Colorado	
2425	Loveland City	Larimer County	Colorado	
12918	Lyons Town	Boulder County	Colorado	
12932	Manassa Town	Conejos County	Colorado	
13036	Mancos Town	Montezuma County	Colorado	
12963	Manitou Springs City	El Paso County	Colorado	
13047	Manzanola Town	Otero County	Colorado	
12988	Marble Town	Gunnison County	Colorado	
13107	Mead Town	Weld County	Colorado	
13066	Meeker Town	Rio Blanco County	Colorado	
13028	Merino Town	Logan County	Colorado	
2433	Mesa County	Mesa County	Colorado	
13109	Milliken Town	Weld County	Colorado	
2432	Mineral County	Mineral County	Colorado	
12956	Minturn Town	Eagle County	Colorado	
2436	Moffat County	Moffat County	Colorado	
13075	Moffat Town	Saguache County	Colorado	
13069	Monte Vista City	Rio Grande County	Colorado	
2434	Montezuma County	Montezuma County	Colorado	
13090	Montezuma Town	Summit County	Colorado	
2440	Montrose City	Montrose County	Colorado	
2437	Montrose County	Montrose County	Colorado	
12965	Monument Town	El Paso County	Colorado	
2444	Morgan County	Morgan County	Colorado	
12996	Morrison Town	Jefferson County	Colorado	
12990	Mount Crested Butte Town	Gunnison County	Colorado	
12997	Mountain View Town	Jefferson County	Colorado	
13084	Mountain Village Town	San Miguel County	Colorado	
13038	Naturita Town	Montrose County	Colorado	
12920	Nederland Town	Boulder County	Colorado	
12975	New Castle Town	Garfield County	Colorado	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2363	Northglenn City	Adams County, Weld County	Colorado	
13081	Norwood Town	San Miguel County	Colorado	
13037	Nucla Town	Montrose County	Colorado	
13110	Nunn Town	Weld County	Colorado	
13072	Oak Creek Town	Routt County	Colorado	
13039	Olathe Town	Montrose County	Colorado	
12938	Olney Springs Town	Crowley County	Colorado	
13082	Ophir Town	San Miguel County	Colorado	
12947	Orchard City Town	Delta County	Colorado	
12939	Ordway Town	Crowley County	Colorado	
2442	Otero County	Otero County	Colorado	
13096	Otis Town	Washington County	Colorado	
13050	Ouray City	Ouray County	Colorado	
2445	Ouray County	Ouray County	Colorado	
13083	Ovid Town	Sedgwick County	Colorado	
12899	Pagosa Springs Town	Archuleta County	Colorado	
13023	Palisade Town	Mesa County	Colorado	
12966	Palmer Lake Town	El Paso County	Colorado	
13055	Paoli Town	Phillips County	Colorado	
12949	Paonia Town	Delta County	Colorado	
12981	Parachute Town	Garfield County	Colorado	
2441	Park County	Park County	Colorado	
2397	Parker Town	Douglas County	Colorado	
13025	Peetz Town	Logan County	Colorado	
2443	Phillips County	Phillips County	Colorado	
13111	Pierce Town	Weld County	Colorado	
2446	Pitkin County	Pitkin County	Colorado	
12989	Pitkin Town	Gunnison County	Colorado	
13112	Platteville Town	Weld County	Colorado	
12928	Poncha Springs Town	Chaffee County	Colorado	
12896	Pritchett Town	Baca County	Colorado	
2447	Prowers County	Prowers County	Colorado	
2448	Pueblo City	Pueblo County	Colorado	
2449	Pueblo County	Pueblo County	Colorado	
12967	Ramah Town	El Paso County	Colorado	
13067	Rangely Town	Rio Blanco County	Colorado	
13113	Raymer (New Raymer) Town	Weld County	Colorado	
12955	Red Cliff Town	Eagle County	Colorado	
12952	Rico Town	Dolores County	Colorado	
13051	Ridgway Town	Ouray County	Colorado	
12976	Rifle City	Garfield County	Colorado	
2450	Rio Blanco County	Rio Blanco County	Colorado	
2451	Rio Grande County	Rio Grande County	Colorado	
12970	Rockvale Town	Fremont County	Colorado	
13049	Rocky Ford City	Otero County	Colorado	
12933	Romeo Town	Conejos County	Colorado	
2452	Routt County	Routt County	Colorado	
13063	Rye Town	Pueblo County	Colorado	
2455	Saguache County	Saguache County	Colorado	
13077	Saguache Town	Saguache County	Colorado	
12922	Salida City	Chaffee County	Colorado	
2453	San Juan County	San Juan County	Colorado	
12936	San Luis Town	Costilla County	Colorado	
2458	San Miguel County	San Miguel County	Colorado	
12934	Sanford Town	Conejos County	Colorado	
13079	Sawpit Town	San Miguel County	Colorado	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2457	Sedgwick County	Sedgwick County	Colorado	
13086	Sedgwick Town	Sedgwick County	Colorado	
13003	Seibert Town	Kit Carson County	Colorado	
13114	Severance Town	Weld County	Colorado	
2371	Sheridan City	Arapahoe County	Colorado	
13620	Sheridan Lake Town	Kiowa	Colorado	
12984	Silt Town	Garfield County	Colorado	
12941	Silver Cliff Town	Custer County	Colorado	
12923	Silver Plume Town	Clear Creek County	Colorado	
13092	Silverthorne Town	Summit County	Colorado	
13078	Silverton Town	San Juan County	Colorado	
12960	Simla Town	Elbert County	Colorado	
13056	Snowmass Village Town	Pitkin County	Colorado	
13070	South Fork Town	Rio Grande County	Colorado	
12907	Springfield Town	Baca County	Colorado	
13016	Starkville Town	Las Animas County	Colorado	
2456	Steamboat Springs City	Routt County	Colorado	
2435	Sterling City	Logan County	Colorado	
13004	Stratton Town	Kit Carson County	Colorado	
12942	Sugar City Town	Crowley County	Colorado	
2454	Summit County	Summit County	Colorado	
2380	Superior Town	Boulder County, Jefferson County	Colorado	
13048	Swink Town	Otero County	Colorado	
2461	Teller County	Teller County	Colorado	
13080	Telluride Town	San Miguel County	Colorado	
2362	Thornton City	Adams County, Weld County	Colorado	
13012	Timnath Town	Larimer County	Colorado	
13017	Trinidad City	Las Animas County	Colorado	
12913	Two Buttes Town	Baca County	Colorado	
12958	Vail Town	Eagle County	Colorado	
13094	Victor City	Teller County	Colorado	
12914	Vilas Town	Baca County	Colorado	
13006	Vona Town	Kit Carson County	Colorado	
12994	Walden Town	Jackson County	Colorado	
12993	Walsenburg City	Huerfano County	Colorado	
12915	Walsh Town	Baca County	Colorado	
12919	Ward Town	Boulder County	Colorado	
2460	Washington County	Washington County	Colorado	
2459	Weld County	Weld County	Colorado	
2429	Wellington Town	Larimer County	Colorado	
12940	Westcliffe Town	Custer County	Colorado	
2355	Westminster City	Adams County, Jefferson County	Colorado	
2416	Wheat Ridge City	Jefferson County	Colorado	
13043	Wiggins Town	Morgan County	Colorado	
13065	Wiley Town	Prowers County	Colorado	
12972	Williamsburg Town	Fremont County	Colorado	
2426	Windsor Town	Larimer County, Weld County	Colorado	
12985	Winter Park Town	Grand County	Colorado	
13095	Woodland Park City	Teller County	Colorado	
13116	Wray City	Yuma County	Colorado	
13073	Yampa Town	Routt County	Colorado	
13118	Yuma City	Yuma County	Colorado	
2466	Yuma County	Yuma County	Colorado	
2609	Andover Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.0005132146400000000000
2561	Ansonia City	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0053160524370000000000
2621	Ashford Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.0010969651300000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2494	Avon Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0054948865340000000000
2521	Barkhamsted Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0006206295200000000000
2566	Beacon Falls Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0019539473080000000000
2495	Berlin Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0052156293850000000000
2573	Bethany Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0010391560680000000000
2473	Bethel Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0035224221450000000000
2519	Bethlehem Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0000786472020000000000
2499	Bloomfield Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0048883681360000000000
2613	Bolton Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.0015208313950000000000
2593	Bozrah Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.0005820578670000000000
2570	Branford Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0089038169540000000000
2469	Bridgeport City	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0325807430950000000000
2524	Bridgewater Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0001181259350000000000
2490	Bristol City	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0133557689080000000000
2474	Brookfield Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0030879031240000000000
2623	Brooklyn Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.0018806615620000000000
2496	Burlington Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0023487613190000000000
2522	Canaan Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0003433436400000000000
2620	Canterbury Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.0013543100710000000000
2500	Canton Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0026690119660000000000
2629	Chaplin Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.0005239592900000000000
2577	Cheshire Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0097831134990000000000
2549	Chester Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.0007723875740000000000
2544	Clinton Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.0053487260930000000000
2591	Colchester Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.0061343957700000000000
2527	Colebrook Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0002968701140000000000
2612	Columbia Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.0010056662370000000000
2525	Cornwall Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0004860279280000000000
2616	Coventry Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.0034600114790000000000
2551	Cromwell Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.0047504514530000000000
2468	Danbury City	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0115564659070000000000
2475	Darien Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0064296493450000000000
2548	Deep River Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.0009245635950000000000
2559	Derby City	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0035031254490000000000
2552	Durham Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.0000793092320000000000
2497	East Granby Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0014559751700000000000
2550	East Haddam Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.0031456963770000000000
2553	East Hampton Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.0046375466630000000000
2501	East Hartford Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0126454540690000000000
2576	East Haven Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0085909237350000000000
2594	East Lyme Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.0063758262960000000000
2503	East Windsor Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0024197437930000000000
2625	Eastford Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.0004466519580000000000
2478	Easton Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0013549612310000000000
2611	Ellington Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.0040100478390000000000
2505	Enfield Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0091734311900000000000
2555	Essex Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.0014318703570000000000
2481	Fairfield Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0142123084150000000000
2504	Farmington Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0065720915470000000000
2590	Franklin Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.0004460324160000000000
2502	Glastonbury Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0104206445500000000000
2528	Goshen Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0000304642550000000000
2507	Granby Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0029284052470000000000
2479	Greenwich Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0156447024670000000000
2595	Griswold Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.0038655701410000000000
2596	Groton Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.0140338745000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2574	Guilford Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.008399392493000000000
2554	Haddam Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.000151716553000000000
2575	Hamden Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.017724359413000000000
2626	Hampton Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.000429454985000000000
2492	Hartford City	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.053268549899000000000
2498	Hartland Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.000359782738000000000
2526	Harwinton Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.000126363764000000000
2614	Hebron Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.001593443254000000000
2529	Kent Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.000835899976000000000
2631	Killingly Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.006116898413000000000
2557	Killingworth Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.002652963170000000000
2598	Lebanon Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.002746607824000000000
2599	Ledyard Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.005514380850000000000
2597	Lisbon Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.001156355161000000000
2530	Litchfield Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.003481982974000000000
2601	Lyme Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.000023141411000000000
2572	Madison Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.007594223560000000000
2511	Manchester Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.013929765818000000000
2617	Mansfield Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.003058754037000000000
7130	Marlborough Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.000766010346000000000
2560	Meriden City	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.018508778149000000000
2580	Middlebury Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.000276033951000000000
2558	Middlefield Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.000075627554000000000
2547	Middletown City	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.015343128975000000000
2569	Milford City	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.018215679630000000000
2482	Monroe Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.004307375445000000000
2600	Montville Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.005806185940000000000
2533	Morris Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.000099785725000000000
7181	Naugatuck Borough	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.010644527326000000000
2493	New Britain City	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.015740557511000000000
2480	New Canaan Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.006136187204000000000
2477	New Fairfield Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.002962030448000000000
2531	New Hartford Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.001323482193000000000
2565	New Haven City	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.058061427601000000000
2589	New London City	New London County	Connecticut	0.010536729060000000000
2535	New Milford Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.010565475001000000000
2509	Newington Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.007132456565000000000
2476	Newtown Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.005964476353000000000
2532	Norfolk Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.000442819100000000000
2578	North Branford Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.004795791623000000000
2534	North Canaan Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.000913148022000000000
2579	North Haven Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.007922543069000000000
2602	North Stonington Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.001803885830000000000
2470	Norwalk City	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.015918210823000000000
2588	Norwich City	New London County	Connecticut	0.011639182124000000000
2607	Old Lyme Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.000247921386000000000
2556	Old Saybrook Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.004181170767000000000
2581	Orange Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.003683598812000000000
2584	Oxford Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.003955127994000000000
2627	Plainfield Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.005352043161000000000
2508	Plainville Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.003937549612000000000
2537	Plymouth Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.004213382978000000000
2628	Pomfret Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.001174722050000000000
2563	Portland Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.003205523149000000000
2606	Preston Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.001319895374000000000
2583	Prospect Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.003056173107000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2632	Putnam Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.0029532541030000000000
2484	Redding Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0017264352230000000000
2483	Ridgefield Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0066451737440000000000
2510	Rocky Hill Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0039291754250000000000
2536	Roxbury Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0000379244450000000000
2603	Salem Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.0010752199110000000000
2541	Salisbury Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0010522573470000000000
2637	Scotland Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.0003952122180000000000
2582	Seymour Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0053011715810000000000
2542	Sharon Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0007612941230000000000
2471	Shelton City	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0056010998790000000000
2487	Sherman Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0004943823530000000000
2513	Simsbury Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0069884462410000000000
2622	Somers Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.0024917400630000000000
2517	South Windsor Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0073109359320000000000
2587	Southbury Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0005819659740000000000
2512	Southington Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0096830659270000000000
2610	Sprague Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.0008747097630000000000
2615	Stafford Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.0033882029490000000000
2472	Stamford City	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0290703955890000000000
2635	Sterling Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.0009498264670000000000
2605	Stonington Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.0055768913150000000000
2486	Stratford Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0091556957000000000000
2515	Suffield Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0034027923150000000000
2538	Thomaston Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0026640615620000000000
2633	Thompson Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.0026734791870000000000
2619	Tolland Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.0043420203710000000000
2523	Torrington City	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0136524601760000000000
2485	Trumbull Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0076177444030000000000
2618	Union Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.0001539417640000000000
2630	Vernon Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.0070271621570000000000
2608	Voluntown Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.0007331815570000000000
2585	Wallingford Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0153503539960000000000
2545	Warren Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0004897580240000000000
2539	Washington Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0015535178970000000000
2567	Waterbury City	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0461922196540000000000
2604	Waterford Town	New London County	Connecticut	0.0076088020560000000000
2540	Watertown Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0068681188080000000000
2514	West Hartford Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0162166974770000000000
2571	West Haven City	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0140269725890000000000
2564	Westbrook Town	Middlesex County	Connecticut	0.0025869992800000000000
2491	Weston Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0036642013290000000000
2488	Westport Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0089210840830000000000
2516	Wethersfield Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0062087071680000000000
2624	Willington Town	Tolland County	Connecticut	0.0009752681850000000000
2489	Wilton Town	Fairfield County	Connecticut	0.0063780999250000000000
2546	Winchester Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0037603053760000000000
2634	Windham Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.0101089499010000000000
2518	Windsor Locks Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0031741676240000000000
2520	Windsor Town	Hartford County	Connecticut	0.0075483241230000000000
2586	Wolcott Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0054436938200000000000
2592	Woodbridge Town	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0024353005130000000000
2543	Woodbury Town	Litchfield County	Connecticut	0.0034119886050000000000
10000	Woodmont Borough	New Haven County	Connecticut	0.0001731594120000000000
2636	Woodstock Town	Windham County	Connecticut	0.0018171106230000000000
2639	Dover City	Kent County	Delaware	0.2500000000000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2643	Kent County	Kent County	Delaware	0.2500000000000000000000
2641	Middletown Town	New Castle County	Delaware	0.0000000000000000000000
2648	Milford City	Sussex County, Kent County	Delaware	0.0000000000000000000000
2638	New Castle County	New Castle County	Delaware	0.0000000000000000000000
2642	Newark City	New Castle County	Delaware	0.0000000000000000000000
2646	Seaford City	Sussex County	Delaware	0.2500000000000000000000
2640	Smyrna Town	Kent County, New Castle County	Delaware	0.0000000000000000000000
2644	Sussex County	Sussex County	Delaware	0.2500000000000000000000
2645	Wilmington City	New Castle County	Delaware	0.0000000000000000000000
2651	Alachua County	Alachua County	Florida	0.0085946132340000000000
2866	Altamonte Springs City	Seminole County	Florida	0.0008130543020000000000
2799	Apopka City	Orange County	Florida	0.0009721547040000000000
2707	Atlantic Beach City	Duval County	Florida	0.0003889156640000000000
2852	Auburndale City	Polk County	Florida	0.0002863678310000000000
2783	Aventura City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0002462007560000000000
2727	Avon Park City	Highlands County	Florida	0.0002582887370000000000
2653	Baker County	Baker County	Florida	0.0019317366220000000000
2851	Bartow City	Polk County	Florida	0.0004397257480000000000
2652	Bay County	Bay County	Florida	0.0053944656930000000000
2810	Belle Glade City	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0002082781280000000000
2812	Boca Raton City	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0047206896320000000000
2750	Bonita Springs City	Lee County	Florida	0.0001737545800000000000
2819	Boynton Beach City	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0030649792460000000000
2764	Bradenton City	Manatee County	Florida	0.0037993032490000000000
2657	Bradford County	Bradford County	Florida	0.0018948348070000000000
2660	Brevard County	Brevard County	Florida	0.0238707635250000000000
2668	Broward County	Broward County	Florida	0.0406262277080000000000
2695	Calhoun County	Calhoun County	Florida	0.0004712821810000000000
2656	Callaway City	Bay County	Florida	0.0002495350150000000000
2666	Cape Canaveral City	Brevard County	Florida	0.0004556071900000000000
2755	Cape Coral City	Lee County	Florida	0.0071443001100000000000
2864	Casselberry City	Seminole County	Florida	0.0008003529620000000000
2697	Charlotte County	Charlotte County	Florida	0.0069022514260000000000
2702	Citrus County	Citrus County	Florida	0.0096964664730000000000
2699	Clay County	Clay County	Florida	0.0119343001210000000000
2831	Clearwater City	Pinellas County	Florida	0.0063386253720000000000
2738	Clermont City	Lake County	Florida	0.0007590953480000000000
2659	Cocoa Beach City	Brevard County	Florida	0.0008436320480000000000
2661	Cocoa City	Brevard County	Florida	0.0014924471990000000000
2692	Coconut Creek City	Broward County	Florida	0.0010113093180000000000
2700	Collier County	Collier County	Florida	0.0135482248740000000000
2703	Columbia County	Columbia County	Florida	0.0034212219730000000000
2681	Cooper City	Broward County	Florida	0.0007393632490000000000
2773	Coral Gables City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0007177994220000000000
2689	Coral Springs City	Broward County	Florida	0.0032340640850000000000
2792	Crestview City	Okaloosa County	Florida	0.0007043975660000000000
2788	Cutler Bay Town	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0000941414630000000000
2671	Dania Beach City	Broward County	Florida	0.0001780678900000000000
2688	Davie Town	Broward County	Florida	0.0026692252930000000000
2883	Daytona Beach City	Volusia County	Florida	0.0044755599850000000000
2892	Daytona Beach Shores City	Volusia County	Florida	0.0003974331580000000000
2706	De Soto County	De Soto County	Florida	0.0011364042050000000000
2893	Debary City	Volusia County	Florida	0.0003528332960000000000
2669	Deerfield Beach City	Broward County	Florida	0.0020242335620000000000
2882	Deland City	Volusia County	Florida	0.0009898435420000000000
2811	Delray Beach City	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0035184660050000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2890	Deltona City	Volusia County	Florida	0.001993292304000000000
2797	Destin City	Okaloosa County	Florida	0.000146783092000000000
2708	Dixie County	Dixie County	Florida	0.001037443927000000000
2777	Doral City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.000139780477000000000
2841	Dunedin City	Pinellas County	Florida	0.001024411213000000000
2802	Eatonville Town	Orange County	Florida	0.000083256415000000000
2879	Edgewater City	Volusia County	Florida	0.000580427172000000000
2711	Escambia County	Escambia County	Florida	0.010109990610000000000
2752	Estero Village	Lee County	Florida	0.000120797555000000000
2741	Eustis City	Lake County	Florida	0.000419300395000000000
2793	Fernandina Beach City	Nassau County	Florida	0.000831601989000000000
2709	Flagler County	Flagler County	Florida	0.003050082484000000000
2767	Florida City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.000039287890000000000
2672	Fort Lauderdale City	Broward County	Florida	0.008305816295000000000
2748	Fort Myers City	Lee County	Florida	0.004310995634000000000
2877	Fort Pierce City	St Lucie County	Florida	0.001595358726000000000
2794	Fort Walton Beach City	Okaloosa County	Florida	0.000778370605000000000
2714	Franklin County	Franklin County	Florida	0.000499106708000000000
2744	Fruitland Park City	Lake County	Florida	0.000083820932000000000
2719	Gadsden County	Gadsden County	Florida	0.001236554705000000000
2647	Gainesville City	Alachua County	Florida	0.003815982894000000000
2716	Gilchrist County	Gilchrist County	Florida	0.000643335042000000000
2721	Glades County	Glades County	Florida	0.000406124963000000000
2814	Greenacres City	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.000764248986000000000
2739	Groveland City	Lake County	Florida	0.000261531482000000000
2722	Gulf County	Gulf County	Florida	0.000599141908000000000
2836	Gulfport City	Pinellas County	Florida	0.000478945547000000000
2849	Haines City	Polk County	Florida	0.000479847066000000000
2673	Hallandale Beach City	Broward County	Florida	0.001549505272000000000
2718	Hamilton County	Hamilton County	Florida	0.000479418005000000000
2720	Hardee County	Hardee County	Florida	0.000671103643000000000
2717	Hendry County	Hendry County	Florida	0.001444608951000000000
2723	Hernando County	Hernando County	Florida	0.015100758996000000000
2770	Hialeah City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.000980156525000000000
2771	Hialeah Gardens City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.000054519294000000000
2726	Highlands County	Highlands County	Florida	0.002931860386000000000
2729	Hillsborough County	Hillsborough County	Florida	0.065231125308000000000
2889	Holly Hill City	Volusia County	Florida	0.000316162444000000000
2674	Hollywood City	Broward County	Florida	0.005201647754000000000
2731	Holmes County	Holmes County	Florida	0.000816118922000000000
2769	Homestead City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.000249353985000000000
2733	Indian River County	Indian River County	Florida	0.006541177901000000000
2737	Jackson County	Jackson County	Florida	0.001589357678000000000
2710	Jacksonville Beach City	Duval County	Florida	0.001004466852000000000
2713	Jacksonville City	Duval County	Florida	0.052956380196000000000
2735	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Florida	0.000408207989000000000
2816	Jupiter Town	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.001254666431000000000
2785	Key Biscayne Village	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.000136838783000000000
2790	Key West City	Monroe County	Florida	0.000880867779000000000
2807	Kissimmee City	Osceola County	Florida	0.001623657708000000000
2742	Lady Lake Town	Lake County	Florida	0.000250481927000000000
2740	Lafayette County	Lafayette County	Florida	0.000319113399000000000
2705	Lake City	Columbia County	Florida	0.001046594391000000000
2736	Lake County	Lake County	Florida	0.007815478562000000000
2868	Lake Mary City	Seminole County	Florida	0.000797674370000000000
2854	Lake Wales City	Polk County	Florida	0.000362931762000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2820	Lake Worth City	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0011714590410000000000
2850	Lakeland City	Polk County	Florida	0.0029487492060000000000
2822	Lantana Town	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0002450789870000000000
2840	Largo City	Pinellas County	Florida	0.0037419364980000000000
2693	Lauderdale Lakes City	Broward County	Florida	0.0006262430400000000000
2678	Lauderhill City	Broward County	Florida	0.0014438307210000000000
2746	Lee County	Lee County	Florida	0.0215038742990000000000
2743	Leesburg City	Lake County	Florida	0.0009133989060000000000
2753	Leon County	Leon County	Florida	0.0047120197970000000000
2756	Levy County	Levy County	Florida	0.0025119232080000000000
2757	Liberty County	Liberty County	Florida	0.0001939861910000000000
2686	Lighthouse Point City	Broward County	Florida	0.0002913228270000000000
2865	Longwood City	Seminole County	Florida	0.0006170916690000000000
2649	Lynn Haven City	Bay County	Florida	0.0003920578160000000000
2754	Madison County	Madison County	Florida	0.0006354033090000000000
2803	Maitland City	Orange County	Florida	0.0004672824510000000000
2766	Manatee County	Manatee County	Florida	0.0228852340190000000000
2704	Marco Island City	Collier County	Florida	0.0006209454130000000000
2685	Margate City	Broward County	Florida	0.0014368335540000000000
2760	Marion County	Marion County	Florida	0.0133218148660000000000
2761	Martin County	Martin County	Florida	0.0078826510790000000000
2662	Melbourne City	Brevard County	Florida	0.0038310548760000000000
2772	Miami Beach City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0018140924770000000000
2768	Miami City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0029279345570000000000
2774	Miami Gardens City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0004068396410000000000
2791	Miami Lakes Town	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0000783751390000000000
2778	Miami Shores Village	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0000628760940000000000
2775	Miami Springs City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0000616949500000000000
2763	Miami-Dade County	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0432717051920000000000
2858	Milton City	Santa Rosa County	Florida	0.0004663146570000000000
2745	Minneola City	Lake County	Florida	0.0001605804180000000000
2675	Miramar City	Broward County	Florida	0.0027927964040000000000
2789	Monroe County	Monroe County	Florida	0.0038830119670000000000
2747	Mount Dora City	Lake County	Florida	0.0004102122120000000000
2701	Naples City	Collier County	Florida	0.0013441579440000000000
2800	Nassau County	Nassau County	Florida	0.0039377251830000000000
2832	New Port Richey City	Pasco County	Florida	0.0014987832530000000000
2886	New Smyrna Beach City	Volusia County	Florida	0.0010406603440000000000
2801	Niceville City	Okaloosa County	Florida	0.0002174463850000000000
2687	North Lauderdale City	Broward County	Florida	0.0006606905080000000000
2776	North Miami Beach City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0003039179460000000000
2779	North Miami City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0003037843070000000000
2827	North Palm Beach Village	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0004434916090000000000
2861	North Port City	Sarasota County	Florida	0.0020961190360000000000
2676	Oakland Park City	Broward County	Florida	0.0010043123320000000000
2758	Ocala City	Marion County	Florida	0.0036899458150000000000
2808	Ocoee City	Orange County	Florida	0.0006660008460000000000
2795	Okaloosa County	Okaloosa County	Florida	0.0063451241170000000000
2796	Okeechobee County	Okeechobee County	Florida	0.0035349531810000000000
2838	Oldsmar City	Pinellas County	Florida	0.0003942198280000000000
2780	Opa-Locka City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0000784753690000000000
2884	Orange City	Volusia County	Florida	0.0003356241790000000000
2806	Orange County	Orange County	Florida	0.0313074198040000000000
2813	Orlando City	Orange County	Florida	0.0116024921000000000000
2885	Ormond Beach City	Volusia County	Florida	0.0011464382110000000000
2817	Osceola County	Osceola County	Florida	0.0083724911160000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2871	Oviedo City	Seminole County	Florida	0.0010313086960000000000
2860	Palatka City	Putnam County	Florida	0.0004695492310000000000
2667	Palm Bay City	Brevard County	Florida	0.0040481693420000000000
2809	Palm Beach County	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0597840016450000000000
2824	Palm Beach Gardens City	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0023367521630000000000
2715	Palm Coast City	Flagler County	Florida	0.0008485647090000000000
2823	Palm Springs Village	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0003802098770000000000
2782	Palmetto Bay Village	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0000740407670000000000
2762	Palmetto City	Manatee County	Florida	0.0005286975940000000000
2654	Panama City	Bay County	Florida	0.0015515314660000000000
2655	Panama City Beach City	Bay County	Florida	0.0008089730450000000000
2691	Parkland City	Broward County	Florida	0.0004580428340000000000
2829	Pasco County	Pasco County	Florida	0.0442953683170000000000
2682	Pembroke Pines City	Broward County	Florida	0.0046283317780000000000
2712	Pensacola City	Escambia County	Florida	0.0033063595540000000000
2787	Pinecrest Village	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0000829657140000000000
2835	Pinellas County	Pinellas County	Florida	0.0479353599920000000000
2844	Pinellas Park City	Pinellas County	Florida	0.0025166644900000000000
2724	Plant City	Hillsborough County	Florida	0.0010421857540000000000
2684	Plantation City	Broward County	Florida	0.0021391927610000000000
2848	Polk County	Polk County	Florida	0.0160168811610000000000
2677	Pompano Beach City	Broward County	Florida	0.0033547196480000000000
2887	Port Orange City	Volusia County	Florida	0.0017759701160000000000
2878	Port St. Lucie City	St Lucie County	Florida	0.0039080426020000000000
2698	Punta Gorda City	Charlotte County	Florida	0.0004712075540000000000
2856	Putnam County	Putnam County	Florida	0.0033793825940000000000
2826	Riviera Beach City	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0016361743870000000000
2663	Rockledge City	Brevard County	Florida	0.0009660390820000000000
2834	Royal Palm Beach Village	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0004929487790000000000
2839	Safety Harbor City	Pinellas County	Florida	0.0003806156970000000000
2867	Sanford City	Seminole County	Florida	0.0016424387180000000000
2857	Santa Rosa County	Santa Rosa County	Florida	0.0065463680350000000000
2862	Sarasota City	Sarasota County	Florida	0.0048428037860000000000
2855	Sarasota County	Sarasota County	Florida	0.0196880412450000000000
2664	Satellite Beach City	Brevard County	Florida	0.0003597503890000000000
2732	Sebastian City	Indian River County	Florida	0.0003831577450000000000
2725	Sebring City	Highlands County	Florida	0.0003817285690000000000
2847	Seminole City	Pinellas County	Florida	0.0009524892430000000000
2863	Seminole County	Seminole County	Florida	0.0150869511380000000000
2888	South Daytona City	Volusia County	Florida	0.0004522141010000000000
2781	South Miami City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0000783304720000000000
2870	St Johns County	St Johns County	Florida	0.0066382167530000000000
2872	St Lucie County	St Lucie County	Florida	0.0095628875030000000000
2874	St. Augustine City	St Johns County	Florida	0.0004651088190000000000
2804	St. Cloud City	Osceola County	Florida	0.0007383660400000000000
2843	St. Petersburg City	Pinellas County	Florida	0.0145659241730000000000
2765	Stuart City	Martin County	Florida	0.0008122301340000000000
2873	Sumter County	Sumter County	Florida	0.0032639863450000000000
2786	Sunny Isles Beach City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0000769377930000000000
2696	Sunrise City	Broward County	Florida	0.0028607044810000000000
2876	Suwannee County	Suwannee County	Florida	0.0019101493730000000000
2784	Sweetwater City	Miami-Dade County	Florida	0.0000411597450000000000
2759	Tallahassee City	Leon County	Florida	0.0042599725630000000000
2694	Tamarac City	Broward County	Florida	0.0013449289110000000000
2728	Tampa City	Hillsborough County	Florida	0.0197567264620000000000
2846	Tarpon Springs City	Pinellas County	Florida	0.0010197096960000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
2749	Tavares City	Lake County	Florida	0.0003182185980000000000
2881	Taylor County	Taylor County	Florida	0.0009218121760000000000
2730	Temple Terrace City	Hillsborough County	Florida	0.0010798104180000000000
2665	Titusville City	Brevard County	Florida	0.0024005584650000000000
2875	Union County	Union County	Florida	0.0006515551160000000000
2859	Venice City	Sarasota County	Florida	0.0014234761830000000000
2734	Vero Beach City	Indian River County	Florida	0.0006064172480000000000
2880	Volusia County	Volusia County	Florida	0.0174468491890000000000
2895	Wakulla County	Wakulla County	Florida	0.0011512946110000000000
2891	Walton County	Walton County	Florida	0.0026855768320000000000
2897	Washington County	Washington County	Florida	0.0012012445750000000000
2825	Wellington Village	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0005018406800000000000
2670	West Melbourne City	Brevard County	Florida	0.0005199716520000000000
2828	West Palm Beach City	Palm Beach County	Florida	0.0054926622670000000000
2679	West Park City	Broward County	Florida	0.0002955389940000000000
2683	Weston City	Broward County	Florida	0.0013863735460000000000
2680	Wilton Manors City	Broward County	Florida	0.0003163060700000000000
2818	Winter Garden City	Orange County	Florida	0.0005626531760000000000
2853	Winter Haven City	Polk County	Florida	0.0009703282430000000000
2805	Winter Park City	Orange County	Florida	0.0010490296720000000000
2869	Winter Springs City	Seminole County	Florida	0.0006226215300000000000
2833	Zephyrhills City	Pasco County	Florida	0.0011267175510000000000
3155	City And County Of Honolulu	Urban Honolulu	Hawaii	0.6203075631090000000000
3156	Hawaii County	Hawaii County	Hawaii	0.1826716925010000000000
3154	Kalawao	Kalawao	Hawaii	0.0000345015140000000000
3152	Kauai County	Kauai County	Hawaii	0.0570062735800000000000
3157	Maui County	Maui County	Hawaii	0.1399799692960000000000
3302	Ada County	Ada County	Idaho	0.1327762783330000000000
3305	Adams County	Adams County	Idaho	0.0014468319020000000000
3318	Ammon City	Bonneville County	Idaho	0.0008129160240000000000
3309	Bannock County	Bannock County	Idaho	0.0305955898320000000000
3311	Bear Lake County	Bear Lake County	Idaho	0.0060827120410000000000
3313	Benewah County	Benewah County	Idaho	0.0065268298090000000000
3312	Bingham County	Bingham County	Idaho	0.0164212708120000000000
3314	Blackfoot City	Bingham County	Idaho	0.0062838574010000000000
3317	Blaine County	Blaine County	Idaho	0.0091377175510000000000
3301	Boise City	Ada County	Idaho	0.1275864091100000000000
3315	Boise County	Boise County	Idaho	0.0033096446520000000000
3319	Bonner County	Bonner County	Idaho	0.0259873617860000000000
3316	Bonneville County	Bonneville County	Idaho	0.0377612538750000000000
3320	Boundary County	Boundary County	Idaho	0.0087882844470000000000
3329	Burley City	Cassia County, Minidoka County	Idaho	0.0044859753630000000000
3322	Butte County	Butte County	Idaho	0.0018397455180000000000
3323	Caldwell City	Canyon County	Idaho	0.0119585532490000000000
3327	Camas County	Camas County	Idaho	0.0004220734430000000000
3324	Canyon County	Canyon County	Idaho	0.0501201136880000000000
3330	Caribou County	Caribou County	Idaho	0.0043961838320000000000
3325	Cassia County	Cassia County	Idaho	0.0072702358660000000000
3307	Chubbuck City	Bannock County	Idaho	0.0048419354470000000000
3326	Clark County	Clark County	Idaho	0.0004209244250000000000
3332	Clearwater County	Clearwater County	Idaho	0.0048904183900000000000
3346	Coeur D'Alene City	Kootenai County	Idaho	0.0275937782370000000000
3331	Custer County	Custer County	Idaho	0.0021332438780000000000
3304	Eagle City	Ada County	Idaho	0.0017118766610000000000
3336	Elmore County	Elmore County	Idaho	0.0088995121650000000000
3333	Franklin County	Franklin County	Idaho	0.0057536249580000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
3335	Fremont County	Fremont County	Idaho	0.005716071696000000000
3306	Garden City	Ada County	Idaho	0.005582782838000000000
3334	Gem County	Gem County	Idaho	0.013784025725000000000
3338	Gooding County	Gooding County	Idaho	0.006966472013000000000
3343	Hayden City	Kootenai County	Idaho	0.000047132146000000000
3340	Idaho County	Idaho County	Idaho	0.008474305547000000000
3321	Idaho Falls City	Bonneville County	Idaho	0.038875027578000000000
3341	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Idaho	0.009842670749000000000
3345	Jerome City	Jerome County	Idaho	0.004169017424000000000
3342	Jerome County	Jerome County	Idaho	0.006223444291000000000
3351	Kootenai County	Kootenai County	Idaho	0.056394798565000000000
3299	Kuna City	Ada County	Idaho	0.001849461724000000000
3353	Latah County	Latah County	Idaho	0.012943861166000000000
3349	Lemhi County	Lemhi County	Idaho	0.004880814284000000000
3357	Lewis County	Lewis County	Idaho	0.002882543555000000000
3358	Lewiston City	Nez Perce County	Idaho	0.020176549375000000000
3347	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	Idaho	0.001930184422000000000
3352	Madison County	Madison County	Idaho	0.012748404845000000000
3303	Meridian City	Ada County	Idaho	0.024045650754000000000
3354	Minidoka County	Minidoka County	Idaho	0.009140620922000000000
3344	Moscow City	Latah County	Idaho	0.006590552650000000000
3339	Mountain Home City	Elmore County	Idaho	0.005706694591000000000
3328	Nampa City	Canyon County	Idaho	0.033274647954000000000
3350	Nez Perce County	Nez Perce County	Idaho	0.012765833482000000000
3364	Oneida County	Oneida County	Idaho	0.002371656647000000000
3355	Owyhee County	Owyhee County	Idaho	0.005554298409000000000
3359	Payette County	Payette County	Idaho	0.012750728102000000000
3310	Pocatello City	Bannock County, Power County	Idaho	0.029494898116000000000
3348	Post Falls City	Kootenai County	Idaho	0.006781328826000000000
3360	Power County	Power County	Idaho	0.003505171035000000000
3337	Preston City	Franklin County	Idaho	0.001496220047000000000
3356	Rexburg City	Madison County	Idaho	0.001336231941000000000
3361	Shoshone County	Shoshone County	Idaho	0.012841091340000000000
3308	Star City	Ada County, Canyon County	Idaho	0.000001322772000000000
3362	Teton County	Teton County	Idaho	0.004258195211000000000
3366	Twin Falls City	Twin Falls County	Idaho	0.018245765222000000000
3363	Twin Falls County	Twin Falls County	Idaho	0.033104301873000000000
3367	Valley County	Valley County	Idaho	0.008074710814000000000
3365	Washington County	Washington County	Idaho	0.004917358652000000000
14116	District 1: Panhandle Health District	Benewah County, Boundary County, Kootenai County, Shoshone County, Bonner County	Idaho	Allocations to Health Districts in Idaho will be made in accordance with the Idaho Opioid Settlement Intrastate Allocation Agreement Between The State of Idaho, Health Districts, And Eligible Local Governments
14115	District 2: North Central Health District	Clearwater County, Idaho County, Latah County, Lewis County, Nez Perce County	Idaho	
14112	District 3: Southwest District Health	Adams County, Canyon County, Gem County, Owyhee County, Payette County, Washington County	Idaho	
14106	District 4: Central District Health	Ada County, Boise County, Elmore County, Valley County	Idaho	
14107	District 5: South Central Public Health District	Camas County, Gooding County, Blaine County, Lincoln County, Jerome County, Minidoka County, Twin Falls County, Cassia County	Idaho	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
14105	District 6: Southeastern Idaho Public Health	Bannock County, Bear Lake County, Bingham County, Butte County, Caribou County, Oneida County, Power County	Idaho	Local Governments:
14104	District 7: Eastern Idaho Public Health	Bonneville County, Clark County, Custer County, Fremont County, Jefferson County, Lemhi County, Madison County, Teton County	Idaho	
3370	Adams County	Adams County	Illinois	
3545	Addison Village	Dupage County	Illinois	
3369	Alexander County	Alexander County	Illinois	
3619	Algonquin Village	Kane County, Mchenry County	Illinois	
3802	Anna City	Union County	Illinois	
3405	Arlington Heights Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3564	Aurora City	Dupage County, Kane County, Kendall County, Will County	Illinois	
3518	Bartlett Village	Cook County, Dupage County, Kane County	Illinois	
3403	Bedford Park Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3780	Belleville City	St Clair County	Illinois	
3409	Bellwood Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3509	Bensenville Village	Cook County, Dupage County	Illinois	
3575	Benton City	Franklin County	Illinois	
3408	Berkeley Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3407	Berwyn City	Cook County	Illinois	
3728	Bloomington City	Mclean County	Illinois	
3829	Bolingbrook Village	Will County, Dupage County	Illinois	
3372	Bond County	Bond County	Illinois	
3379	Boone County	Boone County	Illinois	
3411	Bridgeview Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3410	Broadview Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3373	Brown County	Brown County	Illinois	
3527	Buffalo Grove Village	Cook County, Lake County	Illinois	
3480	Burbank City	Cook County	Illinois	
3378	Bureau County	Bureau County	Illinois	
3377	Calhoun County	Calhoun County	Illinois	
3415	Calumet City	Cook County	Illinois	
3600	Carbondale City	Jackson County, Williamson County	Illinois	
3554	Carol Stream Village	Dupage County	Illinois	
3606	Carpentersville Village	Kane County	Illinois	
3380	Carroll County	Carroll County	Illinois	
3382	Cass County	Cass County	Illinois	
3384	Champaign City	Champaign County	Illinois	
3385	Champaign County	Champaign County	Illinois	
3511	Chicago City	Cook County, Dupage County	Illinois	
3413	Chicago Heights City	Cook County	Illinois	
3414	Chicago Ridge Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3388	Christian County	Christian County	Illinois	
13120	Cicero Town	Cook County	Illinois	
3394	Clark County	Clark County	Illinois	
3399	Clay County	Clay County	Illinois	
3395	Clinton County	Clinton County	Illinois	
3398	Coles County	Coles County	Illinois	
3400	Cook County	Cook County	Illinois	
3475	Countryside City	Cook County	Illinois	
3528	Crawford County	Crawford County	Illinois	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
3720	Crystal Lake City	Mchenry County	Illinois	
3532	Cumberland County	Cumberland County	Illinois	
3803	Danville City	Vermilion County	Illinois	
3531	De Witt County	De Witt County	Illinois	
3684	Decatur City	Macon County	Illinois	
3535	Dekalb City	Dekalb County	Illinois	
3537	Dekalb County	Dekalb County	Illinois	
3417	Des Plaines City	Cook County	Illinois	
3419	Dolton Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3538	Douglas County	Douglas County	Illinois	
3544	Downers Grove Village	Dupage County	Illinois	
3541	Dupage County	Dupage County	Illinois	
3568	Edgar County	Edgar County	Illinois	
3569	Edwards County	Edwards County	Illinois	
3570	Effingham County	Effingham County	Illinois	
3517	Elgin City	Cook County, Kane County	Illinois	
3508	Elk Grove Village Village	Cook County, Dupage County	Illinois	
3513	Elmhurst City	Cook County, Dupage County	Illinois	
3420	Evanston City	Cook County	Illinois	
3424	Evergreen Park Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3574	Fayette County	Fayette County	Illinois	
3576	Ford County	Ford County	Illinois	
3421	Forest Park Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3580	Franklin County	Franklin County	Illinois	
3422	Franklin Park Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3582	Fulton County	Fulton County	Illinois	
3636	Galesburg City	Knox County	Illinois	
3587	Gallatin County	Gallatin County	Illinois	
3555	Glendale Heights Village	Dupage County	Illinois	
3426	Glenview Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3690	Granite City	Madison County	Illinois	
3588	Greene County	Greene County	Illinois	
3584	Grundy County	Grundy County	Illinois	
3638	Gurnee Village	Lake County	Illinois	
3583	Hamilton County	Hamilton County	Illinois	
3591	Hancock County	Hancock County	Illinois	
3510	Hanover Park Village	Cook County, Dupage County	Illinois	
3590	Hardin County	Hardin County	Illinois	
3767	Harrisburg City	Saline County	Illinois	
3423	Harvey City	Cook County	Illinois	
3427	Harwood Heights Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3594	Henderson County	Henderson County	Illinois	
3595	Henry County	Henry County	Illinois	
3838	Herrin City	Williamson County	Illinois	
3429	Hillside Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3434	Hodgkins Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3521	Hoffman Estates Village	Cook County, Kane County	Illinois	
3593	Iroquois County	Iroquois County	Illinois	
3597	Jackson County	Jackson County	Illinois	
3599	Jasper County	Jasper County	Illinois	
3601	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Illinois	
3604	Jersey County	Jersey County	Illinois	
3605	Jo Daviess County	Jo Daviess County	Illinois	
3602	Johnson County	Johnson County	Illinois	
3635	Joliet City	Kendall County, Will County	Illinois	
3603	Kane County	Kane County	Illinois	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
3625	Kankakee City	Kankakee County	Illinois	Allocations in Illinois will be made in accordance with the Illinois Opioid Allocation Agreement.
3618	Kankakee County	Kankakee County	Illinois	
3628	Kendall County	Kendall County	Illinois	
3631	Knox County	Knox County	Illinois	
3435	La Grange Park Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3637	Lake County	Lake County	Illinois	
3673	Lasalle County	Lasalle County	Illinois	
3675	Lawrence County	Lawrence County	Illinois	
3678	Lee County	Lee County	Illinois	
3680	Livingston County	Livingston County	Illinois	
3682	Logan County	Logan County	Illinois	
3547	Lombard Village	Dupage County	Illinois	
3488	Lyons Township	Cook County	Illinois	
3440	Lyons Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3689	Macon County	Macon County	Illinois	
3685	Macoupin County	Macoupin County	Illinois	
3691	Madison County	Madison County	Illinois	
3837	Marion City	Williamson County, Johnson County	Illinois	
3706	Marion County	Marion County	Illinois	
3713	Marshall County	Marshall County	Illinois	
3715	Mason County	Mason County	Illinois	
3710	Massac County	Massac County	Illinois	
3437	Maywood Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3439	Mccook Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3711	Mcdonough County	Mcdonough County	Illinois	
3716	Mchenry County	Mchenry County	Illinois	
3729	Mclean County	Mclean County	Illinois	
3438	Melrose Park Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3735	Menard County	Menard County	Illinois	
3738	Mercer County	Mercer County	Illinois	
3446	Merrionette Park Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3712	Metropolis City	Massac County	Illinois	
3760	Moline City	Rock Island County	Illinois	
3733	Monroe County	Monroe County	Illinois	
3741	Montgomery County	Montgomery County	Illinois	
3740	Morgan County	Morgan County	Illinois	
3739	Moultrie County	Moultrie County	Illinois	
3445	Mount Prospect Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3645	Mundelein Village	Lake County	Illinois	
3567	Naperville City	Dupage County, Will County	Illinois	
3732	Normal Town	Mclean County	Illinois	
3450	North Riverside Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3447	Northbrook Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3449	Northlake City	Cook County	Illinois	
3456	Oak Lawn Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3454	Oak Park Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3744	Ogle County	Ogle County	Illinois	
3529	Orland Park Village	Cook County, Will County	Illinois	
3630	Oswego Village	Kendall County, Will County	Illinois	
3453	Palatine Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3476	Palos Heights City	Cook County	Illinois	
3479	Palos Hills City	Cook County	Illinois	
3458	Park Ridge City	Cook County	Illinois	
3746	Pekin City	Peoria County, Tazewell County	Illinois	
3745	Peoria City	Peoria County	Illinois	
3743	Peoria County	Peoria County	Illinois	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
3750	Perry County	Perry County	Illinois	
3753	Piatt County	Piatt County	Illinois	
3754	Pike County	Pike County	Illinois	
3839	Plainfield Village	Will County, Kendall County	Illinois	
3751	Pope County	Pope County	Illinois	
3452	Posen Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3376	Princeton City	Bureau County	Illinois	
3755	Pulaski County	Pulaski County	Illinois	
3756	Putnam County	Putnam County	Illinois	
3368	Quincy City	Adams County	Illinois	
3757	Randolph County	Randolph County	Illinois	
3759	Richland County	Richland County	Illinois	
3459	River Forest Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3460	River Grove Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3463	Riverside Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3763	Rock Island City	Rock Island County	Illinois	
3758	Rock Island County	Rock Island County	Illinois	
3852	Rockford City	Winnebago County, Ogle County	Illinois	
3821	Romeoville Village	Will County	Illinois	
3772	Saline County	Saline County	Illinois	
3774	Sangamon County	Sangamon County	Illinois	
3507	Schaumburg Village	Cook County, Dupage County	Illinois	
3465	Schiller Park Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3770	Schuyler County	Schuyler County	Illinois	
3775	Scott County	Scott County	Illinois	
3577	Sesser City	Franklin County	Illinois	
3779	Shelby County	Shelby County	Illinois	
3461	Skokie Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3769	Springfield City	Sangamon County	Illinois	
3777	St Clair County	St Clair County	Illinois	
3566	St. Charles City	Dupage County, Kane County	Illinois	
3788	Stark County	Stark County	Illinois	
3793	Stephenson County	Stephenson County	Illinois	
3466	Stone Park Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3472	Streamwood Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3677	Streator City	Lasalle County, Livingston County	Illinois	
3471	Summit Village	Cook County	Illinois	
3790	Tazewell County	Tazewell County	Illinois	
3524	Tinley Park Village	Cook County, Will County	Illinois	
3804	Union County	Union County	Illinois	
3386	Urbana City	Champaign County	Illinois	
3805	Vermilion County	Vermilion County	Illinois	
3810	Wabash County	Wabash County	Illinois	
3806	Warren County	Warren County	Illinois	
3809	Washington County	Washington County	Illinois	
3651	Waukegan City	Lake County	Illinois	
3812	Wayne County	Wayne County	Illinois	
3579	West Frankfort City	Franklin County	Illinois	
3549	Wheaton City	Dupage County	Illinois	
3520	Wheeling Village	Cook County, Lake County	Illinois	
3811	White County	White County	Illinois	
3816	Whiteside County	Whiteside County	Illinois	
3813	Will County	Will County	Illinois	
3841	Williamson County	Williamson County	Illinois	
3842	Winnebago County	Winnebago County	Illinois	
3846	Woodford County	Woodford County	Illinois	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
3519	Woodridge Village	Cook County, Dupage County, Will County	Illinois	
3849	Adams County	Adams County	Indiana	0.0030930669430000000000
3887	Advance Town	Boone County	Indiana	0.0000056978630000000000
4015	Akron Town	Fulton County	Indiana	0.0000032852540000000000
4253	Alamo Town	Montgomery County	Indiana	0.0000057491950000000000
3975	Albany Town	Delaware County, Randolph County	Indiana	0.0002569684860000000000
4280	Albion Town	Noble County	Indiana	0.0002166214570000000000
4214	Alexandria City	Madison County	Indiana	0.0010624204480000000000
3933	Alfordsville Town	Daviess County	Indiana	0.0000015399630000000000
3857	Allen County	Allen County	Indiana	0.0155291918460000000000
3932	Alton Town	Crawford County	Indiana	0.0000003079930000000000
3945	Altona Town	De Kalb County	Indiana	0.0000124737000000000000
3875	Ambia Town	Benton County	Indiana	0.0000065191770000000000
4239	Amboy Town	Miami County	Indiana	0.0000048252170000000000
4076	Amo Town	Hendricks County	Indiana	0.0000057491950000000000
4213	Anderson City	Madison County	Indiana	0.0138289187390000000000
4113	Andrews Town	Huntington County	Indiana	0.0000605205440000000000
4386	Angola City	Steuben County	Indiana	0.0009475392110000000000
4045	Arcadia Town	Hamilton County	Indiana	0.0000631384810000000000
4231	Argos Town	Marshall County	Indiana	0.0001679072950000000000
3944	Ashley Town	De Kalb County	Indiana	0.0000623685000000000000
4052	Atlanta Town	Hamilton County	Indiana	0.0000116523860000000000
3999	Attica City	Fountain County	Indiana	0.0002075870070000000000
3947	Auburn City	De Kalb County	Indiana	0.0014726152490000000000
3953	Aurora City	Dearborn County	Indiana	0.0000943997300000000000
4358	Austin City	Scott County	Indiana	0.0002322777470000000000
4279	Avilla Town	Noble County	Indiana	0.0001995792000000000000
4086	Avon Town	Hendricks County	Indiana	0.0001641087200000000000
4337	Bainbridge Town	Putnam County	Indiana	0.0000147836440000000000
4139	Bargersville Town	Johnson County	Indiana	0.0001210410890000000000
3869	Bartholomew County	Bartholomew County	Indiana	0.0118392352580000000000
4010	Batesville City	Franklin County, Ripley County	Indiana	0.0015470467920000000000
4408	Battle Ground Town	Tippecanoe County	Indiana	0.0001362867220000000000
4211	Bedford City	Lawrence County	Indiana	0.0032698033590000000000
4226	Beech Grove City	Marion County	Indiana	0.0005447362320000000000
3872	Benton County	Benton County	Indiana	0.0007443154320000000000
3854	Berne City	Adams County	Indiana	0.0000280786580000000000
4263	Bethany Town	Morgan County	Indiana	0.0000272060100000000000
4309	Beverly Shores Town	Porter County	Indiana	0.0001544582850000000000
4150	Bicknell City	Knox County	Indiana	0.0000132950140000000000
3980	Birdseye Town	Dubois County	Indiana	0.0000294646250000000000
3883	Blackford County	Blackford County	Indiana	0.0027153653600000000000
4044	Bloomfield Town	Greene County	Indiana	0.0000428623020000000000
4297	Bloomingdale Town	Parke County	Indiana	0.0000260253740000000000
4252	Bloomington City	Monroe County	Indiana	0.0083902315430000000000
4087	Blountsville Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.0000025666050000000000
4472	Bluffton City	Wells County	Indiana	0.0010029778780000000000
3886	Boone County	Boone County	Indiana	0.0067627986840000000000
4446	Boonville City	Warrick County	Indiana	0.0010078544270000000000
3914	Borden Town	Clark County	Indiana	0.0000175042460000000000
4465	Boston Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.0000056978630000000000
3876	Boswell Town	Benton County	Indiana	0.0000210974930000000000
4234	Bourbon Town	Marshall County	Indiana	0.0001730405050000000000
3915	Brazil City	Clay County	Indiana	0.0006268162580000000000
4233	Bremen Town	Marshall County	Indiana	0.0005293879350000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
3982	Bristol Town	Elkhart County	Indiana	0.0001584621890000000000
4270	Brook Town	Newton County	Indiana	0.0000373697680000000000
4262	Brooklyn Town	Morgan County	Indiana	0.0000657050860000000000
4131	Brooksburg Town	Jefferson County	Indiana	0.0000095477700000000000
4488	Brookston Town	White County	Indiana	0.0001166778600000000000
4003	Brookville Town	Franklin County	Indiana	0.0005389357050000000000
3895	Brown County	Brown County	Indiana	0.0018791654710000000000
4077	Brownsburg Town	Hendricks County	Indiana	0.0011259182540000000000
4115	Brownstown Town	Jackson County	Indiana	0.0000336225250000000000
4151	Bruceville Town	Knox County	Indiana	0.0000024126090000000000
4125	Bryant Town	Jay County	Indiana	0.0000037472430000000000
4245	Bunker Hill Town	Miami County	Indiana	0.0000109850690000000000
4157	Burket Town	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.0000111390650000000000
3901	Burlington Town	Carroll County	Indiana	0.0000638057990000000000
4490	Burnettsville Town	White County	Indiana	0.0000046198890000000000
4323	Burns Harbor Town	Porter County	Indiana	0.0003979777620000000000
3948	Butler City	De Kalb County	Indiana	0.0002391562480000000000
4092	Cadiz Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.0000028232650000000000
4466	Cambridge City Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.0002415175250000000000
3893	Camden Town	Carroll County	Indiana	0.0000642164560000000000
4458	Campbellsburg Town	Washington County	Indiana	0.0000818746980000000000
3935	Cannelburg Town	Daviess County	Indiana	0.0000022586120000000000
4302	Cannelton City	Perry County	Indiana	0.0000183255590000000000
3917	Carbon Town	Clay County	Indiana	0.0000268466880000000000
4394	Carlisle Town	Sullivan County	Indiana	0.0000176582420000000000
4049	Carmel City	Hamilton County	Indiana	0.0036762509170000000000
3896	Carroll County	Carroll County	Indiana	0.0016297941360000000000
4357	Carthage Town	Rush County	Indiana	0.0000131410170000000000
3900	Cass County	Cass County	Indiana	0.0033896124780000000000
4422	Cayuga Town	Vermillion County	Indiana	0.0000784867790000000000
4009	Cedar Grove Town	Franklin County	Indiana	0.0000040552360000000000
4203	Cedar Lake Town	Lake County	Indiana	0.0005116783600000000000
3920	Center Point Town	Clay County	Indiana	0.0000163749400000000000
4464	Centerville Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.0003507522310000000000
4485	Chalmers Town	White County	Indiana	0.0000068271690000000000
4451	Chandler Town	Warrick County	Indiana	0.0003475183090000000000
3910	Charlestown City	Clark County	Indiana	0.0001460398210000000000
3974	Chesterfield Town	Delaware County, Madison County	Indiana	0.0003790362170000000000
4311	Chesterton Town	Porter County	Indiana	0.0021600033840000000000
4366	Chrisney Town	Spencer County	Indiana	0.0000323392220000000000
4495	Churubusco Town	Whitley County	Indiana	0.0000056465300000000000
4055	Cicero Town	Hamilton County	Indiana	0.0001732971650000000000
3908	Clark County	Clark County	Indiana	0.0193760706590000000000
4406	Clarks Hill Town	Tippecanoe County	Indiana	0.0000406036900000000000
3907	Clarksville Town	Clark County	Indiana	0.0010717628900000000000
3918	Clay City Town	Clay County	Indiana	0.0000576972790000000000
3912	Clay County	Clay County	Indiana	0.0029545216080000000000
4161	Claypool Town	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.0000246907400000000000
4082	Clayton Town	Hendricks County	Indiana	0.0000069811650000000000
4390	Clear Lake Town	Steuben County	Indiana	0.0000197628580000000000
3866	Clifford Town	Bartholomew County	Indiana	0.0000023099440000000000
4430	Clinton City	Vermillion County	Indiana	0.0003365845720000000000
3922	Clinton County	Clinton County	Indiana	0.0027442140000000000000
4331	Cloverdale Town	Putnam County	Indiana	0.0000502027930000000000
4079	Coatesville Town	Hendricks County	Indiana	0.0000074944860000000000
3921	Colfax Town	Clinton County	Indiana	0.0000461475570000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
4497	Columbia City	Whitley County	Indiana	0.0008864026810000000000
3861	Columbus City	Bartholomew County	Indiana	0.0007642836180000000000
3994	Connersville City	Fayette County	Indiana	0.0046361611640000000000
4040	Converse Town	Grant County	Indiana	0.0000457369000000000000
3946	Corunna Town	De Kalb County	Indiana	0.0000163749400000000000
4061	Corydon Town	Harrison County	Indiana	0.0000698116540000000000
4222	Country Club Heights Town	Madison County	Indiana	0.0000122170400000000000
4001	Covington City	Fountain County	Indiana	0.0002571224830000000000
4064	Crandall Town	Harrison County	Indiana	0.0000001539960000000000
4244	Crane Town	Martin County	Indiana	0.0000156562900000000000
3930	Crawford County	Crawford County	Indiana	0.0013389464640000000000
4251	Crawfordsville City	Montgomery County	Indiana	0.0027384134730000000000
4282	Cromwell Town	Noble County	Indiana	0.0000345465020000000000
4118	Crothersville Town	Jackson County	Indiana	0.0000182228950000000000
4190	Crown Point City	Lake County	Indiana	0.0012461893620000000000
4236	Culver Town	Marshall County	Indiana	0.0002898210300000000000
14145	Cumberland Town	Hancock County, Marion County	Indiana	0.0001482471010000000000
4328	Cynthiana Town	Posey County	Indiana	0.0000327498790000000000
4369	Dale Town	Spencer County	Indiana	0.0000597505630000000000
3971	Daleville Town	Delaware County	Indiana	0.0001997845280000000000
4432	Dana Town	Vermillion County	Indiana	0.0000505107850000000000
4078	Danville Town	Hendricks County	Indiana	0.0000394743840000000000
4257	Darlington Town	Montgomery County	Indiana	0.0000747908680000000000
4425	Darmstadt Town	Vanderburgh County	Indiana	0.0001094913670000000000
3942	Daviess County	Daviess County	Indiana	0.0037589982600000000000
4410	Dayton Town	Tippecanoe County	Indiana	0.0001147272410000000000
3943	De Kalb County	De Kalb County	Indiana	0.0027734732960000000000
4121	De Motte Town	Jasper County	Indiana	0.0000709409600000000000
3956	Dearborn County	Dearborn County	Indiana	0.0095772349940000000000
3856	Decatur City	Adams County	Indiana	0.0000909091470000000000
3961	Decatur County	Decatur County	Indiana	0.0035332396900000000000
4148	Decker Town	Knox County	Indiana	0.0000012319700000000000
3970	Delaware County	Delaware County	Indiana	0.0120357345330000000000
3897	Delphi City	Carroll County	Indiana	0.0001772497370000000000
4240	Denver Town	Miami County	Indiana	0.0000600585600000000000
3954	Dillsboro Town	Dearborn County	Indiana	0.0000600072230000000000
4469	Dublin Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.0000515887590000000000
3976	Dubois County	Dubois County	Indiana	0.0027289683660000000000
4393	Dugger Town	Sullivan County	Indiana	0.0000234074370000000000
4313	Dune Acres Town	Porter County	Indiana	0.0000469175380000000000
3884	Dunkirk City	Blackford County, Jay County	Indiana	0.0000798727460000000000
4089	Dunreith Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.0000033879190000000000
4136	Dupont Town	Jefferson County	Indiana	0.0000397823770000000000
4187	Dyer Town	Lake County	Indiana	0.0005331865100000000000
3882	Earl Park Town	Benton County	Indiana	0.0000091884460000000000
4185	East Chicago City	Lake County	Indiana	0.0046210181950000000000
4463	East Germantown Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.0000158616190000000000
3969	Eaton Town	Delaware County	Indiana	0.0001664186640000000000
4467	Economy Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.0000076484830000000000
4216	Edgewood Town	Madison County	Indiana	0.0002436734730000000000
3873	Edinburgh Town	Bartholomew County, Johnson County, Shelby County	Indiana	0.0001989632150000000000
4147	Edwardsport Town	Knox County	Indiana	0.0000014886310000000000
4449	Elberfeld Town	Warrick County	Indiana	0.0000315692410000000000
4074	Elizabeth Town	Harrison County	Indiana	0.0000001539960000000000
3864	Elizabethtown Town	Bartholomew County	Indiana	0.0000051332100000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
3986	Elkhart City	Elkhart County	Indiana	0.005425802839000000000
3983	Elkhart County	Elkhart County	Indiana	0.011662652839000000000
4249	Ellettsville Town	Monroe County	Indiana	0.000677789032000000000
3940	Elnora Town	Daviess County	Indiana	0.000013551674000000000
4224	Elwood City	Madison County, Tipton County	Indiana	0.002112777853000000000
3931	English Town	Crawford County	Indiana	0.000037472430000000000
4158	Etna Green Town	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.000033725189000000000
4423	Evansville City	Vanderburgh County	Indiana	0.019321196646000000000
4365	Fairland Town	Shelby County	Indiana	0.000005133210000000000
4027	Fairmount Town	Grant County	Indiana	0.000104409489000000000
4431	Fairview Park Town	Vermillion County	Indiana	0.000093373088000000000
4396	Farmersburg Town	Sullivan County	Indiana	0.000039731044000000000
4342	Farmland Town	Randolph County	Indiana	0.000182074954000000000
3990	Fayette County	Fayette County	Indiana	0.002945127834000000000
3978	Ferdinand Town	Dubois County	Indiana	0.000189415444000000000
4338	Fillmore Town	Putnam County	Indiana	0.000010420416000000000
4048	Fishers City	Hamilton County	Indiana	0.001521842732000000000
3898	Flora Town	Carroll County	Indiana	0.000175966435000000000
3989	Floyd County	Floyd County	Indiana	0.015760956272000000000
4019	Fort Branch Town	Gibson County	Indiana	0.000073250905000000000
3859	Fort Wayne City	Allen County	Indiana	0.027340040454000000000
4058	Fortville Town	Hamilton County	Indiana	0.000152712994000000000
4470	Fountain City Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.000045993560000000000
3996	Fountain County	Fountain County	Indiana	0.001640779205000000000
3877	Fowler Town	Benton County	Indiana	0.000093886409000000000
4033	Fowlerton Town	Grant County	Indiana	0.000022688788000000000
4327	Francesville Town	Pulaski County	Indiana	0.000011498390000000000
4020	Francisco Town	Gibson County	Indiana	0.000027668001000000000
3926	Frankfort City	Clinton County	Indiana	0.001520302769000000000
4145	Franklin City	Johnson County	Indiana	0.001074072834000000000
4006	Franklin County	Franklin County	Indiana	0.002948618417000000000
4212	Frankton Town	Madison County	Indiana	0.000115548554000000000
4392	Fremont Town	Steuben County	Indiana	0.000201427156000000000
4289	French Lick Town	Orange County	Indiana	0.000211642243000000000
4008	Fulton County	Fulton County	Indiana	0.002359941908000000000
4013	Fulton Town	Fulton County	Indiana	0.000002925930000000000
3902	Galveston Town	Cass County	Indiana	0.000012730360000000000
3949	Garrett City	De Kalb County	Indiana	0.000387249353000000000
4194	Gary City	Lake County	Indiana	0.007295266544000000000
4030	Gas City	Grant County	Indiana	0.000544736232000000000
3967	Gaston Town	Delaware County	Indiana	0.000083209332000000000
3853	Geneva Town	Adams County	Indiana	0.000008367132000000000
4374	Gentryville Town	Spencer County	Indiana	0.000017966235000000000
13132	Georgetown Town	Floyd County	Indiana	0.000044864254000000000
4016	Gibson County	Gibson County	Indiana	0.002588523744000000000
3992	Glenwood Town	Fayette County	Indiana	0.000027103348000000000
4276	Goodland Town	Newton County	Indiana	0.000046352885000000000
3984	Goshen City	Elkhart County	Indiana	0.002386377939000000000
4293	Gosport Town	Owen County	Indiana	0.000097736316000000000
3860	Grabill Town	Allen County	Indiana	0.000057543283000000000
4371	Grandview Town	Spencer County	Indiana	0.000048406169000000000
4026	Grant County	Grant County	Indiana	0.007449878825000000000
4334	Greencastle City	Putnam County	Indiana	0.000286279115000000000
3959	Greendale City	Dearborn County	Indiana	0.000136543383000000000
4042	Greene County	Greene County	Indiana	0.004315540875000000000
4057	Greenfield City	Hancock County	Indiana	0.000612289274000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
4473	Greens Fork Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.000017504246000000000
4090	Greensboro Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.000002720601000000000
3960	Greensburg City	Decatur County	Indiana	0.000195369968000000000
4101	Greentown Town	Howard County	Indiana	0.000017452914000000000
3991	Greenville Town	Floyd County	Indiana	0.000009291110000000000
4141	Greenwood City	Johnson County	Indiana	0.001803758618000000000
4322	Griffin Town	Posey County	Indiana	0.000010112423000000000
4195	Griffith Town	Lake County	Indiana	0.000696165923000000000
4474	Hagerstown Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.000234433695000000000
4051	Hamilton County	Hamilton County	Indiana	0.020269762499000000000
3952	Hamilton Town	De Kalb County, Steuben County	Indiana	0.000034341174000000000
4384	Hamlet Town	Starke County	Indiana	0.000188234806000000000
4193	Hammond City	Lake County	Indiana	0.010770244302000000000
4062	Hancock County	Hancock County	Indiana	0.008954422640000000000
4133	Hanover Town	Jefferson County	Indiana	0.000079667417000000000
4454	Hardinsburg Town	Washington County	Indiana	0.000034649167000000000
3923	Harmony Town	Clay County	Indiana	0.000043529620000000000
4071	Harrison County	Harrison County	Indiana	0.005610033742000000000
3879	Hartford City	Blackford County	Indiana	0.001033058488000000000
3863	Hartsville Town	Bartholomew County	Indiana	0.000003849907000000000
4014	Haubstadt Town	Gibson County	Indiana	0.000066783060000000000
4018	Hazleton Town	Gibson County	Indiana	0.000014937641000000000
4314	Hebron Town	Porter County	Indiana	0.000722037301000000000
4073	Hendricks County	Hendricks County	Indiana	0.015700846384000000000
4088	Henry County	Henry County	Indiana	0.011653207733000000000
4192	Highland Town	Lake County	Indiana	0.000864997196000000000
3998	Hillsboro Town	Fountain County	Indiana	0.000040501026000000000
4201	Hobart City	Lake County	Indiana	0.001594631648000000000
3981	Holland Town	Dubois County	Indiana	0.000044453598000000000
4360	Holton Town	Ripley County	Indiana	0.000060058556000000000
3874	Hope Town	Bartholomew County	Indiana	0.000036805115000000000
4106	Howard County	Howard County	Indiana	0.018693507742000000000
4398	Hudson Town	Steuben County	Indiana	0.000029669953000000000
3868	Huntertown Town	Allen County	Indiana	0.000415225347000000000
3979	Huntingburg City	Dubois County	Indiana	0.000455829037000000000
4110	Huntington City	Huntington County	Indiana	0.002487142849000000000
4108	Huntington County	Huntington County	Indiana	0.002617013059000000000
4400	Hymera Town	Sullivan County	Indiana	0.000020173515000000000
4378	Indian Village Town	St Joseph County	Indiana	0.000006005856000000000
4230	Indianapolis City - Marion County	Marion County	Indiana	0.158348539612000000000
4215	Ingalls Town	Madison County	Indiana	0.000015194301000000000
4111	Jackson County	Jackson County	Indiana	0.007183516565000000000
13130	Jamestown Town	Boone County	Indiana	0.000010471748000000000
4043	Jasonville City	Greene County	Indiana	0.000039628380000000000
3977	Jasper City	Dubois County	Indiana	0.000892100544000000000
4119	Jasper County	Jasper County	Indiana	0.004269598647000000000
4124	Jay County	Jay County	Indiana	0.003117552354000000000
4132	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Indiana	0.003577898616000000000
3909	Jeffersonville City	Clark County	Indiana	0.001772754031000000000
4137	Jennings County	Jennings County	Indiana	0.004175198917000000000
4138	Johnson County	Johnson County	Indiana	0.017413644524000000000
4032	Jonesboro City	Grant County	Indiana	0.000065499758000000000
3871	Jonesville Town	Bartholomew County	Indiana	0.000001847956000000000
4417	Kempton Town	Tipton County	Indiana	0.000027462673000000000
4284	Kendallville City	Noble County	Indiana	0.000955393022000000000
4091	Kennard Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.000009034449000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
4269	Kentland Town	Newton County	Indiana	0.000053796040000000000
4017	Kewanna Town	Fulton County	Indiana	0.000005389870000000000
3997	Kingman Town	Fountain County	Indiana	0.000037934421000000000
4169	Kingsbury Town	La Porte County	Indiana	0.000012935689000000000
4173	Kingsford Heights Town	La Porte County	Indiana	0.000045942228000000000
3925	Kirklin Town	Clinton County	Indiana	0.000053282719000000000
4093	Knightstown Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.000036856447000000000
3919	Knightsville Town	Clay County	Indiana	0.000053026058000000000
4389	Knox City	Starke County	Indiana	0.000998614649000000000
4146	Knox County	Knox County	Indiana	0.005298755895000000000
4105	Kokomo City	Howard County	Indiana	0.002824600066000000000
4156	Kosciusko County	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.004936351277000000000
4318	Kouts Town	Porter County	Indiana	0.000383502110000000000
4172	La Crosse Town	La Porte County	Indiana	0.000028283986000000000
4439	La Fontaine Town	Wabash County	Indiana	0.000017555578000000000
4235	La Paz Town	Marshall County	Indiana	0.000034187178000000000
4174	La Porte City	La Porte County	Indiana	0.001637904607000000000
4168	La Porte County	La Porte County	Indiana	0.007761413333000000000
4066	Laconia Town	Harrison County	Indiana	0.000000051332000000000
4256	Ladoga Town	Montgomery County	Indiana	0.000108156732000000000
4409	Lafayette City	Tippecanoe County	Indiana	0.010122433215000000000
4182	Lagrange County	Lagrange County	Indiana	0.002088446438000000000
4183	Lagrange Town	Lagrange County	Indiana	0.000232996396000000000
4436	Lagro Town	Wabash County	Indiana	0.000008264468000000000
4188	Lake County	Lake County	Indiana	0.018344141478000000000
4191	Lake Station City	Lake County	Indiana	0.000738514905000000000
4379	Lakeville Town	St Joseph County	Indiana	0.000035470480000000000
4069	Lanesville Town	Harrison County	Indiana	0.000000513321000000000
4219	Lapel Town	Madison County	Indiana	0.000216570125000000000
4492	Larwill Town	Whitley County	Indiana	0.000024998732000000000
4007	Laurel Town	Franklin County	Indiana	0.000013295014000000000
4227	Lawrence City	Marion County	Indiana	0.001413634668000000000
4206	Lawrence County	Lawrence County	Indiana	0.004144758982000000000
3955	Lawrenceburg City	Dearborn County	Indiana	0.000397721101000000000
3934	Leavenworth Town	Crawford County	Indiana	0.000001385967000000000
3888	Lebanon City	Boone County	Indiana	0.000221087349000000000
4159	Leesburg Town	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.000032236558000000000
3870	Leo-Cedarville Town	Allen County	Indiana	0.000196037285000000000
4094	Lewisville Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.000006981165000000000
4416	Liberty Town	Union County	Indiana	0.000173399830000000000
4281	Ligonier City	Noble County	Indiana	0.000407012211000000000
4259	Linden Town	Montgomery County	Indiana	0.000066885725000000000
4041	Linton City	Greene County	Indiana	0.000214516841000000000
4460	Little York Town	Washington County	Indiana	0.000026795356000000000
4456	Livonia Town	Washington County	Indiana	0.000017966235000000000
4081	Lizton Town	Hendricks County	Indiana	0.000006827169000000000
3903	Logansport City	Cass County	Indiana	0.000184076906000000000
4176	Long Beach Town	La Porte County	Indiana	0.000039115059000000000
4238	Loogootee City	Martin County	Indiana	0.000178533039000000000
4346	Losantville Town	Randolph County	Indiana	0.000065191765000000000
4196	Lowell Town	Lake County	Indiana	0.000413531388000000000
4341	Lynn Town	Randolph County	Indiana	0.000149633068000000000
4453	Lynnville Town	Warrick County	Indiana	0.000045377575000000000
4038	Lyons Town	Greene County	Indiana	0.000016426272000000000
4021	Mackey Town	Gibson County	Indiana	0.000006159852000000000
4246	Macy Town	Miami County	Indiana	0.000002617937000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
4129	Madison City	Jefferson County	Indiana	0.0013095845040000000000
4207	Madison County	Madison County	Indiana	0.0137926269450000000000
3929	Marengo Town	Crawford County	Indiana	0.0000048252170000000000
4031	Marion City	Grant County	Indiana	0.0079365584540000000000
4107	Markle Town	Huntington County	Indiana	0.0000688876770000000000
4223	Markleville Town	Madison County	Indiana	0.0000805400630000000000
4232	Marshall County	Marshall County	Indiana	0.0026797922160000000000
4298	Marshall Town	Parke County	Indiana	0.0000254093890000000000
4242	Martin County	Martin County	Indiana	0.0008651511930000000000
4271	Martinsville City	Morgan County	Indiana	0.0007940049040000000000
4037	Matthews Town	Grant County	Indiana	0.0000508187780000000000
4068	Mauckport Town	Harrison County	Indiana	0.0000000513320000000000
4060	Mccordsville Town	Hancock County	Indiana	0.0001708332250000000000
4301	Mecca Town	Parke County	Indiana	0.0000254607210000000000
4330	Medaryville Town	Pulaski County	Indiana	0.0000081104720000000000
4117	Medora Town	Jackson County	Indiana	0.0000800780700000000000
4000	Mellott Town	Fountain County	Indiana	0.0000147323120000000000
4160	Mentone Town	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.0000563113120000000000
4397	Merom Town	Sullivan County	Indiana	0.0000059031910000000000
4209	Merrillville Town	Lake County	Indiana	0.0010769474320000000000
4241	Miami County	Miami County	Indiana	0.0021571801180000000000
4177	Michiana Shores Town	La Porte County	Indiana	0.0000160669470000000000
4175	Michigan City	La Porte County	Indiana	0.0051926524470000000000
3924	Michigantown Town	Clinton County	Indiana	0.0000305939310000000000
3985	Middlebury Town	Elkhart County	Indiana	0.0001863355190000000000
4098	Middletown Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.0000390637270000000000
4352	Milan Town	Ripley County	Indiana	0.0002914636570000000000
4163	Milford Town	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.0001565629010000000000
3995	Millersburg Town	Elkhart County	Indiana	0.0000439402770000000000
3964	Millhousen Town	Decatur County	Indiana	0.0000016939590000000000
3936	Milltown Town	Crawford County	Indiana	0.0000047738850000000000
4475	Milton Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.0000005646530000000000
4375	Mishawaka City	St Joseph County	Indiana	0.0055379121430000000000
4208	Mitchell City	Lawrence County	Indiana	0.0004247217850000000000
4347	Modoc Town	Randolph County	Indiana	0.0000444535980000000000
4489	Monon Town	White County	Indiana	0.0001328988040000000000
4149	Monroe City Town	Knox County	Indiana	0.0000026692690000000000
4248	Monroe County	Monroe County	Indiana	0.0116042882420000000000
3855	Monroe Town	Adams County	Indiana	0.0000075971510000000000
3858	Monroeville Town	Allen County	Indiana	0.0000675017100000000000
4272	Monrovia Town	Morgan County	Indiana	0.0000589292490000000000
4333	Monterey Town	Pulaski County	Indiana	0.0000028745980000000000
4296	Montezuma Town	Parke County	Indiana	0.0000789487680000000000
4254	Montgomery County	Montgomery County	Indiana	0.0038813226520000000000
3937	Montgomery Town	Daviess County	Indiana	0.0000030285940000000000
4484	Monticello City	White County	Indiana	0.0008595046620000000000
3885	Montpelier City	Blackford County	Indiana	0.0002380269420000000000
4103	Mooreland Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.0000070838300000000000
3957	Moores Hill Town	Dearborn County	Indiana	0.0000098044310000000000
4274	Mooresville Town	Morgan County	Indiana	0.0006795343230000000000
4266	Morgan County	Morgan County	Indiana	0.0118920046560000000000
4268	Morgantown Town	Morgan County	Indiana	0.0000332632000000000000
4275	Morocco Town	Newton County	Indiana	0.0000433242910000000000
4367	Morristown Town	Shelby County	Indiana	0.0000142189910000000000
4471	Mount Auburn Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.0000045685570000000000
4277	Mount Ayr Town	Newton County	Indiana	0.0000046198890000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
4012	Mount Carmel Town	Franklin County	Indiana	0.000002207280000000000
4109	Mount Etna Town	Huntington County	Indiana	0.000009496438000000000
4100	Mount Summit Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.000006724505000000000
4326	Mount Vernon City	Posey County	Indiana	0.000528001968000000000
3928	Mulberry Town	Clinton County	Indiana	0.000102048212000000000
3973	Muncie City	Delaware County	Indiana	0.011426730513000000000
4197	Munster Town	Lake County	Indiana	0.001401776953000000000
4356	Napoleon Town	Ripley County	Indiana	0.000029207964000000000
3988	Nappanee City	Elkhart County, Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.000750937273000000000
3894	Nashville Town	Brown County	Indiana	0.000122940377000000000
3993	New Albany City	Floyd County	Indiana	0.001005493151000000000
4067	New Amsterdam Town	Harrison County	Indiana	0.000000000000000000000
4385	New Carlisle Town	St Joseph County	Indiana	0.000260048412000000000
4096	New Castle City	Henry County	Indiana	0.000525435363000000000
4198	New Chicago Town	Lake County	Indiana	0.000302397394000000000
4325	New Harmony Town	Posey County	Indiana	0.000046404217000000000
3867	New Haven City	Allen County	Indiana	0.001210256893000000000
4258	New Market Town	Montgomery County	Indiana	0.000055849323000000000
4072	New Middletown Town	Harrison County	Indiana	0.000000102664000000000
4059	New Palestine Town	Hancock County	Indiana	0.000039269056000000000
4462	New Pekin Town	Washington County	Indiana	0.000237000300000000000
3965	New Point Town	Decatur County	Indiana	0.000004311896000000000
4255	New Richmond Town	Montgomery County	Indiana	0.000029464625000000000
4260	New Ross Town	Montgomery County	Indiana	0.000030542599000000000
4142	New Whiteland Town	Johnson County	Indiana	0.000149735732000000000
4047	Newberry Town	Greene County	Indiana	0.000004311896000000000
4455	Newburgh Town	Warrick County	Indiana	0.000335968586000000000
4426	Newport Town	Vermillion County	Indiana	0.000042554310000000000
4273	Newton County	Newton County	Indiana	0.001317386983000000000
4004	Newtown Town	Fountain County	Indiana	0.000019352201000000000
4278	Noble County	Noble County	Indiana	0.003308610426000000000
4056	Noblesville City	Hamilton County	Indiana	0.001813255057000000000
4391	North Judson Town	Starke County	Indiana	0.000550690756000000000
4376	North Liberty Town	St Joseph County	Indiana	0.000258405785000000000
4442	North Manchester Town	Wabash County	Indiana	0.000190904075000000000
4083	North Salem Town	Hendricks County	Indiana	0.000007289158000000000
4135	North Vernon City	Jennings County	Indiana	0.001513680928000000000
4164	North Webster Town	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.000116061875000000000
4028	Oakland City	Gibson County	Indiana	0.000117550506000000000
4152	Oaktown Town	Knox County	Indiana	0.000003028594000000000
3938	Odon Town	Daviess County	Indiana	0.000001129306000000000
4316	Ogden Dunes Town	Porter County	Indiana	0.000007545819000000000
4286	Ohio County	Ohio County	Indiana	0.000605102780000000000
4011	Oldenburg Town	Franklin County	Indiana	0.000017555578000000000
3906	Onward Town	Cass County	Indiana	0.000000205328000000000
4210	Oolitic Town	Lawrence County	Indiana	0.000059288574000000000
4287	Orange County	Orange County	Indiana	0.001597198253000000000
4217	Orestes Town	Madison County	Indiana	0.000064113791000000000
4395	Orland Town	Steuben County	Indiana	0.000025255393000000000
4288	Orleans Town	Orange County	Indiana	0.000250859967000000000
4383	Osceola Town	St Joseph County	Indiana	0.000333607310000000000
4349	Osgood Town	Ripley County	Indiana	0.000249576664000000000
4481	Ossian Town	Wells County	Indiana	0.000269134194000000000
3880	Otterbein Town	Benton County	Indiana	0.000047636188000000000
4292	Owen County	Owen County	Indiana	0.002265234186000000000
4025	Owensville Town	Gibson County	Indiana	0.000056362644000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
3878	Oxford Town	Benton County	Indiana	0.000039166391000000000
4075	Palmyra Town	Harrison County	Indiana	0.000000821314000000000
4290	Paoli Town	Orange County	Indiana	0.000428417696000000000
4264	Paragon Town	Morgan County	Indiana	0.000022226799000000000
4295	Parke County	Parke County	Indiana	0.001418203225000000000
4345	Parker City Town	Randolph County	Indiana	0.000194291994000000000
4024	Patoka Town	Gibson County	Indiana	0.000042605642000000000
4404	Patriot Town	Switzerland County	Indiana	0.000022740120000000000
4218	Pendleton Town	Madison County	Indiana	0.000746163388000000000
4127	Pennville Town	Jay County	Indiana	0.000010009759000000000
4299	Perry County	Perry County	Indiana	0.002167651867000000000
4429	Perrysville Town	Vermillion County	Indiana	0.000037934421000000000
4247	Peru City	Miami County	Indiana	0.001826036749000000000
4306	Petersburg City	Pike County	Indiana	0.000103588175000000000
4162	Pierceton Town	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.000102869526000000000
4307	Pike County	Pike County	Indiana	0.001238284218000000000
4447	Pine Village Town	Warren County	Indiana	0.000008880453000000000
4080	Pittsboro Town	Hendricks County	Indiana	0.000022842784000000000
4085	Plainfield Town	Hendricks County	Indiana	0.001021046776000000000
3939	Plainville Town	Daviess County	Indiana	0.000007186494000000000
4237	Plymouth City	Marshall County	Indiana	0.001356142717000000000
4483	Poneto Town	Wells County	Indiana	0.000011087733000000000
4319	Portage City	Porter County	Indiana	0.004894412953000000000
4312	Porter County	Porter County	Indiana	0.011076491603000000000
4315	Porter Town	Porter County	Indiana	0.001634722017000000000
4126	Portland City	Jay County	Indiana	0.000123299701000000000
4321	Posey County	Posey County	Indiana	0.001942714610000000000
4329	Poseyville Town	Posey County	Indiana	0.000044658926000000000
4179	Pottawattamie Park Town	La Porte County	Indiana	0.000012319704000000000
4144	Princes Lakes Town	Johnson County	Indiana	0.000039063727000000000
4023	Princeton City	Gibson County	Indiana	0.000453005772000000000
4324	Pulaski County	Pulaski County	Indiana	0.001855306520000000000
4332	Putnam County	Putnam County	Indiana	0.004476056348000000000
4340	Randolph County	Randolph County	Indiana	0.001948463805000000000
4128	Redkey Town	Jay County	Indiana	0.000011601054000000000
4122	Remington Town	Jasper County	Indiana	0.000024228751000000000
4120	Rensselaer City	Jasper County	Indiana	0.000101278231000000000
4486	Reynolds Town	White County	Indiana	0.000007083830000000000
4373	Richland Town	Spencer County	Indiana	0.000026898020000000000
4476	Richmond City	Wayne County	Indiana	0.006900830697000000000
4343	Ridgeville Town	Randolph County	Indiana	0.000178943696000000000
4433	Riley Town	Vigo County	Indiana	0.000014629648000000000
4351	Ripley County	Ripley County	Indiana	0.002963915383000000000
4285	Rising Sun City	Ohio County	Indiana	0.000356090769000000000
4225	River Forest Town	Madison County	Indiana	0.000003439251000000000
4335	Roachdale Town	Putnam County	Indiana	0.000017760906000000000
4441	Roann Town	Wabash County	Indiana	0.000009599102000000000
4112	Roanoke Town	Huntington County	Indiana	0.000123402365000000000
4022	Rochester City	Fulton County	Indiana	0.000090652486000000000
4370	Rockport City	Spencer County	Indiana	0.000104768814000000000
4300	Rockville Town	Parke County	Indiana	0.000245162104000000000
4283	Rome City Town	Noble County	Indiana	0.000125096325000000000
4303	Rosedale Town	Parke County	Indiana	0.000056105984000000000
4380	Roseland Town	St Joseph County	Indiana	0.000028283986000000000
3927	Rossville Town	Clinton County	Indiana	0.000134079442000000000
3904	Royal Center Town	Cass County	Indiana	0.000001796623000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
4355	Rush County	Rush County	Indiana	0.002195011875000000000
4359	Rushville City	Rush County	Indiana	0.000154047628000000000
4339	Russellville Town	Putnam County	Indiana	0.000006929833000000000
4104	Russiaville Town	Howard County	Indiana	0.000008059140000000000
4130	Salamonia Town	Jay County	Indiana	0.000002258612000000000
4457	Salem City	Washington County	Indiana	0.000949284502000000000
4461	Saltillo Town	Washington County	Indiana	0.000012833025000000000
4154	Sandborn Town	Knox County	Indiana	0.000002053284000000000
4372	Santa Claus Town	Spencer County	Indiana	0.000075252857000000000
4344	Saratoga Town	Randolph County	Indiana	0.000045018251000000000
4205	Schererville Town	Lake County	Indiana	0.001304861951000000000
4202	Schneider Town	Lake County	Indiana	0.000009342442000000000
4363	Scott County	Scott County	Indiana	0.012220735416000000000
4361	Scottsburg City	Scott County	Indiana	0.000625019635000000000
4434	Seelyville Town	Vigo County	Indiana	0.000082952672000000000
3911	Sellersburg Town	Clark County	Indiana	0.000135722069000000000
3972	Selma Town	Delaware County	Indiana	0.000080899388000000000
4116	Seymour City	Jackson County	Indiana	0.000450541831000000000
4413	Shadeland Town	Tippecanoe County	Indiana	0.000129356889000000000
3881	Shamrock Lakes Town	Blackford County	Indiana	0.000030901923000000000
4415	Sharpsville Town	Tipton County	Indiana	0.000050818778000000000
4402	Shelburn Town	Sullivan County	Indiana	0.000044402265000000000
4368	Shelby County	Shelby County	Indiana	0.000450541831000000000
4364	Shelbyville City	Shelby County	Indiana	0.005457372080000000000
4053	Sheridan Town	Hamilton County	Indiana	0.000121657074000000000
4186	Shipshewana Town	Lagrange County	Indiana	0.000047174199000000000
4063	Shirley Town	Hancock County	Indiana	0.000011806383000000000
4243	Shoals Town	Martin County	Indiana	0.000066988389000000000
4167	Sidney Town	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.000004773885000000000
4170	Silver Lake Town	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.000053128722000000000
4029	Somerville Town	Gibson County	Indiana	0.000016939593000000000
4381	South Bend City	St Joseph County	Indiana	0.013582319336000000000
4493	South Whitley Town	Whitley County	Indiana	0.000000564653000000000
4228	Southport City	Marion County	Indiana	0.000003490583000000000
4229	Speedway Town	Marion County	Indiana	0.000716390770000000000
4362	Spencer County	Spencer County	Indiana	0.001563113739000000000
4294	Spencer Town	Owen County	Indiana	0.000335301269000000000
4095	Spiceland Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.000016836928000000000
4477	Spring Grove Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.000014167659000000000
4070	Spring Lake Town	Hancock County	Indiana	0.000003079926000000000
4099	Springport Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.000002771933000000000
4308	Spurgeon Town	Pike County	Indiana	0.000006827169000000000
4377	St Joseph County	St Joseph County	Indiana	0.013360462005000000000
3950	St. Joe Town	De Kalb County	Indiana	0.000029823949000000000
4200	St. John Town	Lake County	Indiana	0.000653868274000000000
3958	St. Leon Town	Dearborn County	Indiana	0.000011190398000000000
3963	St. Paul Town	Decatur County	Indiana	0.000016220943000000000
4388	Starke County	Starke County	Indiana	0.005754687596000000000
4445	State Line City Town	Warren County	Indiana	0.000005800527000000000
3916	Staunton Town	Clay County	Indiana	0.000035419148000000000
4387	Steuben County	Steuben County	Indiana	0.002289052280000000000
4084	Stilesville Town	Hendricks County	Indiana	0.000004517225000000000
4250	Stinesville Town	Monroe County	Indiana	0.000014321656000000000
4097	Straughn Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.000004260564000000000
4403	Sullivan City	Sullivan County	Indiana	0.000150608378000000000
4399	Sullivan County	Sullivan County	Indiana	0.004997077150000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
4102	Sulphur Springs Town	Henry County	Indiana	0.000007494486000000000
4220	Summitville Town	Madison County	Indiana	0.000154098960000000000
4354	Sunman Town	Ripley County	Indiana	0.000161336786000000000
4036	Swayzee Town	Grant County	Indiana	0.000084954623000000000
4035	Sweetser Town	Grant County	Indiana	0.000043786280000000000
4054	Switz City Town	Greene County	Indiana	0.000006570509000000000
4401	Switzerland County	Switzerland County	Indiana	0.001390381227000000000
4166	Syracuse Town	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.000288332399000000000
4305	Tell City	Perry County	Indiana	0.000071351617000000000
4452	Tennyson Town	Warrick County	Indiana	0.000014372988000000000
4437	Terre Haute City	Vigo County	Indiana	0.010172687340000000000
3889	Thorntown Town	Boone County	Indiana	0.000019968186000000000
4407	Tippecanoe County	Tippecanoe County	Indiana	0.009836513425000000000
4411	Tipton City	Tipton County	Indiana	0.000756840464000000000
4418	Tipton County	Tipton County	Indiana	0.001461219523000000000
4184	Topeka Town	Lagrange County	Indiana	0.000102510201000000000
4317	Town Of Pines Town	Porter County	Indiana	0.000177506398000000000
4140	Trafalgar Town	Johnson County	Indiana	0.000034751831000000000
4180	Trail Creek Town	La Porte County	Indiana	0.000070068315000000000
4304	Troy Town	Perry County	Indiana	0.000003695911000000000
3890	Ulen Town	Boone County	Indiana	0.000001385967000000000
4350	Union City	Randolph County	Indiana	0.000467276095000000000
4414	Union County	Union County	Indiana	0.000788666365000000000
4480	Uniondale Town	Wells County	Indiana	0.000020532840000000000
4427	Universal Town	Vermillion County	Indiana	0.000029823949000000000
4034	Upland Town	Grant County	Indiana	0.000132693475000000000
3913	Utica Town	Clark County	Indiana	0.000015553626000000000
4320	Valparaiso City	Porter County	Indiana	0.004508549566000000000
4039	Van Buren Town	Grant County	Indiana	0.000074585540000000000
4421	Vanderburgh County	Vanderburgh County	Indiana	0.018730004864000000000
4002	Veedersburg Town	Fountain County	Indiana	0.000174477804000000000
4487	Vera Cruz Town	Wells County	Indiana	0.000005287206000000000
4424	Vermillion County	Vermillion County	Indiana	0.001479237090000000000
4134	Vernon Town	Jennings County	Indiana	0.000044556262000000000
4353	Versailles Town	Ripley County	Indiana	0.000325753499000000000
4405	Vevay Town	Switzerland County	Indiana	0.000222627312000000000
4428	Vigo County	Vigo County	Indiana	0.007949340146000000000
4153	Vincennes City	Knox County	Indiana	0.000141984585000000000
4440	Wabash City	Wabash County	Indiana	0.000616549838000000000
4438	Wabash County	Wabash County	Indiana	0.005254353629000000000
3987	Wakarusa Town	Elkhart County	Indiana	0.000133566121000000000
4382	Walkerton Town	St Joseph County	Indiana	0.000293414277000000000
4005	Wallace Town	Fountain County	Indiana	0.000007443154000000000
3905	Walton Town	Cass County	Indiana	0.000010215088000000000
4181	Wanatah Town	La Porte County	Indiana	0.000034289842000000000
4443	Warren County	Warren County	Indiana	0.000576818794000000000
4114	Warren Town	Huntington County	Indiana	0.000076587491000000000
4450	Warrick County	Warrick County	Indiana	0.003991430003000000000
4165	Warsaw City	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.002580772597000000000
3941	Washington City	Daviess County	Indiana	0.000149581736000000000
4459	Washington County	Washington County	Indiana	0.003404396122000000000
3951	Waterloo Town	De Kalb County	Indiana	0.000127970922000000000
4261	Waveland Town	Montgomery County	Indiana	0.000037215772000000000
4468	Wayne County	Wayne County	Indiana	0.007657876490000000000
4267	Waynetown Town	Montgomery County	Indiana	0.000084133310000000000
4478	Wells County	Wells County	Indiana	0.002019866754000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
4291	West Baden Springs Town	Orange County	Indiana	0.0000548226810000000000
4419	West College Corner Town	Union County	Indiana	0.0000653457620000000000
3962	West Harrison Town	Dearborn County	Indiana	0.0000047738850000000000
4412	West Lafayette City	Tippecanoe County	Indiana	0.0026122905060000000000
4444	West Lebanon Town	Warren County	Indiana	0.0000297726170000000000
4435	West Terre Haute Town	Vigo County	Indiana	0.0001801756670000000000
4050	Westfield City	Hamilton County	Indiana	0.0011068740460000000000
3966	Westport Town	Decatur County	Indiana	0.0000220214700000000000
4178	Westville Town	La Porte County	Indiana	0.0004051642560000000000
4123	Wheatfield Town	Jasper County	Indiana	0.0000140649950000000000
4155	Wheatland Town	Knox County	Indiana	0.0000023612770000000000
4482	White County	White County	Indiana	0.0020457381320000000000
4143	Whiteland Town	Johnson County	Indiana	0.0001268929480000000000
3892	Whitestown Town	Boone County	Indiana	0.0000755608490000000000
4479	Whitewater Town	Wayne County	Indiana	0.0000029772620000000000
4199	Whiting City	Lake County	Indiana	0.0007469333690000000000
4491	Whitley County	Whitley County	Indiana	0.0030739200700000000000
4065	Wilkinson Town	Hancock County	Indiana	0.0000063651800000000000
4448	Williamsport Town	Warren County	Indiana	0.0000945537260000000000
4336	Winamac Town	Pulaski County	Indiana	0.0000215594810000000000
4348	Winchester City	Randolph County	Indiana	0.0007150048040000000000
4420	Windfall City Town	Tipton County	Indiana	0.0000588779170000000000
4204	Winfield Town	Lake County	Indiana	0.0002465480700000000000
4265	Wingate Town	Montgomery County	Indiana	0.0000232534410000000000
4171	Winona Lake Town	Kosciusko County	Indiana	0.0009640168150000000000
4310	Winslow Town	Pike County	Indiana	0.0000284893150000000000
4494	Wolcott Town	White County	Indiana	0.0000133976780000000000
4189	Wolcottville Town	Lagrange County	Indiana	0.0000864945860000000000
3862	Woodburn City	Allen County	Indiana	0.0000821313580000000000
4221	Woodlawn Heights Town	Madison County	Indiana	0.0000122170400000000000
4046	Worthington Town	Greene County	Indiana	0.0000261280380000000000
3899	Yeoman Town	Carroll County	Indiana	0.0000145783160000000000
3968	Yorktown Town	Delaware County	Indiana	0.0009019563070000000000
3865	Zanesville Town	Allen County	Indiana	0.0000259227100000000000
3891	Zionsville Town	Boone County	Indiana	0.0003758536270000000000
3158	Adair County	Adair County	Iowa	0.0025568954920000000000
3159	Adams County	Adams County	Iowa	0.0011167386100000000000
3162	Allamakee County	Allamakee County	Iowa	0.0044586205710000000000
3161	Appanoose County	Appanoose County	Iowa	0.0053195734730000000000
3160	Audubon County	Audubon County	Iowa	0.0012080906490000000000
3163	Benton County	Benton County	Iowa	0.0051900779510000000000
3165	Black Hawk County	Black Hawk County	Iowa	0.0334239481450000000000
3166	Boone County	Boone County	Iowa	0.0082293763160000000000
3168	Bremer County	Bremer County	Iowa	0.0073132916580000000000
3172	Buchanan County	Buchanan County	Iowa	0.0037701146770000000000
3173	Buena Vista County	Buena Vista County	Iowa	0.0032697619300000000000
3176	Butler County	Butler County	Iowa	0.0027075462230000000000
3174	Calhoun County	Calhoun County	Iowa	0.0018895448070000000000
3178	Carroll County	Carroll County	Iowa	0.0060330809770000000000
3175	Cass County	Cass County	Iowa	0.0033566265010000000000
3177	Cedar County	Cedar County	Iowa	0.0036553636950000000000
3179	Cerro Gordo County	Cerro Gordo County	Iowa	0.0163029733650000000000
3180	Cherokee County	Cherokee County	Iowa	0.0023783583490000000000
3181	Chickasaw County	Chickasaw County	Iowa	0.0024312463720000000000
3183	Clarke County	Clarke County	Iowa	0.0030460295680000000000
3185	Clay County	Clay County	Iowa	0.0029572417970000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
3186	Clayton County	Clayton County	Iowa	0.0045740126210000000000
3184	Clinton County	Clinton County	Iowa	0.0145861960980000000000
3187	Crawford County	Crawford County	Iowa	0.0033053411450000000000
3192	Dallas County	Dallas County	Iowa	0.0147759519120000000000
3196	Davis County	Davis County	Iowa	0.0015385606570000000000
3197	Decatur County	Decatur County	Iowa	0.0025315733480000000000
3195	Delaware County	Delaware County	Iowa	0.0030213484910000000000
3199	Des Moines County	Des Moines County	Iowa	0.0156798562980000000000
3200	Dickinson County	Dickinson County	Iowa	0.0033223294190000000000
3201	Dubuque County	Dubuque County	Iowa	0.0274543327950000000000
3205	Emmet County	Emmet County	Iowa	0.0017533180820000000000
3204	Fayette County	Fayette County	Iowa	0.0052846353240000000000
3209	Floyd County	Floyd County	Iowa	0.0032854680700000000000
3206	Franklin County	Franklin County	Iowa	0.0021071870340000000000
3208	Fremont County	Fremont County	Iowa	0.0020478883420000000000
3207	Greene County	Greene County	Iowa	0.0035784356620000000000
3210	Grundy County	Grundy County	Iowa	0.0032309773800000000000
3215	Guthrie County	Guthrie County	Iowa	0.0023084820530000000000
3211	Hamilton County	Hamilton County	Iowa	0.0035018281630000000000
3214	Hancock County	Hancock County	Iowa	0.0019010840120000000000
3213	Hardin County	Hardin County	Iowa	0.0044913149850000000000
3212	Harrison County	Harrison County	Iowa	0.0061782826390000000000
3216	Henry County	Henry County	Iowa	0.0044512483020000000000
3217	Howard County	Howard County	Iowa	0.0017142129990000000000
3218	Humboldt County	Humboldt County	Iowa	0.0019296114910000000000
3219	Ida County	Ida County	Iowa	0.0016805569850000000000
3221	Iowa County	Iowa County	Iowa	0.0026585046020000000000
3223	Jackson County	Jackson County	Iowa	0.0054904178120000000000
3220	Jasper County	Jasper County	Iowa	0.0167805682350000000000
3227	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Iowa	0.0057282536470000000000
3231	Johnson County	Johnson County	Iowa	0.0382239368630000000000
3232	Jones County	Jones County	Iowa	0.0038848656600000000000
3230	Keokuk County	Keokuk County	Iowa	0.0019802557790000000000
3229	Kossuth County	Kossuth County	Iowa	0.0034822756210000000000
3236	Lee County	Lee County	Iowa	0.0145868371650000000000
3238	Linn County	Linn County	Iowa	0.0732858110410000000000
3239	Louisa County	Louisa County	Iowa	0.0033588702350000000000
3242	Lucas County	Lucas County	Iowa	0.0033005331430000000000
3241	Lyon County	Lyon County	Iowa	0.0016193350920000000000
3243	Madison County	Madison County	Iowa	0.0040313494560000000000
3240	Mahaska County	Mahaska County	Iowa	0.0071619998600000000000
3244	Marion County	Marion County	Iowa	0.0117882594360000000000
3251	Marshall County	Marshall County	Iowa	0.0103634881610000000000
3248	Mills County	Mills County	Iowa	0.0049471135800000000000
3250	Mitchell County	Mitchell County	Iowa	0.0018946733430000000000
3249	Monona County	Monona County	Iowa	0.0044576589710000000000
3256	Monroe County	Monroe County	Iowa	0.0021575107880000000000
3254	Montgomery County	Montgomery County	Iowa	0.0053089958680000000000
3252	Muscatine County	Muscatine County	Iowa	0.0106074141310000000000
3257	O Brien County	O Brien County	Iowa	0.0023482282030000000000
3253	Osceola County	Osceola County	Iowa	0.0014539398210000000000
3258	Page County	Page County	Iowa	0.0058240931550000000000
3262	Palo Alto County	Palo Alto County	Iowa	0.0016661329780000000000
3259	Plymouth County	Plymouth County	Iowa	0.0044528509690000000000
3264	Pocahontas County	Pocahontas County	Iowa	0.0011657802310000000000
3261	Polk County	Polk County	Iowa	0.2281089262500000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
3273	Pottawattamie County	Pottawattamie County	Iowa	0.0361455978410000000000
3270	Poweshiek County	Poweshiek County	Iowa	0.0047471006950000000000
3274	Ringgold County	Ringgold County	Iowa	0.0011959103780000000000
3280	Sac County	Sac County	Iowa	0.0022007828070000000000
3276	Scott County	Scott County	Iowa	0.0886140421230000000000
3279	Shelby County	Shelby County	Iowa	0.0028591585550000000000
3281	Sioux County	Sioux County	Iowa	0.0040967382830000000000
3282	Story County	Story County	Iowa	0.0216651777890000000000
3278	Tama County	Tama County	Iowa	0.0034499017400000000000
3284	Taylor County	Taylor County	Iowa	0.0017844098290000000000
3285	Union County	Union County	Iowa	0.0046297854450000000000
3283	Van Buren County	Van Buren County	Iowa	0.0015254187850000000000
3287	Wapello County	Wapello County	Iowa	0.0100266074830000000000
3291	Warren County	Warren County	Iowa	0.0133165630220000000000
3289	Washington County	Washington County	Iowa	0.0055436263680000000000
3292	Wayne County	Wayne County	Iowa	0.0024405418430000000000
3290	Webster County	Webster County	Iowa	0.0159577588170000000000
3294	Winnebago County	Winnebago County	Iowa	0.0023405354000000000000
3298	Winneshiek County	Winneshiek County	Iowa	0.0036745957030000000000
3296	Woodbury County	Woodbury County	Iowa	0.0256622301630000000000
3297	Worth County	Worth County	Iowa	0.0023456639350000000000
3300	Wright County	Wright County	Iowa	0.0028104374670000000000
5140	Acadia Parish	Acadia Parish	Louisiana	
5209	Alexandria City	Rapides Parish	Louisiana	
5142	Allen Parish	Allen Parish	Louisiana	
5145	Ascension Parish	Ascension Parish	Louisiana	
5147	Assumption Parish	Assumption Parish	Louisiana	
5148	Avoyelles Parish	Avoyelles Parish	Louisiana	
5233	Baldwin Town	St Mary Parish	Louisiana	
5198	Bastrop City	Morehouse Parish	Louisiana	
5170	Baton Rouge City	East Baton Rouge Parish	Louisiana	
5150	Beauregard Parish	Beauregard Parish	Louisiana	
5229	Berwick Town	St Mary Parish	Louisiana	
5153	Bienville Parish	Bienville Parish	Louisiana	
5249	Bogalusa City	Washington Parish	Louisiana	
5155	Bossier City	Bossier Parish	Louisiana	
5152	Bossier Parish	Bossier Parish	Louisiana	
5156	Caddo Parish	Caddo Parish	Louisiana	
5158	Calcasieu Parish	Calcasieu Parish	Louisiana	
5160	Caldwell Parish	Caldwell Parish	Louisiana	
5161	Cameron Parish	Cameron Parish	Louisiana	
5164	Catahoula Parish	Catahoula Parish	Louisiana	
5163	Claiborne Parish	Claiborne Parish	Louisiana	
5162	Concordia Parish	Concordia Parish	Louisiana	
5231	Covington City	St Tammany Parish	Louisiana	
5165	De Soto Parish	De Soto Parish	Louisiana	
5214	Delhi Town	Richland Parish	Louisiana	
5149	Donaldsonville City	Ascension Parish	Louisiana	
5171	East Carroll Parish	East Carroll Parish	Louisiana	
5172	East Feliciana Parish	East Feliciana Parish	Louisiana	
5143	Eunice City	Acadia Parish, St Landry Parish	Louisiana	
5177	Evangeline Parish	Evangeline Parish	Louisiana	
5167	Ferriday Town	Concordia Parish	Louisiana	
5225	Franklin City	St Mary Parish	Louisiana	
5174	Franklin Parish	Franklin Parish	Louisiana	
5218	Gramercy Town	St James Parish	Louisiana	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
5178	Grant Parish	Grant Parish	Louisiana	
5182	Gretna City	Jefferson Parish	Louisiana	
5175	Iberia Parish	Iberia Parish	Louisiana	
5180	Iberville Parish	Iberville Parish	Louisiana	
5181	Jackson Parish	Jackson Parish	Louisiana	
5183	Jean Lafitte Town	Jefferson Parish	Louisiana	
5184	Jefferson Davis Parish	Jefferson Davis Parish	Louisiana	
5179	Jefferson Parish	Jefferson Parish	Louisiana	
5187	Kenner City	Jefferson Parish	Louisiana	
5186	Lafayette City	Lafayette Parish	Louisiana	
5189	Lafourche Parish	Lafourche Parish	Louisiana	
5157	Lake Charles City	Calcasieu Parish	Louisiana	
5173	Lake Providence Town	East Carroll Parish	Louisiana	
5193	Lasalle Parish	Lasalle Parish	Louisiana	
5191	Lincoln Parish	Lincoln Parish	Louisiana	
5194	Livingston Parish	Livingston Parish	Louisiana	
5230	Lutcher Town	St James Parish	Louisiana	
5197	Madison Parish	Madison Parish	Louisiana	
5237	Madisonville Town	St Tammany Parish	Louisiana	
5236	Mandeville City	St Tammany Parish	Louisiana	
5206	Monroe City	Ouachita Parish	Louisiana	
5199	Morehouse Parish	Morehouse Parish	Louisiana	
5226	Morgan City	St Martin Parish, St Mary Parish	Louisiana	
5200	Natchitoches City	Natchitoches Parish	Louisiana	
5195	Natchitoches Parish	Natchitoches Parish	Louisiana	
5176	New Iberia City	Iberia Parish	Louisiana	
5205	New Orleans City	Orleans Parish	Louisiana	
5201	New Roads City	Pointe Coupee Parish	Louisiana	
5224	Opelousas City	St Landry Parish	Louisiana	
5204	Ouachita Parish	Ouachita Parish	Louisiana	
5232	Patterson City	St Mary Parish	Louisiana	
5235	Pearl River Town	St Tammany Parish	Louisiana	
5213	Pineville City	Rapides Parish	Louisiana	
5203	Plaquemines Parish	Plaquemines Parish	Louisiana	
5210	Pointe Coupee Parish	Pointe Coupee Parish	Louisiana	
5208	Rapides Parish	Rapides Parish/Police Jury	Louisiana	
5212	Red River Parish	Red River Parish	Louisiana	
5211	Richland Parish	Richland Parish	Louisiana	
5207	Richwood Town	Ouachita Parish	Louisiana	
5215	Sabine Parish	Sabine Parish	Louisiana	
14184	Sheriff Of Acadia Parish	Acadia Parish	Louisiana	
13403	Sheriff Of Allen Parish	Allen Parish	Louisiana	
13405	Sheriff Of Ascension Parish	Ascension Parish	Louisiana	
13406	Sheriff Of Assumption Parish	Assumption Parish	Louisiana	
13408	Sheriff Of Avoyelles Parish	Avoyelles Parish	Louisiana	
14183	Sheriff Of Beauregard Parish	Beauregard Parish	Louisiana	Allocations in Louisiana will be made in accordance with the Louisiana State-Local Government Opioid Litigation Memorandum of Understanding.
13556	Sheriff Of Bienville Parish	Bienville Parish	Louisiana	
14158	Sheriff Of Bossier Parish	Bossier Parish	Louisiana	
14154	Sheriff Of Caddo Parish	Caddo Parish	Louisiana	
13411	Sheriff Of Calcasieu Parish	Calcasieu Parish	Louisiana	
14156	Sheriff Of Caldwell Parish	Caldwell Parish	Louisiana	
14157	Sheriff Of Cameron Parish	Cameron Parish	Louisiana	
13409	Sheriff Of Catahoula Parish	Catahoula Parish	Louisiana	
14161	Sheriff Of Claiborne Parish	Claiborne Parish	Louisiana	
13412	Sheriff Of Concordia Parish	Concordia Parish	Louisiana	
14165	Sheriff Of De Soto Parish	De Soto Parish	Louisiana	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
13415	Sheriff Of East Baton Rouge Parish	East Baton Rouge Parish	Louisiana	
13416	Sheriff Of East Carroll Parish	East Carroll Parish	Louisiana	
14163	Sheriff Of East Feliciana Parish	East Feliciana Parish	Louisiana	
13419	Sheriff Of Evangeline Parish	Evangeline Parish	Louisiana	
14164	Sheriff Of Franklin Parish	Franklin Parish	Louisiana	
13417	Sheriff Of Grant Parish	Grant Parish	Louisiana	
13557	Sheriff Of Iberia Parish	Iberia Parish	Louisiana	
14169	Sheriff Of Iberville Parish	Iberville Parish	Louisiana	
13422	Sheriff Of Jackson Parish	Jackson Parish	Louisiana	
13425	Sheriff Of Jefferson Davis Parish	Jefferson Davis Parish	Louisiana	
13423	Sheriff Of Jefferson Parish	Jefferson Parish	Louisiana	
13430	Sheriff Of Lafayette Parish	Lafayette Parish	Louisiana	
14168	Sheriff Of Lafourche Parish	Lafourche Parish	Louisiana	
14167	Sheriff Of Lasalle Parish	Lasalle Parish	Louisiana	
13429	Sheriff Of Lincoln Parish	Lincoln Parish	Louisiana	
13433	Sheriff Of Livingston Parish	Livingston Parish	Louisiana	
14162	Sheriff Of Madison Parish	Madison Parish	Louisiana	
13436	Sheriff Of Morehouse Parish	Morehouse Parish	Louisiana	
14166	Sheriff Of Natchitoches Parish	Natchitoches Parish	Louisiana	
13440	Sheriff Of Orleans Parish	Orleans Parish	Louisiana	
13435	Sheriff Of Ouachita Parish	Ouachita Parish	Louisiana	
14159	Sheriff Of Plaquemines Parish	Plaquemines Parish	Louisiana	
14153	Sheriff Of Pointe Coupee Parish	Pointe Coupee Parish	Louisiana	
13559	Sheriff Of Rapides Parish	Rapides Parish	Louisiana	
14155	Sheriff Of Red River Parish	Red River Parish	Louisiana	
13439	Sheriff Of Richland Parish	Richland Parish	Louisiana	
13441	Sheriff Of Sabine Parish	Sabine Parish	Louisiana	
14160	Sheriff Of St Helena Parish	St Helena Parish	Louisiana	
14152	Sheriff Of St James Parish	St James Parish	Louisiana	
14170	Sheriff Of St John The Baptist Parish	St John The Baptist Parish	Louisiana	
14171	Sheriff Of St Martin Parish	St Martin Parish	Louisiana	
13442	Sheriff Of St. Bernard Parish	St Bernard Parish	Louisiana	
13444	Sheriff Of St. Charles Parish	St Charles Parish	Louisiana	
13445	Sheriff Of St. Landry Parish	St Landry Parish	Louisiana	
13447	Sheriff Of St. Mary Parish	St Mary Parish	Louisiana	
13449	Sheriff Of St. Tammany Parish	St Tammany Parish	Louisiana	
14172	Sheriff Of Tangipahoa Parish	Tangipahoa Parish	Louisiana	
13455	Sheriff Of Tensas Parish	Tensas Parish	Louisiana	
13458	Sheriff Of Terrebonne Parish	Terrebonne Parish	Louisiana	
13460	Sheriff Of Union Parish	Union Parish	Louisiana	
14173	Sheriff Of Vermilion Parish	Vermilion Parish	Louisiana	
13459	Sheriff Of Vernon Parish	Vernon Parish	Louisiana	
13462	Sheriff Of Washington Parish	Washington Parish	Louisiana	
14174	Sheriff Of Webster Parish	Webster Parish	Louisiana	
14175	Sheriff Of West Baton Rouge Parish	West Baton Rouge Parish	Louisiana	
13561	Sheriff Of West Carroll Parish	West Carroll Parish	Louisiana	
14176	Sheriff Of West Feliciana Parish	West Feliciana Parish	Louisiana	
14177	Sheriff Of Winn Parish	Winn Parish	Louisiana	
5154	Shreveport City	Bossier Parish, Caddo Parish	Louisiana	
5238	Slidell City	St Tammany Parish	Louisiana	
5217	St Bernard Parish	St Bernard Parish	Louisiana	
5216	St Charles Parish	St Charles Parish	Louisiana	
5219	St Helena Parish	St Helena Parish	Louisiana	
5223	St James Parish	St James Parish	Louisiana	
5220	St John The Baptist Parish	St John The Baptist Parish	Louisiana	
5227	St Landry Parish	St Landry Parish	Louisiana	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
5222	St Martin Parish	St Martin Parish	Louisiana	
5228	St Mary Parish	St Mary Parish	Louisiana	
5234	St Tammany Parish	St Tammany Parish	Louisiana	
5221	St. Martinville City	St Martin Parish	Louisiana	
5239	Tangipahoa Parish	Tangipahoa Parish	Louisiana	
5242	Tensas Parish	Tensas Parish	Louisiana	
5241	Terrebonne Parish Consolidated Government	Terrebonne Parish	Louisiana	
5245	Union Parish	Union Parish	Louisiana	
5243	Vermilion Parish	Vermilion Parish	Louisiana	
5246	Vernon Parish	Vernon Parish	Louisiana	
5248	Washington Parish	Washington Parish	Louisiana	
5247	Webster Parish	Webster Parish	Louisiana	
5250	West Baton Rouge Parish	West Baton Rouge Parish	Louisiana	
5253	West Carroll Parish	West Carroll Parish	Louisiana	
5254	West Feliciana Parish	West Feliciana Parish	Louisiana	
5202	West Monroe City	Ouachita Parish	Louisiana	
5185	Westwego City	Jefferson Parish	Louisiana	
5252	Winn Parish	Winn Parish	Louisiana	
5669	Androscoggin County	Androscoggin County	Maine	0.0167995359860000000000
5672	Aroostook County	Aroostook County	Maine	0.0405371162180000000000
5670	Auburn City	Androscoggin County	Maine	0.0262833328260000000000
5687	Augusta City	Kennebec County	Maine	0.0367795458070000000000
5694	Bangor City	Penobscot County	Maine	0.0520428731230000000000
5707	Biddeford City	York County	Maine	0.0273939973000000000000
5676	Brunswick Town	Cumberland County	Maine	0.0161139292610000000000
5700	Calais City	Washington County	Maine	0.0083690495040000000000
5675	Cumberland County	Cumberland County	Maine	0.0350257019510000000000
5683	Falmouth Town	Cumberland County	Maine	0.0123532789390000000000
5682	Franklin County	Franklin County	Maine	0.0197175724540000000000
5678	Gorham Town	Cumberland County	Maine	0.0145829403170000000000
5686	Hancock County	Hancock County	Maine	0.0384943401110000000000
5685	Kennebec County	Kennebec County	Maine	0.0499592683850000000000
5704	Kennebunk Town	York County	Maine	0.0021856790490000000000
5689	Knox County	Knox County	Maine	0.0210103697890000000000
5673	Lewiston City	Androscoggin County	Maine	0.0434510069680000000000
5690	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	Maine	0.0216217279810000000000
5696	Orono Town	Penobscot County	Maine	0.0020941808300000000000
5693	Oxford County	Oxford County	Maine	0.0384544187820000000000
5691	Penobscot County	Penobscot County	Maine	0.0678010275970000000000
5695	Piscataquis County	Piscataquis County	Maine	0.0127608519780000000000
5674	Portland City	Cumberland County	Maine	0.0720160262490000000000
5692	Rockland City	Knox County	Maine	0.0061843980030000000000
5703	Saco City	York County	Maine	0.0043665182380000000000
5699	Sagadahoc County	Sagadahoc County	Maine	0.0197081468890000000000
5702	Sanford City	York County	Maine	0.0269082158440000000000
9828	Scarborough Town	Cumberland County	Maine	0.0183637699300000000000
5697	Somerset County	Somerset County	Maine	0.0369771984670000000000
5679	South Portland City	Cumberland County	Maine	0.0222759944950000000000
5684	Standish Town	Cumberland County	Maine	0.0006641457310000000000
5698	Waldo County	Waldo County	Maine	0.0247239250780000000000
5701	Washington County	Washington County	Maine	0.0269985744690000000000
5688	Waterville City	Kennebec County	Maine	0.0281328096880000000000
5706	Wells Town	York County	Maine	0.0025413117290000000000
5677	Westbrook City	Cumberland County	Maine	0.0154161504670000000000
5681	Windham Town	Cumberland County	Maine	0.0019354820730000000000
5705	York County	York County	Maine	0.0679505030190000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
5709	York Town	York County	Maine	0.0210050844760000000000
5639	Aberdeen City	Harford County	Maryland	0.0003727965290000000000
5612	Allegany County	Allegany County	Maryland	0.0161204114940000000000
5622	Annapolis City	Anne Arundel County	Maryland	0.0024132309580000000000
5613	Anne Arundel County	Anne Arundel County	Maryland	0.0958500951560000000000
5617	Baltimore City	Baltimore City	Maryland	0.2099703343540000000000
5614	Baltimore County	Baltimore County	Maryland	0.1775653944340000000000
5636	Bel Air Town	Harford County	Maryland	0.0003223642800000000000
5671	Berlin Town	Worcester County	Maryland	0.0001092361860000000000
5647	Bowie City	Prince Georges County	Maryland	0.0002632709460000000000
5615	Calvert County	Calvert County	Maryland	0.0169483436880000000000
5631	Cambridge City	Dorchester County	Maryland	0.0001967461850000000000
5616	Caroline County	Caroline County	Maryland	0.0058650178500000000000
5618	Carroll County	Carroll County	Maryland	0.0296055630010000000000
5621	Cecil County	Cecil County	Maryland	0.0379585776340000000000
5626	Charles County	Charles County	Maryland	0.0196634091790000000000
5623	Charlestown Town	Cecil County	Maryland	0.0000138465870000000000
5649	College Park City	Prince Georges County	Maryland	0.0000503348450000000000
5655	Cottage City Town	Prince Georges County	Maryland	0.0000159720230000000000
5607	Cumberland City	Allegany County	Maryland	0.0016271324260000000000
5628	Dorchester County	Dorchester County	Maryland	0.0039519131250000000000
5662	Easton Town	Talbot County	Maryland	0.0006301083700000000000
5627	Elkton Town	Cecil County	Maryland	0.0008841101600000000000
5652	Forest Heights Town	Prince Georges County	Maryland	0.0000148121820000000000
5629	Frederick City	Frederick County	Maryland	0.0015308892740000000000
5633	Frederick County	Frederick County	Maryland	0.0349573332120000000000
5619	Frostburg City	Allegany County	Maryland	0.0001946787410000000000
5645	Gaithersburg City	Montgomery County	Maryland	0.0002439669140000000000
5632	Garrett County	Garrett County	Maryland	0.0035134346900000000000
5637	Grantsville Town	Garrett County	Maryland	0.0000022070760000000000
5651	Greenbelt City	Prince Georges County	Maryland	0.0003699380280000000000
5666	Hagerstown City	Washington County	Maryland	0.0018293281740000000000
5638	Harford County	Harford County	Maryland	0.0519581107200000000000
5641	Havre De Grace City	Harford County	Maryland	0.0004580099310000000000
5648	Howard County	Howard County	Maryland	0.0324872137440000000000
5650	Hyattsville City	Prince Georges County	Maryland	0.0001273775620000000000
5646	Kent County	Kent County	Maryland	0.0046838521520000000000
5654	Laurel City	Prince Georges County	Maryland	0.0003005164800000000000
5640	Montgomery County	Montgomery County	Maryland	0.0855743789580000000000
5635	Mountain Lake Park Town	Garrett County	Maryland	0.0000010044450000000000
5653	New Carrollton City	Prince Georges County	Maryland	0.0000627276890000000000
5658	North Brentwood Town	Prince Georges County	Maryland	0.0000006626860000000000
5624	North East Town	Cecil County	Maryland	0.0001838145220000000000
5634	Oakland Town	Garrett County	Maryland	0.0000117774530000000000
5620	Perryville Town	Cecil County	Maryland	0.0001841607850000000000
5643	Prince Georges County	Prince Georges County	Maryland	0.0713826506550000000000
5657	Queen Annes County	Queen Annes County	Maryland	0.0073817925350000000000
5644	Rockville City	Montgomery County	Maryland	0.0006110458780000000000
5667	Salisbury City	Wicomico County	Maryland	0.0013478066910000000000
5656	Seat Pleasant City	Prince Georges County	Maryland	0.0000401288080000000000
5660	Somerset County	Somerset County	Maryland	0.0038751288390000000000
5659	St Marys County	St Marys County	Maryland	0.0136845625110000000000
5642	Takoma Park City	Montgomery County	Maryland	0.0001955964790000000000
5664	Talbot County	Talbot County	Maryland	0.0048968248500000000000
5661	Upper Marlboro Town	Prince Georges County	Maryland	0.0000094436950000000000
5630	Vienna Town	Dorchester County	Maryland	0.0000015826760000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
5663	Washington County	Washington County	Maryland	0.0304744905050000000000
5625	Westminster City	Carroll County	Maryland	0.0004400875730000000000
5665	Wicomico County	Wicomico County	Maryland	0.0166944224110000000000
5668	Worcester County	Worcester County	Maryland	0.0098760310640000000000
5522	Abington Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0024008997910000000000
5446	Acton Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0015864793430000000000
5308	Acushnet Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0015473057920000000000
5273	Adams Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0001897319860000000000
5397	Agawam Town City	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0043419017300000000000
5275	Alford Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000074494910000000000
5338	Amesbury Town City	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0025809594240000000000
5419	Amherst Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0036758979820000000000
5343	Andover Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0071027271310000000000
5327	Aquinnah Town	Dukes County	Massachusetts	0.0000492939300000000000
5448	Arlington Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0033502333300000000000
5549	Ashburnham Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0001401601330000000000
5447	Ashby Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0000698769890000000000
5369	Ashfield Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0000272961320000000000
5451	Ashland Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0016139309230000000000
5557	Athol Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0003595592330000000000
5306	Attleboro City	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0093572059300000000000
5558	Auburn Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0030366831950000000000
5495	Avon Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0007398899480000000000
5449	Ayer Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0001792106860000000000
5256	Barnstable County	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.0006394822420000000000
5257	Barnstable Town City	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.0085783135820000000000
5559	Barre Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0000965220170000000000
5277	Becket Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000672793760000000000
5453	Bedford Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0019829379720000000000
5418	Belchertown Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0034927859050000000000
5494	Bellingham Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0019610767810000000000
5455	Belmont Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0024203156780000000000
5309	Berkley Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0012127591150000000000
5560	Berlin Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0003977535110000000000
5368	Bernardston Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0000407515890000000000
5333	Beverly City	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0048015661470000000000
5456	Billerica Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0038709131240000000000
5561	Blackstone Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0002214733180000000000
5395	Blandford Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0000090071160000000000
5563	Bolton Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0001100884330000000000
5548	Boston City	Suffolk County	Massachusetts	0.1057677803490000000000
5255	Bourne Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.0037839467420000000000
4659	Boxborough Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0003992980510000000000
5344	Boxford Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0009123090510000000000
5564	Boylston Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0005623677370000000000
5486	Braintree Town City	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0044572262140000000000
5259	Brewster Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.0012844700830000000000
5523	Bridgewater Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0005704484430000000000
5396	Brimfield Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0003556447570000000000
5303	Bristol County	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0010150830300000000000
5519	Brockton City	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0211703446150000000000
5562	Brookfield Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0003729534530000000000
5497	Brookline Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0082449686220000000000
5367	Buckland Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0000313969370000000000
5458	Burlington Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0030347529120000000000
5432	Cambridge City	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0430537797480000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
5498	Canton Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0029098408660000000000
5460	Carlisle Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0005261445680000000000
5521	Carver Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0021795479010000000000
5370	Charlemont Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0000667659250000000000
5566	Charlton Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0003053794050000000000
5260	Chatham Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.0016853351810000000000
5461	Chelmsford Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0031626320880000000000
5547	Chelsea City	Suffolk County	Massachusetts	0.0052360311550000000000
5274	Cheshire Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000158306260000000000
5400	Chester Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0000968287270000000000
5417	Chesterfield Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0001680424680000000000
5389	Chicopee City	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0088169527080000000000
5325	Chilmark Town	Dukes County	Massachusetts	0.0000623285760000000000
5272	Clarksburg Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0003039201780000000000
5565	Clinton Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0023717445570000000000
5499	Cohasset Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0014308612410000000000
5371	Colrain Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0000160185250000000000
5457	Concord Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0017495977040000000000
5373	Conway Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0003545901150000000000
5422	Cummington Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000097571430000000000
5278	Dalton Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0001230346260000000000
5348	Danvers Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0040373686560000000000
5310	Dartmouth Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0055058819370000000000
5500	Dedham Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0031888353700000000000
5374	Deerfield Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0008727000350000000000
5263	Dennis Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.0009701835300000000000
5312	Dighton Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0002287527050000000000
5567	Douglas Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0016591926130000000000
5502	Dover Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0006834281140000000000
5464	Dracut Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0020458872960000000000
5568	Dudley Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0001793907340000000000
5321	Dukes County	Dukes County	Massachusetts	0.0000542562440000000000
5454	Dunstable Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0000416078640000000000
5525	Duxbury Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0037420117560000000000
5524	East Bridgewater Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0023080478250000000000
5570	East Brookfield Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0000523657390000000000
5399	East Longmeadow Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0027157134030000000000
5258	Eastham Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.0007869165280000000000
5415	Easthampton Town City	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0026704632280000000000
5311	Easton Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0056768575150000000000
5329	Edgartown Town	Dukes County	Massachusetts	0.0008098093320000000000
5279	Egremont Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000507505400000000000
5376	Erving Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0005137521280000000000
5347	Essex Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0001133334870000000000
5438	Everett City	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0043682285340000000000
5313	Fairhaven Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0032653626250000000000
5307	Fall River City	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0210559010060000000000
5261	Falmouth Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.0066328413200000000000
5550	Fitchburg City	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0069136342120000000000
5282	Florida Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0001708750860000000000
7005	Foxborough Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0025881001120000000000
5459	Framingham Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0069023100220000000000
5492	Franklin Town City	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0045067158940000000000
5316	Freetown Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0003471118370000000000
5555	Gardner City	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0030805803920000000000
5342	Georgetown Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0013611433650000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
5372	Gill Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0000322935140000000000
5334	Gloucester City	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0042194020150000000000
5420	Goshen Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000205987610000000000
5326	Gosnold Town	Dukes County	Massachusetts	0.0000116450310000000000
5569	Grafton Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0027879032770000000000
5426	Granby Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0014214207530000000000
5405	Granville Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0002057887190000000000
5280	Great Barrington Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0002387359540000000000
5366	Greenfield Town City	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0045229170840000000000
5466	Groton Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0001128619070000000000
5346	Groveland Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0001095482890000000000
5423	Hadley Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0010366584470000000000
5526	Halifax Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0007440744970000000000
5345	Hamilton Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0001487548140000000000
5403	Hampden Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0000864414160000000000
5283	Hancock Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000800832050000000000
5530	Hanover Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0029799879270000000000
5527	Hanson Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0003061514130000000000
5572	Hardwick Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0000464895760000000000
5571	Harvard Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0016461443580000000000
5264	Harwich Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.0028643091040000000000
5429	Hatfield Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0006273938950000000000
5331	Haverhill City	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0081429378650000000000
5375	Hawley Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0000026913010000000000
5380	Heath Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0000117900110000000000
5528	Hingham Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0048287246260000000000
5281	Hinsdale Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000370150670000000000
5501	Holbrook Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0012225010790000000000
5574	Holden Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0003437458790000000000
5407	Holland Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0002380408850000000000
5463	Holliston Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0016721906210000000000
5392	Holyoke City	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0096646595520000000000
5573	Hopedale Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0013723058250000000000
5462	Hopkinton Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0020275145370000000000
5577	Hubbardston Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0000766471120000000000
5467	Hudson Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0019945123450000000000
5532	Hull Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0019548410450000000000
5421	Huntington Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000265612850000000000
5350	Ipswich Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0020796358500000000000
5529	Kingston Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0013693784730000000000
5534	Lakeville Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0002022280580000000000
5576	Lancaster Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0001166560020000000000
7046	Lanesborough Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0005752485050000000000
5337	Lawrence City	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0147588379130000000000
5286	Lee Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0015190183480000000000
5575	Leicester Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0019262913800000000000
5287	Lenox Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0016094611240000000000
5554	Leominster City	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0079025307080000000000
5377	Leverett Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0003953422270000000000
5468	Lexington Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0050909244100000000000
5387	Leyden Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0000076892060000000000
5465	Lincoln Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0010996192850000000000
5469	Littleton Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0010435971040000000000
5402	Longmeadow Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0029921082010000000000
5435	Lowell City	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0102424747900000000000
5406	Ludlow Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0030426656080000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
5584	Lunenburg Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.001916440550000000000
5335	Lynn City	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.015917595154000000000
5349	Lynnfield Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.002333154069000000000
5437	Malden City	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.004659742140000000000
5351	Manchester-By-The-Sea Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.000201100664000000000
5314	Mansfield Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.006816694002000000000
5355	Marblehead Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.003485912672000000000
5535	Marion Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.000698025620000000000
7135	Marlborough City	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.003668295136000000000
5531	Marshfield Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.004930551259000000000
5262	Mashpee Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.003459150927000000000
5533	Mattapoisett Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.000843720139000000000
5470	Maynard Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.001014940666000000000
5506	Medfield Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.002083772770000000000
5441	Medford City	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.003972200658000000000
5505	Medway Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.001940731867000000000
5440	Melrose City	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.002296395466000000000
5581	Mendon Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.000164096065000000000
5353	Merrimac Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.000100336001000000000
5340	Methuen Town City	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.007149217230000000000
7143	Middleborough Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.003933419654000000000
5424	Middlefield Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.000003252381000000000
5359	Middleton Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.000917093411000000000
5582	Milford Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.005323916620000000000
5578	Millbury Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.002332340712000000000
5504	Millis Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.000999797258000000000
5580	Millville Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.000062130209000000000
5510	Milton Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.003520463069000000000
5378	Monroe Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.000001025332000000000
5411	Monson Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.001266258006000000000
5381	Montague Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.000291412591000000000
5289	Monterey Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.000042137017000000000
5410	Montgomery Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.000002324400000000000
5285	Mt Washington Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.000001746048000000000
5352	Nahant Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.000355497159000000000
5490	Nantucket Town	Nantucket County	Massachusetts	0.001102324194000000000
5471	Natick Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.003421702489000000000
5507	Needham Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.004914063771000000000
5288	New Ashford Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.000002677169000000000
5304	New Bedford City	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.023617391681000000000
5579	New Braintree Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.000013480056000000000
7180	New Marlborough Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.000032940955000000000
5382	New Salem Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.000024476600000000000
5354	Newbury Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.000135400372000000000
5336	Newburyport City	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.002905748435000000000
5444	Newton City	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.010088865481000000000
5493	Norfolk County	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.000563017795000000000
5509	Norfolk Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.000892988423000000000
5271	North Adams City	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.003428675166000000000
5356	North Andover Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.004494773051000000000
7199	North Attleborough Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.006369959028000000000
5590	North Brookfield Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.000698725924000000000
5473	North Reading Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.001664230820000000000
5414	Northampton City	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.005405649568000000000
7209	Northborough Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.002422641125000000000
5585	Northbridge Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.002823159735000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
5379	Northfield Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.000153010544000000000
5319	Norton Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.004563834066000000000
5537	Norwell Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.002753854817000000000
5508	Norwood Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.003412282413000000000
5330	Oak Bluffs Town	Dukes County	Massachusetts	0.000769116887000000000
5586	Oakham Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.000026269230000000000
5383	Orange Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.001376712816000000000
5265	Orleans Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.000935051641000000000
5290	Otis Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.000035269019000000000
5587	Oxford Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.002336229019000000000
5404	Palmer Town City	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.001621757259000000000
5588	Paxton Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.000115100470000000000
5341	Peabody City	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.007162928627000000000
5427	Pelham Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.000235476246000000000
5536	Pembroke Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.003388218249000000000
5472	Pepperell Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.000102363113000000000
5294	Peru Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.000011523603000000000
5589	Petersham Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.000159775433000000000
5592	Phillipston Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.000039835646000000000
5276	Pittsfield City	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.011541979937000000000
5431	Plainfield Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.000004986914000000000
5511	Plainville Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.000738004154000000000
5520	Plymouth County	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.000008974666000000000
5539	Plymouth Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.010727134492000000000
5540	Plympton Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.000311939123000000000
5594	Princeton Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.000094015998000000000
5266	Provincetown Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.000895015011000000000
5496	Quincy City	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.010277365393000000000
5517	Randolph Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.003517891103000000000
5318	Raynham Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.000739771661000000000
5474	Reading Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.002463903024000000000
5317	Rehoboth Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.000342848256000000000
5551	Revere City	Suffolk County	Massachusetts	0.005754964378000000000
5295	Richmond Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.000346755405000000000
5545	Rochester Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.000656757963000000000
5542	Rockland Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.003048431858000000000
5358	Rockport Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.001188536886000000000
5384	Rowe Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.000242459391000000000
5357	Rowley Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.000134543050000000000
5593	Royalston Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.000020739031000000000
5413	Russell Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.000012348998000000000
5591	Rutland Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.000122272562000000000
5339	Salem City	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.006254547137000000000
5360	Salisbury Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.000319290215000000000
5296	Sandisfield Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.000020719142000000000
5268	Sandwich Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.004944903815000000000
5362	Saugus Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.003330802265000000000
5297	Savoy Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.000103246605000000000
5541	Scituate Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.003938536371000000000
5315	Seekonk Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.003325159013000000000
5512	Sharon Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.003157804286000000000
5292	Sheffield Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.000066580643000000000
5386	Shelburne Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.000145706034000000000
5475	Sherborn Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.000361347676000000000
5477	Shirley Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.000049654026000000000
5598	Shrewsbury Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.006471205738000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
5385	Shutesbury Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0003581785160000000000
5324	Somerset Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0029437176520000000000
5442	Somerville City	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0055383277590000000000
5433	South Hadley Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0032895089620000000000
5425	Southampton Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0007738619930000000000
9879	Southborough Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0021736884860000000000
5556	Southbridge Town City	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0029061148120000000000
5408	Southwick Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0001652559100000000000
5596	Spencer Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0002031539450000000000
5394	Springfield City	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0344102243700000000000
5599	Sterling Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0001967596200000000000
5293	Stockbridge Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000923050180000000000
5476	Stoneham Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0016697809080000000000
5515	Stoughton Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0031189437260000000000
5484	Stow Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0000877336800000000000
5597	Sturbridge Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0012356885570000000000
5485	Sudbury Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0019501938140000000000
5388	Sunderland Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0003944451270000000000
5602	Sutton Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0017206314160000000000
5363	Swampscott Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0028022704890000000000
5323	Swansea Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0031273703970000000000
5305	Taunton City	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0131566985710000000000
5601	Templeton Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0001677252900000000000
5480	Tewksbury Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0025265084770000000000
5332	Tisbury Town	Dukes County	Massachusetts	0.0006655667130000000000
5409	Tolland Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0000055935300000000000
5361	Topsfield Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0007133506460000000000
5478	Townsend Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0000938438010000000000
5269	Truro Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.0006042503840000000000
9926	Tyngsborough Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0011623969350000000000
5299	Tyringham Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000128038290000000000
5603	Upton Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0002110174420000000000
5600	Uxbridge Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0022556067160000000000
5482	Wakefield Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0021160657610000000000
5416	Wales Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0001476043900000000000
5513	Walpole Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0031941499300000000000
5443	Waltham City	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0053226719850000000000
5430	Ware Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0020355884390000000000
5546	Wareham Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0035953944900000000000
5608	Warren Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0001793043730000000000
5391	Warwick Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0000659970570000000000
5298	Washington Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000040741120000000000
5450	Watertown Town City	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0025409657130000000000
5479	Wayland Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0021473899460000000000
5609	Webster Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0024059636440000000000
5514	Wellesley Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0048114839720000000000
5267	Wellfleet Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.0006678084170000000000
5393	Wendell Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0000105082150000000000
5364	Wenham Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0001121192090000000000
5610	West Boylston Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0012227266630000000000
5543	West Bridgewater Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0014675989090000000000
5611	West Brookfield Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0000591918660000000000
5365	West Newbury Town	Essex County	Massachusetts	0.0000869815600000000000
5398	West Springfield Town City	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0046275946530000000000
5301	West Stockbridge Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000284015440000000000
5328	West Tisbury Town	Dukes County	Massachusetts	0.0000991834840000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
9959	Westborough Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0050703842690000000000
5401	Westfield City	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0065383856690000000000
5483	Westford Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0029399628110000000000
5436	Westhampton Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0001993739810000000000
5605	Westminster Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0002267444360000000000
5481	Weston Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0022546583230000000000
5322	Westport Town	Bristol County	Massachusetts	0.0028113351790000000000
5518	Westwood Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0029037830840000000000
5488	Weymouth Town City	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0056566397860000000000
5390	Whately Town	Franklin County	Massachusetts	0.0003142232220000000000
5544	Whitman Town	Plymouth County	Massachusetts	0.0004487427190000000000
5412	Wilbraham Town	Hampden County	Massachusetts	0.0003131502610000000000
5428	Williamsburg Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0003439986790000000000
5302	Williamstown Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0007830219410000000000
5489	Wilmington Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0024675599900000000000
5606	Winchendon Town	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0018307203730000000000
5487	Winchester Town	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0025700953000000000000
5300	Windsor Town	Berkshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000052381440000000000
5553	Winthrop Town City	Suffolk County	Massachusetts	0.0015058905370000000000
5445	Woburn City	Middlesex County	Massachusetts	0.0035035411630000000000
5552	Worcester City	Worcester County	Massachusetts	0.0379295035180000000000
5434	Worthington Town	Hampshire County	Massachusetts	0.0000150696090000000000
5516	Wrentham Town	Norfolk County	Massachusetts	0.0009617940210000000000
5270	Yarmouth Town	Barnstable County	Massachusetts	0.0013083918830000000000
6177	Adair County	Adair County	Missouri	
6176	Andrew County	Andrew County	Missouri	
6258	Arnold City	Jefferson County	Missouri	
6182	Atchison County	Atchison County	Missouri	
6183	Audrain County	Audrain County	Missouri	
6331	Ballwin City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6175	Barry County	Barry County	Missouri	
6178	Barton County	Barton County	Missouri	
6181	Bates County	Bates County	Missouri	
6333	Bellefontaine Neighbors City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6200	Belton City	Cass County	Missouri	
6186	Benton County	Benton County	Missouri	
6245	Blue Springs City	Jackson County	Missouri	
6304	Bolivar City	Polk County	Missouri	
6184	Bollinger County	Bollinger County	Missouri	
6185	Boone County	Boone County	Missouri	
6359	Branson City	Stone County, Taney County	Missouri	
6334	Bridgeton City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6189	Buchanan County	Buchanan County	Missouri	
6188	Butler County	Butler County	Missouri	
6192	Caldwell County	Caldwell County	Missouri	
6193	Callaway County	Callaway County	Missouri	
6197	Camden County	Camden County	Missouri	
6319	Cape Girardeau City	Scott County, Cape Girardeau County	Missouri	
6195	Cape Girardeau County	Cape Girardeau County	Missouri	
6201	Carroll County	Carroll County	Missouri	
6202	Carter County	Carter County	Missouri	
6255	Carthage City	Jasper County	Missouri	
6199	Cass County	Cass County	Missouri	
6210	Cedar County	Cedar County	Missouri	
6207	Chariton County	Chariton County	Missouri	
6351	Chesterfield City	St Louis County	Missouri	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
6204	Christian County	Christian County	Missouri	
13496	Citizens Memorial Hospital District D/B/A	Polk County	Missouri	
6217	Clark County	Clark County	Missouri	
6213	Clay County	Clay County	Missouri	
6336	Clayton City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6214	Clinton County	Clinton County	Missouri	
6222	Cole County	Cole County	Missouri	
6187	Columbia City	Boone County	Missouri	
6219	Cooper County	Cooper County	Missouri	
6221	Crawford County	Crawford County	Missouri	
6339	Crestwood City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6335	Creve Coeur City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6224	Dade County	Dade County	Missouri	
6223	Dallas County	Dallas County	Missouri	
6324	Dardenne Prairie City	St Charles County	Missouri	
6225	Daviess County	Daviess County	Missouri	
6226	De Kalb County	De Kalb County	Missouri	
6227	Dent County	Dent County	Missouri	
6229	Douglas County	Douglas County	Missouri	
6228	Dunklin County	Dunklin County	Missouri	
6342	Eureka City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6220	Excelsior Springs City	Clay County, Ray County	Missouri	
6330	Farmington City	St Francois County	Missouri	
6338	Ferguson City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6257	Festus City	Jefferson County	Missouri	
6337	Florissant City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6230	Franklin County	Franklin County	Missouri	
6194	Fulton City	Callaway County	Missouri	
6234	Gasconade County	Gasconade County	Missouri	
6232	Gentry County	Gentry County	Missouri	
6212	Gladstone City	Clay County	Missouri	
6246	Grain Valley City	Jackson County	Missouri	
6248	Grandview City	Jackson County	Missouri	
6236	Greene County	Greene County	Missouri	
6239	Grundy County	Grundy County	Missouri	
6277	Hannibal City	Marion County, Ralls County	Missouri	
6238	Harrison County	Harrison County	Missouri	
6206	Harrisonville City	Cass County	Missouri	
6341	Hazelwood City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6240	Henry County	Henry County	Missouri	
6242	Hickory County	Hickory County	Missouri	
6243	Holt County	Holt County	Missouri	
6244	Howard County	Howard County	Missouri	
6241	Howell County	Howell County	Missouri	
6252	Independence City	Jackson County, Clay County	Missouri	
6250	Iron County	Iron County	Missouri	
6198	Jackson City	Cape Girardeau County	Missouri	
6249	Jackson County	Jackson County	Missouri	
6253	Jasper County	Jasper County	Missouri	
6196	Jefferson City	Callaway County, Cole County	Missouri	
6259	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Missouri	
6340	Jennings City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6260	Johnson County	Johnson County	Missouri	
6261	Joplin City	Jasper County, Newton County	Missouri	
6203	Kansas City	Cass County, Clay County, Jackson County, Platte County	Missouri	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
6218	Kearney City	Clay County	Missouri	Allocations in Missouri will be made in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding Between the State of Missouri and its Political Subdivisions on Proceeds Relating to the Settlement of Opioid Litigation and with the ancillary Memorandum of Understanding Between the Litigating Subdivisions of the State of Missouri.
6231	Kennett City	Dunklin County	Missouri	
13136	Kinloch Fire Protection District	St Louis County	Missouri	
6179	Kirksville City	Adair County	Missouri	
6344	Kirkwood City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6269	Knox County	Knox County	Missouri	
6266	Laclede County	Laclede County	Missouri	
6268	Lafayette County	Lafayette County	Missouri	
6327	Lake St. Louis City	St Charles County	Missouri	
6263	Lawrence County	Lawrence County	Missouri	
6262	Lebanon City	Laclede County	Missouri	
6251	Lee'S Summit City	Jackson County, Cass County	Missouri	
6267	Lewis County	Lewis County	Missouri	
6211	Liberty City	Clay County	Missouri	
6265	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	Missouri	
6270	Linn County	Linn County	Missouri	
6273	Livingston County	Livingston County	Missouri	
6271	Macon County	Macon County	Missouri	
6275	Madison County	Madison County	Missouri	
6345	Manchester City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6272	Maries County	Maries County	Missouri	
6278	Marion County	Marion County	Missouri	
6315	Marshall City	Saline County	Missouri	
6352	Maryland Heights City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6288	Maryville City	Nodaway County	Missouri	
6274	Mcdonald County	Mcdonald County	Missouri	
6279	Mercer County	Mercer County	Missouri	
6180	Mexico City	Audrain County	Missouri	
6280	Miller County	Miller County	Missouri	
6283	Mississippi County	Mississippi County	Missouri	
6313	Moberly City	Randolph County	Missouri	
6281	Moniteau County	Moniteau County	Missouri	
6282	Monroe County	Monroe County	Missouri	
6285	Montgomery County	Montgomery County	Missouri	
6284	Morgan County	Morgan County	Missouri	
6290	Neosho City	Newton County	Missouri	
6289	New Madrid County	New Madrid County	Missouri	
6286	Newton County	Newton County	Missouri	
6208	Nixa City	Christian County	Missouri	
6294	Nodaway County	Nodaway County	Missouri	
13135	Northeast Ambulance And Fire Protection	St Louis County	Missouri	
6323	O'Fallon City	St Charles County	Missouri	
6291	Oregon County	Oregon County	Missouri	
6293	Osage County	Osage County	Missouri	
6349	Overland City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6209	Ozark City	Christian County	Missouri	
6292	Ozark County	Ozark County	Missouri	
6297	Pemiscot County	Pemiscot County	Missouri	
6296	Perry County	Perry County	Missouri	
6295	Pettis County	Pettis County	Missouri	
6298	Phelps County	Phelps County	Missouri	
6300	Pike County	Pike County	Missouri	
6301	Platte County	Platte County	Missouri	
6302	Polk County	Polk County	Missouri	
6191	Poplar Bluff City	Butler County	Missouri	
6307	Pulaski County	Pulaski County	Missouri	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
6305	Putnam County	Putnam County	Missouri	
6306	Ralls County	Ralls County	Missouri	
6310	Randolph County	Randolph County	Missouri	
6308	Ray County	Ray County	Missouri	
6205	Raymore City	Cass County	Missouri	
6254	Raytown City	Jackson County	Missouri	
6237	Republic City	Greene County, Christian County	Missouri	
6309	Reynolds County	Reynolds County	Missouri	
6311	Ripley County	Ripley County	Missouri	
6299	Rolla City	Phelps County	Missouri	
6312	Saline County	Saline County	Missouri	
6314	Schuyler County	Schuyler County	Missouri	
6316	Scotland County	Scotland County	Missouri	
6318	Scott County	Scott County	Missouri	
6303	Sedalia City	Pettis County	Missouri	
6321	Shannon County	Shannon County	Missouri	
6322	Shelby County	Shelby County	Missouri	
6287	Sikeston City	New Madrid County, Scott County	Missouri	
6215	Smithville City	Clay County, Platte County	Missouri	
6216	Springfield City	Christian County, Greene County	Missouri	
6320	St Charles County	St Charles County	Missouri	
6328	St Clair County	St Clair County	Missouri	
6329	St Francois County	St Francois County	Missouri	
6332	St Louis County	St Louis County	Missouri	
6343	St. Ann City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6317	St. Charles City	St Charles County	Missouri	
6190	St. Joseph City	Buchanan County	Missouri	
6354	St. Louis City	St. Louis City	Missouri	
6325	St. Peters City	St Charles County	Missouri	
6353	Ste Genevieve County	Ste Genevieve County	Missouri	
6358	Stoddard County	Stoddard County	Missouri	
6357	Stone County	Stone County	Missouri	
6355	Sullivan County	Sullivan County	Missouri	
6356	Taney County	Taney County	Missouri	
6361	Texas County	Texas County	Missouri	
6350	Town And Country City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6276	Troy City	Lincoln County	Missouri	
6235	Union City	Franklin County	Missouri	
6347	University City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6362	Vernon County	Vernon County	Missouri	
6368	Warren County	Warren County	Missouri	
6264	Warrensburg City	Johnson County	Missouri	
6233	Washington City	Franklin County	Missouri	
6360	Washington County	Washington County	Missouri	
6364	Wayne County	Wayne County	Missouri	
6256	Webb City	Jasper County	Missouri	
6365	Webster County	Webster County	Missouri	
6346	Webster Groves City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6326	Wentzville City	St Charles County	Missouri	
6247	West Plains City	Howell County	Missouri	
6348	Wildwood City	St Louis County	Missouri	
6366	Worth County	Worth County	Missouri	
6369	Wright County	Wright County	Missouri	
6534	Anaconda-Deer Lodge County	Deer Lodge County	Montana	0.0144801905140000000000
6522	Beaverhead County	Beaverhead County	Montana	0.0068414802250000000000
6518	Big Horn County	Big Horn County	Montana	0.0085049036090000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
6582	Billings City	Yellowstone County	Montana	0.0913311424130000000000
6520	Blaine County	Blaine County	Montana	0.0036910943370000000000
6538	Bozeman City	Gallatin County	Montana	0.0201618865070000000000
6523	Broadwater County	Broadwater County	Montana	0.0041432512640000000000
6569	Butte-Silver Bow	Silver Bow County	Montana	0.0561012604340000000000
6519	Carbon County	Carbon County	Montana	0.0071053605220000000000
6524	Carter County	Carter County	Montana	0.0003746791040000000000
6525	Cascade County	Cascade County	Montana	0.0389930504800000000000
6527	Chouteau County	Chouteau County	Montana	0.0040530634240000000000
6530	Custer County	Custer County	Montana	0.0151390564500000000000
6532	Daniels County	Daniels County	Montana	0.0017876029080000000000
6528	Dawson County	Dawson County	Montana	0.0078006821330000000000
6533	Fallon County	Fallon County	Montana	0.0015435820110000000000
6535	Fergus County	Fergus County	Montana	0.0086670276690000000000
6529	Flathead County	Flathead County	Montana	0.0801417853690000000000
6537	Gallatin County	Gallatin County	Montana	0.0402055727170000000000
6536	Garfield County	Garfield County	Montana	0.0003988385990000000000
6540	Glacier County	Glacier County	Montana	0.0152307093670000000000
6541	Golden Valley County	Golden Valley County	Montana	0.0002643036480000000000
6539	Granite County	Granite County	Montana	0.0018313982370000000000
6526	Great Falls City	Cascade County	Montana	0.0435777797840000000000
6548	Helena City	Lewis And Clark County	Montana	0.0173606550420000000000
6543	Hill County	Hill County	Montana	0.0184385329220000000000
6542	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Montana	0.0077708430870000000000
6544	Judith Basin County	Judith Basin County	Montana	0.0006148042280000000000
6531	Kalispell City	Flathead County	Montana	0.0247354327100000000000
6546	Lake County	Lake County	Montana	0.0361750990640000000000
6545	Lewis And Clark County	Lewis And Clark County	Montana	0.0493267123340000000000
6547	Liberty County	Liberty County	Montana	0.0012103959730000000000
6549	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	Montana	0.0219155976240000000000
6550	Madison County	Madison County	Montana	0.0054980476730000000000
6552	Mccone County	Mccone County	Montana	0.0008230353940000000000
6551	Meagher County	Meagher County	Montana	0.0009120863730000000000
6555	Mineral County	Mineral County	Montana	0.0075469099140000000000
6554	Missoula City	Missoula County	Montana	0.0443125585750000000000
6553	Missoula County	Missoula County	Montana	0.0802728336290000000000
6559	Musselshell County	Musselshell County	Montana	0.0038955105940000000000
6558	Park County	Park County	Montana	0.0208318356530000000000
6556	Petroleum County	Petroleum County	Montana	0.0001447429220000000000
6557	Phillips County	Phillips County	Montana	0.0020856223470000000000
6563	Pondera County	Pondera County	Montana	0.0040038739480000000000
6565	Powder River County	Powder River County	Montana	0.0015043864520000000000
6562	Powell County	Powell County	Montana	0.0088727234900000000000
6560	Prairie County	Prairie County	Montana	0.0005720696530000000000
6561	Ravalli County	Ravalli County	Montana	0.0369068192700000000000
6564	Richland County	Richland County	Montana	0.0075415252810000000000
6566	Roosevelt County	Roosevelt County	Montana	0.0081829767820000000000
6567	Rosebud County	Rosebud County	Montana	0.0056419819490000000000
6568	Sanders County	Sanders County	Montana	0.0106791345580000000000
6574	Sheridan County	Sheridan County	Montana	0.0027003552250000000000
6571	Stillwater County	Stillwater County	Montana	0.0050556040140000000000
6570	Sweet Grass County	Sweet Grass County	Montana	0.0028365407660000000000
6573	Teton County	Teton County	Montana	0.0057359038320000000000
6572	Toole County	Toole County	Montana	0.0032580404870000000000
6575	Treasure County	Treasure County	Montana	0.0002265541380000000000
6576	Valley County	Valley County	Montana	0.0055982912680000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
6580	Wheatland County	Wheatland County	Montana	0.0007209985080000000000
6577	Wibaux County	Wibaux County	Montana	0.0006303730470000000000
6578	Yellowstone County	Yellowstone County	Montana	0.0730908895500000000000
6832	Adams County	Adams County	Nebraska	0.0071304102640000000000
6834	Antelope County	Antelope County	Nebraska	0.0024063398000000000000
6833	Arthur County	Arthur County	Nebraska	0.0001108312780000000000
6836	Banner County	Banner County	Nebraska	0.0001836746060000000000
6873	Beatrice City	Gage County	Nebraska	0.0092482053820000000000
6920	Bellevue City	Sarpy County	Nebraska	0.0247878800230000000000
6840	Blaine County	Blaine County	Nebraska	0.0001236409970000000000
6838	Boone County	Boone County	Nebraska	0.0025334075070000000000
6837	Box Butte County	Box Butte County	Nebraska	0.0074925336200000000000
6839	Boyd County	Boyd County	Nebraska	0.0009028474330000000000
6844	Brown County	Brown County	Nebraska	0.0013751353540000000000
6842	Buffalo County	Buffalo County	Nebraska	0.0096303203320000000000
6841	Burt County	Burt County	Nebraska	0.0033656617700000000000
6846	Butler County	Butler County	Nebraska	0.0028998056720000000000
6851	Cass County	Cass County	Nebraska	0.0123563393440000000000
6845	Cedar County	Cedar County	Nebraska	0.0035025880090000000000
6848	Chase County	Chase County	Nebraska	0.0017078988140000000000
6847	Cherry County	Cherry County	Nebraska	0.0030821615580000000000
6850	Cheyenne County	Cheyenne County	Nebraska	0.0074082630230000000000
6852	Clay County	Clay County	Nebraska	0.0017729719910000000000
6849	Colfax County	Colfax County	Nebraska	0.0026704759130000000000
6911	Columbus City	Platte County	Nebraska	0.0072912940610000000000
6853	Cuming County	Cuming County	Nebraska	0.0040198839780000000000
6857	Custer County	Custer County	Nebraska	0.0048481379610000000000
6854	Dakota County	Dakota County	Nebraska	0.0039409176730000000000
6856	Dawes County	Dawes County	Nebraska	0.0065772931640000000000
6858	Dawson County	Dawson County	Nebraska	0.0075403163730000000000
6861	Deuel County	Deuel County	Nebraska	0.0015000558520000000000
6860	Dixon County	Dixon County	Nebraska	0.0021445714990000000000
6865	Dodge County	Dodge County	Nebraska	0.0183179963300000000000
6866	Douglas County	Douglas County	Nebraska	0.1491191114550000000000
6867	Dundy County	Dundy County	Nebraska	0.0015136303300000000000
6868	Fillmore County	Fillmore County	Nebraska	0.0035414436670000000000
6863	Franklin County	Franklin County	Nebraska	0.0016301629870000000000
6864	Fremont City	Dodge County	Nebraska	0.0014769463930000000000
6862	Frontier County	Frontier County	Nebraska	0.0007326492600000000000
6871	Furnas County	Furnas County	Nebraska	0.0023154265810000000000
6870	Gage County	Gage County	Nebraska	0.0053906513800000000000
6872	Garden County	Garden County	Nebraska	0.0011321183640000000000
6876	Garfield County	Garfield County	Nebraska	0.0011044791770000000000
6874	Gosper County	Gosper County	Nebraska	0.0005285810720000000000
6879	Grand Island City	Hall County	Nebraska	0.0150528611960000000000
6881	Grant County	Grant County	Nebraska	0.0001670754060000000000
6875	Greeley County	Greeley County	Nebraska	0.0010069968720000000000
6878	Hall County	Hall County	Nebraska	0.0130232143970000000000
6877	Hamilton County	Hamilton County	Nebraska	0.0032855532280000000000
6880	Harlan County	Harlan County	Nebraska	0.0018311574310000000000
6835	Hastings City	Adams County	Nebraska	0.0077381586350000000000
6884	Hayes County	Hayes County	Nebraska	0.0004642089240000000000
6883	Hitchcock County	Hitchcock County	Nebraska	0.0014287273360000000000
6882	Holt County	Holt County	Nebraska	0.0043190862690000000000
6885	Hooker County	Hooker County	Nebraska	0.0001953911110000000000
6886	Howard County	Howard County	Nebraska	0.0031175905050000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
6890	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Nebraska	0.004621587809000000000
6887	Johnson County	Johnson County	Nebraska	0.003674281385000000000
6843	Kearney City	Buffalo County	Nebraska	0.013128662946000000000
6891	Kearney County	Kearney County	Nebraska	0.003284087439000000000
6888	Keith County	Keith County	Nebraska	0.005586120928000000000
6894	Keya Paha County	Keya Paha County	Nebraska	0.000207700795000000000
6889	Kimball County	Kimball County	Nebraska	0.002074517582000000000
6892	Knox County	Knox County	Nebraska	0.004262180329000000000
6928	La Vista City	Sarpy County	Nebraska	0.006948539706000000000
6896	Lancaster County	Lancaster County	Nebraska	0.079530599340000000000
6859	Lexington City	Dawson County	Nebraska	0.002672235840000000000
6895	Lincoln City	Lancaster County	Nebraska	0.089584993437000000000
6893	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	Nebraska	0.007588461895000000000
6897	Logan County	Logan County	Nebraska	0.000192253637000000000
6898	Loup County	Loup County	Nebraska	0.000163070224000000000
6899	Madison County	Madison County	Nebraska	0.010198085515000000000
6900	Mcpherson County	Mcpherson County	Nebraska	0.000133651501000000000
6904	Merrick County	Merrick County	Nebraska	0.005571149293000000000
6903	Morrill County	Morrill County	Nebraska	0.002640807173000000000
6907	Nance County	Nance County	Nebraska	0.001820220392000000000
6905	Nemaha County	Nemaha County	Nebraska	0.005862140225000000000
6901	Norfolk City	Madison County	Nebraska	0.006855964607000000000
6902	North Platte City	Lincoln County	Nebraska	0.012668714124000000000
6908	Nuckolls County	Nuckolls County	Nebraska	0.002606241030000000000
6869	Omaha City	Douglas County	Nebraska	0.167836032733000000000
6909	Otoe County	Otoe County	Nebraska	0.008114537890000000000
6922	Papillion City	Sarpy County	Nebraska	0.011943452635000000000
6912	Pawnee County	Pawnee County	Nebraska	0.002449303588000000000
6906	Perkins County	Perkins County	Nebraska	0.000938742100000000000
6910	Phelps County	Phelps County	Nebraska	0.004453164270000000000
6913	Pierce County	Pierce County	Nebraska	0.002791606931000000000
6917	Platte County	Platte County	Nebraska	0.005054632790000000000
6914	Polk County	Polk County	Nebraska	0.002126035890000000000
6919	Red Willow County	Red Willow County	Nebraska	0.006782282985000000000
14709	Region 1 - Behavioral Health Authority		Nebraska	0.000000000000000000000
14710	Region 2 - Human Services		Nebraska	0.000000000000000000000
14711	Region 3 - Behavioral Health Services		Nebraska	0.000000000000000000000
14712	Region 4 - Behavioral Health Systems		Nebraska	0.000000000000000000000
14713	Region 5 - Systems		Nebraska	0.000000000000000000000
14714	Region 6 - Behavioral Healthcare		Nebraska	0.000000000000000000000
6918	Richardson County	Richardson County	Nebraska	0.006780640713000000000
6915	Rock County	Rock County	Nebraska	0.000420632348000000000
6916	Saline County	Saline County	Nebraska	0.006431415318000000000
6921	Sarpy County	Sarpy County	Nebraska	0.041205096407000000000
6923	Saunders County	Saunders County	Nebraska	0.009147340487000000000
6925	Scotts Bluff County	Scotts Bluff County	Nebraska	0.018428925654000000000
6926	Scottsbluff City	Scotts Bluff County	Nebraska	0.005159537183000000000
6930	Seward County	Seward County	Nebraska	0.007637450614000000000
6924	Sheridan County	Sheridan County	Nebraska	0.001814092513000000000
6929	Sherman County	Sherman County	Nebraska	0.001198260243000000000
6931	Sioux County	Sioux County	Nebraska	0.000355730752000000000
6855	South Sioux City	Dakota County	Nebraska	0.004431368628000000000
6933	Stanton County	Stanton County	Nebraska	0.002162062918000000000
6934	Thayer County	Thayer County	Nebraska	0.002059575361000000000
6927	Thomas County	Thomas County	Nebraska	0.000173570958000000000
6936	Thurston County	Thurston County	Nebraska	0.002564159657000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
6932	Valley County	Valley County	Nebraska	0.0031039571990000000000
6935	Washington County	Washington County	Nebraska	0.0078785557060000000000
6937	Wayne County	Wayne County	Nebraska	0.0035100346080000000000
6942	Webster County	Webster County	Nebraska	0.0016523557150000000000
6938	Wheeler County	Wheeler County	Nebraska	0.0002101568490000000000
6939	York County	York County	Nebraska	0.0083219396450000000000
7297	Boulder City	Clark County	Nevada	0.0014786604520000000000
7296	Carson City	Carson City	Nevada	0.0181460075370000000000
13500	Central Lyon County Fire Protection District	Lyon County	Nevada	0.0001509226180000000000
7305	Churchill County	Churchill County	Nevada	0.0070130519880000000000
7300	Clark County	Clark County	Nevada	0.6692480268340000000000
7301	Douglas County	Douglas County	Nevada	0.0166014624720000000000
7313	Elko County	Elko County	Nevada	0.0108257452250000000000
7327	Ely City	White Pine County	Nevada	0.0000661728070000000000
7304	Esmeralda County	Esmeralda County	Nevada	0.0004972914480000000000
7306	Eureka County	Eureka County	Nevada	0.0010335736230000000000
7314	Fernley City	Lyon County	Nevada	0.0001445069910000000000
7303	Henderson City	Clark County	Nevada	0.0230206439740000000000
7309	Humboldt County	Humboldt County	Nevada	0.0101927247310000000000
7310	Lander County	Lander County	Nevada	0.0036274387910000000000
7307	Las Vegas City	Clark County	Nevada	0.0472069707730000000000
7311	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	Nevada	0.0023732842190000000000
7312	Lyon County	Lyon County	Nevada	0.0157213968720000000000
7302	Mesquite City	Clark County	Nevada	0.0014650695440000000000
7316	Mineral County	Mineral County	Nevada	0.0059929302530000000000
7299	North Las Vegas City	Clark County	Nevada	0.0242588668920000000000
13504	North Lyon County Fire Protection District	Lyon County	Nevada	0.0000518291500000000000
7317	Nye County	Nye County	Nevada	0.0160168415760000000000
7315	Pershing County	Pershing County	Nevada	0.0036244987910000000000
7321	Reno City	Washoe County	Nevada	0.0135628633830000000000
7322	Sparks City	Washoe County	Nevada	0.0042532292180000000000
7320	Storey County	Storey County	Nevada	0.0012639086200000000000
7318	Washoe County	Washoe County	Nevada	0.0911394888280000000000
7319	West Wendover City	Elko County	Nevada	0.0005640157940000000000
7325	White Pine County	White Pine County	Nevada	0.0104585765940000000000
6940	Belknap County	Belknap County	New Hampshire	0.0286890500000000000000
6943	Belmont Town	Belknap County	New Hampshire	0.0053095100000000000000
6952	Berlin City	Coos County	New Hampshire	0.0068419600000000000000
6941	Carroll County	Carroll County	New Hampshire	0.0363745500000000000000
6945	Cheshire County	Cheshire County	New Hampshire	0.0387730500000000000000
6983	Claremont City	Sullivan County	New Hampshire	0.0094001700000000000000
6962	Concord City	Merrimack County	New Hampshire	0.0319173000000000000000
6948	Coos County	Coos County	New Hampshire	0.0158566500000000000000
6969	Derry Town	Rockingham County	New Hampshire	0.0249120000000000000000
6977	Dover City	Strafford County	New Hampshire	0.0237679200000000000000
6967	Franklin City	Merrimack County	New Hampshire	0.0063454200000000000000
6950	Grafton County	Grafton County	New Hampshire	0.0661459800000000000000
6954	Hillsborough County	Hillsborough County	New Hampshire	0.1567669400000000000000
6947	Keene City	Cheshire County	New Hampshire	0.0167306800000000000000
6944	Laconia City	Belknap County	New Hampshire	0.0122472900000000000000
6970	Londonderry Town	Rockingham County	New Hampshire	0.0187480600000000000000
6949	Manchester City	Hillsborough County	New Hampshire	0.0839648400000000000000
6964	Merrimack County	Merrimack County	New Hampshire	0.0733922800000000000000
6955	Nashua City	Hillsborough County	New Hampshire	0.0662940700000000000000
6979	Rochester City	Strafford County	New Hampshire	0.0235871600000000000000
6971	Rockingham County	Rockingham County	New Hampshire	0.1844120900000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
6976	Strafford County	Strafford County	New Hampshire	0.0476621500000000000000
6980	Sullivan County	Sullivan County	New Hampshire	0.0218608800000000000000
7155	Aberdeen Township	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0007973968940000000000
7145	Asbury Park City	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0039163496710000000000
6987	Atlantic City	Atlantic County	New Jersey	0.0128476909150000000000
6982	Atlantic County	Atlantic County	New Jersey	0.0289157640450000000000
7190	Barnegat Township	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0016266388140000000000
7095	Bayonne City	Hudson County	New Jersey	0.0085023400580000000000
2258	Beachwood Borough	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0004923292280000000000
7080	Belleville Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0008720902230000000000
2506	Bellmawr Borough	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0006409899920000000000
6991	Bergen County	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0494463610900000000000
2562	Bergenfield Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0006180760730000000000
7232	Berkeley Heights Township	Union County	New Jersey	0.0002726730620000000000
7184	Berkeley Township	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0026197317880000000000
7214	Bernards Township	Somerset County	New Jersey	0.0009105770730000000000
7083	Bloomfield Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0011738749590000000000
7030	Bordentown Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0005458914820000000000
2568	Bound Brook Borough	Somerset County	New Jersey	0.0005271050230000000000
7216	Branchburg Township	Somerset County	New Jersey	0.0005610245630000000000
7182	Brick Township	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0059081931740000000000
7062	Bridgeton City	Cumberland County	New Jersey	0.0020796141540000000000
7215	Bridgewater Township	Somerset County	New Jersey	0.0018280576290000000000
7026	Burlington County	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0449409166590000000000
7033	Burlington Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0011579006300000000000
7045	Camden City	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0166038288430000000000
7044	Camden County	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0687412181720000000000
7056	Cape May County	Cape May County	New Jersey	0.0128427775400000000000
5284	Carteret Borough	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0017948471000000000000
7071	Cedar Grove Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0001879110210000000000
7160	Chatham Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0004985410510000000000
7049	Cherry Hill Township	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0034400449470000000000
7034	Cinnaminson Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0007016489260000000000
7240	Clark Township	Union County	New Jersey	0.0004298987040000000000
5320	Cliffside Park Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0011424491500000000000
7191	Clifton City	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0035352588120000000000
7108	Clinton Town	Hunterdon County	New Jersey	0.0002941933430000000000
7111	Clinton Township	Hunterdon County	New Jersey	0.0007997785540000000000
5439	Collingswood Borough	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0011289305710000000000
7237	Cranford Township	Union County	New Jersey	0.0006844413300000000000
7064	Cumberland County	Cumberland County	New Jersey	0.0098628280590000000000
7032	Delran Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0008374860540000000000
7165	Denville Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0007956543770000000000
7063	Deptford Township	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0022000954630000000000
7161	Dover Town	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0011865302510000000000
5503	Dumont Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0004038261200000000000
7133	East Brunswick Township	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0009729278810000000000
7087	East Greenwich Township	Gloucester County	New Jersey	0.0002121041620000000000
7173	East Hanover Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0008901564170000000000
7068	East Orange City	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0128448445530000000000
7119	East Windsor Township	Mercer County	New Jersey	0.0004224634620000000000
5595	Eatontown Borough	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0009747941940000000000
5604	Edgewater Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0005469489040000000000
7139	Edison Township	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0240181326310000000000
6988	Egg Harbor Township	Atlantic County	New Jersey	0.0015728913360000000000
7223	Elizabeth City	Union County	New Jersey	0.0076463081010000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
6992	Elmwood Park Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0004624353910000000000
6996	Englewood City	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0064298127360000000000
7067	Essex County	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0148984986640000000000
7031	Evesham Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0017451159470000000000
7118	Ewing Township	Mercer County	New Jersey	0.0008397969070000000000
7000	Fair Lawn Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0006993329160000000000
6997	Fairview Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0003470254840000000000
7037	Florence Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0006760072820000000000
7001	Florham Park Borough	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0006749751820000000000
7002	Fort Lee Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0018483666820000000000
7007	Franklin Lakes Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0002730763360000000000
7085	Franklin Township	Gloucester County	New Jersey	0.0008709638700000000000
7212	Franklin Township	Somerset County	New Jersey	0.0032360222030000000000
7008	Freehold Borough	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0008166757230000000000
7147	Freehold Township	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0015128185560000000000
6986	Galloway Township	Atlantic County	New Jersey	0.0008465156340000000000
6999	Garfield City	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0009891962240000000000
7009	Glassboro Borough	Gloucester County	New Jersey	0.0019481867770000000000
7010	Glen Rock Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0002531780600000000000
7086	Gloucester City	Gloucester County	New Jersey	0.0013252756400000000000
7084	Gloucester County	Gloucester County	New Jersey	0.0470220294910000000000
7052	Gloucester Township	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0032595025810000000000
7100	Guttenberg Town	Hudson County	New Jersey	0.0003821867330000000000
7003	Hackensack City	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0025541397850000000000
7054	Haddon Township	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0008310571210000000000
7011	Haddonfield Borough	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0007104792140000000000
6990	Hamilton Township	Atlantic County	New Jersey	0.0009396085610000000000
7117	Hamilton Township	Mercer County	New Jersey	0.0013942621760000000000
6984	Hammonton Town	Atlantic County	New Jersey	0.0004634515470000000000
7168	Hanover Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0007116519900000000000
7102	Harrison Town	Hudson County	New Jersey	0.0020591906840000000000
7089	Harrison Township	Gloucester County	New Jersey	0.0004784875610000000000
7012	Hasbrouck Heights Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0003608455810000000000
7015	Hawthorne Borough	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0003801225340000000000
7157	Hazlet Township	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0008105192340000000000
7014	Highland Park Borough	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0006062465540000000000
7022	Hillsborough Township	Somerset County	New Jersey	0.0014051130530000000000
7020	Hillsdale Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0002470276670000000000
7236	Hillside Township	Union County	New Jersey	0.0010856039670000000000
7101	Hoboken City	Hudson County	New Jersey	0.0018036333090000000000
7150	Holmdel Township	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0010588758280000000000
7018	Hopatcong Borough	Sussex County	New Jersey	0.0006775584790000000000
7115	Hopewell Township	Mercer County	New Jersey	0.0002758781510000000000
7152	Howell Township	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0020675290720000000000
7098	Hudson County	Hudson County	New Jersey	0.0093632802310000000000
7109	Hunterdon County	Hunterdon County	New Jersey	0.0080376453960000000000
7081	Irvington Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0092440406300000000000
7186	Jackson Township	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0026700973940000000000
7169	Jefferson Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0008661982060000000000
7096	Jersey City	Hudson County	New Jersey	0.0099161028630000000000
7097	Kearny Town	Hudson County	New Jersey	0.0010718579600000000000
7188	Lacey Township	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0015022912360000000000
7192	Lakewood Township	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0077300286650000000000
7123	Lawrence Township	Mercer County	New Jersey	0.0006445031630000000000
7090	Lincoln Park Borough	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0005952615650000000000
7228	Linden City	Union County	New Jersey	0.0024952618210000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
7124	Lindenwold Borough	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0010044559140000000000
7189	Little Egg Harbor Township	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0014659028160000000000
7208	Little Falls Township	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0003483201800000000000
7125	Little Ferry Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0002589669140000000000
7075	Livingston Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0004842857930000000000
7127	Lodi Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0010783403510000000000
7146	Long Branch City	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0052431844800000000000
7057	Lower Township	Cape May County	New Jersey	0.0007103291590000000000
7036	Lumberton Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0004619735020000000000
7025	Lyndhurst Township	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0006453547270000000000
7134	Madison Borough	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0014249306740000000000
7024	Mahwah Township	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0006109850200000000000
7149	Manalapan Township	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0013184106080000000000
7194	Manchester Township	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0021368011820000000000
7091	Mantua Township	Gloucester County	New Jersey	0.0008539841700000000000
7129	Manville Borough	Somerset County	New Jersey	0.0005745323580000000000
7035	Maple Shade Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0010580889760000000000
7079	Maplewood Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0005893071820000000000
7151	Marlboro Township	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0015881514950000000000
7038	Medford Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0011307757830000000000
7110	Mercer County	Mercer County	New Jersey	0.0111172048950000000000
7142	Metuchen Borough	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0003394683220000000000
7059	Middle Township	Cape May County	New Jersey	0.0006951609080000000000
7164	Middlesex Borough	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0003176061960000000000
7120	Middlesex County	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0223872997700000000000
7153	Middletown Township	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0032929480410000000000
7074	Millburn Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0006275328420000000000
7154	Millstone Township	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0000660989090000000000
7069	Millville City	Cumberland County	New Jersey	0.0023979814120000000000
7144	Monmouth County	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0446179356680000000000
7094	Monroe Township	Gloucester County	New Jersey	0.0022716320020000000000
7136	Monroe Township	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0010242167960000000000
7076	Montclair Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0058436665640000000000
7221	Montgomery Township	Somerset County	New Jersey	0.0008056663500000000000
7170	Montville Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0009027273030000000000
7042	Moorestown Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0010008719360000000000
7158	Morris County	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0235757662040000000000
7172	Morris Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0011257470530000000000
7166	Morristown Town	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0023698071150000000000
7039	Mount Laurel Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0017258314910000000000
7174	Mount Olive Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0010688090230000000000
7156	Neptune Township	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0024503511950000000000
7126	New Brunswick City	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0183273311940000000000
7197	New Milford Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0003684430700000000000
7196	New Providence Borough	Union County	New Jersey	0.0002584121780000000000
7070	Newark City	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0177604005460000000000
7198	North Arlington Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0004088909560000000000
7103	North Bergen Township	Hudson County	New Jersey	0.0011106350740000000000
7131	North Brunswick Township	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0012171973430000000000
7195	North Plainfield Borough	Somerset County	New Jersey	0.0014549417420000000000
7072	Nutley Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0005740610900000000000
7210	Oakland Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0003352311340000000000
7060	Ocean City	Cape May County	New Jersey	0.0019104281640000000000
7183	Ocean County	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0494972936240000000000
7159	Ocean Township	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0013872630320000000000
7132	Old Bridge Township	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0012639667790000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
7088	Orange City Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0056093026300000000000
7211	Palisades Park Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0003663451090000000000
7213	Paramus Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0011297863550000000000
7171	Parsippany-Troy Hills Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0023428909390000000000
7201	Passaic City	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0049846128680000000000
7193	Passaic County	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0218055052030000000000
7203	Paterson City	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0098055266140000000000
7041	Pemberton Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0011820590710000000000
7053	Pennsauken Township	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0032211859500000000000
7206	Pennsville Township	Salem County	New Jersey	0.0003409205760000000000
7175	Pequannock Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0007694772230000000000
7128	Perth Amboy City	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0034367493560000000000
7239	Phillipsburg Town	Warren County	New Jersey	0.0015445951190000000000
7222	Pine Hill Borough	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0004825282700000000000
7138	Piscataway Township	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0010670196130000000000
7230	Plainfield City	Union County	New Jersey	0.0030122296670000000000
7137	Plainsboro Township	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0005032987430000000000
6985	Pleasantville City	Atlantic County	New Jersey	0.0014211750700000000000
7234	Point Pleasant Borough	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0011099701410000000000
7231	Pompton Lakes Borough	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0002905737270000000000
7114	Princeton	Mercer County	New Jersey	0.0005039702400000000000
7229	Rahway City	Union County	New Jersey	0.0014444856980000000000
9753	Ramsey Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0004025234520000000000
7178	Randolph Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0008533318980000000000
7113	Raritan Township	Hunterdon County	New Jersey	0.0010716703910000000000
7112	Readington Township	Hunterdon County	New Jersey	0.0008500761660000000000
9756	Red Bank Borough	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0014206367470000000000
9758	Ridgefield Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0002011534050000000000
7016	Ridgefield Park Village	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0003928275320000000000
7023	Ridgewood Village	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0008491852130000000000
9760	Ringwood Borough	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0003098225450000000000
9761	River Edge Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0002660574980000000000
7122	Robbinsville Township	Mercer County	New Jersey	0.0003385933120000000000
7176	Rockaway Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0012904979700000000000
9763	Roselle Borough	Union County	New Jersey	0.0009123256870000000000
9759	Roselle Park Borough	Union County	New Jersey	0.0003166730400000000000
7177	Roxbury Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0010577171200000000000
9790	Rutherford Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0004598305240000000000
7028	Saddle Brook Township	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0003906568880000000000
7202	Salem County	Salem County	New Jersey	0.0093440567350000000000
9799	Sayreville Borough	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0014770570860000000000
7238	Scotch Plains Township	Union County	New Jersey	0.0004844335040000000000
7104	Secaucus Town	Hudson County	New Jersey	0.0005166592950000000000
6989	Somers Point City	Atlantic County	New Jersey	0.0004399266210000000000
7205	Somerset County	Somerset County	New Jersey	0.0146471254880000000000
9836	Somerville Borough	Somerset County	New Jersey	0.0007562873580000000000
7140	South Brunswick Township	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0010377771100000000000
7073	South Orange Village Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0004888910850000000000
9840	South Plainfield Borough	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0008997759020000000000
9857	South River Borough	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0004215682880000000000
7040	Southampton Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0001279962680000000000
7219	Sparta Township	Sussex County	New Jersey	0.0010695499210000000000
7243	Springfield Township	Union County	New Jersey	0.0005734374230000000000
7187	Stafford Township	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0021551159060000000000
7233	Summit City	Union County	New Jersey	0.0054280901740000000000
7217	Sussex County	Sussex County	New Jersey	0.0127353182210000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
7027	Teaneck Township	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0017234779660000000000
9911	Tenafly Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0004507137240000000000
9907	Tinton Falls Borough	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0008816403070000000000
7185	Toms River Township	Ocean County	New Jersey	0.0056209408290000000000
9918	Totowa Borough	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0003425458630000000000
7116	Trenton City	Mercer County	New Jersey	0.0193529069290000000000
7107	Union City	Hudson County	New Jersey	0.0098418756440000000000
7225	Union County	Union County	New Jersey	0.0174004745230000000000
7241	Union Township	Union County	New Jersey	0.0022472405060000000000
7065	Upper Township	Cape May County	New Jersey	0.0001739305520000000000
7224	Vernon Township	Sussex County	New Jersey	0.0007836161870000000000
7082	Verona Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0002343189170000000000
7066	Vineland City	Cumberland County	New Jersey	0.0050001698560000000000
7055	Voorhees Township	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0014060218250000000000
9925	Waldwick Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0004217703940000000000
7163	Wall Township	Monmouth County	New Jersey	0.0016508478990000000000
9924	Wallington Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0002359568650000000000
9928	Wanaque Borough	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0003112452570000000000
7220	Wantage Township	Sussex County	New Jersey	0.0000914033970000000000
7242	Warren County	Warren County	New Jersey	0.0103824720490000000000
7226	Warren Township	Somerset County	New Jersey	0.0007363262460000000000
7092	Washington Township	Gloucester County	New Jersey	0.0024703751430000000000
7179	Washington Township	Morris County	New Jersey	0.0005242741350000000000
7058	Waterford Township	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0006485668490000000000
7207	Wayne Township	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0017244448860000000000
7106	Weehawken Township	Hudson County	New Jersey	0.0002824515130000000000
7078	West Caldwell Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0002467481890000000000
7093	West Deptford Township	Gloucester County	New Jersey	0.0014020598950000000000
7204	West Milford Township	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0006447526300000000000
7105	West New York Town	Hudson County	New Jersey	0.0061573314630000000000
7077	West Orange Township	Essex County	New Jersey	0.0010511939330000000000
7121	West Windsor Township	Mercer County	New Jersey	0.0004586052280000000000
7235	Westfield Town	Union County	New Jersey	0.0008877456840000000000
9967	Westwood Borough	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0003483281520000000000
7043	Willingboro Township	Burlington County	New Jersey	0.0020918080260000000000
7061	Winslow Township	Camden County	New Jersey	0.0018179786000000000000
7141	Woodbridge Township	Middlesex County	New Jersey	0.0036880296850000000000
9983	Woodland Park Borough	Passaic County	New Jersey	0.0002924986550000000000
7099	Woolwich Township	Gloucester County	New Jersey	0.0005992919580000000000
7029	Wyckoff Township	Bergen County	New Jersey	0.0002966650360000000000
7323	Albany City	Albany County	New York	
7328	Albany County	Albany County	New York	
7326	Allegany County	Allegany County	New York	
7349	Amherst Town	Erie County	New York	
7370	Amsterdam City	Montgomery County	New York	
7329	Auburn City	Cayuga County	New York	
7324	Broome County	Broome County	New York	
7346	Buffalo City	Erie County	New York	
7330	Cattaraugus County	Cattaraugus County	New York	
7333	Cayuga County	Cayuga County	New York	
7335	Chautauqua County	Chautauqua County	New York	
7352	Cheektowaga Town	Erie County	New York	
7338	Chemung County	Chemung County	New York	
7331	Chenango County	Chenango County	New York	
7334	Clinton County	Clinton County	New York	
7332	Columbia County	Columbia County	New York	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
7337	Cortland County	Cortland County	New York	Allocations in New York will be made in accordance with the New York Agreement.
7341	Delaware County	Delaware County	New York	
7339	Dutchess County	Dutchess County	New York	
7350	Erie County	Erie County	New York	
7355	Essex County	Essex County	New York	
7354	Franklin County	Franklin County	New York	
7353	Fulton County	Fulton County	New York	
7356	Genesee County	Genesee County	New York	
7394	Geneva City	Ontario County, Seneca County	New York	
7357	Greene County	Greene County	New York	
7359	Hamilton County	Hamilton County	New York	
7362	Herkimer County	Herkimer County	New York	
7361	Herkimer Village	Herkimer County	New York	
7453	Ithaca City	Tompkins County	New York	
7363	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	New York	
7345	Lackawanna City	Erie County	New York	
7351	Lancaster Town	Erie County	New York	
7360	Lewis County	Lewis County	New York	
7364	Livingston County	Livingston County	New York	
7368	Madison County	Madison County	New York	
7365	Monroe County	Monroe County	New York	
7373	Montgomery County	Montgomery County	New York	
7459	Mount Vernon City	Westchester County	New York	
7369	Nassau County	Nassau County	New York	
7358	New York City	Kings County, New York County, Queens County, Richmond County, Bronx County	New York	
7389	Niagara County	Niagara County	New York	
7425	Ogdensburg City	St Lawrence County	New York	
7388	Oneida County	Oneida County	New York	
7399	Onondaga County	Onondaga County	New York	
7396	Ontario County	Ontario County	New York	
7395	Orange County	Orange County	New York	
7401	Orleans County	Orleans County	New York	
7404	Oswego County	Oswego County	New York	
7405	Otsego County	Otsego County	New York	
7336	Plattsburgh City	Clinton County	New York	
7343	Poughkeepsie City	Dutchess County	New York	
7403	Putnam County	Putnam County	New York	
7407	Rensselaer County	Rensselaer County	New York	
7367	Rochester City	Monroe County	New York	
7402	Rockland County	Rockland County	New York	
7397	Rome City	Oneida County	New York	
7419	Saratoga County	Saratoga County	New York	
7415	Saratoga Springs City	Saratoga County	New York	
7410	Schenectady City	Schenectady County	New York	
7416	Schenectady County	Schenectady County	New York	
7418	Schoharie County	Schoharie County	New York	
7420	Schuyler County	Schuyler County	New York	
7421	Seneca County	Seneca County	New York	
7424	St Lawrence County	St Lawrence County	New York	
7422	Steuben County	Steuben County	New York	
7426	Suffolk County	Suffolk County	New York	
7449	Sullivan County	Sullivan County	New York	
7398	Syracuse City	Onondaga County	New York	
7450	Tioga County	Tioga County	New York	
7452	Tompkins County	Tompkins County	New York	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
7348	Tonawanda Town	Erie County	New York	
7411	Troy City	Rensselaer County	New York	
7454	Ulster County	Ulster County	New York	
7400	Utica City	Oneida County	New York	
7458	Warren County	Warren County	New York	
7457	Washington County	Washington County	New York	
7460	Wayne County	Wayne County	New York	
7456	Westchester County	Westchester County	New York	
7462	Wyoming County	Wyoming County	New York	
7463	Yates County	Yates County	New York	
7461	Yonkers City	Westchester County	New York	
9633	Ada City	Pontotoc County	Oklahoma	0.01278
9579	Altus City	Jackson County	Oklahoma	0.0010100
9546	Anadarko City	Caddo County	Oklahoma	0.0035600
9543	Atoka County	Atoka County	Oklahoma	0.0031500
9541	Beckham County	Beckham County	Oklahoma	0.0028000
9615	Bethany City	Oklahoma County	Oklahoma	0.0038700
9653	Broken Arrow City	Tulsa County, Wagoner County	Oklahoma	0.0314600
9547	Caddo County	Caddo County	Oklahoma	0.0047500
9558	Choctaw County	Choctaw County	Oklahoma	0.0032800
9552	Cimarron County	Cimarron County	Oklahoma	0.0005100
9559	Cleveland County	Cleveland County	Oklahoma	0.0041300
9562	Coal County	Coal County	Oklahoma	0.0016000
14109	Collinsville City	Tulsa County	Oklahoma	0.0019200
9557	Comanche County	Comanche County	Oklahoma	0.0344500
9565	Craig County	Craig County	Oklahoma	0.0016000
9566	Creek County	Creek County	Oklahoma	0.0126300
9569	Custer County	Custer County	Oklahoma	0.0030900
9572	Delaware County	Delaware County	Oklahoma	0.0037300
9576	Dewey County	Dewey County	Oklahoma	0.0001900
9617	Edmond City	Oklahoma County	Oklahoma	0.0227900
9549	El Reno City	Canadian County	Oklahoma	0.0031000
9537	Elk City	Beckham County	Oklahoma	0.0049800
9575	Enid City	Garfield County	Oklahoma	0.0115800
9587	Garvin County	Garvin County	Oklahoma	0.0012700
9577	Grady County	Grady County	Oklahoma	0.0157500
9584	Greer County	Greer County	Oklahoma	0.0006600
9602	Guthrie City	Logan County	Oklahoma	0.0033100
9578	Harmon County	Harmon County	Oklahoma	0.0004600
9586	Harper County	Harper County	Oklahoma	0.0006300
9580	Haskell County	Haskell County	Oklahoma	0.0024900
9582	Hughes County	Hughes County	Oklahoma	0.0019100
9581	Jackson County	Jackson County	Oklahoma	0.0077300
9585	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Oklahoma	0.0021000
9649	Jenks City	Tulsa County	Oklahoma	0.0027700
9590	Johnston County	Johnston County	Oklahoma	0.0025200
9588	Kay County	Kay County	Oklahoma	0.0048600
9595	Kiowa County	Kiowa County	Oklahoma	0.0017200
9591	Latimer County	Latimer County	Oklahoma	0.0039600
9564	Lawton City	Comanche County	Oklahoma	0.0055200
9598	Le Flore County	Le Flore County	Oklahoma	0.0126100
9596	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	Oklahoma	0.0039800
9599	Logan County	Logan County	Oklahoma	0.0084500
9601	Love County	Love County	Oklahoma	0.0016000
9604	Major County	Major County	Oklahoma	0.0001800
9592	Mayes County	Mayes County	Oklahoma	0.0074200

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
9593	Mcclain County	Mcclain County	Oklahoma	0.0031600
9603	Mccurtain County	Mccurtain County	Oklahoma	0.0069400
9622	Midwest City	Oklahoma County	Oklahoma	0.0213000
9609	Muskogee City	Muskogee County	Oklahoma	0.0330700
9608	Muskogee County	Muskogee County	Oklahoma	0.0017000
9551	Mustang City	Canadian County	Oklahoma	0.0024900
9606	Noble County	Noble County	Oklahoma	0.0004500
9607	Nowata County	Nowata County	Oklahoma	0.0012100
9610	Okfuskee County	Okfuskee County	Oklahoma	0.0022700
9554	Oklahoma City	Canadian County, Cleveland County, Oklahoma County, Pottawatomie County	Oklahoma	0.2243000
9614	Oklahoma County	Oklahoma County	Oklahoma	0.0446800
9627	Okmulgee County	Okmulgee County	Oklahoma	0.0033900
9621	Osage County	Osage County	Oklahoma	0.0071900
9624	Ottawa County	Ottawa County	Oklahoma	0.0028700
9642	Owasso City	Rogers County, Tulsa County	Oklahoma	0.0114800
9623	Pawnee County	Pawnee County	Oklahoma	0.0035100
9628	Payne County	Payne County	Oklahoma	0.0057000
9631	Pittsburg County	Pittsburg County	Oklahoma	0.0015500
9589	Ponca City	Kay County	Oklahoma	0.0069500
9634	Pottawatomie County	Pottawatomie County	Oklahoma	0.0078300
9635	Roger Mills County	Roger Mills County	Oklahoma	0.0008900
9636	Rogers County	Rogers County	Oklahoma	0.0140600
9643	Seminole City	Seminole County	Oklahoma	0.0038200
9639	Seminole County	Seminole County	Oklahoma	0.0048100
9637	Shawnee City	Pottawatomie County	Oklahoma	0.0177900
9646	Stephens County	Stephens County	Oklahoma	0.0066600
9629	Stillwater City	Payne County	Oklahoma	0.0138200
9644	Texas County	Texas County	Oklahoma	0.0049700
9647	Tillman County	Tillman County	Oklahoma	0.0014700
9616	Tulsa City	Osage County, Rogers County, Tulsa County, Wagoner County	Oklahoma	0.1826700
9652	Tulsa County	Tulsa County	Oklahoma	0.0791200
9655	Washington County	Washington County	Oklahoma	0.0056600
9663	Woods County	Woods County	Oklahoma	0.0004600
9658	Woodward County	Woodward County	Oklahoma	0.0022000
9550	Yukon City	Canadian County	Oklahoma	0.0046800
9661	Albany City	Benton County, Linn County	Oregon	0.0115744212340000000000
9693	Ashland City	Jackson County	Oregon	0.0057255932380000000000
9675	Astoria City	Clatsop County	Oregon	0.0018592830650000000000
9660	Baker County	Baker County	Oregon	0.0047716362050000000000
9744	Beaverton City	Washington County	Oregon	0.0097096760290000000000
9686	Bend City	Deschutes County	Oregon	0.0094435190430000000000
9664	Benton County	Benton County	Oregon	0.0102198853060000000000
9671	Canby City	Clackamas County	Oregon	0.0017168124370000000000
9695	Central Point City	Jackson County	Oregon	0.0017187300430000000000
9662	Clackamas County	Clackamas County	Oregon	0.0777131425770000000000
9679	Clatsop County	Clatsop County	Oregon	0.0114236920990000000000
9678	Columbia County	Columbia County	Oregon	0.0100966994130000000000
9687	Coos Bay City	Coos County	Oregon	0.0025389459290000000000
9681	Coos County	Coos County	Oregon	0.0156330024700000000000
9742	Cornelius City	Washington County	Oregon	0.0009497502650000000000
9666	Corvallis City	Benton County	Oregon	0.0066337114250000000000
9704	Cottage Grove City	Lane County	Oregon	0.0009102295750000000000
9685	Crook County	Crook County	Oregon	0.0035132299110000000000
9683	Curry County	Curry County	Oregon	0.0076129612950000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
9725	Dallas City	Polk County	Oregon	0.0016069646830000000000
9684	Deschutes County	Deschutes County	Oregon	0.0225697536000000000000
9688	Douglas County	Douglas County	Oregon	0.0256894810470000000000
9706	Eugene City	Lane County	Oregon	0.0276110399320000000000
9735	Forest Grove City	Washington County	Oregon	0.0025221694150000000000
9665	Gladstone City	Clackamas County	Oregon	0.0011813600320000000000
9699	Grants Pass City	Josephine County	Oregon	0.0082325818950000000000
9722	Gresham City	Multnomah County	Oregon	0.0098319427180000000000
9667	Happy Valley City	Clackamas County	Oregon	0.0001035060090000000000
9728	Hermiston City	Umatilla County	Oregon	0.0013163043140000000000
9743	Hillsboro City	Washington County	Oregon	0.0150835193640000000000
9694	Hood River County	Hood River County	Oregon	0.0035536874980000000000
9727	Independence City	Polk County	Oregon	0.0008089706010000000000
9692	Jackson County	Jackson County	Oregon	0.0407695106400000000000
9702	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Oregon	0.0036746929150000000000
9701	Josephine County	Josephine County	Oregon	0.0165365237980000000000
9721	Keizer City	Marion County	Oregon	0.0019165584510000000000
9700	Klamath County	Klamath County	Oregon	0.0121696286010000000000
9703	Klamath Falls City	Klamath County	Oregon	0.0032092752140000000000
9739	La Grande City	Union County	Oregon	0.0027156486690000000000
9670	Lake Oswego City	Clackamas County, Multnomah County, Washington County	Oregon	0.0069341603420000000000
9705	Lane County	Lane County	Oregon	0.0633268082340000000000
9708	Lebanon City	Linn County	Oregon	0.0032693452820000000000
9709	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	Oregon	0.0151903432680000000000
9712	Linn County	Linn County	Oregon	0.0181853766890000000000
9714	Malheur County	Malheur County	Oregon	0.0050140270230000000000
9713	Marion County	Marion County	Oregon	0.0416364753080000000000
9747	Mcminnville City	Yamhill County	Oregon	0.0048035926350000000000
9696	Medford City	Jackson County	Oregon	0.0155407585980000000000
9677	Milwaukie City	Clackamas County, Multnomah County	Oregon	0.0021136471180000000000
9724	Monmouth City	Polk County	Oregon	0.0007069609300000000000
9723	Morrow County	Morrow County	Oregon	0.0013515449370000000000
9720	Multnomah County	Multnomah County	Oregon	0.1396438156620000000000
9748	Newberg City	Yamhill County	Oregon	0.0040932573610000000000
9711	Newport City	Lincoln County	Oregon	0.0019083926230000000000
9717	Ontario City	Malheur County	Oregon	0.0018697801820000000000
9674	Oregon City	Clackamas County	Oregon	0.0027650404750000000000
9733	Pendleton City	Umatilla County	Oregon	0.0035210494580000000000
9726	Polk County	Polk County	Oregon	0.0070742996810000000000
9673	Portland City	Clackamas County, Multnomah County, Washington County	Oregon	0.0827367028580000000000
9682	Prineville City	Crook County	Oregon	0.0009248618430000000000
9689	Redmond City	Deschutes County	Oregon	0.0015503110860000000000
9690	Roseburg City	Douglas County	Oregon	0.0063707998770000000000
9715	Salem City	Marion County, Polk County	Oregon	0.0304382214210000000000
9669	Sandy City	Clackamas County	Oregon	0.0007750156820000000000
9745	Sherwood City	Washington County	Oregon	0.0014042049280000000000
9718	Silverton City	Marion County	Oregon	0.0007756307310000000000
9710	Springfield City	Lane County	Oregon	0.0116672346590000000000
9680	St. Helens City	Columbia County	Oregon	0.0019644530770000000000
9737	The Dalles City	Wasco County	Oregon	0.0017234187380000000000
9738	Tigard City	Washington County	Oregon	0.0050498759560000000000
9731	Tillamook County	Tillamook County	Oregon	0.0090012288700000000000
9719	Troutdale City	Multnomah County	Oregon	0.0008999296100000000000
9676	Tualatin City	Clackamas County, Washington County	Oregon	0.0015515656180000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
9730	Umatilla County	Umatilla County	Oregon	0.0097386338840000000000
9732	Union County	Union County	Oregon	0.0041538413740000000000
9734	Wasco County	Wasco County	Oregon	0.0041162787310000000000
9736	Washington County	Washington County	Oregon	0.0721676222100000000000
9668	West Linn City	Clackamas County	Oregon	0.0016005049830000000000
9672	Wilsonville City	Clackamas County, Washington County	Oregon	0.0013833513960000000000
9716	Woodburn City	Marion County	Oregon	0.0020693492660000000000
9746	Yamhill County	Yamhill County	Oregon	0.0141202464440000000000
9749	Adams County	Adams County	Pennsylvania	
9781	Aliquippa City	Beaver County	Pennsylvania	
9752	Allegheny County	Allegheny County	Pennsylvania	
9950	Allentown City	Lehigh County	Pennsylvania	
9782	Armstrong County	Armstrong County	Pennsylvania	
9779	Beaver County	Beaver County	Pennsylvania	
9786	Bedford County	Bedford County	Pennsylvania	
9801	Bensalem Township	Bucks County	Pennsylvania	
9783	Berks County	Berks County	Pennsylvania	
9794	Blair County	Blair County	Pennsylvania	
9800	Bradford County	Bradford County	Pennsylvania	
9803	Bristol Township	Bucks County	Pennsylvania	
9796	Bucks County	Bucks County	Pennsylvania	
9821	Butler County	Butler County	Pennsylvania	
9823	Cambria County	Cambria County	Pennsylvania	
9822	Cameron County	Cameron County	Pennsylvania	
9827	Carbon County	Carbon County	Pennsylvania	
9829	Centre County	Centre County	Pennsylvania	
9833	Chester County	Chester County	Pennsylvania	
9850	Clarion County	Clarion County	Pennsylvania	
9851	Clearfield County	Clearfield County	Pennsylvania	
9853	Clinton County	Clinton County	Pennsylvania	
9832	Coatesville City	Chester County	Pennsylvania	
9855	Columbia County	Columbia County	Pennsylvania	
9860	Crawford County	Crawford County	Pennsylvania	
9861	Cumberland County	Cumberland County	Pennsylvania	
9869	Dauphin County	Dauphin County	Pennsylvania	
9877	Delaware County	Delaware County	Pennsylvania	
13581	District Attorney Of Allegheny County	Allegheny County	Pennsylvania	
13575	District Attorney Of Berks County	Berks County	Pennsylvania	
13579	District Attorney Of Bucks County	Bucks County	Pennsylvania	
13585	District Attorney Of Chester County	Chester County	Pennsylvania	
13582	District Attorney Of Clearfield County	Clearfield County	Pennsylvania	
13568	District Attorney Of Dauphin County	Dauphin County	Pennsylvania	
13584	District Attorney Of Delaware County	Delaware County	Pennsylvania	
13577	District Attorney Of Erie County	Erie County	Pennsylvania	
13572	District Attorney Of Lehigh County	Lehigh County	Pennsylvania	
13569	District Attorney Of Northampton County	Northampton County	Pennsylvania	
13578	District Attorney Of Philadelphia	Philadelphia County	Pennsylvania	
13580	District Attorney Of Westmoreland County	Westmoreland County	Pennsylvania	
5680	Edwardsville Borough	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
9892	Elk County	Elk County	Pennsylvania	
9896	Erie County	Erie County	Pennsylvania	
6998	Exeter Borough	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
9900	Fairview Township	Erie County	Pennsylvania	
10073	Fairview Township	York County	Pennsylvania	
12887	Fairview Township	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
9902	Fayette County	Fayette County	Pennsylvania	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
9904	Forest County	Forest County	Pennsylvania	Allocations in Pennsylvania will be made in accordance with the Pennsylvania Trust and Allocation Order.
7004	Forty Fort Borough	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
9905	Franklin County	Franklin County	Pennsylvania	
9916	Fulton County	Fulton County	Pennsylvania	
9912	Greene County	Greene County	Pennsylvania	
9974	Hanover Township	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
9964	Hazleton City	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
9919	Huntingdon County	Huntingdon County	Pennsylvania	
9913	Indiana County	Indiana County	Pennsylvania	
9917	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Pennsylvania	
9922	Juniata County	Juniata County	Pennsylvania	
7048	Kingston Borough	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
9921	Lackawanna County	Lackawanna County	Pennsylvania	
9923	Lancaster County	Lancaster County	Pennsylvania	
9944	Lawrence County	Lawrence County	Pennsylvania	
9949	Lebanon County	Lebanon County	Pennsylvania	
9948	Lehigh County	Lehigh County	Pennsylvania	
9856	Lock Haven City	Clinton County	Pennsylvania	
9808	Lower Makefield Township	Bucks County	Pennsylvania	
9804	Lower Southampton Township	Bucks County	Pennsylvania	
9958	Luzerne County	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
9976	Lycoming County	Lycoming County	Pennsylvania	
12884	Mahoning Township	Carbon County	Pennsylvania	
13189	Mahoning Township	Lawrence County	Pennsylvania	
9978	Mckean County	Mckean County	Pennsylvania	
9981	Mercer County	Mercer County	Pennsylvania	
9805	Middletown Township	Bucks County	Pennsylvania	
9883	Middletown Township	Delaware County	Pennsylvania	
9980	Mifflin County	Mifflin County	Pennsylvania	
9985	Monroe County	Monroe County	Pennsylvania	
9988	Montgomery County	Montgomery County	Pennsylvania	
10024	Montour County	Montour County	Pennsylvania	
7167	Morrisville Borough	Bucks County	Pennsylvania	
7200	Municipality of Norristown	Montgomery County	Pennsylvania	
9961	Nanticoke City	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
9942	New Castle City	Lawrence County	Pennsylvania	
9811	Newtown Township	Bucks County	Pennsylvania	
9884	Newtown Township	Delaware County	Pennsylvania	
10023	Northampton County	Northampton County	Pennsylvania	
10033	Northumberland County	Northumberland County	Pennsylvania	
10032	Perry County	Perry County	Pennsylvania	
10034	Philadelphia City	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	
10038	Pike County	Pike County	Pennsylvania	
9757	Pittsburgh City	Allegheny County	Pennsylvania	
9969	Plains Township	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
10037	Potter County	Potter County	Pennsylvania	
10041	Schuylkill County	Schuylkill County	Pennsylvania	
10043	Snyder County	Snyder County	Pennsylvania	
10046	Somerset County	Somerset County	Pennsylvania	
13142	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority	Bucks County, Chester County, Delaware County, Montgomery County, Philadelphia County	Pennsylvania	
9880	Sugar Notch Borough	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
10045	Sullivan County	Sullivan County	Pennsylvania	
10044	Susquehanna County	Susquehanna County	Pennsylvania	
10047	Tioga County	Tioga County	Pennsylvania	

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10049	Union County	Union County	Pennsylvania	
9945	Union Township	Lawrence County	Pennsylvania	
10050	Venango County	Venango County	Pennsylvania	
12888	Wampum Borough	Lawrence County	Pennsylvania	
9814	Warminster Township	Bucks County	Pennsylvania	
10048	Warren County	Warren County	Pennsylvania	
9816	Warrington Township	Bucks County	Pennsylvania	
10052	Washington County	Washington County	Pennsylvania	
10056	Wayne County	Wayne County	Pennsylvania	
10019	West Norriton Township	Montgomery County	Pennsylvania	
9966	West Pittston Borough	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
10059	Westmoreland County	Westmoreland County	Pennsylvania	
9973	Wilkes Barre Township	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
9972	Wilkes-Barre City	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
9971	Wright Township	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
9994	Wyoming Borough	Luzerne County	Pennsylvania	
10071	Wyoming County	Wyoming County	Pennsylvania	
10070	York County	York County	Pennsylvania	
10166	Barrington Town	Bristol County	Rhode Island	0.0230005392020000000000
10168	Bristol Town	Bristol County	Rhode Island	0.0108218689600000000000
10182	Burrillville Town	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0132720361090000000000
10174	Central Falls City	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0091475846890000000000
10190	Charlestown Town	Washington County	Rhode Island	0.0058878601000000000000
10165	Coventry Town	Kent County	Rhode Island	0.0358869390360000000000
10177	Cranston City	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0788695952620000000000
10185	Cumberland Town	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0247420037540000000000
10167	East Greenwich Town	Kent County	Rhode Island	0.0174676714390000000000
10180	East Providence City	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0432477285800000000000
10193	Exeter Town	Washington County	Rhode Island	0.0000718106400000000000
10184	Foster Town	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0024890215330000000000
10183	Glocester Town	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0085084691300000000000
10198	Hopkinton Town	Washington County	Rhode Island	0.0070980066140000000000
10171	Jamestown Town	Newport County	Rhode Island	0.0042202952870000000000
10187	Johnston Town	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0308986851400000000000
10186	Lincoln Town	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0211719735200000000000
10173	Little Compton Town	Newport County	Rhode Island	0.0026630177450000000000
10172	Middletown Town	Newport County	Rhode Island	0.0128774396010000000000
10194	Narragansett Town	Washington County	Rhode Island	0.0127601238000000000000
10201	New Shoreham Town	Washington County	Rhode Island	0.0021182693750000000000
10169	Newport City	Newport County	Rhode Island	0.0233393166950000000000
10196	North Kingstown Town	Washington County	Rhode Island	0.0265005245140000000000
10192	North Providence Town	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0253062293980000000000
10188	North Smithfield Town	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0112990135060000000000
10176	Pawtucket City	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0596522173450000000000
10175	Portsmouth Town	Newport County	Rhode Island	0.0128074290200000000000
10178	Providence City	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.2148580802620000000000
10195	Richmond Town	Washington County	Rhode Island	0.0008187895420000000000
10191	Scituate Town	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0102485886450000000000
10189	Smithfield Town	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0177246735740000000000
10197	South Kingstown Town	Washington County	Rhode Island	0.0232827478940000000000
10179	Tiverton Town	Newport County	Rhode Island	0.0099077306390000000000
10161	Warren Town	Bristol County	Rhode Island	0.0013941160290000000000
10162	Warwick City	Kent County	Rhode Island	0.0994181844270000000000
10164	West Greenwich Town	Kent County	Rhode Island	0.0071047346590000000000
10170	West Warwick Town	Kent County	Rhode Island	0.0302399434950000000000
10204	Westerly Town	Washington County	Rhode Island	0.0201357545350000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10181	Woonsocket City	Providence County	Rhode Island	0.0387409863060000000000
10303	Aberdeen City	Brown County	South Dakota	0.0178067892840000000000
10285	Aurora County	Aurora County	South Dakota	0.0012832521500000000000
10288	Beadle County	Beadle County	South Dakota	0.0087430327040000000000
10293	Bennett County	Bennett County	South Dakota	0.0018957033320000000000
10296	Bon Homme County	Bon Homme County	South Dakota	0.0060471624260000000000
10342	Box Elder City	Meade County, Pennington County	South Dakota	0.0022510761070000000000
10346	Brandon City	Minnehaha County	South Dakota	0.0040128959180000000000
10299	Brookings City	Brookings County	South Dakota	0.0242596118630000000000
10295	Brookings County	Brookings County	South Dakota	0.0028024215880000000000
10294	Brown County	Brown County	South Dakota	0.0177645643150000000000
10297	Brule County	Brule County	South Dakota	0.0080457100910000000000
10298	Buffalo County	Buffalo County	South Dakota	0.0018235199260000000000
10305	Butte County	Butte County	South Dakota	0.0089265443440000000000
10302	Campbell County	Campbell County	South Dakota	0.0006824190480000000000
10301	Charles Mix County	Charles Mix County	South Dakota	0.0080844334240000000000
10300	Clark County	Clark County	South Dakota	0.0025502606010000000000
10304	Clay County	Clay County	South Dakota	0.0044151949320000000000
10307	Codington County	Codington County	South Dakota	0.0087212663650000000000
10308	Corson County	Corson County	South Dakota	0.0034293224210000000000
10309	Custer County	Custer County	South Dakota	0.0108684714260000000000
10313	Davison County	Davison County	South Dakota	0.0068154580050000000000
10314	Day County	Day County	South Dakota	0.0039216804750000000000
10312	Deuel County	Deuel County	South Dakota	0.0040350080980000000000
10317	Dewey County	Dewey County	South Dakota	0.0036999966850000000000
10316	Douglas County	Douglas County	South Dakota	0.0028915187590000000000
10321	Edmunds County	Edmunds County	South Dakota	0.0025293480560000000000
10320	Fall River County	Fall River County	South Dakota	0.0219689045900000000000
10319	Faulk County	Faulk County	South Dakota	0.0027724739590000000000
10315	Grant County	Grant County	South Dakota	0.0069232305640000000000
10322	Gregory County	Gregory County	South Dakota	0.0055551285990000000000
10318	Haakon County	Haakon County	South Dakota	0.0017744970290000000000
10324	Hamlin County	Hamlin County	South Dakota	0.0033401171760000000000
10323	Hand County	Hand County	South Dakota	0.0024013114010000000000
10326	Hanson County	Hanson County	South Dakota	0.0014842719660000000000
10328	Harding County	Harding County	South Dakota	0.0006208053930000000000
10325	Hughes County	Hughes County	South Dakota	0.0081370660320000000000
10291	Huron City	Beadle County	South Dakota	0.0083089595900000000000
10329	Hutchinson County	Hutchinson County	South Dakota	0.0056592374100000000000
10332	Hyde County	Hyde County	South Dakota	0.0006405291120000000000
10334	Jackson County	Jackson County	South Dakota	0.0014374213800000000000
10330	Jerauld County	Jerauld County	South Dakota	0.0032582502340000000000
10331	Jones County	Jones County	South Dakota	0.0004517325970000000000
10336	Kingsbury County	Kingsbury County	South Dakota	0.0037217630250000000000
10335	Lake County	Lake County	South Dakota	0.0082739324280000000000
10341	Lawrence County	Lawrence County	South Dakota	0.0238382375810000000000
10338	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	South Dakota	0.0126117239230000000000
10339	Lyman County	Lyman County	South Dakota	0.0032399423000000000000
10340	Marshall County	Marshall County	South Dakota	0.0056251072710000000000
10343	Mccook County	Mccook County	South Dakota	0.0030477738400000000000
10345	Mcpherson County	Mcpherson County	South Dakota	0.0016131840300000000000
10344	Meade County	Meade County	South Dakota	0.0326983265020000000000
10347	Mellette County	Mellette County	South Dakota	0.0018482475250000000000
10353	Miner County	Miner County	South Dakota	0.0016167397030000000000
10352	Minnehaha County	Minnehaha County	South Dakota	0.1222435225170000000000
10311	Mitchell City	Davison County	South Dakota	0.0150317323290000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10349	Moody County	Moody County	South Dakota	0.0047439379850000000000
10348	Oglala Lakota County	Oglala Lakota County	South Dakota	0.0139196928640000000000
10351	Pennington County	Pennington County	South Dakota	0.0806117672830000000000
10357	Perkins County	Perkins County	South Dakota	0.0037553744030000000000
10327	Pierre City	Hughes County	South Dakota	0.0062166783310000000000
10356	Potter County	Potter County	South Dakota	0.0018934445610000000000
10350	Rapid City	Pennington County	South Dakota	0.0694927235740000000000
10360	Roberts County	Roberts County	South Dakota	0.0099437802690000000000
10355	Sanborn County	Sanborn County	South Dakota	0.0011418574040000000000
10337	Sioux Falls City	Lincoln County, Minnehaha County	South Dakota	0.2167326604280000000000
10333	Spearfish City	Lawrence County	South Dakota	0.0082086334100000000000
10359	Spink County	Spink County	South Dakota	0.0073247730520000000000
10354	Stanley County	Stanley County	South Dakota	0.0017338823800000000000
10358	Sully County	Sully County	South Dakota	0.0006322181310000000000
10362	Todd County	Todd County	South Dakota	0.0106778592480000000000
10364	Tripp County	Tripp County	South Dakota	0.0062525809030000000000
10363	Turner County	Turner County	South Dakota	0.0065369699060000000000
10365	Union County	Union County	South Dakota	0.0145310416800000000000
10306	Vermillion City	Clay County	South Dakota	0.0059127817600000000000
10361	Walworth County	Walworth County	South Dakota	0.0056151103180000000000
10310	Watertown City	Codington County	South Dakota	0.0161329642770000000000
10367	Yankton City	Yankton County	South Dakota	0.0122198973930000000000
10366	Yankton County	Yankton County	South Dakota	0.0142334350840000000000
10368	Ziebach County	Ziebach County	South Dakota	0.0030851030040000000000
11279	Abbott City	Hill County	Texas	0.0000045866700000000000
11164	Abernathy City	Hale County, Lubbock County	Texas	0.0000073333000000000000
11395	Abilene City	Jones County, Taylor County	Texas	0.0037587866700000000000
10939	Ackerly City	Dawson County	Texas	0.0000001400000000000000
10914	Addison Town	Dallas County	Texas	0.0003872933300000000000
11658	Adrian City	Oldham County	Texas	0.0000012066700000000000
11646	Agua Dulce City	Nueces County	Texas	0.0000002866700000000000
11257	Alamo City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.0001474733300000000000
10631	Alamo Heights City	Bexar County	Texas	0.0001879866700000000000
11717	Alba Town	Rains County, Wood County	Texas	0.0000213066700000000000
11795	Albany City	Shackelford County	Texas	0.0000012000000000000000
11685	Aledo City	Parker County	Texas	0.0000022066700000000000
11370	Alice City	Jim Wells County	Texas	0.0004752733300000000000
10834	Allen City	Collin County	Texas	0.0021005400000000000000
11026	Alma Town	Ellis County	Texas	0.0000073800000000000000
10717	Alpine City	Brewster County	Texas	0.0001979066700000000000
10803	Alto Town	Cherokee County	Texas	0.0000251133300000000000
11270	Alton City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.0000769333300000000000
11377	Alvarado City	Johnson County	Texas	0.0001935266700000000000
10683	Alvin City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0007597466700000000000
12005	Alvord Town	Wise County	Texas	0.0000023866700000000000
11711	Amarillo City	Potter County, Randall County	Texas	0.0065844066700000000000
11487	Ames City	Liberty County	Texas	0.0000371400000000000000
11453	Amherst City	Lamb County	Texas	0.0000001466700000000000
10788	Anahuac City	Chambers County	Texas	0.0000036133300000000000
11153	Anderson City	Grimes County	Texas	0.0000001266700000000000
10567	Anderson County	Anderson County	Texas	0.0017917533300000000000
10569	Andrews City	Andrews County	Texas	0.0001265533300000000000
10571	Andrews County	Andrews County	Texas	0.0002507066700000000000
10573	Angelina County	Angelina County	Texas	0.0015330400000000000000
10689	Angleton City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0004186066700000000000
11640	Angus City	Navarro County	Texas	0.0000022066700000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10836	Anna City	Collin County	Texas	0.0000605000000000000000
11683	Annetta North Town	Parker County	Texas	0.0000022667000000000000
11689	Annetta South Town	Parker County	Texas	0.0000040133000000000000
11690	Annetta Town	Parker County	Texas	0.0000397066700000000000
11739	Annona Town	Red River County	Texas	0.0000049200000000000000
11384	Anson City	Jones County	Texas	0.0000342266700000000000
11009	Anthony Town	El Paso County	Texas	0.0000300933300000000000
11294	Anton City	Hockley County	Texas	0.0000029600000000000000
11621	Appleby City	Nacogdoches County	Texas	0.0000103400000000000000
11293	Aquilla City	Hill County	Texas	0.0000013866700000000000
10578	Aransas County	Aransas County	Texas	0.0017767466700000000000
11654	Aransas Pass City	Nueces County, San Patricio County, Aransas County	Texas	0.0003854200000000000000
10585	Archer City	Archer County	Texas	0.0000703600000000000000
10581	Archer County	Archer County	Texas	0.0003035600000000000000
11078	Arcola City	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.0000486000000000000000
10965	Argyle City	Denton County	Texas	0.0000760400000000000000
11832	Arlington City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0049053533300000000000
10589	Armstrong County	Armstrong County	Texas	0.0000064933300000000000
11804	Arp City	Smith County	Texas	0.0000133933300000000000
10988	Asherton City	Dimmit County	Texas	0.0000074667000000000000
11831	Aspermont Town	Stonewall County	Texas	0.0000006000000000000000
10593	Atascosa County	Atascosa County	Texas	0.0011793533300000000000
11233	Athens City	Henderson County	Texas	0.0007062800000000000000
10783	Atlanta City	Cass County	Texas	0.0002066333300000000000
10950	Aubrey City	Denton County	Texas	0.0001009400000000000000
12019	Aurora City	Wise County	Texas	0.0000123266700000000000
11912	Austin City	Travis County, Williamson County, Hays County	Texas	0.0325181066700000000000
10597	Austin County	Austin County	Texas	0.0005068666700000000000
11735	Austwell City	Refugio County	Texas	0.0000072667000000000000
11732	Avery Town	Red River County	Texas	0.0000009200000000000000
10779	Avinger Town	Cass County	Texas	0.0000074333300000000000
11691	Azle City	Parker County, Tarrant County	Texas	0.0002147533300000000000
11037	Bailey City	Fannin County	Texas	0.0000063333300000000000
10605	Bailey County	Bailey County	Texas	0.0001025133300000000000
10700	Bailey'S Prairie Village	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0000373600000000000000
10750	Baird City	Callahan County	Texas	0.0000186800000000000000
10912	Balch Springs City	Dallas County	Texas	0.0001823866700000000000
10643	Balcones Heights City	Bexar County	Texas	0.0001587400000000000000
11759	Ballinger City	Runnels County	Texas	0.0000611466700000000000
11740	Balmorhea City	Reeves County	Texas	0.0000004200000000000000
10608	Bandera City	Bandera County	Texas	0.0000192866700000000000
10609	Bandera County	Bandera County	Texas	0.0005787666700000000000
10722	Bangs City	Brown County	Texas	0.0000203333300000000000
11012	Bardwell City	Ellis County	Texas	0.0000024133300000000000
11630	Barry City	Navarro County	Texas	0.0000013333300000000000
11955	Barstow City	Ward County	Texas	0.0000004066700000000000
12000	Bartlett City	Williamson County, Bell County	Texas	0.0000224933300000000000
10970	Bartonville Town	Denton County	Texas	0.0000592466700000000000
10610	Bastrop City	Bastrop County	Texas	0.0003088000000000000000
10612	Bastrop County	Bastrop County	Texas	0.0022930666700000000000
11536	Bay City	Matagorda County	Texas	0.0003860800000000000000
10615	Baylor County	Baylor County	Texas	0.0001988800000000000000
11104	Bayou Vista City	Galveston County	Texas	0.0000416000000000000000
11746	Bayside Town	Refugio County	Texas	0.0000016133300000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10802	Baytown City	Chambers County, Harris County	Texas	0.001440440000000000000
10765	Bayview Town	Cameron County	Texas	0.000000273330000000000
10794	Beach City	Chambers County	Texas	0.000083366670000000000
11225	Bear Creek Village	Hays County	Texas	0.000006040000000000000
11075	Beasley City	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.000000866670000000000
11362	Beaumont City	Jefferson County	Texas	0.004553400000000000000
11674	Beckville City	Panola County	Texas	0.000008313330000000000
11839	Bedford City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.000628760000000000000
11151	Bedias City	Grimes County	Texas	0.000023166670000000000
11902	Bee Cave City	Travis County	Texas	0.000085753330000000000
10611	Bee County	Bee County	Texas	0.000652293330000000000
10617	Beeville City	Bee County	Texas	0.000160180000000000000
10622	Bell County	Bell County	Texas	0.004338320000000000000
11189	Bellaire City	Harris County	Texas	0.000275093330000000000
10821	Bellevue City	Clay County	Texas	0.000000373330000000000
11544	Bellmead City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.000096580000000000000
11129	Bells Town	Grayson County	Texas	0.000012606670000000000
10600	Bellville City	Austin County	Texas	0.000049920000000000000
10618	Belton City	Bell County	Texas	0.000484533330000000000
10996	Benavides City	Duval County	Texas	0.000001013330000000000
11836	Benbrook City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.000292793330000000000
11434	Benjamin City	Knox County	Texas	0.000006340000000000000
11248	Berryville Town	Henderson County	Texas	0.000095860000000000000
10742	Bertram City	Burnet County	Texas	0.000001213330000000000
11546	Beverly Hills City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.000028906670000000000
11366	Bevil Oaks City	Jefferson County	Texas	0.000003660000000000000
10632	Bexar County	Bexar County	Texas	0.046714346670000000000
11725	Big Lake City	Reagan County	Texas	0.000003646670000000000
11917	Big Sandy Town	Upshur County	Texas	0.000030526670000000000
11323	Big Spring City	Howard County	Texas	0.001266186670000000000
10982	Big Wells City	Dimmit County	Texas	0.000001573330000000000
11647	Bishop City	Nueces County	Texas	0.000054753330000000000
11708	Bishop Hills Town	Potter County	Texas	0.000002153330000000000
10826	Blackwell City	Coke County	Texas	0.000000206670000000000
10660	Blanco City	Blanco County	Texas	0.000041273330000000000
10656	Blanco County	Blanco County	Texas	0.000328153330000000000
10721	Blanket Town	Brown County	Texas	0.000000980000000000000
10781	Bloomburg Town	Cass County	Texas	0.000006733330000000000
11622	Blooming Grove Town	Navarro County	Texas	0.000002346670000000000
11444	Blossom City	Lamar County	Texas	0.000001320000000000000
11854	Blue Mound City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.000019253330000000000
10837	Blue Ridge City	Collin County	Texas	0.000008966670000000000
11282	Blum Town	Hill County	Texas	0.000010813330000000000
11417	Boerne City	Kendall County	Texas	0.000303840000000000000
11728	Bogata City	Red River County	Texas	0.000024326670000000000
11039	Bonham City	Fannin County	Texas	0.000672726670000000000
10703	Bonney Village	Brazoria County	Texas	0.000016733330000000000
11506	Booker Town	Lipscomb County, Ochiltree County	Texas	0.000006906670000000000
10661	Borden County	Borden County	Texas	0.000006666670000000000
11344	Borger City	Hutchinson County	Texas	0.000464533330000000000
10662	Bosque County	Bosque County	Texas	0.000473820000000000000
11694	Bovina City	Parmer County	Texas	0.000001153330000000000
11585	Bowie City	Montague County	Texas	0.000557466670000000000
10675	Bowie County	Bowie County	Texas	0.001554600000000000000
12012	Boyd Town	Wise County	Texas	0.000046353330000000000
11429	Brackettville City	Kinney County	Texas	0.000000053330000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11541	Brady City	Mcculloch County	Texas	0.0001832000000000000000
10685	Brazoria City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0000769133300000000000
10684	Brazoria County	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0068072666700000000000
11306	Brazos Bend City	Hood County	Texas	0.0000030800000000000000
10603	Brazos Country City	Austin County	Texas	0.0000060133300000000000
10708	Brazos County	Brazos County	Texas	0.0022805800000000000000
11826	Breckenridge City	Stephens County	Texas	0.0001598400000000000000
11745	Bremond City	Robertson County	Texas	0.0000370266700000000000
11958	Brenham City	Washington County	Texas	0.0003650000000000000000
10712	Brewster County	Brewster County	Texas	0.0004005800000000000000
11897	Briarcliff Village	Travis County	Texas	0.0000038133300000000000
11385	Briaroaks City	Johnson County	Texas	0.0000003800000000000000
11665	Bridge City	Orange County	Texas	0.0005383733300000000000
12011	Bridgeport City	Wise County	Texas	0.0002220066700000000000
10715	Briscoe County	Briscoe County	Texas	0.0000065133300000000000
11769	Broaddus Town	San Augustine County	Texas	0.0000002066700000000000
10828	Bronte Town	Coke County	Texas	0.0000006600000000000000
10720	Brooks County	Brooks County	Texas	0.0001380666700000000000
11948	Brookshire City	Waller County	Texas	0.0000427066700000000000
10692	Brookside Village City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0000074000000000000000
10723	Brown County	Brown County	Texas	0.0012894466700000000000
11351	Browndell City	Jasper County	Texas	0.0000010133300000000000
11878	Brownfield City	Terry County	Texas	0.0000963466700000000000
11236	Brownsboro City	Henderson County	Texas	0.0000211733300000000000
10757	Brownsville City	Cameron County	Texas	0.0028337133300000000000
10724	Brownwood City	Brown County	Texas	0.0011104800000000000000
11562	Bruceville-Eddy City	Mclennan County, Falls County	Texas	0.0000112800000000000000
10707	Bryan City	Brazos County	Texas	0.0016459800000000000000
11345	Bryson City	Jack County	Texas	0.0000081866700000000000
11573	Buckholts Town	Milam County	Texas	0.0000074200000000000000
11224	Buda City	Hays County	Texas	0.0000718933300000000000
11469	Buffalo City	Leon County	Texas	0.0000791066700000000000
11872	Buffalo Gap Town	Taylor County	Texas	0.0000058666700000000000
11515	Buffalo Springs Village	Lubbock County	Texas	0.0000012533300000000000
11814	Bullard Town	Smith County, Cherokee County	Texas	0.0000499133300000000000
10877	Bulverde City	Comal County	Texas	0.0000962400000000000000
11188	Bunker Hill Village City	Harris County	Texas	0.0000031466700000000000
11979	Burkburnett City	Wichita County	Texas	0.0002522933300000000000
10574	Burke City	Angelina County	Texas	0.0000074266700000000000
11388	Burleson City	Johnson County, Tarrant County	Texas	0.0010118600000000000000
10726	Burleson County	Burleson County	Texas	0.0004682933300000000000
10732	Burnet City	Burnet County	Texas	0.0002223000000000000000
10729	Burnet County	Burnet County	Texas	0.0012655266700000000000
11961	Burton City	Washington County	Texas	0.0000062466700000000000
10818	Byers City	Clay County	Texas	0.0000005133300000000000
11288	Bynum Town	Hill County	Texas	0.0000025333300000000000
11605	Cactus City	Moore County	Texas	0.0000318600000000000000
11326	Caddo Mills City	Hunt County	Texas	0.0000002866700000000000
10727	Caldwell City	Burleson County	Texas	0.0001216333300000000000
10738	Caldwell County	Caldwell County	Texas	0.0005760866700000000000
10746	Calhoun County	Calhoun County	Texas	0.0008528400000000000000
10751	Callahan County	Callahan County	Texas	0.0000859600000000000000
10889	Callisburg City	Cooke County	Texas	0.0000006733300000000000
11747	Calvert City	Robertson County	Texas	0.0000051466700000000000
11574	Cameron City	Milam County	Texas	0.0000739400000000000000
10753	Cameron County	Cameron County	Texas	0.0035801733300000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10775	Camp County	Camp County	Texas	0.000192340000000000000
11729	Camp Wood City	Real County	Texas	0.000002813300000000000
11335	Campbell City	Hunt County	Texas	0.000007440000000000000
11235	Canadian City	Hemphill County	Texas	0.000007266670000000000
11245	Caney City Town	Henderson County	Texas	0.000013366670000000000
11934	Canton City	Van Zandt County	Texas	0.000378226670000000000
11718	Canyon City	Randall County	Texas	0.000175006670000000000
10994	Carbon Town	Eastland County	Texas	0.000004133300000000000
11287	Carl'S Corner Town	Hill County	Texas	0.000000320000000000000
11059	Carmine City	Fayette County	Texas	0.000002566670000000000
10983	Carrizo Springs City	Dimmit County	Texas	0.000011140000000000000
10855	Carrollton City	Collin County, Dallas County, Denton County	Texas	0.002068366670000000000
10780	Carson County	Carson County	Texas	0.000196620000000000000
11676	Carthage City	Panola County	Texas	0.000126180000000000000
11980	Cashion Community City	Wichita County	Texas	0.000002146670000000000
10782	Cass County	Cass County	Texas	0.000621033300000000000
10636	Castle Hills City	Bexar County	Texas	0.000085200000000000000
10789	Castro County	Castro County	Texas	0.000029466670000000000
11567	Castroville City	Medina County	Texas	0.000030166670000000000
10929	Cedar Hill City	Dallas County, Ellis County	Texas	0.000467513300000000000
11907	Cedar Park City	Travis County, Williamson County	Texas	0.001237113300000000000
11324	Celeste City	Hunt County	Texas	0.000008533300000000000
10838	Celina City	Collin County	Texas	0.000121886670000000000
11803	Center City	Shelby County	Texas	0.000392253300000000000
11473	Centerville City	Leon County	Texas	0.000002566670000000000
10796	Chambers County	Chambers County	Texas	0.001021253300000000000
11242	Chandler City	Henderson County	Texas	0.000115760000000000000
11219	Channing City	Hartley County	Texas	0.000000013300000000000
10592	Charlotte City	Atascosa County	Texas	0.000028380000000000000
10804	Cherokee County	Cherokee County	Texas	0.001044080000000000000
11919	Chester Town	Tyler County	Texas	0.000007826670000000000
12009	Chico City	Wise County	Texas	0.000019520000000000000
10811	Childress City	Childress County	Texas	0.000252773300000000000
10817	Childress County	Childress County	Texas	0.000337213300000000000
11174	Chillicothe City	Hardeman County	Texas	0.000001146670000000000
11360	China City	Jefferson County	Texas	0.000003480000000000000
10645	China Grove Town	Bexar County	Texas	0.000003986670000000000
11623	Chireno City	Nacogdoches County	Texas	0.000010453300000000000
10595	Christine Town	Atascosa County	Texas	0.000002360000000000000
10658	Cibolo City	Bexar County, Guadalupe County	Texas	0.000091266670000000000
10995	Cisco City	Eastland County	Texas	0.000048120000000000000
10986	Clarendon City	Donley County	Texas	0.000000760000000000000
11148	Clarksville City	Gregg County, Upshur County	Texas	0.000000360000000000000
11733	Clarksville City	Red River County	Texas	0.000139273300000000000
10591	Claude City	Armstrong County	Texas	0.000000173300000000000
10814	Clay County	Clay County	Texas	0.000480333300000000000
11107	Clear Lake Shores City	Galveston County	Texas	0.000044546670000000000
11374	Cleburne City	Johnson County	Texas	0.001521226670000000000
11493	Cleveland City	Liberty County, Montgomery County, San Jacinto County	Texas	0.000645980000000000000
10664	Clifton City	Bosque County	Texas	0.000066260000000000000
11013	Clint Town	El Paso County	Texas	0.000002500000000000000
10693	Clute City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.000342333300000000000
10752	Clyde City	Callahan County	Texas	0.000115246670000000000
11322	Coahoma Town	Howard County	Texas	0.000015273300000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10824	Cochran County	Cochran County	Texas	0.0000225933300000000000
10911	Cockrell Hill City	Dallas County	Texas	0.0000034133300000000000
11246	Coffee City Town	Henderson County	Texas	0.0000072466700000000000
10831	Coke County	Coke County	Texas	0.0000368133300000000000
11773	Coldspring City	San Jacinto County	Texas	0.0000029800000000000000
10830	Coleman City	Coleman County	Texas	0.0000362800000000000000
10835	Coleman County	Coleman County	Texas	0.0000277600000000000000
10710	College Station City	Brazos County	Texas	0.0017209800000000000000
11838	Colleyville City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0003069933300000000000
10832	Collin County	Collin County	Texas	0.0084448066700000000000
10866	Collingsworth County	Collingsworth County	Texas	0.0001282266700000000000
11128	Collinsville Town	Grayson County	Texas	0.0000122066700000000000
11915	Colmesneil City	Tyler County	Texas	0.0000147400000000000000
11582	Colorado City	Mitchell County	Texas	0.0000560333300000000000
10869	Colorado County	Colorado County	Texas	0.0003272266700000000000
10867	Columbus City	Colorado County	Texas	0.0000457800000000000000
10873	Comal County	Comal County	Texas	0.0026409466700000000000
10881	Comanche City	Comanche County	Texas	0.0001100200000000000000
10875	Comanche County	Comanche County	Texas	0.0003397600000000000000
10756	Combes Town	Cameron County	Texas	0.0000114000000000000000
11419	Combine City	Kaufman County, Dallas County	Texas	0.0000126133300000000000
11328	Commerce City	Hunt County	Texas	0.0002257933300000000000
11309	Como Town	Hopkins County	Texas	0.0000027666700000000000
10882	Concho County	Concho County	Texas	0.0000257266700000000000
11590	Conroe City	Montgomery County	Texas	0.0031111400000000000000
10654	Converse City	Bexar County	Texas	0.0001846200000000000000
10886	Cooke County	Cooke County	Texas	0.0013363400000000000000
11686	Cool City	Parker County	Texas	0.0000048733300000000000
11491	Coolidge Town	Limestone County	Texas	0.0000016200000000000000
10946	Cooper City	Delta County	Texas	0.0000024133300000000000
10931	Coppell City	Dallas County, Denton County	Texas	0.0005772866700000000000
10971	Copper Canyon Town	Denton County	Texas	0.0000032600000000000000
10630	Copperas Cove City	Bell County, Coryell County, Lampasas County	Texas	0.0008899466700000000000
10964	Corinth City	Denton County	Texas	0.0005019866700000000000
11435	Corpus Christi City	Kleberg County, Nueces County, San Patricio County, Aransas County	Texas	0.0120847133300000000000
10974	Corral City Town	Denton County	Texas	0.0000009533300000000000
11703	Corrigan Town	Polk County	Texas	0.0001421200000000000000
11624	Corsicana City	Navarro County	Texas	0.0005820666700000000000
10891	Coryell County	Coryell County	Texas	0.0008243933300000000000
10896	Cottle County	Cottle County	Texas	0.0000058333300000000000
11410	Cottonwood City	Kaufman County	Texas	0.0000019266700000000000
10734	Cottonwood Shores City	Burnet County	Texas	0.0000080200000000000000
11438	Cotulla City	La Salle County	Texas	0.0000083400000000000000
11992	Coupland City	Williamson County	Texas	0.0000017733300000000000
10800	Cove City	Chambers County	Texas	0.0000025800000000000000
11291	Covington City	Hill County	Texas	0.0000034600000000000000
11378	Coyote Flats City	Johnson County	Texas	0.0000098133300000000000
11399	Crandall City	Kaufman County	Texas	0.0000806266700000000000
10901	Crane City	Crane County	Texas	0.0000706600000000000000
10899	Crane County	Crane County	Texas	0.0001743066700000000000
10672	Cranfills Gap City	Bosque County	Texas	0.0000008533300000000000
11545	Crawford Town	Mclennan County	Texas	0.0000025533300000000000
11899	Creedmoor City	Travis County	Texas	0.0000001066700000000000
11302	Cresson City	Hood County	Texas	0.0000072400000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11312	Crockett City	Houston County	Texas	0.0001560200000000000000
10900	Crockett County	Crockett County	Texas	0.0001214000000000000000
10898	Crosby County	Crosby County	Texas	0.0001225866700000000000
10905	Crosbyton City	Crosby County	Texas	0.0000099866700000000000
10754	Cross Plains Town	Callahan County	Texas	0.0000325133300000000000
10973	Cross Roads Town	Denton County	Texas	0.0000016266700000000000
11383	Cross Timber Town	Johnson County	Texas	0.0000036133300000000000
11062	Crowell City	Foard County	Texas	0.0000422333300000000000
11387	Crowley City	Johnson County, Tarrant County	Texas	0.0001489666700000000000
12037	Crystal City	Zavala County	Texas	0.0001294133300000000000
10938	Cuero City	De Witt County	Texas	0.0001645933300000000000
10908	Culberson County	Culberson County	Texas	0.0000052600000000000000
11307	Cumby City	Hopkins County	Texas	0.0000354666700000000000
10810	Cuney Town	Cherokee County	Texas	0.0000040400000000000000
11620	Cushing City	Nacogdoches County	Texas	0.0000074666700000000000
11595	Cut And Shoot City	Montgomery County	Texas	0.0000142733300000000000
11609	Daingerfield City	Morris County	Texas	0.0000831733300000000000
11488	Daisetta City	Liberty County	Texas	0.0000358000000000000000
10902	Dalhart City	Dallam County, Hartley County	Texas	0.0000773933300000000000
10909	Dallam County	Dallam County	Texas	0.0001445733300000000000
10852	Dallas City	Collin County, Dallas County, Denton County, Kaufman County, Rockwall County	Texas	0.0199993466700000000000
10913	Dallas County	Dallas County	Texas	0.0569219400000000000000
11837	Dalworthington Gardens City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0000404000000000000000
10697	Danbury City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0000282066700000000000
11497	Darrouzett Town	Lipscomb County	Texas	0.0000006733300000000000
10937	Dawson County	Dawson County	Texas	0.0003127400000000000000
11625	Dawson Town	Navarro County	Texas	0.0000040000000000000000
11483	Dayton City	Liberty County	Texas	0.0003141466700000000000
11478	Dayton Lakes City	Liberty County	Texas	0.0000025333000000000000
10668	De Kalb City	Bowie County	Texas	0.0000069000000000000000
10883	De Leon City	Comanche County	Texas	0.0000547866700000000000
10936	De Witt County	De Witt County	Texas	0.0004593000000000000000
10943	Deaf Smith County	Deaf Smith County	Texas	0.0002302133300000000000
10822	Dean City	Clay County	Texas	0.0000009400000000000000
12015	Decatur City	Wise County	Texas	0.0003777933300000000000
11305	Decordova City	Hood County	Texas	0.0000918533300000000000
11186	Deer Park City	Harris County	Texas	0.0003292533300000000000
11930	Del Rio City	Val Verde County	Texas	0.0003937066700000000000
11319	Dell City	Hudspeth County	Texas	0.0000001000000000000000
10945	Delta County	Delta County	Texas	0.0002038933300000000000
11130	Denison City	Grayson County	Texas	0.0014028400000000000000
10949	Denton City	Denton County	Texas	0.0030555600000000000000
10944	Denton County	Denton County	Texas	0.0075486533300000000000
12027	Denver City Town	Yoakum County, Gaines County	Texas	0.0000140266700000000000
11452	Deport City	Lamar County, Red River County	Texas	0.0000002800000000000000
10916	Desoto City	Dallas County	Texas	0.0004826666700000000000
11730	Detroit Town	Red River County	Texas	0.0000064333300000000000
11489	Devers City	Liberty County	Texas	0.0000012733300000000000
11565	Devine City	Medina County	Texas	0.0000290266700000000000
10577	Diboll City	Angelina County	Texas	0.0001702200000000000000
10977	Dickens City	Dickens County	Texas	0.0000004733300000000000
10980	Dickens County	Dickens County	Texas	0.0000124866700000000000
11105	Dickinson City	Galveston County	Texas	0.0005578866700000000000
11094	Dilley City	Frio County	Texas	0.0000175533300000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10978	Dimmit County	Dimmit County	Texas	0.000221960000000000000
10792	Dimmitt City	Castro County	Texas	0.000006746670000000000
10951	Dish Town	Denton County	Texas	0.000000126670000000000
11041	Dodd City Town	Fannin County	Texas	0.000008073330000000000
10870	Dodson Town	Collingsworth County	Texas	0.000002980000000000000
10791	Domino Town	Cass County	Texas	0.000001306670000000000
10984	Donley County	Donley County	Texas	0.000149133330000000000
11251	Donna City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000091986670000000000
11139	Dorchester City	Grayson County	Texas	0.000001540000000000000
10972	Double Oak Town	Denton County	Texas	0.000031766670000000000
10786	Douglassville Town	Cass County	Texas	0.000003826670000000000
11234	Dripping Springs City	Hays County	Texas	0.000005406670000000000
11649	Driscoll City	Nueces County	Texas	0.000000260000000000000
11031	Dublin City	Erath County	Texas	0.000096520000000000000
11606	Dumas City	Moore County	Texas	0.000174860000000000000
10915	Duncanville City	Dallas County	Texas	0.000388853330000000000
10990	Duval County	Duval County	Texas	0.000327393330000000000
10871	Eagle Lake City	Colorado County	Texas	0.000032546670000000000
11538	Eagle Pass City	Maverick County	Texas	0.000373366670000000000
10728	Early City	Brown County	Texas	0.000098920000000000000
11454	Earth City	Lamb County	Texas	0.000001613330000000000
11968	East Bernard City	Wharton County	Texas	0.000037026670000000000
11924	East Mountain City	Upshur County, Gregg County	Texas	0.000016626670000000000
11713	East Tawakoni City	Rains County	Texas	0.000018153330000000000
10998	Eastland City	Eastland County	Texas	0.000105973330000000000
10993	Eastland County	Eastland County	Texas	0.000348500000000000000
11143	Easton City	Gregg County	Texas	0.000002193330000000000
11038	Ector City	Fannin County	Texas	0.000007386670000000000
11001	Ector County	Ector County	Texas	0.003200000000000000000
11255	Edcouch City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000027340000000000000
10878	Eden City	Concho County	Texas	0.000003313330000000000
11840	Edgecliff Village Town	Tarrant County	Texas	0.000014880000000000000
11944	Edgewood Town	Van Zandt County	Texas	0.000087693330000000000
11258	Edinburg City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000805893330000000000
11165	Edmonson Town	Hale County	Texas	0.000000906670000000000
11350	Edna City	Jackson County	Texas	0.000121293330000000000
11935	Edom City	Van Zandt County	Texas	0.000014326670000000000
11006	Edwards County	Edwards County	Texas	0.000006500000000000000
11967	El Campo City	Wharton County	Texas	0.000211333330000000000
11965	El Cenizo City	Webb County	Texas	0.000004140000000000000
11206	El Lago City	Harris County	Texas	0.000037360000000000000
11002	El Paso City	El Paso County	Texas	0.008162473330000000000
11011	El Paso County	El Paso County	Texas	0.017280806670000000000
11790	Eldorado City	Schleicher County	Texas	0.000003333330000000000
11976	Electra City	Wichita County	Texas	0.000104773330000000000
10614	Elgin City	Bastrop County, Travis County	Texas	0.000175226670000000000
10564	Elkhart Town	Anderson County	Texas	0.000002006670000000000
11016	Ellis County	Ellis County	Texas	0.002102480000000000000
10657	Elmendorf City	Bexar County, Wilson County	Texas	0.000004973330000000000
11260	Elsa City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000051466670000000000
11633	Emhouse Town	Navarro County	Texas	0.000000553330000000000
11716	Emory City	Rains County	Texas	0.000025853330000000000
11244	Enchanted Oaks Town	Henderson County	Texas	0.000008660000000000000
11442	Encinal City	La Salle County	Texas	0.000010100000000000000
11015	Ennis City	Ellis County	Texas	0.000545593330000000000
11028	Erath County	Erath County	Texas	0.000684106670000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11819	Escobares City	Starr County	Texas	0.0000002666700000000000
11167	Estelline Town	Hall County	Texas	0.0000060600000000000000
11841	Euless City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0006188266700000000000
11637	Eureka City	Navarro County	Texas	0.0000022266700000000000
11239	Eustace City	Henderson County	Texas	0.0000139266700000000000
10897	Evant Town	Coryell County, Hamilton County	Texas	0.0000137866700000000000
11842	Everman City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0000512800000000000000
11421	Fair Oaks Ranch City	Kendall County, Bexar County, Comal County	Texas	0.0000538466700000000000
11070	Fairchilds Village	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.0000005400000000000000
11087	Fairfield City	Freestone County	Texas	0.0000083000000000000000
10845	Fairview Town	Collin County	Texas	0.0002149666700000000000
10719	Falfurrias City	Brooks County	Texas	0.0000148066700000000000
11398	Falls City	Karnes County	Texas	0.0000002733300000000000
11033	Falls County	Falls County	Texas	0.0002301466700000000000
11042	Fannin County	Fannin County	Texas	0.0008776866700000000000
10917	Farmers Branch City	Dallas County	Texas	0.0006302133300000000000
10842	Farmersville City	Collin County	Texas	0.0000702133300000000000
11696	Farwell City	Parmer County	Texas	0.0000022866700000000000
11752	Fate City	Rockwall County	Texas	0.0000231533300000000000
11053	Fayette County	Fayette County	Texas	0.0006162666700000000000
11049	Fayetteville City	Fayette County	Texas	0.0000026066700000000000
10927	Ferris City	Dallas County, Ellis County	Texas	0.0000924866700000000000
11060	Fisher County	Fisher County	Texas	0.0000367866700000000000
11050	Flatonia Town	Fayette County	Texas	0.0000377400000000000000
11990	Florence City	Williamson County	Texas	0.0000263266700000000000
12003	Floresville City	Wilson County	Texas	0.0001446600000000000000
10976	Flower Mound Town	Denton County, Tarrant County	Texas	0.0014350400000000000000
11056	Floyd County	Floyd County	Texas	0.0000603266700000000000
11057	Floydada City	Floyd County	Texas	0.0000423800000000000000
11058	Foard County	Foard County	Texas	0.0000384266700000000000
11502	Follett City	Lipscomb County	Texas	0.0000014133300000000000
11844	Forest Hill City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0001742133300000000000
11396	Forney City	Kaufman County	Texas	0.0005340800000000000000
11318	Forsan City	Howard County	Texas	0.0000038400000000000000
11067	Fort Bend County	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.0100447933300000000000
11697	Fort Stockton City	Pecos County	Texas	0.0000294066700000000000
11386	Fort Worth City	Johnson County, Parker County, Tarrant County, Wise County, Denton County	Texas	0.0141386000000000000000
11750	Franklin City	Robertson County	Texas	0.0000262066700000000000
11082	Franklin County	Franklin County	Texas	0.0001718866700000000000
10570	Frankston Town	Anderson County	Texas	0.0000018266700000000000
11113	Fredericksburg City	Gillespie County	Texas	0.0003765733300000000000
10687	Freeport City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0004864866700000000000
10992	Freer City	Duval County	Texas	0.0000218066700000000000
11089	Freestone County	Freestone County	Texas	0.0003366333300000000000
11111	Friendswood City	Galveston County, Harris County	Texas	0.0009355333300000000000
11092	Frio County	Frio County	Texas	0.0001330266700000000000
11699	Friona City	Parmer County	Texas	0.0000189866700000000000
10858	Frisco City	Collin County, Denton County	Texas	0.0027020600000000000000
11338	Fritch City	Hutchinson County	Texas	0.0000303200000000000000
11626	Frost City	Navarro County	Texas	0.0000021400000000000000
11937	Fruitvale City	Van Zandt County	Texas	0.0000156266700000000000
11073	Fulshear City	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.0000351466700000000000
10583	Fulton Town	Aransas County	Texas	0.0000106800000000000000
11093	Gaines County	Gaines County	Texas	0.0003623133300000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10885	Gainesville City	Cooke County	Texas	0.0010265333300000000000
11193	Galena Park City	Harris County	Texas	0.0000872866700000000000
10809	Gallatin City	Cherokee County	Texas	0.0000083533300000000000
11103	Galveston City	Galveston County	Texas	0.0032545800000000000000
11102	Galveston County	Galveston County	Texas	0.0074939533300000000000
11353	Ganado City	Jackson County	Texas	0.0000367333300000000000
10874	Garden Ridge City	Comal County	Texas	0.0000756733300000000000
10853	Garland City	Collin County, Dallas County, Rockwall County	Texas	0.0028016266700000000000
11027	Garrett Town	Ellis County	Texas	0.0000167333300000000000
11617	Garrison City	Nacogdoches County	Texas	0.0000237000000000000000
11679	Gary City Town	Panola County	Texas	0.0000030000000000000000
11112	Garza County	Garza County	Texas	0.0000596266700000000000
10892	Gatesville City	Coryell County	Texas	0.0001799600000000000000
11505	George West City	Live Oak County	Texas	0.0000413800000000000000
11989	Georgetown City	Williamson County	Texas	0.0015059733300000000000
11555	Gholson City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.0000100333300000000000
11468	Giddings City	Lee County	Texas	0.0000844933300000000000
11116	Gillespie County	Gillespie County	Texas	0.0004212733300000000000
11921	Gilmer City	Upshur County	Texas	0.0002263400000000000000
11147	Gladewater City	Gregg County, Upshur County	Texas	0.0001642533300000000000
11121	Glasscock County	Glasscock County	Texas	0.0000066666700000000000
11817	Glen Rose City	Somervell County	Texas	0.0000036000000000000000
10928	Glenn Heights City	Dallas County, Ellis County	Texas	0.0001106200000000000000
11371	Godley City	Johnson County	Texas	0.0000207666700000000000
11004	Goldsmith City	Ector County	Texas	0.0000045133300000000000
11579	Goldthwaite City	Mills County	Texas	0.0000081666700000000000
11110	Goliad City	Goliad County	Texas	0.0000237533300000000000
11115	Goliad County	Goliad County	Texas	0.0002310666700000000000
11036	Golinda City	Falls County, Mclennan County	Texas	0.0000006666700000000000
11117	Gonzales City	Gonzales County	Texas	0.0000992133300000000000
11122	Gonzales County	Gonzales County	Texas	0.0002215333300000000000
11632	Goodlow City	Navarro County	Texas	0.0000014733300000000000
11707	Goodrich City	Polk County	Texas	0.0000642866700000000000
11672	Gordon City	Palo Pinto County	Texas	0.0000024333300000000000
11437	Goree City	Knox County	Texas	0.0000049933300000000000
10997	Gorman City	Eastland County	Texas	0.0000207133300000000000
11673	Graford City	Palo Pinto County	Texas	0.0000001533300000000000
12033	Graham City	Young County	Texas	0.0015695200000000000000
11300	Granbury City	Hood County	Texas	0.0004782333300000000000
11865	Grand Prairie City	Tarrant County, Dallas County, Ellis County	Texas	0.0029695933300000000000
11939	Grand Saline City	Van Zandt County	Texas	0.0002427533300000000000
11954	Grandfalls Town	Ward County	Texas	0.0000004333300000000000
11373	Grandview City	Johnson County	Texas	0.0000440000000000000000
11993	Granger City	Williamson County	Texas	0.0000182733300000000000
10741	Granite Shoals City	Burnet County	Texas	0.0000788933300000000000
11271	Granjeno City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.0000002866700000000000
11316	Grapeland City	Houston County	Texas	0.0000485800000000000000
10925	Grapevine City	Dallas County, Denton County, Tarrant County	Texas	0.0008613000000000000000
11125	Gray County	Gray County	Texas	0.0004392266700000000000
11411	Grays Prairie Village	Kaufman County	Texas	0.0000001133300000000000
11123	Grayson County	Grayson County	Texas	0.0035938866700000000000
11327	Greenville City	Hunt County	Texas	0.0013540800000000000000
11142	Gregg County	Gregg County	Texas	0.0016249600000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11781	Gregory City	San Patricio County	Texas	0.000031313330000000000
10647	Grey Forest City	Bexar County	Texas	0.000003160000000000000
11150	Grimes County	Grimes County	Texas	0.000632520000000000000
11494	Groesbeck City	Limestone County	Texas	0.000038300000000000000
10778	Groom Town	Carson County	Texas	0.000006433330000000000
11363	Groves City	Jefferson County	Texas	0.000271680000000000000
11910	Groveton City	Trinity County	Texas	0.000058846670000000000
11173	Gruver City	Hansford County	Texas	0.000007773330000000000
11158	Guadalupe County	Guadalupe County	Texas	0.000978826670000000000
11240	Gun Barrel City	Henderson County	Texas	0.000242013330000000000
11131	Gunter City	Grayson County	Texas	0.000030726670000000000
10879	Gustine Town	Comanche County	Texas	0.000002266700000000000
10956	Hackberry Town	Denton County	Texas	0.000006266700000000000
11162	Hale Center City	Hale County	Texas	0.000040280000000000000
11160	Hale County	Hale County	Texas	0.000527666670000000000
11168	Hall County	Hall County	Texas	0.000059553330000000000
11466	Hallettsville City	Lavaca County	Texas	0.000045966670000000000
11553	Hallsburg City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.000001813330000000000
11209	Hallsville City	Harrison County	Texas	0.000068260000000000000
11846	Haltom City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.000478666670000000000
11177	Hamilton City	Hamilton County	Texas	0.000023873330000000000
11172	Hamilton County	Hamilton County	Texas	0.000442380000000000000
11391	Hamlin City	Jones County, Fisher County	Texas	0.000031040000000000000
11179	Hansford County	Hansford County	Texas	0.000109440000000000000
11723	Happy Town	Randall County, Swisher County	Texas	0.000002180000000000000
11175	Hardeman County	Hardeman County	Texas	0.000101460000000000000
11479	Hardin City	Liberty County	Texas	0.000000666670000000000
11180	Hardin County	Hardin County	Texas	0.002532000000000000000
10623	Harker Heights City	Bell County	Texas	0.000757873330000000000
10755	Harlingen City	Cameron County	Texas	0.001102860000000000000
11182	Harris County	Harris County	Texas	0.099774680000000000000
11212	Harrison County	Harrison County	Texas	0.001239400000000000000
10795	Hart City	Castro County	Texas	0.000005733300000000000
11214	Hartley County	Hartley County	Texas	0.000005240000000000000
11218	Haskell City	Haskell County	Texas	0.000072193330000000000
11217	Haskell County	Haskell County	Texas	0.000146740000000000000
11869	Haslet City	Tarrant County, Denton County	Texas	0.000012720000000000000
11336	Hawk Cove City	Hunt County	Texas	0.000004493330000000000
12025	Hawkins City	Wood County	Texas	0.000052880000000000000
11392	Hawley City	Jones County	Texas	0.000006206670000000000
11230	Hays City	Hays County	Texas	0.000003373330000000000
11226	Hays County	Hays County	Texas	0.003529926670000000000
11749	Hearne City	Robertson County	Texas	0.000112160000000000000
11416	Heath City	Kaufman County, Rockwall County	Texas	0.000191673330000000000
10859	Hebron Town	Collin County	Texas	0.000004580000000000000
10987	Hedley City	Donley County	Texas	0.000000466670000000000
11190	Hedwig Village City	Harris County	Texas	0.000087113330000000000
10649	Helotes City	Bexar County	Texas	0.000105266670000000000
11768	Hemphill City	Sabine County	Texas	0.000053566670000000000
11232	Hemphill County	Hemphill County	Texas	0.000095960000000000000
11949	Hempstead City	Waller County	Texas	0.000141600000000000000
11761	Henderson City	Rusk County	Texas	0.000399773330000000000
11238	Henderson County	Henderson County	Texas	0.002186433330000000000
10816	Henrietta City	Clay County	Texas	0.000018133330000000000
10941	Hereford City	Deaf Smith County	Texas	0.000136153330000000000
11552	Hewitt City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.000131840000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10962	Hickory Creek Town	Denton County	Texas	0.0001100666700000000000
11032	Hico City	Erath County, Hamilton County	Texas	0.0000368933300000000000
11269	Hidalgo City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.0001774733300000000000
11259	Hidalgo County	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.0083540200000000000000
11811	Hideaway City	Smith County	Texas	0.0000061466700000000000
11503	Higgins City	Lipscomb County	Texas	0.0000002866700000000000
10731	Highland Haven City	Burnet County	Texas	0.0000021333300000000000
10918	Highland Park Town	Dallas County	Texas	0.0002892200000000000000
10960	Highland Village City	Denton County	Texas	0.0003354333300000000000
10642	Hill Country Village City	Bexar County	Texas	0.0000432333300000000000
11277	Hill County	Hill County	Texas	0.0008498466700000000000
10698	Hillcrest Village	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0000356333300000000000
11280	Hillsboro City	Hill County	Texas	0.0003107266700000000000
11191	Hilshire Village City	Harris County	Texas	0.0000057266700000000000
11101	Hitchcock City	Galveston County	Texas	0.0001919733300000000000
11295	Hockley County	Hockley County	Texas	0.0003093800000000000000
10696	Holiday Lakes Town	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0000119666700000000000
10613	Holland Town	Bell County	Texas	0.0000005133300000000000
10586	Holliday City	Archer County	Texas	0.0000394000000000000000
10639	Hollywood Park Town	Bexar County	Texas	0.0000628266700000000000
11564	Hondo City	Medina County	Texas	0.0007685866700000000000
11043	Honey Grove City	Fannin County	Texas	0.0000479733300000000000
11301	Hood County	Hood County	Texas	0.0019473666700000000000
10674	Hooks City	Bowie County	Texas	0.0000180133300000000000
11308	Hopkins County	Hopkins County	Texas	0.0009967866700000000000
11010	Horizon City	El Paso County	Texas	0.0000501333300000000000
10740	Horseshoe Bay City	Burnet County, Llano County	Texas	0.0003211533300000000000
11083	Houston City	Fort Bend County, Harris County, Montgomery County	Texas	0.0468119533300000000000
11314	Houston County	Houston County	Texas	0.0005243200000000000000
11313	Howard County	Howard County	Texas	0.0005955333300000000000
10991	Howardwick City	Donley County	Texas	0.0000056000000000000000
11132	Howe Town	Grayson County	Texas	0.0000611800000000000000
11281	Hubbard City	Hill County	Texas	0.0000242333300000000000
10580	Hudson City	Angelina County	Texas	0.0000456000000000000000
11684	Hudson Oaks City	Parker County	Texas	0.0001042466700000000000
11320	Hudspeth County	Hudspeth County	Texas	0.0000065666700000000000
10790	Hughes Springs City	Cass County, Morris County	Texas	0.0000296133300000000000
11192	Humble City	Harris County	Texas	0.0004930133300000000000
11325	Hunt County	Hunt County	Texas	0.0020656733300000000000
11197	Hunters Creek Village City	Harris County	Texas	0.0000980533300000000000
10576	Huntington City	Angelina County	Texas	0.0000586133300000000000
11942	Huntsville City	Walker County	Texas	0.0005358200000000000000
11843	Hurst City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0006612466700000000000
10919	Hutchins City	Dallas County	Texas	0.0000636733300000000000
11337	Hutchinson County	Hutchinson County	Texas	0.0004975333300000000000
11994	Hutto City	Williamson County	Texas	0.0002556400000000000000
11800	Huxley City	Shelby County	Texas	0.0000049200000000000000
11516	Idalou City	Lubbock County	Texas	0.0000133266700000000000
11880	Impact Town	Taylor County	Texas	0.0000000533300000000000
10771	Indian Lake Town	Cameron County	Texas	0.0000031533300000000000
10601	Industry City	Austin County	Texas	0.0000040266700000000000
11650	Ingleside City	Nueces County, San Patricio County	Texas	0.0002699133300000000000
11783	Ingleside On The Bay City	San Patricio County	Texas	0.0000009466700000000000
11424	Ingram City	Kerr County	Texas	0.0000349533300000000000
11152	Iola City	Grimes County	Texas	0.0000210933300000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10704	Iowa Colony Village	Brazoria County	Texas	0.000027266670000000000
11977	Iowa Park City	Wichita County	Texas	0.000156580000000000000
11698	Iraan City	Pecos County	Texas	0.000000373330000000000
10666	Iredell City	Bosque County	Texas	0.000001440000000000000
11341	Irion County	Irion County	Texas	0.000060700000000000000
10922	Irving City	Dallas County	Texas	0.002852120000000000000
11017	Italy Town	Ellis County	Texas	0.000035660000000000000
11283	Itasca City	Hill County	Texas	0.000057960000000000000
11914	Ivanhoe City	Tyler County	Texas	0.000000173330000000000
11195	Jacinto City	Harris County	Texas	0.000094273330000000000
11346	Jack County	Jack County	Texas	0.000098660000000000000
11348	Jacksboro City	Jack County	Texas	0.000155026670000000000
11347	Jackson County	Jackson County	Texas	0.000253226670000000000
10807	Jacksonville City	Cherokee County	Texas	0.000534526670000000000
11108	Jamaica Beach City	Galveston County	Texas	0.000032753330000000000
11995	Jarrell City	Williamson County	Texas	0.000016153330000000000
11354	Jasper City	Jasper County	Texas	0.000522813330000000000
11352	Jasper County	Jasper County	Texas	0.001659033330000000000
11420	Jayton City	Kent County	Texas	0.000000420000000000000
11356	Jeff Davis County	Jeff Davis County	Texas	0.000056666670000000000
11530	Jefferson City	Marion County	Texas	0.000074626670000000000
11361	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Texas	0.005044093330000000000
11196	Jersey Village City	Harris County	Texas	0.000242313330000000000
11476	Jewett City	Leon County	Texas	0.000062253330000000000
11367	Jim Hogg County	Jim Hogg County	Texas	0.000084786670000000000
11369	Jim Wells County	Jim Wells County	Texas	0.001110260000000000000
11798	Joaquin City	Shelby County	Texas	0.000005400000000000000
10663	Johnson City	Blanco County	Texas	0.000023873330000000000
11375	Johnson County	Johnson County	Texas	0.002724613330000000000
10820	Jolly City	Clay County	Texas	0.000000173330000000000
11393	Jones County	Jones County	Texas	0.000146673330000000000
10699	Jones Creek Village	Brazoria County	Texas	0.000033853330000000000
11900	Jonestown City	Travis County	Texas	0.000042793330000000000
10865	Josephine City	Collin County, Hunt County	Texas	0.000005873330000000000
11380	Joshua City	Johnson County	Texas	0.000137460000000000000
10596	Jourdanton City	Atascosa County	Texas	0.000064000000000000000
11427	Junction City	Kimble County	Texas	0.000032166670000000000
10947	Justin City	Denton County	Texas	0.000057166670000000000
11400	Karnes City	Karnes County	Texas	0.000077546670000000000
11397	Karnes County	Karnes County	Texas	0.000234993330000000000
11085	Katy City	Fort Bend County, Harris County, Waller County	Texas	0.000349780000000000000
11403	Kaufman City	Kaufman County	Texas	0.000184046670000000000
11404	Kaufman County	Kaufman County	Texas	0.002353646670000000000
11381	Keene City	Johnson County	Texas	0.000255306670000000000
11845	Keller City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.000527926670000000000
11106	Kemah City	Galveston County	Texas	0.000188833330000000000
11405	Kemp City	Kaufman County	Texas	0.000042793330000000000
11459	Kempner City	Lampasas County	Texas	0.000002200000000000000
11418	Kendall County	Kendall County	Texas	0.000670953330000000000
11074	Kendleton City	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.000000086670000000000
11401	Kenedy City	Karnes County	Texas	0.000004506670000000000
11426	Kenedy County	Kenedy County	Texas	0.000006666670000000000
11484	Kenefick Town	Liberty County	Texas	0.000002773330000000000
11317	Kennard City	Houston County	Texas	0.000000880000000000000
11847	Kennedale City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.000140160000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11422	Kent County	Kent County	Texas	0.000006260000000000000
11627	Kerens City	Navarro County	Texas	0.000012826670000000000
12008	Kermit City	Winkler County	Texas	0.000037680000000000000
11423	Kerr County	Kerr County	Texas	0.001456346670000000000
11425	Kerrville City	Kerr County	Texas	0.001269046670000000000
11762	Kilgore City	Rusk County, Gregg County	Texas	0.000703886670000000000
10619	Killeen City	Bell County	Texas	0.003571000000000000000
11428	Kimble County	Kimble County	Texas	0.000136533330000000000
11430	King County	King County	Texas	0.000006666670000000000
11432	Kingsville City	Kleberg County	Texas	0.000133886670000000000
11431	Kinney County	Kinney County	Texas	0.000014280000000000000
10634	Kirby City	Bexar County	Texas	0.000058346670000000000
11357	Kirbyville City	Jasper County	Texas	0.000071266670000000000
11086	Kirvin Town	Freestone County	Texas	0.000000013330000000000
11433	Kleberg County	Kleberg County	Texas	0.000827393330000000000
11137	Knollwood City	Grayson County	Texas	0.000007733330000000000
11440	Knox City Town	Knox County	Texas	0.000013080000000000000
11439	Knox County	Knox County	Texas	0.000078200000000000000
11492	Kosse Town	Limestone County	Texas	0.000016453330000000000
11183	Kountze City	Hardin County	Texas	0.000131440000000000000
11834	Kress City	Swisher County	Texas	0.000001240000000000000
10968	Krugerville City	Denton County	Texas	0.000010053330000000000
10953	Krum City	Denton County	Texas	0.000064406670000000000
10711	Kurten Town	Brazos County	Texas	0.000004573330000000000
11229	Kyle City	Hays County	Texas	0.000345566670000000000
10758	La Feria City	Cameron County	Texas	0.000069206670000000000
11047	La Grange City	Fayette County	Texas	0.000064153330000000000
11823	La Grulla City	Starr County	Texas	0.000011386670000000000
11278	La Joya City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000056380000000000000
11099	La Marque City	Galveston County	Texas	0.000659533330000000000
11194	La Porte City	Harris County	Texas	0.000610213330000000000
11447	La Salle County	La Salle County	Texas	0.000099833330000000000
12007	La Vernia City	Wilson County	Texas	0.000021446670000000000
11263	La Villa City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000003813330000000000
11349	La Ward City	Jackson County	Texas	0.000002140000000000000
11575	Lacoste City	Medina County	Texas	0.000001060000000000000
11548	Lacy-Lakeview City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.000077326670000000000
11040	Ladonia Town	Fannin County	Texas	0.000013406670000000000
11898	Lago Vista City	Travis County	Texas	0.000091786670000000000
10768	Laguna Vista Town	Cameron County	Texas	0.000024593330000000000
12020	Lake Bridgeport City	Wise County	Texas	0.000001546670000000000
11784	Lake City Town	San Patricio County	Texas	0.000019453330000000000
10958	Lake Dallas City	Denton County	Texas	0.000168760000000000000
10691	Lake Jackson City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.000505206670000000000
11726	Lake Tanglewood Village	Randall County	Texas	0.000004086670000000000
11856	Lake Worth City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.000133673330000000000
11145	Lakeport City	Gregg County	Texas	0.000003086670000000000
10584	Lakeside City Town	Archer County	Texas	0.000001480000000000000
11787	Lakeside Town	San Patricio County	Texas	0.000029826670000000000
11860	Lakeside Town	Tarrant County	Texas	0.000029826670000000000
11169	Lakeview Town	Hall County	Texas	0.000002846670000000000
11908	Lakeway City	Travis County	Texas	0.000211046670000000000
10959	Lakewood Village City	Denton County	Texas	0.000003713330000000000
11443	Lamar County	Lamar County	Texas	0.000943986670000000000
11451	Lamb County	Lamb County	Texas	0.000337873330000000000
10932	Lamesa City	Dawson County	Texas	0.000197706670000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11463	Lampasas City	Lampasas County	Texas	0.0001880733300000000000
11458	Lampasas County	Lampasas County	Texas	0.0002854533300000000000
10920	Lancaster City	Dallas County	Texas	0.0006043533300000000000
11964	Laredo City	Webb County	Texas	0.0050878266700000000000
11315	Latexo City	Houston County	Texas	0.0000008266700000000000
11465	Lavaca County	Lavaca County	Texas	0.0003064866700000000000
10850	Lavon City	Collin County	Texas	0.0004956666700000000000
11862	Lawn Town	Taylor County	Texas	0.0000038666700000000000
11109	League City	Galveston County, Harris County	Texas	0.0020161200000000000000
11724	Leakey City	Real County	Texas	0.0000017066700000000000
11909	Leander City	Travis County, Williamson County	Texas	0.0005909400000000000000
10679	Leary City	Bowie County	Texas	0.0000053133300000000000
11470	Lee County	Lee County	Texas	0.0002030466700000000000
11127	Lefors Town	Gray County	Texas	0.0000010600000000000000
11472	Leon County	Leon County	Texas	0.0004492866700000000000
10635	Leon Valley City	Bexar County	Texas	0.0001550533300000000000
11477	Leona City	Leon County	Texas	0.0000058866700000000000
11045	Leonard City	Fannin County	Texas	0.0000567000000000000000
11558	Leroy City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.0000011733300000000000
11299	Levelland City	Hockley County	Texas	0.0003123200000000000000
10926	Lewisville City	Dallas County, Denton County	Texas	0.0025472933300000000000
11471	Lexington Town	Lee County	Texas	0.0000154533300000000000
11480	Liberty City	Liberty County	Texas	0.0004822866700000000000
11482	Liberty County	Liberty County	Texas	0.0035414133300000000000
11998	Liberty Hill City	Williamson County	Texas	0.0000185333300000000000
11490	Limestone County	Limestone County	Texas	0.0009045600000000000000
10967	Lincoln Park Town	Denton County	Texas	0.0000045133300000000000
11806	Lindale City	Smith County	Texas	0.0001613466700000000000
10785	Linden City	Cass County	Texas	0.0000244066700000000000
10895	Lindsay City	Cooke County	Texas	0.0000081866700000000000
11303	Lipan City	Hood County	Texas	0.0000002933300000000000
11499	Lipscomb County	Lipscomb County	Texas	0.0000675466700000000000
10963	Little Elm City	Denton County	Texas	0.0004621733300000000000
10628	Little River-Academy City	Bell County	Texas	0.0000053200000000000000
11455	Littlefield City	Lamb County	Texas	0.0000511866700000000000
10648	Live Oak City	Bexar County	Texas	0.0002182666700000000000
11501	Live Oak County	Live Oak County	Texas	0.0002647733300000000000
10702	Liverpool City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0000095666700000000000
11700	Livingston Town	Polk County	Texas	0.0004877666700000000000
11504	Llano City	Llano County	Texas	0.0001541400000000000000
11508	Llano County	Llano County	Texas	0.0007709800000000000000
10737	Lockhart City	Caldwell County	Texas	0.0003270000000000000000
11061	Lockney Town	Floyd County	Texas	0.0000220066700000000000
11241	Log Cabin City	Henderson County	Texas	0.0000130666700000000000
11460	Lometa City	Lampasas County	Texas	0.0000078400000000000000
11329	Lone Oak City	Hunt County	Texas	0.0000113666700000000000
11610	Lone Star City	Morris County	Texas	0.0000552200000000000000
11146	Longview City	Gregg County, Harrison County	Texas	0.0032150266700000000000
11588	Loraine Town	Mitchell County	Texas	0.0000012533300000000000
11556	Lorena City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.0000226000000000000000
10903	Lorenzo City	Crosby County	Texas	0.0000757200000000000000
10759	Los Fresnos City	Cameron County	Texas	0.0000745666700000000000
10766	Los Indios Town	Cameron County	Texas	0.0000010600000000000000
11029	Lott City	Falls County	Texas	0.0000101066700000000000
11321	Lovelady City	Houston County	Texas	0.0000016600000000000000
11509	Loving County	Loving County	Texas	0.0000066666700000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10856	Lowry Crossing City	Collin County	Texas	0.0000052200000000000000
11510	Lubbock City	Lubbock County	Texas	0.0021324466700000000000
11512	Lubbock County	Lubbock County	Texas	0.0091981266700000000000
10844	Lucas City	Collin County	Texas	0.0000351066700000000000
11394	Lueders City	Jones County	Texas	0.0000033866700000000000
10575	Lufkin City	Angelina County	Texas	0.0018772800000000000000
10743	Luling City	Caldwell County, Guadalupe County	Texas	0.0001961400000000000000
11184	Lumberton City	Hardin County	Texas	0.0002440600000000000000
11988	Lyford City	Willacy County	Texas	0.0000204733300000000000
11518	Lynn County	Lynn County	Texas	0.0000418333300000000000
10599	Lytle City	Atascosa County, Bexar County, Medina County	Texas	0.0000481533300000000000
11415	Mabank Town	Kaufman County, Henderson County	Texas	0.0001296200000000000000
11526	Madison County	Madison County	Texas	0.0003299466700000000000
11524	Madisonville City	Madison County	Texas	0.0000763866700000000000
11598	Magnolia City	Montgomery County	Texas	0.0001735400000000000000
11237	Malakoff City	Henderson County	Texas	0.0000840933300000000000
11285	Malone Town	Hill County	Texas	0.0000029266700000000000
11889	Manor City	Travis County	Texas	0.0000833266700000000000
11389	Mansfield City	Johnson County, Tarrant County, Ellis County	Texas	0.0010052533300000000000
10694	Manvel City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0000820333300000000000
10735	Marble Falls City	Burnet County	Texas	0.0002469266700000000000
11715	Marfa City	Presidio County	Texas	0.0000004333300000000000
10793	Marietta Town	Cass County	Texas	0.0000022533300000000000
11155	Marion City	Guadalupe County	Texas	0.0000018333300000000000
11529	Marion County	Marion County	Texas	0.0003648533300000000000
11035	Marlin City	Falls County	Texas	0.0001442266700000000000
11474	Marquez City	Leon County	Texas	0.0000088133300000000000
11211	Marshall City	Harrison County	Texas	0.0007224733300000000000
11496	Mart City	Limestone County, Mclennan County	Texas	0.0000061866700000000000
11528	Martin County	Martin County	Texas	0.0000724133300000000000
10736	Martindale City	Caldwell County	Texas	0.0000162466700000000000
11534	Mason City	Mason County	Texas	0.0000051800000000000000
11537	Mason County	Mason County	Texas	0.0000208933300000000000
11616	Matador Town	Motley County	Texas	0.0000080200000000000000
11535	Matagorda County	Matagorda County	Texas	0.0009015933300000000000
11776	Mathis City	San Patricio County	Texas	0.0001048000000000000000
10671	Maud City	Bowie County	Texas	0.0000028200000000000000
11539	Maverick County	Maverick County	Texas	0.0007727933300000000000
11021	Maypearl City	Ellis County	Texas	0.0000065733300000000000
11262	Mcallen City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.0024294933300000000000
11927	Mccamey City	Upton County	Texas	0.0000036133300000000000
11543	Mcculloch County	Mcculloch County	Texas	0.0001334733300000000000
11561	Mcgregor City	Mclennan County, Coryell County	Texas	0.0000610333300000000000
10839	Mckinney City	Collin County	Texas	0.0030025533300000000000
11124	Mclean Town	Gray County	Texas	0.0000000933300000000000
11757	Mclendon-Chisholm City	Rockwall County	Texas	0.0000027400000000000000
11542	Mclennan County	Mclennan County	Texas	0.0035309400000000000000
11560	Mcmullen County	Mcmullen County	Texas	0.0000066666700000000000
11874	Meadow Town	Terry County	Texas	0.0000074733300000000000
10733	Meadowlakes City	Burnet County	Texas	0.0000060333300000000000
11069	Meadows Place City	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.0001209866700000000000
11563	Medina County	Medina County	Texas	0.0003223666700000000000
10588	Megargel Town	Archer County	Texas	0.0000040733300000000000
10847	Melissa City	Collin County	Texas	0.0001025400000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11540	Melvin Town	Mcculloch County	Texas	0.000002300000000000000
11171	Memphis City	Hall County	Texas	0.000048020000000000000
11572	Menard City	Menard County	Texas	0.000006606700000000000
11568	Menard County	Menard County	Texas	0.000098113330000000000
11266	Mercedes City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000142940000000000000
10665	Meridian City	Bosque County	Texas	0.000023640000000000000
11863	Merkel Town	Taylor County	Texas	0.000067446670000000000
11290	Mertens Town	Hill County	Texas	0.000001593330000000000
11342	Mertzon City	Irion County	Texas	0.000001933330000000000
10934	Mesquite City	Dallas County, Kaufman County	Texas	0.002071393330000000000
11495	Mexia City	Limestone County	Texas	0.000140640000000000000
11743	Miami City	Roberts County	Texas	0.000003033330000000000
11531	Midland City	Martin County, Midland County	Texas	0.003478993330000000000
11569	Midland County	Midland County	Texas	0.001866180000000000000
11019	Midlothian City	Ellis County	Texas	0.000638660000000000000
11527	Midway City	Madison County	Texas	0.000005200000000000000
11570	Milam County	Milam County	Texas	0.000649240000000000000
11578	Milano City	Milam County	Texas	0.000006026670000000000
11628	Mildred Town	Navarro County	Texas	0.000001906670000000000
11754	Miles City	Runnels County	Texas	0.000000620000000000000
11018	Milford Town	Ellis County	Texas	0.000041180000000000000
11883	Miller'S Cove Town	Titus County	Texas	0.000000646670000000000
10709	Millican Town	Brazos County	Texas	0.000002780000000000000
11577	Mills County	Mills County	Texas	0.000132873330000000000
11688	Millsap Town	Parker County	Texas	0.000000226670000000000
12021	Mineola City	Wood County	Texas	0.000324793330000000000
11677	Mineral Wells City	Palo Pinto County, Parker County	Texas	0.000613740000000000000
11667	Mingus City	Palo Pinto County	Texas	0.000001260000000000000
11261	Mission City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000831786670000000000
11081	Missouri City	Fort Bend County, Harris County	Texas	0.001397553330000000000
11581	Mitchell County	Mitchell County	Texas	0.000139000000000000000
11975	Mobeetie City	Wheeler County	Texas	0.000003466700000000000
11751	Mobile City	Rockwall County	Texas	0.000013560000000000000
11960	Monahans City	Ward County, Winkler County	Texas	0.000038993330000000000
10805	Mont Belvieu City	Chambers County, Liberty County	Texas	0.000131126670000000000
11580	Montague County	Montague County	Texas	0.000631973330000000000
11592	Montgomery City	Montgomery County	Texas	0.000012560000000000000
11589	Montgomery County	Montgomery County	Texas	0.018006073330000000000
11550	Moody City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.000005520000000000000
11604	Moore County	Moore County	Texas	0.000270846670000000000
11254	Moore Station City	Henderson County	Texas	0.000005146670000000000
11796	Moran City	Shackelford County	Texas	0.000003333330000000000
10667	Morgan City	Bosque County	Texas	0.000004033330000000000
11203	Morgan'S Point City	Harris County	Texas	0.000020700000000000000
10627	Morgan'S Point Resort City	Bell County	Texas	0.000053493330000000000
11607	Morris County	Morris County	Texas	0.000355520000000000000
10823	Morton City	Cochran County	Texas	0.000001113330000000000
11613	Motley County	Motley County	Texas	0.000022293330000000000
11464	Moulton Town	Lavaca County	Texas	0.000006660000000000000
11286	Mount Calm City	Hill County	Texas	0.000004033330000000000
11764	Mount Enterprise City	Rusk County	Texas	0.000012213330000000000
11879	Mount Pleasant City	Titus County	Texas	0.000437893330000000000
11084	Mount Vernon Town	Franklin County	Texas	0.000040326670000000000
11231	Mountain City	Hays County	Texas	0.000010320000000000000
10880	Muenster City	Cooke County	Texas	0.000031040000000000000
10607	Muleshoe City	Bailey County	Texas	0.000032733330000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11583	Mullin Town	Mills County	Texas	0.000002560000000000000
11441	Munday City	Knox County	Texas	0.000013646670000000000
11252	Murchison City	Henderson County	Texas	0.000015346670000000000
10840	Murphy City	Collin County	Texas	0.000345953330000000000
11903	Mustang Ridge City	Travis County, Bastrop County, Caldwell County	Texas	0.000016413330000000000
11642	Mustang Town	Navarro County	Texas	0.000000046670000000000
11618	Nacogdoches City	Nacogdoches County	Texas	0.001373280000000000000
11614	Nacogdoches County	Nacogdoches County	Texas	0.001323886670000000000
11612	Naples City	Morris County	Texas	0.000028160000000000000
10680	Nash City	Bowie County	Texas	0.000053326670000000000
11205	Nassau Bay City	Harris County	Texas	0.000074980000000000000
11566	Natalia City	Medina County	Texas	0.000004166670000000000
11619	Navarro County	Navarro County	Texas	0.000690086670000000000
11629	Navarro Town	Navarro County	Texas	0.000002226670000000000
10714	Navasota City	Brazos County, Grimes County	Texas	0.000251173330000000000
10797	Nazareth City	Castro County	Texas	0.000000826670000000000
11359	Nederland City	Jefferson County	Texas	0.000297233330000000000
11064	Needville City	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.000068940000000000000
10841	Nevada City	Collin County	Texas	0.000001580000000000000
11159	New Berlin City	Guadalupe County	Texas	0.000000026670000000000
10673	New Boston City	Bowie County	Texas	0.000046353330000000000
10876	New Braunfels City	Comal County, Guadalupe County	Texas	0.002048753330000000000
11813	New Chapel Hill City	Smith County	Texas	0.000001920000000000000
11520	New Deal Town	Lubbock County	Texas	0.000002253330000000000
12018	New Fairview City	Wise County	Texas	0.000015560000000000000
11525	New Home City	Lynn County	Texas	0.000000060000000000000
10848	New Hope Town	Collin County	Texas	0.000006826670000000000
11767	New London City	Rusk County	Texas	0.000027526670000000000
10812	New Summerfield City	Cherokee County	Texas	0.000002946670000000000
11946	New Waverly City	Walker County	Texas	0.000017080000000000000
11867	Newark City	Tarrant County, Wise County	Texas	0.000003466670000000000
12032	Newcastle City	Young County	Texas	0.000006093330000000000
11643	Newton City	Newton County	Texas	0.000040680000000000000
11639	Newton County	Newton County	Texas	0.001053373330000000000
11330	Neylandville Town	Hunt County	Texas	0.000001086670000000000
10745	Niederwald City	Caldwell County, Hays County	Texas	0.000001066670000000000
11118	Nixon City	Gonzales County, Wilson County	Texas	0.000015220000000000000
11586	Nocona City	Montague County	Texas	0.000110240000000000000
11645	Nolan County	Nolan County	Texas	0.000335080000000000000
10629	Nolanville City	Bell County	Texas	0.000028133330000000000
11368	Nome City	Jefferson County	Texas	0.000002606670000000000
11812	Noonday City	Smith County	Texas	0.000001506670000000000
10940	Nordheim City	De Witt County	Texas	0.000004646670000000000
11481	Normangee Town	Leon County, Madison County	Texas	0.000041280000000000000
11486	North Cleveland City	Liberty County	Texas	0.000000700000000000000
11850	North Richland Hills City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.000976126670000000000
10955	Northlake Town	Denton County	Texas	0.000059366670000000000
10833	Novice City	Coleman County	Texas	0.000000506670000000000
11648	Nueces County	Nueces County	Texas	0.009119546670000000000
11413	Oak Grove Town	Kaufman County	Texas	0.000018460000000000000
11024	Oak Leaf City	Ellis County	Texas	0.000004080000000000000
10966	Oak Point City	Denton County	Texas	0.000060073330000000000
11593	Oak Ridge North City	Montgomery County	Texas	0.000223413330000000000
10888	Oak Ridge Town	Cooke County	Texas	0.000002386670000000000
11414	Oak Ridge Town	Kaufman County	Texas	0.000002386670000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11634	Oak Valley Town	Navarro County	Texas	0.0000004667000000000000
11475	Oakwood Town	Leon County, Freestone County	Texas	0.0000009867000000000000
11221	O'Brien City	Haskell County	Texas	0.0000005067000000000000
11657	Ochiltree County	Ochiltree County	Texas	0.0001031733300000000000
11779	Odem City	San Patricio County	Texas	0.0000494667000000000000
11003	Odessa City	Ector County, Midland County	Texas	0.0037277533300000000000
11522	O'Donnell City	Lynn County, Dawson County	Texas	0.0000001800000000000000
10893	Oglesby City	Coryell County	Texas	0.0000001933300000000000
10801	Old River-Winfree City	Chambers County, Liberty County	Texas	0.0001443533300000000000
11661	Oldham County	Oldham County	Texas	0.0000687867000000000000
10637	Olmos Park City	Bexar County	Texas	0.0000653400000000000000
12034	Olney City	Young County	Texas	0.0000405867000000000000
11456	Olton City	Lamb County	Texas	0.0000079800000000000000
11611	Omaha City	Morris County	Texas	0.0000279000000000000000
11705	Onalaska City	Polk County	Texas	0.0002110266700000000000
11296	Opdyke West Town	Hockley County	Texas	0.0000031933300000000000
11660	Orange City	Orange County	Texas	0.0020755933300000000000
11659	Orange County	Orange County	Texas	0.0045987866700000000000
11372	Orange Grove City	Jim Wells County	Texas	0.0000111800000000000000
11079	Orchard City	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.0000057800000000000000
11922	Ore City	Upshur County	Texas	0.0000453733300000000000
11765	Overton City	Rusk County, Smith County	Texas	0.0000526666700000000000
10933	Ovilla City	Dallas County, Ellis County	Texas	0.0000892733300000000000
10701	Oyster Creek City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0000642200000000000000
10906	Paducah Town	Cottle County	Texas	0.0000008333300000000000
10884	Paint Rock Town	Concho County	Texas	0.0000009400000000000000
11533	Palacios City	Matagorda County	Texas	0.0000935733300000000000
10572	Palestine City	Anderson County	Texas	0.0011867266700000000000
11719	Palisades Village	Randall County	Texas	0.0000016000000000000000
10770	Palm Valley City	Cameron County	Texas	0.0000127866700000000000
11022	Palmer Town	Ellis County	Texas	0.0000844400000000000000
11275	Palmhurst City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.0000310666700000000000
11276	Palmview City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.0000505133300000000000
11670	Palo Pinto County	Palo Pinto County	Texas	0.0008308066700000000000
11126	Pampa City	Gray County	Texas	0.0004481800000000000000
10774	Panhandle Town	Carson County	Texas	0.0000635733300000000000
11675	Panola County	Panola County	Texas	0.0005379933300000000000
11599	Panorama Village City	Montgomery County	Texas	0.0000086133300000000000
11855	Pantego Town	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0000859866700000000000
12014	Paradise City	Wise County	Texas	0.0000003466700000000000
11445	Paris City	Lamar County	Texas	0.0013412000000000000000
10849	Parker City	Collin County	Texas	0.0000687133300000000000
11678	Parker County	Parker County	Texas	0.0031750266700000000000
11695	Parmer County	Parmer County	Texas	0.0001057733300000000000
11198	Pasadena City	Harris County	Texas	0.0023769066700000000000
11952	Pattison City	Waller County	Texas	0.0000076533300000000000
11594	Patton Village City	Montgomery County	Texas	0.0000617866700000000000
11247	Payne Springs Town	Henderson County	Texas	0.0000118000000000000000
10706	Pearland City	Brazoria County, Fort Bend County, Harris County	Texas	0.0022250133300000000000
11091	Pearsall City	Frio County	Texas	0.0000771333300000000000
10948	Pecan Gap City	Delta County	Texas	0.0000047933300000000000
11025	Pecan Hill City	Ellis County	Texas	0.0000015266700000000000
11734	Pecos City	Reeves County	Texas	0.0000508133300000000000
11701	Pecos County	Pecos County	Texas	0.0003133133300000000000
11858	Pelican Bay City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0000079933300000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11289	Penelope Town	Hill County	Texas	0.000002766670000000000
11272	Penitas City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000002080000000000000
11656	Perryton City	Ochiltree County	Texas	0.000155760000000000000
11163	Petersburg City	Hale County	Texas	0.000011273330000000000
10819	Petrolia City	Clay County	Texas	0.000000113330000000000
11651	Petronila City	Nueces County	Texas	0.000000033330000000000
11901	Pflugerville City	Travis County, Williamson County	Texas	0.000576053330000000000
11268	Pharr City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000964806670000000000
10890	Pilot Point City	Cooke County, Denton County, Grayson County	Texas	0.000077420000000000000
11668	Pine Forest City	Orange County	Texas	0.000025960000000000000
11950	Pine Island Town	Waller County	Texas	0.000020940000000000000
11664	Pinehurst City	Orange County	Texas	0.000217806670000000000
11766	Pineland City	Sabine County	Texas	0.000027586670000000000
11204	Piney Point Village City	Harris County	Texas	0.000104920000000000000
10773	Pittsburg City	Camp County	Texas	0.000136840000000000000
12031	Plains Town	Yoakum County	Texas	0.000000860000000000000
11166	Plainview City	Hale County	Texas	0.000401986670000000000
10862	Plano City	Collin County, Denton County	Texas	0.007677386670000000000
11076	Pleak Village	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.000001800000000000000
11981	Pleasant Valley Town	Wichita County	Texas	0.000002053330000000000
10594	Pleasanton City	Atascosa County	Texas	0.000193406670000000000
11485	Plum Grove City	Liberty County	Texas	0.000001720000000000000
11775	Point Blank City	San Jacinto County	Texas	0.000002366670000000000
11714	Point City	Rains County	Texas	0.000010126670000000000
10749	Point Comfort City	Calhoun County	Texas	0.000002980000000000000
11894	Point Venture Village	Travis County	Texas	0.000003920000000000000
11702	Polk County	Polk County	Texas	0.002472206670000000000
10969	Ponder Town	Denton County	Texas	0.000008546670000000000
11653	Port Aransas City	Nueces County	Texas	0.000206813330000000000
11365	Port Arthur City	Jefferson County, Orange County	Texas	0.002452966670000000000
10763	Port Isabel City	Cameron County	Texas	0.000065346670000000000
10747	Port Lavaca City	Calhoun County	Texas	0.000078346670000000000
11358	Port Neches City	Jefferson County	Texas	0.000258993330000000000
11655	Portland City	Nueces County, San Patricio County	Texas	0.000510113330000000000
11114	Post City	Garza County	Texas	0.000015546670000000000
11407	Post Oak Bend City Town	Kaufman County	Texas	0.000006893330000000000
10598	Poteet City	Atascosa County	Texas	0.000045113330000000000
12004	Poth Town	Wilson County	Texas	0.000026493330000000000
11704	Potter County	Potter County	Texas	0.002478006670000000000
11133	Pottsboro Town	Grayson County	Texas	0.000082013330000000000
11638	Powell Town	Navarro County	Texas	0.000007333330000000000
11253	Poynor Town	Henderson County	Texas	0.000007866670000000000
11951	Prairie View City	Waller County	Texas	0.000050666670000000000
11376	Premont City	Jim Wells County	Texas	0.000022140000000000000
11712	Presidio City	Presidio County	Texas	0.000000986670000000000
11709	Presidio County	Presidio County	Texas	0.000005246670000000000
10764	Primera Town	Cameron County	Texas	0.000019720000000000000
10843	Princeton City	Collin County	Texas	0.000128300000000000000
11265	Progreso City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000053813330000000000
11273	Progreso Lakes City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000000260000000000000
10861	Prosper Town	Collin County, Denton County	Texas	0.000151800000000000000
10954	Providence Village Town	Denton County	Texas	0.000003386670000000000
10760	Putnam Town	Callahan County	Texas	0.000000093330000000000
11962	Pyote Town	Ward County	Texas	0.000000146670000000000
11178	Quanah City	Hardeman County	Texas	0.000001380000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10787	Queen City	Cass County	Texas	0.0000322466700000000000
11331	Quinlan City	Hunt County	Texas	0.0000486933300000000000
10705	Quintana Town	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0000032800000000000000
10716	Quitaque City	Briscoe County	Texas	0.0000000533300000000000
12023	Quitman City	Wood County	Texas	0.0001041266700000000000
11710	Rains County	Rains County	Texas	0.0003546000000000000000
10907	Ralls City	Crosby County	Texas	0.0000264466700000000000
10769	Rancho Viejo Town	Cameron County	Texas	0.0000255733300000000000
11720	Randall County	Randall County	Texas	0.0018541733300000000000
10999	Ranger City	Eastland County	Texas	0.0000812400000000000000
11926	Rankin City	Upton County	Texas	0.0000107533300000000000
11517	Ransom Canyon Town	Lubbock County	Texas	0.0000062000000000000000
11046	Ravenna City	Fannin County	Texas	0.0000045666700000000000
11983	Raymondville City	Willacy County	Texas	0.0000497733300000000000
11721	Reagan County	Reagan County	Texas	0.0001681000000000000000
11731	Real County	Real County	Texas	0.0000338200000000000000
10677	Red Lick City	Bowie County	Texas	0.0000001533300000000000
11020	Red Oak City	Ellis County	Texas	0.0001789533300000000000
11727	Red River County	Red River County	Texas	0.0001953733300000000000
10678	Redwater City	Bowie County	Texas	0.0000070533300000000000
11738	Reeves County	Reeves County	Texas	0.0006890000000000000000
11736	Refugio County	Refugio County	Texas	0.0003081066700000000000
11742	Refugio Town	Refugio County	Texas	0.0000589266700000000000
10815	Reklaw City	Cherokee County	Texas	0.0000075733300000000000
11449	Reno City	Lamar County	Texas	0.0000744266700000000000
11693	Reno City	Parker County	Texas	0.0000252733300000000000
11636	Retreat Town	Navarro County	Texas	0.0000003466700000000000
12016	Rhome City	Wise County	Texas	0.0000819000000000000000
11631	Rice City	Navarro County	Texas	0.0000131466700000000000
10854	Richardson City	Collin County, Dallas County	Texas	0.0017354333300000000000
11857	Richland Hills City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0001629200000000000000
11788	Richland Springs Town	San Saba County	Texas	0.0000148933300000000000
11635	Richland Town	Navarro County	Texas	0.0000014000000000000000
11065	Richmond City	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.0005173733300000000000
10688	Richwood City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0000807466700000000000
11557	Riesel City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.0000074533300000000000
11970	Rio Bravo City	Webb County	Texas	0.0000569866700000000000
11821	Rio Grande City	Starr County	Texas	0.0001729800000000000000
10762	Rio Hondo City	Cameron County	Texas	0.0000236666700000000000
11379	Rio Vista City	Johnson County	Texas	0.0000294600000000000000
11000	Rising Star Town	Eastland County	Texas	0.0000128866700000000000
11851	River Oaks City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0000794466700000000000
11940	Riverside City	Walker County	Texas	0.0000057200000000000000
10981	Roanoke City	Denton County, Tarrant County	Texas	0.0000018333300000000000
11615	Roaring Springs Town	Motley County	Texas	0.0000030733300000000000
10827	Robert Lee City	Coke County	Texas	0.0000005666700000000000
11755	Roberts County	Roberts County	Texas	0.0000036466700000000000
11744	Robertson County	Robertson County	Texas	0.0002976133300000000000
11547	Robinson City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.0001200133300000000000
11652	Robstown City	Nueces County	Texas	0.0002676933300000000000
11063	Roby City	Fisher County	Texas	0.0000028533300000000000
11223	Rochester Town	Haskell County	Texas	0.0000044933300000000000
11571	Rockdale City	Milam County	Texas	0.0001398200000000000000
10582	Rockport City	Aransas County	Texas	0.0003616866700000000000
11007	Rocksprings Town	Edwards County	Texas	0.0000001666700000000000
11748	Rockwall City	Rockwall County	Texas	0.0007620533300000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11753	Rockwall County	Rockwall County	Texas	0.0011254666700000000000
10777	Rocky Mound Town	Camp County	Texas	0.0000018666700000000000
10620	Rogers Town	Bell County	Texas	0.0000254533300000000000
11890	Rollingwood City	Travis County	Texas	0.0000316933300000000000
11818	Roma City	Starr County	Texas	0.0001108600000000000000
11601	Roman Forest Town	Montgomery County	Texas	0.0000574000000000000000
11292	Ropesville City	Hockley County	Texas	0.0000141466700000000000
11641	Roscoe City	Nolan County	Texas	0.0000051866700000000000
11669	Rose City	Orange County	Texas	0.0000267466700000000000
11187	Rose Hill Acres City	Hardin County	Texas	0.0000154066700000000000
11034	Rosebud City	Falls County	Texas	0.0000099266700000000000
11068	Rosenberg City	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.0008439533300000000000
11559	Ross City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.0000009800000000000000
11412	Rosser Village	Kaufman County	Texas	0.0000036600000000000000
11054	Rotan City	Fisher County	Texas	0.0000099533300000000000
10659	Round Mountain Town	Blanco County	Texas	0.0000030266700000000000
11905	Round Rock City	Travis County, Williamson County	Texas	0.0031732800000000000000
11055	Round Top Town	Fayette County	Texas	0.0000009333300000000000
10935	Rowlett City	Dallas County, Rockwall County	Texas	0.0006664200000000000000
11446	Roxton City	Lamar County	Texas	0.0000003133300000000000
11339	Royse City	Hunt County, Rockwall County, Collin County	Texas	0.0001566266700000000000
11222	Rule Town	Haskell County	Texas	0.0000053333300000000000
12017	Runaway Bay City	Wise County	Texas	0.0000462066700000000000
11402	Runge Town	Karnes County	Texas	0.0000017000000000000000
11756	Runnels County	Runnels County	Texas	0.0002255400000000000000
10806	Rusk City	Cherokee County	Texas	0.0001199400000000000000
11758	Rusk County	Rusk County	Texas	0.0010092666700000000000
11933	Sabinal City	Uvalde County	Texas	0.0000120733300000000000
11763	Sabine County	Sabine County	Texas	0.0003098600000000000000
10860	Sachse City	Collin County, Dallas County	Texas	0.0001560000000000000000
11140	Sadler City	Grayson County	Texas	0.0000061666700000000000
11849	Saginaw City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0002131533300000000000
10624	Salado Village	Bell County	Texas	0.0000214000000000000000
11887	San Angelo City	Tom Green County	Texas	0.0035767266700000000000
10653	San Antonio City	Bexar County, Comal County, Medina County	Texas	0.0291027733300000000000
11772	San Augustine City	San Augustine County	Texas	0.0001678800000000000000
11770	San Augustine County	San Augustine County	Texas	0.0002523600000000000000
10761	San Benito City	Cameron County	Texas	0.0002667666700000000000
10989	San Diego City	Duval County, Jim Wells County	Texas	0.0000784733300000000000
11005	San Elizario City	El Paso County	Texas	0.0000522066700000000000
10602	San Felipe Town	Austin County	Texas	0.0000099866700000000000
11771	San Jacinto County	San Jacinto County	Texas	0.0013159866700000000000
11264	San Juan City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.0001923000000000000000
11904	San Leanna Village	Travis County	Texas	0.0000002400000000000000
10739	San Marcos City	Caldwell County, Guadalupe County, Hays County	Texas	0.0021712533300000000000
11782	San Patricio City	San Patricio County	Texas	0.0000280866700000000000
11777	San Patricio County	San Patricio County	Texas	0.0018127733300000000000
11985	San Perlita City	Willacy County	Texas	0.0000147933300000000000
11786	San Saba City	San Saba County	Texas	0.0000670466700000000000
11785	San Saba County	San Saba County	Texas	0.0001170800000000000000
11682	Sanctuary Town	Parker County	Texas	0.0000001133300000000000
10633	Sandy Oaks City	Bexar County	Texas	0.0000657533300000000000
10682	Sandy Point City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.0000109133300000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11343	Sanford Town	Hutchinson County	Texas	0.000002053330000000000
10952	Sanger City	Denton County	Texas	0.000148246670000000000
11848	Sansom Park City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.000001486670000000000
10829	Santa Anna Town	Coleman County	Texas	0.000002193330000000000
11161	Santa Clara City	Guadalupe County	Texas	0.000000580000000000000
11100	Santa Fe City	Galveston County	Texas	0.000221813330000000000
10767	Santa Rosa Town	Cameron County	Texas	0.000014253330000000000
11044	Savoy City	Fannin County	Texas	0.000015660000000000000
10655	Schertz City	Bexar County, Comal County, Guadalupe County	Texas	0.000400733330000000000
11789	Schleicher County	Schleicher County	Texas	0.000037966670000000000
11052	Schulenburg City	Fayette County	Texas	0.000017066670000000000
10590	Scotland City	Archer County	Texas	0.000009866700000000000
11215	Scottsville City	Harrison County	Texas	0.000004720000000000000
11791	Scurry County	Scurry County	Texas	0.000487440000000000000
11406	Scurry Town	Kaufman County	Texas	0.000007400000000000000
10798	Seabrook City	Chambers County, Galveston County, Harris County	Texas	0.000201800000000000000
10748	Seadrift City	Calhoun County	Texas	0.000006606700000000000
10930	Seagoville City	Dallas County, Kaufman County	Texas	0.000114040000000000000
11088	Seagraves City	Gaines County	Texas	0.000050206670000000000
10604	Sealy City	Austin County	Texas	0.000137580000000000000
11157	Seguin City	Guadalupe County	Texas	0.002510253330000000000
10651	Selma City	Bexar County, Comal County, Guadalupe County	Texas	0.000149526670000000000
11097	Seminole City	Gaines County	Texas	0.000107280000000000000
11706	Seven Oaks City	Polk County	Texas	0.000026113330000000000
11256	Seven Points City	Henderson County, Kaufman County	Texas	0.000049680000000000000
10625	Seymour City	Baylor County	Texas	0.000094786670000000000
11793	Shackelford County	Shackelford County	Texas	0.000008586670000000000
10957	Shady Shores Town	Denton County	Texas	0.000003960000000000000
11513	Shallowater City	Lubbock County	Texas	0.000012713330000000000
11973	Shamrock City	Wheeler County	Texas	0.000028853330000000000
10640	Shavano Park City	Bexar County	Texas	0.000021186670000000000
11794	Shelby County	Shelby County	Texas	0.000732833330000000000
11603	Shenandoah City	Montgomery County	Texas	0.000314146670000000000
11774	Shepherd City	San Jacinto County	Texas	0.000009800000000000000
11135	Sherman City	Grayson County	Texas	0.002203900000000000000
11799	Sherman County	Sherman County	Texas	0.000052866670000000000
11462	Shiner City	Lavaca County	Texas	0.000026946670000000000
10813	Shoreacres City	Chambers County, Harris County	Texas	0.000006386670000000000
11185	Silsbee City	Hardin County	Texas	0.000442946670000000000
10718	Silverton City	Briscoe County	Texas	0.000000093330000000000
11072	Simonton City	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.000012706670000000000
11778	Sinton City	San Patricio County	Texas	0.000157720000000000000
10784	Skellytown Town	Carson County	Texas	0.000002666670000000000
11514	Slaton City	Lubbock County	Texas	0.000001026670000000000
11119	Smiley City	Gonzales County	Texas	0.000004366670000000000
11807	Smith County	Smith County	Texas	0.005059740000000000000
10616	Smithville City	Bastrop County	Texas	0.000113393330000000000
11297	Smyer Town	Hockley County	Texas	0.000002000000000000000
10730	Snook City	Burleson County	Texas	0.000009480000000000000
11792	Snyder City	Scurry County	Texas	0.000060120000000000000
11008	Socorro City	El Paso County	Texas	0.000074166670000000000
10652	Somerset City	Bexar County	Texas	0.000010180000000000000
11816	Somervell County	Somervell County	Texas	0.000380506670000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10725	Somerville City	Burleson County	Texas	0.000025373330000000000
11829	Sonora City	Sutton County	Texas	0.000048913330000000000
11181	Sour Lake City	Hardin County	Texas	0.000119040000000000000
11200	South Houston City	Harris County	Texas	0.000170800000000000000
10894	South Mountain Town	Coryell County	Texas	0.000001026670000000000
10772	South Padre Island Town	Cameron County	Texas	0.000204193330000000000
11864	Southlake City	Tarrant County, Denton County	Texas	0.000472306670000000000
11141	Southmayd City	Grayson County	Texas	0.000047306670000000000
11199	Southside Place City	Harris County	Texas	0.000005900000000000000
11176	Spearman City	Hansford County	Texas	0.000093333330000000000
11597	Splendora City	Montgomery County	Texas	0.000051706670000000000
11436	Spofford City	Kinney County	Texas	0.000000046670000000000
11201	Spring Valley Village City	Harris County	Texas	0.000109360000000000000
11457	Springlake Town	Lamb County	Texas	0.000000020000000000000
11692	Springtown City	Parker County, Wise County	Texas	0.000094960000000000000
10985	Spur City	Dickens County	Texas	0.000002846670000000000
10650	St. Hedwig Town	Bexar County	Texas	0.000000740000000000000
11587	St. Jo City	Montague County	Texas	0.000049066670000000000
10846	St. Paul Town	Collin County	Texas	0.000000140000000000000
11080	Stafford City	Fort Bend County, Harris County	Texas	0.000500966670000000000
11602	Stagecoach Town	Montgomery County	Texas	0.000020240000000000000
11390	Stamford City	Jones County, Haskell County	Texas	0.000002653330000000000
11532	Stanton City	Martin County	Texas	0.000025586670000000000
11154	Staples City	Guadalupe County	Texas	0.000000126670000000000
11249	Star Harbor City	Henderson County	Texas	0.000001006670000000000
11820	Starr County	Starr County	Texas	0.000665973330000000000
11822	Stephens County	Stephens County	Texas	0.000234960000000000000
11030	Stephenville City	Erath County	Texas	0.000556480000000000000
11828	Sterling City	Sterling County	Texas	0.000000413330000000000
11825	Sterling County	Sterling County	Texas	0.000006260000000000000
11340	Stinnett City	Hutchinson County	Texas	0.000027313330000000000
12002	Stockdale City	Wilson County	Texas	0.000004940000000000000
11827	Stonewall County	Stonewall County	Texas	0.000012146670000000000
11801	Stratford City	Sherman County	Texas	0.000055853330000000000
11671	Strawn City	Palo Pinto County	Texas	0.000006580000000000000
11090	Streetman Town	Freestone County	Texas	0.000000033330000000000
11461	Sudan City	Lamb County	Texas	0.000000213330000000000
11066	Sugar Land City	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.002143740000000000000
11274	Sullivan City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000040806670000000000
11310	Sulphur Springs City	Hopkins County	Texas	0.000830686670000000000
11450	Sun Valley City	Lamar County	Texas	0.000000026670000000000
11298	Sundown City	Hockley County	Texas	0.000017280000000000000
10921	Sunnyvale Town	Dallas County	Texas	0.000021653330000000000
11608	Sunray City	Moore County	Texas	0.000017140000000000000
11511	Sunrise Beach Village City	Llano County	Texas	0.000013886670000000000
11906	Sunset Valley City	Travis County	Texas	0.000062833330000000000
10695	Surfside Beach City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.000043533330000000000
11824	Sutton County	Sutton County	Texas	0.000043606670000000000
10686	Sweeny City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.000030020000000000000
11644	Sweetwater City	Nolan County	Texas	0.000454986670000000000
11835	Swisher County	Swisher County	Texas	0.000048340000000000000
11780	Taft City	San Patricio County	Texas	0.000039073330000000000
11523	Tahoka City	Lynn County	Texas	0.000002866670000000000
11885	Talco City	Titus County	Texas	0.000002480000000000000
11409	Talty Town	Kaufman County	Texas	0.000060826670000000000
11833	Tarrant County	Tarrant County	Texas	0.041141060000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11680	Tatum City	Panola County, Rusk County	Texas	0.000006480000000000000
11997	Taylor City	Williamson County	Texas	0.000386300000000000000
11866	Taylor County	Taylor County	Texas	0.002340520000000000000
11210	Taylor Lake Village City	Harris County	Texas	0.000002746670000000000
11364	Taylor Landing City	Jefferson County	Texas	0.000001020000000000000
11096	Teague City	Freestone County	Texas	0.000011426670000000000
11498	Tehuacana Town	Limestone County	Texas	0.000000080000000000000
10621	Temple City	Bell County	Texas	0.001871646670000000000
11797	Tenaha Town	Shelby County	Texas	0.000031453330000000000
11408	Terrell City	Kaufman County	Texas	0.000991373330000000000
11873	Terrell County	Terrell County	Texas	0.000038246670000000000
10641	Terrell Hills City	Bexar County	Texas	0.000065720000000000000
11875	Terry County	Terry County	Texas	0.000169486670000000000
10676	Texarkana City	Bowie County	Texas	0.001280626670000000000
10799	Texas City	Chambers County, Galveston County	Texas	0.001991346670000000000
11805	Texhoma City	Sherman County	Texas	0.000001040000000000000
10904	Texline Town	Dallam County	Texas	0.000005766670000000000
10961	The Colony City	Denton County	Texas	0.000761980000000000000
11896	The Hills Village	Travis County	Texas	0.000006693330000000000
11077	Thompsons Town	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.000012646670000000000
11576	Thorndale City	Milam County, Williamson County	Texas	0.000010633330000000000
11500	Thornton Town	Limestone County	Texas	0.000001800000000000000
11957	Thorntonville Town	Ward County	Texas	0.000000580000000000000
11996	Thrall City	Williamson County	Texas	0.000005500000000000000
11507	Three Rivers City	Live Oak County	Texas	0.000031126670000000000
11881	Throckmorton County	Throckmorton County	Texas	0.000037966670000000000
11882	Throckmorton Town	Throckmorton County	Texas	0.000001933300000000000
11098	Tiki Island Village	Galveston County	Texas	0.000014520000000000000
11722	Timbercreek Canyon Village	Randall County	Texas	0.000002460000000000000
11802	Timpson City	Shelby County	Texas	0.000084280000000000000
11134	Tioga Town	Grayson County	Texas	0.000015933330000000000
11311	Tira Town	Hopkins County	Texas	0.000001233330000000000
11891	Titus County	Titus County	Texas	0.000470740000000000000
11448	Toco City	Lamar County	Texas	0.000000026670000000000
11156	Todd Mission City	Grimes County	Texas	0.000011200000000000000
11304	Tolar City	Hood County	Texas	0.000015793330000000000
11138	Tom Bean City	Grayson County	Texas	0.000015286670000000000
11884	Tom Green County	Tom Green County	Texas	0.001882846670000000000
11208	Tomball City	Harris County, Montgomery County	Texas	0.000230800000000000000
11250	Tool City	Henderson County	Texas	0.000098580000000000000
11741	Toyah Town	Reeves County	Texas	0.000000266670000000000
11888	Travis County	Travis County	Texas	0.031356486670000000000
11868	Trent Town	Taylor County	Texas	0.000000420000000000000
10864	Trenton City	Collin County, Fannin County, Grayson County	Texas	0.000020593330000000000
11243	Trinidad City	Henderson County	Texas	0.000039060000000000000
11920	Trinity City	Trinity County	Texas	0.000157680000000000000
11911	Trinity County	Trinity County	Texas	0.000705106670000000000
10979	Trophy Club Town	Denton County, Tarrant County	Texas	0.000195800000000000000
11815	Troup City	Smith County, Cherokee County	Texas	0.000052786670000000000
10626	Troy City	Bell County	Texas	0.000035466670000000000
11830	Tulia City	Swisher County	Texas	0.000059406670000000000
11170	Turkey City	Hall County	Texas	0.000004913330000000000
11871	Tuscola City	Taylor County	Texas	0.000000920000000000000
11870	Tye City	Taylor County	Texas	0.000011773330000000000
11810	Tyler City	Smith County	Texas	0.004825526670000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11916	Tyler County	Tyler County	Texas	0.000878286670000000000
10744	Uhland City	Caldwell County, Hays County	Texas	0.000010300000000000000
11216	Uncertain City	Harrison County	Texas	0.000001233300000000000
11923	Union Grove City	Upshur County	Texas	0.000006626670000000000
11334	Union Valley City	Hunt County	Texas	0.000004440000000000000
10644	Universal City	Bexar County	Texas	0.000189520000000000000
10924	University Park City	Dallas County	Texas	0.000338886670000000000
11918	Upshur County	Upshur County	Texas	0.000855333330000000000
11925	Upton County	Upton County	Texas	0.000056660000000000000
11929	Uvalde City	Uvalde County	Texas	0.000122926670000000000
11928	Uvalde County	Uvalde County	Texas	0.000241626670000000000
11932	Val Verde County	Val Verde County	Texas	0.000785433330000000000
11355	Valentine Town	Jeff Davis County	Texas	0.000001380000000000000
10669	Valley Mills City	Bosque County, Mclennan County	Texas	0.000014853330000000000
10887	Valley View City	Cooke County	Texas	0.000012160000000000000
10863	Van Alstyne City	Collin County, Grayson County	Texas	0.000291660000000000000
11936	Van City	Van Zandt County	Texas	0.000041373330000000000
10910	Van Horn Town	Culberson County	Texas	0.000001406670000000000
11931	Van Zandt County	Van Zandt County	Texas	0.001658313330000000000
11662	Vega City	Oldham County	Texas	0.000006493330000000000
11382	Venus Town	Johnson County, Ellis County	Texas	0.000065280000000000000
11984	Vernon City	Wilbarger County	Texas	0.000542246670000000000
11943	Victoria City	Victoria County	Texas	0.000563986670000000000
11945	Victoria County	Victoria County	Texas	0.003472573330000000000
11663	Vidor City	Orange County	Texas	0.000637466670000000000
11014	Vinton Village	El Paso County	Texas	0.000004146670000000000
11892	Volente Village	Travis County	Texas	0.000002220000000000000
10638	Von Ormy City	Bexar County	Texas	0.000003420000000000000
11554	Waco City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.003413380000000000000
11120	Waelder City	Gonzales County	Texas	0.000022846670000000000
10681	Wake Village City	Bowie County	Texas	0.000001160000000000000
11941	Walker County	Walker County	Texas	0.001230826670000000000
11953	Waller City	Waller County, Harris County	Texas	0.000075300000000000000
11947	Waller County	Waller County	Texas	0.000841373330000000000
10606	Wallis City	Austin County	Texas	0.000017986670000000000
10670	Walnut Springs City	Bosque County	Texas	0.000001220000000000000
11956	Ward County	Ward County	Texas	0.000452800000000000000
11149	Warren City	Gregg County, Upshur County	Texas	0.000000440000000000000
11963	Washington County	Washington County	Texas	0.000558180000000000000
11213	Waskom City	Harrison County	Texas	0.000035640000000000000
11861	Watauga City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.000221440000000000000
11023	Waxahachie City	Ellis County	Texas	0.001013960000000000000
11681	Weatherford City	Parker County	Texas	0.001385813330000000000
11966	Webb County	Webb County	Texas	0.003368693330000000000
11893	Webberville Village	Travis County	Texas	0.000008533330000000000
11207	Webster City	Harris County	Texas	0.000354680000000000000
10872	Weimar City	Colorado County	Texas	0.000038866670000000000
11220	Weinert City	Haskell County	Texas	0.000001560000000000000
12001	Weir City	Williamson County	Texas	0.000002953330000000000
10868	Wellington City	Collingsworth County	Texas	0.000060740000000000000
11877	Wellman City	Terry County	Texas	0.000002553330000000000
10808	Wells Town	Cherokee County	Texas	0.000009046670000000000
11267	Weslaco City	Hidalgo County	Texas	0.000492993330000000000
11551	West City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.000023480000000000000
10690	West Columbia City	Brazoria County	Texas	0.000119720000000000000
11895	West Lake Hills City	Travis County	Texas	0.000113706670000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
11666	West Orange City	Orange County	Texas	0.0002830133300000000000
11333	West Tawakoni City	Hunt County	Texas	0.0000466333300000000000
11202	West University Place City	Harris County	Texas	0.0002311466700000000000
11584	Westbrook City	Mitchell County	Texas	0.0000002866700000000000
10975	Westlake Town	Denton County, Tarrant County	Texas	0.0002769333300000000000
10851	Weston City	Collin County	Texas	0.0000017733300000000000
11071	Weston Lakes City	Fort Bend County	Texas	0.0000012600000000000000
11853	Westover Hills Town	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0000300600000000000000
11859	Westworth Village City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0000522800000000000000
11971	Wharton City	Wharton County	Texas	0.0002113333300000000000
11969	Wharton County	Wharton County	Texas	0.0004859133300000000000
11974	Wheeler City	Wheeler County	Texas	0.0000029800000000000000
11972	Wheeler County	Wheeler County	Texas	0.0001751533300000000000
10776	White Deer Town	Carson County	Texas	0.0000084866700000000000
11144	White Oak City	Gregg County	Texas	0.0001020333300000000000
11852	White Settlement City	Tarrant County	Texas	0.0001553600000000000000
10825	Whiteface Town	Cochran County	Texas	0.0000010333300000000000
11809	Whitehouse City	Smith County	Texas	0.0001934466700000000000
11136	Whitesboro City	Grayson County	Texas	0.0001262133300000000000
11051	Whitewright Town	Fannin County	Texas	0.0000473200000000000000
11284	Whitney Town	Hill County	Texas	0.0000004866700000000000
11978	Wichita County	Wichita County	Texas	0.0036824733300000000000
11982	Wichita Falls City	Wichita County	Texas	0.0055504933300000000000
11959	Wickett Town	Ward County	Texas	0.0000005800000000000000
11986	Wilbarger County	Wilbarger County	Texas	0.0003674933300000000000
11987	Willacy County	Willacy County	Texas	0.0001638733300000000000
11991	Williamson County	Williamson County	Texas	0.0079732466700000000000
11591	Willis City	Montgomery County	Texas	0.0001625600000000000000
11687	Willow Park City	Parker County	Texas	0.0001782466700000000000
11938	Wills Point City	Van Zandt County	Texas	0.0002917666700000000000
10923	Wilmer City	Dallas County	Texas	0.0000028400000000000000
11521	Wilson City	Lynn County	Texas	0.0000000800000000000000
11999	Wilson County	Wilson County	Texas	0.0008068933300000000000
11227	Wimberley City	Hays County	Texas	0.0000048266700000000000
10646	Windcrest City	Bexar County	Texas	0.0000860533300000000000
11048	Windom Town	Fannin County	Texas	0.0000072466700000000000
10587	Windthorst Town	Archer County, Clay County	Texas	0.0000225666700000000000
11886	Winfield City	Titus County	Texas	0.0000019333300000000000
12006	Wink City	Winkler County	Texas	0.0000008000000000000000
12013	Winkler County	Winkler County	Texas	0.0004077533300000000000
12028	Winnsboro City	Wood County, Franklin County	Texas	0.0001919400000000000000
11808	Winona Town	Smith County	Texas	0.0000021266700000000000
11760	Winters City	Runnels County	Texas	0.0000415266700000000000
12010	Wise County	Wise County	Texas	0.0019271600000000000000
10713	Wixon Valley City	Brazos County	Texas	0.0000029400000000000000
11332	Wolfe City	Hunt County	Texas	0.0000364400000000000000
11519	Wolfforth City	Lubbock County	Texas	0.0000268133300000000000
12022	Wood County	Wood County	Texas	0.0017803200000000000000
11596	Woodbranch City	Montgomery County	Texas	0.0000641133300000000000
11228	Woodcreek City	Hays County	Texas	0.0000023866700000000000
11600	Woodloch Town	Montgomery County	Texas	0.0000067466700000000000
11737	Woodsboro Town	Refugio County	Texas	0.0000075333300000000000
11876	Woodson Town	Throckmorton County	Texas	0.0000008133300000000000
11913	Woodville Town	Tyler County	Texas	0.0001356000000000000000
11549	Woodway City	Mclennan County	Texas	0.0001714200000000000000
11095	Wortham Town	Freestone County	Texas	0.0000025066700000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
10857	Wylie City	Collin County, Dallas County, Rockwall County	Texas	0.0007647200000000000000
12024	Yantis Town	Wood County	Texas	0.0000138133300000000000
11467	Yoakum City	Lavaca County, De Witt County	Texas	0.0001347333300000000000
12030	Yoakum County	Yoakum County	Texas	0.0002328266700000000000
10942	Yorktown City	De Witt County	Texas	0.0000363133300000000000
12026	Young County	Young County	Texas	0.0002941333300000000000
12029	Zapata County	Zapata County	Texas	0.0003765333300000000000
12036	Zavala County	Zavala County	Texas	0.0002543133300000000000
10579	Zavalla City	Angelina County	Texas	0.0000072533300000000000
12035	Beaver County	Beaver County	Utah	0.0022800000000000000000
12040	Box Elder County	Box Elder County	Utah	0.0146400000000000000000
12039	Cache County	Cache County	Utah	0.0264900000000000000000
12046	Carbon County	Carbon County	Utah	0.0271800000000000000000
12043	Daggett County	Daggett County	Utah	0.0002800000000000000000
12044	Davis County	Davis County	Utah	0.0869500000000000000000
12051	Duchesne County	Duchesne County	Utah	0.0064100000000000000000
12059	Emery County	Emery County	Utah	0.0093800000000000000000
12061	Garfield County	Garfield County	Utah	0.0014700000000000000000
12060	Grand County	Grand County	Utah	0.0030400000000000000000
12065	Iron County	Iron County	Utah	0.0162200000000000000000
12066	Juab County	Juab County	Utah	0.0035200000000000000000
12064	Kane County	Kane County	Utah	0.0043900000000000000000
12070	Millard County	Millard County	Utah	0.0035500000000000000000
12068	Morgan County	Morgan County	Utah	0.0021600000000000000000
12067	Piute County	Piute County	Utah	0.0002200000000000000000
12072	Rich County	Rich County	Utah	0.0006100000000000000000
12071	Salt Lake County	Salt Lake County	Utah	0.4227100000000000000000
12088	San Juan County	San Juan County	Utah	0.0024900000000000000000
12089	Sanpete County	Sanpete County	Utah	0.0101300000000000000000
12086	Sevier County	Sevier County	Utah	0.0066100000000000000000
12090	Summit County	Summit County	Utah	0.0094400000000000000000
12091	Tooele County	Tooele County	Utah	0.0223300000000000000000
12094	Uintah County	Uintah County	Utah	0.0086600000000000000000
12098	Utah County	Utah County	Utah	0.1542600000000000000000
12117	Wasatch County	Wasatch County	Utah	0.0060100000000000000000
12114	Washington County	Washington County	Utah	0.0486500000000000000000
12119	Wayne County	Wayne County	Utah	0.0010900000000000000000
12121	Weber County	Weber County	Utah	0.0988300000000000000000
12262	Addison County	Addison County	Vermont	0.0073559489100000000000
12419	Albany Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0003450754050000000000
12412	Albany Village	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0001606682670000000000
12371	Alburgh Town	Grand Isle County	Vermont	0.0006061453220000000000
12372	Alburgh Village	Grand Isle County	Vermont	0.0005255805270000000000
12516	Andover Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0000123980340000000000
12290	Arlington Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0008855592020000000000
12496	Athens Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.0000064841400000000000
12357	Bakersfield Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.0005491271800000000000
12524	Baltimore Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0000247960680000000000
12521	Barnard Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0008306362320000000000
12307	Barnet Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0004710740290000000000
12470	Barre City	Washington County	Vermont	0.0212560286000000000000
12468	Barre Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.0133208320150000000000
12418	Barton Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0032520651500000000000
12413	Barton Village	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0003241621330000000000
12488	Bellows Falls Village	Windham County	Vermont	0.0094221597420000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
12384	Belvidere Town	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.0000499317200000000000
12282	Bennington County	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0099015183480000000000
12289	Bennington Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0248514135690000000000
12438	Benson Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0002259709860000000000
12473	Berlin Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.0055264732490000000000
12519	Bethel Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0008926264020000000000
12341	Bloomfield Town	Essex County	Vermont	0.0001192453790000000000
12327	Bolton Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.0018740316960000000000
12392	Bradford Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.0077244428240000000000
12399	Braintree Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.0006199081000000000000
12443	Brandon Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0050391568350000000000
12495	Brattleboro Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.0286166483980000000000
12520	Bridgewater Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0020455922930000000000
12264	Bridport Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.0005254267530000000000
12347	Brighton Town	Essex County	Vermont	0.0013713122460000000000
12263	Bristol Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.0045361682810000000000
12398	Brookfield Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.0001810945890000000000
12493	Brookline Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.0001426638870000000000
12423	Brownington Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0002091327260000000000
12342	Brunswick Town	Essex County	Vermont	0.0000715497900000000000
12310	Burke Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0000355538450000000000
12325	Burlington City	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.1069841398840000000000
12471	Cabot Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.0002233888630000000000
12474	Calais Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.0004613478190000000000
12305	Caledonia County	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0085059610580000000000
12383	Cambridge Town	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.0006824108500000000000
12375	Cambridge Village	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.0000124813280000000000
12343	Canaan Town	Essex County	Vermont	0.0013593883490000000000
12440	Castleton Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0042557869060000000000
12522	Cavendish Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0002169559820000000000
12422	Charleston Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0004600984050000000000
12330	Charlotte Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.0025879470070000000000
12397	Chelsea Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.0000975119780000000000
12525	Chester Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0037192627910000000000
12320	Chittenden County	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.0067211503550000000000
12441	Chittenden Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0002033726060000000000
12442	Clarendon Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0006327161980000000000
12331	Colchester Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.0254896335970000000000
12344	Concord Town	Essex County	Vermont	0.0001669409680000000000
12400	Corinth Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.0006268727840000000000
12265	Cornwall Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.0005779662240000000000
12421	Coventry Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0003764453140000000000
12424	Craftsbury Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0005019249500000000000
12444	Danby Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0000828585910000000000
12306	Danville Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0003021980710000000000
12414	Derby Center Village	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0004881173210000000000
12415	Derby Line Village	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0005492425110000000000
12425	Derby Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0058558060350000000000
12288	Dorset Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0006972884900000000000
12498	Dover Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.0064262629600000000000
12497	Dummerston Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.0005771332820000000000
12346	East Haven Town	Essex County	Vermont	0.0001073214820000000000
12476	East Montpelier Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.0011218074210000000000
12381	Eden Town	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.0002995967260000000000
12385	Elmore Town	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.0001955685720000000000
12353	Enosburg Falls Village	Franklin County	Vermont	0.0005158479100000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
12356	Enosburgh Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.000873609676000000000
12338	Essex County	Essex County	Vermont	0.003791972294000000000
12322	Essex Junction Village	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.001451319610000000000
12328	Essex Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.018402135758000000000
12446	Fair Haven Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.003879168595000000000
12364	Fairfax Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.001256334098000000000
12361	Fairfield Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.000981770508000000000
12402	Fairlee Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.000592042959000000000
12477	Fayston Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.000053417265000000000
12268	Ferrisburgh Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.001296046250000000000
12360	Fletcher Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.000216321664000000000
12351	Franklin County	Franklin County	Vermont	0.014110871894000000000
12359	Franklin Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.000432643327000000000
12366	Georgia Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.002512668196000000000
12267	Goshen Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.000148872515000000000
12503	Grafton Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.000220479971000000000
12369	Grand Isle County	Grand Isle County	Vermont	0.004312958821000000000
12373	Grand Isle Town	Grand Isle County	Vermont	0.001561992085000000000
12270	Granville Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.000131355086000000000
12427	Greensboro Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.002645567430000000000
12308	Groton Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.000719938128000000000
12349	Guildhall Town	Essex County	Vermont	0.000155017070000000000
12499	Guilford Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.000032420698000000000
12500	Halifax Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.000155632167000000000
12266	Hancock Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.000534179060000000000
12312	Hardwick Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.007839350493000000000
12527	Hartford Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.032053851113000000000
12526	Hartland Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.000483504099000000000
12362	Highgate Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.002362905072000000000
12332	Hinesburg Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.002869757200000000000
12426	Holland Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.000010456636000000000
12447	Hubbardton Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.000632716198000000000
12335	Huntington Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.000422712086000000000
12387	Hyde Park Town	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.000374497510000000000
12382	Hyde Park Village	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.000025481644000000000
12449	Ira Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.000067788733000000000
12430	Irasburg Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.000909740173000000000
12374	Isle La Motte Town	Grand Isle County	Vermont	0.000520666164000000000
12489	Jacksonville Village	Windham County	Vermont	0.000061977355000000000
12502	Jamaica Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.000259384809000000000
12429	Jay Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.000031369909000000000
12379	Jeffersonville Village	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.000039462269000000000
12329	Jericho Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.000422712086000000000
12390	Johnson Town	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.002812873611000000000
12380	Johnson Village	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.001616364031000000000
12458	Killington Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.002124125988000000000
12309	Kirby Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.000008886859000000000
12378	Lamoille County	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.011151624597000000000
12293	Landgrove Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.000125511672000000000
12271	Leicester Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.000481639588000000000
12269	Lincoln Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.000718080025000000000
12501	Londonderry Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.000382589870000000000
12432	Lowell Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.000481011678000000000
12529	Ludlow Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.007209171567000000000
12518	Ludlow Village	Windsor County	Vermont	0.002940730337000000000
12348	Lunenburg Town	Essex County	Vermont	0.000965880521000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
12311	Lyndon Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0045596188260000000000
12303	Lyndonville Village	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0000799945490000000000
12354	Maidstone Town	Essex County	Vermont	0.0002146429630000000000
12291	Manchester Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0069728977190000000000
12285	Manchester Village	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0000767012210000000000
12506	Marlboro Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.0001296956080000000000
12475	Marshfield Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.0001748282960000000000
12467	Marshfield Village	Washington County	Vermont	0.0001714452660000000000
12445	Mendon Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0009716726780000000000
12272	Middlebury Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.0135209369220000000000
12481	Middlesex Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.0001651149010000000000
12450	Middletown Springs Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0005875258450000000000
12333	Milton Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.0093325799430000000000
12273	Monkton Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.0002101707010000000000
12368	Montgomery Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.0004326433270000000000
12472	Montpelier City	Washington County	Vermont	0.0213580128400000000000
12480	Moretown Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.0001408314130000000000
12428	Morgan Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0002718789510000000000
12395	Morristown Town	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.0058878935560000000000
12386	Morrisville Village	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.0022664502910000000000
12448	Mount Holly Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0009189473960000000000
12451	Mount Tabor Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0002410344370000000000
12277	New Haven Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.0013135636780000000000
12401	Newbury Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.0005363254900000000000
12396	Newbury Village	Orange County	Vermont	0.0000208940510000000000
12505	Newfane Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.0006030698400000000000
12492	Newfane Village	Windham County	Vermont	0.0000324206980000000000
12416	Newport City	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0124435894260000000000
12433	Newport Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0057616963080000000000
12284	North Bennington Village	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0010738235060000000000
12376	North Hero Town	Grand Isle County	Vermont	0.0009169867760000000000
12420	North Troy Village	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0003450754050000000000
12479	Northfield Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.0053662150460000000000
12350	Norton Town	Essex County	Vermont	0.0001311692760000000000
12528	Norwich Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0048660392760000000000
12287	Old Bennington Village	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0000142561370000000000
12394	Orange County	Orange County	Vermont	0.0058368661960000000000
12403	Orange Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.0002716418830000000000
12411	Orleans County	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0044755108200000000000
12417	Orleans Village	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0011502492160000000000
12274	Orwell Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.0003502845020000000000
12276	Panton Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.0004028239740000000000
12453	Pawlet Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0004971387320000000000
12316	Peacham Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0003732993530000000000
12517	Perkinsville Village	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0004631354430000000000
12292	Peru Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0000348618620000000000
12452	Pittsfield Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0000903871130000000000
12455	Pittsford Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0025384061300000000000
12478	Plainfield Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.0006847366820000000000
12531	Plymouth Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0006818662330000000000
12530	Pomfret Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0007500522160000000000
12454	Poultney Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0016345221850000000000
12436	Poultney Village	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0032181515620000000000
12296	Pownal Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0015689055110000000000
12456	Proctor Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0015817969030000000000
12504	Putney Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.0013488035680000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
12404	Randolph Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.0104826753850000000000
12535	Reading Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0000061958130000000000
12295	Readsboro Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0004950755970000000000
12363	Richford Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.0033779548500000000000
12336	Richmond Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.0030858097590000000000
12275	Ripton Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.0004028239740000000000
12532	Rochester Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0010909821290000000000
12507	Rockingham Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.0048245587230000000000
12482	Roxbury Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.0000825574500000000000
12537	Royalton Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0019898043440000000000
12439	Rutland City	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0629781420290000000000
12434	Rutland County	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0154262936760000000000
12457	Rutland Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0032012556370000000000
12314	Ryegate Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0005155147330000000000
12278	Salisbury Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.0002714688870000000000
12294	Sandgate Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0002579944350000000000
12491	Saxtons River Village	Windham County	Vermont	0.0001563754080000000000
12298	Searsburg Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0001185405810000000000
12297	Shaftsbury Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0006972884900000000000
12534	Sharon Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0014629103300000000000
12315	Sheffield Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0003021980710000000000
12334	Shelburne Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.0078389916870000000000
12367	Sheldon Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.0013728179510000000000
12280	Shoreham Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.0003590432160000000000
12460	Shrewsbury Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0003238930280000000000
12324	South Burlington City	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.0428115065590000000000
12377	South Hero Town	Grand Isle County	Vermont	0.0005595197440000000000
12533	Springfield Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0204001606070000000000
12365	St Albans Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.0071552801650000000000
12337	St George Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.0001174193120000000000
12313	St Johnsbury Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0171719048070000000000
12355	St. Albans City	Franklin County	Vermont	0.0390129027590000000000
12299	Stamford Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0004950755970000000000
12317	Stannard Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0000177737190000000000
12281	Starksboro Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.0000700569000000000000
12539	Stockbridge Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.0007376541820000000000
12388	Stowe Town	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.0093956593450000000000
12407	Strafford Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.0008218967400000000000
12508	Stratton Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.0016146725160000000000
12459	Sudbury Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0001355838730000000000
12300	Sunderland Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.0003416731540000000000
12321	Sutton Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0003644124940000000000
12370	Swanton Town	Franklin County	Vermont	0.0012979363890000000000
12358	Swanton Village	Franklin County	Vermont	0.0057159036740000000000
12409	Thetford Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.0026189260730000000000
12463	Tinmouth Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.0001205204220000000000
12405	Topsham Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.0005920429590000000000
12510	Townshend Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.0005965857010000000000
12431	Troy Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.0003659886780000000000
12340	Underhill Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.0008970922570000000000
12261	Vergennes City	Addison County	Vermont	0.0147469326780000000000
12509	Vernon Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.0031969179270000000000
12410	Vershire Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.0000069646840000000000
12352	Victory Town	Essex County	Vermont	0.0000953975850000000000
12483	Waitsfield Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.0004856313070000000000
12318	Walden Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.0000711076900000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
12461	Wallingford Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.000165710775000000000
12283	Waltham Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.000201411987000000000
12490	Warren Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.000480774609000000000
12465	Washington County	Washington County	Vermont	0.009081281087000000000
12408	Washington Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.000529360806000000000
12484	Waterbury Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.004584350821000000000
12319	Waterford Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.000577729156000000000
12389	Waterville Town	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.000004158307000000000
12536	Weathersfield Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.001692264346000000000
12393	Wells River Village	Orange County	Vermont	0.001107474397000000000
12462	Wells Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.000444407043000000000
12304	West Burke Village	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.000017773719000000000
12406	West Fairlee Town	Orange County	Vermont	0.000090547294000000000
12464	West Haven Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.000090387113000000000
12466	West Rutland Town	Rutland County	Vermont	0.001212713522000000000
12538	West Windsor Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.000210760168000000000
12437	Westfield Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.000250965679000000000
12339	Westford Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.000657557117000000000
12511	Westminster Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.000752217867000000000
12494	Westminster Village	Windham County	Vermont	0.000079763888000000000
12435	Westmore Town	Orleans County	Vermont	0.000365988678000000000
12540	Weston Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.000291344185000000000
12279	Weybridge Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.000245199151000000000
12323	Wheelock Town	Caledonia County	Vermont	0.000222203522000000000
12286	Whiting Town	Addison County	Vermont	0.000026269736000000000
12512	Whitingham Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.000901365894000000000
12345	Williston Town	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.014048183334000000000
12513	Wilmington Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.004344700351000000000
12487	Windham County	Windham County	Vermont	0.007048785219000000000
12515	Windham Town	Windham County	Vermont	0.000155632167000000000
12514	Windsor County	Windsor County	Vermont	0.007909631647000000000
12541	Windsor Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.015118803214000000000
12301	Winhall Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.003772340472000000000
12326	Winooski City	Chittenden County	Vermont	0.013620774732000000000
12391	Wolcott Town	Lamoille County	Vermont	0.000337047118000000000
12486	Woodbury Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.000267099141000000000
12302	Woodford Town	Bennington County	Vermont	0.000383512514000000000
12542	Woodstock Town	Windsor County	Vermont	0.005151181240000000000
12523	Woodstock Village	Windsor County	Vermont	0.003241960913000000000
12485	Worcester Town	Washington County	Vermont	0.000233102258000000000
12129	Accomack County	Accomack County	Virginia	0.003480034800000000000
12126	Albemarle County	Albemarle County	Virginia	0.008630086301000000000
12221	Alexandria City	Alexandria City	Virginia	0.011620116201000000000
12130	Alleghany County	Alleghany County	Virginia	0.002130021300000000000
12127	Amelia County	Amelia County	Virginia	0.001000010000000000000
12131	Amherst County	Amherst County	Virginia	0.002990029900000000000
12134	Appomattox County	Appomattox County	Virginia	0.001330013300000000000
12132	Arlington County	Arlington County	Virginia	0.013780137801000000000
12135	Augusta County	Augusta County	Virginia	0.008350083501000000000
12133	Bath County	Bath County	Virginia	0.000370003700000000000
12139	Bedford County	Bedford County	Virginia	0.007770077701000000000
12138	Bland County	Bland County	Virginia	0.001470014700000000000
12137	Botetourt County	Botetourt County	Virginia	0.003620036200000000000
12224	Bristol City	Bristol City	Virginia	0.004340043400000000000
12136	Brunswick County	Brunswick County	Virginia	0.001070010700000000000
12140	Buchanan County	Buchanan County	Virginia	0.009290092901000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
12142	Buckingham County	Buckingham County	Virginia	0.0012700127000000000000
12225	Buena Vista City	Buena Vista City	Virginia	0.0007800078000000000000
12144	Campbell County	Campbell County	Virginia	0.0045600456000000000000
12143	Caroline County	Caroline County	Virginia	0.0031800318000000000000
12141	Carroll County	Carroll County	Virginia	0.0044000440000000000000
12145	Charles City County	Charles City County	Virginia	0.0007300073000000000000
12146	Charlotte County	Charlotte County	Virginia	0.0013800138000000000000
12230	Charlottesville City		Virginia	0.0046300463000000000000
12240	Chesapeake City	Chesapeake City	Virginia	0.0291202912030000000000
12147	Chesterfield County	Chesterfield County	Virginia	0.0408804088040000000000
12149	Clarke County	Clarke County	Virginia	0.0012500125000000000000
12222	Colonial Heights City		Virginia	0.0028300283000000000000
12228	Covington City	Covington City	Virginia	0.0010000100000000000000
12151	Craig County	Craig County	Virginia	0.0007000070000000000000
12150	Culpeper County	Culpeper County	Virginia	0.0079000790010000000000
12148	Cumberland County	Cumberland County	Virginia	0.0010000100000000000000
12226	Danville City	Danville City	Virginia	0.0063700637010000000000
12153	Dickenson County	Dickenson County	Virginia	0.0094800948010000000000
12154	Dinwiddie County	Dinwiddie County	Virginia	0.0019600196000000000000
12256	Emporia City	Emporia City	Virginia	0.0005000050000000000000
12160	Essex County	Essex County	Virginia	0.0010100101000000000000
12227	Fairfax City	Fairfax City	Virginia	0.0026900269000000000000
12157	Fairfax County	Fairfax County	Virginia	0.0867208672090000000000
12229	Falls Church City		Virginia	0.0010200102000000000000
12156	Fauquier County	Fauquier County	Virginia	0.0121001210010000000000
12152	Floyd County	Floyd County	Virginia	0.0018200182000000000000
12158	Fluvanna County	Fluvanna County	Virginia	0.0019400194000000000000
12231	Franklin City		Virginia	0.0007900079000000000000
12155	Franklin County	Franklin County	Virginia	0.0095400954010000000000
12165	Frederick County	Frederick County	Virginia	0.0127701277010000000000
12233	Fredericksburg City	Fredericksburg City	Virginia	0.0052400524010000000000
12237	Galax City	Galax City	Virginia	0.0013900139000000000000
12162	Giles County	Giles County	Virginia	0.0040900409000000000000
12163	Gloucester County	Gloucester County	Virginia	0.0042400424000000000000
12159	Goochland County	Goochland County	Virginia	0.0022500225000000000000
12167	Grayson County	Grayson County	Virginia	0.0022400224000000000000
12161	Greene County	Greene County	Virginia	0.0017800178000000000000
12166	Greensville County	Greensville County	Virginia	0.0012400124000000000000
12168	Halifax County	Halifax County	Virginia	0.0035300353000000000000
12234	Hampton City		Virginia	0.0153801538020000000000
12169	Hanover County	Hanover County	Virginia	0.0107901079010000000000
12235	Harrisonburg City		Virginia	0.0052300523010000000000
12164	Henrico County	Henrico County	Virginia	0.0447304473040000000000
12171	Henry County	Henry County	Virginia	0.0122001220010000000000
12170	Highland County	Highland County	Virginia	0.0002300023000000000000
12238	Hopewell City	Hopewell City	Virginia	0.0034400344000000000000
12174	Isle Of Wight County	Isle Of Wight County	Virginia	0.0035600356000000000000
12172	James City County	James City County	Virginia	0.0061200612010000000000
12173	King And Queen County	King And Queen County	Virginia	0.0007200072000000000000
12178	King George County	King George County	Virginia	0.0030600306000000000000
12177	King William County	King William County	Virginia	0.0017800178000000000000
12176	Lancaster County	Lancaster County	Virginia	0.0013500135000000000000
12179	Lee County	Lee County	Virginia	0.0055600556010000000000
12236	Lexington City	Lexington City	Virginia	0.0009300093000000000000
12175	Loudoun County	Loudoun County	Virginia	0.0256702567030000000000
12182	Louisa County	Louisa County	Virginia	0.0044900449000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
12180	Lunenburg County	Lunenburg County	Virginia	0.000880008800000000000
12242	Lynchburg City		Virginia	0.008160081601000000000
12189	Madison County	Madison County	Virginia	0.001630016300000000000
12257	Manassas City		Virginia	0.004520045200000000000
12260	Manassas Park City		Virginia	0.000950009500000000000
12241	Martinsville City	Martinsville City	Virginia	0.004940049400000000000
12184	Mathews County	Mathews County	Virginia	0.000880008800000000000
12181	Mecklenburg County	Mecklenburg County	Virginia	0.003440034400000000000
12186	Middlesex County	Middlesex County	Virginia	0.001080010800000000000
12183	Montgomery County	Montgomery County	Virginia	0.012050120501000000000
12188	Nelson County	Nelson County	Virginia	0.001470014700000000000
12187	New Kent County	New Kent County	Virginia	0.001560015600000000000
12244	Newport News City		Virginia	0.020470204702000000000
12239	Norfolk City	Norfolk City	Virginia	0.033880338803000000000
12185	Northampton County	Northampton County	Virginia	0.001220012200000000000
12192	Northumberland County	Northumberland County	Virginia	0.001290012900000000000
12243	Norton City	Norton City	Virginia	0.001100011000000000000
12193	Nottoway County	Nottoway County	Virginia	0.001330013300000000000
12190	Orange County	Orange County	Virginia	0.006380063801000000000
12191	Page County	Page County	Virginia	0.004100041000000000000
12199	Patrick County	Patrick County	Virginia	0.003290032900000000000
12245	Petersburg City		Virginia	0.003950039500000000000
12195	Pittsylvania County	Pittsylvania County	Virginia	0.007500075001000000000
12258	Poquoson City		Virginia	0.001860018600000000000
12248	Portsmouth City	Portsmouth City	Virginia	0.019370193702000000000
12197	Powhatan County	Powhatan County	Virginia	0.002620026200000000000
12194	Prince Edward County	Prince Edward County	Virginia	0.001900019000000000000
12196	Prince George County	Prince George County	Virginia	0.003510035100000000000
12202	Prince William County	Prince William County	Virginia	0.035560355604000000000
12200	Pulaski County	Pulaski County	Virginia	0.010610106101000000000
12250	Radford City	Radford City	Virginia	0.002470024700000000000
12198	Rappahannock County	Rappahannock County	Virginia	0.000910009100000000000
12249	Richmond City	Richmond City	Virginia	0.042250422504000000000
12203	Richmond County	Richmond County	Virginia	0.000840008400000000000
12247	Roanoke City	Roanoke City	Virginia	0.018590185902000000000
12201	Roanoke County	Roanoke County	Virginia	0.014980149801000000000
12205	Rockbridge County	Rockbridge County	Virginia	0.002350023500000000000
12207	Rockingham County	Rockingham County	Virginia	0.006140061401000000000
12206	Russell County	Russell County	Virginia	0.010640106401000000000
12259	Salem City	Salem City	Virginia	0.007860078601000000000
12204	Scott County	Scott County	Virginia	0.004210042100000000000
12208	Shenandoah County	Shenandoah County	Virginia	0.006600066001000000000
12209	Smyth County	Smyth County	Virginia	0.005920059201000000000
12210	Southampton County	Southampton County	Virginia	0.001370013700000000000
12211	Spotsylvania County	Spotsylvania County	Virginia	0.014170141701000000000
12214	Stafford County	Stafford County	Virginia	0.014430144301000000000
12251	Staunton City		Virginia	0.004400044000000000000
12255	Suffolk City		Virginia	0.007100071001000000000
12212	Surry County	Surry County	Virginia	0.000580005800000000000
12213	Sussex County	Sussex County	Virginia	0.000810008100000000000
12217	Tazewell County	Tazewell County	Virginia	0.016060160602000000000
12246	Virginia Beach City	Virginia Beach City	Virginia	0.048590485905000000000
12220	Warren County	Warren County	Virginia	0.007660076601000000000
12218	Washington County	Washington County	Virginia	0.009960099601000000000
12254	Waynesboro City	Waynesboro City	Virginia	0.003630036300000000000
12216	Westmoreland County	Westmoreland County	Virginia	0.002230022300000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
12252	Williamsburg City		Virginia	0.0008600086000000000000
12253	Winchester City	Winchester City	Virginia	0.0064900649010000000000
12223	Wise County	Wise County	Virginia	0.0175601756020000000000
12219	Wythe County	Wythe County	Virginia	0.0064200642010000000000
12232	York County	York County	Virginia	0.0056100561010000000000
12668	Adams County	Adams County	Wisconsin	0.0032700000000000000000
12665	Ashland County	Ashland County	Wisconsin	0.0022500000000000000000
12670	Barron County	Barron County	Wisconsin	0.0047800000000000000000
12672	Bayfield County	Bayfield County	Wisconsin	0.0012400000000000000000
12674	Brown County	Brown County	Wisconsin	0.0290000000000000000000
12682	Buffalo County	Buffalo County	Wisconsin	0.0012600000000000000000
12683	Burnett County	Burnett County	Wisconsin	0.0022400000000000000000
12690	Calumet County	Calumet County	Wisconsin	0.0038600000000000000000
12687	Chippewa County	Chippewa County	Wisconsin	0.0069600000000000000000
12685	Clark County	Clark County	Wisconsin	0.0026100000000000000000
12693	Columbia County	Columbia County	Wisconsin	0.0107600000000000000000
12686	Crawford County	Crawford County	Wisconsin	0.0019500000000000000000
12754	Cudahy City	Milwaukee County	Wisconsin	0.0008700000000000000000
12692	Dane County	Dane County	Wisconsin	0.0824800000000000000000
12703	Dodge County	Dodge County	Wisconsin	0.0130200000000000000000
12706	Door County	Door County	Wisconsin	0.0028200000000000000000
12712	Douglas County	Douglas County	Wisconsin	0.0055400000000000000000
12713	Dunn County	Dunn County	Wisconsin	0.0044200000000000000000
12714	Eau Claire County	Eau Claire County	Wisconsin	0.0117700000000000000000
12711	Florence County	Florence County	Wisconsin	0.0005300000000000000000
12709	Fond Du Lac County	Fond Du Lac County	Wisconsin	0.0119600000000000000000
12717	Forest County	Forest County	Wisconsin	0.0012700000000000000000
12755	Franklin City	Milwaukee County	Wisconsin	0.0015500000000000000000
12719	Grant County	Grant County	Wisconsin	0.0049800000000000000000
12721	Green County	Green County	Wisconsin	0.0046600000000000000000
12723	Green Lake County	Green Lake County	Wisconsin	0.0028000000000000000000
12764	Greenfield City	Milwaukee County	Wisconsin	0.0016300000000000000000
12722	Iowa County	Iowa County	Wisconsin	0.0027900000000000000000
12724	Iron County	Iron County	Wisconsin	0.0006100000000000000000
12725	Jackson County	Jackson County	Wisconsin	0.0023600000000000000000
12728	Jefferson County	Jefferson County	Wisconsin	0.0105100000000000000000
12729	Juneau County	Juneau County	Wisconsin	0.0043800000000000000000
12731	Kenosha City	Kenosha County	Wisconsin	0.0048400000000000000000
12730	Kenosha County	Kenosha County	Wisconsin	0.0371200000000000000000
12735	Kewaunee County	Kewaunee County	Wisconsin	0.0015600000000000000000
12733	La Crosse County	La Crosse County	Wisconsin	0.0164900000000000000000
12739	Lafayette County	Lafayette County	Wisconsin	0.0013400000000000000000
12740	Langlade County	Langlade County	Wisconsin	0.0031200000000000000000
12741	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	Wisconsin	0.0035000000000000000000
12744	Manitowoc County	Manitowoc County	Wisconsin	0.0140300000000000000000
12745	Marathon County	Marathon County	Wisconsin	0.0125900000000000000000
12752	Marinette City	Marinette County	Wisconsin	0.0003200000000000000000
12753	Marinette County	Marinette County	Wisconsin	0.0050300000000000000000
12756	Marquette County	Marquette County	Wisconsin	0.0024600000000000000000
12751	Menominee County	Menominee County	Wisconsin	0.0008000000000000000000
12768	Milwaukee City	Milwaukee County, Washington County, Waukesha County	Wisconsin	0.0781500000000000000000
12750	Milwaukee County	Milwaukee County	Wisconsin	0.2522100000000000000000
12767	Monroe County	Monroe County	Wisconsin	0.0065500000000000000000
12794	Mount Pleasant Village	Racine County	Wisconsin	0.0011700000000000000000
12760	Oak Creek City	Milwaukee County	Wisconsin	0.0016600000000000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
12766	Oconto County	Oconto County	Wisconsin	0.003360000000000000000
12769	Oneida County	Oneida County	Wisconsin	0.005260000000000000000
12771	Outagamie County	Outagamie County	Wisconsin	0.018360000000000000000
12778	Ozaukee County	Ozaukee County	Wisconsin	0.010360000000000000000
12782	Pepin County	Pepin County	Wisconsin	0.000550000000000000000
12781	Pierce County	Pierce County	Wisconsin	0.003870000000000000000
12732	Pleasant Prairie Village	Kenosha County	Wisconsin	0.000590000000000000000
12786	Portage County	Portage County	Wisconsin	0.007290000000000000000
12790	Price County	Price County	Wisconsin	0.001490000000000000000
12787	Racine County	Racine County	Wisconsin	0.032080000000000000000
12796	Richland County	Richland County	Wisconsin	0.002180000000000000000
12803	Rock County	Rock County	Wisconsin	0.029470000000000000000
12805	Rusk County	Rusk County	Wisconsin	0.001590000000000000000
12800	Sauk County	Sauk County	Wisconsin	0.012260000000000000000
12799	Sawyer County	Sawyer County	Wisconsin	0.002580000000000000000
12806	Shawano County	Shawano County	Wisconsin	0.004180000000000000000
12808	Sheboygan County	Sheboygan County	Wisconsin	0.014100000000000000000
12763	South Milwaukee City	Milwaukee County	Wisconsin	0.000960000000000000000
12807	St Croix County	St Croix County	Wisconsin	0.008290000000000000000
12792	Sturtevant Village	Racine County	Wisconsin	0.000180000000000000000
12705	Superior City	Douglas County	Wisconsin	0.000890000000000000000
12810	Taylor County	Taylor County	Wisconsin	0.001590000000000000000
12811	Trempealeau County	Trempealeau County	Wisconsin	0.003200000000000000000
12791	Union Grove Village	Racine County	Wisconsin	0.000070000000000000000
12812	Vernon County	Vernon County	Wisconsin	0.003220000000000000000
12813	Vilas County	Vilas County	Wisconsin	0.004680000000000000000
12814	Walworth County	Walworth County	Wisconsin	0.015730000000000000000
12816	Washburn County	Washburn County	Wisconsin	0.001850000000000000000
12819	Washington County	Washington County	Wisconsin	0.019910000000000000000
12821	Waukesha County	Waukesha County	Wisconsin	0.060350000000000000000
12831	Waupaca County	Waupaca County	Wisconsin	0.006060000000000000000
12832	Waushara County	Waushara County	Wisconsin	0.002310000000000000000
12762	Wauwatosa City	Milwaukee County	Wisconsin	0.003090000000000000000
12765	West Allis City	Milwaukee County	Wisconsin	0.003780000000000000000
12833	Winnebago County	Winnebago County	Wisconsin	0.021760000000000000000
12841	Wood County	Wood County	Wisconsin	0.008420000000000000000
12795	Yorkville Town	Racine County	Wisconsin	0.000020000000000000000
12836	Albany County	Albany County	Wyoming	0.0163298761370000000000
12839	Big Horn County	Big Horn County	Wyoming	0.0303244324850000000000
12843	Campbell County	Campbell County	Wyoming	0.0443933352130000000000
12849	Carbon County	Carbon County	Wyoming	0.0369685560430000000000
12856	Casper City	Natrona County	Wyoming	0.0735036110590000000000
12853	Cheyenne City	Laramie County	Wyoming	0.0122862653280000000000
12846	Converse County	Converse County	Wyoming	0.0189624324930000000000
12847	Crook County	Crook County	Wyoming	0.0054486698850000000000
12869	Evanston City	Uinta County	Wyoming	0.0197024051990000000000
12850	Fremont County	Fremont County	Wyoming	0.0673748384370000000000
12844	Gillette City	Campbell County	Wyoming	0.0174106356700000000000
12845	Goshen County	Goshen County	Wyoming	0.0163612029050000000000
12860	Green River City	Sweetwater County	Wyoming	0.0061222186720000000000
12851	Hot Springs County	Hot Springs County	Wyoming	0.0085573093290000000000
12872	Jackson Town	Teton County	Wyoming	0.0055985449630000000000
12852	Johnson County	Johnson County	Wyoming	0.0093224272360000000000
12837	Laramie City	Albany County	Wyoming	0.0341810788110000000000
12854	Laramie County	Laramie County	Wyoming	0.1558552699220000000000
12855	Lincoln County	Lincoln County	Wyoming	0.0312280119140000000000

BG Entity ID	Subdivision Name	County	State	Consolidated State Allocation
12857	Natrona County	Natrona County	Wyoming	0.0790001694720000000000
12861	Niobrara County	Niobrara County	Wyoming	0.0015402808610000000000
12864	Park County	Park County	Wyoming	0.0579642680090000000000
12858	Platte County	Platte County	Wyoming	0.0175070271570000000000
12848	Riverton City	Fremont County	Wyoming	0.0127444833140000000000
12866	Rock Springs City	Sweetwater County	Wyoming	0.0152614797380000000000
12865	Sheridan City	Sheridan County	Wyoming	0.0034051871020000000000
12859	Sheridan County	Sheridan County	Wyoming	0.0391494949120000000000
12862	Sublette County	Sublette County	Wyoming	0.0071367404770000000000
12863	Sweetwater County	Sweetwater County	Wyoming	0.0763913060200000000000
12867	Teton County	Teton County	Wyoming	0.0132909003490000000000
12870	Uinta County	Uinta County	Wyoming	0.0439115081080000000000
12871	Washakie County	Washakie County	Wyoming	0.0150075979280000000000
12868	Weston County	Weston County	Wyoming	0.0077584348510000000000

Exhibit H

[Intentionally Omitted]

EXHIBIT I

Primary Subdivisions and Subdivisions with Population Over 10,000

1. Anchorage municipality, Alaska *
2. Fairbanks city, Alaska *
3. Fairbanks North Star Borough, Alaska *
4. Juneau city and borough, Alaska *
5. Kenai Peninsula Borough, Alaska *
6. Matanuska-Susitna Borough, Alaska *
7. Ketchikan Gateway Borough, Alaska
8. Kodiak Island Borough, Alaska
9. Wasilla city, Alaska
10. Apache County, Arizona *
11. Apache Junction city, Arizona *
12. Avondale city, Arizona *
13. Buckeye city, Arizona *
14. Bullhead City city, Arizona *
15. Casa Grande city, Arizona *
16. Chandler city, Arizona *
17. Cochise County, Arizona *
18. Coconino County, Arizona *
19. El Mirage city, Arizona *
20. Flagstaff city, Arizona *
21. Gila County, Arizona *
22. Gilbert town, Arizona *
23. Glendale city, Arizona *
24. Goodyear city, Arizona *
25. Graham County, Arizona *
26. Kingman city, Arizona *
27. Lake Havasu City city, Arizona *
28. Marana town, Arizona *
29. Maricopa city, Arizona *
30. Maricopa County, Arizona *
31. Mesa city, Arizona *
32. Mohave County, Arizona *
33. Navajo County, Arizona *
34. Oro Valley town, Arizona *
35. Peoria city, Arizona *
36. Phoenix city, Arizona *
37. Pima County, Arizona *
38. Pinal County, Arizona *
39. Prescott city, Arizona *
40. Prescott Valley town, Arizona *
41. Queen Creek town, Arizona *
42. Sahuarita town, Arizona *
43. San Luis city, Arizona *
44. Santa Cruz County, Arizona *
45. Scottsdale city, Arizona *
46. Sierra Vista city, Arizona *
47. Surprise city, Arizona *
48. Tempe city, Arizona *
49. Tucson city, Arizona *
50. Yavapai County, Arizona *
51. Yuma city, Arizona *
52. Yuma County, Arizona *
53. Camp Verde town, Arizona
54. Chino Valley town, Arizona
55. Coolidge city, Arizona
56. Cottonwood city, Arizona
57. Douglas city, Arizona
58. Eloy city, Arizona
59. Florence town, Arizona
60. Fountain Hills town, Arizona
61. La Paz County, Arizona
62. Nogales city, Arizona
63. Paradise Valley town, Arizona
64. Payson town, Arizona
65. Sedona city, Arizona
66. Show Low city, Arizona
67. Somerton city, Arizona
68. Baxter County, Arkansas *
69. Benton city, Arkansas *
70. Benton County, Arkansas *
71. Bentonville city, Arkansas *
72. Boone County, Arkansas *
73. Conway city, Arkansas *
74. Craighead County, Arkansas *
75. Crawford County, Arkansas *
76. Crittenden County, Arkansas *
77. Faulkner County, Arkansas *
78. Fayetteville city, Arkansas *
79. Fort Smith city, Arkansas *
80. Garland County, Arkansas *
81. Greene County, Arkansas *
82. Hot Spring County, Arkansas *
83. Hot Springs city, Arkansas *
84. Independence County, Arkansas *
85. Jefferson County, Arkansas *
86. Jonesboro city, Arkansas *
87. Little Rock city, Arkansas *
88. Lonoke County, Arkansas *
89. Miller County, Arkansas *
90. Mississippi County, Arkansas *
91. North Little Rock city, Arkansas *
92. Pine Bluff city, Arkansas *
93. Pope County, Arkansas *
94. Pulaski County, Arkansas *
95. Rogers city, Arkansas *
96. Saline County, Arkansas *
97. Sebastian County, Arkansas *
98. Sherwood city, Arkansas *
99. Springdale city, Arkansas *
100. Union County, Arkansas *

101. Washington County, Arkansas *
102. White County, Arkansas *
103. Arkadelphia city, Arkansas
104. Arkansas County, Arkansas
105. Ashley County, Arkansas
106. Batesville city, Arkansas
107. Bella Vista city, Arkansas
108. Blytheville city, Arkansas
109. Bradley County, Arkansas
110. Bryant city, Arkansas
111. Cabot city, Arkansas
112. Camden city, Arkansas
113. Carroll County, Arkansas
114. Centerton city, Arkansas
115. Chicot County, Arkansas
116. Clark County, Arkansas
117. Clay County, Arkansas
118. Cleburne County, Arkansas
119. Columbia County, Arkansas
120. Conway County, Arkansas
121. Cross County, Arkansas
122. Desha County, Arkansas
123. Drew County, Arkansas
124. El Dorado city, Arkansas
125. Forrest City city, Arkansas
126. Franklin County, Arkansas
127. Fulton County, Arkansas
128. Grant County, Arkansas
129. Harrison city, Arkansas
130. Helena-West Helena city, Arkansas
131. Hempstead County, Arkansas
132. Howard County, Arkansas
133. Izard County, Arkansas
134. Jackson County, Arkansas
135. Jacksonville city, Arkansas
136. Johnson County, Arkansas
137. Lawrence County, Arkansas
138. Lincoln County, Arkansas
139. Little River County, Arkansas
140. Logan County, Arkansas
141. Madison County, Arkansas
142. Magnolia city, Arkansas
143. Malvern city, Arkansas
144. Marion city, Arkansas
145. Marion County, Arkansas
146. Maumelle city, Arkansas
147. Mountain Home city, Arkansas
148. Ouachita County, Arkansas
149. Paragould city, Arkansas
150. Perry County, Arkansas
151. Phillips County, Arkansas
152. Pike County, Arkansas
153. Poinsett County, Arkansas
154. Polk County, Arkansas
155. Randolph County, Arkansas
156. Russellville city, Arkansas
157. Scott County, Arkansas
158. Searcy city, Arkansas
159. Sevier County, Arkansas
160. Sharp County, Arkansas
161. Siloam Springs city, Arkansas
162. St. Francis County, Arkansas
163. Stone County, Arkansas
164. Texarkana city, Arkansas
165. Van Buren city, Arkansas
166. Van Buren County, Arkansas
167. West Memphis city, Arkansas
168. Yell County, Arkansas
169. Adelanto city, California *
170. Alameda city, California *
171. Alameda County, California *
172. Alhambra city, California *
173. Aliso Viejo city, California *
174. Amador County, California *
175. Anaheim city, California *
176. Antioch city, California *
177. Apple Valley town, California *
178. Arcadia city, California *
179. Atascadero city, California *
180. Azusa city, California *
181. Bakersfield city, California *
182. Baldwin Park city, California *
183. Banning city, California *
184. Beaumont city, California *
185. Bell city, California *
186. Bell Gardens city, California *
187. Bellflower city, California *
188. Berkeley city, California *
189. Beverly Hills city, California *
190. Brea city, California *
191. Brentwood city, California *
192. Buena Park city, California *
193. Burbank city, California *
194. Burlingame city, California *
195. Butte County, California *
196. Calaveras County, California *
197. Calexico city, California *
198. Camarillo city, California *
199. Campbell city, California *
200. Carlsbad city, California *
201. Carson city, California *
202. Cathedral City city, California *
203. Ceres city, California *
204. Cerritos city, California *
205. Chico city, California *
206. Chino city, California *
207. Chino Hills city, California *
208. Chula Vista city, California *
209. Citrus Heights city, California *
210. Claremont city, California *
211. Clovis city, California *
212. Coachella city, California *

213. Colton city, California *
214. Compton city, California *
215. Concord city, California *
216. Contra Costa County, California *
217. Corona city, California *
218. Costa Mesa city, California *
219. Covina city, California *
220. Culver City city, California *
221. Cupertino city, California *
222. Cypress city, California *
223. Daly City city, California *
224. Dana Point city, California *
225. Danville town, California *
226. Davis city, California *
227. Delano city, California *
228. Diamond Bar city, California *
229. Downey city, California *
230. Dublin city, California *
231. Eastvale city, California *
232. El Cajon city, California *
233. El Centro city, California *
234. El Dorado County, California *
235. El Monte city, California *
236. El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles) city, California *
237. Elk Grove city, California *
238. Encinitas city, California *
239. Escondido city, California *
240. Fairfield city, California *
241. Folsom city, California *
242. Fontana city, California *
243. Foster City city, California *
244. Fountain Valley city, California *
245. Fremont city, California *
246. Fresno city, California *
247. Fresno County, California *
248. Fullerton city, California *
249. Garden Grove city, California *
250. Gardena city, California *
251. Gilroy city, California *
252. Glendale city, California *
253. Glendora city, California *
254. Goleta city, California *
255. Hanford city, California *
256. Hawthorne city, California *
257. Hayward city, California *
258. Hemet city, California *
259. Hesperia city, California *
260. Highland city, California *
261. Hollister city, California *
262. Humboldt County, California *
263. Huntington Beach city, California *
264. Huntington Park city, California *
265. Imperial County, California *
266. Indio city, California *
267. Inglewood city, California *
268. Irvine city, California *
269. Jurupa Valley city, California *
270. Kern County, California *
271. Kings County, California *
272. La Habra city, California *
273. La Mesa city, California *
274. La Mirada city, California *
275. La Puente city, California *
276. La Quinta city, California *
277. La Verne city, California *
278. Laguna Hills city, California *
279. Laguna Niguel city, California *
280. Lake County, California *
281. Lake Elsinore city, California *
282. Lake Forest city, California *
283. Lakewood city, California *
284. Lancaster city, California *
285. Lassen County, California *
286. Lawndale city, California *
287. Lincoln city, California *
288. Livermore city, California *
289. Lodi city, California *
290. Lompoc city, California *
291. Long Beach city, California *
292. Los Altos city, California *
293. Los Angeles city, California *
294. Los Angeles County, California *
295. Los Banos city, California *
296. Los Gatos town, California *
297. Lynwood city, California *
298. Madera city, California *
299. Madera County, California *
300. Manhattan Beach city, California *
301. Manteca city, California *
302. Marin County, California *
303. Martinez city, California *
304. Mendocino County, California *
305. Menifee city, California *
306. Menlo Park city, California *
307. Merced city, California *
308. Merced County, California *
309. Milpitas city, California *
310. Mission Viejo city, California *
311. Modesto city, California *
312. Monrovia city, California *
313. Montclair city, California *
314. Montebello city, California *
315. Monterey County, California *
316. Monterey Park city, California *
317. Moorpark city, California *
318. Moreno Valley city, California *
319. Morgan Hill city, California *
320. Mountain View city, California *
321. Murrieta city, California *
322. Napa city, California *
323. Napa County, California *

324. National City city, California *
325. Nevada County, California *
326. Newark city, California *
327. Newport Beach city, California *
328. Norwalk city, California *
329. Novato city, California *
330. Oakland city, California *
331. Oakley city, California *
332. Oceanside city, California *
333. Ontario city, California *
334. Orange city, California *
335. Orange County, California *
336. Oxnard city, California *
337. Pacifica city, California *
338. Palm Desert city, California *
339. Palm Springs city, California *
340. Palmdale city, California *
341. Palo Alto city, California *
342. Paramount city, California *
343. Pasadena city, California *
344. Perris city, California *
345. Petaluma city, California *
346. Pico Rivera city, California *
347. Pittsburg city, California *
348. Placentia city, California *
349. Placer County, California *
350. Pleasant Hill city, California *
351. Pleasanton city, California *
352. Pomona city, California *
353. Porterville city, California *
354. Poway city, California *
355. Rancho Cordova city, California *
356. Rancho Cucamonga city, California *
357. Rancho Palos Verdes city, California *
358. Rancho Santa Margarita city, California *
359. Redding city, California *
360. Redlands city, California *
361. Redondo Beach city, California *
362. Redwood City city, California *
363. Rialto city, California *
364. Richmond city, California *
365. Riverside city, California *
366. Riverside County, California *
367. Rocklin city, California *
368. Rohnert Park city, California *
369. Rosemead city, California *
370. Roseville city, California *
371. Sacramento city, California *
372. Sacramento County, California *
373. Salinas city, California *
374. San Benito County, California *
375. San Bernardino city, California *
376. San Bernardino County, California *
377. San Bruno city, California *
378. San Buenaventura (Ventura) city, California *
379. San Carlos city, California *
380. San Clemente city, California *
381. San Diego city, California *
382. San Diego County, California *
383. San Dimas city, California *
384. San Francisco city / San Francisco County, California *
385. San Gabriel city, California *
386. San Jacinto city, California *
387. San Joaquin County, California *
388. San Jose city, California *
389. San Juan Capistrano city, California *
390. San Leandro city, California *
391. San Luis Obispo city, California *
392. San Luis Obispo County, California *
393. San Marcos city, California *
394. San Mateo city, California *
395. San Mateo County, California *
396. San Pablo city, California *
397. San Rafael city, California *
398. San Ramon city, California *
399. Santa Ana city, California *
400. Santa Barbara city, California *
401. Santa Barbara County, California *
402. Santa Clara city, California *
403. Santa Clara County, California *
404. Santa Clarita city, California *
405. Santa Cruz city, California *
406. Santa Cruz County, California *
407. Santa Maria city, California *
408. Santa Monica city, California *
409. Santa Rosa city, California *
410. Santee city, California *
411. Saratoga city, California *
412. Seaside city, California *
413. Shasta County, California *
414. Simi Valley city, California *
415. Siskiyou County, California *
416. Solano County, California *
417. Sonoma County, California *
418. South Gate city, California *
419. South San Francisco city, California *
420. Stanislaus County, California *
421. Stanton city, California *
422. Stockton city, California *
423. Sunnyvale city, California *
424. Sutter County, California *
425. Tehama County, California *
426. Temecula city, California *
427. Temple City city, California *
428. Thousand Oaks city, California *
429. Torrance city, California *
430. Tracy city, California *
431. Tulare city, California *
432. Tulare County, California *
433. Tuolumne County, California *

434. Turlock city, California *
435. Tustin city, California *
436. Union City city, California *
437. Upland city, California *
438. Vacaville city, California *
439. Vallejo city, California *
440. Ventura County, California *
441. Victorville city, California *
442. Visalia city, California *
443. Vista city, California *
444. Walnut Creek city, California *
445. Watsonville city, California *
446. West Covina city, California *
447. West Hollywood city, California *
448. West Sacramento city, California *
449. Westminster city, California *
450. Whittier city, California *
451. Wildomar city, California *
452. Woodland city, California *
453. Yolo County, California *
454. Yorba Linda city, California *
455. Yuba City city, California *
456. Yuba County, California *
457. Yucaipa city, California *
458. Agoura Hills city, California
459. Albany city, California
460. American Canyon city, California
461. Anderson city, California
462. Arcata city, California
463. Arroyo Grande city, California
464. Artesia city, California
465. Arvin city, California
466. Atwater city, California
467. Auburn city, California
468. Avenal city, California
469. Barstow city, California
470. Belmont city, California
471. Benicia city, California
472. Blythe city, California
473. Brawley city, California
474. Calabasas city, California
475. California City city, California
476. Canyon Lake city, California
477. Capitola city, California
478. Carpinteria city, California
479. Chowchilla city, California
480. Clayton city, California
481. Clearlake city, California
482. Coalinga city, California
483. Colusa County, California
484. Commerce city, California
485. Corcoran city, California
486. Coronado city, California
487. Cudahy city, California
488. Del Norte County, California
489. Desert Hot Springs city, California
490. Dinuba city, California
491. Dixon city, California
492. Duarte city, California
493. East Palo Alto city, California
494. El Cerrito city, California
495. El Segundo city, California
496. Emeryville city, California
497. Eureka city, California
498. Exeter city, California
499. Farmersville city, California
500. Fillmore city, California
501. Fortuna city, California
502. Galt city, California
503. Glenn County, California
504. Grand Terrace city, California
505. Grass Valley city, California
506. Greenfield city, California
507. Grover Beach city, California
508. Half Moon Bay city, California
509. Hawaiian Gardens city, California
510. Healdsburg city, California
511. Hercules city, California
512. Hermosa Beach city, California
513. Hillsborough town, California
514. Imperial Beach city, California
515. Imperial city, California
516. Inyo County, California
517. Kerman city, California
518. King City city, California
519. Kingsburg city, California
520. La Cañada Flintridge city, California
521. La Palma city, California
522. Lafayette city, California
523. Laguna Beach city, California
524. Laguna Woods city, California
525. Larkspur city, California
526. Lathrop city, California
527. Lemon Grove city, California
528. Lemoore city, California
529. Lindsay city, California
530. Livingston city, California
531. Loma Linda city, California
532. Lomita city, California
533. Los Alamitos city, California
534. Malibu city, California
535. Marina city, California
536. Mariposa County, California
537. Marysville city, California
538. Maywood city, California
539. McFarland city, California
540. Mendota city, California
541. Mill Valley city, California
542. Millbrae city, California
543. Mono County, California
544. Monterey city, California
545. Moraga town, California

546. Morro Bay city, California
547. Newman city, California
548. Norco city, California
549. Oakdale city, California
550. Orange Cove city, California
551. Orinda city, California
552. Oroville city, California
553. Pacific Grove city, California
554. Palos Verdes Estates city, California
555. Parlier city, California
556. Patterson city, California
557. Piedmont city, California
558. Pinole city, California
559. Placerville city, California
560. Plumas County, California
561. Port Hueneme city, California
562. Rancho Mirage city, California
563. Red Bluff city, California
564. Reedley city, California
565. Ridgecrest city, California
566. Ripon city, California
567. Riverbank city, California
568. San Anselmo town, California
569. San Fernando city, California
570. San Marino city, California
571. Sanger city, California
572. Santa Fe Springs city, California
573. Santa Paula city, California
574. Scotts Valley city, California
575. Seal Beach city, California
576. Selma city, California
577. Shafter city, California
578. Shasta Lake city, California
579. Sierra Madre city, California
580. Signal Hill city, California
581. Solana Beach city, California
582. Soledad city, California
583. Sonoma city, California
584. South El Monte city, California
585. South Lake Tahoe city, California
586. South Pasadena city, California
587. Suisun City city, California
588. Susanville city, California
589. Tehachapi city, California
590. Trinity County, California
591. Truckee town, California
592. Twentynine Palms city, California
593. Ukiah city, California
594. Walnut city, California
595. Wasco city, California
596. Windsor town, California
597. Yucca Valley town, California
598. Adams County, Colorado *
599. Arapahoe County, Colorado *
600. Arvada city, Colorado *
601. Aurora city, Colorado *
602. Boulder city, Colorado *
603. Boulder County, Colorado *
604. Brighton city, Colorado *
605. Broomfield city / Broomfield County, Colorado *
606. Castle Rock town, Colorado *
607. Centennial city, Colorado *
608. Colorado Springs city, Colorado *
609. Commerce City city, Colorado *
610. Delta County, Colorado *
611. Denver city / Denver County, Colorado *
612. Douglas County, Colorado *
613. Eagle County, Colorado *
614. El Paso County, Colorado *
615. Englewood city, Colorado *
616. Fort Collins city, Colorado *
617. Fountain city, Colorado *
618. Fremont County, Colorado *
619. Garfield County, Colorado *
620. Grand Junction city, Colorado *
621. Greeley city, Colorado *
622. Jefferson County, Colorado *
623. La Plata County, Colorado *
624. Lafayette city, Colorado *
625. Lakewood city, Colorado *
626. Larimer County, Colorado *
627. Littleton city, Colorado *
628. Longmont city, Colorado *
629. Loveland city, Colorado *
630. Mesa County, Colorado *
631. Montrose County, Colorado *
632. Northglenn city, Colorado *
633. Parker town, Colorado *
634. Pueblo city, Colorado *
635. Pueblo County, Colorado *
636. Summit County, Colorado *
637. Thornton city, Colorado *
638. Weld County, Colorado *
639. Westminster city, Colorado *
640. Wheat Ridge city, Colorado *
641. Windsor town, Colorado *
642. Alamosa County, Colorado
643. Archuleta County, Colorado
644. Cañon City city, Colorado
645. Castle Pines city, Colorado
646. Chaffee County, Colorado
647. Durango city, Colorado
648. Elbert County, Colorado
649. Erie town, Colorado
650. Evans city, Colorado
651. Federal Heights city, Colorado
652. Firestone town, Colorado
653. Fort Morgan city, Colorado
654. Frederick town, Colorado
655. Fruita city, Colorado
656. Golden city, Colorado

657. Grand County, Colorado
658. Greenwood Village city, Colorado
659. Gunnison County, Colorado
660. Johnstown town, Colorado
661. Las Animas County, Colorado
662. Logan County, Colorado
663. Lone Tree city, Colorado
664. Louisville city, Colorado
665. Moffat County, Colorado
666. Montezuma County, Colorado
667. Montrose city, Colorado
668. Morgan County, Colorado
669. Otero County, Colorado
670. Park County, Colorado
671. Pitkin County, Colorado
672. Prowers County, Colorado
673. Rio Grande County, Colorado
674. Routt County, Colorado
675. Steamboat Springs city, Colorado
676. Sterling city, Colorado
677. Superior town, Colorado
678. Teller County, Colorado
679. Wellington town, Colorado
680. Yuma County, Colorado
681. Bridgeport town / Bridgeport city, Connecticut *
682. Bristol city / Bristol town, Connecticut *
683. Danbury city / Danbury town, Connecticut *
684. East Hartford town, Connecticut *
685. Enfield town, Connecticut *
686. Fairfield town, Connecticut *
687. Glastonbury town, Connecticut *
688. Greenwich town, Connecticut *
689. Groton town, Connecticut *
690. Hamden town, Connecticut *
691. Hartford city / Hartford town, Connecticut *
692. Manchester town, Connecticut *
693. Meriden city / Meriden town, Connecticut *
694. Middletown city / Middletown town, Connecticut *
695. Milford city, Connecticut *
696. Milford town, Connecticut *
697. Naugatuck borough / Naugatuck town, Connecticut *
698. New Britain town / New Britain city, Connecticut *
699. New Haven town / New Haven city, Connecticut *
700. Newington town, Connecticut *
701. Norwalk city / Norwalk town, Connecticut *
702. Norwich city / Norwich town, Connecticut *
703. Shelton city / Shelton town, Connecticut *
704. Southington town, Connecticut *
705. Stamford city / Stamford town, Connecticut *
706. Stratford town, Connecticut *
707. Torrington city / Torrington town, Connecticut *
708. Trumbull town, Connecticut *
709. Wallingford town, Connecticut *
710. Waterbury city / Waterbury town, Connecticut *
711. West Hartford town, Connecticut *
712. West Haven city / West Haven town, Connecticut *
713. Ansonia town / Ansonia city, Connecticut
714. Avon town, Connecticut
715. Berlin town, Connecticut
716. Bethel town, Connecticut
717. Bloomfield town, Connecticut
718. Branford town, Connecticut
719. Brookfield town, Connecticut
720. Canton town, Connecticut
721. Cheshire town, Connecticut
722. Clinton town, Connecticut
723. Colchester town, Connecticut
724. Coventry town, Connecticut
725. Cromwell town, Connecticut
726. Darien town, Connecticut
727. Derby city / Derby town, Connecticut
728. East Hampton town, Connecticut
729. East Haven town, Connecticut
730. East Lyme town, Connecticut
731. East Windsor town, Connecticut
732. Ellington town, Connecticut
733. Farmington town, Connecticut
734. Granby town, Connecticut
735. Griswold town, Connecticut
736. Guilford town, Connecticut
737. Killingly town, Connecticut
738. Ledyard town, Connecticut
739. Madison town, Connecticut
740. Mansfield town, Connecticut
741. Monroe town, Connecticut
742. Montville town, Connecticut
743. New Canaan town, Connecticut
744. New Fairfield town, Connecticut
745. New London city / New London town, Connecticut
746. New Milford town, Connecticut
747. Newtown town, Connecticut
748. North Branford town, Connecticut
749. North Haven town, Connecticut
750. Old Saybrook town, Connecticut
751. Orange town, Connecticut
752. Oxford town, Connecticut
753. Plainfield town, Connecticut
754. Plainville town, Connecticut
755. Plymouth town, Connecticut
756. Ridgefield town, Connecticut
757. Rocky Hill town, Connecticut
758. Seymour town, Connecticut

759. Simsbury town, Connecticut
760. Somers town, Connecticut
761. South Windsor town, Connecticut
762. Southbury town, Connecticut
763. Stafford town, Connecticut
764. Stonington town, Connecticut
765. Suffield town, Connecticut
766. Tolland town, Connecticut
767. Vernon town, Connecticut
768. Waterford town, Connecticut
769. Watertown town, Connecticut
770. Weston town, Connecticut
771. Westport town, Connecticut
772. Wethersfield town, Connecticut
773. Wilton town, Connecticut
774. Winchester town, Connecticut
775. Windham town, Connecticut
776. Windsor Locks town, Connecticut
777. Windsor town, Connecticut
778. Wolcott town, Connecticut
779. Dover city, Delaware *
780. Kent County, Delaware *
781. New Castle County, Delaware *
782. Newark city, Delaware *
783. Sussex County, Delaware *
784. Wilmington city, Delaware *
785. Middletown town, Delaware
786. Milford city, Delaware
787. Smyrna town, Delaware
788. Alachua County, Florida *
789. Altamonte Springs city, Florida *
790. Apopka city, Florida *
791. Aventura city, Florida *
792. Bay County, Florida *
793. Boca Raton city, Florida *
794. Bonita Springs city, Florida *
795. Boynton Beach city, Florida *
796. Bradenton city, Florida *
797. Brevard County, Florida *
798. Broward County, Florida *
799. Cape Coral city, Florida *
800. Charlotte County, Florida *
801. Citrus County, Florida *
802. Clay County, Florida *
803. Clearwater city, Florida *
804. Clermont city, Florida *
805. Coconut Creek city, Florida *
806. Collier County, Florida *
807. Columbia County, Florida *
808. Cooper City city, Florida *
809. Coral Gables city, Florida *
810. Coral Springs city, Florida *
811. Cutler Bay town, Florida *
812. Dania Beach city, Florida *
813. Davie town, Florida *
814. Daytona Beach city, Florida *
815. Deerfield Beach city, Florida *
816. DeLand city, Florida *
817. Delray Beach city, Florida *
818. Deltona city, Florida *
819. DeSoto County, Florida *
820. Doral city, Florida *
821. Dunedin city, Florida *
822. Duval County / Jacksonville city, Florida *
823. Escambia County, Florida *
824. Estero village, Florida *
825. Flagler County, Florida *
826. Fort Lauderdale city, Florida *
827. Fort Myers city, Florida *
828. Fort Pierce city, Florida *
829. Gadsden County, Florida *
830. Gainesville city, Florida *
831. Greenacres city, Florida *
832. Hallandale Beach city, Florida *
833. Hendry County, Florida *
834. Hernando County, Florida *
835. Hialeah city, Florida *
836. Highlands County, Florida *
837. Hillsborough County, Florida *
838. Hollywood city, Florida *
839. Homestead city, Florida *
840. Indian River County, Florida *
841. Jackson County, Florida *
842. Jupiter town, Florida *
843. Kissimmee city, Florida *
844. Lake County, Florida *
845. Lake Worth city, Florida *
846. Lakeland city, Florida *
847. Largo city, Florida *
848. Lauderdale Lakes city, Florida *
849. Lauderhill city, Florida *
850. Lee County, Florida *
851. Leon County, Florida *
852. Levy County, Florida *
853. Manatee County, Florida *
854. Margate city, Florida *
855. Marion County, Florida *
856. Martin County, Florida *
857. Melbourne city, Florida *
858. Miami Beach city, Florida *
859. Miami city, Florida *
860. Miami Gardens city, Florida *
861. Miami Lakes town, Florida *
862. Miami-Dade County, Florida *
863. Miramar city, Florida *
864. Monroe County, Florida *
865. Nassau County, Florida *
866. North Lauderdale city, Florida *
867. North Miami Beach city, Florida *
868. North Miami city, Florida *
869. North Port city, Florida *
870. Oakland Park city, Florida *

871. Ocala city, Florida *
872. Ocoee city, Florida *
873. Okaloosa County, Florida *
874. Okeechobee County, Florida *
875. Orange County, Florida *
876. Orlando city, Florida *
877. Ormond Beach city, Florida *
878. Osceola County, Florida *
879. Oviedo city, Florida *
880. Palm Bay city, Florida *
881. Palm Beach County, Florida *
882. Palm Beach Gardens city, Florida *
883. Palm Coast city, Florida *
884. Panama City city, Florida *
885. Parkland city, Florida *
886. Pasco County, Florida *
887. Pembroke Pines city, Florida *
888. Pensacola city, Florida *
889. Pinellas County, Florida *
890. Pinellas Park city, Florida *
891. Plant City city, Florida *
892. Plantation city, Florida *
893. Polk County, Florida *
894. Pompano Beach city, Florida *
895. Port Orange city, Florida *
896. Port St. Lucie city, Florida *
897. Putnam County, Florida *
898. Riviera Beach city, Florida *
899. Royal Palm Beach village, Florida *
900. Sanford city, Florida *
901. Santa Rosa County, Florida *
902. Sarasota city, Florida *
903. Sarasota County, Florida *
904. Seminole County, Florida *
905. St. Cloud city, Florida *
906. St. Johns County, Florida *
907. St. Lucie County, Florida *
908. St. Petersburg city, Florida *
909. Sumter County, Florida *
910. Sunrise city, Florida *
911. Suwannee County, Florida *
912. Tallahassee city, Florida *
913. Tamarac city, Florida *
914. Tampa city, Florida *
915. Titusville city, Florida *
916. Volusia County, Florida *
917. Wakulla County, Florida *
918. Walton County, Florida *
919. Wellington village, Florida *
920. West Palm Beach city, Florida *
921. Weston city, Florida *
922. Winter Garden city, Florida *
923. Winter Haven city, Florida *
924. Winter Park city, Florida *
925. Winter Springs city, Florida *
926. Atlantic Beach city, Florida
927. Auburndale city, Florida
928. Avon Park city, Florida
929. Baker County, Florida
930. Bartow city, Florida
931. Belle Glade city, Florida
932. Bradford County, Florida
933. Calhoun County, Florida
934. Callaway city, Florida
935. Cape Canaveral city, Florida
936. Casselberry city, Florida
937. Cocoa Beach city, Florida
938. Cocoa city, Florida
939. Crestview city, Florida
940. DeBary city, Florida
941. Destin city, Florida
942. Dixie County, Florida
943. Edgewater city, Florida
944. Eustis city, Florida
945. Fernandina Beach city, Florida
946. Florida City city, Florida
947. Fort Walton Beach city, Florida
948. Franklin County, Florida
949. Fruitland Park city, Florida
950. Gilchrist County, Florida
951. Glades County, Florida
952. Groveland city, Florida
953. Gulf County, Florida
954. Gulfport city, Florida
955. Haines City city, Florida
956. Hamilton County, Florida
957. Hardee County, Florida
958. Hialeah Gardens city, Florida
959. Holly Hill city, Florida
960. Holmes County, Florida
961. Jacksonville Beach city, Florida
962. Jefferson County, Florida
963. Key Biscayne village, Florida
964. Key West city, Florida
965. Lady Lake town, Florida
966. Lake City city, Florida
967. Lake Mary city, Florida
968. Lake Wales city, Florida
969. Lantana town, Florida
970. Leesburg city, Florida
971. Lighthouse Point city, Florida
972. Longwood city, Florida
973. Lynn Haven city, Florida
974. Madison County, Florida
975. Maitland city, Florida
976. Marco Island city, Florida
977. Miami Shores village, Florida
978. Miami Springs city, Florida
979. Milton city, Florida
980. Minneola city, Florida
981. Mount Dora city, Florida
982. Naples city, Florida

983. New Port Richey city, Florida
984. New Smyrna Beach city, Florida
985. Niceville city, Florida
986. North Palm Beach village, Florida
987. Oldsmar city, Florida
988. Opa-locka city, Florida
989. Orange City city, Florida
990. Palatka city, Florida
991. Palm Springs village, Florida
992. Palmetto Bay village, Florida
993. Palmetto city, Florida
994. Panama City Beach city, Florida
995. Pinecrest village, Florida
996. Punta Gorda city, Florida
997. Rockledge city, Florida
998. Safety Harbor city, Florida
999. Satellite Beach city, Florida
1000. Sebastian city, Florida
1001. Sebring city, Florida
1002. Seminole city, Florida
1003. South Daytona city, Florida
1004. South Miami city, Florida
1005. St. Augustine city, Florida
1006. Stuart city, Florida
1007. Sunny Isles Beach city, Florida
1008. Sweetwater city, Florida
1009. Tarpon Springs city, Florida
1010. Tavares city, Florida
1011. Taylor County, Florida
1012. Temple Terrace city, Florida
1013. Union County, Florida
1014. Venice city, Florida
1015. Vero Beach city, Florida
1016. Washington County, Florida
1017. West Melbourne city, Florida
1018. West Park city, Florida
1019. Wilton Manors city, Florida
1020. Zephyrhills city, Florida
1021. Hawaii County, Hawaii *
1022. Honolulu County / Honolulu city, Hawaii *
1023. Kauai County, Hawaii *
1024. Maui County, Hawaii *
1025. Ada County, Idaho *
1026. Bannock County, Idaho *
1027. Bingham County, Idaho *
1028. Boise City city, Idaho *
1029. Bonner County, Idaho *
1030. Bonneville County, Idaho *
1031. Caldwell city, Idaho *
1032. Canyon County, Idaho *
1033. Coeur d'Alene city, Idaho *
1034. Idaho Falls city, Idaho *
1035. Kootenai County, Idaho *
1036. Latah County, Idaho *
1037. Lewiston city, Idaho *
1038. Madison County, Idaho *
1039. Meridian city, Idaho *
1040. Nampa city, Idaho *
1041. Nez Perce County, Idaho *
1042. Pocatello city, Idaho *
1043. Post Falls city, Idaho *
1044. Twin Falls city, Idaho *
1045. Twin Falls County, Idaho *
1046. Ammon city, Idaho
1047. Blackfoot city, Idaho
1048. Blaine County, Idaho
1049. Boundary County, Idaho
1050. Burley city, Idaho
1051. Cassia County, Idaho
1052. Chubbuck city, Idaho
1053. Eagle city, Idaho
1054. Elmore County, Idaho
1055. Franklin County, Idaho
1056. Fremont County, Idaho
1057. Garden City city, Idaho
1058. Gem County, Idaho
1059. Gooding County, Idaho
1060. Hayden city, Idaho
1061. Idaho County, Idaho
1062. Jefferson County, Idaho
1063. Jerome city, Idaho
1064. Jerome County, Idaho
1065. Kuna city, Idaho
1066. Minidoka County, Idaho
1067. Moscow city, Idaho
1068. Mountain Home city, Idaho
1069. Owyhee County, Idaho
1070. Payette County, Idaho
1071. Rexburg city, Idaho
1072. Shoshone County, Idaho
1073. Star city, Idaho
1074. Teton County, Idaho
1075. Valley County, Idaho
1076. Washington County, Idaho
1077. Adams County, Illinois *
1078. Addison township, Illinois *
1079. Addison village, Illinois *
1080. Algonquin township, Illinois *
1081. Algonquin village, Illinois *
1082. Arlington Heights village, Illinois *
1083. Aurora city, Illinois *
1084. Aurora township, Illinois *
1085. Avon township, Illinois *
1086. Bartlett village, Illinois *
1087. Batavia township, Illinois *
1088. Belleville city, Illinois *
1089. Berwyn city, Illinois *
1090. Berwyn township, Illinois *
1091. Bloom township, Illinois *
1092. Bloomingdale township, Illinois *
1093. Bloomington city, Illinois *
1094. Bloomington City township, Illinois *

1095. Bolingbrook village, Illinois *
1096. Boone County, Illinois *
1097. Bourbonnais township, Illinois *
1098. Bremen township, Illinois *
1099. Bristol township, Illinois *
1100. Buffalo Grove village, Illinois *
1101. Bureau County, Illinois *
1102. Calumet City city, Illinois *
1103. Capital township, Illinois *
1104. Carol Stream village, Illinois *
1105. Carpentersville village, Illinois *
1106. Caseyville township, Illinois *
1107. Champaign city, Illinois *
1108. Champaign City township, Illinois *
1109. Champaign County, Illinois *
1110. Chicago city, Illinois *
1111. Christian County, Illinois *
1112. Cicero town / Cicero township, Illinois *
1113. Clinton County, Illinois *
1114. Coles County, Illinois *
1115. Collinsville township, Illinois *
1116. Cook County, Illinois *
1117. Crystal Lake city, Illinois *
1118. Cunningham township, Illinois *
1119. Danville city, Illinois *
1120. Decatur city, Illinois *
1121. Decatur township, Illinois *
1122. DeKalb city, Illinois *
1123. DeKalb County, Illinois *
1124. DeKalb township, Illinois *
1125. Des Plaines city, Illinois *
1126. Downers Grove township, Illinois *
1127. Downers Grove village, Illinois *
1128. Du Page township, Illinois *
1129. Dundee township, Illinois *
1130. DuPage County, Illinois *
1131. Edwardsville township, Illinois *
1132. Effingham County, Illinois *
1133. Ela township, Illinois *
1134. Elgin city, Illinois *
1135. Elgin township, Illinois *
1136. Elk Grove township, Illinois *
1137. Elk Grove Village village, Illinois *
1138. Elmhurst city, Illinois *
1139. Evanston city, Illinois *
1140. Frankfort township, Illinois *
1141. Franklin County, Illinois *
1142. Fremont township, Illinois *
1143. Fulton County, Illinois *
1144. Galesburg city, Illinois *
1145. Galesburg City township, Illinois *
1146. Glendale Heights village, Illinois *
1147. Glenview village, Illinois *
1148. Grafton township, Illinois *
1149. Grundy County, Illinois *
1150. Gurnee village, Illinois *
1151. Hanover Park village, Illinois *
1152. Hanover township, Illinois *
1153. Harlem township, Illinois *
1154. Henry County, Illinois *
1155. Hoffman Estates village, Illinois *
1156. Homer township, Illinois *
1157. Jackson County, Illinois *
1158. Jefferson County, Illinois *
1159. Joliet city, Illinois *
1160. Joliet township, Illinois *
1161. Kane County, Illinois *
1162. Kankakee County, Illinois *
1163. Kendall County, Illinois *
1164. Knox County, Illinois *
1165. Lake County, Illinois *
1166. Lake Villa township, Illinois *
1167. LaSalle County, Illinois *
1168. Lee County, Illinois *
1169. Leyden township, Illinois *
1170. Libertyville township, Illinois *
1171. Lisle township, Illinois *
1172. Livingston County, Illinois *
1173. Lockport township, Illinois *
1174. Lombard village, Illinois *
1175. Lyons township, Illinois *
1176. Macon County, Illinois *
1177. Macoupin County, Illinois *
1178. Madison County, Illinois *
1179. Maine township, Illinois *
1180. Marion County, Illinois *
1181. McHenry County, Illinois *
1182. McHenry township, Illinois *
1183. McLean County, Illinois *
1184. Milton township, Illinois *
1185. Moline city, Illinois *
1186. Monroe County, Illinois *
1187. Moraine township, Illinois *
1188. Morgan County, Illinois *
1189. Mount Prospect village, Illinois *
1190. Mundelein village, Illinois *
1191. Naperville city, Illinois *
1192. Naperville township, Illinois *
1193. New Lenox township, Illinois *
1194. New Trier township, Illinois *
1195. Niles township, Illinois *
1196. Normal town, Illinois *
1197. Normal township, Illinois *
1198. Northbrook village, Illinois *
1199. Northfield township, Illinois *
1200. Nunda township, Illinois *
1201. Oak Lawn village, Illinois *
1202. Oak Park township, Illinois *
1203. Oak Park village, Illinois *
1204. Ogle County, Illinois *
1205. Orland Park village, Illinois *
1206. Orland township, Illinois *

1207. Oswego township, Illinois *
1208. Oswego village, Illinois *
1209. Palatine township, Illinois *
1210. Palatine village, Illinois *
1211. Palos township, Illinois *
1212. Park Ridge city, Illinois *
1213. Pekin city, Illinois *
1214. Peoria city, Illinois *
1215. Peoria City township, Illinois *
1216. Peoria County, Illinois *
1217. Plainfield township, Illinois *
1218. Plainfield village, Illinois *
1219. Proviso township, Illinois *
1220. Quincy city, Illinois *
1221. Quincy township, Illinois *
1222. Randolph County, Illinois *
1223. Rich township, Illinois *
1224. Rock Island city, Illinois *
1225. Rock Island County, Illinois *
1226. Rockford city, Illinois *
1227. Rockford township, Illinois *
1228. Romeoville village, Illinois *
1229. Sangamon County, Illinois *
1230. Schaumburg township, Illinois *
1231. Schaumburg village, Illinois *
1232. Shields township, Illinois *
1233. Skokie village, Illinois *
1234. South Moline township, Illinois *
1235. Springfield city, Illinois *
1236. St. Charles city, Illinois *
1237. St. Charles township, Illinois *
1238. St. Clair County, Illinois *
1239. St. Clair township, Illinois *
1240. Stephenson County, Illinois *
1241. Stickney township, Illinois *
1242. Streamwood village, Illinois *
1243. Tazewell County, Illinois *
1244. Thornton township, Illinois *
1245. Tinley Park village, Illinois *
1246. Troy township, Illinois *
1247. Urbana city, Illinois *
1248. Vermilion County, Illinois *
1249. Vernon township, Illinois *
1250. Warren township, Illinois *
1251. Waukegan city, Illinois *
1252. Waukegan township, Illinois *
1253. Wayne township, Illinois *
1254. West Deerfield township, Illinois *
1255. Wheatland township, Illinois *
1256. Wheaton city, Illinois *
1257. Wheeling township, Illinois *
1258. Wheeling village, Illinois *
1259. Whiteside County, Illinois *
1260. Will County, Illinois *
1261. Williamson County, Illinois *
1262. Winfield township, Illinois *
1263. Winnebago County, Illinois *
1264. Wood River township, Illinois *
1265. Woodford County, Illinois *
1266. Woodridge village, Illinois *
1267. Worth township, Illinois *
1268. York township, Illinois *
1269. Alsip village, Illinois
1270. Alton city, Illinois
1271. Alton township, Illinois
1272. Antioch township, Illinois
1273. Antioch village, Illinois
1274. Aux Sable township, Illinois
1275. Barrington township, Illinois
1276. Barrington village, Illinois
1277. Batavia city, Illinois
1278. Beach Park village, Illinois
1279. Bellwood village, Illinois
1280. Belvidere city, Illinois
1281. Belvidere township, Illinois
1282. Bensenville village, Illinois
1283. Benton township, Illinois
1284. Blackberry township, Illinois
1285. Bloomingdale village, Illinois
1286. Blue Island city, Illinois
1287. Bond County, Illinois
1288. Bourbonnais village, Illinois
1289. Bradley village, Illinois
1290. Bridgeview village, Illinois
1291. Brookfield village, Illinois
1292. Bruce township, Illinois
1293. Burbank city, Illinois
1294. Burr Ridge village, Illinois
1295. Cahokia village, Illinois
1296. Calumet township, Illinois
1297. Campton Hills village, Illinois
1298. Campton township, Illinois
1299. Canton city, Illinois
1300. Canton township, Illinois
1301. Carbondale city, Illinois
1302. Carbondale township, Illinois
1303. Carroll County, Illinois
1304. Cary village, Illinois
1305. Cass County, Illinois
1306. Centralia city, Illinois
1307. Centralia township, Illinois
1308. Centreville township, Illinois
1309. Champaign township, Illinois
1310. Channahon township, Illinois
1311. Channahon village, Illinois
1312. Charleston city, Illinois
1313. Charleston township, Illinois
1314. Chatham village, Illinois
1315. Cherry Valley township, Illinois
1316. Chicago Heights city, Illinois
1317. Chicago Ridge village, Illinois
1318. Clark County, Illinois

1319. Clay County, Illinois
1320. Collinsville city, Illinois
1321. Coloma township, Illinois
1322. Columbia city, Illinois
1323. Cortland township, Illinois
1324. Country Club Hills city, Illinois
1325. Crawford County, Illinois
1326. Crest Hill city, Illinois
1327. Crestwood village, Illinois
1328. Crete township, Illinois
1329. Cuba township, Illinois
1330. Cumberland County, Illinois
1331. Danville township, Illinois
1332. Darien city, Illinois
1333. De Witt County, Illinois
1334. Deerfield village, Illinois
1335. Dixon city, Illinois
1336. Dixon township, Illinois
1337. Dolton village, Illinois
1338. Dorr township, Illinois
1339. Douglas County, Illinois
1340. Douglas township, Illinois
1341. East Moline city, Illinois
1342. East Peoria city, Illinois
1343. East St. Louis city, Illinois
1344. East St. Louis township, Illinois
1345. Edgar County, Illinois
1346. Edwardsville city, Illinois
1347. Effingham city, Illinois
1348. Elmwood Park village, Illinois
1349. Evergreen Park village, Illinois
1350. Fairview Heights city, Illinois
1351. Fayette County, Illinois
1352. Flagg township, Illinois
1353. Fondulac township, Illinois
1354. Ford County, Illinois
1355. Forest Park village, Illinois
1356. Fox Lake village, Illinois
1357. Frankfort village, Illinois
1358. Franklin Park village, Illinois
1359. Freeport city, Illinois
1360. Freeport township, Illinois
1361. Geneva city, Illinois
1362. Geneva township, Illinois
1363. Glen Carbon village, Illinois
1364. Glen Ellyn village, Illinois
1365. Godfrey township, Illinois
1366. Godfrey village, Illinois
1367. Granite City city, Illinois
1368. Granite City township, Illinois
1369. Grant township, Illinois
1370. Grayslake village, Illinois
1371. Greene County, Illinois
1372. Greenwood township, Illinois
1373. Groveland township, Illinois
1374. Hampton township, Illinois
1375. Hancock County, Illinois
1376. Harrisburg township, Illinois
1377. Harvey city, Illinois
1378. Hazel Crest village, Illinois
1379. Herrin city, Illinois
1380. Hickory Hills city, Illinois
1381. Hickory Point township, Illinois
1382. Highland Park city, Illinois
1383. Hinsdale village, Illinois
1384. Homer Glen village, Illinois
1385. Homewood village, Illinois
1386. Huntley village, Illinois
1387. Iroquois County, Illinois
1388. Jacksonville city, Illinois
1389. Jarvis township, Illinois
1390. Jersey County, Illinois
1391. Jo Daviess County, Illinois
1392. Johnson County, Illinois
1393. Justice village, Illinois
1394. Kankakee city, Illinois
1395. Kankakee township, Illinois
1396. Kewanee city, Illinois
1397. La Grange Park village, Illinois
1398. La Grange village, Illinois
1399. Lake Forest city, Illinois
1400. Lake in the Hills village, Illinois
1401. Lake Zurich village, Illinois
1402. Lansing village, Illinois
1403. LaSalle township, Illinois
1404. Lawrence County, Illinois
1405. Lemont township, Illinois
1406. Lemont village, Illinois
1407. Libertyville village, Illinois
1408. Limestone township, Illinois
1409. Lincoln city, Illinois
1410. Lincolnwood village, Illinois
1411. Lindenhurst village, Illinois
1412. Lisle village, Illinois
1413. Little Rock township, Illinois
1414. Lockport city, Illinois
1415. Logan County, Illinois
1416. Long Creek township, Illinois
1417. Loves Park city, Illinois
1418. Lyons village, Illinois
1419. Machesney Park village, Illinois
1420. Macomb city, Illinois
1421. Macomb City township, Illinois
1422. Mahomet township, Illinois
1423. Manhattan township, Illinois
1424. Manteno township, Illinois
1425. Marion city, Illinois
1426. Markham city, Illinois
1427. Marshall County, Illinois
1428. Mason County, Illinois
1429. Massac County, Illinois
1430. Matteson village, Illinois

1431. Mattoon city, Illinois
1432. Mattoon township, Illinois
1433. Maywood village, Illinois
1434. McDonough County, Illinois
1435. McHenry city, Illinois
1436. Medina township, Illinois
1437. Melrose Park village, Illinois
1438. Menard County, Illinois
1439. Mercer County, Illinois
1440. Midlothian village, Illinois
1441. Minooka village, Illinois
1442. Mokena village, Illinois
1443. Moline township, Illinois
1444. Monee township, Illinois
1445. Montgomery County, Illinois
1446. Montgomery village, Illinois
1447. Morris city, Illinois
1448. Morton Grove village, Illinois
1449. Morton township, Illinois
1450. Morton village, Illinois
1451. Moultrie County, Illinois
1452. Mount Vernon city, Illinois
1453. Mount Vernon township, Illinois
1454. Nameoki township, Illinois
1455. New Lenox village, Illinois
1456. Newell township, Illinois
1457. Niles village, Illinois
1458. Norridge village, Illinois
1459. North Aurora village, Illinois
1460. North Chicago city, Illinois
1461. Northlake city, Illinois
1462. Norwood Park township, Illinois
1463. O'Fallon city, Illinois
1464. O'Fallon township, Illinois
1465. Oak Forest city, Illinois
1466. Ottawa city, Illinois
1467. Ottawa township, Illinois
1468. Palos Heights city, Illinois
1469. Palos Hills city, Illinois
1470. Park Forest village, Illinois
1471. Pekin township, Illinois
1472. Perry County, Illinois
1473. Peru township, Illinois
1474. Piatt County, Illinois
1475. Pike County, Illinois
1476. Plano city, Illinois
1477. Pontiac city, Illinois
1478. Pontiac township, Illinois
1479. Prospect Heights city, Illinois
1480. Rantoul township, Illinois
1481. Rantoul village, Illinois
1482. Richland County, Illinois
1483. Richton Park village, Illinois
1484. River Forest township, Illinois
1485. River Forest village, Illinois
1486. Riverdale village, Illinois
1487. Riverside township, Illinois
1488. Rock Island township, Illinois
1489. Rockton township, Illinois
1490. Rolling Meadows city, Illinois
1491. Roscoe township, Illinois
1492. Roscoe village, Illinois
1493. Roselle village, Illinois
1494. Round Lake Beach village, Illinois
1495. Round Lake village, Illinois
1496. Rutland township, Illinois
1497. Saline County, Illinois
1498. Sauk Village village, Illinois
1499. Schiller Park village, Illinois
1500. Shelby County, Illinois
1501. Shiloh Valley township, Illinois
1502. Shiloh village, Illinois
1503. Shorewood village, Illinois
1504. South Elgin village, Illinois
1505. South Holland village, Illinois
1506. South Rock Island township, Illinois
1507. Sterling city, Illinois
1508. Sterling township, Illinois
1509. Streator city, Illinois
1510. Sugar Grove township, Illinois
1511. Summit village, Illinois
1512. Swansea village, Illinois
1513. Sycamore city, Illinois
1514. Sycamore township, Illinois
1515. Taylorville city, Illinois
1516. Taylorville township, Illinois
1517. Troy city, Illinois
1518. Union County, Illinois
1519. Vernon Hills village, Illinois
1520. Villa Park village, Illinois
1521. Wabash County, Illinois
1522. Warren County, Illinois
1523. Warrenville city, Illinois
1524. Washington city, Illinois
1525. Washington County, Illinois
1526. Washington township, Illinois
1527. Waterloo city, Illinois
1528. Wauconda township, Illinois
1529. Wauconda village, Illinois
1530. Wayne County, Illinois
1531. West Chicago city, Illinois
1532. Westchester village, Illinois
1533. Western Springs village, Illinois
1534. Westmont village, Illinois
1535. White County, Illinois
1536. Wilmette village, Illinois
1537. Winnetka village, Illinois
1538. Wood Dale city, Illinois
1539. Wood River city, Illinois
1540. Woodside township, Illinois
1541. Woodstock city, Illinois
1542. Worth village, Illinois

1543. Yorkville city, Illinois
1544. Zion city, Illinois
1545. Zion township, Illinois
1546. Aboite township, Indiana *
1547. Adams County, Indiana *
1548. Adams township, Indiana *
1549. Allen County, Indiana *
1550. Anderson city, Indiana *
1551. Anderson township, Indiana *
1552. Bartholomew County, Indiana *
1553. Bloomington city, Indiana *
1554. Bloomington township, Indiana *
1555. Boone County, Indiana *
1556. Calumet township, Indiana *
1557. Carmel city, Indiana *
1558. Cass County, Indiana *
1559. Center township, Indiana *
1560. Center township, Indiana *
1561. Center township, Indiana *
1562. Center township, Indiana *
1563. Center township, Indiana *
1564. Center township, Indiana *
1565. Clark County, Indiana *
1566. Clay township, Indiana *
1567. Clay township, Indiana *
1568. Clinton County, Indiana *
1569. Columbus city, Indiana *
1570. Columbus township, Indiana *
1571. Concord township, Indiana *
1572. Crown Point city, Indiana *
1573. Daviess County, Indiana *
1574. Dearborn County, Indiana *
1575. Decatur township, Indiana *
1576. DeKalb County, Indiana *
1577. Delaware County, Indiana *
1578. Delaware township, Indiana *
1579. Dubois County, Indiana *
1580. Elkhart city, Indiana *
1581. Elkhart County, Indiana *
1582. Elkhart township, Indiana *
1583. Evansville city, Indiana *
1584. Fairfield township, Indiana *
1585. Fall Creek township, Indiana *
1586. Fishers city, Indiana *
1587. Floyd County, Indiana *
1588. Fort Wayne city, Indiana *
1589. Franklin township, Indiana *
1590. Gary city, Indiana *
1591. Gibson County, Indiana *
1592. Goshen city, Indiana *
1593. Grant County, Indiana *
1594. Greene County, Indiana *
1595. Greenwood city, Indiana *
1596. Guilford township, Indiana *
1597. Hamilton County, Indiana *
1598. Hammond city, Indiana *
1599. Hancock County, Indiana *
1600. Harrison County, Indiana *
1601. Harrison township, Indiana *
1602. Hendricks County, Indiana *
1603. Henry County, Indiana *
1604. Hobart township, Indiana *
1605. Howard County, Indiana *
1606. Huntington County, Indiana *
1607. Jackson County, Indiana *
1608. Jasper County, Indiana *
1609. Jefferson County, Indiana *
1610. Jeffersonville city, Indiana *
1611. Jeffersonville township, Indiana *
1612. Johnson County, Indiana *
1613. Knight township, Indiana *
1614. Knox County, Indiana *
1615. Kokomo city, Indiana *
1616. Kosciusko County, Indiana *
1617. Lafayette city, Indiana *
1618. LaGrange County, Indiana *
1619. Lake County, Indiana *
1620. LaPorte County, Indiana *
1621. Lawrence city, Indiana *
1622. Lawrence County, Indiana *
1623. Lawrence township, Indiana *
1624. Lincoln township, Indiana *
1625. Madison County, Indiana *
1626. Marion County / Indianapolis city, Indiana *
1627. Marshall County, Indiana *
1628. Merrillville town, Indiana *
1629. Miami County, Indiana *
1630. Michigan City city, Indiana *
1631. Mishawaka city, Indiana *
1632. Monroe County, Indiana *
1633. Montgomery County, Indiana *
1634. Morgan County, Indiana *
1635. Muncie city, Indiana *
1636. New Albany city, Indiana *
1637. New Albany township, Indiana *
1638. Noble County, Indiana *
1639. Noblesville city, Indiana *
1640. Noblesville township, Indiana *
1641. North township, Indiana *
1642. Ohio township, Indiana *
1643. Penn township, Indiana *
1644. Perry township, Indiana *
1645. Perry township, Indiana *
1646. Perry township, Indiana *
1647. Pike township, Indiana *
1648. Plainfield town, Indiana *
1649. Pleasant township, Indiana *
1650. Portage city, Indiana *
1651. Portage township, Indiana *
1652. Portage township, Indiana *
1653. Porter County, Indiana *
1654. Putnam County, Indiana *

1655. Richmond city, Indiana *
1656. Ross township, Indiana *
1657. Shelby County, Indiana *
1658. South Bend city, Indiana *
1659. St. John township, Indiana *
1660. St. Joseph County, Indiana *
1661. St. Joseph township, Indiana *
1662. Steuben County, Indiana *
1663. Terre Haute city, Indiana *
1664. Tippecanoe County, Indiana *
1665. Valparaiso city, Indiana *
1666. Vanderburgh County, Indiana *
1667. Vigo County, Indiana *
1668. Wabash County, Indiana *
1669. Wabash township, Indiana *
1670. Warren township, Indiana *
1671. Warrick County, Indiana *
1672. Washington township, Indiana *
1673. Washington township, Indiana *
1674. Washington township, Indiana *
1675. Washington township, Indiana *
1676. Wayne County, Indiana *
1677. Wayne township, Indiana *
1678. Wayne township, Indiana *
1679. Wayne township, Indiana *
1680. Wea township, Indiana *
1681. West Lafayette city, Indiana *
1682. Westfield city, Indiana *
1683. White River township, Indiana *
1684. Whitley County, Indiana *
1685. Addison township, Indiana
1686. Auburn city, Indiana
1687. Avon town, Indiana
1688. Bainbridge township, Indiana
1689. Baugo township, Indiana
1690. Bedford city, Indiana
1691. Beech Grove city, Indiana
1692. Blackford County, Indiana
1693. Bluffton city, Indiana
1694. Boon township, Indiana
1695. Brown County, Indiana
1696. Brown township, Indiana
1697. Brown township, Indiana
1698. Brownsburg town, Indiana
1699. Carroll County, Indiana
1700. Cedar Creek township, Indiana
1701. Cedar Creek township, Indiana
1702. Cedar Lake town, Indiana
1703. Center township, Indiana
1704. Center township, Indiana
1705. Center township, Indiana
1706. Center township, Indiana
1707. Center township, Indiana
1708. Center township, Indiana
1709. Center township, Indiana
1710. Centre township, Indiana
1711. Charlestown township, Indiana
1712. Chesterton town, Indiana
1713. Clarksville town, Indiana
1714. Clay County, Indiana
1715. Cleveland township, Indiana
1716. Columbia township, Indiana
1717. Connersville city, Indiana
1718. Connersville township, Indiana
1719. Coolspring township, Indiana
1720. Crawford County, Indiana
1721. Crawfordsville city, Indiana
1722. Danville town, Indiana
1723. Decatur County, Indiana
1724. Dyer town, Indiana
1725. East Chicago city, Indiana
1726. Eel township, Indiana
1727. Fall Creek township, Indiana
1728. Fayette County, Indiana
1729. Fountain County, Indiana
1730. Frankfort city, Indiana
1731. Franklin city, Indiana
1732. Franklin County, Indiana
1733. Franklin township, Indiana
1734. Fulton County, Indiana
1735. Georgetown township, Indiana
1736. Greencastle city, Indiana
1737. Greencastle township, Indiana
1738. Greenfield city, Indiana
1739. Greensburg city, Indiana
1740. Griffith town, Indiana
1741. Hanover township, Indiana
1742. Harris township, Indiana
1743. Harrison township, Indiana
1744. Henry township, Indiana
1745. Highland town, Indiana
1746. Hobart city, Indiana
1747. Honey Creek township, Indiana
1748. Huntington city, Indiana
1749. Huntington township, Indiana
1750. Jackson township, Indiana
1751. Jackson township, Indiana
1752. Jasper city, Indiana
1753. Jay County, Indiana
1754. Jefferson township, Indiana
1755. Jennings County, Indiana
1756. Keener township, Indiana
1757. La Porte city, Indiana
1758. Lake Station city, Indiana
1759. Lawrenceburg township, Indiana
1760. Lebanon city, Indiana
1761. Logansport city, Indiana
1762. Lost Creek township, Indiana
1763. Madison city, Indiana
1764. Madison township, Indiana
1765. Marion city, Indiana
1766. Martin County, Indiana

1767. Martinsville city, Indiana
1768. Michigan township, Indiana
1769. Mill township, Indiana
1770. Munster town, Indiana
1771. New Castle city, Indiana
1772. New Haven city, Indiana
1773. Newton County, Indiana
1774. Noble township, Indiana
1775. Orange County, Indiana
1776. Osolo township, Indiana
1777. Owen County, Indiana
1778. Parke County, Indiana
1779. Patoka township, Indiana
1780. Perry County, Indiana
1781. Perry township, Indiana
1782. Peru city, Indiana
1783. Peru township, Indiana
1784. Pigeon township, Indiana
1785. Pike County, Indiana
1786. Pipe Creek township, Indiana
1787. Pleasant township, Indiana
1788. Posey County, Indiana
1789. Pulaski County, Indiana
1790. Randolph County, Indiana
1791. Richland township, Indiana
1792. Ripley County, Indiana
1793. Rush County, Indiana
1794. Schererville town, Indiana
1795. Scott County, Indiana
1796. Seymour city, Indiana
1797. Shawswick township, Indiana
1798. Shelbyville city, Indiana
1799. Silver Creek township, Indiana
1800. Speedway town, Indiana
1801. Spencer County, Indiana
1802. St. John town, Indiana
1803. Starke County, Indiana
1804. Sugar Creek township, Indiana
1805. Sullivan County, Indiana
1806. Switzerland County, Indiana
1807. Tipton County, Indiana
1808. Troy township, Indiana
1809. Union township, Indiana
1810. Union township, Indiana
1811. Van Buren township, Indiana
1812. Vermillion County, Indiana
1813. Vernon township, Indiana
1814. Vincennes city, Indiana
1815. Vincennes township, Indiana
1816. Warsaw city, Indiana
1817. Washington city, Indiana
1818. Washington County, Indiana
1819. Washington township, Indiana
1820. Washington township, Indiana
1821. Washington township, Indiana
1822. Washington township, Indiana
1823. Wayne township, Indiana
1824. Wayne township, Indiana
1825. Wells County, Indiana
1826. Westchester township, Indiana
1827. White County, Indiana
1828. Winfield township, Indiana
1829. Yorktown town, Indiana
1830. Zionsville town, Indiana
1831. Ames city, Iowa *
1832. Ankeny city, Iowa *
1833. Bettendorf city, Iowa *
1834. Black Hawk County, Iowa *
1835. Cedar Falls city, Iowa *
1836. Cedar Rapids city, Iowa *
1837. Cerro Gordo County, Iowa *
1838. Clinton County, Iowa *
1839. Council Bluffs city, Iowa *
1840. Dallas County, Iowa *
1841. Davenport city, Iowa *
1842. Des Moines city, Iowa *
1843. Des Moines County, Iowa *
1844. Dubuque city, Iowa *
1845. Dubuque County, Iowa *
1846. Iowa City city, Iowa *
1847. Jasper County, Iowa *
1848. Johnson County, Iowa *
1849. Lee County, Iowa *
1850. Linn County, Iowa *
1851. Marion city, Iowa *
1852. Marion County, Iowa *
1853. Marshall County, Iowa *
1854. Muscatine County, Iowa *
1855. Polk County, Iowa *
1856. Pottawattamie County, Iowa *
1857. Scott County, Iowa *
1858. Sioux City city, Iowa *
1859. Sioux County, Iowa *
1860. Story County, Iowa *
1861. Urbandale city, Iowa *
1862. Wapello County, Iowa *
1863. Warren County, Iowa *
1864. Waterloo city, Iowa *
1865. Webster County, Iowa *
1866. West Des Moines city, Iowa *
1867. Woodbury County, Iowa *
1868. Allamakee County, Iowa
1869. Altoona city, Iowa
1870. Appanoose County, Iowa
1871. Benton County, Iowa
1872. Boone city, Iowa
1873. Boone County, Iowa
1874. Bremer County, Iowa
1875. Buchanan County, Iowa
1876. Buena Vista County, Iowa
1877. Burlington city, Iowa
1878. Butler County, Iowa

1879. Carroll County, Iowa
1880. Cass County, Iowa
1881. Cedar County, Iowa
1882. Cherokee County, Iowa
1883. Chickasaw County, Iowa
1884. Clay County, Iowa
1885. Clayton County, Iowa
1886. Clinton city, Iowa
1887. Clive city, Iowa
1888. Coralville city, Iowa
1889. Crawford County, Iowa
1890. Delaware County, Iowa
1891. Dickinson County, Iowa
1892. Fairfield city, Iowa
1893. Fayette County, Iowa
1894. Floyd County, Iowa
1895. Fort Dodge city, Iowa
1896. Fort Madison city, Iowa
1897. Franklin County, Iowa
1898. Grimes city, Iowa
1899. Grundy County, Iowa
1900. Guthrie County, Iowa
1901. Hamilton County, Iowa
1902. Hancock County, Iowa
1903. Hardin County, Iowa
1904. Harrison County, Iowa
1905. Henry County, Iowa
1906. Indianola city, Iowa
1907. Iowa County, Iowa
1908. Jackson County, Iowa
1909. Jefferson County, Iowa
1910. Johnston city, Iowa
1911. Jones County, Iowa
1912. Keokuk city, Iowa
1913. Keokuk County, Iowa
1914. Kossuth County, Iowa
1915. Le Mars city, Iowa
1916. Louisa County, Iowa
1917. Lyon County, Iowa
1918. Madison County, Iowa
1919. Mahaska County, Iowa
1920. Marshalltown city, Iowa
1921. Mason City city, Iowa
1922. Mills County, Iowa
1923. Mitchell County, Iowa
1924. Muscatine city, Iowa
1925. Newton city, Iowa
1926. North Liberty city, Iowa
1927. Norwalk city, Iowa
1928. O'Brien County, Iowa
1929. Oskaloosa city, Iowa
1930. Ottumwa city, Iowa
1931. Page County, Iowa
1932. Pella city, Iowa
1933. Pleasant Hill city, Iowa
1934. Plymouth County, Iowa
1935. Poweshiek County, Iowa
1936. Shelby County, Iowa
1937. Spencer city, Iowa
1938. Storm Lake city, Iowa
1939. Tama County, Iowa
1940. Union County, Iowa
1941. Washington County, Iowa
1942. Waukee city, Iowa
1943. Waverly city, Iowa
1944. Winnebago County, Iowa
1945. Winneshiek County, Iowa
1946. Wright County, Iowa
1947. Acadia Parish, Louisiana *
1948. Alexandria city, Louisiana *
1949. Ascension Parish, Louisiana *
1950. Avoyelles Parish, Louisiana *
1951. Baton Rouge city, Louisiana *
1952. Beauregard Parish, Louisiana *
1953. Bossier City city, Louisiana *
1954. Bossier Parish, Louisiana *
1955. Caddo Parish, Louisiana *
1956. Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana *
1957. East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana *
1958. Evangeline Parish, Louisiana *
1959. Iberia Parish, Louisiana *
1960. Iberville Parish, Louisiana *
1961. Jefferson Davis Parish, Louisiana *
1962. Jefferson Parish, Louisiana *
1963. Kenner city, Louisiana *
1964. Lafayette city, Louisiana *
1965. Lafayette Parish, Louisiana *
1966. Lafourche Parish, Louisiana *
1967. Lake Charles city, Louisiana *
1968. Lincoln Parish, Louisiana *
1969. Livingston Parish, Louisiana *
1970. Monroe city, Louisiana *
1971. Natchitoches Parish, Louisiana *
1972. New Orleans city / Orleans Parish, Louisiana *
1973. Ouachita Parish, Louisiana *
1974. Rapides Parish, Louisiana *
1975. Shreveport city, Louisiana *
1976. St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana *
1977. St. Charles Parish, Louisiana *
1978. St. John the Baptist Parish, Louisiana *
1979. St. Landry Parish, Louisiana *
1980. St. Martin Parish, Louisiana *
1981. St. Mary Parish, Louisiana *
1982. St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana *
1983. Tangipahoa Parish, Louisiana *
1984. Terrebonne Parish / Houma city, Louisiana *
1985. Vermilion Parish, Louisiana *
1986. Vernon Parish, Louisiana *
1987. Washington Parish, Louisiana *
1988. Webster Parish, Louisiana *

1989. Abbeville city, Louisiana
1990. Allen Parish, Louisiana
1991. Assumption Parish, Louisiana
1992. Baker city, Louisiana
1993. Bastrop city, Louisiana
1994. Bienville Parish, Louisiana
1995. Bogalusa city, Louisiana
1996. Broussard city, Louisiana
1997. Central city, Louisiana
1998. Claiborne Parish, Louisiana
1999. Concordia Parish, Louisiana
2000. Covington city, Louisiana
2001. Crowley city, Louisiana
2002. De Soto Parish, Louisiana
2003. DeRidder city, Louisiana
2004. East Feliciana Parish, Louisiana
2005. Franklin Parish, Louisiana
2006. Gonzales city, Louisiana
2007. Grant Parish, Louisiana
2008. Gretna city, Louisiana
2009. Hammond city, Louisiana
2010. Jackson Parish, Louisiana
2011. LaSalle Parish, Louisiana
2012. Madison Parish, Louisiana
2013. Mandeville city, Louisiana
2014. Minden city, Louisiana
2015. Morehouse Parish, Louisiana
2016. Morgan City city, Louisiana
2017. Natchitoches city, Louisiana
2018. New Iberia city, Louisiana
2019. Opelousas city, Louisiana
2020. Pineville city, Louisiana
2021. Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana
2022. Pointe Coupee Parish, Louisiana
2023. Richland Parish, Louisiana
2024. Ruston city, Louisiana
2025. Sabine Parish, Louisiana
2026. Slidell city, Louisiana
2027. St. Helena Parish, Louisiana
2028. St. James Parish, Louisiana
2029. Sulphur city, Louisiana
2030. Thibodaux city, Louisiana
2031. Union Parish, Louisiana
2032. West Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana
2033. West Carroll Parish, Louisiana
2034. West Feliciana Parish, Louisiana
2035. West Monroe city, Louisiana
2036. Winn Parish, Louisiana
2037. Youngsville city, Louisiana
2038. Zachary city, Louisiana
2039. Androscoggin County, Maine *
2040. Aroostook County, Maine *
2041. Bangor city, Maine *
2042. Cumberland County, Maine *
2043. Franklin County, Maine *
2044. Hancock County, Maine *
2045. Kennebec County, Maine *
2046. Knox County, Maine *
2047. Lewiston city, Maine *
2048. Lincoln County, Maine *
2049. Oxford County, Maine *
2050. Penobscot County, Maine *
2051. Portland city, Maine *
2052. Sagadahoc County, Maine *
2053. Somerset County, Maine *
2054. Waldo County, Maine *
2055. Washington County, Maine *
2056. York County, Maine *
2057. Auburn city, Maine
2058. Augusta city, Maine
2059. Biddeford city, Maine
2060. Brunswick town, Maine
2061. Falmouth town, Maine
2062. Gorham town, Maine
2063. Kennebunk town, Maine
2064. Orono town, Maine
2065. Piscataquis County, Maine
2066. Saco city, Maine
2067. Sanford city, Maine
2068. Scarborough town, Maine
2069. South Portland city, Maine
2070. Standish town, Maine
2071. Waterville city, Maine
2072. Wells town, Maine
2073. Westbrook city, Maine
2074. Windham town, Maine
2075. York town, Maine
2076. Allegany County, Maryland *
2077. Annapolis city, Maryland *
2078. Anne Arundel County, Maryland *
2079. Baltimore city, Maryland *
2080. Baltimore County, Maryland *
2081. Bowie city, Maryland *
2082. Calvert County, Maryland *
2083. Caroline County, Maryland *
2084. Carroll County, Maryland *
2085. Cecil County, Maryland *
2086. Charles County, Maryland *
2087. College Park city, Maryland *
2088. Dorchester County, Maryland *
2089. Frederick city, Maryland *
2090. Frederick County, Maryland *
2091. Gaithersburg city, Maryland *
2092. Hagerstown city, Maryland *
2093. Harford County, Maryland *
2094. Howard County, Maryland *
2095. Montgomery County, Maryland *
2096. Prince George's County, Maryland *
2097. Queen Anne's County, Maryland *
2098. Rockville city, Maryland *
2099. Salisbury city, Maryland *
2100. St. Mary's County, Maryland *

2101. Talbot County, Maryland *
2102. Washington County, Maryland *
2103. Wicomico County, Maryland *
2104. Worcester County, Maryland *
2105. Aberdeen city, Maryland
2106. Bel Air town, Maryland
2107. Cambridge city, Maryland
2108. Cumberland city, Maryland
2109. Easton town, Maryland
2110. Elkton town, Maryland
2111. Garrett County, Maryland
2112. Greenbelt city, Maryland
2113. Havre de Grace city, Maryland
2114. Hyattsville city, Maryland
2115. Kent County, Maryland
2116. Laurel city, Maryland
2117. New Carrollton city, Maryland
2118. Somerset County, Maryland
2119. Takoma Park city, Maryland
2120. Westminster city, Maryland
2121. Amherst town, Massachusetts *
2122. Andover town, Massachusetts *
2123. Arlington town, Massachusetts *
2124. Attleboro city, Massachusetts *
2125. Barnstable County, Massachusetts *
2126. Barnstable Town city, Massachusetts *
2127. Beverly city, Massachusetts *
2128. Billerica town, Massachusetts *
2129. Boston city, Massachusetts *
2130. Braintree Town city, Massachusetts *
2131. Bristol County, Massachusetts *
2132. Brockton city, Massachusetts *
2133. Brookline town, Massachusetts *
2134. Cambridge city, Massachusetts *
2135. Chelmsford town, Massachusetts *
2136. Chelsea city, Massachusetts *
2137. Chicopee city, Massachusetts *
2138. Dartmouth town, Massachusetts *
2139. Dracut town, Massachusetts *
2140. Everett city, Massachusetts *
2141. Fall River city, Massachusetts *
2142. Falmouth town, Massachusetts *
2143. Fitchburg city, Massachusetts *
2144. Framingham city, Massachusetts *
2145. Franklin Town city, Massachusetts *
2146. Gloucester city, Massachusetts *
2147. Haverhill city, Massachusetts *
2148. Holyoke city, Massachusetts *
2149. Lawrence city, Massachusetts *
2150. Leominster city, Massachusetts *
2151. Lexington town, Massachusetts *
2152. Lowell city, Massachusetts *
2153. Lynn city, Massachusetts *
2154. Malden city, Massachusetts *
2155. Marlborough city, Massachusetts *
2156. Medford city, Massachusetts *
2157. Methuen Town city, Massachusetts *
2158. Natick town, Massachusetts *
2159. Needham town, Massachusetts *
2160. New Bedford city, Massachusetts *
2161. Newton city, Massachusetts *
2162. Norfolk County, Massachusetts *
2163. North Andover town, Massachusetts *
2164. Peabody city, Massachusetts *
2165. Pittsfield city, Massachusetts *
2166. Plymouth County, Massachusetts *
2167. Plymouth town, Massachusetts *
2168. Quincy city, Massachusetts *
2169. Randolph Town city, Massachusetts *
2170. Revere city, Massachusetts *
2171. Salem city, Massachusetts *
2172. Shrewsbury town, Massachusetts *
2173. Somerville city, Massachusetts *
2174. Springfield city, Massachusetts *
2175. Taunton city, Massachusetts *
2176. Tewksbury town, Massachusetts *
2177. Waltham city, Massachusetts *
2178. Watertown Town city, Massachusetts *
2179. Westfield city, Massachusetts *
2180. Weymouth Town city, Massachusetts *
2181. Woburn city, Massachusetts *
2182. Worcester city, Massachusetts *
2183. Abington town, Massachusetts
2184. Acton town, Massachusetts
2185. Acushnet town, Massachusetts
2186. Agawam Town city, Massachusetts
2187. Amesbury Town city, Massachusetts
2188. Ashland town, Massachusetts
2189. Athol town, Massachusetts
2190. Auburn town, Massachusetts
2191. Bedford town, Massachusetts
2192. Belchertown town, Massachusetts
2193. Bellingham town, Massachusetts
2194. Belmont town, Massachusetts
2195. Bourne town, Massachusetts
2196. Bridgewater Town city, Massachusetts
2197. Burlington town, Massachusetts
2198. Canton town, Massachusetts
2199. Carver town, Massachusetts
2200. Charlton town, Massachusetts
2201. Clinton town, Massachusetts
2202. Concord town, Massachusetts
2203. Danvers town, Massachusetts
2204. Dedham town, Massachusetts
2205. Dennis town, Massachusetts
2206. Dudley town, Massachusetts
2207. Dukes County, Massachusetts
2208. Duxbury town, Massachusetts
2209. East Bridgewater town, Massachusetts
2210. East Longmeadow town, Massachusetts
2211. Easthampton Town city, Massachusetts
2212. Easton town, Massachusetts

2213. Fairhaven town, Massachusetts
2214. Foxborough town, Massachusetts
2215. Gardner city, Massachusetts
2216. Grafton town, Massachusetts
2217. Greenfield Town city, Massachusetts
2218. Groton town, Massachusetts
2219. Hanover town, Massachusetts
2220. Hanson town, Massachusetts
2221. Harwich town, Massachusetts
2222. Hingham town, Massachusetts
2223. Holbrook town, Massachusetts
2224. Holden town, Massachusetts
2225. Holliston town, Massachusetts
2226. Hopkinton town, Massachusetts
2227. Hudson town, Massachusetts
2228. Hull town, Massachusetts
2229. Ipswich town, Massachusetts
2230. Kingston town, Massachusetts
2231. Lakeville town, Massachusetts
2232. Leicester town, Massachusetts
2233. Littleton town, Massachusetts
2234. Longmeadow town, Massachusetts
2235. Ludlow town, Massachusetts
2236. Lunenburg town, Massachusetts
2237. Lynnfield town, Massachusetts
2238. Mansfield town, Massachusetts
2239. Marblehead town, Massachusetts
2240. Marshfield town, Massachusetts
2241. Mashpee town, Massachusetts
2242. Maynard town, Massachusetts
2243. Medfield town, Massachusetts
2244. Medway town, Massachusetts
2245. Melrose city, Massachusetts
2246. Middleborough town, Massachusetts
2247. Middleton town, Massachusetts
2248. Milford town, Massachusetts
2249. Millbury town, Massachusetts
2250. Milton town, Massachusetts
2251. Nantucket town / Nantucket County, Massachusetts
2252. Newburyport city, Massachusetts
2253. Norfolk town, Massachusetts
2254. North Adams city, Massachusetts
2255. North Attleborough town, Massachusetts
2256. North Reading town, Massachusetts
2257. Northampton city, Massachusetts
2258. Northborough town, Massachusetts
2259. Northbridge town, Massachusetts
2260. Norton town, Massachusetts
2261. Norwell town, Massachusetts
2262. Norwood town, Massachusetts
2263. Oxford town, Massachusetts
2264. Palmer Town city, Massachusetts
2265. Pembroke town, Massachusetts
2266. Pepperell town, Massachusetts
2267. Raynham town, Massachusetts
2268. Reading town, Massachusetts
2269. Rehoboth town, Massachusetts
2270. Rockland town, Massachusetts
2271. Sandwich town, Massachusetts
2272. Saugus town, Massachusetts
2273. Scituate town, Massachusetts
2274. Seekonk town, Massachusetts
2275. Sharon town, Massachusetts
2276. Somerset town, Massachusetts
2277. South Hadley town, Massachusetts
2278. Southborough town, Massachusetts
2279. Southbridge Town city, Massachusetts
2280. Spencer town, Massachusetts
2281. Stoneham town, Massachusetts
2282. Stoughton town, Massachusetts
2283. Sudbury town, Massachusetts
2284. Swampscott town, Massachusetts
2285. Swansea town, Massachusetts
2286. Tyngsborough town, Massachusetts
2287. Uxbridge town, Massachusetts
2288. Wakefield town, Massachusetts
2289. Walpole town, Massachusetts
2290. Wareham town, Massachusetts
2291. Wayland town, Massachusetts
2292. Webster town, Massachusetts
2293. Wellesley town, Massachusetts
2294. West Springfield Town city, Massachusetts
2295. Westborough town, Massachusetts
2296. Westford town, Massachusetts
2297. Weston town, Massachusetts
2298. Westport town, Massachusetts
2299. Westwood town, Massachusetts
2300. Whitman town, Massachusetts
2301. Wilbraham town, Massachusetts
2302. Wilmington town, Massachusetts
2303. Winchendon town, Massachusetts
2304. Winchester town, Massachusetts
2305. Winthrop Town city, Massachusetts
2306. Wrentham town, Massachusetts
2307. Yarmouth town, Massachusetts
2308. Ballwin city, Missouri *
2309. Barry County, Missouri *
2310. Blue Springs city, Missouri *
2311. Boone County, Missouri *
2312. Buchanan County, Missouri *
2313. Butler County, Missouri *
2314. Callaway County, Missouri *
2315. Camden County, Missouri *
2316. Cape Girardeau city, Missouri *
2317. Cape Girardeau County, Missouri *
2318. Cass County, Missouri *
2319. Chesterfield city, Missouri *
2320. Christian County, Missouri *
2321. Clay County, Missouri *
2322. Cole County, Missouri *
2323. Columbia city, Missouri *

2324. Florissant city, Missouri *
2325. Franklin County, Missouri *
2326. Greene County, Missouri *
2327. Howell County, Missouri *
2328. Independence city, Missouri *
2329. Jackson County, Missouri *
2330. Jasper County, Missouri *
2331. Jefferson City city, Missouri *
2332. Jefferson County, Missouri *
2333. Johnson County, Missouri *
2334. Joplin city, Missouri *
2335. Kansas City city, Missouri *
2336. Laclede County, Missouri *
2337. Lafayette County, Missouri *
2338. Lawrence County, Missouri *
2339. Lee's Summit city, Missouri *
2340. Liberty city, Missouri *
2341. Lincoln County, Missouri *
2342. Newton County, Missouri *
2343. O'Fallon city, Missouri *
2344. Pettis County, Missouri *
2345. Phelps County, Missouri *
2346. Platte County, Missouri *
2347. Polk County, Missouri *
2348. Pulaski County, Missouri *
2349. Scott County, Missouri *
2350. Springfield city, Missouri *
2351. St. Charles city, Missouri *
2352. St. Charles County, Missouri *
2353. St. Francois County, Missouri *
2354. St. Joseph city, Missouri *
2355. St. Louis city, Missouri *
2356. St. Louis County, Missouri *
2357. St. Peters city, Missouri *
2358. Stone County, Missouri *
2359. Taney County, Missouri *
2360. University City city, Missouri *
2361. Warren County, Missouri *
2362. Webster County, Missouri *
2363. Wentzville city, Missouri *
2364. Wildwood city, Missouri *
2365. Adair County, Missouri
2366. Andrew County, Missouri
2367. Arnold city, Missouri
2368. Audrain County, Missouri
2369. Barton County, Missouri
2370. Bates County, Missouri
2371. Bellefontaine Neighbors city, Missouri
2372. Belton city, Missouri
2373. Benton County, Missouri
2374. Bolivar city, Missouri
2375. Bollinger County, Missouri
2376. Branson city, Missouri
2377. Bridgeton city, Missouri
2378. Carthage city, Missouri
2379. Cedar County, Missouri
2380. Clayton city, Missouri
2381. Clinton County, Missouri
2382. Cooper County, Missouri
2383. Crawford County, Missouri
2384. Crestwood city, Missouri
2385. Creve Coeur city, Missouri
2386. Dallas County, Missouri
2387. Dardenne Prairie city, Missouri
2388. DeKalb County, Missouri
2389. Dent County, Missouri
2390. Douglas County, Missouri
2391. Dunklin County, Missouri
2392. Eureka city, Missouri
2393. Excelsior Springs city, Missouri
2394. Farmington city, Missouri
2395. Ferguson city, Missouri
2396. Festus city, Missouri
2397. Fulton city, Missouri
2398. Gasconade County, Missouri
2399. Gladstone city, Missouri
2400. Grain Valley city, Missouri
2401. Grandview city, Missouri
2402. Hannibal city, Missouri
2403. Harrisonville city, Missouri
2404. Hazelwood city, Missouri
2405. Henry County, Missouri
2406. Howard County, Missouri
2407. Independence township, Missouri
2408. Iron County, Missouri
2409. Jackson city, Missouri
2410. Jennings city, Missouri
2411. Kearney city, Missouri
2412. Kennett city, Missouri
2413. Kirksville city, Missouri
2414. Kirkwood city, Missouri
2415. Lake St. Louis city, Missouri
2416. Lebanon city, Missouri
2417. Liberty township, Missouri
2418. Linn County, Missouri
2419. Livingston County, Missouri
2420. Macon County, Missouri
2421. Madison County, Missouri
2422. Manchester city, Missouri
2423. Marion County, Missouri
2424. Marshall city, Missouri
2425. Maryland Heights city, Missouri
2426. Maryville city, Missouri
2427. McDonald County, Missouri
2428. Mexico city, Missouri
2429. Miller County, Missouri
2430. Mississippi County, Missouri
2431. Moberly city, Missouri
2432. Moniteau County, Missouri
2433. Montgomery County, Missouri
2434. Morgan County, Missouri
2435. Neosho city, Missouri

2436. New Madrid County, Missouri
2437. Nixa city, Missouri
2438. Nodaway County, Missouri
2439. Oregon County, Missouri
2440. Osage County, Missouri
2441. Overland city, Missouri
2442. Ozark city, Missouri
2443. Pemiscot County, Missouri
2444. Perry County, Missouri
2445. Pike County, Missouri
2446. Polk township, Missouri
2447. Poplar Bluff city, Missouri
2448. Ralls County, Missouri
2449. Randolph County, Missouri
2450. Ray County, Missouri
2451. Raymore city, Missouri
2452. Raytown city, Missouri
2453. Republic city, Missouri
2454. Ripley County, Missouri
2455. Rolla city, Missouri
2456. Saline County, Missouri
2457. Sedalia city, Missouri
2458. Sikeston city, Missouri
2459. Smithville city, Missouri
2460. St. Ann city, Missouri
2461. Ste. Genevieve County, Missouri
2462. Stoddard County, Missouri
2463. Texas County, Missouri
2464. Town and Country city, Missouri
2465. Troy city, Missouri
2466. Union city, Missouri
2467. Vernon County, Missouri
2468. Warrensburg city, Missouri
2469. Washington city, Missouri
2470. Washington County, Missouri
2471. Wayne County, Missouri
2472. Webb City city, Missouri
2473. Webster Groves city, Missouri
2474. West Plains city, Missouri
2475. Wright County, Missouri
2476. Billings city, Montana *
2477. Bozeman city, Montana *
2478. Butte-Silver Bow, Montana *
2479. Cascade County, Montana *
2480. Flathead County, Montana *
2481. Gallatin County, Montana *
2482. Great Falls city, Montana *
2483. Helena city, Montana *
2484. Lake County, Montana *
2485. Lewis and Clark County, Montana *
2486. Missoula city, Montana *
2487. Missoula County, Montana *
2488. Ravalli County, Montana *
2489. Yellowstone County, Montana *
2490. Big Horn County, Montana
2491. Carbon County, Montana
2492. Custer County, Montana
2493. Fergus County, Montana
2494. Glacier County, Montana
2495. Hill County, Montana
2496. Jefferson County, Montana
2497. Kalispell city, Montana
2498. Lincoln County, Montana
2499. Park County, Montana
2500. Richland County, Montana
2501. Roosevelt County, Montana
2502. Sanders County, Montana
2503. Adams County, Nebraska *
2504. Bellevue city, Nebraska *
2505. Buffalo County, Nebraska *
2506. Dodge County, Nebraska *
2507. Douglas County, Nebraska *
2508. Grand Island city, Nebraska *
2509. Hall County, Nebraska *
2510. Kearney city, Nebraska *
2511. Lancaster County, Nebraska *
2512. Lincoln city, Nebraska *
2513. Lincoln County, Nebraska *
2514. Madison County, Nebraska *
2515. Omaha city, Nebraska *
2516. Platte County, Nebraska *
2517. Sarpy County, Nebraska *
2518. Scotts Bluff County, Nebraska *
2519. Beatrice city, Nebraska
2520. Box Butte County, Nebraska
2521. Cass County, Nebraska
2522. Colfax County, Nebraska
2523. Columbus city, Nebraska
2524. Custer County, Nebraska
2525. Dakota County, Nebraska
2526. Dawson County, Nebraska
2527. Fremont city, Nebraska
2528. Gage County, Nebraska
2529. Hastings city, Nebraska
2530. Holt County, Nebraska
2531. La Vista city, Nebraska
2532. Lexington city, Nebraska
2533. Norfolk city, Nebraska
2534. North Platte city, Nebraska
2535. Otoe County, Nebraska
2536. Papillion city, Nebraska
2537. Red Willow County, Nebraska
2538. Saline County, Nebraska
2539. Saunders County, Nebraska
2540. Scottsbluff city, Nebraska
2541. Seward County, Nebraska
2542. South Sioux City city, Nebraska
2543. Washington County, Nebraska
2544. York County, Nebraska
2545. Carson City, Nevada *
2546. Clark County, Nevada *
2547. Douglas County, Nevada *

2548. Elko County, Nevada *
2549. Henderson city, Nevada *
2550. Las Vegas city, Nevada *
2551. Lyon County, Nevada *
2552. North Las Vegas city, Nevada *
2553. Nye County, Nevada *
2554. Reno city, Nevada *
2555. Sparks city, Nevada *
2556. Washoe County, Nevada *
2557. Boulder City city, Nevada
2558. Churchill County, Nevada
2559. Elko city, Nevada
2560. Fernley city, Nevada
2561. Humboldt County, Nevada
2562. Mesquite city, Nevada
2563. Belknap County, New Hampshire *
2564. Carroll County, New Hampshire *
2565. Cheshire County, New Hampshire *
2566. Concord city, New Hampshire *
2567. Coos County, New Hampshire *
2568. Derry town, New Hampshire *
2569. Dover city, New Hampshire *
2570. Grafton County, New Hampshire *
2571. Hillsborough County, New Hampshire *
2572. Manchester city, New Hampshire *
2573. Merrimack County, New Hampshire *
2574. Nashua city, New Hampshire *
2575. Rochester city, New Hampshire *
2576. Rockingham County, New Hampshire *
2577. Strafford County, New Hampshire *
2578. Sullivan County, New Hampshire *
2579. Amherst town, New Hampshire
2580. Bedford town, New Hampshire
2581. Berlin city, New Hampshire
2582. Claremont city, New Hampshire
2583. Conway town, New Hampshire
2584. Durham town, New Hampshire
2585. Exeter town, New Hampshire
2586. Goffstown town, New Hampshire
2587. Hampton town, New Hampshire
2588. Hanover town, New Hampshire
2589. Hooksett town, New Hampshire
2590. Hudson town, New Hampshire
2591. Keene city, New Hampshire
2592. Laconia city, New Hampshire
2593. Lebanon city, New Hampshire
2594. Londonderry town, New Hampshire
2595. Merrimack town, New Hampshire
2596. Milford town, New Hampshire
2597. Pelham town, New Hampshire
2598. Portsmouth city, New Hampshire
2599. Raymond town, New Hampshire
2600. Salem town, New Hampshire
2601. Somersworth city, New Hampshire
2602. Windham town, New Hampshire
2603. Atlantic City city, New Jersey *
2604. Atlantic County, New Jersey *
2605. Bayonne city, New Jersey *
2606. Belleville township, New Jersey *
2607. Bergen County, New Jersey *
2608. Berkeley township, New Jersey *
2609. Bloomfield township, New Jersey *
2610. Brick township, New Jersey *
2611. Bridgewater township, New Jersey *
2612. Burlington County, New Jersey *
2613. Camden city, New Jersey *
2614. Camden County, New Jersey *
2615. Cape May County, New Jersey *
2616. Cherry Hill township, New Jersey *
2617. City of Orange township, New Jersey *
2618. Clifton city, New Jersey *
2619. Cumberland County, New Jersey *
2620. Deptford township, New Jersey *
2621. East Brunswick township, New Jersey *
2622. East Orange city, New Jersey *
2623. Edison township, New Jersey *
2624. Egg Harbor township, New Jersey *
2625. Elizabeth city, New Jersey *
2626. Essex County, New Jersey *
2627. Evesham township, New Jersey *
2628. Ewing township, New Jersey *
2629. Fair Lawn borough, New Jersey *
2630. Fort Lee borough, New Jersey *
2631. Franklin township, New Jersey *
2632. Freehold township, New Jersey *
2633. Galloway township, New Jersey *
2634. Garfield city, New Jersey *
2635. Gloucester County, New Jersey *
2636. Gloucester township, New Jersey *
2637. Hackensack city, New Jersey *
2638. Hamilton township, New Jersey *
2639. Hillsborough township, New Jersey *
2640. Hoboken city, New Jersey *
2641. Howell township, New Jersey *
2642. Hudson County, New Jersey *
2643. Hunterdon County, New Jersey *
2644. Irvington township, New Jersey *
2645. Jackson township, New Jersey *
2646. Jersey City city, New Jersey *
2647. Kearny town, New Jersey *
2648. Lakewood township, New Jersey *
2649. Lawrence township, New Jersey *
2650. Linden city, New Jersey *
2651. Livingston township, New Jersey *
2652. Long Branch city, New Jersey *
2653. Manalapan township, New Jersey *
2654. Manchester township, New Jersey *
2655. Marlboro township, New Jersey *
2656. Mercer County, New Jersey *
2657. Middlesex County, New Jersey *
2658. Middletown township, New Jersey *
2659. Monmouth County, New Jersey *

2660. Monroe township, New Jersey *
2661. Monroe township, New Jersey *
2662. Montclair township, New Jersey *
2663. Morris County, New Jersey *
2664. Mount Laurel township, New Jersey *
2665. New Brunswick city, New Jersey *
2666. Newark city, New Jersey *
2667. North Bergen township, New Jersey *
2668. North Brunswick township, New Jersey *
2669. Ocean County, New Jersey *
2670. Old Bridge township, New Jersey *
2671. Parsippany-Troy Hills township, New Jersey *
2672. Passaic city, New Jersey *
2673. Passaic County, New Jersey *
2674. Paterson city, New Jersey *
2675. Pennsauken township, New Jersey *
2676. Perth Amboy city, New Jersey *
2677. Piscataway township, New Jersey *
2678. Plainfield city, New Jersey *
2679. Princeton, New Jersey *
2680. Salem County, New Jersey *
2681. Sayreville borough, New Jersey *
2682. Somerset County, New Jersey *
2683. South Brunswick township, New Jersey *
2684. Sussex County, New Jersey *
2685. Teaneck township, New Jersey *
2686. Toms River township, New Jersey *
2687. Trenton city, New Jersey *
2688. Union City city, New Jersey *
2689. Union County, New Jersey *
2690. Union township, New Jersey *
2691. Vineland city, New Jersey *
2692. Warren County, New Jersey *
2693. Washington township, New Jersey *
2694. Wayne township, New Jersey *
2695. West New York town, New Jersey *
2696. West Orange township, New Jersey *
2697. Willingboro township, New Jersey *
2698. Winslow township, New Jersey *
2699. Woodbridge township, New Jersey *
2700. Aberdeen township, New Jersey
2701. Asbury Park city, New Jersey
2702. Barnegat township, New Jersey
2703. Beachwood borough, New Jersey
2704. Bellmawr borough, New Jersey
2705. Bergenfield borough, New Jersey
2706. Berkeley Heights township, New Jersey
2707. Bernards township, New Jersey
2708. Bordentown township, New Jersey
2709. Bound Brook borough, New Jersey
2710. Branchburg township, New Jersey
2711. Bridgeton city, New Jersey
2712. Burlington township, New Jersey
2713. Carteret borough, New Jersey
2714. Cedar Grove township, New Jersey
2715. Chatham township, New Jersey
2716. Cinnaminson township, New Jersey
2717. Clark township, New Jersey
2718. Cliffside Park borough, New Jersey
2719. Clinton township, New Jersey
2720. Collingswood borough, New Jersey
2721. Cranford township, New Jersey
2722. Delran township, New Jersey
2723. Denville township, New Jersey
2724. Dover town, New Jersey
2725. Dumont borough, New Jersey
2726. East Greenwich township, New Jersey
2727. East Hanover township, New Jersey
2728. East Windsor township, New Jersey
2729. Eatontown borough, New Jersey
2730. Edgewater borough, New Jersey
2731. Elmwood Park borough, New Jersey
2732. Englewood city, New Jersey
2733. Fairview borough, New Jersey
2734. Florence township, New Jersey
2735. Florham Park borough, New Jersey
2736. Franklin Lakes borough, New Jersey
2737. Franklin township, New Jersey
2738. Freehold borough, New Jersey
2739. Glassboro borough, New Jersey
2740. Glen Rock borough, New Jersey
2741. Gloucester City city, New Jersey
2742. Guttenberg town, New Jersey
2743. Haddon township, New Jersey
2744. Haddonfield borough, New Jersey
2745. Hamilton township, New Jersey
2746. Hammonton town, New Jersey
2747. Hanover township, New Jersey
2748. Harrison town, New Jersey
2749. Harrison township, New Jersey
2750. Hasbrouck Heights borough, New Jersey
2751. Hawthorne borough, New Jersey
2752. Hazlet township, New Jersey
2753. Highland Park borough, New Jersey
2754. Hillsdale borough, New Jersey
2755. Hillside township, New Jersey
2756. Holmdel township, New Jersey
2757. Hopatcong borough, New Jersey
2758. Hopewell township, New Jersey
2759. Jefferson township, New Jersey
2760. Lacey township, New Jersey
2761. Lincoln Park borough, New Jersey
2762. Lindenwold borough, New Jersey
2763. Little Egg Harbor township, New Jersey
2764. Little Falls township, New Jersey
2765. Little Ferry borough, New Jersey
2766. Lodi borough, New Jersey
2767. Lower township, New Jersey
2768. Lumberton township, New Jersey
2769. Lyndhurst township, New Jersey
2770. Madison borough, New Jersey

2771. Mahwah township, New Jersey
2772. Mantua township, New Jersey
2773. Manville borough, New Jersey
2774. Maple Shade township, New Jersey
2775. Maplewood township, New Jersey
2776. Medford township, New Jersey
2777. Metuchen borough, New Jersey
2778. Middle township, New Jersey
2779. Middlesex borough, New Jersey
2780. Millburn township, New Jersey
2781. Millstone township, New Jersey
2782. Millville city, New Jersey
2783. Montgomery township, New Jersey
2784. Montville township, New Jersey
2785. Moorestown township, New Jersey
2786. Morris township, New Jersey
2787. Morristown town, New Jersey
2788. Mount Olive township, New Jersey
2789. Neptune township, New Jersey
2790. New Milford borough, New Jersey
2791. New Providence borough, New Jersey
2792. North Arlington borough, New Jersey
2793. North Plainfield borough, New Jersey
2794. Nutley township, New Jersey
2795. Oakland borough, New Jersey
2796. Ocean City city, New Jersey
2797. Ocean township, New Jersey
2798. Palisades Park borough, New Jersey
2799. Paramus borough, New Jersey
2800. Pemberton township, New Jersey
2801. Pennsville township, New Jersey
2802. Pequannock township, New Jersey
2803. Phillipsburg town, New Jersey
2804. Pine Hill borough, New Jersey
2805. Plainsboro township, New Jersey
2806. Pleasantville city, New Jersey
2807. Point Pleasant borough, New Jersey
2808. Pompton Lakes borough, New Jersey
2809. Rahway city, New Jersey
2810. Ramsey borough, New Jersey
2811. Randolph township, New Jersey
2812. Raritan township, New Jersey
2813. Readington township, New Jersey
2814. Red Bank borough, New Jersey
2815. Ridgefield borough, New Jersey
2816. Ridgefield Park village, New Jersey
2817. Ridgewood village, New Jersey
2818. Ringwood borough, New Jersey
2819. River Edge borough, New Jersey
2820. Robbinsville township, New Jersey
2821. Rockaway township, New Jersey
2822. Roselle borough, New Jersey
2823. Roselle Park borough, New Jersey
2824. Roxbury township, New Jersey
2825. Rutherford borough, New Jersey
2826. Saddle Brook township, New Jersey
2827. Scotch Plains township, New Jersey
2828. Secaucus town, New Jersey
2829. Somers Point city, New Jersey
2830. Somerville borough, New Jersey
2831. South Orange Village township, New Jersey
2832. South Plainfield borough, New Jersey
2833. South River borough, New Jersey
2834. Southampton township, New Jersey
2835. Sparta township, New Jersey
2836. Springfield township, New Jersey
2837. Stafford township, New Jersey
2838. Summit city, New Jersey
2839. Tenafly borough, New Jersey
2840. Tinton Falls borough, New Jersey
2841. Totowa borough, New Jersey
2842. Upper township, New Jersey
2843. Vernon township, New Jersey
2844. Verona township, New Jersey
2845. Voorhees township, New Jersey
2846. Waldwick borough, New Jersey
2847. Wall township, New Jersey
2848. Wallington borough, New Jersey
2849. Wanaque borough, New Jersey
2850. Wantage township, New Jersey
2851. Warren township, New Jersey
2852. Washington township, New Jersey
2853. Waterford township, New Jersey
2854. Weehawken township, New Jersey
2855. West Caldwell township, New Jersey
2856. West Deptford township, New Jersey
2857. West Milford township, New Jersey
2858. West Windsor township, New Jersey
2859. Westfield town, New Jersey
2860. Westwood borough, New Jersey
2861. Woodland Park borough, New Jersey
2862. Woolwich township, New Jersey
2863. Wyckoff township, New Jersey
2864. Albany city, New York *
2865. Albany County, New York *
2866. Allegany County, New York *
2867. Amherst town, New York *
2868. Babylon town, New York *
2869. Bethlehem town, New York *
2870. Binghamton city, New York *
2871. Brighton town, New York *
2872. Brookhaven town, New York *
2873. Broome County, New York *
2874. Buffalo city, New York *
2875. Carmel town, New York *
2876. Cattaraugus County, New York *
2877. Cayuga County, New York *
2878. Chautauqua County, New York *
2879. Cheektowaga town, New York *
2880. Chemung County, New York *
2881. Chenango County, New York *
2882. Cicero town, New York *

2883. Clarence town, New York *
2884. Clarkstown town, New York *
2885. Clay town, New York *
2886. Clifton Park town, New York *
2887. Clinton County, New York *
2888. Colonie town, New York *
2889. Columbia County, New York *
2890. Cortland County, New York *
2891. Cortlandt town, New York *
2892. Delaware County, New York *
2893. Dutchess County, New York *
2894. Eastchester town, New York *
2895. Erie County, New York *
2896. Essex County, New York *
2897. Franklin County, New York *
2898. Freeport village, New York *
2899. Fulton County, New York *
2900. Genesee County, New York *
2901. Greece town, New York *
2902. Greenburgh town, New York *
2903. Greene County, New York *
2904. Guilderland town, New York *
2905. Hamburg town, New York *
2906. Haverstraw town, New York *
2907. Hempstead town, New York *
2908. Hempstead village, New York *
2909. Henrietta town, New York *
2910. Herkimer County, New York *
2911. Huntington town, New York *
2912. Irondequoit town, New York *
2913. Islip town, New York *
2914. Ithaca city, New York *
2915. Jefferson County, New York *
2916. Lancaster town, New York *
2917. Livingston County, New York *
2918. Long Beach city, New York *
2919. Madison County, New York *
2920. Manlius town, New York *
2921. Monroe County, New York *
2922. Montgomery County, New York *
2923. Mount Pleasant town, New York *
2924. Mount Vernon city, New York *
2925. Nassau County, New York *
2926. New Rochelle city, New York *
2927. New York city / Bronx County / Kings County / New York County / Queens County / Richmond County, New York *
2928. Newburgh town, New York *
2929. Niagara County, New York *
2930. Niagara Falls city, New York *
2931. North Hempstead town, New York *
2932. North Tonawanda city, New York *
2933. Oneida County, New York *
2934. Onondaga County, New York *
2935. Ontario County, New York *
2936. Orange County, New York *
2937. Orangetown town, New York *
2938. Orleans County, New York *
2939. Ossining town, New York *
2940. Oswego County, New York *
2941. Otsego County, New York *
2942. Oyster Bay town, New York *
2943. Penfield town, New York *
2944. Perinton town, New York *
2945. Poughkeepsie city, New York *
2946. Poughkeepsie town, New York *
2947. Putnam County, New York *
2948. Ramapo town, New York *
2949. Rensselaer County, New York *
2950. Riverhead town, New York *
2951. Rochester city, New York *
2952. Rockland County, New York *
2953. Rome city, New York *
2954. Rye town, New York *
2955. Salina town, New York *
2956. Saratoga County, New York *
2957. Schenectady city, New York *
2958. Schenectady County, New York *
2959. Schoharie County, New York *
2960. Seneca County, New York *
2961. Smithtown town, New York *
2962. Southampton town, New York *
2963. Spring Valley village, New York *
2964. St. Lawrence County, New York *
2965. Steuben County, New York *
2966. Suffolk County, New York *
2967. Sullivan County, New York *
2968. Syracuse city, New York *
2969. Tioga County, New York *
2970. Tompkins County, New York *
2971. Tonawanda town, New York *
2972. Troy city, New York *
2973. Ulster County, New York *
2974. Union town, New York *
2975. Utica city, New York *
2976. Valley Stream village, New York *
2977. Warren County, New York *
2978. Warwick town, New York *
2979. Washington County, New York *
2980. Wayne County, New York *
2981. Webster town, New York *
2982. West Seneca town, New York *
2983. Westchester County, New York *
2984. White Plains city, New York *
2985. Wyoming County, New York *
2986. Yonkers city, New York *
2987. Yorktown town, New York *
2988. Amsterdam city, New York
2989. Arcadia town, New York
2990. Auburn city, New York
2991. Aurora town, New York
2992. Babylon village, New York

2993. Ballston town, New York
2994. Batavia city, New York
2995. Bath town, New York
2996. Beacon city, New York
2997. Bedford town, New York
2998. Beekman town, New York
2999. Blooming Grove town, New York
3000. Brunswick town, New York
3001. Camillus town, New York
3002. Canandaigua city, New York
3003. Canandaigua town, New York
3004. Canton town, New York
3005. Catskill town, New York
3006. Chenango town, New York
3007. Chester town, New York
3008. Chili town, New York
3009. Cohoes city, New York
3010. Corning city, New York
3011. Cornwall town, New York
3012. Cortland city, New York
3013. De Witt town, New York
3014. Depew village, New York
3015. Dobbs Ferry village, New York
3016. Dryden town, New York
3017. Dunkirk city, New York
3018. East Fishkill town, New York
3019. East Greenbush town, New York
3020. East Hampton town, New York
3021. Elma town, New York
3022. Elmira city, New York
3023. Endicott village, New York
3024. Evans town, New York
3025. Fallsburg town, New York
3026. Farmington town, New York
3027. Fishkill town, New York
3028. Floral Park village, New York
3029. Fredonia village, New York
3030. Fulton city, New York
3031. Garden City village, New York
3032. Gates town, New York
3033. Geddes town, New York
3034. Geneseo town, New York
3035. Geneva city, New York
3036. German Flatts town, New York
3037. Glen Cove city, New York
3038. Glens Falls city, New York
3039. Glenville town, New York
3040. Gloversville city, New York
3041. Goshen town, New York
3042. Grand Island town, New York
3043. Great Neck village, New York
3044. Halfmoon town, New York
3045. Harrison town, New York
3046. Harrison village, New York
3047. Haverstraw village, New York
3048. Highlands town, New York
3049. Horseheads town, New York
3050. Hyde Park town, New York
3051. Ithaca town, New York
3052. Jamestown city, New York
3053. Johnson City village, New York
3054. Kenmore village, New York
3055. Kent town, New York
3056. Kingsbury town, New York
3057. Kingston city, New York
3058. Kirkland town, New York
3059. Kiryas Joel village, New York
3060. La Grange town, New York
3061. Lackawanna city, New York
3062. Lake Grove village, New York
3063. Lancaster village, New York
3064. Lansing town, New York
3065. Le Ray town, New York
3066. Lewis County, New York
3067. Lewisboro town, New York
3068. Lewiston town, New York
3069. Lindenhurst village, New York
3070. Lloyd town, New York
3071. Lockport city, New York
3072. Lockport town, New York
3073. Lynbrook village, New York
3074. Lysander town, New York
3075. Malone town, New York
3076. Malta town, New York
3077. Mamakating town, New York
3078. Mamaroneck town, New York
3079. Mamaroneck village, New York
3080. Massapequa Park village, New York
3081. Massena town, New York
3082. Massena village, New York
3083. Middletown city, New York
3084. Milton town, New York
3085. Mineola village, New York
3086. Monroe town, New York
3087. Montgomery town, New York
3088. Moreau town, New York
3089. Mount Kisco village / Mount Kisco town, New York
3090. New Castle town, New York
3091. New Hartford town, New York
3092. New Paltz town, New York
3093. New Windsor town, New York
3094. Newburgh city, New York
3095. Niskayuna town, New York
3096. North Castle town, New York
3097. North Greenbush town, New York
3098. Ogden town, New York
3099. Ogdensburg city, New York
3100. Olean city, New York
3101. Oneida city, New York
3102. Oneonta city, New York
3103. Onondaga town, New York

3104. Ontario town, New York
3105. Orchard Park town, New York
3106. Ossining village, New York
3107. Oswego city, New York
3108. Owego town, New York
3109. Palm Tree town, New York
3110. Parma town, New York
3111. Patchogue village, New York
3112. Patterson town, New York
3113. Peekskill city, New York
3114. Pelham town, New York
3115. Pittsford town, New York
3116. Plattekill town, New York
3117. Plattsburgh city, New York
3118. Plattsburgh town, New York
3119. Pomfret town, New York
3120. Port Chester village, New York
3121. Potsdam town, New York
3122. Putnam Valley town, New York
3123. Queensbury town, New York
3124. Red Hook town, New York
3125. Rockville Centre village, New York
3126. Rotterdam town, New York
3127. Rye city, New York
3128. Saratoga Springs city, New York
3129. Saugerties town, New York
3130. Scarsdale village / Scarsdale town, New York
3131. Schodack town, New York
3132. Schuyler County, New York
3133. Shawangunk town, New York
3134. Sleepy Hollow village, New York
3135. Somers town, New York
3136. Southeast town, New York
3137. Southold town, New York
3138. Stony Point town, New York
3139. Suffern village, New York
3140. Sullivan town, New York
3141. Sweden town, New York
3142. Tarrytown village, New York
3143. Thompson town, New York
3144. Tonawanda city, New York
3145. Ulster town, New York
3146. Van Buren town, New York
3147. Vestal town, New York
3148. Victor town, New York
3149. Wallkill town, New York
3150. Wappinger town, New York
3151. Watertown city, New York
3152. Wawarsing town, New York
3153. West Haverstraw village, New York
3154. Westbury village, New York
3155. Wheatfield town, New York
3156. Whitestown town, New York
3157. Wilton town, New York
3158. Woodbury town, New York
3159. Woodbury village, New York
3160. Yates County, New York
3161. Bartlesville city, Oklahoma *
3162. Broken Arrow city, Oklahoma *
3163. Bryan County, Oklahoma *
3164. Canadian County, Oklahoma *
3165. Carter County, Oklahoma *
3166. Cherokee County, Oklahoma *
3167. Cleveland County, Oklahoma *
3168. Comanche County, Oklahoma *
3169. Creek County, Oklahoma *
3170. Delaware County, Oklahoma *
3171. Edmond city, Oklahoma *
3172. Enid city, Oklahoma *
3173. Garfield County, Oklahoma *
3174. Grady County, Oklahoma *
3175. Kay County, Oklahoma *
3176. Lawton city, Oklahoma *
3177. Le Flore County, Oklahoma *
3178. Lincoln County, Oklahoma *
3179. Logan County, Oklahoma *
3180. Mayes County, Oklahoma *
3181. McClain County, Oklahoma *
3182. McCurtain County, Oklahoma *
3183. Midwest City city, Oklahoma *
3184. Moore city, Oklahoma *
3185. Muskogee city, Oklahoma *
3186. Muskogee County, Oklahoma *
3187. Norman city, Oklahoma *
3188. Oklahoma City city, Oklahoma *
3189. Oklahoma County, Oklahoma *
3190. Okmulgee County, Oklahoma *
3191. Osage County, Oklahoma *
3192. Ottawa County, Oklahoma *
3193. Owasso city, Oklahoma *
3194. Payne County, Oklahoma *
3195. Pittsburg County, Oklahoma *
3196. Pontotoc County, Oklahoma *
3197. Pottawatomie County, Oklahoma *
3198. Rogers County, Oklahoma *
3199. Sequoyah County, Oklahoma *
3200. Shawnee city, Oklahoma *
3201. Stephens County, Oklahoma *
3202. Stillwater city, Oklahoma *
3203. Tulsa city, Oklahoma *
3204. Tulsa County, Oklahoma *
3205. Wagoner County, Oklahoma *
3206. Washington County, Oklahoma *
3207. Ada city, Oklahoma
3208. Adair County, Oklahoma
3209. Altus city, Oklahoma
3210. Ardmore city, Oklahoma
3211. Atoka County, Oklahoma
3212. Beckham County, Oklahoma
3213. Bethany city, Oklahoma
3214. Bixby city, Oklahoma

3215. Caddo County, Oklahoma
3216. Chickasha city, Oklahoma
3217. Choctaw city, Oklahoma
3218. Choctaw County, Oklahoma
3219. Claremore city, Oklahoma
3220. Coweta city, Oklahoma
3221. Craig County, Oklahoma
3222. Custer County, Oklahoma
3223. Del City city, Oklahoma
3224. Duncan city, Oklahoma
3225. Durant city, Oklahoma
3226. El Reno city, Oklahoma
3227. Elk City city, Oklahoma
3228. Garvin County, Oklahoma
3229. Glenpool city, Oklahoma
3230. Guthrie city, Oklahoma
3231. Guymon city, Oklahoma
3232. Haskell County, Oklahoma
3233. Hughes County, Oklahoma
3234. Jackson County, Oklahoma
3235. Jenks city, Oklahoma
3236. Johnston County, Oklahoma
3237. Kingfisher County, Oklahoma
3238. Latimer County, Oklahoma
3239. Love County, Oklahoma
3240. Marshall County, Oklahoma
3241. McAlester city, Oklahoma
3242. McIntosh County, Oklahoma
3243. Miami city, Oklahoma
3244. Murray County, Oklahoma
3245. Mustang city, Oklahoma
3246. Newcastle city, Oklahoma
3247. Noble County, Oklahoma
3248. Nowata County, Oklahoma
3249. Okfuskee County, Oklahoma
3250. Okmulgee city, Oklahoma
3251. Pawnee County, Oklahoma
3252. Ponca City city, Oklahoma
3253. Pushmataha County, Oklahoma
3254. Sand Springs city, Oklahoma
3255. Sapulpa city, Oklahoma
3256. Seminole County, Oklahoma
3257. Tahlequah city, Oklahoma
3258. Texas County, Oklahoma
3259. Warr Acres city, Oklahoma
3260. Washita County, Oklahoma
3261. Weatherford city, Oklahoma
3262. Woodward city, Oklahoma
3263. Woodward County, Oklahoma
3264. Yukon city, Oklahoma
3265. Albany city, Oregon *
3266. Beaverton city, Oregon *
3267. Bend city, Oregon *
3268. Benton County, Oregon *
3269. Clackamas County, Oregon *
3270. Clatsop County, Oregon *
3271. Columbia County, Oregon *
3272. Coos County, Oregon *
3273. Corvallis city, Oregon *
3274. Deschutes County, Oregon *
3275. Douglas County, Oregon *
3276. Eugene city, Oregon *
3277. Grants Pass city, Oregon *
3278. Gresham city, Oregon *
3279. Hillsboro city, Oregon *
3280. Jackson County, Oregon *
3281. Josephine County, Oregon *
3282. Keizer city, Oregon *
3283. Klamath County, Oregon *
3284. Lake Oswego city, Oregon *
3285. Lane County, Oregon *
3286. Lincoln County, Oregon *
3287. Linn County, Oregon *
3288. Malheur County, Oregon *
3289. Marion County, Oregon *
3290. McMinnville city, Oregon *
3291. Medford city, Oregon *
3292. Multnomah County, Oregon *
3293. Oregon City city, Oregon *
3294. Polk County, Oregon *
3295. Portland city, Oregon *
3296. Redmond city, Oregon *
3297. Salem city, Oregon *
3298. Springfield city, Oregon *
3299. Tigard city, Oregon *
3300. Umatilla County, Oregon *
3301. Washington County, Oregon *
3302. Yamhill County, Oregon *
3303. Ashland city, Oregon
3304. Astoria city, Oregon
3305. Baker County, Oregon
3306. Canby city, Oregon
3307. Central Point city, Oregon
3308. Coos Bay city, Oregon
3309. Cornelius city, Oregon
3310. Cottage Grove city, Oregon
3311. Crook County, Oregon
3312. Curry County, Oregon
3313. Dallas city, Oregon
3314. Forest Grove city, Oregon
3315. Gladstone city, Oregon
3316. Happy Valley city, Oregon
3317. Hermiston city, Oregon
3318. Hood River County, Oregon
3319. Independence city, Oregon
3320. Jefferson County, Oregon
3321. Klamath Falls city, Oregon
3322. La Grande city, Oregon
3323. Lebanon city, Oregon
3324. Milwaukie city, Oregon
3325. Monmouth city, Oregon
3326. Morrow County, Oregon

3327. Newberg city, Oregon
3328. Newport city, Oregon
3329. Ontario city, Oregon
3330. Pendleton city, Oregon
3331. Prineville city, Oregon
3332. Roseburg city, Oregon
3333. Sandy city, Oregon
3334. Sherwood city, Oregon
3335. Silverton city, Oregon
3336. St. Helens city, Oregon
3337. The Dalles city, Oregon
3338. Tillamook County, Oregon
3339. Troutdale city, Oregon
3340. Tualatin city, Oregon
3341. Union County, Oregon
3342. Wasco County, Oregon
3343. West Linn city, Oregon
3344. Wilsonville city, Oregon
3345. Woodburn city, Oregon
3346. Abington township, Pennsylvania *
3347. Adams County, Pennsylvania *
3348. Allegheny County, Pennsylvania *
3349. Allentown city, Pennsylvania *
3350. Altoona city, Pennsylvania *
3351. Armstrong County, Pennsylvania *
3352. Beaver County, Pennsylvania *
3353. Bedford County, Pennsylvania *
3354. Bensalem township, Pennsylvania *
3355. Berks County, Pennsylvania *
3356. Bethel Park municipality, Pennsylvania *
3357. Bethlehem city, Pennsylvania *
3358. Blair County, Pennsylvania *
3359. Bradford County, Pennsylvania *
3360. Bristol township, Pennsylvania *
3361. Bucks County, Pennsylvania *
3362. Butler County, Pennsylvania *
3363. Cambria County, Pennsylvania *
3364. Carbon County, Pennsylvania *
3365. Centre County, Pennsylvania *
3366. Cheltenham township, Pennsylvania *
3367. Chester city, Pennsylvania *
3368. Chester County, Pennsylvania *
3369. Clarion County, Pennsylvania *
3370. Clearfield County, Pennsylvania *
3371. Clinton County, Pennsylvania *
3372. Columbia County, Pennsylvania *
3373. Cranberry township, Pennsylvania *
3374. Crawford County, Pennsylvania *
3375. Cumberland County, Pennsylvania *
3376. Dauphin County, Pennsylvania *
3377. Delaware County, Pennsylvania *
3378. Erie city, Pennsylvania *
3379. Erie County, Pennsylvania *
3380. Falls township, Pennsylvania *
3381. Fayette County, Pennsylvania *
3382. Franklin County, Pennsylvania *
3383. Greene County, Pennsylvania *
3384. Hampden township, Pennsylvania *
3385. Harrisburg city, Pennsylvania *
3386. Haverford township, Pennsylvania *
3387. Hempfield township, Pennsylvania *
3388. Huntingdon County, Pennsylvania *
3389. Indiana County, Pennsylvania *
3390. Jefferson County, Pennsylvania *
3391. Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania *
3392. Lancaster city, Pennsylvania *
3393. Lancaster County, Pennsylvania *
3394. Lawrence County, Pennsylvania *
3395. Lebanon County, Pennsylvania *
3396. Lehigh County, Pennsylvania *
3397. Lower Macungie township, Pennsylvania *
3398. Lower Makefield township, Pennsylvania *
3399. Lower Merion township, Pennsylvania *
3400. Lower Paxton township, Pennsylvania *
3401. Luzerne County, Pennsylvania *
3402. Lycoming County, Pennsylvania *
3403. Manheim township, Pennsylvania *
3404. McKean County, Pennsylvania *
3405. Mercer County, Pennsylvania *
3406. Middletown township, Pennsylvania *
3407. Mifflin County, Pennsylvania *
3408. Millcreek township, Pennsylvania *
3409. Monroe County, Pennsylvania *
3410. Montgomery County, Pennsylvania *
3411. Mount Lebanon township, Pennsylvania *
3412. Norristown borough, Pennsylvania *
3413. North Huntingdon township, Pennsylvania *
3414. Northampton County, Pennsylvania *
3415. Northampton township, Pennsylvania *
3416. Northumberland County, Pennsylvania *
3417. Penn Hills township, Pennsylvania *
3418. Perry County, Pennsylvania *
3419. Philadelphia city / Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania *
3420. Pike County, Pennsylvania *
3421. Pittsburgh city, Pennsylvania *
3422. Radnor township, Pennsylvania *
3423. Reading city, Pennsylvania *
3424. Ridley township, Pennsylvania *
3425. Ross township, Pennsylvania *
3426. Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania *
3427. Scranton city, Pennsylvania *
3428. Snyder County, Pennsylvania *
3429. Somerset County, Pennsylvania *
3430. State College borough, Pennsylvania *
3431. Susquehanna County, Pennsylvania *
3432. Tioga County, Pennsylvania *
3433. Union County, Pennsylvania *
3434. Upper Darby township, Pennsylvania *
3435. Upper Merion township, Pennsylvania *
3436. Venango County, Pennsylvania *
3437. Warminster township, Pennsylvania *

3438. Warren County, Pennsylvania *
3439. Washington County, Pennsylvania *
3440. Wayne County, Pennsylvania *
3441. Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania *
3442. Wilkes-Barre city, Pennsylvania *
3443. York city, Pennsylvania *
3444. York County, Pennsylvania *
3445. Adams township, Pennsylvania
3446. Amity township, Pennsylvania
3447. Antrim township, Pennsylvania
3448. Aston township, Pennsylvania
3449. Baldwin borough, Pennsylvania
3450. Bethlehem township, Pennsylvania
3451. Bloomsburg town, Pennsylvania
3452. Buckingham township, Pennsylvania
3453. Butler city, Pennsylvania
3454. Butler township, Pennsylvania
3455. Caln township, Pennsylvania
3456. Carlisle borough, Pennsylvania
3457. Cecil township, Pennsylvania
3458. Center township, Pennsylvania
3459. Chambersburg borough, Pennsylvania
3460. Chestnuthill township, Pennsylvania
3461. Coal township, Pennsylvania
3462. Coatesville city, Pennsylvania
3463. College township, Pennsylvania
3464. Columbia borough, Pennsylvania
3465. Concord township, Pennsylvania
3466. Coolbaugh township, Pennsylvania
3467. Cumru township, Pennsylvania
3468. Darby borough, Pennsylvania
3469. Derry township, Pennsylvania
3470. Derry township, Pennsylvania
3471. Dingman township, Pennsylvania
3472. Douglass township, Pennsylvania
3473. Dover township, Pennsylvania
3474. Doylestown township, Pennsylvania
3475. Dunmore borough, Pennsylvania
3476. East Cocalico township, Pennsylvania
3477. East Goshen township, Pennsylvania
3478. East Hempfield township, Pennsylvania
3479. East Lampeter township, Pennsylvania
3480. East Norriton township, Pennsylvania
3481. East Pennsboro township, Pennsylvania
3482. East Stroudsburg borough, Pennsylvania
3483. East Whiteland township, Pennsylvania
3484. Easton city, Pennsylvania
3485. Easttown township, Pennsylvania
3486. Elizabeth township, Pennsylvania
3487. Elizabethtown borough, Pennsylvania
3488. Elk County, Pennsylvania
3489. Emmaus borough, Pennsylvania
3490. Ephrata borough, Pennsylvania
3491. Ephrata township, Pennsylvania
3492. Exeter township, Pennsylvania
3493. Fairview township, Pennsylvania
3494. Fairview township, Pennsylvania
3495. Ferguson township, Pennsylvania
3496. Forks township, Pennsylvania
3497. Franconia township, Pennsylvania
3498. Franklin Park borough, Pennsylvania
3499. Fulton County, Pennsylvania
3500. Greene township, Pennsylvania
3501. Greensburg city, Pennsylvania
3502. Guilford township, Pennsylvania
3503. Hamilton township, Pennsylvania
3504. Hampton township, Pennsylvania
3505. Hanover borough, Pennsylvania
3506. Hanover township, Pennsylvania
3507. Hanover township, Pennsylvania
3508. Harborcreek township, Pennsylvania
3509. Harrison township, Pennsylvania
3510. Hatfield township, Pennsylvania
3511. Hazleton city, Pennsylvania
3512. Hermitage city, Pennsylvania
3513. Hilltown township, Pennsylvania
3514. Hopewell township, Pennsylvania
3515. Horsham township, Pennsylvania
3516. Indiana borough, Pennsylvania
3517. Jefferson Hills borough, Pennsylvania
3518. Johnstown city, Pennsylvania
3519. Juniata County, Pennsylvania
3520. Kingston borough, Pennsylvania
3521. Lancaster township, Pennsylvania
3522. Lansdale borough, Pennsylvania
3523. Lansdowne borough, Pennsylvania
3524. Lebanon city, Pennsylvania
3525. Lehigh township, Pennsylvania
3526. Lehman township, Pennsylvania
3527. Limerick township, Pennsylvania
3528. Logan township, Pennsylvania
3529. Lower Allen township, Pennsylvania
3530. Lower Burrell city, Pennsylvania
3531. Lower Gwynedd township, Pennsylvania
3532. Lower Moreland township, Pennsylvania
3533. Lower Pottsgrove township, Pennsylvania
3534. Lower Providence township, Pennsylvania
3535. Lower Salford township, Pennsylvania
3536. Lower Saucon township, Pennsylvania
3537. Lower Southampton township, Pennsylvania
3538. Loyalsock township, Pennsylvania
3539. Manchester township, Pennsylvania
3540. Manor township, Pennsylvania
3541. Marple township, Pennsylvania
3542. McCandless township, Pennsylvania
3543. McKeesport city, Pennsylvania
3544. Meadville city, Pennsylvania
3545. Middle Smithfield township, Pennsylvania
3546. Middletown township, Pennsylvania
3547. Milford township, Pennsylvania
3548. Monroeville municipality, Pennsylvania
3549. Montgomery township, Pennsylvania

3550. Montour County, Pennsylvania
3551. Moon township, Pennsylvania
3552. Mount Joy township, Pennsylvania
3553. Mount Pleasant township, Pennsylvania
3554. Muhlenberg township, Pennsylvania
3555. Munhall borough, Pennsylvania
3556. Murrysville municipality, Pennsylvania
3557. Nanticoke city, Pennsylvania
3558. Nether Providence township, Pennsylvania
3559. New Britain township, Pennsylvania
3560. New Castle city, Pennsylvania
3561. New Garden township, Pennsylvania
3562. New Hanover township, Pennsylvania
3563. New Kensington city, Pennsylvania
3564. Newberry township, Pennsylvania
3565. Newtown township, Pennsylvania
3566. Newtown township, Pennsylvania
3567. North Fayette township, Pennsylvania
3568. North Lebanon township, Pennsylvania
3569. North Middleton township, Pennsylvania
3570. North Strabane township, Pennsylvania
3571. North Union township, Pennsylvania
3572. North Whitehall township, Pennsylvania
3573. Palmer township, Pennsylvania
3574. Patton township, Pennsylvania
3575. Penn township, Pennsylvania
3576. Penn township, Pennsylvania
3577. Peters township, Pennsylvania
3578. Phoenixville borough, Pennsylvania
3579. Pine township, Pennsylvania
3580. Plum borough, Pennsylvania
3581. Plumstead township, Pennsylvania
3582. Plymouth township, Pennsylvania
3583. Pocono township, Pennsylvania
3584. Potter County, Pennsylvania
3585. Pottstown borough, Pennsylvania
3586. Pottsville city, Pennsylvania
3587. Rapho township, Pennsylvania
3588. Richland township, Pennsylvania
3589. Richland township, Pennsylvania
3590. Richland township, Pennsylvania
3591. Robinson township, Pennsylvania
3592. Rostraver township, Pennsylvania
3593. Salisbury township, Pennsylvania
3594. Salisbury township, Pennsylvania
3595. Sandy township, Pennsylvania
3596. Scott township, Pennsylvania
3597. Shaler township, Pennsylvania
3598. Sharon city, Pennsylvania
3599. Silver Spring township, Pennsylvania
3600. Skippack township, Pennsylvania
3601. Somerset township, Pennsylvania
3602. South Fayette township, Pennsylvania
3603. South Lebanon township, Pennsylvania
3604. South Middleton township, Pennsylvania
3605. South Park township, Pennsylvania
3606. South Union township, Pennsylvania
3607. South Whitehall township, Pennsylvania
3608. Spring Garden township, Pennsylvania
3609. Spring township, Pennsylvania
3610. Springettsbury township, Pennsylvania
3611. Springfield township, Pennsylvania
3612. Springfield township, Pennsylvania
3613. St. Marys city, Pennsylvania
3614. Stroud township, Pennsylvania
3615. Susquehanna township, Pennsylvania
3616. Swatara township, Pennsylvania
3617. Towamencin township, Pennsylvania
3618. Tredyffrin township, Pennsylvania
3619. Unity township, Pennsylvania
3620. Upper Allen township, Pennsylvania
3621. Upper Chichester township, Pennsylvania
3622. Upper Dublin township, Pennsylvania
3623. Upper Gwynedd township, Pennsylvania
3624. Upper Macungie township, Pennsylvania
3625. Upper Moreland township, Pennsylvania
3626. Upper Providence township, Pennsylvania
3627. Upper Providence township, Pennsylvania
3628. Upper Saucon township, Pennsylvania
3629. Upper Southampton township, Pennsylvania
3630. Upper St. Clair township, Pennsylvania
3631. Upper Uwchlan township, Pennsylvania
3632. Uwchlan township, Pennsylvania
3633. Warrington township, Pennsylvania
3634. Warwick township, Pennsylvania
3635. Warwick township, Pennsylvania
3636. Washington city, Pennsylvania
3637. Washington township, Pennsylvania
3638. Waynesboro borough, Pennsylvania
3639. West Bradford township, Pennsylvania
3640. West Chester borough, Pennsylvania
3641. West Deer township, Pennsylvania
3642. West Goshen township, Pennsylvania
3643. West Hanover township, Pennsylvania
3644. West Hempfield township, Pennsylvania
3645. West Lampeter township, Pennsylvania
3646. West Manchester township, Pennsylvania
3647. West Mifflin borough, Pennsylvania
3648. West Norriton township, Pennsylvania
3649. West Whiteland township, Pennsylvania
3650. Westtown township, Pennsylvania
3651. White township, Pennsylvania
3652. Whitehall borough, Pennsylvania
3653. Whitehall township, Pennsylvania
3654. Whitemarsh township, Pennsylvania
3655. Whitpain township, Pennsylvania
3656. Wilkinsburg borough, Pennsylvania
3657. Williamsport city, Pennsylvania
3658. Willistown township, Pennsylvania
3659. Windsor township, Pennsylvania
3660. Worcester township, Pennsylvania
3661. Wyoming County, Pennsylvania

3662. Wyomissing borough, Pennsylvania
3663. Yeadon borough, Pennsylvania
3664. York township, Pennsylvania
3665. Coventry town, Rhode Island *
3666. Cranston city, Rhode Island *
3667. Cumberland town, Rhode Island *
3668. East Providence city, Rhode Island *
3669. North Providence town, Rhode Island *
3670. Pawtucket city, Rhode Island *
3671. Providence city, Rhode Island *
3672. South Kingstown town, Rhode Island *
3673. Warwick city, Rhode Island *
3674. Woonsocket city, Rhode Island *
3675. Barrington town, Rhode Island
3676. Bristol town, Rhode Island
3677. Burrillville town, Rhode Island
3678. Central Falls city, Rhode Island
3679. East Greenwich town, Rhode Island
3680. Glocester town, Rhode Island
3681. Johnston town, Rhode Island
3682. Lincoln town, Rhode Island
3683. Middletown town, Rhode Island
3684. Narragansett town, Rhode Island
3685. Newport city, Rhode Island
3686. North Kingstown town, Rhode Island
3687. North Smithfield town, Rhode Island
3688. Portsmouth town, Rhode Island
3689. Scituate town, Rhode Island
3690. Smithfield town, Rhode Island
3691. Tiverton town, Rhode Island
3692. Warren town, Rhode Island
3693. West Warwick town, Rhode Island
3694. Westerly town, Rhode Island
3695. Brookings County, South Dakota *
3696. Brown County, South Dakota *
3697. Lincoln County, South Dakota *
3698. Minnehaha County, South Dakota *
3699. Pennington County, South Dakota *
3700. Rapid City city, South Dakota *
3701. Sioux Falls city, South Dakota *
3702. Aberdeen city, South Dakota
3703. Beadle County, South Dakota
3704. Box Elder city, South Dakota
3705. Brandon city, South Dakota
3706. Brookings city, South Dakota
3707. Butte County, South Dakota
3708. Clay County, South Dakota
3709. Codington County, South Dakota
3710. Davison County, South Dakota
3711. Hughes County, South Dakota
3712. Huron city, South Dakota
3713. Lake County, South Dakota
3714. Lawrence County, South Dakota
3715. Meade County, South Dakota
3716. Mitchell city, South Dakota
3717. Oglala Lakota County, South Dakota
3718. Pierre city, South Dakota
3719. Roberts County, South Dakota
3720. Spearfish city, South Dakota
3721. Todd County, South Dakota
3722. Union County, South Dakota
3723. Vermillion city, South Dakota
3724. Watertown city, South Dakota
3725. Yankton city, South Dakota
3726. Yankton County, South Dakota
3727. Abilene city, Texas *
3728. Allen city, Texas *
3729. Amarillo city, Texas *
3730. Anderson County, Texas *
3731. Angelina County, Texas *
3732. Arlington city, Texas *
3733. Atascosa County, Texas *
3734. Austin city, Texas *
3735. Austin County, Texas *
3736. Bastrop County, Texas *
3737. Baytown city, Texas *
3738. Beaumont city, Texas *
3739. Bedford city, Texas *
3740. Bee County, Texas *
3741. Bell County, Texas *
3742. Bexar County, Texas *
3743. Bowie County, Texas *
3744. Brazoria County, Texas *
3745. Brazos County, Texas *
3746. Brown County, Texas *
3747. Brownsville city, Texas *
3748. Bryan city, Texas *
3749. Burleson city, Texas *
3750. Burnet County, Texas *
3751. Caldwell County, Texas *
3752. Cameron County, Texas *
3753. Carrollton city, Texas *
3754. Cass County, Texas *
3755. Cedar Hill city, Texas *
3756. Cedar Park city, Texas *
3757. Chambers County, Texas *
3758. Cherokee County, Texas *
3759. Cibolo city, Texas *
3760. Cleburne city, Texas *
3761. College Station city, Texas *
3762. Collin County, Texas *
3763. Comal County, Texas *
3764. Conroe city, Texas *
3765. Cooke County, Texas *
3766. Coppell city, Texas *
3767. Copperas Cove city, Texas *
3768. Corpus Christi city, Texas *
3769. Coryell County, Texas *
3770. Dallas city, Texas *
3771. Dallas County, Texas *
3772. Deer Park city, Texas *
3773. Del Rio city, Texas *

3774. Denton city, Texas *
3775. Denton County, Texas *
3776. DeSoto city, Texas *
3777. Duncanville city, Texas *
3778. Ector County, Texas *
3779. Edinburg city, Texas *
3780. El Paso city, Texas *
3781. El Paso County, Texas *
3782. Ellis County, Texas *
3783. Erath County, Texas *
3784. Euless city, Texas *
3785. Fannin County, Texas *
3786. Farmers Branch city, Texas *
3787. Flower Mound town, Texas *
3788. Fort Bend County, Texas *
3789. Fort Worth city, Texas *
3790. Friendswood city, Texas *
3791. Frisco city, Texas *
3792. Galveston city, Texas *
3793. Galveston County, Texas *
3794. Garland city, Texas *
3795. Georgetown city, Texas *
3796. Grand Prairie city, Texas *
3797. Grapevine city, Texas *
3798. Grayson County, Texas *
3799. Gregg County, Texas *
3800. Guadalupe County, Texas *
3801. Hale County, Texas *
3802. Haltom City city, Texas *
3803. Hardin County, Texas *
3804. Harker Heights city, Texas *
3805. Harlingen city, Texas *
3806. Harris County, Texas *
3807. Harrison County, Texas *
3808. Hays County, Texas *
3809. Henderson County, Texas *
3810. Hidalgo County, Texas *
3811. Hill County, Texas *
3812. Hood County, Texas *
3813. Hopkins County, Texas *
3814. Houston city, Texas *
3815. Howard County, Texas *
3816. Hunt County, Texas *
3817. Huntsville city, Texas *
3818. Hurst city, Texas *
3819. Irving city, Texas *
3820. Jasper County, Texas *
3821. Jefferson County, Texas *
3822. Jim Wells County, Texas *
3823. Johnson County, Texas *
3824. Kaufman County, Texas *
3825. Keller city, Texas *
3826. Kendall County, Texas *
3827. Kerr County, Texas *
3828. Killeen city, Texas *
3829. Kleberg County, Texas *
3830. Kyle city, Texas *
3831. La Porte city, Texas *
3832. Lamar County, Texas *
3833. Lancaster city, Texas *
3834. Laredo city, Texas *
3835. League City city, Texas *
3836. Leander city, Texas *
3837. Lewisville city, Texas *
3838. Liberty County, Texas *
3839. Little Elm city, Texas *
3840. Longview city, Texas *
3841. Lubbock city, Texas *
3842. Lubbock County, Texas *
3843. Lufkin city, Texas *
3844. Mansfield city, Texas *
3845. Matagorda County, Texas *
3846. Maverick County, Texas *
3847. McAllen city, Texas *
3848. McKinney city, Texas *
3849. McLennan County, Texas *
3850. Medina County, Texas *
3851. Mesquite city, Texas *
3852. Midland city, Texas *
3853. Midland County, Texas *
3854. Midlothian city, Texas *
3855. Mission city, Texas *
3856. Missouri City city, Texas *
3857. Montgomery County, Texas *
3858. Nacogdoches city, Texas *
3859. Nacogdoches County, Texas *
3860. Navarro County, Texas *
3861. New Braunfels city, Texas *
3862. North Richland Hills city, Texas *
3863. Nueces County, Texas *
3864. Odessa city, Texas *
3865. Orange County, Texas *
3866. Parker County, Texas *
3867. Pasadena city, Texas *
3868. Pearland city, Texas *
3869. Pflugerville city, Texas *
3870. Pharr city, Texas *
3871. Plano city, Texas *
3872. Polk County, Texas *
3873. Port Arthur city, Texas *
3874. Potter County, Texas *
3875. Randall County, Texas *
3876. Richardson city, Texas *
3877. Rockwall city, Texas *
3878. Rockwall County, Texas *
3879. Rosenberg city, Texas *
3880. Round Rock city, Texas *
3881. Rowlett city, Texas *
3882. Rusk County, Texas *
3883. San Angelo city, Texas *
3884. San Antonio city, Texas *
3885. San Juan city, Texas *

3886. San Marcos city, Texas *
3887. San Patricio County, Texas *
3888. Schertz city, Texas *
3889. Sherman city, Texas *
3890. Smith County, Texas *
3891. Socorro city, Texas *
3892. Southlake city, Texas *
3893. Starr County, Texas *
3894. Sugar Land city, Texas *
3895. Tarrant County, Texas *
3896. Taylor County, Texas *
3897. Temple city, Texas *
3898. Texarkana city, Texas *
3899. Texas City city, Texas *
3900. The Colony city, Texas *
3901. Titus County, Texas *
3902. Tom Green County, Texas *
3903. Travis County, Texas *
3904. Tyler city, Texas *
3905. Upshur County, Texas *
3906. Val Verde County, Texas *
3907. Van Zandt County, Texas *
3908. Victoria city, Texas *
3909. Victoria County, Texas *
3910. Waco city, Texas *
3911. Walker County, Texas *
3912. Waller County, Texas *
3913. Washington County, Texas *
3914. Waxahachie city, Texas *
3915. Weatherford city, Texas *
3916. Webb County, Texas *
3917. Weslaco city, Texas *
3918. Wharton County, Texas *
3919. Wichita County, Texas *
3920. Wichita Falls city, Texas *
3921. Williamson County, Texas *
3922. Wilson County, Texas *
3923. Wise County, Texas *
3924. Wood County, Texas *
3925. Wylie city, Texas *
3926. Addison town, Texas
3927. Alamo city, Texas
3928. Alice city, Texas
3929. Alton city, Texas
3930. Alvin city, Texas
3931. Andrews city, Texas
3932. Andrews County, Texas
3933. Angleton city, Texas
3934. Anna city, Texas
3935. Aransas County, Texas
3936. Athens city, Texas
3937. Azle city, Texas
3938. Balch Springs city, Texas
3939. Bandera County, Texas
3940. Bay City city, Texas
3941. Beeville city, Texas
3942. Bellaire city, Texas
3943. Bellmead city, Texas
3944. Belton city, Texas
3945. Benbrook city, Texas
3946. Big Spring city, Texas
3947. Blanco County, Texas
3948. Boerne city, Texas
3949. Bonham city, Texas
3950. Borger city, Texas
3951. Bosque County, Texas
3952. Brenham city, Texas
3953. Brownwood city, Texas
3954. Buda city, Texas
3955. Burkburnett city, Texas
3956. Burleson County, Texas
3957. Calhoun County, Texas
3958. Callahan County, Texas
3959. Camp County, Texas
3960. Canyon city, Texas
3961. Celina city, Texas
3962. Clay County, Texas
3963. Clute city, Texas
3964. Colleyville city, Texas
3965. Colorado County, Texas
3966. Comanche County, Texas
3967. Converse city, Texas
3968. Corinth city, Texas
3969. Corsicana city, Texas
3970. Crowley city, Texas
3971. Dawson County, Texas
3972. Deaf Smith County, Texas
3973. Denison city, Texas
3974. DeWitt County, Texas
3975. Dickinson city, Texas
3976. Dimmit County, Texas
3977. Donna city, Texas
3978. Dumas city, Texas
3979. Duval County, Texas
3980. Eagle Pass city, Texas
3981. Eastland County, Texas
3982. El Campo city, Texas
3983. Elgin city, Texas
3984. Ennis city, Texas
3985. Fair Oaks Ranch city, Texas
3986. Falls County, Texas
3987. Fate city, Texas
3988. Fayette County, Texas
3989. Forest Hill city, Texas
3990. Forney city, Texas
3991. Franklin County, Texas
3992. Fredericksburg city, Texas
3993. Freeport city, Texas
3994. Freestone County, Texas
3995. Frio County, Texas
3996. Fulshear city, Texas
3997. Gaines County, Texas

3998. Gainesville city, Texas
3999. Galena Park city, Texas
4000. Gatesville city, Texas
4001. Gillespie County, Texas
4002. Glenn Heights city, Texas
4003. Gonzales County, Texas
4004. Granbury city, Texas
4005. Gray County, Texas
4006. Greenville city, Texas
4007. Grimes County, Texas
4008. Groves city, Texas
4009. Henderson city, Texas
4010. Hereford city, Texas
4011. Hewitt city, Texas
4012. Hidalgo city, Texas
4013. Highland Village city, Texas
4014. Hockley County, Texas
4015. Horizon City city, Texas
4016. Houston County, Texas
4017. Humble city, Texas
4018. Hutchinson County, Texas
4019. Hutto city, Texas
4020. Ingleside city, Texas
4021. Jacinto City city, Texas
4022. Jackson County, Texas
4023. Jacksonville city, Texas
4024. Jones County, Texas
4025. Karnes County, Texas
4026. Katy city, Texas
4027. Kerrville city, Texas
4028. Kilgore city, Texas
4029. Kingsville city, Texas
4030. La Marque city, Texas
4031. Lake Jackson city, Texas
4032. Lakeway city, Texas
4033. Lamb County, Texas
4034. Lampasas County, Texas
4035. Lavaca County, Texas
4036. Lee County, Texas
4037. Leon County, Texas
4038. Leon Valley city, Texas
4039. Levelland city, Texas
4040. Limestone County, Texas
4041. Live Oak city, Texas
4042. Live Oak County, Texas
4043. Llano County, Texas
4044. Lockhart city, Texas
4045. Lumberton city, Texas
4046. Madison County, Texas
4047. Manor city, Texas
4048. Manvel city, Texas
4049. Marshall city, Texas
4050. Melissa city, Texas
4051. Mercedes city, Texas
4052. Milam County, Texas
4053. Mineral Wells city, Texas
4054. Montague County, Texas
4055. Moore County, Texas
4056. Morris County, Texas
4057. Mount Pleasant city, Texas
4058. Murphy city, Texas
4059. Nederland city, Texas
4060. Newton County, Texas
4061. Nolan County, Texas
4062. Orange city, Texas
4063. Palestine city, Texas
4064. Palo Pinto County, Texas
4065. Pampa city, Texas
4066. Panola County, Texas
4067. Paris city, Texas
4068. Pearsall city, Texas
4069. Pecos city, Texas
4070. Pecos County, Texas
4071. Plainview city, Texas
4072. Pleasanton city, Texas
4073. Port Lavaca city, Texas
4074. Port Neches city, Texas
4075. Portland city, Texas
4076. Princeton city, Texas
4077. Prosper town, Texas
4078. Rains County, Texas
4079. Raymondville city, Texas
4080. Red Oak city, Texas
4081. Red River County, Texas
4082. Reeves County, Texas
4083. Richmond city, Texas
4084. Rio Grande City city, Texas
4085. Robertson County, Texas
4086. Robinson city, Texas
4087. Robstown city, Texas
4088. Rockport city, Texas
4089. Roma city, Texas
4090. Royse City city, Texas
4091. Runnels County, Texas
4092. Sabine County, Texas
4093. Sachse city, Texas
4094. Saginaw city, Texas
4095. San Benito city, Texas
4096. San Jacinto County, Texas
4097. Santa Fe city, Texas
4098. Scurry County, Texas
4099. Seabrook city, Texas
4100. Seagoville city, Texas
4101. Seguin city, Texas
4102. Selma city, Texas
4103. Shelby County, Texas
4104. Snyder city, Texas
4105. South Houston city, Texas
4106. Stafford city, Texas
4107. Stephenville city, Texas
4108. Sulphur Springs city, Texas
4109. Sweetwater city, Texas

4110. Taylor city, Texas
4111. Terrell city, Texas
4112. Terry County, Texas
4113. Tomball city, Texas
4114. Trinity County, Texas
4115. Trophy Club town, Texas
4116. Tyler County, Texas
4117. Universal City city, Texas
4118. University Park city, Texas
4119. Uvalde city, Texas
4120. Uvalde County, Texas
4121. Vernon city, Texas
4122. Vidor city, Texas
4123. Ward County, Texas
4124. Watauga city, Texas
4125. Webster city, Texas
4126. West University Place city, Texas
4127. White Settlement city, Texas
4128. Wilbarger County, Texas
4129. Willacy County, Texas
4130. Young County, Texas
4131. Zapata County, Texas
4132. Zavala County, Texas
4133. American Fork city, Utah *
4134. Bountiful city, Utah *
4135. Box Elder County, Utah *
4136. Cache County, Utah *
4137. Cedar City city, Utah *
4138. Clearfield city, Utah *
4139. Cottonwood Heights city, Utah *
4140. Davis County, Utah *
4141. Draper city, Utah *
4142. Eagle Mountain city, Utah *
4143. Herriman city, Utah *
4144. Holladay city, Utah *
4145. Iron County, Utah *
4146. Kaysville city, Utah *
4147. Kearns metro township, Utah *
4148. Layton city, Utah *
4149. Lehi city, Utah *
4150. Logan city, Utah *
4151. Midvale city, Utah *
4152. Millcreek city, Utah *
4153. Murray city, Utah *
4154. Ogden city, Utah *
4155. Orem city, Utah *
4156. Pleasant Grove city, Utah *
4157. Provo city, Utah *
4158. Riverton city, Utah *
4159. Roy city, Utah *
4160. Salt Lake City city, Utah *
4161. Salt Lake County, Utah *
4162. Sandy city, Utah *
4163. Sanpete County, Utah *
4164. Saratoga Springs city, Utah *
4165. South Jordan city, Utah *
4166. Spanish Fork city, Utah *
4167. Springville city, Utah *
4168. St. George city, Utah *
4169. Summit County, Utah *
4170. Syracuse city, Utah *
4171. Taylorsville city, Utah *
4172. Tooele city, Utah *
4173. Tooele County, Utah *
4174. Uintah County, Utah *
4175. Utah County, Utah *
4176. Wasatch County, Utah *
4177. Washington County, Utah *
4178. Weber County, Utah *
4179. West Jordan city, Utah *
4180. West Valley City city, Utah *
4181. Alpine city, Utah
4182. Bluffdale city, Utah
4183. Brigham City city, Utah
4184. Carbon County, Utah
4185. Cedar Hills city, Utah
4186. Centerville city, Utah
4187. Clinton city, Utah
4188. Duchesne County, Utah
4189. Emery County, Utah
4190. Farmington city, Utah
4191. Grantsville city, Utah
4192. Heber city, Utah
4193. Highland city, Utah
4194. Hurricane city, Utah
4195. Juab County, Utah
4196. Lindon city, Utah
4197. Magna metro township, Utah
4198. Mapleton city, Utah
4199. Millard County, Utah
4200. Morgan County, Utah
4201. North Logan city, Utah
4202. North Ogden city, Utah
4203. North Salt Lake city, Utah
4204. Payson city, Utah
4205. Pleasant View city, Utah
4206. San Juan County, Utah
4207. Santaquin city, Utah
4208. Sevier County, Utah
4209. Smithfield city, Utah
4210. South Ogden city, Utah
4211. South Salt Lake city, Utah
4212. Vernal city, Utah
4213. Vineyard town, Utah
4214. Washington city, Utah
4215. West Haven city, Utah
4216. West Point city, Utah
4217. Woods Cross city, Utah
4218. Addison County, Vermont *
4219. Bennington County, Vermont *
4220. Burlington city, Vermont *
4221. Chittenden County, Vermont *

4222. Franklin County, Vermont *
4223. Rutland County, Vermont *
4224. Washington County, Vermont *
4225. Windham County, Vermont *
4226. Windsor County, Vermont *
4227. Bennington town, Vermont
4228. Brattleboro town, Vermont
4229. Caledonia County, Vermont
4230. Colchester town, Vermont
4231. Essex Junction village, Vermont
4232. Essex town, Vermont
4233. Lamoille County, Vermont
4234. Milton town, Vermont
4235. Orange County, Vermont
4236. Orleans County, Vermont
4237. Rutland city, Vermont
4238. South Burlington city, Vermont
4239. Williston town, Vermont
4240. Accomack County, Virginia *
4241. Albemarle County, Virginia *
4242. Alexandria city, Virginia *
4243. Amherst County, Virginia *
4244. Arlington County, Virginia *
4245. Augusta County, Virginia *
4246. Bedford County, Virginia *
4247. Blacksburg town, Virginia *
4248. Botetourt County, Virginia *
4249. Campbell County, Virginia *
4250. Caroline County, Virginia *
4251. Charlottesville city, Virginia *
4252. Chesapeake city, Virginia *
4253. Chesterfield County, Virginia *
4254. Culpeper County, Virginia *
4255. Danville city, Virginia *
4256. Fairfax County, Virginia *
4257. Fauquier County, Virginia *
4258. Franklin County, Virginia *
4259. Frederick County, Virginia *
4260. Gloucester County, Virginia *
4261. Halifax County, Virginia *
4262. Hampton city, Virginia *
4263. Hanover County, Virginia *
4264. Harrisonburg city, Virginia *
4265. Henrico County, Virginia *
4266. Henry County, Virginia *
4267. Isle of Wight County, Virginia *
4268. James City County, Virginia *
4269. Leesburg town, Virginia *
4270. Loudoun County, Virginia *
4271. Louisa County, Virginia *
4272. Lynchburg city, Virginia *
4273. Manassas city, Virginia *
4274. Mecklenburg County, Virginia *
4275. Montgomery County, Virginia *
4276. Newport News city, Virginia *
4277. Norfolk city, Virginia *
4278. Orange County, Virginia *
4279. Petersburg city, Virginia *
4280. Pittsylvania County, Virginia *
4281. Portsmouth city, Virginia *
4282. Prince George County, Virginia *
4283. Prince William County, Virginia *
4284. Pulaski County, Virginia *
4285. Richmond city, Virginia *
4286. Roanoke city, Virginia *
4287. Roanoke County, Virginia *
4288. Rockingham County, Virginia *
4289. Shenandoah County, Virginia *
4290. Smyth County, Virginia *
4291. Spotsylvania County, Virginia *
4292. Stafford County, Virginia *
4293. Suffolk city, Virginia *
4294. Tazewell County, Virginia *
4295. Virginia Beach city, Virginia *
4296. Warren County, Virginia *
4297. Washington County, Virginia *
4298. Wise County, Virginia *
4299. York County, Virginia *
4300. Alleghany County, Virginia
4301. Amelia County, Virginia
4302. Appomattox County, Virginia
4303. Bristol city, Virginia
4304. Brunswick County, Virginia
4305. Buchanan County, Virginia
4306. Buckingham County, Virginia
4307. Carroll County, Virginia
4308. Charlotte County, Virginia
4309. Christiansburg town, Virginia
4310. Clarke County, Virginia
4311. Colonial Heights city, Virginia
4312. Culpeper town, Virginia
4313. Dickenson County, Virginia
4314. Dinwiddie County, Virginia
4315. Essex County, Virginia
4316. Fairfax city, Virginia
4317. Falls Church city, Virginia
4318. Floyd County, Virginia
4319. Fluvanna County, Virginia
4320. Fredericksburg city, Virginia
4321. Front Royal town, Virginia
4322. Giles County, Virginia
4323. Goochland County, Virginia
4324. Grayson County, Virginia
4325. Greene County, Virginia
4326. Greensville County, Virginia
4327. Herndon town, Virginia
4328. Hopewell city, Virginia
4329. King George County, Virginia
4330. King William County, Virginia
4331. Lancaster County, Virginia
4332. Lee County, Virginia
4333. Lunenburg County, Virginia

4334. Madison County, Virginia
4335. Manassas Park city, Virginia
4336. Martinsville city, Virginia
4337. Middlesex County, Virginia
4338. Nelson County, Virginia
4339. New Kent County, Virginia
4340. Northampton County, Virginia
4341. Northumberland County, Virginia
4342. Nottoway County, Virginia
4343. Page County, Virginia
4344. Patrick County, Virginia
4345. Poquoson city, Virginia
4346. Powhatan County, Virginia
4347. Prince Edward County, Virginia
4348. Purcellville town, Virginia
4349. Radford city, Virginia
4350. Rockbridge County, Virginia
4351. Russell County, Virginia
4352. Salem city, Virginia
4353. Scott County, Virginia
4354. Southampton County, Virginia
4355. Staunton city, Virginia
4356. Sussex County, Virginia
4357. Vienna town, Virginia
4358. Warrenton town, Virginia
4359. Waynesboro city, Virginia
4360. Westmoreland County, Virginia
4361. Williamsburg city, Virginia
4362. Winchester city, Virginia
4363. Wythe County, Virginia
4364. Appleton city, Wisconsin *
4365. Barron County, Wisconsin *
4366. Beloit city, Wisconsin *
4367. Brookfield city, Wisconsin *
4368. Brown County, Wisconsin *
4369. Calumet County, Wisconsin *
4370. Chippewa County, Wisconsin *
4371. Clark County, Wisconsin *
4372. Columbia County, Wisconsin *
4373. Dane County, Wisconsin *
4374. Dodge County, Wisconsin *
4375. Douglas County, Wisconsin *
4376. Dunn County, Wisconsin *
4377. Eau Claire city, Wisconsin *
4378. Eau Claire County, Wisconsin *
4379. Fitchburg city, Wisconsin *
4380. Fond du Lac city, Wisconsin *
4381. Fond du Lac County, Wisconsin *
4382. Franklin city, Wisconsin *
4383. Grant County, Wisconsin *
4384. Green Bay city, Wisconsin *
4385. Green County, Wisconsin *
4386. Greenfield city, Wisconsin *
4387. Janesville city, Wisconsin *
4388. Jefferson County, Wisconsin *
4389. Kenosha city, Wisconsin *
4390. Kenosha County, Wisconsin *
4391. La Crosse city, Wisconsin *
4392. La Crosse County, Wisconsin *
4393. Madison city, Wisconsin *
4394. Manitowoc city, Wisconsin *
4395. Manitowoc County, Wisconsin *
4396. Marathon County, Wisconsin *
4397. Marinette County, Wisconsin *
4398. Menomonee Falls village, Wisconsin *
4399. Milwaukee city, Wisconsin *
4400. Milwaukee County, Wisconsin *
4401. Monroe County, Wisconsin *
4402. New Berlin city, Wisconsin *
4403. Oak Creek city, Wisconsin *
4404. Oconto County, Wisconsin *
4405. Oneida County, Wisconsin *
4406. Oshkosh city, Wisconsin *
4407. Outagamie County, Wisconsin *
4408. Ozaukee County, Wisconsin *
4409. Pierce County, Wisconsin *
4410. Polk County, Wisconsin *
4411. Portage County, Wisconsin *
4412. Racine city, Wisconsin *
4413. Racine County, Wisconsin *
4414. Rock County, Wisconsin *
4415. Sauk County, Wisconsin *
4416. Shawano County, Wisconsin *
4417. Sheboygan city, Wisconsin *
4418. Sheboygan County, Wisconsin *
4419. St. Croix County, Wisconsin *
4420. Sun Prairie city, Wisconsin *
4421. Vernon County, Wisconsin *
4422. Walworth County, Wisconsin *
4423. Washington County, Wisconsin *
4424. Waukesha city, Wisconsin *
4425. Waukesha County, Wisconsin *
4426. Waupaca County, Wisconsin *
4427. Wausau city, Wisconsin *
4428. Wauwatosa city, Wisconsin *
4429. West Allis city, Wisconsin *
4430. West Bend city, Wisconsin *
4431. Winnebago County, Wisconsin *
4432. Wood County, Wisconsin *
4433. Adams County, Wisconsin
4434. Allouez village, Wisconsin
4435. Ashland County, Wisconsin
4436. Ashwaubenon village, Wisconsin
4437. Baraboo city, Wisconsin
4438. Bayfield County, Wisconsin
4439. Beaver Dam city, Wisconsin
4440. Bellevue village, Wisconsin
4441. Brown Deer village, Wisconsin
4442. Buffalo County, Wisconsin
4443. Burlington city, Wisconsin
4444. Burnett County, Wisconsin
4445. Caledonia village, Wisconsin

4446. Cedarburg city, Wisconsin
4447. Chippewa Falls city, Wisconsin
4448. Crawford County, Wisconsin
4449. Cudahy city, Wisconsin
4450. De Pere city, Wisconsin
4451. DeForest village, Wisconsin
4452. Door County, Wisconsin
4453. Elkhorn city, Wisconsin
4454. Fort Atkinson city, Wisconsin
4455. Fox Crossing village, Wisconsin
4456. Germantown village, Wisconsin
4457. Glendale city, Wisconsin
4458. Grafton village, Wisconsin
4459. Grand Chute town, Wisconsin
4460. Green Lake County, Wisconsin
4461. Greendale village, Wisconsin
4462. Greenville town, Wisconsin
4463. Harrison village, Wisconsin
4464. Hartford city, Wisconsin
4465. Hobart village, Wisconsin
4466. Holmen village, Wisconsin
4467. Howard village, Wisconsin
4468. Hudson city, Wisconsin
4469. Iowa County, Wisconsin
4470. Jackson County, Wisconsin
4471. Juneau County, Wisconsin
4472. Kaukauna city, Wisconsin
4473. Kewaunee County, Wisconsin
4474. Lafayette County, Wisconsin
4475. Langlade County, Wisconsin
4476. Lincoln County, Wisconsin
4477. Lisbon town, Wisconsin
4478. Little Chute village, Wisconsin
4479. Marinette city, Wisconsin
4480. Marquette County, Wisconsin
4481. Marshfield city, Wisconsin
4482. Menasha city, Wisconsin
4483. Menomonie city, Wisconsin
4484. Mequon city, Wisconsin
4485. Middleton city, Wisconsin
4486. Monroe city, Wisconsin
4487. Mount Pleasant village, Wisconsin
4488. Muskego city, Wisconsin
4489. Neenah city, Wisconsin
4490. Oconomowoc city, Wisconsin
4491. Onalaska city, Wisconsin
4492. Oregon village, Wisconsin
4493. Pewaukee city, Wisconsin
4494. Platteville city, Wisconsin
4495. Pleasant Prairie village, Wisconsin
4496. Plover village, Wisconsin
4497. Port Washington city, Wisconsin
4498. Portage city, Wisconsin
4499. Price County, Wisconsin
4500. Richfield village, Wisconsin
4501. Richland County, Wisconsin
4502. River Falls city, Wisconsin
4503. Rusk County, Wisconsin
4504. Salem Lakes village, Wisconsin
4505. Sawyer County, Wisconsin
4506. Shorewood village, Wisconsin
4507. South Milwaukee city, Wisconsin
4508. Stevens Point city, Wisconsin
4509. Stoughton city, Wisconsin
4510. Suamico village, Wisconsin
4511. Superior city, Wisconsin
4512. Sussex village, Wisconsin
4513. Taylor County, Wisconsin
4514. Trempealeau County, Wisconsin
4515. Two Rivers city, Wisconsin
4516. Verona city, Wisconsin
4517. Vilas County, Wisconsin
4518. Washburn County, Wisconsin
4519. Watertown city, Wisconsin
4520. Waunakee village, Wisconsin
4521. Waupun city, Wisconsin
4522. Waushara County, Wisconsin
4523. Weston village, Wisconsin
4524. Whitefish Bay village, Wisconsin
4525. Whitewater city, Wisconsin
4526. Wisconsin Rapids city, Wisconsin
4527. Albany County, Wyoming *
4528. Campbell County, Wyoming *
4529. Casper city, Wyoming *
4530. Cheyenne city, Wyoming *
4531. Fremont County, Wyoming *
4532. Gillette city, Wyoming *
4533. Laramie city, Wyoming *
4534. Laramie County, Wyoming *
4535. Natrona County, Wyoming *
4536. Sheridan County, Wyoming *
4537. Sweetwater County, Wyoming *
4538. Big Horn County, Wyoming
4539. Carbon County, Wyoming
4540. Converse County, Wyoming
4541. Evanston city, Wyoming
4542. Goshen County, Wyoming
4543. Green River city, Wyoming
4544. Jackson town, Wyoming
4545. Lincoln County, Wyoming
4546. Park County, Wyoming
4547. Riverton city, Wyoming
4548. Rock Springs city, Wyoming
4549. Sheridan city, Wyoming
4550. Teton County, Wyoming
4551. Uinta County, Wyoming

Exhibit J Albertsons' Subsidiaries, Affiliates, and Joint Ventures
0984093 B.C. Unlimited Liability Company (British Columbia)
0984354 B.C. Unlimited Liability Company (formerly Canada Safeway Liquor Stores ULC) (British Columbia)
0984470 B.C. Unlimited Liability Company (formerly Safeway Ontario Finance ULC) (British Columbia)
14 North Main Street LLC (MA)
18 NMS LLC (MA)
360 Chauncy Street Realty Trust (MA)
675 Randolph Realty Trust (MA)
AB Acquisition LLC (DE)
AB Management Services Corp. (DE)
ABS CA-GL LLC (DE)
ABS CA-O DC1 LLC (DE)
ABS CA-O DC2 LLC (DE)
ABS CA-O LLC (DE)
ABS DFW Investor LLC (DE)
ABS DFW Lease Investor LLC (DE)
ABS Finance Co., Inc. (DE)
ABS FLA Investor LLC (DE)
ABS FLA Lease Investor LLC (DE)
ABS FLA Lease Owner LLC (DE)
ABS ID-GL LLC (DE)
ABS ID-O DC LLC (DE)
ABS ID-O LLC (DE)
ABS Insurance LTD. Bermuda
ABS Mezzanine I LLC (DE)
ABS Mezzanine III LLC (DE)
ABS MT-GL LLC (DE)
ABS MT-O LLC (DE)
ABS NV-GL LLC (DE)
ABS NV-O LLC (DE)
ABS OR-GL LLC (DE)
ABS OR-O DC LLC (DE)
ABS OR-O LLC (DE)
ABS Procurement CO. Grand Cayman
ABS Real Estate Company LLC (DE)
ABS Real Estate Holdings LLC (DE)
ABS Real Estate Investor Holdings LLC (DE)
ABS Real Estate Owner Holdings LLC (DE)
ABS RM Investor LLC (DE)
ABS RM Lease Investor LLC (DE)
ABS Surplus-O LLC (DE)
ABS SW Investor LLC (DE)
ABS SW Lease Investor LLC (DE)
ABS TX Investor LP (TX)
ABS UT-GL LLC (DE)

ABS UT-O DC LLC (DE)
ABS UT-O LLC (DE)
ABS WA-GL LLC (DE)
ABS WA-O LLC (DE)
ABS WY-GL LLC (DE)
ABS WY-O LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate Company LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate Holding I Company LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate Holding II Company LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 102, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 103, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 106, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 109, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 110, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 112, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 118, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 119, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 120, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 121, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 122, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 123, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 126, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 127, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 132, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 134, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 135, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 136, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 137, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 143, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 147, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 148, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 153, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 154, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 155, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 157, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 158, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 159, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 160, LLC (DE)
ACI Real Estate SPE 161, LLC (DE)
Acme Markets, Inc. (DE)
Adrian Realty Trust (MA)
Advantage Stores, INC. (CA)
Albertson’s Holdings LLC (DE)
Albertson’s Stores Sub Holdings LLC (DE)
Albertson’s Stores Sub LLC (DE)
Albertson’s, Inc. (DE)

Albertsons Assist, INC. (ID)
Albertsons Companies Specialty Care, LLC (DE)
Albertsons Companies, LLC (DE)
Albertsons Global Holdings LLC (DE)
Albertsons Health, LLC (DE)
Albertsons Innovation and Development Centre (India)
Albertson's Liquors, Inc. (WY)
Albertson's LLC (DE)
Albertson's Realty, INC. (ID)
Albertsons Safeway LLC (DE)
Albertsons Stores Charitable Foundation, INC. (ID)
American Drug Stores LLC (DE)
American Drug Stores, INC. (IL)
American Food and Drug LLC (DE)
American Partners, L.P. (IN)
American Procurement and Logistics Company LLC (DE)
American Stores Charitable Foundation (UT)
American Stores Company, LLC (DE)
American Stores Properties LLC (DE)
American Stores Properties, INC. – One (DE)
American Stores Properties, INC. (DE)
American Stores Realty Company, LLC (DE)
AOL Express, Inc. (AK)
APLC Procurement, Inc. (UT)
APR Forwarders, Inc. (AK)
ARLES, LLC (NH)
ASC Media Services, Inc. (UT)
ASC Pharmacy, INC. (DE)
ASP NoCal Lease Owner LLC (DE)
ASP Realty, LLC (DE)
ASP SW Investor LLC (DE)
Association of Unit Owners Safeway Beretania (HI)
Avia Partners, Inc. (DE)
Beryl American Corporation (VT)
Better Living Brands LLC (DE)
Blackhawk Developments, Inc.
Blackhawk Marketing, LLC
Blackhawk Properties, Inc.
Border Street Realty Trust (MA)
Boulder Investco LLC (DE)
BP Realty, LLC (MA)
Bristol Farms (CA)
Brockton Corporation (VT)
CAL-PHARM, INC. (CA)
Cambridge Charter Realty I LLC (MA)
Carr-Gottstein Foods Co. (DE)

Casa Ley Services, Inc. (DE)
Cayam Energy, LLC (DE)
CH Project LLC (MA)
Clifford W. Perham, Inc. (ME)
Collington Services LLC (DE)
Consolidated Procurement Services, Inc. (DE)
CSL IT Services ULC (formerly Canada Safeway Limited) (British Columbia)
Dartmouth Charter LLC (MA)
DineInFresh, LLC (DE)
Divario Ventures LLC (DE)
Dominick’s Finer Foods, Inc. of Illinois (IL)
Dominick’s Finer Foods, LLC (DE)
Dominick’s Supermarkets, LLC (DE)
Dominick's Supermarkets, Inc.
East Hampton Realty, LLC (MA)
East High Street LLC (MA)
Eating Right LLC (DE)
Eureka Development LLC (WA)
Eureka Land Management LLC: (WA)
Extreme INC. (DE)
Extreme LLC (DE)
Food Basket (CA)
Fresh Holdings LLC (DE)
Fresh Holdings, INC. (DE)
Galway Realty Trust (MA)
Genuardi's Family Markets LP (DE)
GFM Holdings I, Inc. (DE)
GFM Holdings LLC (DE)
Giant of Salisbury, Inc. (MD)
Goldstar Partners, LLC (The) (MA)
Good Spirits LLC (TX)
Good Spirits, INC. (TX)
Gorham Markets, LLC (NH)
Gretna Properties, INC. (LA)
GroceryWorks.com Operating Company, LLC (DE)
GroceryWorks.com, LLC (DE)
Hayward Street Investment Trust: (MA)
Health 'N' Home Corporation (DE)
Hodisco, INC. (TX)
Hooksett Project, LLC (MA)
Hooksett Realty SSI LLC (MA)
Ink Holdings, LLC (DE)
JA Procurement LLC (DE)
Jetco Properties, Inc. (DE)
Jewel Companies, Inc. (DE)
Jewel Companies, INC., A 1985 Delaware Corporation (DE)

Jewel Food Stores, INC. (NY)
Jewel Food Stores, Inc. (OH)
Jewel Osco Southwest, INC. (IL)
Jim Dandy Markets (CA)
Joah, INC. (DE)
K&J Realty Trust (MA)
Kasco Automotive Products (CA)
Keene Realty Trust (NH)
Kohl's of Bloomingdale, Inc.
Lazy Acres Market INC. (CA)
Lehua Insurance Company, Inc. (HI)
Limited (Macau)
LRT Realty Trust (MA)
LS Holdings, INC. (DE)
Lucerne Dairy Products LLC (DE)
Lucerne Foods, Inc. (DE)
Lucerne North America LLC (DE)
Lucky Stores LLC (OH)
Lucky Stores Properties, INC. (DE)
Lucky Stores, INC. (DE) (DE)
Lucky Stores, INC. (FL) (FL)
Lucky Stores, INC. (NV) (NV)
Malin Acquisitions, LLC (DE)
Meadowlane, INC. (MA)
Mealime Meal Plans ULC (British Columbia)
Medcart Specialty Care, LLC (DE)
MFC-LIVONIA Properties, INC. (DE)
Michael's Realty Trust (MA)
Michaels Realty Trust New Aloha Corporation (DE)
Milford Insurance Brokerage Services, Inc. (DE)
Milford Insurance Ltd. (Bermuda)
NAI Holdings GP LLC (DE)
NAI Saturn Eastern LLC (DE)
New Albertson’s, Inc. (OH)
New Albertsons L.P. (DE)
New Bristol Farms, INC. (DE)
New Diamond Sub, INC. (DE)
Newco Investments, LLC (DE)
NHI Investment Partners, LP (DE)
NHI Spirits, LLC (TX)
NMS Realty, LLC (MA)
NP Realty LLC (NY)
O Organics LLC (DE)
O Organics LLC (DE)
Oakbrook Beverage Centers, INC. (IL)
Oakland Property Brokerage Inc. (DE)

ORDISCO, INC. (CA)
Osco Drug Of Massachusetts, INC. (MA)
Osco Drug Of Texas, INC. (DE)
Pak 'N Save, Inc. (CA)
PDC I, Inc. (DE)
Philtech Inc. (Philippines)
Point Fosdick Square LLC (WA)
PP Realty LLC (MA)
Private Limited (India)
Property Development Centers LLC (DE)
Randall’s Beverage Company, Inc. (TX)
Randall’s Food & Drugs LP (DE)
Randall’s Holdings, Inc. (DE)
Randall’s Investments, Inc. (DE)
Randall’s Management Company, Inc. (DE)
Randall's Finance Company, Inc. (DE)
Randall's Food Markets, Inc., (DE)
Safelease, Inc. (DE)
Safeway #0638 Exchange, LLC (OR)
Safeway Australia Holdings, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Canada Holdings, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Corporate, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Dallas, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Denver, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Gift Cards, LLC (AZ)
Safeway Global Sourcing (Macao Commercial Offshore)
Safeway Global Sourcing Holdings Ltd. (BVI)
Safeway Global Sourcing Limited (Hong Kong)
Safeway Health Inc. (DE)
Safeway Holdings I, Inc.
Safeway Holdings I, LLC (DE)
Safeway Holdings I, LLC (DE)
Safeway Inc. (DE)
Safeway Leasing, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Liquor Stores, Inc.
Safeway New Canada, Inc. (DE)
Safeway of Western Nebraska, Inc.
Safeway Philtech Holdings, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Philtech Inc. (Philippines)
Safeway Realty LLC (DE)
Safeway Richmond, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Select Gift Source, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Southern California LLC (DE)
Safeway Southern California, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 18, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 26, Inc. (DE)

Safeway Stores 28, Inc.
Safeway Stores 28, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 31, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 42, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 43, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 44, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 45, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 46, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 47, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 48, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 49, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 50, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 58, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 59, Inc.
Safeway Stores 64, Inc.
Safeway Stores 67, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 68, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 69, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 70, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 71, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 72, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 73, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 74, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 75, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 76, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 77, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 78, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 79, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 80, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 82, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 85, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 86, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 87, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 88, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 89, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 90, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 91, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 92, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 96, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 97, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 98, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores 99, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Stores, Incorporated
Safeway Supply, Inc. (DE)
Safeway Trucking, Inc. (DE)
Save-It Discount Foods Corporation

Sav-On Realty, INC. (DE)
Scolari's Stores, INC. (CA)
Seessel Holdings, INC. (TN)
Shaw Equipment Corporation (MA)
Shaw's North Attleboro CORP. (MA)
Shaw's Realty CO. (ME)
Shaw's Realty Trust (MA)
Shaw's Securities Corporation I (MA)
Shaw's Securities Corporation II (MA)
Shaw's Supermarkets, Inc. (MA)
Shortco, Inc. (TX)
SMC Rx, Inc.
Smitty's Super Markets, INC. (MO)
SNH Realty, LLC (MA)
Spirit Acquisition Holdings LLC (DE)
SRA Realty LLC (MA)
SRG, Inc. (DE)
SSI - AK Holdings, Inc. (DE)
SSM Holdings Company (DE)
Star Markets Company, Inc. (MA)
Star Markets Holdings, Inc. (MA)
Stoneridge Holdings, LLC (DE)
Strategic Global Sourcing, LLC (DE)
Sunrich Mercantile CORP. (CA)
Sunrich Mercantile LLC (CA)
Taylor Properties, Inc. (DE)
The Dominick's/Omni Foundation Dodi Hazelcrest, Inc.
The James A. Martin, IV Trust
The Matthew Jim Martin Trust
The Robert C. Martin Trust
The Ronald M. Kolozie Trust
The Safeway Foundation
The Vons Companies, Inc. (Ml)
U.S. Satellite Corporation (UT)
United Supermarkets, L.L.C. (TX)
Vons Food Services, Inc.
Vons REIT, Inc. (DE)
Vons Sherman Oaks, LLC (OR)
WHP Realty, LLC (CT)
Wildcat Acquisition Holdings LLC (DE)
Wildcat Markets Opco LLC (DE)
WP Properties, LLC (RI)

BANNERS
Albertsons
ACME

Andronico's Community Markets
Balducci's Food Lovers Market
Bristol Farms
Carrs
Dominick's
Genuardi's Family Markets
Grocery Warehouse
Haggen
Jewel
Jewel-Osco
Kings Food Markets
Lucky
Market Street
Max Foods
Osco Drugs
Pak N' Save
Pavilions
Randalls
Safeway
Sav-On Drugs
Seesel's
Shaw's
Star Market
Super Saver
Tom Thumb
United Supermarkets
Vons

EXHIBIT K

Subdivision Participation and Release Form

Governmental Entity:	State:
Authorized Official:	
Address 1:	
Address 2:	
City, State, Zip:	
Phone:	
Email:	

The governmental entity identified above ("*Governmental Entity*"), in order to obtain and in consideration for the benefits provided to the Governmental Entity pursuant to the Settlement Agreement dated August 20, 2026 ("*Albertsons Settlement*"), and acting through the undersigned authorized official, hereby elects to participate in the Albertsons Settlement, release all Released Claims against all Released Entities, and agrees as follows.

1. The Governmental Entity is aware of and has reviewed the Albertsons Settlement, understands that all terms in this Participation and Release Form have the meanings defined therein, and agrees that by executing this Participation and Release Form, the Governmental Entity elects to participate in the Albertsons Settlement and become a Participating Subdivision as provided therein.

2. The Governmental Entity shall promptly, and in any event no later than 14 days after the Reference Date and prior to the filing of the Consent Judgment, dismiss with prejudice any Released Claims that it has filed. With respect to any Released Claims pending in *In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, MDL No. 2804, the Governmental Entity authorizes the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee to execute and file on behalf of the Governmental Entity a Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice substantially in the form found at [website link to national settlement website to be provided].

3. The Governmental Entity agrees to the terms of the Albertsons Settlement pertaining to Participating Subdivisions as defined therein.

4. By agreeing to the terms of the Albertsons Settlement and becoming a Releasor, the Governmental Entity is entitled to the benefits provided therein, including, if applicable, monetary payments beginning after the Effective Date.

5. The Governmental Entity agrees to use any monies it receives through the Albertsons Settlement solely for the purposes provided therein.

6. The Governmental Entity submits to the jurisdiction of the court in the Governmental Entity's state where the Consent Judgment is filed for purposes limited to that court's role as provided in, and for resolving disputes to the extent provided in, the Albertsons Settlement. The Governmental Entity likewise agrees to arbitrate before the National

Arbitration Panel as provided in, and for resolving disputes to the extent otherwise provided in, the Albertsons Settlement.

7. The Governmental Entity has the right to enforce the Albertsons Settlement as provided therein.

8. The Governmental Entity, as a Participating Subdivision, hereby becomes a Releasor for all purposes in the Albertsons Settlement, including without limitation all provisions of Section X (Release), and along with all departments, agencies, divisions, boards, commissions, districts, instrumentalities of any kind and attorneys, and any person in their official capacity elected or appointed to serve any of the foregoing and any agency, person, or other entity claiming by or through any of the foregoing, and any other entity identified in the definition of Releasor, provides for a release to the fullest extent of its authority. As a Releasor, the Governmental Entity hereby absolutely, unconditionally, and irrevocably covenants not to bring, file, or claim, or to cause, assist or permit to be brought, filed, or claimed, or to otherwise seek to establish liability for any Released Claims against any Released Entity in any forum whatsoever. The releases provided for in the Albertsons Settlement are intended by the Parties to be broad and shall be interpreted so as to give the Released Entities the broadest possible bar against any liability relating in any way to Released Claims and extend to the full extent of the power of the Governmental Entity to release claims. The Albertsons Settlement shall be a complete bar to any Released Claim.

9. The Governmental Entity hereby takes on all rights and obligations of a Participating Subdivision as set forth in the Albertsons Settlement.

10. In connection with the releases provided for in the Albertsons Settlement, each Governmental Entity expressly waives, releases, and forever discharges any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States or other jurisdiction, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads:

> **General Release; extent.** A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release that, if known by him or her would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

A Releasor may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which it knows, believes, or assumes to be true with respect to the Released Claims, but each Governmental Entity hereby expressly waives and fully, finally, and forever settles, releases and discharges, upon the Effective Date, any and all Released Claims that may exist as of such date but which Releasors do not know or suspect to exist, whether through ignorance, oversight, error, negligence or through no fault whatsoever, and which, if known, would materially affect the Governmental Entities' decision to participate in the Albertsons Settlement.

11. Nothing herein is intended to modify in any way the terms of the Albertsons Settlement, to which Governmental Entity hereby agrees. To the extent this Participation and Release Form is interpreted differently from the Albertsons Settlement in any respect, the Albertsons Settlement controls.

I have all necessary power and authorization to execute this Participation and Release Form on behalf of the Governmental Entity.

Signature: _____

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Exhibit L

Settlement Fund Administrator Terms

[Exhibit to be inserted prior to Effective Date]

EXHIBIT M-1: PAYMENT SCHEDULE

Payment Year and Payment Date	Maximum Annual Fees Payment (See Exhibit M-3)	Maximum Annual Remediation Payment (See Exhibit M-2)	Annual Maximum
Year 1 April 30, 2026	$27,645,779.98	$104,610,266.26	$132,256,046.24
Year 2 March 31, 2027	$27,645,779.98	$104,610,266.25	$132,256,046.23
Year 3 March 31, 2028	$14,481,122.85	$54,795,853.75	$69,276,976.60
Year 4 March 31, 2029	$14,481,122.85	$54,795,853.75	$69,276,976.60
Year 5 March 31, 2030	$10,082,674.87	$59,194,301.73	$69,276,976.60
Year 6 March 31, 2031		$69,276,976.60	$69,276,976.60
Year 7 March 31, 2032		$69,276,976.60	$69,276,976.60
Year 8 March 31, 2033		$69,276,976.60	$69,276,976.60
Year 9 March 31, 2034		$69,276,976.60	$69,276,976.60
Total	**$94,336,480.53**	**$655,114,448.14**	**$749,450,928.67**

EXHIBIT M-2: MAXIMUM ANNUAL REMEDIATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE
(See Notes on next page)

Payment Year	Maximum Base Payments (38%)	Maximum Incentive Payment A (62%)	Maximum Incentive Payment BC (59%)	Maximum Incentive Payment D (10%)	Maximum Annual Remediation Payment
Year 1	$104,610,266.26	---	---	---	$104,610,266.26
Year 2	$27,427,106.80	$77,183,159.45	$77,183,159.45	---	$104,610,266.25
Year 3	$14,366,579.76	$40,429,273.99	$40,429,273.99	---	$54,795,853.75
Year 4	$14,366,579.76	$40,429,273.99	$40,429,273.99	---	$54,795,853.75
Year 5	$15,519,781.12	$43,674,520.61	$43,674,520.61	---	$59,194,301.73
Year 6	$18,163,294.15	$51,113,682.45	$46,200,324.09	$16,377,861.20	$69,276,976.60
Year 7	$18,163,294.15	$51,113,682.45	$46,200,324.09	$16,377,861.20	$69,276,976.60
Year 8	$18,163,294.15	$51,113,682.45	$46,200,324.09	$16,377,861.20	$69,276,976.60
Year 9	$18,163,294.15	$51,113,682.45	$46,200,324.09	$16,377,861.20	$69,276,976.60
Total	**$248,943,490.30**	**$406,170,957.84**	**386,517,524.40**	**$65,511,444.80**	**$655,114,448.14**

NOTES TO EXHIBIT M-2:

1. All figures for the Maximum Base Payment, Maximum Incentive A Payment, and Maximum Incentive Payment BC are maximum figures that reflect the following:

- An assumption that all Eligible States are Settling States.
- An assumption that all Settling States earn the full amount of the relevant incentive payment.

2. All figures for the Maximum Incentive Payment D show the amount earned based on all Settling States obtaining its maximum value of 10%, and reflect the following:
   - An assumption that all Eligible States are Settling States.
   - An assumption that all Settling States are eligible for Incentive Payment D in every Payment Year in which Incentive D is paid.

3. All figures for the Maximum Annual Remediation Payment reflect the following:
   - An assumption that all Eligible States are Settling States.
   - An assumption that each Settling State earn the maximum amount of the available Incentive Payment by either qualifying for Incentive Payment A, or the combination of obtaining a 100% participation percentage for Incentive Payment BC and earning Incentive Payment D at a value of 3%).

4. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Albertsons will never have to pay both the Maximum Incentive Payment BC and Maximum Incentive Payment D.

5. Any offsets for Eligible States that are Non-Settling States would be deducted from the Maximum Base Payment, Maximum Incentive Payments (A, BC, and D), and Maximum Annual Remediation Payment by subtracting the amount of the payment times the Overall State Allocation Percentage for each Non-Settling State.

6. A Settling State may receive Base Payments and Incentive Payments totaling more than one hundred percent (100%) of its respective Overall Allocation Percentage in Year 9 if it is eligible to receive an Earnback Payment. The maximum aggregate Earnback Payment for all Settling States shall be lesser of the Total Unearned Incentive Payments for all Settling States, or $13,102.288.96 million.

EXHIBIT M-3: ANNUAL FEES PAYMENT SCHEDULE

Payment Year	Maximum Exhibit R Attorney Fee and Cost Funds	Maximum Additional Remediation Amount	Maximum Annual Fees Payment
Year 1	$23,806,088.32	$3,839,691.66	$27,645,779.98
Year 2	$23,806,088.32	$3,839,691.66	$27,645,779.98
Year 3	$12,469,855.79	$2,011,267.06	$14,481,122.85
Year 4	$12,469,855.79	$2,011,267.06	$14,481,122.85
Year 5	$8,682,303.35	$1,400,371.52	$10,082,674.87
Total	**$81,234,191.57**	**13,102,288.96**	**94,336,480.53**

Exhibit N
Additional Remediation Amount Allocation Percentages

Alaska	1.3494290164%
Arizona	6.2738632814%
Arkansas	1.0434867005%
California	17.7463118622%
Colorado	4.8645929787%
Connecticut	0.7241212493%
Delaware	0.5490845804%
District of Columbia	0.2014600176%
Florida	7.8645429812%
Hawaii	0.5647377600%
Idaho	1.9837173617%
Illinois	7.7124641613%
Indiana	1.9852055207%
Iowa	0.8304835981%
Louisiana	1.6399671519%
Maine	0.8888984056%
Maryland	2.7685656332%
Massachusetts	2.5785363664%
Missouri	0.4490092636%
Montana	1.5757139456%
Nebraska	0.4669471928%
Nevada	2.8476969074%
New Hampshire	0.8622922237%
New Jersey	3.0839948974%
New York	1.2067579851%
Oklahoma	1.3720975791%
Oregon	5.9141817395%
Pennsylvania	5.1359052989%
Rhode Island	0.3998746639%
South Dakota	0.2218656197%
Texas	8.8303416266%
Utah	1.3867483371%
Vermont	0.2848654679%
Virginia	2.5522749697%
Wisconsin	0.9840628156%
Wyoming	0.8559008388%

EXHIBIT O

Adoption of a State-Subdivision Agreement

A State-Subdivision Agreement shall be applied if it meets the requirements of Section V and is approved by the State and by the State's Subdivisions as follows:

1. *Requirements for Approval*. A State-Subdivision Agreement shall be deemed as agreed to when it has been approved by the State and either (a) Subdivisions whose aggregate "Population Percentages," determined as set forth below, total more than sixty percent (60%), or (b) Subdivisions whose aggregate Population Percentages total more than fifty percent (50%) provided that these Subdivisions also represent fifteen percent (15%) or more of the State's counties or parishes (or, in the case of Settling States whose counties and parishes do not function as local governments, 15% of or more of the Settling State's non-county Subdivisions), by number.

2. *Approval Authority*. Approval by the State shall be by the Attorney General. Approval by a Subdivision shall be by the appropriate official or legislative body pursuant to the required procedures for that Subdivision to agree to a legally binding settlement.

3. *Population Percentage Calculation*. For purposes of this Exhibit O only, Population Percentages shall be determined as follows: For States with functional counties or parishes[1] the Population Percentage of each county or parish shall be deemed to be equal to (a) (1) two hundred percent (200%) of the population of such county or parish, minus (2) the aggregate population of all Primary Incorporated Municipalities located in such county or parish, divided by (b) two hundred percent (200%) of the State's population. A "*Primary Incorporated Municipality*" means a city, town, village or other municipality incorporated under applicable state law with a population of at least 25,000 that is not located within another incorporated municipality. The Population Percentage of each Primary Incorporated Municipality shall be equal to its population (including the population of any incorporated or unincorporated municipality located therein) divided by two hundred percent (200%) of the State's population; provided that the Population Percentage of a Primary Incorporated Municipality that is not located within a county shall be equal to two hundred percent (200%) of its population (including the population of any incorporated or unincorporated municipality located therein) divided by two hundred percent (200%) of the State's population. For all States that do not have functional counties or parishes, the Population Percentage of each non-county Subdivision (including any incorporated or unincorporated municipality located therein), shall be equal to its population divided by the State's population.

4. *Preexisting Agreements and Statutory Provisions*. A State may include with the notice to its Subdivisions an existing agreement, a proposed agreement, or statutory provisions regarding the distribution and use of settlement funds and have the acceptance of such an agreement or statutory provision be part of the requirements to be an Initial Participating Subdivision.

[1] Certain states do not have counties or parishes that have functional governments, including Alaska.

5. *Revised Agreements*. A State-Subdivision Agreement that has been revised, supplemented, or refined shall be applied if it meets the requirements of Section V and is approved by the State and by the State's Subdivisions pursuant to the terms above.

EXHIBIT P

Pharmacy Controlled Substance Compliance Program & Anti-Diversion Injunctive Terms

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Except where these Injunctive Terms specify a different implementation period, Albertsons shall implement the Injunctive Terms set forth below in Sections II through XVII by the Injunctive Terms Implementation Date (defined below).

2. To the extent that Albertsons already has in place positions, committees, departments, policies or programs that satisfy the Injunctive Terms, no re-naming or other change is required by these Injunctive Terms.

3. Overview

    a. Albertsons will implement or maintain a Controlled Substance Compliance Program ("CSCP").

    b. The CSCP must include written standard operating procedures and/or corporate policies (the "CSCP Policies and Procedures") required by these Injunctive Terms.

    c. The CSCP shall apply during the term of these Injunctive Terms, to each of Albertsons' retail pharmacy stores that dispense Schedule II Designated Controlled Substances and are registered or licensed with each Settling State.

    d. Albertsons shall provide a copy of the relevant CSCP Policies and Procedures to each Settling State within sixty (60) days of the Injunctive Terms Implementation Date. To the extent any implementation is expected to require additional time, the Parties agree to work together in good faith to establish a timeline for implementation. No later than thirty (30) days after the Injunctive Terms Implementation Date, each Settling State shall identify the person or office to whom Albertsons must provide a copy of the relevant CSCP Policies and Procedures and any other State-specific reporting required under these Injunctive Terms.

    e. Settling States shall form the States Injunctive Relief Committee to serve as a point of contact for Albertsons and to perform such other roles as set forth herein. Upon the committee's formation, Settling States shall provide notice to Albertsons of the members of the committee.

4. Compliance with Laws

a. Albertsons acknowledges and agrees that its pharmacies must comply with applicable state and federal laws, regulations, and rules, including those regarding the dispensing of Controlled Substances. The requirements of these Injunctive Terms are in addition to, and not in lieu of, any other requirements of federal, state, or local law. Nothing in the Injunctive Terms shall be construed as relieving Albertsons of the obligation of its pharmacies to comply with all federal, state and local laws, regulations or rules, nor shall any of the provisions of the Injunctive Terms be deemed as permission for Albertsons to engage in any acts or practices prohibited by such laws, regulations or rules.

b. The Injunctive Terms are not intended to and shall not be interpreted to prevent Albertsons from taking or implementing any other compliance or policy steps that are more restrictive or that are necessary to conform with federal, state, or local legal requirements, unless such steps would conflict with State or local law. The Injunctive Terms are not intended to and shall not be interpreted to require Albertsons to inventory any Controlled Substances or any particular Controlled Substances or to require dispensing of any Controlled Substances or of any individual, types, subsets or categories of Controlled Substances prescriptions.

c. In the event that Albertsons determines that there may be a conflict between the Injunctive Terms and the express requirements of federal, state, or local laws, or interpretations of such laws articulated by an agency responsible for enforcing such laws or a court ("Express Interpretations"), such that Albertsons determines that it cannot comply with the Injunctive Terms without violating these express requirements or Express Interpretations, Albertsons shall follow the express requirements of the federal, state or local law or Express Interpretation thereof and shall provide notice to the Settling State(s). Within thirty (30) days after receipt of a notification from Albertsons referenced above, Albertsons and the State shall meet and discuss the potential conflict, and Albertsons shall comply with any reasonable requests from the Settling State as necessary to determine whether there is a conflict between the Injunctive Terms and the express requirements of federal, state, or local laws, or Express Interpretations. In the event that Albertsons believes a court or administrative action brought by a governmental body in a Settling State has commenced against it or its pharmacists for actions required by the Injunctive Terms, then Albertsons may notify the Attorney General of the Settling State of such pending action. If the State agrees that the court or administrative action is a result of actions required by the Injunctive Terms, the State will engage in best efforts to resolve the conflict or assist in achieving resolution of the court or administrative action. Nothing in this paragraph shall (i) limit the right of the Settling State to disagree with Albertsons as to the conflict; (ii) be deemed to relieve Albertsons from following any subsequently enacted law or regulation,

or judicial decisions from a regulatory authority with jurisdiction over controlled substances that is more restrictive than the provisions of the Injunctive Terms, or from following the Injunctive Terms if they are more restrictive than applicable laws at issue in the administrative action if there is no conflict; (iii) be deemed to relieve Albertsons from adhering to the outcome of a court or administrative action when it is determined that there is no conflict; or (iv) limit a Settling State's ability to relieve Albertsons of a duty under these Injunctive Terms if that Settling State determines that that term is in conflict with that Settling State's express legal requirements.

d. Albertsons shall retain all records it is required to create pursuant to its obligations hereunder for a period no shorter than three years, unless otherwise specified. Nothing in these Injunctive Terms shall prevent a Settling State from issuing a lawful subpoena or Civil Investigative Demand (CID) for records pursuant to an applicable law.

5. No Admission and No Use as Evidence. Albertsons does not admit liability or wrongdoing. These Injunctive Terms shall not be considered, construed, or represented to be (1) an admission, concession, or evidence of liability, wrongdoing, or to impose the existence of any legal obligations or requirements other than the requirement to follow these Injunctive Terms, or (2) a waiver or limitation of any defense otherwise available to Albertsons. These Injunctive Terms shall not be offered or received in evidence or otherwise relied on in any action or proceeding for any purpose other than in an action or proceeding to modify or enforce or monitor compliance with these Injunctive Terms.

II. TERM AND SCOPE

1. The term of these Injunctive Terms shall be from the Injunctive Terms Implementation Date until ten years from the Injunctive Term Implementation Date, unless otherwise specified herein.

2. Except as otherwise stated herein, the Injunctive Terms shall apply to Albertsons' retail pharmacy stores located in, and registered or licensed with, each Settling State that dispense Schedule II Designated Controlled Substances to Patients, including any Schedule II Designated Controlled Substances dispensed by any such retail pharmacy stores that are mailed or shipped to patients in a Settling State. Should Albertsons operate an online pharmacy that is registered or licensed to dispense Schedule II

Designated Controlled Substances in any Settling State while these Injunctive Terms are in effect, the Injunctive Terms shall apply to such pharmacy as well.[1]

3. These Injunctive Terms may be amended by mutual agreement of a majority of the States Injunctive Relief Committee and Settling Pharmacy. Any such amendments must be in writing.

III. DEFINITIONS

1. The term "Distributor Injunctive Terms" means Exhibit P of the Settlement Agreement, dated as of July 21, 2021, between McKesson Corporation, Cardinal Health, Inc., and AmerisourceBergen Corporation and certain States and subdivisions.

2. The term "Block" means an action taken by Albertsons preventing or otherwise prohibiting any Settling Pharmacy pharmacist from filling prescriptions for Controlled Substances from a specific identified Prescriber.

3. The term "Clearinghouse" means the system established by Section XVII of the Distributor Injunctive Terms.

4. The term "Controlled Substances" means those substances designated under schedules II-V pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act.

5. The term "Designated Controlled Substances" shall be limited to: (a) oxycodone; (b) hydrocodone; (c) hydromorphone; (d) tramadol; (e) oxymorphone; (f) morphine; (g) methadone; and (h) fentanyl.

6. The term "Injunctive Terms Implementation Date" means thirty (30) days after the Effective Date of the Settlement Agreement as defined in Section [ ] of the Albertsons Settlement Agreement.

7. The term "National Arbitration Panel" is defined in Section [ ] of the Albertsons Settlement Agreement.

8. The term "Patient" means any individual who receives a prescription for a Designated Controlled Substance from a Prescriber, whether legally valid or not, and attempts to fill it at one of Albertsons' pharmacy stores in a Settling State.

9. The term "Prescriber" means any individual that has written a prescription for a Designated Controlled Substance, whether legally valid or not, that is presented to Albertsons in a Settling State.

---

[1] Albertsons' specialty and mail order pharmacies (in the event Albertsons operates such pharmacies) are not subject to, and are not online pharmacies for purposes of, these Injunctive Terms.

10. The term "Red Flag(s)" means the enumerated Patient Red Flags, Prescription Red Flags, and Prescriber Red Flags set out in Section IX.

11. The term "Settling State(s)" means each State that is a signatory to the Albertsons Settlement Agreement.

12. The term "States Injunctive Relief Committee" means a committee representing the Settling States composed of between four and eight members designated by the Settling States. The members of the States Injunctive Relief Committee shall be employees of a Settling State's Office of Attorney General and/or employees of another agency of a Settling State.

13. The term "Albertsons Settlement Agreement" means the settlement agreement dated as of [ ] between and among the Settling States, the Participating Subdivisions and Albertsons.

IV. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE COMPLIANCE PERSONNEL

1. Albertsons shall designate a Controlled Substance Compliance Director, or other appropriately titled position, to be a member of the Controlled Substance Compliance Committee (described below in Section VI), and to oversee a Controlled Substance Compliance Department and Albertsons' compliance with 21 C.F.R. 1306.04 and these Injunctive Terms. As used in these Injunctive Terms, the terms "Controlled Substance Compliance Committee" and "Controlled Substance Compliance Department" refer to the entity or entities, however titled, that carry out the functions required by these Injunctive Terms. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, to the extent an existing position, committee or department carries out the functions required by these Injunctive Terms, any other functions undertaken by such position, committee or department shall not be subject to these Injunctive Terms or oversight by the Settling States pursuant to these Injunctive Terms. The position, committee and department discussed in these Terms may bear different names and need not be limited to the roles and functions set forth herein.

2. The Controlled Substance Compliance Director shall have knowledge of and experience with the laws and regulation of Controlled Substances, in particular the regulations in 21 C.F.R. § 1306.04.

3. The Controlled Substance Compliance Director shall provide at least quarterly reports to the Controlled Substance Compliance Committee (described below in Section VI) regarding Albertsons' compliance with these Injunctive Terms, including the implementation of any changes to the CSCP Policies and Procedures required by these Injunctive Terms.

4. Staffing levels of Albertsons' Controlled Substance Compliance Department shall be reviewed periodically, but at least on an annual basis, by Albertsons' Controlled Substance Compliance Committee to assess whether such staffing levels are sufficient for the Controlled Substance Compliance Department to comply with this Agreement. This review shall include consideration of relevant developments in technology, law, and regulations.

5. Throughout the term of these Injunctive Terms, Albertsons shall maintain a telephone and electronic submission hotline(s) (the "Hotline") to permit employees and/or Patients and/or members of the public to anonymously report suspected inappropriate or illegitimate dispensing, prescribing or diversion of Designated Controlled Substances, violations of the CSCP Policies and Procedures, these Injunctive Terms, Albertsons' company policy, or other applicable law. The Hotline may be implemented by adding a dedicated option to existing systems that includes reporting regarding Designated Controlled Substances. Albertsons shall publish its Hotline contact information to its employees and Patients in the Settling States. Albertsons shall maintain for the duration of these Injunctive Terms a record of each complaint made to the Hotline regarding Designated Controlled Substances and documentation regarding any investigation or response to such complaints. Nothing herein shall require Albertsons to investigate a pharmacist's professional judgment to refuse a prescription that the pharmacist believes was prescribed or is being used for other than a legitimate medical purpose or that the pharmacist believes was not prescribed by an individual Prescriber acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice.

V. INDEPENDENCE

1. Albertsons' Controlled Substance Compliance Department personnel, pharmacists, contract pharmacists, and pharmacist technicians who work at Albertsons' pharmacies within the Settling States, and field personnel who supervise pharmacists and pharmacist technicians (together, "CSCP Employees"), shall not be compensated in whole or in part by commissions, bonuses, incentives or any other monetary or non-pecuniary benefit that depends in material part on revenue or profitability targets or expectations to sales of Controlled Substances. Nothing in these Injunctive Terms shall be interpreted to prevent compensation of employees based on sales volume, revenue or profitability targets/expectations for enterprise-, store-, or pharmacy-wide sales that include Controlled Substances.

2. No CSCP Employees may be terminated, suspended, threatened with or face any other negative employment consequence for failing to meet any revenue or profitability targets or expectations that depends in material part on sales of Controlled Substances. Nothing in these Injunctive Terms shall be interpreted to prevent Albertsons from taking employment action based on sales volume, revenue or profitability targets/expectations for enterprise-, store-, or pharmacy-wide sales that include Controlled Substances.

3. Personnel in Albertsons' Controlled Substance Compliance Department shall not report to Albertsons' sales, marketing, or business development departments, and sales, marketing, or business development departments shall not be authorized to make decisions regarding the promotion, compensation, demotion, admonition, discipline, commendation, periodic performance reviews, hiring, or firing of Controlled Substance Compliance Department personnel. This provision does not apply to an officer or executive to whom both the Controlled Substance Compliance Department and sales, marketing and/or business development departments report.

4. Albertsons' sales, marketing and business development departments are prohibited from interfering with, obstructing, or otherwise exerting control over any Controlled Substance Compliance Department or Controlled Substance Committee decision-making. This provision does not apply to an officer or executive to whom both the Controlled Substance Compliance Department and sales, marketing and/or business development departments report.

5. To the extent necessary to comply with this section, Albertsons' Controlled Substance Compliance Committee shall review, modify, and direct any changes to any compensation and non-retaliation policies specific to the sale or dispensing of Designated Controlled Substances.

VI. OVERSIGHT

1. To the extent not already established, within thirty (30) business days of the Injunctive Terms Implementation Date, Albertsons shall establish a compliance committee, however titled, that includes representatives from its respective legal, compliance, pharmacy operations and asset protection departments, however named, to provide oversight over the CSCP and its compliance with the Injunctive Terms. For the purposes of reference herein, this committee, however named, shall be referred to as the "Controlled Substance Compliance Committee." Albertsons shall maintain its Controlled Substance Compliance Committee for the duration of the term of the Injunctive Terms. The Controlled Substance Compliance Director shall be a member of the Controlled Substance Compliance Committee.

2. Albertsons' Controlled Substance Compliance Committee shall have quarterly meetings during which the Controlled Substance Compliance Director shall report on, and the Controlled Substance Compliance Committee shall review, among other things, (a) the Prescription Validation Process, including the CSCP Policies and Procedures on identifying and resolving Patient, Prescriber and Prescription Red Flags; (b) the training required under the Injunctive Terms; (c) proactive due diligence and site visits; (d) the Prescriber Review Processes; (e) significant new national and regional diversion trends involving Controlled Substances; (f) Albertsons' adherence to the Injunctive Terms and applicable laws and regulations; and (g) any technology, staffing, or other resource needs for the CSCP. The Controlled Substance Compliance Committee shall have access to all CSCP reports described in the following subsection.

3. On an annual basis, Albertsons' Controlled Substance Compliance Committee shall provide a written report to the President of the Settling Pharmacy's Retail Division, the Chief Financial Officer of the Settling Pharmacy's Retail Division, the Chief Legal Officer of the Settling Pharmacy's Retail Division, and the corporate Chief Compliance Officer, outlining (a) the Settling Pharmacy's adherence to, and any material deviations from these Injunctive Terms; (b) the allocation of resources sufficient to comply with these Injunctive Terms; and (c) any revisions to the CSCP that the Controlled Substance Compliance Committee has approved. The corporate Chief Compliance Officer shall determine if and when it is appropriate to make a report to the Board or any subcommittee thereof, but shall report at least annually.

4. Albertsons, through its Controlled Substance Compliance Department and Committee, shall, at least once every year, review and oversee any enhancements to the CSCP Policies and Procedures and systems for dispensing activity that the Controlled Substance Compliance Committee deems necessary.

5. The Controlled Substance Compliance Committee shall be responsible for the approval of all material revisions to the CSCP Policies and Procedures, provided that nothing herein shall prevent Albertsons from implementing changes to the CSCP Policies and Procedures pending such review and approval.

VII. MANDATORY TRAINING

1. The CSCP Policies and Procedures shall be published in a form and location readily accessible to all pharmacy and compliance personnel at each of Albertsons' retail pharmacy locations in the Settling States. Online availability is sufficient, so long as pharmacy and compliance personnel have access to a computer with access to the CSCP Policies and Procedures.

2. Albertsons shall launch training for all existing CSCP Employees, to the extent practical (for example, accounting for employee leave), on the CSCP Policies and Procedures required under these Injunctive Terms, including the Prescription Validation Process and corresponding responsibility. The training shall be launched within one hundred twenty (120) days of the Injunctive Terms Implementation Date. All CSCP Employee new hires, to the extent practical, shall be required to participate in such trainings within sixty (60) days of hiring or six (6) months of the Injunctive Terms Implementation Date, whichever is later. Albertsons will further require that every CSCP Employee, to the extent practical, receive such training at least once every three (3) years for the term of these Injunctive Terms.

3. On an annual basis for the duration of these Injunctive Terms, Albertsons shall test its CSCP Employees on their knowledge regarding the CSCP Policies and Procedures required under these Injunctive Terms, including the Prescription Validation Process and corresponding responsibility.

4. It shall be a part of the CSCP Policies and Procedures and all trainings of all CSCP Employees required under these Injunctive Terms that pharmacists shall refuse to dispense Controlled Substances that they believe were prescribed or are being used for other than a legitimate medical purpose or that they believe were not prescribed by an individual Prescriber acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice.

5. All trainings required under these Injunctive Terms shall also make clear that (i) Albertsons' compensation and non-retaliation policies, including pursuant to these Injunctive Terms, prevent CSCP Employees from being compensated or penalized in any way related to revenue or profitability targets or expectations specific to sales of Controlled Substances; and ii) pharmacists will not be penalized in any way for exercising their professional judgment to refuse to fill prescriptions for Controlled Substances pursuant to their corresponding responsibility. To the extent that trainings designed and launched prior to the Effective Date of these Injunctive Terms do not reference these policies, they shall be added by the end of 2026.

VIII. THE PRESCRIPTION VALIDATION PROCESS

1. As part of its CSCP, Albertsons shall maintain a Prescription Validation Process in the CSCP Policies and Procedures, as further described and set forth in this section, that each pharmacist employed by Albertsons in a Settling State must follow when dispensing a prescription for a Controlled Substance. A Red Flag shall not be interpreted to mean that a prescription is, or is more likely than not, illegitimate and/or not issued in the usual course of professional practice or treatment.

2. A Red Flag will be considered "resolved" if, after further investigation as described below, and given other facts and circumstances surrounding the prescription, a pharmacist determines, in his or her professional judgment, that the facts that triggered the Red Flag do not lead him or her to believe that the prescription was written or is being submitted for an illegitimate medical purpose or outside the usual course of a Prescriber's professional practice.

3. Albertsons' CSCP Policies and Procedures shall provide that if a pharmacist identifies any "Patient Red Flags" associated with a Controlled Substances prescription (described in Section IX(3) below), before filling the prescription the pharmacist must resolve them; and that the method of resolution falls within the judgment of the pharmacist and may include reviewing the Patient's profile and history with the Settling Pharmacy, calling the Prescriber or Prescribers if appropriate, speaking with the Patient if appropriate, calling on the pharmacist's pre-existing knowledge of the Patient or Prescriber, reviewing available Prescription Monitoring Program ("PMP" or "PDMP") data, and/or reviewing other data or information available to the pharmacist.

4. Albertsons' CSCP Policies and Procedures shall provide that if forgery or fraud is suspected, or if the pharmacist identifies any other "Prescription Red Flags" associated

with a Controlled Substances prescription (described in Section IX(4) below), the pharmacist must resolve the Prescription Red Flags before filling the prescription; and that the method of resolution falls within the judgment of the pharmacist and may include reviewing the Patient's profile and history with Albertsons, calling the Prescriber or Prescribers if appropriate, speaking with the Patient if appropriate, calling on the pharmacist's pre-existing knowledge of the Patient or Prescriber, reviewing available PMP or PDMP data, and/or reviewing other data or information available to the pharmacist.

5. Albertsons' CSCP Policies and Procedures shall require that if a pharmacist identifies any "Prescriber Red Flags" associated with a Controlled Substances prescription (described in Section IX(5) below), the pharmacist must resolve them before filling the prescription; and that the method of resolution falls within the judgment of the pharmacist and may include reviewing any Albertsons records regarding the Prescriber, calling the Prescriber if appropriate, speaking with the Patient if appropriate, calling on the pharmacist's pre-existing knowledge of the Patient or Prescriber, reviewing available PMP or PDMP data, and/or reviewing other data or information available to Albertsons.

6. Albertsons' CSCP Policies and Procedures related to Schedule II Designated Controlled Substances shall provide that the resolution of all Red Flags identified by the pharmacist, as well as any prescriptions that were rejected pursuant to Red Flags identified by the pharmacist, and the reasons why they were rejected, must be documented by the pharmacist, unless if based on the pharmacist's pre-existing knowledge of the Patient or Prescriber. Any such records shall be maintained for the duration of these Injunctive Terms. To the extent that a Red Flag is resolved based upon facts or circumstances that are already reflected or documented in Albertsons' records, further documentation of those facts or circumstances is not required for resolution of substantially the same Red Flag on subsequent prescriptions. For example, if a Patient lives fifty-five (55) miles from an Albertsons but works near the pharmacy and that fact is reflected in pharmacy records, no documentation for the resolution of the Red Flag addressing the Patient's distance from the pharmacy is required in connection with individual prescriptions dispensed for that Patient. A lack of documentation shall not be interpreted to create a presumption that a pharmacist did not resolve any identified Red Flags. Nothing in these Injunctive Terms shall require Albertsons to create a record in those instances where the pharmacist rejects a prescription when presented without an effort to resolve any red flags, including but not limited to instances where the pharmacist rejects a prescription for clinical reasons, or where the pharmacist identifies on the face of the prescription a Prescription Red Flag (defined in Section IX below) that causes the pharmacist to conclude without further inquiry that the prescription is invalid.

7. Albertsons' CSCP Policies and Procedures shall provide that, even if all Red Flags are resolved, a pharmacist shall reject a prescription if, in his or her professional judgment, he or she believes that it was written or is being submitted for other than a legitimate medical purpose and/or was written outside the usual course of an individual Prescriber's professional practice.

IX. RED FLAGS

1. Upon request by the Settling States, but no more than annually, and no earlier than four months after the Injunctive Terms Implementation Date, Albertsons shall provide to the Settling States a report (the "Annual Data Report") that sets forth: (1) the total number of prescriptions for Controlled Substances dispensed annually, aggregated nationally and by state; (2) the top twenty-five prescribers of Designated Controlled Substances in each Settling State; and (3) the list of prescribers subject to disclosure in section X.5; (4) the specific process, system, metrics or algorithms (if any) sufficient to demonstrate the operational system's ability to identify each category of Red Flag listed in this section; (5) the total number of Designated Controlled Substance prescriptions that pharmacists at the Settling Pharmacy refused to dispense, as reflected in the pharmacy dispensing or other electronic system. Unless otherwise required by law, if a Settling State seeks to disclose any data and/or information provided under this provision as part of a proceeding to enforce these Injunctive Terms or otherwise, it shall first provide ten (10) days' notice to Albertsons unless doing so would conflict with applicable law. A Settling State shall not otherwise disclose or provide any data provided under this provision to third parties during or after the Term of these Injunctive Terms unless required to do so by law. If a Settling State is required to disclose or provide any data under this provision to third parties during or after the Term of these Injunctive Terms, it shall first provide ten (10) days' notice to Albertsons unless doing so would conflict with applicable law. All data and/or information provided under this paragraph shall be deemed confidential law enforcement material, to the extent state law permits, and shall not be subject to production unless required by law. Nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to prevent a Settling State from sharing this material with other State or federal law enforcement agencies.

2. Within the three months following the provision of the Annual Data Reports, either Albertsons or the States Injunctive Relief Committee may propose in writing a meet and confer to discuss potential changes to the scope of one or more categories of Red Flags. At such a meeting, Albertsons or the States Injunctive Relief Committee may provide additional research, information or data available to them beyond that provided in the Annual Data Reports. For example, Albertsons might propose reducing the threshold for triggering a particular category of Red Flag or consolidating certain Red Flags or subcategories of Red Flags into a single metric, or the States Injunctive Relief Committee might propose increasing the threshold for triggering a particular Red Flag

or expanding that Red Flag to include multiple subcategories (*e.g.*, number of prescriptions, distance thresholds).

a. If Albertsons and the States Injunctive Relief Committee agree on such changes to one or more Red Flags, they shall document those changes in writing and they shall become a part of these Injunctive Terms for all intents and purposes.

b. If Albertsons and the States Injunctive Relief Committee cannot agree on the proposed changes during their meeting and confer, the Party seeking the change(s) to the Red Flag(s) may seek a 5-day mediation of the issue at its own expense. If the mediation fails to resolve the dispute between the parties, the party seeking the proposed change(s) may appeal to the National Arbitration Panel to have the National Arbitration Panel modify the Red Flags on the basis that the change(s) would be consistent both with avoiding unnecessary material costs of identifying and resolving Red Flags and materially reducing the diversion of Controlled Substances. In such a proceeding, the Party seeking the proposed change(s) may provide evidence from Annual Data Reports or from other research, data and information.

c. In any such proceedings, there shall be a presumption against imposition of any proposed Red Flags, or proposed modifications to pre-existing Red Flags, that have not been identified by the United States Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) or other law enforcement agencies tasked with the regulation of Controlled Substances.

d. The Red Flags required by these Injunctive Terms shall at no point be too numerous or complex to be reasonably workable for pharmacists in the context of protecting patient safety, performing corresponding responsibility, drug utilization review, and their other responsibilities. Any dispute over whether the Red Flags required by these Injunctive Terms have become too numerous or complex to be reasonably workable for pharmacists shall be submitted to the National Arbitration Panel. In the event a dispute is submitted to the National Arbitration Panel, it shall be Albertsons' burden to prove that the Red Flag(s) at issue are overly burdensome and that their burdensome nature outweighs any public health benefit.

3. Albertsons' CSCP Policies and Procedures shall direct its pharmacists to treat the following circumstances as "Patient Red Flags":

a. A Patient seeks to fill a Schedule II Designated Controlled Substance prescription more than three days prior to the contemplated exhaustion date of an earlier prescription of the same Schedule II Designated Controlled Substance (e.g., exhaustion of the days' supply assuming the prescription has been taken in accordance with the prescribers' directions on the face of the prescription), provided the previous prescription was also dispensed by the same Settling Pharmacy;

b. A Patient seeks to fill a Designated Controlled Substance prescription from a Prescriber after having filled Designated Controlled Substance prescriptions at the same Albertsons from more than four other Prescribers, from separate practices, in a given 6-month period;[2]

c. To the extent personally known by the dispensing pharmacist, Prescriber has been the subject of more than ten (10) documented refusals to fill within a six-month period;

d. A Patient seeks to fill a Designated Controlled Substance prescription after having filled three other Designated Controlled Substance prescriptions written by multiple Prescribers with overlapping days of supply at Albertsons' pharmacies within thirty (30) days;

e. The distance between a Patient's residence and the Albertsons receiving the Designated Controlled Substance prescription is farther than 50 miles;

f. The Patient resides more than one hundred (100) miles from the Prescriber who issued the Designated Controlled Substances prescription;

g. To the extent personally known by the dispensing pharmacist, a Patient seeks to fill a Designated Controlled Substance prescription after having two other prescriptions for Designated Controlled Substances subjected to documented refusals to fill by an Albertsons pharmacist within the past thirty (30) days;

h. A patient pays in cash for a Designated Controlled Substance despite having prescription drug insurance on file for that medication;

i. Three or more Patients come to the pharmacy together to fill prescriptions for the same Designated Controlled Substances;

j. A Patient requests a Designated Controlled Substance by its slang or street description, such as "Mallinckrodt blues," "M's" or "the blue pill"; and

[2] In Albertsons' sole discretion, for administrative convenience Albertsons may implement this Red Flag without regard to whether Prescribers are at separate practices, thereby resulting in more instances in which the flag occurs.

k. A Patient presenting a prescription for a Designated Controlled Substance appears visibly altered, intoxicated, or incoherent.

4. Albertsons' CSCP Policies and Procedures shall direct its pharmacists to treat the following circumstances as "Prescription Red Flags:"

   a. A Controlled Substance prescription fails to meet the requirements of law;

   b. A Controlled Substance prescription that appears altered, including but not limited to, a photocopied prescription or a prescription in which an altering agent, such as white out, was used;

   c. A Controlled Substance prescription written with misspellings suggesting the prescription may not have been written by a valid Prescriber;

   d. A Controlled Substance prescription using atypical abbreviations suggesting the prescription may not have been written by a valid Prescriber; and

   e. A Controlled Substance prescription written with multiple colors of ink or in multiple different handwritings.

5. Albertsons' CSCP Policies and Procedures shall direct its pharmacists to treat the following circumstances as "Prescriber Red Flags:"

   a. A Prescriber provides a Patient with prescriptions for all three of a Schedule II Designated Controlled Substance, a benzodiazepine, and carisoprodol;

   b. A Prescriber has no office within fifty (50) miles of the retail pharmacy store where a Designated Controlled Substance prescription is submitted; and

   c. A Prescriber of Designated Controlled Substances uses prescriptions that are preprinted or stamped with drug type and amount.

X. PRESCRIBER REVIEW

1. Albertsons shall regularly review the prescribing patterns and practices of Prescribers of Designated Controlled Substances (the "Prescriber Review Process"). The Prescriber Review Process shall employ algorithms, or other means, to review data on Albertsons' retail dispensing for potential Prescribers of concern.

2. Albertsons shall initiate Prescriber Review Process in the following circumstances:

   a. Personnel implementing the Prescriber Review Process become aware that a Prescriber of Designated Controlled Substances located in a Settling State has been the subject of a blanket refusal to fill by one or more of Albertsons' retail pharmacy stores in the Settling States;

b. Personnel implementing the Prescriber Review Process become aware that a Prescriber of Designated Controlled Substances located in a Settling State has been charged or indicted with a crime related to prescribing Designated Controlled Substances by the Federal Government or law enforcement in a Settling State; or

c. Albertsons has received a Hotline complaint that has been investigated and substantiated concerning a Prescriber's illegitimate prescribing of Designated Controlled Substances.

3. Based on the professional judgment of the employees operating the Prescriber Review Process, Albertsons may also initiate the Prescriber Review Process when:

a. Personnel implementing the Prescriber Review Process are notified in writing by law enforcement that a Prescriber of Designated Controlled Substances located in a Settling State is the target of an investigation regarding the prescribing of Controlled Substances;

b. A Prescriber of Designated Controlled Substances was flagged for review by an Albertsons pharmacist in a Settling State (other than through a refusal to fill or blanket refusal to fill) or by field personnel who supervise Albertsons' pharmacies in a Settling State; or

c. A Prescriber of Designated Controlled Substances located in a Settling State was identified through the running of algorithms on Albertsons' retail dispensing.

4. Once Albertsons identifies a Prescriber for further investigation, Albertsons shall review pertinent and available data or information pertaining to the Prescriber, which may include interviews or other information gathered in the discretion of the employees operating the Prescriber Review Process. All data and information collected or created as part of the Prescriber Review Process shall be maintained by Albertsons for the length of these Injunctive Terms. When permitted by law, nothing contained in this Section prevents Albertsons from taking immediate action to Block a Prescriber.

5. If after the Prescriber Review Process those making the decision have not resolved the circumstances that caused Albertsons to further investigate the Prescriber, then the Prescriber shall be Blocked from having Controlled Substance prescriptions filled at Albertsons' retail pharmacies in the Settling States, when permitted by law. A Prescriber may have an opportunity at the discretion of Albertsons to seek future reinstatement by providing information to Albertsons that may resolve its concerns. Nothing in this Section shall limit the right or ability of Albertsons pharmacists to either refuse to fill a given prescription or refuse to fill all prescriptions for Controlled Substances from a given Prescriber independent of any decision by Albertsons to Block

or not Block a given Prescriber. On written demand, on an annual basis, Albertsons shall provide to each Settling State the names of and DEA registration or NPI numbers of Prescribers of Designated Controlled Substances within that Settling State that it has Blocked. Each Settling State shall provide contact information in order to receive such information. For each of the Settling States, on an annual basis, Albertsons shall provide to the Injunctive Relief Committee: (a) the number, names and DEA registration or NPI numbers of Prescribers who were blocked, and (b) the number of prescribers who were reviewed pursuant to X.2 or X.3 above but not blocked.

XI. PROACTIVE DUE DILIGENCE AND SITE VISITS

1. During the term of these Injunctive Terms, Albertsons shall conduct periodic proactive compliance reviews of its retail pharmacy stores in the Settling States to assist with the identification of potential compliance issues related to the dispensing of Designated Controlled Substances at its retail pharmacy stores in the Settling States. This may be satisfied by the use of algorithms, or other electronic means, to analyze data associated with each pharmacy's dispensing of Designated Controlled Substances to identify particular pharmacies for review as required under this Section XI. Documentation of any resulting reviews shall be maintained by Albertsons and made accessible to all Controlled Substance Compliance Department personnel upon request for the duration of these Injunctive Terms.

2. During the term of these Injunctive Terms, Albertsons personnel or qualified third-party compliance consultants shall conduct site visits to each pharmacy in a calendar year. These site visits shall at a minimum consist of a review of Controlled Substance dispensing documentation and recordkeeping; and a review of physical surroundings and other circumstances for any indications of potential non-compliance with these Injunctive Terms or the CSCP Policies and Procedures, or any violations of other applicable laws and regulations related to the dispensing of Controlled Substances.

3. During site visits, Albertsons' personnel or qualified third-party compliance consultants shall interview relevant pharmacy employees, if appropriate, about any potential areas or issues of concern, including potential violations of laws related to the dispensing of Controlled Substances, the CSCP Policies and Procedures, and these Injunctive Terms.

4. Albertsons' personnel or qualified third-party compliance consultants who conduct site visits shall complete a report reflecting the findings of any site visit pursuant to this section. This report shall document areas or issues of concern, including potential violations of law related to the dispensing of Controlled Substances, the CSCP Policies and Procedures, and these Injunctive Terms.

5. The site visit reports described above shall be maintained by Albertsons and made

accessible to all Controlled Substance Compliance Department personnel for the duration of these Injunctive Terms. Upon its request, the States Injunctive Relief Committee shall be provided sample reports or a report for a particular store.

XII. THEFT AND LOSS PREVENTION

1. In addition to complying with all theft and loss procedures, policies and precautions required by state and federal law, Albertsons shall maintain for at least three years information regarding the receipt and disposition of inventory of all Designated Controlled Substances at each retail pharmacy store.

2. In addition to any other reporting obligations under state and federal law, Albertsons must provide to each Settling State on a quarterly basis any reports it has made to the DEA regarding the theft or significant loss of Designated Controlled Substances in that Settling State pursuant to 21 C.F.R. §1301.76(b). Each Settling State shall provide contact information in order to receive such reports. There shall be no obligation to provide these reports to Settling States that receive contemporaneous reporting of thefts or significant losses of Designated Controlled Substances to a Settling State's board of pharmacy.

XIII. REPORTING TO LAW ENFORCEMENT

1. The Settling States shall inform Albertsons to what extent their law enforcement authorities would like to receive reports, other than those already required by law or regulation, of any confirmed forged prescriptions. To the extent not already in place, Albertsons shall implement standard operating procedures directing its employees to report any confirmed forged prescriptions for Designated Controlled Substances to those Settling States who have indicated that they want to accept it, within five (5) business days of completing any review of such prescription or conduct. The Settling States shall provide contact information in order to receive such reports.

2. Albertsons shall document and for at least two (2) years maintain records of any such reports that are made to Settling States regarding confirmed fraudulent or forged prescriptions, which are maintained centrally.

XIV. ENFORCEMENT OF INJUNCTIVE TERMS

1. Notice of Potential Violations and Opportunity to Cure.

    a. A "Potential Violation" occurs when the Settling State determines, after appropriate investigation and due diligence, that Albertsons is not in substantial compliance with a material aspect of the Injunctive Terms. A Potential Violation may be for a single retail pharmacy. A violation of this Agreement

is not presumed to occur when a pharmacist, pharmacist technician, or other field personnel who supervise pharmacists and/or pharmacist technicians employed by Albertsons violates Albertsons' CSCP Policies and Procedures.

b. Potential Violation Discovered by Settling State.

   i. In the event of a Potential Violation identified by a Settling State, the Settling State shall notify Albertsons in writing (the "State's Notice").

   ii. Within thirty (30) days of receipt of the State's Notice, Albertsons shall provide a written response to the Settling State. The response shall include Albertsons' position as to the act(s) of non-compliance, including, possibly, a statement setting forth why Albertsons believes it is in substantial compliance with the relevant provision(s) or a statement explaining how the Potential Violation has been addressed.

   iii. If the Settling State wishes to meet with Albertsons, Albertsons shall promptly make itself available for such a meeting.

c. If, after review of a written response and any meeting, the Settling State believes that a Potential Violation is ongoing or has not been substantially addressed, it will provide written notice to Albertsons and work in conjunction with Albertsons to devise, within thirty (30) days, a corrective action plan ("Corrective Action Plan") to remedy such Potential Violation, including a reasonable period for implementation of such plan.

d. Within sixty (60) and one hundred twenty (120) days after implementing the Corrective Action Plan, Albertsons will provide a written compliance update to the Settling State and make itself available to meet with the Settling State if requested. If after reviewing the compliance update and any meeting, the Settling State believes a Potential Violation remains ongoing or has not been substantially addressed, the Settling State may commence a 30-day mediation period. If mediation fails to resolve the dispute between the parties, the Settling State may take whatever action it deems necessary, including but not limited to bringing an action to enforce these Injunctive Terms, filing a new action (administrative or civil action) for violation of the Injunctive Terms as allowed by state law, conducting further investigation, or attempting to negotiate an updated Corrective Action Plan with Albertsons. But the Settling State may not seek to reinstate claims that have been released as part of the Settlement Agreement.

e. If Albertsons fails or refuses to provide a written response, to devise or implement a Corrective Action Plan or to provide a compliance update as

required by subsections 1(b), 1(c) and/or 1(d), a Settling State may bring an action to enforce these Injunctive Terms, filing a new action (administrative or civil action) for violation of the Injunctive Terms as allowed by state law, conduct further investigation, or attempt to negotiate an updated Corrective Action Plan with Albertsons. But the Settling State may not seek to reinstate claims that have been released as part of the Settlement Agreement.

f. If, after review of a written response and any meeting, pursuant to subsections 1b. or 1c., above, the Settling State concludes that a Potential Violation is not ongoing or has been substantially addressed, the Settling State will provide written notice of this conclusion to the Settling Pharmacy within thirty (30) days of reaching its conclusion.

2. Enforcement Action. Each Settling State agrees that prior to taking any court or administrative action, other than an action that the Settling State concludes is necessary to address an immediate threat to the health, safety, or welfare of the citizens of the Settling State, or that a public emergency requiring immediate action exists, it will follow the process outlined above. If the Settling State concludes that action is necessary to address an immediate threat to the health, safety, or welfare of the citizens of the Settling State or that a public emergency requiring immediate action exists, it will make best efforts to provide reasonable notice to a Settling Pharmacy prior to initiating any such action.

XV. COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION

1. Albertsons' Controlled Substance Compliance Director shall, after diligent inquiry, complete an annual compliance certification as set out in Section XV(4).

2. The certification shall be filed annually for the duration of these Injunctive Terms with a Settling State's appropriate licensing and/or regulatory agency and its Attorney General.

3. The certification shall state:

> "I understand the compliance requirements and responsibilities as they relate to [insert name of department], an area under my supervision. My job responsibilities include attempting to achieve compliance with regard to the [insert name of department] with all applicable statutory requirements, obligations of the Injunctive Terms, and applicable policies, and I have taken steps to promote such compliance. To the best of my knowledge, the [insert name of department] is in compliance with the obligations of these Injunctive Terms. I understand that this certification is being provided to and relied upon by the State of [Settling State]."

4. If the Controlled Substance Compliance Director is unable to provide such a certification, the Controlled Substance Compliance Director shall provide a written explanation of the reasons why he or she is unable to provide the certification outlined above.

XVI. DATA SHARING

1. Albertsons shall consent to the provision by its distributors of Albertsons' unblinded "867 Data" (data sent from the distributor to the manufacturer concerning the sale of its products to Albertsons) to opioid manufacturers on any particular Designated Controlled Substances manufactured by them as soon as commercially reasonable and at no cost to the manufacturers, provided that, pursuant to a prior written agreement with Albertsons, the opioid manufacturers agree (a) to ensure the confidentiality of the 867 Data, except as required by law; (b) to implement safeguards and procedures to limit access to and use of the 867 Data, except as required by law; (c) that the 867 Data shall be used solely for compliance purposes as part of their Suspicious Order Monitoring programs; and (d) that the 867 Data shall be shared only with specified personnel and shall not be shared with business or sales personnel.

2. To the extent that Albertsons provides McKesson Corporation, Cardinal Health, Inc., or AmerisourceBergen Corporation (the "Settling Distributors") with Pharmacy Customer Data (as defined in the Distributor Injunctive Terms) for use in their Controlled Substance Monitoring Programs, Albertsons agrees that the Settling Distributor(s) may share such Pharmacy Customer Data with the Monitor appointed pursuant to the Distributor Injunctive Terms, provided that the Monitor agrees, pursuant to a prior written agreement with Albertsons, (a) to ensure the confidentiality of the Pharmacy Customer Data; (b) to implement safeguards and procedures to limit access to and use of the Pharmacy Customer Data; (c) that the Pharmacy Customer Data is used solely for the purpose of ensuring the Settling Distributors' compliance with the Distributor Injunctive Terms; and (d) that the Pharmacy Customer Data shall be shared only with specified personnel.

XVII. CLEARINGHOUSE

1. Albertsons will confer with any Settling Distributor that distributes Designated Controlled Substances to its retail pharmacies and the States Injunctive Relief Committee for a period not to exceed six (6) months from the Injunctive Terms Implementation Date to determine: what additional deidentified information, if any, is needed from Albertsons for a Settling Distributor to perform suspicious order monitoring; if additional deidentified information is needed, how the Settling Pharmacy shall provide it to a Settling Distributor; and what information provided by Albertsons to a Settling Distributor may be deposited by the Settling Distributor into the Clearinghouse. For the avoidance of doubt "deidentified" does not refer to Prescribers. If agreements are not reached, the matters in dispute shall be submitted to arbitration. Due to patient privacy and legal restrictions and other confidentiality and

commercial concerns, in connection with any meet and confer described above, Albertsons may not be compelled to provide individual patient-level or prescription-level data, de-identified or otherwise, to the Settling Distributors.

2. Albertsons and Settling Distributors will also determine whether and in what amount the Settling Pharmacy will contribute to the cost of the operation of the Clearinghouse. When Albertsons contributes to the costs of the Clearinghouse, Settling Pharmacy, Settling Distributors and all other participants in the Clearinghouse shall determine an equitable amount of Albertsons' contribution. If agreements are not reached, the matters in dispute shall be submitted to arbitration.

3. Any data provided by Albertsons to a Settling Distributor and/or the Clearinghouse pursuant to these Injunctive Terms shall be treated in compliance with state and federal law, including but not limited to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA") and all applicable state and federal privacy laws.

4. No Settling Distributor or other participant in the Clearinghouse shall receive from the Clearinghouse information specific to Albertsons.

Exhibit Q

[Intentionally Omitted]

EXHIBIT R

Agreement on Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Expenses

This Agreement on Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Expenses ("Fee Agreement") is entered between Albertsons and the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee appointed in the multidistrict litigation in the Northern District of Ohio, *In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, No. 1:17-MD-2804 ("MDL PEC"), in connection with the Albertsons Global Opioid Settlement Agreement ("Albertsons Agreement"). This Fee Agreement becomes effective on the Effective Date of the Albertsons Agreement or the date that the Consent Judgments anticipated under the Albertsons Agreement become final in 25 Settling States (whichever is later).

I. Definitions

A. This Fee Agreement incorporates all defined terms in the Albertsons Agreement, unless otherwise defined herein, and shall be interpreted in a manner consistent with the Albertsons Agreement.

B. "*Applicant*." Any Attorney or MDL Participating Counsel who seeks an award of attorneys' fees from the Attorney Fee Fund pursuant to the procedures established by the MDL Court and the Fee Panel.

C. "*Attorney*." Any of the following retained through a legal contingency fee or hourly fee contract: a solo practitioner, multi-attorney law firm, or other legal representative of a Participating Subdivision or MDL Participating Counsel. This does not include Subdivision in-house attorneys.

D. "*Attorney Fee Fund*." An account consisting of up to $76,234,191.57 allocated to pay attorneys' fees approved pursuant to Section II.B. of this Fee Agreement, established by Order of and under the ongoing jurisdiction of the MDL Court, as provided below.

E. "*Common Benefit*." Work performed for the benefit of all Participating Subdivisions and Tribal Nations, including, but not limited to, pretrial matters, discovery, trial preparation, trial, settlement negotiations, and all other work that advances the interests of the Participating Subdivisions.

F. "*Common Benefit Fund*." The sub fund of the Attorney Fee Fund described in Section II.C.

G. "*Common Benefit Order*." The Ongoing Common Benefit Order (Dkt. #4428) in *In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, Case No. 1:17-md-2804, any subsequent amendments or modifications to that order, and any successor orders on Common Benefit.

H. "*Contingency Fee Fund*." The sub fund of the Attorney Fee Fund described in Section II.D.

I. "*Cost and Expense Fund Administrator*." The administrator appointed by the MDL Court on August 12, 2021 (MDL Docket No. 3828), to administer the Cost Fund and its sub funds as provided in the Fee Agreement.

J. "*Cost Fund*." An account consisting of $5,000,000.00, composed of the MDL Direct Cost Fund and the Subdivision Cost and Expense Fund, as provided below.

K. "*Court Common Benefit Fund*." The Common Benefit Fund established by the MDL Court in its orders of July 22, 2021, MDL Docket No. 3794, and May 9, 2022, MDL Docket No. 4428.

L. "*Eligible States*." The thirty-three (33) states of the United States listed in Exhibit F, and the District of Columbia.

M. "*Fee Entitlement*." Any right, entitlement, or expectation, including but not limited to a fee contract, contingent fee contract, agreement, referral arrangement, co-counsel arrangement, State Back-Stop Agreement, or any other arrangement by which counsel could receive compensation or other consideration. For the avoidance of doubt, the scope of Fee Entitlement under paragraph II.G.3.a. does not include any Attorneys' fees associated with representation of a State.

N. "*Fee Panel*." The three-person panel appointed by the MDL Court on August 12, 2021 (MDL Docket No. 3828), to administer and make recommendations for the allocation and distribution of the Attorney Fee Fund and its sub funds as provided in the Fee Agreement.

O. *"Albertsons*." The Albertsons Co. and all of its respective past and present direct or indirect parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, joint ventures, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

P. "Albertsons Bellwethers." Albertsons Bellwethers are *County of Tarrant, Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P*., et al. (Case No. 1:18-OP-45274) ("CT9") and *County of Monterey v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation*, et al. (Case No. 1:18-op-45614) ("CT21").

Q. "*Later Litigating State.*" A State that first files and/or serves a lawsuit bringing a Released Claim against a Released Entity after May 1,2026.

R. "*MDL Court*." United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio Eastern Division, Case No. 1:17-md-2804, Judge Dan Aaron Polster.

S. "*MDL Expense Fund*." The cost fund described in Section II.F below.

T. "*MDL Participating Counsel."* MDL Participating Counsel includes an attorney or firm authorized by MDL 2804 Lead Counsel to perform work for the Common Benefit

of Participating Subdivisions. By way of example, it would include insurance counsel and appellant counsel.

U. "*MDL PEC*." The Plaintiffs' Executive Committee appointed by the MDL Court.

V. "*Non-Eligible State*." Any state or territory of the United States of America that is not an Eligible State.

W. "*Non-Participating Litigating Subdivision*." A Litigating Subdivision that is not a Participating Subdivision.

X. "*Non-Participating State.*" An Eligible State that is not a Participating State.

Y. "*Participating Litigating Subdivision*." A Litigating Subdivision that is also a Participating Subdivision.

Z. "*Participation Agreement*." An agreement executed by an Attorney that acknowledges the obligation to pay an appropriate MDL Common Benefit Assessment.

AA. "*Qualified Tribal Representation.*" Representation by an attorney of a Participating Tribal Government regarding Released Claims against Released Entities. Such counsel are eligible for Common Benefit Fee consideration. The Albertsons Tribal Global Settlement provides for the contribution to the Common Benefit Fund as shall be determined by the MDL Court.

BB. "*Qualifying Representation*." Legal services provided for representation of the MDL PEC or a Participating Litigating Subdivision regarding Released Claims against Released Entities.

CC. "*State Back-Stop Agreement*." Any agreement by a Settling State and private counsel for Participating Subdivisions in that State (or legislation enacted in that State) to provide, adjust, or guarantee attorneys' fees and costs, whether from the Attorney Fee Fund or any other source recognized in the agreement or legislation.[1]

II. Fees and Costs

A. *Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs*

1. Total attorneys' fees and costs to be paid by Albertsons to Attorneys under this Fee Agreement shall be up to, but in no event more than, $81,234,191.57, subject to the reductions and provisions set forth below. The total attorneys' fees and costs consists of up to $ 76,234,191.57 for the Attorney Fee Fund, as set forth in Section II, and $5,000,000.00 in total for the MDL Expense Fund.

[1] Nothing herein shall be understood to indicate approval for additional State Back-Stop Agreements or modifications of existing State Back-Stop Agreements.

The Maximum Attorney Fees, subject to the reductions set forth herein, are $76,234,191.57 and shall be paid on the schedule in Ex. M-3.

2. If an Eligible State does not join the Agreement by the State Participation Date and is accordingly not a Settling State, the total attorneys' fees and costs to be paid under this Fee Agreement by Albertsons shall be reduced by twelve point four percent (12.4%) times the Remediation Payment amount that would have been allocated to that Non-Settling State as set forth in Section IV.B of the Albertsons Agreement.

3. If Albertsons settles with any Non-Settling State after May 1, 2026 and such settlement allows for the Subdivision(s) in such Non-Settling State to join the settlement, Albertsons agrees to withhold from the gross recoveries a Common Benefit Fund assessment, to be deposited in the Court Common Benefit Fund, of 7.5% times the gross recovery paid as defined in the Court Common Benefit Order (Docket #4428). If Albertsons settles with any Subdivision(s) in a Non-Settling State other than in connection with a statewide settlement that includes the relevant State after May 1, 2026, then Albertsons agrees to withhold from the gross recoveries a Common Benefit Fund assessment, to be deposited in the Court Common Benefit Fund, of 7.5% times the gross recoveries (the "*Albertsons Subdivision CBF Assessment,*" and, along with the Albertsons State Settlement CBF Assessment, each a "*Albertsons CBF Assessment*"). If (a) Albertsons settles with a Non-Settling State after May 1,2026 (b) such settlement does not allow for the Subdivision(s) in such Non-Settling State to join the settlement, and (c) Albertsons later prevails in asserting that Released Claims of Primary Subdivisions in such Non-Settling State were released as a result of such settlement, Albertsons agrees to deposit the Albertsons State Settlement CBF Assessment in the Common Benefit Fund. Any Albertsons CBF Assessment is inclusive of any assessment related to such settlement that would be required by the Common Benefit Order (Docket #4428). .

B. *Attorney Fee Fund and Sub Funds*

1. The Attorney Fee Fund shall consist of the Contingency Fee Fund and the Common Benefit Fee Fund. There shall be a split of the Attorney Fee Fund into the Contingency Fee Fund and the Common Benefit Fund. The split shall be 40% to the Contingency Fee Fund and 60% to the Common Benefit Fund, provided the Contingency Fee Fund shall pay a Contingency Fee of the lesser of the Contingency Fee Contract amount, or 20% of client recovery. Any excess Contingency Fee Fund monies shall revert to the Common Benefit Fund.

2. The Cost Fund shall include the MDL Expense Fund The State Counsel Fee Fund and the State Cost Fund shall be separate funds under the control of the Settling States.

3. It is the intention of the Parties that the Contingency Fee Fund and the Common Benefit Fund shall be administered by the Fee Panel appointed under MDL

Docket No. 3828, which will be governed by the provisions of this Fee Agreement and shall design the process and procedures for the allocation of fees pursuant to this Fee Agreement and the MDL Court's Orders. The Cost Funds shall be administered by the Cost and Expense Fund Administrator appointed under MDL Docket No. 3828, who will be governed by the provisions of this Agreement and shall design the process and procedures for the allocation of costs pursuant to this Agreement and the MDL Court's Order.

4. The fees to be paid under this Fee Agreement are available for Attorneys engaged in Qualifying Representations and Qualified Tribal Representations only. Fees to be paid under this Fee Agreement are not available prior to the Effective Date of the Albertsons Agreement. Fees to be paid under this Fee Agreement are not available for representation of Non-Participating Subdivisions, or Non-Litigating Subdivisions and are not available for representation of private hospitals, third-party payors, NAS claimants, personal injury/wrongful death claimants, or any entity other than Participating Litigating Subdivisions. In addition, fees under this Fee Agreement are not available for representation of any individual or entity in matters other than those claims against Released Entities, but may include a reasonable share of representations that involve development of facts for pursuit of opioid-related claims against multiple defendants in the pharmacy, manufacturing, and distribution chain. e.

5. In no event shall Albertsons be required to pay more into the Attorney Fee Fund than the maximum amount specified in paragraph II.A.1. The amounts allocated to the Contingency Fee Fund and the Common Benefit Fund set by the Fee Panel shall be subject to the reductions set forth in Section II.A.2 and the reductions and refunds set forth below.

6. Awards of fees from the Contingency Fee Fund shall be available to Attorneys with Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions eligible to receive an allocation under the Albertsons Agreement, as set forth in Exhibit G to the Albertsons Agreement, and shall be made by applying the Mathematical Model attached as Exhibit "A" to this Fee Agreement. The collection of the data and calculations for the Mathematical Model has been a cooperative effort among private counsel for a large number of Litigating Subdivisions. The analysis has been spearheaded by Joseph Tann and Andrew Arnold. The Fee Panel is encouraged to continue working with those counsel in application of the Model. The Fee Panel shall oversee the application of the Model and resolve any questions or disputes concerning the eligibility of a Counsel to participate as required in Section II.G. The Panel is empowered to hear disputes concerning and ensure the accuracy of the mathematical calculation.

7. As to awards from the Contingency Fee Fund, there shall be no right of appeal.

8. Any appeal of an award of the Fee Panel from the Common Benefit Fund will be made to the MDL Court and be reviewed under an abuse of discretion standard and there shall be no further appeal to a higher court and any applicant for a common benefit fund award agrees to waive any such appeal to a higher court than the MDL Court

C. *Common Benefit Fund* (60% of the Attorney Fee Fund)

1. The maximum potential total Common Benefit Fund payment to be made by Albertsons into the Attorney Fee Fund is $45,740,514.94, and in no event shall it exceed that amount. Albertsons's maximum potential Common Benefit Fund payments shall be paid on the following yearly schedule:

	Albertsons Shall Pay Common Benefit
Year 1 April 30, 2026	$12,783,652.99
Year 2 February 28, 2027	$12,783,652.99
Year 3 February 28, 2028	$7,481,913.47
Year 4 February 28, 2029	$7,481,913.47
Year 5 February 28, 2030	$5,209,382.01
Total	$45,740,514.94

2. The Common Benefit Fund shall be available to compensate Attorneys engaged in Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions and Qualified Tribal Representation of Tribal Participating Governments who:

   a. have performed work for the Common Benefit of all Participating Subdivisions and/or Tribal Nations consistent with the provisions to the guidelines established by Judge Polster set forth in MDL 2804 and the Order dated May 1, 2018, under docket number 358, which is included herein by reference; and

   b. satisfy the eligibility criteria set forth in Section II.G.

   For purposes of Common Benefit Fund distribution, notwithstanding paragraph II.A.5, Attorneys representing Tribal Nations litigating against Albertsons have also reached a settlement for Released Claims with Albertsons, and these

settlements are the subject of agreements with Albertsons. Attorneys are eligible for Common Benefit consideration provided such agreement with Albertsons became effective under their terms. Such Attorneys must meet the eligibility criteria in Section II.G.

For purposes of Common Benefit Fund distribution, notwithstanding paragraph II.A.5, MDL Participating Counsel not engaged in Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions but who performed work for the Common Benefit pursuant to authorization from the MDL Co-Leads and meet the eligibility criteria in Section II.G. shall be eligible.

3. Albertsons' Common Benefit Fund payments under this Fee Agreement satisfy any assessments or withholdings for the Albertsons Agreement that are or could be required under the Common Benefit Order for Settling States and their Participating Subdivisions. The Common Benefit Fund shall be overseen by the Fee Panel, which shall determine the allocation of funds to eligible Attorneys consistent with this Fee Agreement and the Common Benefit Order.

4. In assessing the benefits that an Applicant has conferred to Participating Subdivisions (including non-Litigating Subdivisions) and/or Tribes for purposes of any compensation decision, the Fee Panel shall give significant weight to the extent to which (i) the Applicant and his or her clients have contributed to increasing (or reducing) Subdivision Participation in the Albertsons Agreement as of the Initial Participation Date, and (ii) the Applicant and his or her clients have contributed to increasing (or reducing) the amounts achieved under Incentive Payments A-D through participation in the Albertsons Agreement, including the Albertsons Tribal Agreement. The Fee Panel may also consider additional fee recoveries the Applicant may potentially obtain, including, but not limited to, from attorney fee funds under other settlement agreements, State Back-Stop Agreements, representations of States or Tribal Nations, representations of other clients in opioids-related matters, or through the representation of Subdivision clients, whether they participated in the Albertsons Agreement or not. It is the intent of this provision to recognize that the goal of the Albertsons Agreement is to provide for maximum participation by the Subdivisions, maximum abatement funding for all Subdivisions nationally, and the maximum peace for Released Entities. However, litigation against Albertsons after the Effective Date by the plaintiffs in *County of Tarrant, Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P.*, et al. (Case No. 1:18-OP-45274) ("CT9") and *County of Monterey v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation*, et al. (Case No. 1:18-op-45614) ("CT21") may continue and shall not be considered common detriment. Therefore, except with respect to CT9 and CT21, representing one or more Non-Participating States or Non-Participating Subdivision does not further the goal of the Albertsons Agreement, and should not be considered Common Benefit because it does not increase funds available to Participating Subdivisions' abatement programs. Representing one or more Later Litigating Subdivisions or Later Litigating States is antithetical to the Albertsons Agreement and detracts from Common Benefit; the Fee Panel shall

consider this concept of "common detriment" set forth in this paragraph in all of its decision making with respect to the allocation of the Attorney Fee Fund among Applicants, as well as, in its discretion, any reductions provided to Albertsons as set forth in Section II.H. The Fee Panel shall consider the totality of the Applicant's Participating Litigating Subdivisions as compared to the Applicant's Non-Participating Litigating Subdivisions; the Parties recognize that, although the goal is for 100% participation, Applicants with a greater number of clients have a greater probability of having one or more Non-Participating Litigating Subdivisions. As used in this paragraph II.C.4, "client" or "representing" a Subdivision shall include any Litigating Subdivision as to which the Applicant has a Fee Entitlement.

5. As set forth in Section II.H, the Fee Panel must consider the factors described in paragraph II.C.4 to determine how and whether to reduce the amounts to be paid by Albertsons under this Fee Agreement and to determine how to allocate funds among Applicants. They may also, at their discretion, consider other factors. Any reduction in payment obligation or credit to be given Albertsons in this Fee Agreement shall be applied against the last Payment Year and then working backwards. Any reduction to an Applicant not credited to Albertsons shall be allocated to attorneys whose Litigating Subdivision clients participated in the settlement by the Initial Participation Date.

D. *Contingency Fee Fund* (40% of the Attorney Fee Fund)

1. The maximum potential total Contingency Fee Fund payment to be made by Albertsons into the Attorney Fee Fund is $30,493,676.63, and in no event shall it exceed that amount. Albertsons' maximum potential Contingency Fee Fund payment shall be paid on the following yearly schedule:

	Albertsons Shall Pay Contingency Fee Fund
Year 1 April 30, 2026	$8,522,435.33
Year 2 February 28, 2027	$8,522,435.33
Year 3 February 28, 2028	$4,987,942.32
Year 4 February 28, 2029	$4,987,942.32
Year 5 February 28, 2030	$3,472,921.34
Total	$30,493,676.63

2. The Contingency Fee Fund shall be available to compensate Attorneys engaged in Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions that meet the criteria set forth in Section II.G.

    a. The Contingency Fee Fund shall be available to Attorneys who represent Litigating Subdivisions that are Participating Subdivisions, whether their actions are filed in state or federal court, and meet the eligibility criteria of Section II.G.

    b. Participation in the Contingency Fee Fund by counsel that have a case that is not subject to the jurisdiction of the MDL Court shall not create, provide, or waive jurisdiction of the MDL Court over that Litigating Subdivision, that case or Attorneys, other than to oversee the fairness of the distribution process, and enforcement of this Fee Agreement.

3. The amount owed by Albertsons to the Contingency Fee Fund shall be reduced to reflect the non-joinder of Litigating Subdivisions in Settling States by subtracting the amounts identified by the Fee Panel, pursuant to paragraph II.H.7, that would have been owed to counsel for Non-Participating Litigating Subdivisions in Settling States had such Litigating Subdivisions been Participating Subdivisions.

4. In the event that after the date of the Albertsons Agreement, Albertsons, prior to the Effective Date of the Albertsons Agreement, settles with any Litigating Subdivision that would have been eligible to participate in the Settlement Agreement, and, under such settlement agreement pays attorneys' fees, the Fee Panel shall treat those Litigating Subdivisions as Participating Litigating Subdivisions and, applying the same criteria applicable to all Attorneys for Participating Litigating Subdivisions, determine what amount they would have been paid from the Contingency Fee Fund if they had become Participating Subdivisions under the Albertsons Agreement without such prior settlement. That sum, rather than being paid to the Attorney for the previously settling Litigating Subdivision, shall be credited to Albertsons, except that such refund shall not be greater than the amount paid to the Attorneys under the Litigating Subdivision's prior settlement agreement. All credits will be applied to the last Payment Year.

5. The Contingency Fee Allocation shall be determined as set forth in the Mathematical Model attached. If after application of the attached model funds remain in the Contingency Fee Fund, such funds shall be transferred to the MDL Common Benefit Fund established by Order of May 9, 2022 (Docket #4428).

E. *MDL Expense Fund*

1. Albertsons shall pay $5,000,000 into the MDL Expense Fund on the Effective Date, on the schedule set forth below.

	MDL Expense Fund
Year 1 April 30, 2026	$2,500,000.00
Year 2 February 28, 2027	$2,500,000.00
Total	$5,000,000.00

2. The MDL Expense Fund shall be released following the Effective Date of this Fee Agreement without any delay to reimburse the MDL PEC for an agreed-to portion of the expenses incurred, as approved by the Cost and Expense Fund Administrator. The sum deposited into the MDL Expense Fund will be paid directly to the MDL Opiate Capital Account.

3. In allocating the MDL Expense Fund, the Administrator shall not allocate any funds for costs incurred after the Effective Date of the Settlement, unless the Administrator determines they were reasonable costs related to the implementation and/or enforcement of the Albertsons Agreement, orin furtherance of MDL 2804

4. At the conclusion of the Opioid MDL 2804 any funds remaining in the MDL Cost & Expense Fund shall be transferred to the MDL 2804 Common Benefit Fund (Dkt #5100).

F. Eligibility

1. It is the intention of all parties participating in the Fee Panel process that there should be total transparency to the Fee Panel and to all fund participants. In connection with the process to be developed by the Fee Panel, any and all monies in attorney's fees received or awarded, including prior or future Contingency Fees, Common Benefit Fees, referral fees, expenses paid, promises for payment, or any other Fee Entitlement, to any Applicant in any opioid litigation shall be disclosed to the Fee Panel as a condition of participating in the Attorney Fee Fund and prior to an award from the Fee Panel. Any payment, expectation of payment or perceived entitlement to participate in a State Back-Stop Agreement or any other agreement reached with a Settling State or any Subdivision or any other source regarding payment of fees must be disclosed to the Fee Panel. Similarly, any right to payment from any other fund, for example a fund for payment to lawyers representing Settling States or Tribal Nations or Subdivisions shall be disclosed to the Fee Panel. Because it is anticipated that there will be multiple firms listed on contingent fee agreements with Litigating Subdivisions, the Fee Panel shall establish procedures, with

input from Attorneys for Participating Litigating Subdivisions, for which party or parties should petition for fees from such groups and to whom the fee shall be paid and thereafter distributed to co-counsel in accordance with applicable agreements. For the avoidance of doubt, all Attorneys that are part of such groups must meet the eligibility criteria in paragraph II.G.3, must be subject to the criteria set forth in paragraph II.C.4, and must be disclosed to the Fee Panel.

2. An Applicant may apply for and recover attorneys' fees from the Common Benefit Fund, the Contingency Fee Fund, and any fund created by a past or future State Back-Stop Agreement, provided the Applicant satisfies the requirements relevant to each such fund and requirements for disclosure to the Fee Panel.

3. An Attorney may not receive any payment from the Attorney Fee Fund (which includes both the Contingency Fee Fund and the Common Benefit Fund) unless the following eligibility criteria are met and annually certified by the Attorney:

   a. The Attorney must expressly waive the enforcement against the Participating Litigating Subdivision client of all Fee Entitlements (other than under State Back-Stop Agreements) arising out of or related to any or all Qualifying Representations of any Participating Litigating Subdivision prior to applying for attorneys' fees from the Attorney Fee Fund. All applications for attorneys' fees under this Fee Agreement shall include an affirmation by the Attorney of such waiver and notice to the client(s) of such waiver. Such waiver shall not preclude the Attorney from submitting such Fee Entitlements to the Fee Panel as a factor for consideration in allocating payments from the Attorney Fee Fund or in connection with a State Back-Stop Agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, no Attorney may recover fees under this Fee Agreement unless the Attorney expressly agrees not to enforce Fee Entitlements as to each and every Participating Litigating Subdivision represented by that Attorney, but such Attorneys may participate in and receive funds from a State Back-Stop Agreement.

   b. The Attorney must represent that s/he has no present intent to represent or participate in the representation of any Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State, including a Non-Eligible State or a subdivision in a Non-Eligible State, with respect to Released Claims against Released Entities.

   c. The Attorney must represent that s/he has not and will not engage in any advertising or solicitation related to Released Claims against Released Entities where such advertising or solicitation relates to a representation of a Subdivision eligible to be a Participating Subdivision after the Reference Date unless the Attorney is recommending participation in the Agreement.

   d. The Attorney must represent s/he will not charge or accept any referral fees for any Released Claims brought against Released Entities by Later

Litigating Subdivisions or Later Litigating States. This representation shall not prohibit Attorneys from receiving allocated shares of any future common benefit assessments arising out of settlements or judgments with Later Litigating Subdivisions or Later Litigating States that are the result of the MDL Court's Common Benefit Order.

e. The Attorney may not have and must represent that s/he does not have a Fee Entitlement related to a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State, including a Non-Eligible State or a subdivision in a Non-Eligible State, other than a potential Common Benefit Fee.

f. The Attorney must fully disclose the participation, or the anticipation of participation, in any agreement with a Settling State or Participating Subdivision concerning fees arising out of or related to the Albertsons Agreement, including any fees paid or anticipated to be paid or any State Back-Stop Agreement.

g. The Attorney must identify for the Fee Panel whether s/he utilized state litigation work product or MDL work product, including but not limited to ARCOS data, document repositories, experts developed in the MDL, trial transcripts, or deposition transcripts. The Attorney must identify whether s/he signed the MDL Participation Agreement.

h. Any Attorney who applies for fees from one or both Funds must represent that, having exercised his/her independent judgment, s/he believes the Albertsons Agreement to be fair and will make or has made best efforts to recommend the Agreement to his or her Subdivision clients in Settling States. For avoidance of doubt, each Attorney is expected to exercise his or her independent judgment in the best interest of each client individually before determining whether to recommend joining the settlement. All applications for attorneys' fees or costs under this Section shall include an affirmation by the Attorney in compliance with this Subsection.

4. No Attorney receiving fees under this Fee Agreement may apply for or recover from the Attorney Fee Fund fees arising from representing a Non-Settling State or a Non-Participating Subdivision, provided, however, that this provision is not intended to prohibit Attorneys who do not represent or otherwise have a contractual agreement with such Non-Settling State or Non-Participating Subdivision from receiving allocated shares of any future common benefit assessments that arise out of settlements or judgments involving such Non-Settling State or Non-Participating Subdivision. All applications for attorneys' fees under this Section shall include an affirmation by the Attorney of compliance with this Section.

5. An Attorney who has filed an application under this section and received an award of attorneys' fees shall provide a certification of compliance with the

Sections of this Fee Agreement annually during the years upon which they are still entitled to receive attorneys' fee payments under this Agreement. This certification will be done as directed by the Panel.

6. If, at any time, the Attorney is unable to make the representations set forth in this Section, such representations become untrue, or the Attorney falsely represents compliance with the eligibility criteria, the Attorney shall cease to be eligible to receive funds from the Attorney Fee Fund until further review by the Fee Panel of the Attorney's eligibility under and compliance with this Section II.

7. If an Attorney has a Fee Entitlement with a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State, including a Non-Eligible State or a subdivision in a Non-Eligible State, or otherwise becomes unable to reaffirm compliance with the eligibility criteria set forth above, the Attorney shall notify the Fee Panel. For the avoidance of doubt, any Attorney who undertakes any new representation of, or has a Fee Entitlement with, a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State, including a Non-Eligible State or a subdivision in a Non-Eligible State, shall be prohibited from receiving any future funds from the Attorney Fee Fund and be subject to additional obligations as set forth in Subsection 8 below. If an Attorney fails to notify the Fee Panel of such Fee Entitlement with a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State, including a Non-Eligible State or a subdivision in a Non-Eligible State, the Attorney shall be required to refund amounts previously paid. The Fee Panel shall notify Albertsons when it receives notification.

8. To the extent an Attorney who has received compensation from the Attorney Fee Fund based on Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions under the Albertsons Agreement represents a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State, including a Non-Eligible State or a subdivision in a Non-Eligible State, such Attorney shall be obligated to refund such amounts received as compensation from the Attorney Fee Fund to Albertsons. Albertsons or such Attorney may bring any dispute as to whether such Attorney shall be obligated to refund such amounts received from the Attorney Fee Fund to Albertsons, to the Fee Panel. Nothing herein shall require a multi-attorney law firm that has received compensation from the Attorney Fee Fund to refund such amounts if an attorney of the firm that is no longer affiliated with such law firm, after such departure, represents a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State, provided that (a) neither the law firm nor any of its other attorneys have any contractual or financial arrangement regarding, stand to benefit directly or indirectly from, or directly or indirectly provide financial or other support of any kind to, the former attorney's representation of the Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State and (b) if the former attorney was a partner or owner of the multi-attorney law firm at the time that the law firm received compensation from the Attorney Fee Fund, the former attorney shall be obligated to refund such amounts as the former

attorney earned as a result of the compensation that the law firm received from the Attorney Fee Fund.

9. In the event that an Attorney is deemed ineligible by the Fee Panel (whether based on its initial application or subsequent recertification), the Fee Panel shall provide notice to the Attorney and give the Attorney 30 days to provide additional information such that the Fee Panel could re-consider the Attorney's eligibility.

10. To the extent that an Attorney has a Fee Entitlement with a Participating Subdivision and is authorized to bring Released Claims against Released Entities, but such authorization is, in scope, less broad than the category of Released Claims set forth in the Albertsons Agreement, such Attorney may participate fully in both the Contingency Fee Fund and the Common Benefit Fund, without any reduction imposed by the Fee Panel due to the scope of the authorization, so long as the Participating Subdivision fully releases all Released Claims against Released Entities.

11. Attorneys applying to the Attorney Fee Fund knowingly and expressly agree to be bound by the decisions of the Fee Panel, subject to the limited appeal rights set forth in this Fee Agreement, and waive the ability to assert the lack of enforceability of the allocation reached through the procedures outlined herein.

12. Applicants are under an ongoing obligation to inform the Fee Panel in writing of any additional fees earned, expected, or received related to any Opioid litigation throughout the period of the Fee Panel's operation.

G. Calculation of Amounts Due

1. The Fee Panel shall be solely responsible for determining the amount of fees to be paid to each Applicant. None of the Released Entities shall have any responsibility, obligation, or liability of any kind whatsoever with respect to how attorneys' fees are calculated under this Section, except that the Fee Panel may receive information from Albertsons as to (a) the identity of Participating, Non-Participating, Litigating, Later Litigating, and Non-Litigating Subdivisions; (b) the impact of non-participation by a Litigating Subdivision as is relevant to the Fee Panel's determination in paragraph II.C.4; and (c) such other information as Albertsons may voluntarily elect to provide.

2. The Fee Panel shall establish procedures for making determinations under this Fee Agreement consistent with this Fee Agreement and orders of the MDL Court. Such procedures may include submission of documentary and/or other evidence, interviews with Applicants and/or other counsel (including counsel for Albertsons) that the Fee Panel deems appropriate, and/or other means of creating a record upon which fee awards will be based.

3. In making determinations under this Fee Agreement, the Fee Panel must apply the eligibility criteria set forth in Section II.G of this Fee Agreement and the criteria set forth in Section II. The Fee Panel shall ensure that payments are only made for Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions. In addition, the Fee Panel will give consideration in regard to Common Benefit Fund awards to the *Johnson* factors, as well as the following factors (which factors may be applied and given relative weight in the Fee Panel's discretion):

   a. The Applicant's contemporaneously recorded time and labor dedicated to Qualifying Representations along with the Applicant's financial commitment to such Qualifying Representations. Claimed "time" will not be automatically accepted by the Fee Panel but will be critically reviewed and given substantially more weight and consideration if such time was subject to the audit process described in any Pretrial Order(s) governing the collection of common benefit time;

   b. The novelty, time, and complexity of the Qualifying Representations;

   c. The skill requisite to perform legal services properly and undesirability of the case;

   d. The preclusion of other employment by the Applicant due to time dedicated to Qualifying Representations;

   e. The Common Benefit, if any, alleged to have been conferred by the Applicant and whether such Common Benefit work product by that Applicant was used by others in parallel litigations against Released Entities whether within or outside the MDL, provided that any Applicant claiming that s/he substantially benefited cases other than those in which s/he entered an appearance as counsel must substantiate such claims by proffering factual support, such as proper supporting affidavits or other documents as determined by the Fee Panel with input from Attorneys for Participating Litigating Subdivisions;

   f. Any "common detriment," as set forth in paragraph II.C.4;

   g. Any contingent fee agreement or other Fee Entitlement with Participating Subdivisions, enforcement of which, except for State Back-Stop Agreements, are waived in conjunction with the application, the nature and extent of any work for those Participating Subdivisions, whether such Participating Subdivisions actively litigated and, if so, the nature and procedural history of such case(s);

   h. The experience, reputation, and ability of the Applicant;

i. Whether the Applicant's clients brought Released Claims against Released Entities;

j. The status of discovery in cases primarily handled by the Applicant;

k. The nature of any work by the Applicant on "bellwether" cases or cases that were similarly active in litigation;

l. Any pressure points successfully asserted by the Applicant in cases against Albertsons or any risk for Albertsons created by the Applicant in cases against Albertsons;

m. Any risk for defendants created by Applicants in cases against Albertsons;

n. Successful and unsuccessful motion practice in cases worked on by the Applicant;

o. The date of filing of any cases filed by the Applicant;

p. Obtaining consolidation of the litigation in the Applicant's jurisdiction;

q. The number and population of entities represented by the Applicant and the fees that would have been awarded under extinguished contingent fee arrangements;

r. Whether the Applicant's clients brought claims against Albertsons prior to the announcement of this settlement onMay 1,2026

s. Whether the Applicant has had a leadership role in the litigation, whether in state or federal court;

t. Whether the Applicant has had a leadership role in any negotiations aimed at resolving the litigation;

u. Whether the Applicant's cases have survived motions to dismiss;

v. The extent to which the Applicant contributed to the work product used for the common benefit of opioids litigants, including, without limitation, work on ARCOS data, Prescription Data Monitoring Programs, IQVIA data, depositions, document production and analysis experts, motions, briefs and pleadings, trial preparations, and trials;

w. The extent to which litigation occurred prior to and contributed to completion of settlement negotiations, as distinct from litigation that occurred after the announcement of the Albertsons Agreement on September 8, 2023, such latter litigation both being of less value and, the

case of litigation filed after the announcement of the Albertsons Agreement on September 8, 2023, resulting in a common detriment to the settlement process, which in both cases should be viewed less favorably;

x. The extent to which an Applicant demonstrated a continued commitment of time and resources to MDL 2804 since July 1, 2023; and

y. Any other factors that the Fee Panel finds to be appropriate to consider after input from Applicants to the Attorney Fee Fund.

4. It is possible that the States and Subdivisions that are litigating Opioid cases will enter additional settlements in close proximity of the time for processing the Albertsons Agreement. If there are additional settlements and these settlements create a Common Benefit Attorney Fee Fund to be administered by the Fee Panel, the Fee Panel may:

   a. Consolidate the Common Benefit approval process to include evaluation of all Common Benefit Applications for all settlements entered after November 14, 2022;

   b. Determine the fair and equitable allocation of the Aggregate Common Benefit Fees that come after December 9, 2022, including consideration of beneficial or detrimental actions taken with respect to any Settling Defendant contributing to the Common Benefit Attorney Fee Fund;

   c. Give consideration to the amount and timing of each settlement, including the amount and timing of Common Benefit Fees;

   d. The Fee Panel shall abide by the applicable Attorney Fee Agreement in each of the Settlements in Allocating the Common Benefit Fees provided for in the Settlement; and

   e. Be guided in their work by the Orders of the Court related to Fees and Costs.

5. The Fee Panel shall develop procedures for receiving a single application, which may be updated or amended based on new information (such as participation by additional Litigating Subdivisions) from each Applicant seeking compensation from each sub fund of the Attorney Fee Fund pursuant to processes and procedures developed by the Fee Panel, which shall not be inconsistent with this Fee Agreement. Any request for attorneys' fees not included on the single application or through the updating/amendment process designed by the Fee Panel shall be deemed waived. For purposes of transparency and to permit the Fee Panel to conduct its work, the application from each Applicant shall, at a minimum, require each Applicant to:

a. Identify all Litigating Subdivisions for which s/he is seeking payment from the Attorney Fee Fund;

b. Identify all Subdivisions in both Settling and Non-Settling States (and, where applicable, Tribal Nations) with respect to which s/he has a Fee Entitlement with respect to Relevant Claims against Released Entities, and identify all co-counsel in such cases;

c. Identify which of those Subdivisions are Participating Subdivisions and which are not (with similar information for Tribal Nations, where applicable);

d. Specify the specific fund or funds within the Attorney Fee Fund from which the Attorney is seeking compensation;

e. Demonstrate his or her eligibility for compensation from the relevant sub funds within the Attorney Fee Fund pursuant to the criteria set forth for the relevant sub fund;

f. Identify any and all Fee Entitlements from representations of States, Tribal Nations, or other plaintiffs related to Released Claims against Released Entities or in opioids-related matters;

g. Notwithstanding "a-f" above, the Panel may consider a supplemental application if the Applicant shows good cause why circumstances exist that will lead to consideration for additional Common Benefit award. Examples would include, but are not limited to, an Applicant having Non-Participating Litigating Subdivision clients that subsequently become Participating Subdivisions, a Bar Date passes that increases participation or an Allocation Agreement is reached.

6. With respect to the Common Benefit Fund, the Fee Panel shall (subject to any applicable MDL Court Order):

   a. Review the applications of all Applicants seeking compensation from the Common Benefit Fund, including determining eligibility for each Applicant as set forth in Section II.G.

   b. Using criteria set forth in Sections II.C and II.G, allocate amounts from the Common Benefit Fund to eligible Applicants, including payment amounts for each Payment Year. In making such allocations, the Panel shall apply the principles set forth in paragraph II.C.5 to the amounts paid to Applicants with a Common Benefit Fee Entitlement.

7. With respect to the Contingency Fee Fund, the Fee Panel shall:

a. Review the applications of all Attorneys seeking compensation from the Litigating Subdivision Fee Fund, including determining eligibility for each Attorney as set forth in Section II.G.

b. Apply the Mathematical Model in Exhibit A.

c. Use such allocations to determine refund amounts owed to Albertsons from the Attorney Fee Fund, and inform Albertsons and the MDL PEC of all such adjustments.

8. To the extent that there is a dispute about the calculations of the Fee Panel related to the amount that Albertsons is required to pay (including application of any reductions or refunds under this Fee Agreement), such disputes shall be presented to the Fee Panel and any disputed funds be paid into/held in escrow. The Fee Panel shall resolve such disputes expeditiously, with either Party having the right to seek review from the MDL Court.

9. For purposes of determination of fee or cost awards, allocations, reductions, and possible reversions under this Fee Agreement, unless specified otherwise a Subdivision will be considered a Non-Participating Subdivision if it is not a Participating Subdivision as of the deadline for the application for the fee at issue (or, if the determination does not involve a specific application, the date on which the record for such determination closes).

10. In the event that the Fee Panel, through the use of the Mathematical Model set forth in Exhibit A, allocates funds from the Contingency Fee Fund for an Attorney based on a Qualifying Representation of a Participating Litigating Subdivision and that Subdivision is in a Settling State in which the Consent Judgment has not been approved, such funds shall be placed into escrow until the Consent Judgment is approved, after which time they shall be released.

H. Miscellaneous

1. The Fee Panel shall charge an hourly rate approved by the Court. The Pre-Effective Date costs associated with the Cost and Expense Fund Administrator shall be paid from funds in the Cost Fund. Post-Effective Date, the cost of the Fee Panel shall be charged against the applicable Fee Fund based on allocation by the Fee Panel and shall not be otherwise funded by Albertsons.

2. The MDL PEC will seek, and the Attorneys General for Settling States and the Albertsons will not oppose, a Common Benefit Fee Order requiring an assessment of 7.5% on the gross recovery (by judgment or settlement) of any Non-Participating Subdivision that is subject to the federal court jurisdiction, represented by a MDL PEC firm, represented by any Attorney receiving fees from the Common Benefit Fund, represented by any Attorney that signed a Participation Agreement or had been paid in a case otherwise under the jurisdiction of the MDL Court.

3. The MDL PEC shall provide to Albertsons information the PEC has that identifies Attorneys who represent Litigating Subdivisions who are not Participating Subdivisions and who have an obligation to pay a common benefit assessment, either due to the MDL Court's orders or pursuant to a Participation Agreement.

4. The MDL PEC and Albertsons agree that it is a conflict of interest for an Attorney that had represented a Participating Subdivision or Settling State to represent a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State, including a Non-Eligible State or a subdivision in a Non-Eligible State. This Subsection shall be enforceable to the extent permitted by the equivalent to Rules 1.16 and 5.6 of the ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct in the relevant jurisdictions. The MDL PEC represents that it will comply with this provision in the case of the Albertsons Agreement until the Effective Date of the Albertsons Agreement, as well as thereafter, if the Albertsons Agreement proceeds.

5. Participating Subdivisions agree to instruct their counsel to treat information, work product and expert materials as confidential under Rule 1.6 of the ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct. Accordingly, an Attorney shall not share information or work product with, or experts or materials to, non-participants (other than the Attorney's own current clients or their lawyers, consultants, experts or other representatives or agents), including Non-Eligible States or subdivisions in Non-Eligible States. However, nothing herein shall prevent MDL Leadership or PEC Counsel from fulfilling their obligations in any MDL and the MDL Court Order.

III. Miscellaneous

A. *Termination*. If the Albertsons Agreement does not proceed past the Reference Date, whether because Albertsons does not determine to proceed or for any other reason, this Fee Agreement shall be null and void, Albertsons shall have no obligation to make any payments under this Fee Agreement, and Albertsons and the MDL PEC shall take such steps as are necessary to restore the *status quo ante*.

B. *MDL Court Consideration*. This Fee Agreement shall be attached as an exhibit to the Albertsons Agreement. This Fee Agreement shall also be submitted by Albertsons and the MDL PEC to the MDL Court for approval pursuant to the motion and order that shall be attached.

1. In the event that the MDL Court, through an order, makes any change to the amounts potentially to be paid by Albertsons under this Fee Agreement, makes any change to the Fee Panel's consideration of the factors set forth in paragraph II.C.4, or any other material change to the draft Order attached as part of Exhibit B or the terms of this Fee Agreement, Albertsons and the MDL PEC shall meet

and confer concerning such changes. The MDL Court shall have no authority to increase the payments made by Albertsons related to fees and costs beyond the amounts described in this Fee Agreement.

2. If Albertsons and the MDL PEC are unable to reach agreement and revisions to this Fee Agreement, this Fee Agreement shall be null and void, Albertsons shall have no obligation to make any payments under this Fee Agreement, and Albertsons and the MDL PEC shall take such further steps as are necessary to restore the *status quo ante.*

C. *Amendment*. Once the MDL Court has entered an order implementing this Fee Agreement, this Fee Agreement can only be amended by (1) written agreement of Albertsons and the MDL PEC and (2) approval by the MDL Court.

D. *Jurisdiction and Enforcement*. The MDL Court shall have exclusive and ongoing jurisdiction over the enforcement and implementation of this Fee Agreement as set forth herein. The MDL PEC shall be the Authorized Party to enforce this Fee Agreement, as to the payment obligations of Albertsons as set forth in this Fee Agreement and as to Attorneys making application to the Funds under this Fee Agreement. Solely for purposes of assessing or allocating common benefit fees, the MDL Court will continue to have jurisdiction over the work product developed in the MDL Court by and under the direction of the MDL PEC with respect to claims against Albertsons, including data and documents, depositions, expert reports, briefs and pleadings; and the MDL Court's protective orders, management orders, and other decisions regarding such discovery and other work product, including but not limited to, conditions on its use, will continue in full force and effect. Nothing in this paragraph authorizes the MDL Court to act contrary to this Agreement or to share any of the work product, or provides the MDL Court with jurisdiction over the Albertsons Agreement.

EXHIBIT A

Description of Mathematical Model for the Allocation of the Contingency Fee Funds

Albertsons Settlement Agreement

This document describes the Mathematical Model for allocation of the Contingency Fee Fund described in Exhibit R (Agreement of Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Expenses) to the Albertsons Settlement Agreement.[1] Awards of fees from the Contingency Fee Funds shall be available to Attorneys with Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions eligible to receive an allocation under the Albertsons Settlement Agreement.[2] A Fee Panel shall oversee the application of the Model and resolve any questions or disputes concerning the eligibility of a counsel to participate. The Panel is empowered to hear disputes concerning and ensure the accuracy of the mathematical calculations.

In general terms, allocation of the Contingency Fee Fund shall be made by (1) determining the amount of the Settlement Fund that is attributable to each Participating Litigating Subdivision; (2) making certain adjustments to these amounts based on when the Subdivision filed suit and the terms of the applicable fee contract; and (3) dividing the Contingency Fee Fund proportionately among counsel for each Participating Litigating Subdivision based on the amounts calculated in subpart 2.

To collect a fee award from the Contingency Fee Fund, a Participating Litigating Subdivision must have named Albertsons (or any Released Entity) in its lawsuit. The total maximum amount of the Contingency Fee Fund in the Albertsons Settlement Agreement is $30,493,676.63.[3]

Allocation of the Contingency Fee Fund shall be made according to the following steps. These calculations are made only for purpose of determining the percentage share of the Contingency Fee Fund that Attorneys for each Participating Litigating Subdivision should receive, ***not*** for determining the dollar amount each Subdivision will receive.

(1) For each Settling State, attribute 50% of the settlement funds for that State to its Subdivisions according to the Subdivision Allocation Percentage in Exhibit G to the Albertsons Settlement Agreement.

Illustrative example:

- Assume that State A is allocated 1.00000% of the $1,200,000,000 Remediation amount [see Exhibit M of the Albertsons Settlement Agreement].
- 50% of the 1% share allocated to State A is $6,000,000.

[1] *See* Albertsons Settlement Agreement, Exhibit R § II.D.2.
[2] Albertsons Settlement Agreement, Exhibit R § II.D.2.
[3] Albertsons Settlement Agreement, Exhibit R § II.A.1 & II.D.1.

- Assume that, per Exhibit G of the Agreement, the Subdivision Allocation Percentage for City B in State A is 1.00000000%.
- For purposes of determining its counsel's share of the Contingency Fee Fund, City B is attributed 1.00000000% of $6,000,000, or $60,000.

(2) Adjust the amounts in paragraph 1 as follows:

a. *Upward Adjustment for Early Filers.* Increase the amount calculated in paragraph 1 above by 10% for any Litigating Subdivision that named Albertsons in a suit before December 5, 2017, the date the National Prescription Opiate Litigation MDL was formed. If the Litigating Subdivision did not name Albertsons in a suit before September 8, 2023, then fees from the Contingency Fee Fund will not be awarded to Attorneys with otherwise Qualifying Representations of that Participating Litigating Subdivision.

Illustrative Example:

- Assume City C is attributed $1,000,000 under paragraph 1 above.
- If City C named Albertsons before 12/5/2017, the attributed amount would be adjusted to $1,100,000.

b. *Determine Amount Due under Contingency Fee Contract*. Determine the amount that would be due to Attorneys with Qualifying Representations of each Participating Litigating Subdivision under the terms of the applicable fee contract if the Participating Litigating Subdivision were to receive the amount calculated in paragraph 2.a. This amount can be referred to as the Contingency Fee Assumption.

Illustrative Example:

- Continuing the example given in paragraph 2.a, if Attorneys have a 20% contingency fee contract with City C for the relevant litigation, the amount calculated in this step would be 20% of $1,100,000, or $220,000.

In the next step, the Contingency Fee Assumption is used to determine the percentage share of the Contingency Fee Fund due to Attorneys for each Participating Litigating Subdivision.

(3) Divide the Contingency Fee Fund proportionately among Attorneys for each Participating Litigating Subdivision in two ways:

a. *National Fee Pool Calculation.* Determine each Litigating Subdivision's

percentage share of all amounts due under contingency fee contracts nationwide by dividing the Contingency Fee Assumption calculated for each Subdivision in paragraph 2.b by the sum of all Contingency Fee Assumptions. Then multiply that percentage by the Contingency Fee Fund to figure each Subdivision's dollar share of the Contingency Fee Fund (but only if the Subdivision timely named Albertsons in a lawsuit).

Illustrative example:

- $220,000 [from para. 2.b] ÷ $280,000,000 [total amount owed under contingency fee contracts nationwide] = 0.0785714%[4]
- 0.0785714% * $225,493,007.20 [Contingency Fee Fund] = $177,173.08

b. *Separate State Fee Pools Calculation.* Determine each Litigating Subdivision's percentage share of all amounts due under contingency fee contracts statewide by dividing the Contingency Fee Assumption calculated for each Subdivision in paragraph 2.b by the sum of all Contingency Fee Assumptions in the same State. Then multiply that percentage by the portion of the Contingency Fee Fund that corresponds to that State's Overall Allocation Percentage, shown in Exhibit F of the Albertsons Settlement Agreement, to figure each Subdivision's dollar share of the Contingency Fee Fund (but only if the Subdivision timely named Albertsons in a lawsuit).

Illustrative example:

- 1% * $225,493,007.20 = $2,254,930.07 [amount of the Contingency Fee Fund corresponding to State A]
- Assume a total of $2,500,000 is owed under contingency fee contracts for State A.
- $220,000 [from para. 2.b] ÷ $2,500,000 = 8.8%
- 8.8% * $2,254,930.07 = $198,433.85

The award of fees to Attorneys with Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions will be the average of the final amounts calculated in paragraphs 3.a and 3.b above, less any amounts the Fee Panel is authorized to, and does, withhold.[5]

Paragraph 3.a represents allocation based on a proportional share of a National Fee Pool,

[4] In this example, $280 million is the amount theoretically owed under all contingency fee contracts for litigation against Albertsons as calculated in paragraph 2.b. This amount is illustrative only; the actual amount will not be known until all litigating subdivisions are identified and the terms of their contingency fee contracts are collected.

[5] The model also enforces a maximum fee award of 20% of the amount calculated in paragraph 2.b. The description in this document of the Mathematical Model is by necessity an abstraction; the precise contours of the calculations are defined in the model itself.

while paragraph 3.b represents allocation based on a proportional share of the Separate State Fee Pools. In other words, for the National Fee Pool described above in paragraph 3.a, the contingency fee contract rate is compared to all other contingency fee contract rates in the nation. For the Separate State Fee Pools described above in paragraph 3.b, the contingency fee contract terms are compared to the other contingency fee contract terms in that same State. The National Fee Pool and the Separate State Fee Pools are given equal weighting.

Using the first methodology, Attorneys for two Subdivisions in different States with the same amount calculated under paragraph 2.b would be assigned the same amount under paragraph 3.a. Using the second methodology, Attorneys for the same two Subdivisions would be assigned different amounts under paragraph 3.b because they are in different States. Specifically, the Subdivision in the State with a smaller proportion of Participating Litigating Subdivisions would be allocated more than the Subdivision in the State with a greater proportion of Participating Litigating Subdivisions.

By: _____

Name:

Date: _____

On behalf of Albertsons

By: _____

Name: Paul T. Farrell, Jr.

Date: _____

By: _____

Name: Jayne Conroy

Date: _____

By: _____

Name: Joseph F. Rice

Date: _____

On behalf of Plaintiffs' Executive Committee

Exhibit S

[Intentionally Omitted]

Exhibit T

[Intentionally Omitted]

EXHIBIT U- Exemplar Tax Form

☐ CORRECTED

FILER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no. [Appropriate Official] [Designated State] [Address]		1 Total amount required to be paid $	OMB No. 1545-2284 Form **1098-F** (Rev. April 2025) For calendar year 2026	**Fines, Penalties, and Other Amounts**
		2 Amount to be paid for violation or potential violation $		
		3 Restitution/remediation amount $		
FILER'S TIN XX-XXXXXXX	PAYER'S TIN 47-4376911	4 Compliance amount $	5 Date of order/agreement	**Copy B** **For Payer**
PAYER'S name ALBERTSONS COMPANIES, INC.		6 Court or entity U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio and Jurisdictions of other cases settled under the Settlement Agreement entered into by Albertsons Companies, Inc. and Settling States (as defined in the Agreement), dated XX/XX/2026		
Street address (including apt. no.) 250 E PARKCENTER BLVD		7 Case number No. 1:17-MD-2804, and other cases settled under the Settlement Agreement entered into by Albertsons Companies, Inc. and the Settling States (as defined in the Agreement), dated as of XX/XX/2026.		This is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS.
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code BOISE ID 83706		8 Case name or names of parties to suit, order, or agreement National Prescription Opiate Litigation		
		9 Code		

Form **1098-F** (Rev. 4-2025) (keep for your records) www.irs.gov/Form1098F Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit V

[Intentionally Omitted]

Exhibit W

Non-Litigating Threshold Subdivisions

[Exhibit to be inserted prior to Effective Date]

EXHIBIT X

Governor's Release of Opioid-Related Claims Pursuant to the Albertsons Settlement Agreement

I, [NAME], Governor of the [Commonwealth]/[State]/[Territory] of [Commonwealth]/[State]/[Territory], hereby authorize Attorney General [NAME] to settle and release, to the maximum extent of my power as Governor, all Released Claims of (1) all of [Commonwealth]/[State]/[Territory]'s past and present executive departments, state agencies, divisions, boards, commissions and instrumentalities with regulatory authority to enforce state and federal controlled substances acts and (2) all of [Commonwealth]/[State]/[Territory]'s past and present executive departments, agencies, divisions, boards, commissions, and instrumentalities that have the authority to bring Claims related to Covered Conduct seeking money (including abatement and/or remediation) or revocation or suspension of a pharmaceutical distribution or dispensing license. The foregoing authorization is given in connection with Section X.G of the Albertsons Settlement Agreement dated as of August 20, 2026 setting forth the terms of settlement between and among Albertsons, on the one hand, and the Settling States and Participating Subdivisions, on the other hand. This release is intended to be a "release from a State's Governor" as contemplated in such section. Capitalized terms used herein and defined in the Settlement Agreement have the meanings given to them in such settlement agreement.

_____

[Name]
Governor of the [Commonwealth]/[State]/[Territory] of [Commonwealth]/[State]/[Territory]

Date: _____

EXHIBIT K

Subdivision Participation and Release Form

Governmental Entity: Douglas County	State: Nevada
Authorized Official: Jenifer Davidson, County Manager	
Address 1: 1594 Esmeralda Avenue	
Address 2:	
City, State, Zip: Minden, Nevada 89423	
Phone: 775-782-9821	
Email: jrdavidson@douglasnv.us	

The governmental entity identified above ("*Governmental Entity*"), in order to obtain and in consideration for the benefits provided to the Governmental Entity pursuant to the Settlement Agreement dated August 20, 2026 ("*Albertsons Settlement*"), and acting through the undersigned authorized official, hereby elects to participate in the Albertsons Settlement, release all Released Claims against all Released Entities, and agrees as follows.

1. The Governmental Entity is aware of and has reviewed the Albertsons Settlement, understands that all terms in this Participation and Release Form have the meanings defined therein, and agrees that by executing this Participation and Release Form, the Governmental Entity elects to participate in the Albertsons Settlement and become a Participating Subdivision as provided therein.

2. The Governmental Entity shall promptly, and in any event no later than 14 days after the Reference Date and prior to the filing of the Consent Judgment, dismiss with prejudice any Released Claims that it has filed. With respect to any Released Claims pending in *In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, MDL No. 2804, the Governmental Entity authorizes the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee to execute and file on behalf of the Governmental Entity a Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice substantially in the form found at [website link to national settlement website to be provided].

3. The Governmental Entity agrees to the terms of the Albertsons Settlement pertaining to Participating Subdivisions as defined therein.

4. By agreeing to the terms of the Albertsons Settlement and becoming a Releasor, the Governmental Entity is entitled to the benefits provided therein, including, if applicable, monetary payments beginning after the Effective Date.

5. The Governmental Entity agrees to use any monies it receives through the Albertsons Settlement solely for the purposes provided therein.

6. The Governmental Entity submits to the jurisdiction of the court in the Governmental Entity's state where the Consent Judgment is filed for purposes limited to that court's role as provided in, and for resolving disputes to the extent provided in, the Albertsons Settlement. The Governmental Entity likewise agrees to arbitrate before the National

Arbitration Panel as provided in, and for resolving disputes to the extent otherwise provided in, the Albertsons Settlement.

7. The Governmental Entity has the right to enforce the Albertsons Settlement as provided therein.

8. The Governmental Entity, as a Participating Subdivision, hereby becomes a Releasor for all purposes in the Albertsons Settlement, including without limitation all provisions of Section X (Release), and along with all departments, agencies, divisions, boards, commissions, districts, instrumentalities of any kind and attorneys, and any person in their official capacity elected or appointed to serve any of the foregoing and any agency, person, or other entity claiming by or through any of the foregoing, and any other entity identified in the definition of Releasor, provides for a release to the fullest extent of its authority. As a Releasor, the Governmental Entity hereby absolutely, unconditionally, and irrevocably covenants not to bring, file, or claim, or to cause, assist or permit to be brought, filed, or claimed, or to otherwise seek to establish liability for any Released Claims against any Released Entity in any forum whatsoever. The releases provided for in the Albertsons Settlement are intended by the Parties to be broad and shall be interpreted so as to give the Released Entities the broadest possible bar against any liability relating in any way to Released Claims and extend to the full extent of the power of the Governmental Entity to release claims. The Albertsons Settlement shall be a complete bar to any Released Claim.

9. The Governmental Entity hereby takes on all rights and obligations of a Participating Subdivision as set forth in the Albertsons Settlement.

10. In connection with the releases provided for in the Albertsons Settlement, each Governmental Entity expressly waives, releases, and forever discharges any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States or other jurisdiction, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which reads:

> **General Release; extent.** A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release that, if known by him or her would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

A Releasor may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which it knows, believes, or assumes to be true with respect to the Released Claims, but each Governmental Entity hereby expressly waives and fully, finally, and forever settles, releases and discharges, upon the Effective Date, any and all Released Claims that may exist as of such date but which Releasors do not know or suspect to exist, whether through ignorance, oversight, error, negligence or through no fault whatsoever, and which, if known, would materially affect the Governmental Entities' decision to participate in the Albertsons Settlement.

11. Nothing herein is intended to modify in any way the terms of the Albertsons Settlement, to which Governmental Entity hereby agrees. To the extent this Participation and Release Form is interpreted differently from the Albertsons Settlement in any respect, the Albertsons Settlement controls.

I have all necessary power and authorization to execute this Participation and Release Form on behalf of the Governmental Entity.

Signature: _____

Name: Jenifer Davidson

Title: County Manager

Date: 10/6/26

AGENDA ITEM NO. 11.1

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **PRESENTATIONS**

TITLE:
For presentation only. Ceremonial presentation of **Proclamation 2026P-059** recognizing November 4 - 11, 2026, as Operation Green Light for Veterans in Douglas County. (Dean Miller, VFW Douglas County Post #8583, Commander)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Presentation only.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
None.

BACKGROUND:
Operation Green Light for Veterans is a national initiative of the National Association of Counties that honors veterans, raises awareness of the challenges they may face, and highlights available resources and support. This proclamation designates Douglas County as a Green Light for Veterans County from November 4–11, 2026, and encourages residents and businesses to display a green light as a visible expression of appreciation for those who have served.

ATTACHMENTS:
Operation Green Light for Veterans Proclamation.pdf

DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEVADA
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

PROCLAMATION

OPERATION GREEN LIGHT FOR VETERANS

NOVEMBER 4-11, 2026

WHEREAS, Douglas County holds in the highest regard the courageous men and women who have served our nation in the United States Armed Forces; their service and sacrifices have protected the freedoms and way of life enjoyed by our community; and

WHEREAS, veterans continue to serve through organizations such as the American Legion and Veterans of Foreign Wars, faith-based organizations, civil service, and as County Veterans Service Officers in 29 states, helping fellow veterans access more than $52 billion in federal health, disability, and compensation benefits each year; and

WHEREAS, approximately 200,000 service members transition to civilian life annually, often facing unique challenges that require awareness, understanding, and access to support; and

WHEREAS, the National Association of Counties established Operation Green Light for Veterans to honor veterans, raise awareness of the challenges they may face, and highlight the resources available to them; and

WHEREAS, Douglas County remains committed to ensuring that veterans know their service and sacrifices are valued and appreciated.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT PROCLAIMED that the Douglas County Board of Commissioners, hereby recognizes November 4–11, 2026, as Operation Green Light for Veterans in Douglas County, Nevada; and encourages residents and businesses to display a green light in a window during this observance as a visible expression of appreciation and support for our nation's veterans.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this proclamation has been adopted this 15th day of October, 2026.

Mark Gardner, Chairman

Amy Burgans, Clerk-Treasurer

Proclamation 2026P-059

AGENDA ITEM NO. 11.2

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **PRESENTATIONS**

TITLE:
For presentation only. Ceremonial presentation of **Proclamation 2026P-060** recognizing October 2026 as Community Planning Month in Douglas County. (Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Economic Vitality Manager - Interim Principal Planner)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Presentation only.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
None.

BACKGROUND:
APA NV is the Nevada Chapter of the American Planning Association. APA is an independent, not-for-profit educational organization that provides leadership in the development of vital communities by advocating excellence in community planning.

ATTACHMENTS:
Community Planning Month Proclamation.pdf

DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEVADA
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

PROCLAMATION

COMMUNITY PLANNING MONTH

OCTOBER 2026

WHEREAS, change affects all communities—urban, suburban, and rural—and thoughtful planning helps communities respond to evolving needs while preserving the qualities that make them unique; and

WHEREAS, planners use professional expertise, data, and community input to guide informed decisions about housing, transportation, land use, and other issues that shape how people live and work, while providing residents with meaningful opportunities to help shape their communities' future; and

WHEREAS, successful planning depends on the leadership of elected and appointed officials and the dedication of Douglas County's Planning Commission members and professional planners, whose contributions help address community needs, protect valued resources, and enhance quality of life; and

WHEREAS, October is recognized as National Community Planning Month, an observance supported by the American Planning Association to highlight the essential role of planning in creating stronger, healthier, and more resilient communities; and

WHEREAS, the Nevada Chapter of the American Planning Association will host its 54th Annual Nevada State Planning Conference on October 22–23, 2026, in Henderson, Nevada, with the theme "Go With the Flow: Planning for Dynamic, Resilient, and Adaptive Communities."

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT PROCLAIMED that the Douglas County Board of Commissioners, hereby recognizes October 2026 as Community Planning Month in Douglas County, in conjunction with the national observance, in recognition of the planners, officials, and residents whose dedication and participation help shape a thriving future for our community.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this proclamation has been adopted this 15th day of October, 2026.

Mark Gardner, Chairman

Amy Burgans, Clerk-Treasurer

AGENDA ITEM NO. 12.1

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

TITLE:
For presentation only. Introduction of **Ordinance 2026-1675**, amending Douglas County Code Title 18, Appendix B, the Minden Town Boundary, by annexing approximately 6.68 acres into the Town of Minden boundary. The parcel to be annexed is Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 1320-29-301-005. First Reading. (Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Community Development)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Pursuant to NRS 244.100, the proposed ordinance is only required to be read by title to the Board of County Commissioners for introduction.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
APN 1320-29-301-005 will be included in the Town of Minden's Ad Valorem tax rate.

BACKGROUND:

On June 3, 2026, the Town of Minden Advisory Board approved a petition to annex parcel APN 1320-29-301-005 comprising of 6.68 acres into the Town of Minden boundary.

ATTACHMENTS:
SR BoCC Ord for Annexation Town of Minden 1st Reading 2026 DP 003.docx
Vicinity Map.pdf
Ordinace 2026-1675 Annexation Town of Minden 2026 DP 003 with Exh. A.pdf
Minden Town Packet Annexation 2026 DP 0003.pdf
Minden Board Minutes June 3.pdf

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
1594 Esmeralda Avenue, Post Office Box 218,
Minden, Nevada 89423

Kate Moroles-O'Neil
Principal Planner

TEL 775-782-6212 / FAX 775-782-9007
website: www.douglascountynv.gov

Planning Division

MEMORANDUM

Date: October 15, 2026

To: Board of County Commissioners

From: Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Planning Manager

Subject: **Ordinance 2026-1675**, an ordinance to annex 6.68 acres into the Town of Minden boundary pursuant to NRS 269.650 and Douglas County Code Title 18.01 Annexation Procedures for Unincorporated Towns. The parcel to be annexed is Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 1320-29-301-005.

I. REQUEST

For presentation only. Introduction of **Ordinance 2026-1675**, an ordinance to annex 6.68 acres into the Town of Minden boundary pursuant to NRS 269.650 and Douglas County Code Title 18.01 Annexation Procedures for Unincorporated Towns. The parcel to be annexed is Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 1320-29-301-005.

II. RECOMMENDATIONS

Pursuant to NRS 244.100, the proposed ordinance is only required to be read by title to the Board of County Commissioners for introduction.

III. DISCUSSION AND EVALUATION

Douglas County Code, Title 18, Chapter 18.01 *Annexation Procedures for Unincorporated Towns* requires that applications for annexations be submitted to the Board of Commissioners within six months from the date of final action by the town board. On June 3, 2026, the Town of Minden Board approved petition to annex one parcel (APN 1320-29-301-005) comprising of 6.68 acres into the Town of Minden boundary. Pursuant to Douglas County Code, upon approval by the Town Board, applications for annexations may only be considered by the Board of County Commissioners.


NRS Section 269.155 and County Code require the Board of Commissioners to adopt an ordinance to amend the boundaries of an unincorporated town. The proposed ordinance with exhibits is attached.

Additionally, the town requests that the Douglas County Assessor include APN 1320-29-301-005 in the Town's Ad Valorem tax rate as they will be included within the town boundaries and subject to the Ad Valorem tax rate.

IV. Conclusion

Based on the recommendation from the Minden Town Board, staff has found the annexation application to be in compliance with Nevada Revised Statutes, County Code, and the County's Master Plan and, therefore, is recommending the Board introduce Ordinance Number 2026-1675.

ArcGIS Web Map



9/23/2026, 2:51:32 PM

Override 1

Community Area

Parcels Aerials

Street Centerlines

Federal

Other Roads

1:4,514

0 0.04 0.08 0.16 mi

0 0.05 0.1 0.2 km

EagleView, Vantor, Created from the line file DCCL, Created from the line file DC

ArcGIS Web AppBuilder

EagleView, Vantor | Copyright:© 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed | Office of the State Engineer, Nevada Division of Water Resources, Department of Conservation and Natural Resources.

ORDINANCE 2026-1675

SUMMARY

Ordinance 2026-1675, amending Douglas County Code Title 18, Appendix B, the Minden Town Boundary, by annexing approximately 6.68 acres into the Town of Minden boundary. The parcel to be annexed is Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 1320-29-301-005.

TITLE

Ordinance 2026-1675, amending Douglas County Code Title 18, Appendix B, the Minden Town Boundary, by annexing approximately 6.68 acres into the Town of Minden boundary. The parcel to be annexed is Assessor Parcel Number (APN) 1320-29-301-005.

RECITALS

WHEREAS on June 3, 2026, the Minden Town Board approved a petition to annex the parcel identified as APN 1320-29-301-005 comprising of 6.68 acres (the "Property"), into the Town of Minden boundary.

WHEREAS, on October 15, 2026, the Douglas County Board of Commissioners (the "Board") introduced this Ordinance 2026-1675, which complies with the requirements of NRS 269.650 and Doulgas County Code Chapter 18.01.

WHEREAS, on November 5, 2026, the Board held a public hearing on this Ordinance 2026-1675 and determined that the findings required for annexation of the Property into the Town of Minden, as stated in Douglas County Code Chapter 18.01, had been met and that Ordinance 2026-1675 should therefore be adopted.

NOW THEREFORE, based on the foregoing considerations, the Board does ordain as follows:

SECTION I: The boundary of the Town of Minden, which has previously been surveyed, mapped, and platted as set forth in Title 18, Appendix B of the Douglas County Code, is hereby amended pursuant to NRS 269.650 and Douglas County Code Chapter 18.01 to include the Property as set forth in the legal description and maps attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. The area hereby annexed is APN 1320-29-301-005.

SECTION II: This ordinance shall be in full force and effect two weeks after this Ordinance is approved by the Board of County Commissioners, and it is conditional upon the boundary map, as set forth in **Exhibit A**, being filed with the Office of the County Recorder by the Town of Minden.

//

//

Proposed on the ____ day of _____, 2026.

Proposed by Commissioner _____.

Adopted this ____ day of _____, 2026, by the following vote:

Vote-- Ayes: Commissioners _____

_____

_____

_____

_____

Nays: Commissioners _____

_____

Absent: Commissioners _____

_____

_____
Mark Gardner, Chairman
Douglas County Board of Commissioners

Attest:

_____
Amy Burgans, Douglas County Clerk

This ordinance is effective on the ____ day of _____, 2026.

APPENDIX B. MINDEN TOWN BOUNDARY

As approved November 5, 2026, by the Board of Douglas County Commissioners.

The boundaries of the Town of Minden are defined as being all that land lying and being in portions of Sections 29, 30, 31, and 32 of Township 13 North, Range 20 East, and a portion of Section 25 Township 13 North, Range 19 East, M.D.B. & M., County of Douglas, State of Nevada, and more particularly described as follows (Boundary description reads clockwise around town perimeter):

Call out and boundary description, (X) denotes map reference, reference maps are intended to provide additional line information only. See references at end of appendix B.

Segment One: From the eastern town boundary at the south right-of-way line of U.S. Highway 395 along the southern town boundary to the west right-of-way line of State Route 88.

100 COMMENCING at a point, said point being the furthest most southeast corner of the plat entitled Town Boundaries of the Town of Minden (A) from which, the common quarter corner of Sections 32 and 33, Township 13 North, Range 20 East, bears South 68°18'29" East, a distance of 2360.58 feet; said point being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

101 thence North 44°54'00" West along the southerly right-of-way of County Road, a distance of 603.73 feet (A);

102 thence North 63°28'00" West continuing along aforesaid right-of-way, a distance of 131 feet (A);

103 thence South 26°35'00" West, a distance of 168.03 feet (A);

104 thence South 45°09'00" West, a distance of 20 feet (A);

105 thence South 44°51'00" East, a distance of 560 feet (B);

106 thence South 45°09'00" West, a distance of 250 feet (B);

107 thence South 00°33'00" West, a distance of 478.71 feet (C);

108 thence South 00°30'00" West, a distance of 141.11 feet (Book 47, Page 39);

109 thence North 89°50'20" West, a distance of 5 feet (Book 47, Page 39);

110 thence South, a distance of 280 feet (Book 47, Page 39);

111 thence West, a distance of 287.26 feet (Book 47, Page 39);

112 thence North 00°30'00" East, a distance of 423.65 feet (Book 47, Page 39);

113 thence South 89°27'00" East, a distance of 42 feet (Z);

114 thence North 00°33'00" East, a distance of 25.00 feet (Z);

115 thence North 89°27'00" West, a distance of 26.50 feet (Z);

116 thence North 01°28'27" East, a distance of 155.02 feet (Z);

117 thence North 00°33'00" East, a distance of 184.09 feet (Z);

118 thence North 41°53'04" West, a distance of 48.45 feet (Z);

119 thence North 45°09'00" East, a distance of 21.49 feet (Z);

120 thence North 44°51'00" West, a distance of 135.00 feet (S & Z);

121 thence North 44°51'00" West, a distance of 385.57 (S);

122 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 1412.16 feet (b);

123 thence North 26°35'00" East, a distance of 260.00 feet (b);

124 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 85.00 feet (b);

125 thence South 26°35'00" West, a distance of 5.00 feet (b);

126 thence North 64°50'56" West, a distance of 80.02 feet (b);

127 thence North 66°22'16" West, a distance of 95.13 feet (b);

128 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 60.00 feet (b);

129 thence North 26°35'00" East, a distance of 15 feet (BLA/Book 1085, Page 176);

130 thence South 26°35'00" West, a distance of 15 feet; (Book 198, Page 2288);

131 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 205 feet (BLA/Book 1085, Page 176) (BLA/Book 198, Page 2288);

132 thence North 26°35'00" East, a distance of 15 feet (BLA/Book 1085, Page 176);

133 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 220 feet (A);;

134 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 56.56 feet (A);

135 thence South 26°35'00" West, a distance of 90 feet (A & G);

136 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 495.00 feet (G, H & I);

137 thence South 26°35'00" West, a distance of 580.00 feet (I);

138 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 720.00 feet (I);

139 thence North 73°44'36" West, a distance of 194.76 feet (J);

140 thence North 89°06'30" West, a distance of 120.00 feet (J);

141 thence South 25°15'00" West, a distance of 374.67 feet (H);

142 thence North 67°45'00" West, a distance of 120.17 feet (H);

143 thence South 25°15'00" West, a distance of 410.00 feet (H);

144 thence North 53°00'00" West, a distance of 720.00 feet to a point on the easterly right-of-way State Route 88 (H);

145 thence West, a distance of 80' more or less to the westerly right-of-way of State Route 88 (Bounds Only).

Segment two: From the west right-of-way line of state route 88 along the western town boundary to the west right-of-way line of U.S. Highway 395 along the northern town boundary;

201 thence in a general northerly direction along the west right-of-way line of State Route 88 to the southeast corner of the plat entitled Annexation Map for Hickey Brothers, Inc., to the Town of Minden and the Minden-Gardnerville Sanitation District (L);

202 thence North 58°00'00"West, a distance of 1,112.87 feet (L);

203 thence North, a distance of 255.91 feet (L);

204 thence North 68°09'00" West, a distance of 468.82 feet (L);

205 thence North 35°09'00" West, a distance of 68.00 feet (L);

206 thence North 45°37'32" West, a distance of 221.10 feet (L);

207 thence North 33°30'32" West, a distance of 220.39 feet (L);

208 thence North 43°55'32" West, a distance of 274.23 feet (L);

209 thence North 32°55'33" West, a distance of 159. 90 feet (L);

210 thence North 20°30'32" West, a distance of 202.10 feet (L);

211 thence North 04°40'32" West, a distance of 225.20 feet (L);

212 thence North 22°26'32" West, a distance of 410.00 feet (L);

213 thence North 07°05'32" West, a distance of 319.10 feet (L);

214 thence North 18°48'32" West, a distance of 210.40 feet (L);

215 thence North 47°48'32" West, a distance of 326.90 feet (L);

216 thence North 38°48'32" West, a distance of 239.30 feet (L);

217 thence North 08°23'32" West, a distance of 208.40 feet (L);

218 thence North 73°14'37" East, a distance of 452.20 feet (L);

219 thence North 14°59'32" West, a distance of 725.61 feet (L);

220 thence North 76°42'28" East, a distance of 29.34 feet (L);

221 thence North 00°15'03" East, a distance of 499.16 feet (L & T);

221A thence North 89°02'12" East, a distance of 26.17 feet (T);

221B thence North 06°37'07" East, a distance of 5.68 feet (T);

221C thence North 54°54'46" East, a distance of 102.48 feet (T);

221D thence North 43°59'30" East, a distance of 31.54 feet (T);

222 thence South 88°43'39" East, a distance of 721.50 feet (T);

223 thence South 88°43'39" East, a distance of 410.44 feet (T);

224 thence North 77°50'39" East, a distance of 115.37 feet (T);

225 thence South 88°43'39" East, a distance of 205.83 feet (T);

226 thence North 08°21'49" West, a distance of 383.43 feet (T);

227 thence South 88°58'39" East, a distance of 1416.10 feet to a point on the West Right-of-Way Line of U.S. Highway 395(T); also, being along the Northerly boundary of the Town.

Segment three: From the west right-of-way line of U.S. Highway 395 along the northerly town boundary and around the north, east, and southerly perimeter of the Ranch at Gardnerville to the southeast corner of APN 1320-29-402-014 a common corner with the Ranch at Gardnerville.

300 thence along the west right-of-way line of U.S. Highway 395 North 00°18'00" East 73.87 feet (U);

301 thence North 89°47'31" East, a distance of 2605.68 feet to the section corner of 19, 20, 30 & 29 (U);

302 thence South 89°30'35" East, a distance of 47.65 feet (Y);

303 thence South 89°31'55" East, a distance of 2091.59 feet (Y);

304 thence North 00°49'25" East, a distance of 213.00 feet (Y);

305 thence South 89°31'55" East, a distance of 220.00 feet (Y);

306 thence South 00°49'25" West, a distance of 213.00 feet (Y);

307 thence South 89°31'55" East, a distance of 284.93 feet (Y);

308 thence South 89°12'54" East, a distance of 15.06 feet (Y);

309 thence North 00°48'57" East, a distance of 395.60 feet (a);

310 thence South 89°30'10" East, a distance of 1629.81 feet (a);

311 thence South 00°30'17" East, a distance of 126.31 feet to the southerly right-of-way line of Muller Parkway and the beginning of a non-tangent curve to the right, the center of which bears South 00°30’08” West;

312 thence along said southerly right-of-way line, along said curve, having a radius of 1297.50 feet, through a central angle of 31°06’33”, for an arc length of 704.49;

313 thence continuing along said southerly right-of-way line, South 58°23’19” East, 131.56 feet to the beginning of a tangent curve to the left;

314 thence continuing along said southerly right-of-way line, along said curve, having a radius of 1402.50 feet, through a central angle of 30°59’32”, an arc length of 758.64 feet;

315 thence continuing along said southerly right-of-way line, South 89°22’51” East, 1226.14 feet to the beginning of a tangent curve to the right;

316 thence continuing along said southerly right-of-way line, along said curve, having a radius of 1297.50 feet, through a central angle of 38°04’54”, an arc length of 862.38 feet;

317: thence leaving said southerly right-of-way line, South 00°13’53” West, 511.78 feet;

318 thence South 00°13’32” West, a distance of 1679.95 feet to said northerly right-of-way line of Buckeye Road;

319: Thence southerly a distance of 80 feet more or less to the southerly right-of-way line of Buckeye Road (bounds only);

320: Thence along said southerly right-of-way line, South 89°29’43” East, a distance of 3503.88 feet;

321: Thence South 00°20’20” West, a distance of 3,385.76 feet to the easterly prolongation of the northerly right-of-way line of Zerolene Road;

322: Thence along said easterly prolongation and said northerly-right-of-way line, North 89°42’32” West, a distance of 463.60 feet;

323: Thence leaving said northerly right-of-way line, North 35°33’10” West, 589.61 feet;

324 thence North 38°50'33" West, a distance of 80.14 feet;

325 thence North 28"17'55" West, a distance of 131.62 feet;

326 thence North 51°49'55" West, a distance of 126.45 feet;

327 thence North 28°17'02" West, a distance of 208.95 feet;

328 thence North 22°39'41" West, a distance of 218.42 feet;

329 thence North 02°14'26" East, a distance of 79.34 feet;

330 thence North 81°13'07" West, a distance of 432.72 feet;

331 thence North 52°09'48" West, a distance of 213.23 feet.

Segment Four: From the southeast corner of APN 1320-29-402-014 a common corner with the Ranch at Gardnerville along the easterly town boundary to the west right-of-way of U.S. Highway 395 and the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

401 thence along a non-tangent curve (concave to the northwest) the center of which bears North 60°38'15" West, a distance of 613.00 feet, through a central angle of 02°49'13" to the right a length of 30.17 feet;

402: thence South 55°20’19” East, a distance of 9.17 feet;

403: thence South 36°14’41” East, a distance of 81.30 feet;

404: thence South 05°56’16” West, a distance of 39.40 feet;

405: thence South 24°03’51” West, a distance of 84.38 feet;

406: thence South 12°06’20” West, a distance of 216.33 feet;

407: thence South 00°44’21” West, a distance of 42.64 feet;

408: thence South 36°18’32” East, a distance of 28.87 feet;

409: thence South 28°04’31” East, a distance of 482.47 feet;

410: thence South 59°17’37” West, a distance of 251.56 feet;

411 thence South 31°22'00" East, a distance of 461.51 feet to the southerly right-of-way line of Zerolene Road (A);

412 thence along said southerly right-of-way line, North 89°32'00" East, a distance of 175.03 feet to the westerly right-of-way line of Zerolene Place (A);

413 thence along said westerly right-of-way line and the southeasterly prolongation thereof, South 31°29'00" East, a distance of 379.80 feet (R);

414 thence South 58°31'00" West, a distance of 293.84 feet (R);

415 thence South 31°29'00" East, a distance of 25.00 feet (R);

416 thence North 58°31'00" East, a distance of 143.06 feet (R);

417 thence South 31°29'00" East, a distance of 5.01 feet (R);

418 thence South 31°29'00"East, a distance of 408.17 feet (A);

419 thence South 70°31'00" West, a distance of 148.34 feet to a point on the easterly right-of-way of U.S. Highway 395 (A);

420 thence South 48°35'18" West, a distance of 91.96 feet (A) to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Excepting therefrom the following seven tracts of land:

(Note that former tract "A" has been incorporated into the Minden Town Boundary).

Tract B: West of and adjoining the Beneteau Subdivision:

B00 Commencing at a point located at the southwest corner of Lot 13, Block "A" of the Final Map of the Beneteau Subdivision as recorded on October 21, 1980, in Book 1080 at Page 1733 as Document Number 49919 of the Official Records of Douglas County Nevada, said point being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

B01 thence North 01°55'25" West, a distance of 409.93 feet;

B02 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 501.15 feet;

B03 thence South 01°43'13" West, a distance of 400.53 feet

B04 thence South 63°12'00" East, a distance of 96.18 feet;

B05 thence South 00°53'00" West, a distance of 76.45 feet;

B06 thence North 75°03'00" East, a distance of 103.45 feet;

B07 thence South 63°12'00" East, a distance of 326.04 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

Tract "B" consists of APN: 1320-30-802-008 and 1320-30-802-009.

Previously annexed per 2008 annexation map APN 1320-30-802-001 Minden Gateway Center now APN 1320-30-802-027 known as The Maverick Station.

Tract C: East of and adjoining the Beneteau Subdivision.

C00 Commencing at a point located at the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block "A" of the Final Map of the Beneteau Subdivision as recorded on October 21, 1980, in Book 1080 at Page 1733 as Document Number 49919 of the Official Records of Douglas County Nevada, said point being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

C01 thence North 26°31'39" East, a distance of 467.70 feet;

C02 thence South 63°25'00" East, a distance of 704.23 feet;

C03 thence South 26°35'00" West, a distance of 18 feet;

C04 thence South 63°25'00" East a distance of 55 feet;

C05 thence South 26°35'00"West a distance of 322 feet;

C06 thence South 63°25'00" East a distance of 10 feet;

C07 thence South 26°35'00"West, a distance of 128 feet;

C08 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 769 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Tract "C" consists of Assessor's Parcel Numbers: 1320-30-802-010 & 1320-30-802-011.

Tract D: Previously annexed per 1999 annexation map now APN 1320-30-702-023.

Tract E: Annexed per 1996 annexation map APN 1320-30-701-004 Minden Gateway Center now APN 1320-30-701-022.

Tract F: West of Monte Vista Subdivision.

F00 Commencing at a Nevada Department of Transportation survey well in the center southbound lane of U.S. Highway 395, a brass disk in concrete, Station "S" 56+92.33 P.T., as shown on the Record of Survey to Support a Boundary Line Adjustment for Roland and Joan P. Dreyer filed for record July 31, 1997 in the office of Recorder, Douglas County, Nevada as Document No. 418466; thence South 89°41'44" East, a distance of 117.00 feet to a point on the easterly right-of-way line of U.S. Highway 395; thence North 00°18'16" East, a distance of 691.37 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING (V);

F01 thence North 00°18'16" East, a distance of 787.46 feet;

F02 thence South; 88°04'41" East, a distance of 598.37 feet;

F03 thence North 83°42'04" East, a distance of 450.25 feet;

F04 thence South 00°06'32" West, a distance of 15.77 feet;

F05 thence North 82°40'39" East, a distance of 257.19 feet;

F06 thence South 14°07'45" East, a distance of 444.27 feet;

F07 thence South; 05°29'22" East, a distance of 936.87 feet;

F08 thence South 74°33'56" West, a distance of 316.81 feet;

F09 thence South 85°37'32" West, a distance of 212.27 feet;

F10 thence South 05°36'55" West, a distance of 174.33 feet to the northerly line of Ironwood Drive;

F11 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 331.57 feet;

F12 thence 549.06 feet along the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of 48°43'00" and a radius of 645.76 feet, (chord bears N. 39°03'00" W., 532.68 feet);

F13 thence North 14°42'00" West, a distance of 102.51 feet

F14 thence 248.71 feet along the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of 75°00'00" and a radius of 190.00 feet, (chord bears N. 52°12'00" W., 231.33 feet);

F15 thence North 89°42'00" West, a distance of 127.83 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

Tract "F" consists of Assessor's Parcel Number 1320-30-501-010.

Tract H: South of Winhaven and north of Carson Valley Inn.

H00 Commencing at a point located at the northwest corner of Assessor's Parcel 1320-29-201-010, of the Annexation Map for Hanly, Dreyer, Dreyer, Western Nevada Properties, Inc., and Western Nevada Properties, Inc., Et Al, to the town of Minden and the Minden-Gardnerville Sanitation District recorded on August 21, 1986, in Book 886 at page 2432 as Document Number 139442, said point being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

H01 thence South 26°09'23" West, a distance of 203.53 feet (N);

H02 thence North 89°00'49" West, a distance of 960.69 feet (N);

H03 thence South 00°59'11" West, a distance of 181.09 feet;

H04 thence North 89°00'49" West, a distance of 239.98 feet;

H05 thence North 00°00'08" West, a distance of 181.12 feet;

H06 thence North 89°45'39" West, a distance of 255.02 feet (N);

H07 thence South 09°06'00" East, a distance of 183.96 feet;

H08 thence North 89°28'46" West, a distance of 324.29 feet;

H09 thence South 89°54'12" West, a distance of 687.31 feet;

H10 thence South 00°58'22" West, a distance of 456.01 feet (W);

H11 thence 596.66 feet along the arc of a non-tangent curve to the left having a radius of 940.00 feet, (the radius point bearing North 26°06'54" East from the beginning of curve), a central angel of 34°43'20" and a chord South 81°14'46" East 560.98 feet to a point of reverse curvature (W);

H12 thence 340.50 feet along the arc of a non-tangent curve to the right having a radius of 1,600 feet (the radius point bearing South 03°08'43" West from the end of curve), a central angle of 11°45'09" and a chord of North 87°16'09" East 339.90 feet (W);

H13 thence South 55°53'49" West, a distance of 321.67 feet (W);

H14 thence North 87°58'42" East, a distance of 154.01 feet (W);

H15 thence South 59°44'53" East, a distance of 140.05 feet (W;

H16 thence South 38°00'32" East, a distance of 181.30 feet (W);

H17 thence South 43°54'50" East, a distance of 88.74 feet (W);

H18 thence South 50°50'50" East, a distance of 141.99 feet (W);

H19 thence South 65°37'09" East, a distance of 221.15 feet (W);

H20 thence South 46°49'49" West, a distance of 75.50 feet (W);

H21 thence North 27°32'06" East, a distance of 1233.28 feet (W);

H22 thence North 16°54'39" West, a distance of 52.52 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING (W);

Tract "H" consists of Assessor's Parcel Number: 1320-29-301-006, 1320-29-201-010, 009, 008, 007 and 013.

(Note that former tract "I" has been incorporated into the Minden Town Boundary).

Parcel 17 as shown on the Record of Survey in Support of a Boundary Line Adjustment for Park Ranch Holdings, LLC, according to the map thereof, filed in the office of the County Recorder of Douglas County, on June 13, 2022, as File No. 2022-986230, being more particularly described as follows:

A parcel of land located within Section 28, Township 13 North, Range 20 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the southwest corner of the herein described parcel, being a point on the northerly line of Buckeye Road, from which the northwest corner of said Section 28 bears North 24°42'03" West, 2,885.74 feet;

Thence North 00°30'17" East, 2,007.34 feet;

Thence along the arc of a curve to the right, non-tangent to the preceding course, having a radius of 1,600.00 feet, central angle of 38°49'45", arc length of 1,079.66 feet, and chord bearing a distance of South 77°22'06" East, 1,059.29 feet;

Thence along the arc of a reverse curve to the left, having a radius of 850.00 feet, central angle of 18°59'12", and arc length of 281.67 feet;

Thence South 00°13'32" West, 1,679.95 feet to a point on said northerly line of Buckeye Road;

Thence along said northly line of Buckeye Road, North 89°29'43" West, 1,303.88 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Parcel 17 consists of APN: 1320-28-000-045.

REFERENCES:

(A) Map showing the town boundaries of the Town of Minden, Douglas County, Nevada, recorded September 12, 1957, as Document 20830.

(B) Wildrose Subdivision Plat Number 2; recorded December 5, 1966, in Book 46 at page 286, as Document 34825.

(C) Deseret Unit Number 1; recorded April 17, 1972, in Book 99 at Page 219, as Document 58855.

(D) Wildrose Number 3, Unit 3; recorded April 4, 1973, in Book 473 at Page 72, as Document 65028.

(E) Wildrose Number 3, Unit 2; recorded June 29, 1972, in Book 102 at Page 517, as Document 60350.

(F) Wildrose Number 3, Unit 1; recorded October 22, 1971, in Book 92 at Page 541, as Document 55071.

(G) Annexation Map, Duane E. Mack, Lots A, B, C-1 & C-2 to the Town of Minden and the Minden-Gardnerville Sanitation District (MGSD); recorded February 4, 1977, in Book 277, Page 223, as Document 06708.

(H) Annexation Map, Duane E. Mack to the Town of Minden and the MGSD recorded May 12, 1978 in Book 578 at Page 845, as Document 20601.

(I) Annexation map, Mack Land and Cattle Company to the Town of Minden and the MGSD recorded September 12, 1989 in Book 989 at Page 1372, as Document 201690.

(J) Annexation map, Duane E. Mack Family Trust to the Town of Minden and the MGSD recorded April 23, 1984 in Book 484 at Page 1719, as Document 99818.

(K) Deleted.

(L) Annexation map for Hickey Brothers, Inc. to the Town of Minden and the MGSD; recorded September 11, 1979, in Book 979 at Page 807, as Document 36520.

(M) Annexation map, Minden-Gardnerville Sanitation District Plant Site to the Town of Minden; recorded January 29, 1981, in Book 181 at Page 1660, as Document 53008.

(N) Annexation map for Hanly, Dreyer & Western Nevada Properties to the Town of Minden and the MGSD; recorded August 21, 1986 in Book 886 at Page 2432, as Document 139442.

(O) Annexation map for the Mulreany & Associates #1 to the Town of Minden and the MGSD; recorded August 6, 1987, in Book 887 at Page 609, as Document 159733.

(P) Annexation map for the Mulreany & Associates #2 to the Town of Minden and the MGSD; recorded August 6, 1987, in Book 887 at Page 610, as Document 159734.

(Q) Annexation map, Bently Nevada, Inc. to the Town of Minden and the MGSD; recorded September 12, 1979, in Book 979 at Page 964, as Document Number 36602.

(R) Boundary Line Adjustment for Shirley Yvonne Fraser, copy on file with the Department of Public Works.

(S) Final Subdivision Map for Mackland Unit 3; recorded October 13, 2003, in Book 1003 at Page 5813, as Document Number 593255.

(T) Record of Survey to Support Lot Line Adjustment for Westwood Park Homeowners Association and Minden Gardnerville Sanitation District Recorded on April 11, 2000, in Book 400 at Page 1729, as Document Number 489711.

(U) Map showing Division into Large Parcels for Roland and Joan P. Dreyer; recorded February 28, 1996, in Book 206 at Page 4633, as Document Number 382216.

(V) Map showing Division into Large Parcels for Roland and Joan P. Dreyer recorded November 7, 2001, in Book 1101 at Page 1931, as Document Number 527149.

(W) Record of Survey in Support of Boundary Line Adjustment for Douglas County and Judy Keele, Trustee of the First Amended Dean Seeman Trust Agreement dated October 2, 1990; recorded November 30, 2011, in Book 1111, at Page 6264, as Document Number 793412.

(X) Parcel Map for Judy Keele Trustee of the First Amended Dean Seeman Trust Agreement dated October 2, 1990; recorded November 30, 1990, in Book 1111, at Page 6260, as Document Number 793410.

(Y) Record of Survey to Support a Boundary Line Adjustment for Bently Family Limited Partnership; recorded February 13, 2012, in Book 0212, at Page 2385 as Document Number 797259.


(Z) Record of Survey to Support a Boundary Line Adjustment for Mack Land and Cattle Company et al; recorded June 29, 2009, in Book 0609 at Page 9130, as Document Number 746235.


(a) Record of Survey to Support a Boundary Line Adjustment for Park Cattle Company; recorded September 18, 2003, in Book 0903 at Page 9581, as Document Number 590378.

(b) Record of Survey to Support a Boundary Line Adjustment for Mack Land & Cattle Company, recorded January 8, 2018, as Document Number 2018-908905.

(Ord. 1550, 2019; Ord. 1549, 2019; Ord. 1389, 2013; Ord. 1367, 2012; Ord. 1243, 2008; Ord. 1157, 2006; Ord. 812, 1998; Ord. 738, 1996; Ord. 591, 1993; Ord. 561, 1992, Ord. 379, § 2(part), 1981; Ord. 351, § 3(part), 1980)

(Ord. No. 2025-1657, § II(Exh. A), 7-17-2025)


LEGEND
TOWN BOUNDARY (MINDEN ONLY)
TOWN OF MINDEN WELL LOCATION
SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE
I, TODD A. ENGE, A PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR LICENSED IN THE STATE OF NEVADA DO CERTIFY:
1. THIS MAP DOES NOT REPRESENT AN ACTUAL SURVEY, BUT WAS COMPILED FROM EXISTING RECORD INFORMATION AND TOWN RECORDS, AND IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH TITLE 18, APPENDIX "B", OF THE DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEVADA TOWN ORDINANCE.
2. THIS MAP WAS PRESENTED TO AND APPROVED BY THE DOUGLAS COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ON THE _____ DAY OF _____, 2026.
TODD A. ENGE, PLS 19734
TOWN OF MINDEN CERTIFICATE
I, STEVE THALER, CHAIRMAN OF THE MINDEN TOWN BOARD, CERTIFY THAT THIS MAP REPRESENTS THE TOWN OF MINDEN'S BOUNDARY, AND THE ANNEXATIONS SHOWN HEREON WERE DULY APPROVED BY THE TOWN OF MINDEN.
STEVE THALER, CHAIRMAN
MINDEN TOWN BOARD
RECORDER'S CERTIFICATE
FILED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 2026 A.D., AT _____ MINUTES PAST _____ O'CLOCK ___M. IN BOOK ___ PAGE ___
DOCUMENT NUMBER _____
RECORDED AT THE REQUEST OF THE TOWN OF MINDEN
DOUGLAS COUNTY RECORDER
MATCH LINE
SEE SHEET 2
SCALE 1"=400'
TOWN OF MINDEN
BOUNDARY ANNEXATION
MAP
NOVEMBER 2026
LOCATED WITHIN PORTIONS OF SECTIONS 28, 29, 30, 31 & 32, T.15N., R.20E., M.D.M. & SECTION 25, T.15N., R.19 E., M.D.M. DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEVADA
Sheet 1 of 2
JOB NO. 2x-xxxx
DATE 00/00/2026
DRAWN DSW
CHECKED TAE
RCI
Resource Concepts Inc
Engineering • Surveying • Water Rights
Resources & Environmental Services
www.rci-nv.com
Carson City
Lake Tahoe


LEGEND
TOWN BOUNDARY (MINDEN ONLY)
TOWN OF MINDEN WELL LOCATION
MATCH LINE
SEE SHEET 1
TOWN OF MINDEN
BOUNDARY ANNEXATION
MAP
NOVEMBER 2026
LOCATED WITHIN PORTIONS OF
SECTIONS 28, 29, 32, 33 T.13N., R.19E., M.D.M.
& SECTION 4 T.12N., R.19E., M.D.M.
DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEVADA
Sheet 2 of 2
JOB NO. 25.002
DATE 09/10/2026
DRAWN CSW
CHECKED TAE
Resource Concepts Inc
Engineering • Surveying • Water Rights
Resources & Environmental Services
www.rci-nv.com
Carson City
Lake Tahoe
SCALE 1"= 400'

Minden
NEVADA

June 4, 2026

Coleen Thran Zepeda
Douglas County Community Development
1594 Esmeralda Ave
Minden, NV 89423

RE: Approval of Annexation for Town of Minden APNs 1320-29-301-005

Dear Ms. Thran Zepeda,

At the June 3, 2026 Minden Town Board Meeting, the Town Board approved the annexation of Assessor Parcels 1320-29-301-005, from Town of Minden. The petition is to annex to the Town of Minden, 6.68 acres of land located North of the Seeman Ranch Park, West of Heybourne Meadows II LLC, and East of Buckeye Road.

Please call me at (775)782-5976 with any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

John D Frisby, P.E.
Town Manager
Town of Minden

APPENDIX B. MINDEN TOWN BOUNDARY

As approved November 5, 2026, by the Board of Douglas County Commissioners.

The boundaries of the Town of Minden are defined as being all that land lying and being in portions of Sections 29, 30, 31, and 32 of Township 13 North, Range 20 East, and a portion of Section 25 Township 13 North, Range 19 East, M.D.B. & M., County of Douglas, State of Nevada, and more particularly described as follows (Boundary description reads clockwise around town perimeter):

Call out and boundary description, (X) denotes map reference, reference maps are intended to provide additional line information only. See references at end of appendix B.

Segment One: From the eastern town boundary at the south right-of-way line of U.S. Highway 395 along the southern town boundary to the west right-of-way line of State Route 88.

100 COMMENCING at a point, said point being the furthest most southeast corner of the plat entitled Town Boundaries of the Town of Minden (A) from which, the common quarter corner of Sections 32 and 33, Township 13 North, Range 20 East, bears South 68°18'29" East, a distance of 2360.58 feet; said point being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

101 thence North 44°54'00" West along the southerly right-of-way of County Road, a distance of 603.73 feet (A);

102 thence North 63°28'00" West continuing along aforesaid right-of-way, a distance of 131 feet (A);

103 thence South 26°35'00" West, a distance of 168.03 feet (A);

104 thence South 45°09'00" West, a distance of 20 feet (A);

105 thence South 44°51'00" East, a distance of 560 feet (B);

106 thence South 45°09'00" West, a distance of 250 feet (B);

107 thence South 00°33'00" West, a distance of 478.71 feet (C);

108 thence South 00°30'00" West, a distance of 141.11 feet (Book 47, Page 39);

109 thence North 89°50'20" West, a distance of 5 feet (Book 47, Page 39);

110 thence South, a distance of 280 feet (Book 47, Page 39);

111 thence West, a distance of 287.26 feet (Book 47, Page 39);

112 thence North 00°30'00" East, a distance of 423.65 feet (Book 47, Page 39);

113 thence South 89°27'00" East, a distance of 42 feet (Z);

114 thence North 00°33'00" East, a distance of 25.00 feet (Z);

115 thence North 89°27'00" West, a distance of 26.50 feet (Z);

116 thence North 01°28'27" East, a distance of 155.02 feet (Z);

117 thence North 00°33'00" East, a distance of 184.09 feet (Z);

118 thence North 41°53'04" West, a distance of 48.45 feet (Z);

119 thence North 45°09'00" East, a distance of 21.49 feet (Z);

120 thence North 44°51'00" West, a distance of 135.00 feet (S & Z);

121 thence North 44°51'00" West, a distance of 385.57 (S);

122 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 1412.16 feet (b);

123 thence North 26°35'00" East, a distance of 260.00 feet (b);

124 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 85.00 feet (b);

125 thence South 26°35'00" West, a distance of 5.00 feet (b);

126 thence North 64°50'56" West, a distance of 80.02 feet (b);

127 thence North 66°22'16" West, a distance of 95.13 feet (b);

128 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 60.00 feet (b);

129 thence North 26°35'00" East, a distance of 15 feet (BLA/Book 1085, Page 176);

130 thence South 26°35'00" West, a distance of 15 feet; (Book 198, Page 2288);

131 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 205 feet (BLA/Book 1085, Page 176) (BLA/Book 198, Page 2288);

132 thence North 26°35'00" East, a distance of 15 feet (BLA/Book 1085, Page 176);

133 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 220 feet (A);;

134 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 56.56 feet (A);

135 thence South 26°35'00" West, a distance of 90 feet (A & G);

136 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 495.00 feet (G, H & I);

137 thence South 26°35'00" West, a distance of 580.00 feet (I);

138 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 720.00 feet (I);

139 thence North 73°44'36" West, a distance of 194.76 feet (J);

140 thence North 89°06'30" West, a distance of 120.00 feet (J);

141 thence South 25°15'00" West, a distance of 374.67 feet (H);

142 thence North 67°45'00" West, a distance of 120.17 feet (H);

143 thence South 25°15'00" West, a distance of 410.00 feet (H);

144 thence North 53°00'00" West, a distance of 720.00 feet to a point on the easterly right-of-way State Route 88 (H);

145 thence West, a distance of 80' more or less to the westerly right-of-way of State Route 88 (Bounds Only).

Segment two: From the west right-of-way line of state route 88 along the western town boundary to the west right-of-way line of U.S. Highway 395 along the northern town boundary;

201 thence in a general northerly direction along the west right-of-way line of State Route 88 to the southeast corner of the plat entitled Annexation Map for Hickey Brothers, Inc., to the Town of Minden and the Minden-Gardnerville Sanitation District (L);

202 thence North 58°00'00"West, a distance of 1,112.87 feet (L);

203 thence North, a distance of 255.91 feet (L);

204 thence North 68°09'00" West, a distance of 468.82 feet (L);

205 thence North 35°09'00" West, a distance of 68.00 feet (L);

206 thence North 45°37'32" West, a distance of 221.10 feet (L);

207 thence North 33°30'32" West, a distance of 220.39 feet (L);

208 thence North 43°55'32" West, a distance of 274.23 feet (L);

209 thence North 32°55'33" West, a distance of 159. 90 feet (L);

210 thence North 20°30'32" West, a distance of 202.10 feet (L);

211 thence North 04°40'32" West, a distance of 225.20 feet (L);

212 thence North 22°26'32" West, a distance of 410.00 feet (L);

213 thence North 07°05'32" West, a distance of 319.10 feet (L);

214 thence North 18°48'32" West, a distance of 210.40 feet (L);

215 thence North 47°48'32" West, a distance of 326.90 feet (L);

216 thence North 38°48'32" West, a distance of 239.30 feet (L);

217 thence North 08°23'32" West, a distance of 208.40 feet (L);

218 thence North 73°14'37" East, a distance of 452.20 feet (L);

219 thence North 14°59'32" West, a distance of 725.61 feet (L);

220 thence North 76°42'28" East, a distance of 29.34 feet (L);

221 thence North 00°15'03" East, a distance of 499.16 feet (L & T);

221A thence North 89°02'12" East, a distance of 26.17 feet (T);

221B thence North 06°37'07" East, a distance of 5.68 feet (T);

221C thence North 54°54'46" East, a distance of 102.48 feet (T);

221D thence North 43°59'30" East, a distance of 31.54 feet (T);

222 thence South 88°43'39" East, a distance of 721.50 feet (T);

223 thence South 88°43'39" East, a distance of 410.44 feet (T);

224 thence North 77°50'39" East, a distance of 115.37 feet (T);

225 thence South 88°43'39" East, a distance of 205.83 feet (T);

226 thence North 08°21'49" West, a distance of 383.43 feet (T);

227 thence South 88°58'39" East, a distance of 1416.10 feet to a point on the West Right-of-Way Line of U.S. Highway 395(T); also, being along the Northerly boundary of the Town.

Segment three: From the west right-of-way line of U.S. Highway 395 along the northerly town boundary and around the north, east, and southerly perimeter of the Ranch at Gardnerville to the southeast corner of APN 1320-29-402-014 a common corner with the Ranch at Gardnerville.

300 thence along the west right-of-way line of U.S. Highway 395 North 00°18'00" East 73.87 feet (U);

301 thence North 89°47'31" East, a distance of 2605.68 feet to the section corner of 19, 20, 30 & 29 (U);

302 thence South 89°30'35" East, a distance of 47.65 feet (Y);

303 thence South 89°31'55" East, a distance of 2091.59 feet (Y);

304 thence North 00°49'25" East, a distance of 213.00 feet (Y);

305 thence South 89°31'55" East, a distance of 220.00 feet (Y);

306 thence South 00°49'25" West, a distance of 213.00 feet (Y);

307 thence South 89°31'55" East, a distance of 284.93 feet (Y);

308 thence South 89°12'54" East, a distance of 15.06 feet (Y);

309 thence North 00°48'57" East, a distance of 395.60 feet (a);

310 thence South 89°30'10" East, a distance of 1629.81 feet (a);

311 thence South 00°30'17" East, a distance of 126.31 feet to the southerly right-of-way line of Muller Parkway and the beginning of a non-tangent curve to the right, the center of which bears South 00°30’08” West;

312 thence along said southerly right-of-way line, along said curve, having a radius of 1297.50 feet, through a central angle of 31°06’33”, for an arc length of 704.49;

313 thence continuing along said southerly right-of-way line, South 58°23’19” East, 131.56 feet to the beginning of a tangent curve to the left;

314 thence continuing along said southerly right-of-way line, along said curve, having a radius of 1402.50 feet, through a central angle of 30°59’32”, an arc length of 758.64 feet;

315 thence continuing along said southerly right-of-way line, South 89°22’51” East, 1226.14 feet to the beginning of a tangent curve to the right;

316 thence continuing along said southerly right-of-way line, along said curve, having a radius of 1297.50 feet, through a central angle of 38°04’54”, an arc length of 862.38 feet;

317: thence leaving said southerly right-of-way line, South 00°13’53” West, 511.78 feet;

318 thence South 00°13’32” West, a distance of 1679.95 feet to said northerly right-of-way line of Buckeye Road;

319: Thence southerly a distance of 80 feet more or less to the southerly right-of-way line of Buckeye Road (bounds only);

320: Thence along said southerly right-of-way line, South 89°29’43” East, a distance of 3503.88 feet;

321: Thence South 00°20’20” West, a distance of 3,385.76 feet to the easterly prolongation of the northerly right-of-way line of Zerolene Road;

322: Thence along said easterly prolongation and said northerly-right-of-way line, North 89°42’32” West, a distance of 463.60 feet;

323: Thence leaving said northerly right-of-way line, North 35°33’10” West, 589.61 feet;

324 thence North 38°50'33" West, a distance of 80.14 feet;

325 thence North 28"17'55" West, a distance of 131.62 feet;

326 thence North 51°49'55" West, a distance of 126.45 feet;

327 thence North 28°17'02" West, a distance of 208.95 feet;

328 thence North 22°39'41" West, a distance of 218.42 feet;

329 thence North 02°14'26" East, a distance of 79.34 feet;

330 thence North 81°13'07" West, a distance of 432.72 feet;

331 thence North 52°09'48" West, a distance of 213.23 feet.

Segment Four: From the southeast corner of APN 1320-29-402-014 a common corner with the Ranch at Gardnerville along the easterly town boundary to the west right-of-way of U.S. Highway 395 and the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

401 thence along a non-tangent curve (concave to the northwest) the center of which bears North 60°38'15" West, a distance of 613.00 feet, through a central angle of 02°49'13" to the right a length of 30.17 feet;

402: thence South 55°20’19” East, a distance of 9.17 feet;

403: thence South 36°14’41” East, a distance of 81.30 feet;

404: thence South 05°56’16” West, a distance of 39.40 feet;

405: thence South 24°03’51” West, a distance of 84.38 feet;

406: thence South 12°06’20” West, a distance of 216.33 feet;

407: thence South 00°44’21” West, a distance of 42.64 feet;

408: thence South 36°18’32” East, a distance of 28.87 feet;

409: thence South 28°04’31” East, a distance of 482.47 feet;

410: thence South 59°17’37” West, a distance of 251.56 feet;

411 thence South 31°22'00" East, a distance of 461.51 feet to the southerly right-of-way line of Zerolene Road (A);

412 thence along said southerly right-of-way line, North 89°32'00" East, a distance of 175.03 feet to the westerly right-of-way line of Zerolene Place (A);

413 thence along said westerly right-of-way line and the southeasterly prolongation thereof, South 31°29'00" East, a distance of 379.80 feet (R);

414 thence South 58°31'00" West, a distance of 293.84 feet (R);

415 thence South 31°29'00" East, a distance of 25.00 feet (R);

416 thence North 58°31'00" East, a distance of 143.06 feet (R);

417 thence South 31°29'00" East, a distance of 5.01 feet (R);

418 thence South 31°29'00"East, a distance of 408.17 feet (A);

419 thence South 70°31'00" West, a distance of 148.34 feet to a point on the easterly right-of-way of U.S. Highway 395 (A);

420 thence South 48°35'18" West, a distance of 91.96 feet (A) to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Excepting therefrom the following seven tracts of land:

(Note that former tract "A" has been incorporated into the Minden Town Boundary).

Tract B: West of and adjoining the Beneteau Subdivision:

B00 Commencing at a point located at the southwest corner of Lot 13, Block "A" of the Final Map of the Beneteau Subdivision as recorded on October 21, 1980, in Book 1080 at Page 1733 as Document Number 49919 of the Official Records of Douglas County Nevada, said point being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

B01 thence North 01°55'25" West, a distance of 409.93 feet;

B02 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 501.15 feet;

B03 thence South 01°43'13" West, a distance of 400.53 feet

B04 thence South 63°12'00" East, a distance of 96.18 feet;

B05 thence South 00°53'00" West, a distance of 76.45 feet;

B06 thence North 75°03'00" East, a distance of 103.45 feet;

B07 thence South 63°12'00" East, a distance of 326.04 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

Tract "B" consists of APN: 1320-30-802-008 and 1320-30-802-009.

Previously annexed per 2008 annexation map APN 1320-30-802-001 Minden Gateway Center now APN 1320-30-802-027 known as The Maverick Station.

Tract C: East of and adjoining the Beneteau Subdivision.

C00 Commencing at a point located at the southeast corner of Lot 1, Block "A" of the Final Map of the Beneteau Subdivision as recorded on October 21, 1980, in Book 1080 at Page 1733 as Document Number 49919 of the Official Records of Douglas County Nevada, said point being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

C01 thence North 26°31'39" East, a distance of 467.70 feet;

C02 thence South 63°25'00" East, a distance of 704.23 feet;

C03 thence South 26°35'00" West, a distance of 18 feet;

C04 thence South 63°25'00" East a distance of 55 feet;

C05 thence South 26°35'00"West a distance of 322 feet;

C06 thence South 63°25'00" East a distance of 10 feet;

C07 thence South 26°35'00"West, a distance of 128 feet;

C08 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 769 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Tract "C" consists of Assessor's Parcel Numbers: 1320-30-802-010 & 1320-30-802-011.

Tract D: Previously annexed per 1999 annexation map now APN 1320-30-702-023.

Tract E: Annexed per 1996 annexation map APN 1320-30-701-004 Minden Gateway Center now APN 1320-30-701-022.

Tract F: West of Monte Vista Subdivision.

F00 Commencing at a Nevada Department of Transportation survey well in the center southbound lane of U.S. Highway 395, a brass disk in concrete, Station "S" 56+92.33 P.T., as shown on the Record of Survey to Support a Boundary Line Adjustment for Roland and Joan P. Dreyer filed for record July 31, 1997 in the office of Recorder, Douglas County, Nevada as Document No. 418466; thence South 89°41'44" East, a distance of 117.00 feet to a point on the easterly right-of-way line of U.S. Highway 395; thence North 00°18'16" East, a distance of 691.37 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING (V);

F01 thence North 00°18'16" East, a distance of 787.46 feet;

F02 thence South; 88°04'41" East, a distance of 598.37 feet;

F03 thence North 83°42'04" East, a distance of 450.25 feet;

F04 thence South 00°06'32" West, a distance of 15.77 feet;

F05 thence North 82°40'39" East, a distance of 257.19 feet;

F06 thence South 14°07'45" East, a distance of 444.27 feet;

F07 thence South; 05°29'22" East, a distance of 936.87 feet;

F08 thence South 74°33'56" West, a distance of 316.81 feet;

F09 thence South 85°37'32" West, a distance of 212.27 feet;

F10 thence South 05°36'55" West, a distance of 174.33 feet to the northerly line of Ironwood Drive;

F11 thence North 63°25'00" West, a distance of 331.57 feet;

F12 thence 549.06 feet along the arc of a curve to the right having a central angle of 48°43'00" and a radius of 645.76 feet, (chord bears N. 39°03'00" W., 532.68 feet);

F13 thence North 14°42'00" West, a distance of 102.51 feet

F14 thence 248.71 feet along the arc of a curve to the left having a central angle of 75°00'00" and a radius of 190.00 feet, (chord bears N. 52°12'00" W., 231.33 feet);

F15 thence North 89°42'00" West, a distance of 127.83 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

Tract "F" consists of Assessor's Parcel Number 1320-30-501-010.

Tract H: South of Winhaven and north of Carson Valley Inn.

H00 Commencing at a point located at the northwest corner of Assessor's Parcel 1320-29-201-010, of the Annexation Map for Hanly, Dreyer, Dreyer, Western Nevada Properties, Inc., and Western Nevada Properties, Inc., Et Al, to the town of Minden and the Minden-Gardnerville Sanitation District recorded on August 21, 1986, in Book 886 at page 2432 as Document Number 139442, said point being the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

H01 thence South 26°09'23" West, a distance of 203.53 feet (N);

H02 thence North 89°00'49" West, a distance of 960.69 feet (N);

H03 thence South 00°59'11" West, a distance of 181.09 feet;

H04 thence North 89°00'49" West, a distance of 239.98 feet;

H05 thence North 00°00'08" West, a distance of 181.12 feet;

H06 thence North 89°45'39" West, a distance of 255.02 feet (N);

H07 thence South 09°06'00" East, a distance of 183.96 feet;

H08 thence North 89°28'46" West, a distance of 324.29 feet;

H09 thence South 89°54'12" West, a distance of 687.31 feet;

H10 thence South 00°58'22" West, a distance of 456.01 feet (W);

H11 thence 596.66 feet along the arc of a non-tangent curve to the left having a radius of 940.00 feet, (the radius point bearing North 26°06'54" East from the beginning of curve), a central angel of 34°43'20" and a chord South 81°14'46" East 560.98 feet to a point of reverse curvature (W);

H12 thence 340.50 feet along the arc of a non-tangent curve to the right having a radius of 1,600 feet (the radius point bearing South 03°08'43" West from the end of curve), a central angle of 11°45'09" and a chord of North 87°16'09" East 339.90 feet (W);

H13 thence South 55°53'49" West, a distance of 321.67 feet (W);

H14 thence North 87°58'42" East, a distance of 154.01 feet (W);

H15 thence South 59°44'53" East, a distance of 140.05 feet (W;

H16 thence South 38°00'32" East, a distance of 181.30 feet (W);

H17 thence South 43°54'50" East, a distance of 88.74 feet (W);

H18 thence South 50°50'50" East, a distance of 141.99 feet (W);

H19 thence South 65°37'09" East, a distance of 221.15 feet (W);

H20 thence South 46°49'49" West, a distance of 75.50 feet (W);

H21 thence North 27°32'06" East, a distance of 1233.28 feet (W);

H22 thence North 16°54'39" West, a distance of 52.52 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING (W);

Tract "H" consists of Assessor's Parcel Number: 1320-29-301-006, 1320-29-201-010, 009, 008, 007 and 013.

(Note that former tract "I" has been incorporated into the Minden Town Boundary).

Parcel 17 as shown on the Record of Survey in Support of a Boundary Line Adjustment for Park Ranch Holdings, LLC, according to the map thereof, filed in the office of the County Recorder of Douglas County, on June 13, 2022, as File No. 2022-986230, being more particularly described as follows:

A parcel of land located within Section 28, Township 13 North, Range 20 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the southwest corner of the herein described parcel, being a point on the northerly line of Buckeye Road, from which the northwest corner of said Section 28 bears North 24°42'03" West, 2,885.74 feet;

Thence North 00°30'17" East, 2,007.34 feet;

Thence along the arc of a curve to the right, non-tangent to the preceding course, having a radius of 1,600.00 feet, central angle of 38°49'45", arc length of 1,079.66 feet, and chord bearing a distance of South 77°22'06" East, 1,059.29 feet;

Thence along the arc of a reverse curve to the left, having a radius of 850.00 feet, central angle of 18°59'12", and arc length of 281.67 feet;

Thence South 00°13'32" West, 1,679.95 feet to a point on said northerly line of Buckeye Road;

Thence along said northly line of Buckeye Road, North 89°29'43" West, 1,303.88 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Parcel 17 consists of APN: 1320-28-000-045.

REFERENCES:

(A) Map showing the town boundaries of the Town of Minden, Douglas County, Nevada, recorded September 12, 1957, as Document 20830.

(B) Wildrose Subdivision Plat Number 2; recorded December 5, 1966, in Book 46 at page 286, as Document 34825.

(C) Deseret Unit Number 1; recorded April 17, 1972, in Book 99 at Page 219, as Document 58855.

(D) Wildrose Number 3, Unit 3; recorded April 4, 1973, in Book 473 at Page 72, as Document 65028.

(E) Wildrose Number 3, Unit 2; recorded June 29, 1972, in Book 102 at Page 517, as Document 60350.

(F) Wildrose Number 3, Unit 1; recorded October 22, 1971, in Book 92 at Page 541, as Document 55071.

(G) Annexation Map, Duane E. Mack, Lots A, B, C-1 & C-2 to the Town of Minden and the Minden-Gardnerville Sanitation District (MGSD); recorded February 4, 1977, in Book 277, Page 223, as Document 06708.

(H) Annexation Map, Duane E. Mack to the Town of Minden and the MGSD recorded May 12, 1978 in Book 578 at Page 845, as Document 20601.

(I) Annexation map, Mack Land and Cattle Company to the Town of Minden and the MGSD recorded September 12, 1989 in Book 989 at Page 1372, as Document 201690.

(J) Annexation map, Duane E. Mack Family Trust to the Town of Minden and the MGSD recorded April 23, 1984 in Book 484 at Page 1719, as Document 99818.

(K) Deleted.

(L) Annexation map for Hickey Brothers, Inc. to the Town of Minden and the MGSD; recorded September 11, 1979, in Book 979 at Page 807, as Document 36520.

(M) Annexation map, Minden-Gardnerville Sanitation District Plant Site to the Town of Minden; recorded January 29, 1981, in Book 181 at Page 1660, as Document 53008.

(N) Annexation map for Hanly, Dreyer & Western Nevada Properties to the Town of Minden and the MGSD; recorded August 21, 1986 in Book 886 at Page 2432, as Document 139442.

(O) Annexation map for the Mulreany & Associates #1 to the Town of Minden and the MGSD; recorded August 6, 1987, in Book 887 at Page 609, as Document 159733.

(P) Annexation map for the Mulreany & Associates #2 to the Town of Minden and the MGSD; recorded August 6, 1987, in Book 887 at Page 610, as Document 159734.

(Q) Annexation map, Bently Nevada, Inc. to the Town of Minden and the MGSD; recorded September 12, 1979, in Book 979 at Page 964, as Document Number 36602.

(R) Boundary Line Adjustment for Shirley Yvonne Fraser, copy on file with the Department of Public Works.

(S) Final Subdivision Map for Mackland Unit 3; recorded October 13, 2003, in Book 1003 at Page 5813, as Document Number 593255.

(T) Record of Survey to Support Lot Line Adjustment for Westwood Park Homeowners Association and Minden Gardnerville Sanitation District Recorded on April 11, 2000, in Book 400 at Page 1729, as Document Number 489711.

(U) Map showing Division into Large Parcels for Roland and Joan P. Dreyer; recorded February 28, 1996, in Book 206 at Page 4633, as Document Number 382216.

(V) Map showing Division into Large Parcels for Roland and Joan P. Dreyer recorded November 7, 2001, in Book 1101 at Page 1931, as Document Number 527149.

(W) Record of Survey in Support of Boundary Line Adjustment for Douglas County and Judy Keele, Trustee of the First Amended Dean Seeman Trust Agreement dated October 2, 1990; recorded November 30, 2011, in Book 1111, at Page 6264, as Document Number 793412.

(X) Parcel Map for Judy Keele Trustee of the First Amended Dean Seeman Trust Agreement dated October 2, 1990; recorded November 30, 1990, in Book 1111, at Page 6260, as Document Number 793410.

(Y) Record of Survey to Support a Boundary Line Adjustment for Bently Family Limited Partnership; recorded February 13, 2012, in Book 0212, at Page 2385 as Document Number 797259.


(Z) Record of Survey to Support a Boundary Line Adjustment for Mack Land and Cattle Company et al; recorded June 29, 2009, in Book 0609 at Page 9130, as Document Number 746235.


(a) Record of Survey to Support a Boundary Line Adjustment for Park Cattle Company; recorded September 18, 2003, in Book 0903 at Page 9581, as Document Number 590378.

(b) Record of Survey to Support a Boundary Line Adjustment for Mack Land & Cattle Company, recorded January 8, 2018, as Document Number 2018-908905.

(Ord. 1550, 2019; Ord. 1549, 2019; Ord. 1389, 2013; Ord. 1367, 2012; Ord. 1243, 2008; Ord. 1157, 2006; Ord. 812, 1998; Ord. 738, 1996; Ord. 591, 1993; Ord. 561, 1992, Ord. 379, § 2(part), 1981; Ord. 351, § 3(part), 1980)

(Ord. No. 2025-1657, § II(Exh. A), 7-17-2025)


LEGEND
TOWN BOUNDARY (MINDEN ONLY)
TOWN OF MINDEN WELL LOCATION
SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE
I, TODD A. ENGE, A PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR LICENSED IN THE STATE OF NEVADA DO CERTIFY:
1. THIS MAP DOES NOT REPRESENT AN ACTUAL SURVEY, BUT WAS COMPILED FROM EXISTING RECORD INFORMATION AND TOWN RECORDS, AND IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH TITLE 18, APPENDIX "B", OF THE TOWN INCUMBENT.
2. THIS MAP WAS PRESENTED TO AND APPROVED BY THE DOUGLAS COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ON THE _____ DAY OF _____, 2026.
TODD A. ENGE, PLS 19754
TODD A ENGE
PLS 19754
STATE OF NEVADA
TOWN OF MINDEN CERTIFICATE
I, STEVE THALER, CHAIRMAN OF THE MINDEN TOWN BOARD, CERTIFY THAT THIS MAP REPRESENTS THE TOWN OF MINDEN'S INCUMBENCY, AND THE ANNEXATIONS SHOWN HEREON WERE DULY APPROVED BY THE TOWN OF MINDEN.
STEVE THALER, CHAIRMAN
MINDEN TOWN BOARD
RECORDER'S CERTIFICATE
FILED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 2026 A.D., AT _____ MINUTES PAST _____ O'CLOCK _____ M. IN BOOK _____ PAGE _____
DOCUMENT NUMBER _____
RECORDED AT THE REQUEST OF THE TOWN OF MINDEN
DOUGLAS COUNTY RECORDER
MATCH LINE
SEE SHEET 2
SCALE 1"=400'
0
400
800
N
TOWN OF MINDEN
BOUNDARY ANNEXATION
MAP
NOVEMBER 2026
LOCATED WITHIN PORTIONS OF
SECTIONS 28, 29, 30, 31 & 32, T.13N., R.20E., M.D.M.
& SECTION 25, T.13N., R.19 E., M.D.M.
DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEVADA
Sheet 1 of 2
JOB NO. 2x-xxxx
DATE 00/00/2026
DRAWN DSW
CHECKED TAE
RCI
Resource Concepts Inc
Engineering • Surveying • Water Rights
Resources & Environmental Services
www.rci-nv.com
Carson City
Lake Tahoe


LEGEND
TOWN BOUNDARY (MINDEN ONLY)
TOWN OF MINDEN WELL LOCATION
MATCH LINE
SEE SHEET 1
TOWN OF MINDEN BOUNDARY ANNEXATION MAP
NOVEMBER 2026
LOCATED WITHIN PORTIONS OF SECTIONS 28, 29, 32, 33 T.13N., R.19E., M.D.M. & SECTION 4 T.12N., R.19E., M.D.M. DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEVADA
Sheet 2 of 2
JOB NO. 25.002
DATE 09/10/2026
DRAWN CSW
CHECKED TAE
RCI
Resource Concepts Inc
Engineering • Surveying • Water Rights
Resources & Environmental Services
www.rci-nv.com
Carson City
Lake Tahoe
SCALE 1"= 400'
0
400
800
N

The Minden Town Board meeting was held starting at 5:30 p.m. on Wednesday, June 3, 2026, at 1602 Esmeralda Ave, Minden, Nevada.

Board Members present: Steve Thaler, Larry Walsh, Mimi Moss, Bryan Davis, and Bill Driscoll.
Staff present: Ryan Russell, JD Frisby, Marcie Schurke, Jeff Cady and Rachel Hamer.
Chairman Thaler called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.
The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Larry Walsh.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

Moss/Driscoll moved and seconded approval of the June 3, 2026, Meeting Agenda. Motion carries unanimously.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Walsh/Davis moved and seconded approval of May 6, 2026, Board Meeting Minutes. Motion carries with 4 votes yes, and Mimi Moss abstained.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF GIFTS: None

BOARD MEMBER REPORTS: Items on the Administrative Agenda will not be discussed during Board Member committee reports. **No action** may be taken on a matter raised under this portion of the agenda until the matter has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. Discussion may include a report on activities of committees or general information of interest to the Board.

Mr. Driscoll mentioned his meeting with the East Fork Fire Chief and some of the things that were discussed. No other reports.

CONSENT AGENDA: Items may be moved to or from the Consent Agenda at the beginning of the Board meeting during the Approval of the Consent Agenda. Items on the Consent Agenda may be voted on in a block, i.e., with one motion on all those items, one second to the motion, and one vote by the Board members. Items removed from the Consent Agenda will be heard immediately following the Consent Agenda in the order in which they appear in the Consent Agenda.

1. Approval of the May 2026 financial report including claims paid April 25, 2026, through May 22, 2026, for Fiscal Year 25/26.

2. Approval of the policy renewal from Nevada Public Agency Insurance Pool (POOL) and authorization of payment to POOL in the amount of ninety-four thousand, four hundred fifty-five dollars, and ninety-eight cents ($94,455.98) for Fiscal Year 2026-2027.

3. Approval of a request by Mike Schiller for a street closure on August 21, 2026, from 4:00 p.m. to 11:30 p.m. for the Main Street Car Show & Shine event. Approval of this event

would include closure of Esmeralda Avenue from Sixth Street to Fourth Street; Fourth Street from the Alley between US Hwy 395 and Esmeralda Avenue to Esmeralda Avenue; and Fifth Street from the Alley between US Hwy 395 and Esmeralda Avenue to Mono Avenue.

4. Approval of the Douglas High School Leadership Class 2026 Fall Fest Homecoming event in Minden Park on Wednesday, September 30, 2026. Approval of this request would include setting a budget for Town participation in the event of up to $500 and waiving fees associated with a street closure, use of Minden Park, use of Town equipment, and assistance with the event by Town Staff. Town approval will also include closure of 3rd Street between Esmeralda Avenue and Mono Avenue and Mono Avenue from 2nd Street and 3rd Street from 4:00 pm to 6:30 pm. This request also includes various street closures in downtown Minden and around Minden Park from 4:00 pm to 6:30 pm.

Public comment: None

After thoughtful and intelligent deliberation, Driscoll/Moss moved and seconded approval of the Consent Agenda. Motion carries unanimously.

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA: The following item(s) are scheduled for public hearing. At the beginning of each item, the Chairman will call the item. Normally, the applicant and/or its representatives are permitted to speak first, and then Town Staff may present a summary of the staff report and recommendation, including any updated information received by the Town after the reports were distributed. Next, Board discussion, and then the Chairman will open the hearing to public comment. For items on which action is to be taken, the Chairman will close the hearing of public comment before a motion is made and a vote is taken. The Chairman has the right to establish reasonable time limits for comments and to allow for rebuttal comments.

1. For Possible Action: Discussion and possible action to accept, accept with conditions, deny, or continue a purchase agreement between the Douglas County School District and the Town of Minden under which the Town may acquire certain real property in Douglas County, Nevada being Assessor's Parcel Number 1320-32-110-005 for a price not to exceed the appraised value thereof. If approved, the Board may also authorize the Town Manager to execute a purchase agreement in a form acceptable to Town Counsel on behalf of the Town, in an amount not to exceed $420,000, to include any necessary restrictions on ownership of the property to be exclusive to the Town. Approval item shall include waiver of any actual or potential conflict of interest related to legal representation. Appearance by JD Frisby, Town Manager.

   Mr. Frisby gave a short background on this item and discussed the pros and cons about the Town moving forward with the purchase of the property.

   Board comments include Mr. Walsh clarified the usage and if there were any restrictions. Mr. Frisby said no, but we would want to rezone the property as a public facility. Member Davis agreed this could be a great parcel for the Town to own. Member Moss said we would need to change the zoning before making any improvements. She mentioned the desire to keep it as it is. Vice-Chairman Driscoll relayed he is in support of obtaining the property. Chairman Thaler said he is in agreement with his fellow board members.

Public comment: Lori Story gave public comment regarding the dog park and is in favor of the Town purchasing the property.

After thoughtful and intelligent deliberation, Davis/Driscoll moved and seconded to recommend approval of a purchase agreement between the Douglas County School District and the Town of Minden, purchase price not to exceed $420,000. Which if approved by both entities, DCSD shall transfer property adjacent to their Administrative Building currently used as a dog park, to the Town of Minden for use as a dog park. Approval item shall include waiver of any actual or potential conflict of interest related to legal representation. Motion carries unanimously.

2. For possible action: Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue or deny the placement of a Nevada Arts Council America 250 public art mural, located at the Carson Valley Arts Council Copeland building, APN:1320-32-501-016. Appearance by Brian Fitzgerald, President of the Carson Valley Arts Council, Tony Manfredi, Executive Director of Nevada Arts Council, Krista DeNio, Executive Director of Dust & Wonder Trail, and Mural Artist Asa Kennedy.

   Mr. Fitzgerald, Tony Manfredi, Krista DeNio, and Asa Kennedy (the muralist) gave a presentation regarding the proposed mural over at the Copeland Building.

   Member Walsh agreed with the concept and with placement on the County Road side. Member Davis echoed agreement and is excited to see what the community comes up with. Member Moss was also in favor, but sought clarification on the process with Title 20 and when and where the ordinance will be in effect. Vice-Chairman Driscoll asked how the mural is maintained. Mr. Fitzgerald informed the Board of how maintenance occurs on this type of project. Chairman Thaler expressed his desire of the exterior concept of the whole building, but would like to see this come back with what is actually proposed.

   Mr. Frisby expressed this could be something great for the Town. They are looking for support to move forward with this on the County Road Side.

   Public comment: None

   After thoughtful and intelligent deliberation, Walsh/Moss moved and seconded to approve the idea of placement of a Nevada Arts Council America 250 public art mural, located at the Carson Valley Arts Council Copeland building, APN:1320-32-501-016. Motion carries unanimously.

3. For Possible Action: Discussion and possible action to accept, accept with conditions, continue or deny a bid by Qualcon Contractors Inc for an amount of $1,370,340 which includes Base Bid plus Bid Alternates A, B, and C for the FY26 Mackland Waterline Replacement and Street Rehab; and if approved, 2) authorize the Town Manager to execute an agreement, acceptable to Town Counsel, for the same on behalf of the Town. Approval may include authorizing the Town Manager the ability to approve change orders up to 10% of the budgeted amount. Appearance by JD Frisby, Minden Town Manager.

Mr. Frisby briefly discussed the bidding process and offered to answer any questions the Board may have.
Board comments included clarification on the motion.

Public comment: None

After thoughtful and intelligent deliberation, Moss/Walsh moved and seconded approval of a bid by Qualcon Contractors Inc, for an amount of $1,370,340 which includes Base Bid plus Bid Alternates A, B, and C for the FY26 Mackland Waterline Replacement and Street Rehab; approval includes, 2) authorizing the Town Manager to execute an agreement, acceptable to Town Counsel, for the same on behalf of the Town. Approval shall include the Town Manager the ability to approve change orders up to 10% of the budgeted amount. Motion carries unanimously.

4. For possible action: (Applicable only if Administrative Item 3 was approved.) Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue or deny Town of Minden Resolution TOM2026R-007 augmenting the FY2025/2026 budget. Appearance by Marcie Schurke, Town Senior Accountant.

   Ms. Schurke relayed this augment comes before the board to cover the cost of the bid on the previous item. No board comments.

   Public comment: None

   After thoughtful and intelligent deliberation, Davis/Moss moved and seconded approval of Town of Minden Resolution TOM2026R-007 augmenting the FY2025/2026 budget. Motion carries unanimously.

5. For possible action: Discussion and possible action to accept or deny a grant from the Recreational Trails Program with the Nevada Division of State Parks for the Jake's Wetlands and Martin Slough Trailhead Improvements in the amount of $116,265.39. Appearance by Marcie Schurke, Town Senior Accountant.

   Ms. Schurke gave the background on the process for this grant and offered to answer any questions the Board may have. Mr. Frisby relayed why these restroom facilities are important.
   Member Moss asked clarification on the reserves that we need to pull from to cover the costs. Chairman Thaler thanked Staff for persistence in obtaining this funding.

   Public comment: None

   After thoughtful and intelligent deliberation, Moss/Walsh moved and seconded acceptance of a grant from Recreational Trails Program with the Nevada Division of State Parks for the Jake's Wetlands and Martin Slough Trailhead Improvements in the amount of $116,265.39. Motion carries unanimously.

6. For possible action: (Applicable only if Administrative Item 5 was approved.) Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue or deny Town of Minden Resolution TOM2026R-008 augmenting the FY2025/2026 budget. Appearance by Marcie Schurke, Town Senior Accountant.

   Ms. Schurke relayed this augment appears on the agenda to make up the difference in cost for the grant that was approved on the previous item, and she offered to answer any questions the board may have.

   Public comment: None

   After thoughtful and intelligent deliberation, Driscoll/Walsh moved and seconded approval of Town of Minden Resolution TOM2026R-008 augmenting the FY2025/2026 budget. Motion carries unanimously.

7. For possible action: Discussion and possible action to approve, approve with conditions, continue or deny formal annexation of APN 1320-29-301-005 into the Town of Minden. Appearance JD Frisby, Town Manager.

   Mr. Frisby relayed that this is just the next step in cleaning up the mapping for the Town of Minden now that we have purchased the property.

   Public comment: None

   After thoughtful and intelligent deliberation, Davis/Moss moved and seconded approval to formally annex APN 1320-29-301-005 into the Town of Minden. Motion carries unanimously.

8. For possible action: Discussion and possible action to direct Staff in relation to Staff Reports. Discussion shall include a Staff Report on the activities, progress on or status of assigned tasks and projects, or general information regarding operations and projects related to trash, retail and wholesale water service, and other functions of the Town of Minden. Appearance by Jeff Cady, Public Works Superintendent, and JD Frisby, Town Manager.

   Mr. Cady relayed the bad street patch on Bella Blvd will be fixed next week. Mr. Frisby went over event information, the BOCC budget meeting, Well #12, and all the projects that are coming forward finally.

   No board comments.

   Public comment: None

ADJOURNMENT: Chairman Thaler adjourned the meeting at 6:44pm.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 12.2

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to approve an interlocal agreement with the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection to implement the Lake Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for Water Years 2027–2031, and authorize Chairman Gardner to sign the agreement. (Courtney Walker, Stormwater Program Manager)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Approve the agreement with the the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection to implement the Lake Tahoe TMDL for Water Years 2027-2031 and authorize Chairman Gardner to sign the agreement.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
The current annual cost to implement the Lake Tahoe TMDL is approximately $236,000. Over a five-year period, that will total $1,200,000. Currently, the TMDL program is budgeted for FY 2026-27 in the Stormwater Management Enterprise Fund 361-820.

BACKGROUND:
The Lake Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) is a science-based plan to restore Lake Tahoe's water quality and clarity. Every jurisdiction around Lake Tahoe is required to participate (e.g., Washoe County, Douglas County, Nevada Department of Transportation, Placer County, El Dorado County, and City of South Lake Tahoe).

ATTACHMENTS:
NDEP Tahoe Presentation.pdf
TDML Presentation.pdf
2027-2031 ILA UPDATE_Dougls Co_FINAL.pdf
ILA_Douglas_2021-2026_signed.pdf

Lake Tahoe

Clarity Update

Douglas County
Board of County Commissioners

October 15, 2026

Jason Kuchnicki, Chief
Bureau of Water Quality Planning
Nevada Division of Environmental Planning

NEVADA DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

CALIFORNIA Water Boards







Lake Tahoe TMDL

A science-based plan to address Lake Tahoe's clarity decline.

Goal: restore clarity to 97.4'

Interim 'Clarity Challenge' target of 78' by 2031





Limnol. Oceanogr., 33(6, part 1), 1988, 1321–1333
© 1988, by the American Society of Limnology and Oceanography, Inc.

Primary productivity, nutrients, and transparency during the early onset of eutrophication in ultra-oligotrophic Lake Tahoe, California–Nevada[1]

Charles R. Goldman
Division of Environmental Studies, University of California, Davis 95616

Abstract


For more than half a century, the trophic status of water bodies has been of interest to limnologists and oceanographers alike. This report demonstrates the close, inverse relationship between ^{14}C-estimated primary productivity and transparency during the earliest stages of cultural eutrophication. As the population in the Tahoe basin has rapidly increased, Lake Tahoe has been characterized by an increase in primary productivity that has averaged 5.6% yr^{-1} for the last 28 yr. There has been a concomitant decline in transparency of 0.37 m yr^{-1}. During winter months when transparency is highest, the average annual loss has been slightly greater (0.40 m yr^{-1}). The average annual Secchi depth has decreased by 7 m during the last 19 yr of intensive monitoring. There has also been a significant increase in the light extinction coefficient. Photosynthetic efficiency has increased while there has been a gradual shrinkage of the euphotic zone. During the same period the total NO_3^--N content of the lake has increased significantly, but total P content has not. Lake Tahoe primary production has become increasingly P sensitive during the last decade as N has accumulated in the system. A gradual increase in the N:P may prove to be a general evolutionary characteristic of oligotrophic lakes during the earliest stages of eutrophication. The importance of long-term studies in detecting gradual change that may be masked by considerable interannual variability is particularly evident from this study.


Since Naumann (1919) introduced the concept of the trophic status of lakes, there has been interest by limnologists and oceanographers in the evolutionary steps degree


structed from sediment cores of Lago di Monterosi, which began with the building of the Via Cassia about 171 B.C. In a later

Nevada Department of CONSERVATION & NATURAL RESOURCES
NEVADA DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
California Water Boards

Tahoe TMDL Timeline







Phase 1

Planning, Research & Monitoring
(2000 – 2007)

Phase 2

Implementation Planning
(2007 – 2011)

Phase 3

Implementation Tracking,
Progress Assessment,
Adaptive Management

Key Questions



What pollutants are causing clarity loss?



How are pollutants reaching the lake?



What pollutant load reductions are needed to achieve clarity goals?



What is the best strategy to achieve clarity goals?



How will progress be assessed?

Phase 1 - Key Findings

Fine Particles are the driver




Figure 3-3. Results of an optical model showing the percentage of light absorption and scattering caused by water, CDOM (colored dissolved organic matter), and different types of particles, at different times of the year (modified from Swift et al. 2006). Inorganic particles refer to mineral or soil-based particles while organic particles include both living and dead matter.

Urban Areas are the Source





Figure 7-3. Percent Fine Sediment Particle (< 16 micrometer) Contribution per Source Category.

Phase 1 - Key Findings



Load Reductions

Pollutant	Clarity Challenge (2026-2031)	Numeric Target (2075)
FSP	*32%*	*65%*
TP	*14%*	*35%*
TN	*4%*	*10%*


Phase 2 - Key Findings

Urban Upland

Application of more and advanced practices

Atmospheric

Relies on TRPA Regional Plan and Regional Transportation Plan



Forest Upland

Continue to implement current Best Practice

Stream Erosion

Focus on restoration of Upper Truckee River and Ward and Blackwood Cks

Phase 3 - Implementation

URBAN STORMWATER POLLUTANT CONTROLS



Centralized stormwater treatment



Road Operations



Parcel BMPs

DS1

Phase 3 - Implementation

NON-URBAN CONTROLS



Stream Restoration



Forest Road Decommissioning




Street Sweeping

Slide 10


DS1 Maybe this slide goes away too in favor of the greater detail on the next slide? Or is just used as a quick setup?
Dan Segan, 2026-07-02T16:38:11.913

JK1 0 Yes, the more I think about it, the more I am convinced it is unnecessary.
Jason Kuchnicki, 2026-07-02T20:03:05.455

Phase 3 - Implementation Tracking




Phase 3 - Implementation Tracking




Load Reduction Based


Activities Based


Phase 3 - Implementation Tracking – Lake Clarity Crediting Program




1 Credit translates to 200 pounds of FSP load reduction


approach to restore historic clarity. The Lake Tahoe Info Stormwater Tools are used to track Lake Tahoe TMDL implementation progress in urbanized portions of the watershed. Current TMDL implementation achievements are provided in the chart; further context about the tools is provided below.
Progress Towards Water Year 2025 Program Targets
Current Achievement Status
By: Awarded Credits
Options
Awarded Credits
3,000
2,250
1,500
750
Caltrans
City of South Lake Tahoe
El Dorado County, CA
Placer County, CA
Douglas County, NV
NDOT
Washoe County, NV
Credit Target


JURISDICTION
CALTRANS
This page displays the Lake Clarity Crediting Program achievements for a jurisdiction.
registrations and stormwater asset inventory.
CREDITS
The credit targets and progress for Caltrans are shown below. Jurisdictions register and declare credits to meet the targeted number of credits and Lake Tahoe TMDL milestones. View all credit targets.
Water Year 2026
Credit Target: 1050
Water Year 2025
14 credits awarded from Caltrans EIP 988 Infiltration Basins 1 and 2
656 credits awarded from Caltrans Road Registration WY2021-2026
53 credits received from City of South Lake Tahoe
Credits Secured: 723
Credit Target: 648
Water Year 2024



are
are 14
ion Yes
ons
Treatment BMP
Modeled Treatment BMP Type
Assessed Treatment BMP Type
Water Quality Importance
Benchmark and Thresholds Set
Latest Assessment Date
Latest Assessment Score
Exceeded Expected Conditions
EIP988_IB1
Infiltration Basin
Infiltration Basin (using User Defined Infiltration Rate Method)
Key
Yes
2/2/2025
5.0
Yes
EIP988_IB2
Infiltration Basin
Infiltration Basin (using User Defined Infiltration Rate Method)
Key
Yes
2/2/2025
3.0
Yes


TION
CALTRANS
CALTRANS EIP 988 INFILTRATION
Jurisdiction Caltrans
Name Caltrans EIP 988 Infiltration Basins 1 and 2
Type BMP Registration
Status Expired
Timeframe 10/01/2015 to 09/30/2025 (10 years)
l Credit Potential 14 credits
ment Year Credit Potential 14 credits (100%)
BMPs Included in Registration Yes
BMPs Included in Registration No
Control Strategies No
REGISTRATION AREA & BMPS
Lake Blvd

Phase 3 - Implementation Tracking


Lake Clarity Credits Secured

By: Jurisdiction




Fine Sediment Load Reduction

By: Jurisdiction




Nitrogen Load Reduction

By: Jurisdiction



Phosphorous Load Reduction

By: Jurisdiction



Phase 3 - Progress Assessment & Adaptive Management



LAKE TAHOE TMDL PROGRAM 2026 PERFORMANCE REPORT

Guiding Efforts to Restore Lake Tahoe's Historic Clarity

Background

The decline in Lake Tahoe's historic water clarity threatens its value as a world-class destination for tourism and outdoor recreation, as a vital source of clean drinking water for residents and visitors, and its ecological status as one of the few exceptionally clean and clear (ultraoligotrophic) lakes in the world. To tackle this issue, the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board (Water Board) and the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) developed the Lake Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). The TMDL Program guides ongoing efforts to restore Lake Tahoe's clarity so that people may once again see to depths of nearly 100 ft.

The TMDL Program aims to reduce fine sediment particles - which scatter and reflect light - and the nutrients nitrogen and phosphorus – which fuel algal growth. The buildup of fine sediment particles (FSP) and algae prevent light from penetrating deeper into the water column, thereby reducing lake clarity. The best available science indicated a 65%, 35% and 10% reduction in FSP, total phosphorus (TP) and total nitrogen (TN) from 2004 levels is needed to restore historic clarity within the lake. Approximately half of these load reductions are needed to meet the Clarity Challenge, a 20-year interim goal of 79 ft. of clarity for 2026 through 2031.

The Water Board and NDEP remain committed to continuous improvement and adaptive management of the Lake Tahoe TMDL Program. Transparent tracking and reporting of accomplishments relative to annual and multi-year load reduction targets and milestones is a critical component of progress assessment. As such, this 2026 TMDL Performance Report summarizes implementation accomplishments through 2025. Results are presented in two sections based on pollutant source category type: **Urban Uplands Source Category** and **Non-Urban Source Categories**. A final **Looking Forward** section looks at the program's progress and highlights the challenges, priorities, and direction of the program.

Urban Uplands Source Category

TMDL research shows FSP loads in urban stormwater must be reduced by one-third from 2004 levels to meet the Clarity Challenge. Managing urban uplands is the greatest opportunity to control FSP pollution, as runoff from roads and other urban land uses accounts for over 70 percent of total FSP loading to the lake. Urban Implementing Partners include the California and Nevada Departments of Transportation (CalTrans, NDOT); City of South Lake Tahoe (CSLT); and Douglas, El Dorado, Placer, and Washoe Counties.


Accomplishments


NDEP and the Water Board award Lake Clarity Credits to implementation partners who carry out pollutant controls such as roadway operations and maintenance, stormwater treatment facility construction and maintenance, and parcel-based best management practices (BMPs). These control measures are registered through a comprehensive accounting system known as the Lake Clarity Crediting Program (LCCP). Credits are awarded annually once ongoing effectiveness of these pollutant controls is demonstrated.

2025 CREDIT TARGETS AND AWARDS

In 2025, the number of credits awarded to Urban Implementing Partners was 3,675. All Urban Implementing Partners met or exceeded individual credit targets, with a total exceedance of 248 credits basin wide. One credit is equivalent to roughly 200 lbs/year of FSP reduced, meaning the loads of FSP reduced in 2025 exceeded 736,600 lbs, which is approximately 29% less FSP delivered to the lake compared to 2004 baseline levels. Loads of nitrogen were reduced by nearly 5,875 lbs/year, representing a decrease of about 17% from 2004 baseline levels. Loads of phosphorus were also reduced by almost 2,120 lbs/year, representing a decrease of about 23% from 2004 baseline levels.

POLLUTANT LOAD REDUCTIONS





LAKE TAHOE TMDL PROGRAM

2025 FINDINGS & PROGRAM RECOMMENDATIONS MEMO


JANUARY 2026

DS1

Monitoring Programs



Stormwater Monitoring



In-Lake Monitoring



Tributary Monitoring

Slide 17


DS1 This slide is good for the NV oversight but I don't think you need it with TIE.

I would move the RSMWP content to the implementation tracking slide nad the other content to the adaptive management slide

Dan Segan, 2026-07-02T16:35:31.748

SL1

Recent Clarity Trends




Slide 18

SL1 Migrating over a comment from Mary - maybe we give a glimpse into some of the near-future contract work that will help in understanding if existing tracking and source apportionment need to be refined.
Scribe, Laurie@Waterboards, 2026-07-01T23:36:28.782

SL1 0 current contract work could include that the State and TRPA are working on:
1. LCCP evaluation and recommendations
2. RSWMP data analysis
3. Developing road map for upgrades to PLRM and RSWMP DMS
4. Providing funding towards updates to LT Watershed model
Scribe, Laurie@Waterboards, 2026-07-01T23:37:01.204

Science Initiatives

- Climate change and biological impacts on lake clarity
- Particle composition, concentration and sources in runoff and in-lake water column
- Zooplankton distribution, composition, and communities
- Rigorous time-series statistical analysis of clarity and associated datasets
- Lake monitoring protocol review (parameters, methods, frequencies and data accessibility)
- Lake clarity response modeling workshop and watershed loading RFP



Key Take Homes

Science has played a key role in TMDL development and will continue to play a key role in adaptive management of the program

→ TMDL science indicated that reducing FSP loads would improve clarity

Implementation has been occurring for 15 years since TMDL approval

→ Tracking and progress assessment indicate implementation remains on target to achieve needed load reductions

TMDL Program progress assessment and adaptive management continues, but will take time and money

Thank You

Jason Kuchnicki, Chief
Nevada Division of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Water Quality Planning



Nevada Department of CONSERVATION & NATURAL RESOURCES

NEVADA DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Water Boards

Lake Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Implementation Progress for Douglas County, Nevada



Courtney Walker
Stormwater Program Manager

Lake Tahoe

- Known worldwide for color, clarity
- Fine Sediment Particle (FSP, TP, TN) TMDL
  - Reduce clarity
- Outstanding National Resource Water (ONRW)



How to Meet TMDL?



Stormwater Load Reduction Plans (SLRP)

Strategic Plans to help Nevada jurisdictions meet TMDL load reduction targets



SLRPs for Washoe County, NDOT, Douglas County funded via USEPA by BLM

Develop plans and costs required to meet TMDL load reduction goals

- Cost-effective, specific actions or sets of actions for each jurisdiction



Compare baseline pollutant loads (2004) to existing conditions loads (2012) using PLRM

PLRM – Pollutant Load Reduction Model







Tiers of Load Reduction

- Private parcel BMPs
- Road Operations
- Water quality Improvement Projects


Water Quality
Lake Tahoe TMDL Clarity Crediting Program
GOAL: Reduce contribution of fine sediment particles going into Lake Tahoe

Lake Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Water Quality Program



Water Quality Improvement Projects (WQIP)

Road Operations





Parcel BMPs

Private Parcel BMP Implementation

- Significant level of private parcel BMP implementation to date
- Estimated that the majority of willing land owners have participated
  - Implementation of BMPs on the remaining parcels may be more challenging
- Educate landowners:
  - Inspections and maintenance of existing BMPs to acceptable conditions



Capital Improvement Projects

- Capital improvement projects (CIP):
  - Expensive compared to the other concepts per pound of FSP removed
  - Longer time frames to implement given the need for planning, design, permitting and construction
  - Already a number of CIPs about to proceed, while other projects are under review for grant funding




Advanced Road Operations

- Estimated to cost less than $5 per pound of FSP removed per year
  - CIPs: >$60/pound per year
  - Private parcel BMPs:
  - > $20/pound per year
- Secure resources toward implementation of advanced road maintenance practices
  - Sweeper, Captive Hydrology Machine
  - Advanced traction controls – obdurate materials



Douglas County Registered Catchments



- Road Operations
- Pinewild Parcel BMPs
- Stateline Stormwater
- Lake Village BMPs
- Cave Rock WQIP
- Logan Creek WQIP
- Lakeridge WQIP
- Oliver Park WQIP
- Burke Creek WQIP
- Kahle Basin WQIP

Cave Rock WQIP



8 credits

Kahle Basin WQIP




51 credits (16 go to NDOT)

Burke Creek WQIP



5 credits

Oliver Park WQIP



18 credits

Lakeridge WQIP




5 credits

Logan Creek WQIP



2 credits

Stateline Stormwater



106 credits

Pinewild BMPs



2 credits

Lake Village BMPs



7 credits

Road Operations



24 credits

Douglas County's Required Load Reduction per Interlocal Agreement with NDEP

Five-year Milestones & Annual Intermediary Targets						
Water Year	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Credits	101	101	101	136	136	168
FSP	21%	24%	26%	29%	31%	34%

2027-2031 Anticipated Annual Targets & Five-Year Milestone					
Water Year	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031
Credits	168	168	178	178	188
FSP	34%	35%	36%	37%	38%


WY2026 Credit Registration Accomplishments

Catchment ID	Primary Water Quality Improvement Actions	Lake Clarity Credits Earned
EWCH/BCC	KGID Road Operations and Maintenance (before expansion)	24
PW01	Pinewild Parcel BMPs	2
SSWA	Stateline Stormwater	106
CR02	Cave Rock WQIP	7
LC01	Logan Creek WQIP	2
LR01	Lakeridge WQIP	9
OP01	Oliver Park WQIP	18
BRC	Burke Creek WQIP	5
DCA	Kahle Basin WQIP	35*
LV01	Lake Village BMPs	7
Total		215**

*The total amount of credits this registration is eligible for is 51, therefore 16 credits were distributed to NDOT in WY 2022.


**The total was reduced to 208 credits, as 16 credits were distributed to NDOT for the New Kahle Wet Basin registration.

Future Project: Lower Kingsbury Area-Wide



15 credits

Future Project: Marla Bay and Zephyr Heights



15 credits

Lake Tahoe TMDL Implementation Challenges

- There are 11 General Improvement Districts at Lake Tahoe within Douglas County
- Douglas County holds the Interlocal Agreement with NDEP for the entire portion of Douglas County at Lake Tahoe
- 5 out of the 10 registrations are within a General Improvement District boundary
- The General Improvement District is responsible for the maintenance of the infrastructure



Lake Tahoe TMDL Implementation Challenges

- Private Parcel BMPs lose credit after five years;
- Tahoe Regional Planning Agency doesn't have staffing capacity to enforce all parcel BMPs;
- BMP Registrations are only good for five years before they need to be renewed;
- Time consuming for County staff to monitor private parcel BMP status.
- Douglas County does not have the funding to construct capital projects.



Questions?



Courtney Walker
Stormwater Program Manager
Douglas County Public Works
775.782.6215
cwalker@douglasnv.us

INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT

TO IMPLEMENT THE

LAKE TAHOE TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD

Water Years 2027-2031

WHEREAS, Lake Tahoe is one of the rare large alpine deepwater lakes in the world with unique transparency, color and clarity, and is designated a Water of Extraordinary Aesthetic or Ecologic Value by the State of Nevada;

WHEREAS, degradation of Lake Tahoe's water quality threatens its ecological functions and its value as an outdoor recreational resource, international tourism attraction, and economic asset;

WHEREAS, stormwater runoff from urban land uses is the largest source of pollutant loads that impairs Lake Tahoe water quality and the management and control of storm water runoff provides the principal opportunity to control these pollutants;

WHEREAS, to restore Lake Tahoe's water quality and clarity to acceptable levels, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) approved the Lake Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) in August 2011. Pursuant to NRS 445A.580, the Lake Tahoe TMDL is a component of the planning process established for restoring impaired water bodies in Nevada, which the Parties believe may be more effectively achieved through the cooperative implementation of water quality improvement actions as opposed to a regulatory permit;

WHEREAS, the Parties are public agencies as defined in NRS 277.100(1)(a);

WHEREAS, NRS 277.110(2) provides that any two or more public agencies may enter into agreements with one another for joint or cooperative action under the provisions of NRS 277.080 to 277.170, inclusive;

WHEREAS, the Parties agree to work together in good faith using a collaborative approach to implement the Lake Tahoe TMDL on a feasible schedule;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby execute and abide by the terms and conditions contained within this Interlocal Agreement (Agreement).

I. PARTIES & ROLES

A. The *Parties* to this Agreement are Douglas County (County) and the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP). Herein, these entities shall be collectively referred to as the *Parties*. Any singular entity may be referred to as *Party*. The term *Urban Implementing Partners* refers collectively to the TMDL- implementing entities: Washoe County, Douglas County and the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT).

B. The County will serve as the lead entity for all undertakings related to the planning, execution, financing and coordination of implementation, tracking and reporting of urban load reduction actions within its jurisdiction. The County will communicate, coordinate and cooperate with public and private entities, including other Urban Implementing Partners, in cases where joint management actions are desirable or beneficial. It may be necessary to establish formal agreements with applicable participatory public and private entities to achieve the Purpose (Section III) of this Agreement. At the County's request, NDEP will actively participate in the coordination and establishment of such agreements.

C. In lieu of issuing a regulatory permit to achieve the goals established for the Lake Tahoe TMDL, NDEP will oversee implementation of the Lake Tahoe TMDL within the State of Nevada via this Agreement while it remains in effect. NDEP will continuously improve and adaptively manage, in a transparent and inclusive manner, programs, policies and protocols necessary to track, report, evaluate, and demonstrate incremental progress towards achieving the goals established by the TMDL.

II. BACKGROUND

A. The 1972 federal Clean Water Act requires states to adopt standards to protect beneficial uses designated for waterbodies and to monitor and assess these waters for impairment. Assessment of Lake Tahoe monitoring data prompted its initial listing on Nevada's 2002 List of Impaired Waterbodies for non-attainment of the clarity standard and impairment of the Water of Extraordinary Aesthetic or Ecologic Value beneficial use designation.

B. Non-attainment of water quality standards requires the development of restoration plans called Total Maximum Daily Loads under the federal Clean Water Act. The Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) collaborated with the California Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board (Lahontan Water Board) for more than a decade to develop the Lake

Tahoe TMDL to address Lake Tahoe's degraded clarity. The USEPA approved NDEP's TMDL on August 16, 2011.

C. The overarching goal of the TMDL is to restore Lake Tahoe to its historic annual average deepwater clarity of 97.4 feet (Numeric Target). The TMDL also established an interim goal known as the "Clarity Challenge" of 78 feet to be achieved by the end of Water Year 2031.

D. The TMDL identified fine sediment particles (FSP), total phosphorus (TP) and total nitrogen (TN) as the pollutants of concern for deepwater clarity. These pollutants control the distance light can penetrate the water column. The light scattering effect of FSP less than sixteen micrometers in diameter (<16 µm) was determined to exhibit a greater influence on clarity.

E. The TMDL analysis indicated that achieving the TMDL goal is possible with substantial pollutant load reductions from the urban stormwater source category. Stormwater runoff from urban land uses is the largest loading source of FSP and phosphorus to the Lake and provides the greatest opportunity to reduce loading of these pollutants. Broader application of conventional urban stormwater treatment will be beneficial; however, implementation of innovative and advanced pollutant controls will likely be necessary to meet the TMDL goals. Examples include alternatives to roadway abrasives applications, advanced roadway sweeping practices and equipment, and enhanced stormwater treatment using biological or chemical processes.

F. The TMDL establishes five-year pollutant load reduction milestones for the urban stormwater source category as indicated in Table 1, assuming that global climate change, catastrophic events, economic factors, and/or other unavoidable constraints do not adversely affect progress.

Table 1. (Top) Urban stormwater pollutant load reduction milestone schedule established by the Lake Tahoe TMDL. Shading represents the timeframe under this agreement. MS = milestone; Year = water year in which milestone ends (September 30 of indicated year); FSP = Fine Sediment Particles; TP = Total Phosphorous; TN = Total Nitrogen. Percent reductions are from jurisdiction baseline values shown in Table 2. Shading represents the timeframe under this agreement.

Five-year Pollutant Load Reduction Milestone Schedule													
MS	5 yr	10 yr	15 yr	**20 yr**	25 yr	30 yr	35 yr	40 yr	45 yr	50 yr	55 yr	60 yr	65 yr
Year	2016	2021	2026	**2031**	2036	2041	2046	2051	2056	2061	2066	2071	2076
FSP	10%	21%	34%	**38%**	41%	45%	48%	52%	55%	59%	62%	66%	71%
TP	7%	14%	21%	**23%**	26%	28%	31%	33%	36%	38%	41%	44%	46%
TN	8%	14%	19%	**22%**	25%	28%	31%	34%	37%	40%	43%	46%	50%

Table 2. Douglas County baseline pollutant loads provided in WY 2025 Stormwater Program Annual Report.

Pollutant	FSP	TP	TN
DC Baseline	102,690	495	1,838

G. The Lake Clarity Crediting Program (LCCP) was developed jointly by NDEP and Lahontan Water Board to define standardized protocols for the comprehensive and consistent quantification, tracking and reporting of load reduction actions taken by local governments and state transportation agencies. The program incentivizes Urban Implementing Partners to implement priority controls to meet load reductions and provides accountability for the expenditures of public funds on such actions.

III. PURPOSE

The purpose of this Agreement is to formally establish a commitment by each signatory Party to make a collective effort to restore and protect Lake Tahoe's clarity. In identifying the actions and responsibilities of each Party, this Agreement provides the framework for the successful implementation of the Lake Tahoe TMDL, and the attainment of the goals set forth therein, on a feasible schedule. Inherent in the use of this agreement-based approach is the acknowledgement that implementation success is, in part, dependent upon the establishment of a process which cultivates collaboration and cooperation between the County and NDEP.

This Agreement outlines goals, commitments, and actions which the Parties agree to pursue in good faith. The Parties understand and agree that, based on all relevant facts and circumstances, if the cooperative approach on which this Agreement is based is unsuccessful in achieving the intended outcomes, NDEP may at any time re-evaluate whether a more regulatory approach to achieving TMDL implementation is warranted.

IV. COMMITMENTS & ACTIONS

The Parties hereby commit to implement the following actions, and abide by the following conditions:

A. Pollutant Controls

1. In 2014, the County prepared a Stormwater Load Reduction Plan (SLRP) that established the priority list of pollutant control actions and

projects the County registered and anticipated registering through the LCCP (Section IV.B) to meet the 5-year (2016) credit milestone and prepare for the 10-year (2021) credit milestone. Since its adoption, the SLRP has been maintained as a component of the Stormwater Program Annual Report (Section IV.D), where the priority list of pollutant control actions and projects needed to meet the 15-year (2026) and subsequent credit milestones is reviewed, updated, and reported annually. The Parties acknowledge that planning beyond the term of this Agreement is necessary to ensure future load reduction - milestones are achieved.

2. The County will implement, operate, inspect, and maintain the pollutant controls identified in the current priority list of pollutant control actions and projects, as documented in the Stormwater Program Annual Report, according to the credit milestones and intermediate annual credit targets indicated in Table 3. The County will oversee and coordinate financing for all aspects of pollutant control implementation, including planning, design, construction, and activities related to LCCP participation. The County, as an Urban Implementing Partner, will pursue self-funded and external funding sources to implement the priority pollutant control actions and projects identified in the Stormwater Program Annual Report. The County acknowledges and accepts responsibility for funding the operation and maintenance of the pollutant controls implemented.

3. The Parties acknowledge that TMDL implementation and meeting the obligations and commitments specified in this agreement is contingent upon available funding. Should funding allocations be insufficient to continuing implementing the TMDL or meet all obligations and commitments specified in this agreement, the County and NDEP will work together to identify and implement a mutually acceptable solution.

B. Lake Clarity Crediting Program (LCCP)

1. The County will participate in the LCCP. The County will register and verify pollutant controls in accordance with the protocols specified in the LCCP Handbook. Improvements to LCCP protocols or tools will not require adjustments to load reduction estimates or credit schedules of registrations in effect at the time such programmatic changes are made.

2. NDEP will administer the LCCP in accordance with the LCCP Handbook. County implementation progress will be measured, tracked and assessed in accordance with the protocols contained in the LCCP

Handbook. Credits will be awarded to the County for the continued implementation and registration of ongoing, effective pollutant controls that reduce pollutant loads to Lake Tahoe.

3. The County will strive to achieve the five-year credit milestones (milestones) and intermediate annual credit targets (targets) established in Table 3. Attainment of the five-year credit milestones will demonstrate accomplishment of the FSP load reduction milestones established by the TMDL, and should result in commensurate nutrient load reductions shown in Table 3.

    a. Annual credit targets established for intermediate years are guidelines used for the purpose of demonstrating incremental progress toward attaining five-year milestones and will not be used to determine compliance with this Agreement on an annual basis.

Table 3. Five-year credit milestones (bold), intermediate annual credit targets and corresponding fine sediment particle (FSP), total phosphorus (TP) and total nitrogen (TN)and nutrient load reductions. The 2026 credit milestone has been carried over from the 2022-2026 Interlocal Agreement. Credit targets and milestones 2027 through 2031 are based on the updated FSP baseline load estimate (Table 2).

Five-year Milestones & Annual Intermediary Targets (Percentages)						
Water Year	**2026**	2027	2028	2029	2030	**2031**
Credits	**168**	174	179	184	190	**195**
FSP	**34%**	34%	35%	36%	37%	**38%**
TP	**21%**	21%	22%	22.0%	23.0%	**23%**
TN	**19%**	14%	14%	16.5%	16.5%	**22%**

    b. The County may propose an alternate schedule of intermediate credit targets that are better aligned with planned implementation activities and include updates to the priority list of pollutant control actions and projects in the Stormwater Program Annual Report.

4. The County will assess the condition of registered pollutant controls in accordance with the LCCP Handbook. The County, or a qualified third party conducting the condition assessment observations on its behalf, will coordinate with NDEP for their participation during field assessments. If NDEP staff are unavailable, assessments may be completed without their presence. During joint assessments, the County, and/or the third-party representative thereof, and NDEP will attempt to agree on the measurements to be recorded. The Parties retain the option to record different results if agreement on observations cannot be reached.

5. NDEP, jointly with Lahontan Water Board, will manage the LCCP adaptively through the TMDL Management System, a transparent and inclusive program improvement process. Any modifications or alterations to LCCP tools and/or protocols will be accomplished in accordance with the procedures described in the TMDL Management System Handbook.

C. Stormwater Monitoring

1. The County will implement, either individually or collaboratively, a stormwater monitoring program. At the time of execution of this Agreement, Nevada and California Urban Implementing Partners are involved in a collaborative effort to carry out the Implementers Monitoring Program (IMP). Continued implementation of the approved IMP over the term of this Agreement (Section V) shall fulfill the County's commitment.

2. The County or its authorized representative will develop and submit an annual electronic report to NDEP for approval that presents, summarizes, and interprets the results of the stormwater monitoring data collected during the previous water year (October 1 – September 30). The monitoring report is due on March 30 each year.

3. Within 30 days of receipt, NDEP will provide written notification of acceptance or refusal of the monitoring report. If refused, NDEP will provide a list of items to be resolved for the monitoring report to gain acceptance. The County or its authorized representative will address comments within 30 days and resubmit the monitoring report for NDEP acceptance. NDEP will work with the County and/or its authorized representative to resolve any remaining unsatisfactorily addressed comments within a timeframe agreed upon by the Parties.

4. The County or its authorized representative may submit proposed adjustments to the approved IMP. NDEP will consider and, within 30 days of receipt, provide written notification of acceptance or refusal of the proposal. NDEP will work with the County toward a mutually agreeable resolution of the issue prompting the proposed adjustment.

5. The Parties acknowledge the scale of the stormwater monitoring program is contingent upon available funding and budget allocations as determined by the governing boards of the respective Nevada and California Urban Implementing Partners. Should funding allocations become insufficient to implement the approved monitoring plan, an evaluation will be performed to identify where efficiencies may be

gained and how the monitoring plan may be scaled to better align with the available level of funding while retaining a minimum level of scientific credibility.

D. Stormwater Program Annual Report

1. Each year by March 15, the County will submit to NDEP for acceptance an annual report summarizing the County's stormwater program progress, activities, and accomplishments during the previous water year (October 1-September 30). The report shall also document upcoming and planned actions and projects the County anticipates registering (a) to meet the annual credit targets and five-year milestones identified in Table 3; and (b) over a five-year planning horizon to meet the anticipated annual credit targets and milestone for the 2032-2036 period (Table 4).

Table 4. Anticipated credit obligations for the 2032-2036 period to be used for the five-year planning horizon in the fiscal analysis.

	2032-2036 Anticipated Annual Targets & Five-Year Milestone				
Water Year	2032	2033	2034	2035	**2036**
Credits	195	200	205	205	210

2. The report will include the following information:

   a. Accomplishments Summary – the report shall summarize annual progress toward meeting Table 3 credit targets and /milestones, including registered catchments and associated credit declarations. If progress is insufficient to meet any credit milestone, an explanation of causes or conditions for the shortfall shall be provided, as well as any modifications to the approach that will ensure the next five-year milestone is met.

   b. Stormwater Load Reduction Plan (SLRP) – the report shall specify the priority list of pollutant control actions and projects the County anticipates registering through the LCCP over a five-year planning horizon to meet the Table 4 anticipated annual credit targets and 2036 5-year milestone. Specific content shall include, but is not necessarily limited to the following information:

      i. A description, geographic location information and timeline of the pollutant controls to be implemented;

      ii. The estimated load reduction/credit potential associated with implementation of the pollutant controls;

iii. Any proposal to update the intermediate credit target schedule that better aligns with planned implementation activities.

c. Fiscal Analysis – the report shall provide an estimate of the costs to administer the County's Tahoe stormwater program and address how pollutant controls are proposed to be implemented, operated, and maintained.

i. Budget – an estimate of the total and annualized expenditures necessary to operate and maintain implemented and registered pollutant controls, as well as to design, construct, implement, operate, register, assess, and maintain pollutant controls contained in the Stormwater Program Annual Report.

ii. Finance Plan – identification and description of anticipated and/or targeted funding sources and/or finance mechanisms to cover costs associated with the budget estimates. The plan shall identify where financing is inadequate to cover the estimated budget, and discuss future financing mechanisms that may be explored to allay an identified finance gap.

d. Baseline discrepancies – the report shall provide a tabular summary of registered catchments that tracks and reports the differences in fine sediment particle loading results between the catchment-specific baseline loading estimate performed for the jurisdictional baseline load analysis and baseline scenario conducted for the purposes of registration. This information will be used to update the schedule of annual credit targets and five-year milestones contained in the future 2032-2036 Interlocal Agreement.

3. Within 30 days of receipt, NDEP shall provide written notification of acceptance or refusal of the Stormwater Program Annual Report. If refused, NDEP will provide a list of items to be resolved for the report to gain acceptance. The County will address comments and resubmit the report within 30 days. NDEP will work with the County to resolve any remaining unsatisfactorily addressed comments within a timeframe agreed upon by the Parties.

V. TERM & UPDATE

The term of this Agreement shall terminate on September 30, 2031. As the anticipated timeframe to achieve the TMDL numeric target is the year 2076, if the Parties fail to approve and execute a renewal of this Agreement, with or without any amendments prior to the termination date, then the Parties agree to use best efforts to comply with the terms and conditions of this Agreement until a subsequent agreement is approved and executed by the Parties. If the Parties fail to approve and execute a subsequent agreement, with or without any amendments prior to the expiration date, then the Parties agree to adhere to the terms and conditions of this Agreement until a subsequent agreement is approved and executed by the Parties. If after six (6) months of the termination date the agreement has not been renewed, NDEP may pursue issuance of a stormwater permit.

VI. MODIFICATION

At any point during this term, the Agreement may be modified with the consent in writing of all signatory Parties. Modifications to the Agreement will not result in a change to, or extension of, the term (Section V) of this Agreement.

VII. EVALUATION & CONTINGENCY

1. NDEP will evaluate the performance of the County and make a determination of whether the commitments set forth in this Agreement are in good faith being met, or whether there exist other causes preventing performance. Factors that will be considered in the evaluation of performance and/or the need to act on a contingency include but are not limited to: attainment of five-year credit milestones; the degree to which a milestone is not met; the County's good faith attempt to perform any commitments; changes or modifications to the LCCP Handbook and/or process that significantly affect the County's planning or implementation ability; economic/budget constraints, feasibility or availability of funding sources, or other impediments; and past performance.

2. If NDEP determines the County has failed to perform its commitments under this Agreement and such failed performance has not been caused by the regulatory action of NDEP itself or by the actions or inactions of another party, NDEP will consider and evaluate the need to implement a more regulatory approach, including but not limited to issuance of a permit. In no event will such failed performance result in liability, loss or

penalty other than NDEP's regulation through issuance of a stormwater permit.

3. If lack of available funding or insufficient budget allocations are identified as a primary factor limiting the County's performance or causing the failure of performance and the attainment of credit targets or any other commitment under this Agreement, NDEP may consider extending the implementation timeframe through modification to the load reduction milestone schedule.

4. NDEP will annually evaluate the effectiveness of this Agreement. If the Agreement is determined to be ineffective at achieving its intended purpose, NDEP will consult with the County to determine the reasons for its ineffectiveness and develop recommendations for subsequent revisions to this Agreement.

VIII. TERMINATION

If any Party fails without adequate cause, excuse or justification to abide by any material term of this Agreement, the non-violating Party may give the violating Party a 30-day written notice to cure such failure. Failure to cure shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. If the County is the breaching party, NDEP may then give notice of termination of this Agreement and pursue issuance of a stormwater permit.

IX. FUNDING OUT

Notwithstanding any other provision herein, as required by NRS 244.320 and NRS 354.626, the Parties acknowledge that the participation of the County in this Agreement is contingent upon the appropriation of public funds to support the commitments and activities described herein and that the Agreement will terminate if the appropriation of funds does not occur.

In this event, immediate written notice of termination will be given and this Agreement shall terminate without penalty, expense or sanction to the County asserting the failure to appropriate public funds necessary to perform under this Agreement. If the Agreement terminates because of the County's failure to appropriate funds or its failure to obtain available funding resources necessary to perform the obligations under this Agreement, NDEP may then pursue issuance of a stormwater permit.

X. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

1. The Parties agree to work together in good faith to address and resolve any issues or dispute.

2. The LCCP Handbook contains communication protocols to resolve disputes that may arise between NDEP and the County.

3. If an issue arises that is not related to the processes described in the LCCP Handbook, it will be handled by progressive elevation within each respective Party's management structure.

4. The NDEP Administrator is the final decision-making authority for any dispute that is elevated to that level.

XI. SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this Agreement or any provision of any document incorporated by reference shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect the other provisions of this Agreement which can be given effect without the invalid provision, if such remainder conforms to the requirements of applicable law and the fundamental purpose of the Agreement, and to that end the provisions of this Agreement are declared to be severable.

XII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

1. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to restrict the authority of any Party to act as provided by law, statute, or regulation.

2. This Agreement is not intended to, and does not create any right, benefit, or trust responsibility by any party against the Parties to this Agreement, their respective agencies, officers, or any person.

3. This Agreement is an internal agreement between the Parties and does not confer any right or benefit on any third person or party, private or public.

XIII. LIMITATIONS

Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to require actions by the Parties which are inconsistent with local, State, or Federal laws and regulations or any court order.

XIV. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

The Parties may execute this Agreement in counterparts, each of which is deemed an original and all of which constitute only one agreement.

XV. ALL WRITINGS CONTAINED HEREIN

This Agreement contains all the terms and conditions agreed upon by the Parties. No other understandings, oral or otherwise, regarding the subject matter of the Agreement shall be deemed to exist or to bind the Parties hereto.

XVI. SIGNATORIES

Each undersigned representative to this Agreement certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party whom he or she represents to enter into the terms and conditions of this Agreement and to execute and legally bind such Party to this document.

DOUGLAS COUNTY REPRESENTATIVE

X Mark Gardner, Commission Chair
Name (Printed)

X _____ X _____
Signature Date

NEVADA DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REPRESENTATIVE

X Jennifer Carr, Administrator
Name (Printed)

X _____ X _____
Signature Date

INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT

TO IMPLEMENT THE

LAKE TAHOE TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD

Water Years 2022-2026

WHEREAS, Lake Tahoe is one of the rare large alpine deepwater lakes in the world with unique transparency, color and clarity, and is designated a Water of Extraordinary Aesthetic or Ecologic Value by the State of Nevada;

WHEREAS, degradation of Lake Tahoe's water quality threatens its ecological functions and its value as an outdoor recreational resource, international tourism attraction, and economic asset;

WHEREAS, stormwater runoff from urban land uses is the largest source of pollutant loads that impairs Lake Tahoe water quality and the management and control of storm water runoff provides the principal opportunity to control these pollutants;

WHEREAS, to restore Lake Tahoe's water quality and clarity to acceptable levels, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) approved the Lake Tahoe Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) in August 2011. Pursuant to NRS 445A.580, the Lake Tahoe TMDL is a component of the planning process established for restoring impaired water bodies in Nevada, which the Parties believe may be more effectively achieved through the cooperative implementation of water quality improvement actions as opposed to a regulatory permit;

WHEREAS, the Parties are public agencies as defined in NRS 277.100(1)(a);

WHEREAS, NRS 277.110(2) provides that any two or more public agencies may enter into agreements with one another for joint or cooperative action under the provisions of NRS 277.080 to 277.170, inclusive;

WHEREAS, the Parties agree to work together in good faith using a collaborative approach to implement the Lake Tahoe TMDL on a feasible schedule;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby execute and abide by the terms and conditions contained within this Interlocal Agreement (Agreement).

I. PARTIES & ROLES

A. The *Parties* to this Agreement are Douglas County (County) and the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP). Herein, these entities shall be collectively referred to as the *Parties*. Any singular entity may be referred to as *Party*. The term *Urban Implementing Partners* refers collectively to the TMDL- implementing entities: Washoe County, Douglas County and the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT).

B. The County will serve as the lead entity for all undertakings related to the planning, execution, financing and coordination of implementation, tracking and reporting of urban load reduction actions within its jurisdiction. The County will communicate, coordinate and cooperate with public and private entities, including other Urban Implementing Partners, in cases where joint management actions are desirable or beneficial. It may be necessary to establish formal agreements with applicable participatory public and private entities to achieve the Purpose (Section III) of this Agreement. At the County's request, NDEP will actively participate in the coordination and establishment of such agreements.

C. In lieu of issuing a regulatory permit to achieve the goals established for the Lake Tahoe TMDL, NDEP will oversee implementation of the Lake Tahoe TMDL within the State of Nevada via this Agreement while it remains in effect. NDEP will continuously improve and adaptively manage, in a transparent and inclusive manner, programs, policies, and protocols necessary to track, report, evaluate, and demonstrate incremental progress towards achieving the goals established by the TMDL.

II. BACKGROUND

A. The Federal Clean Water Act requires states to adopt standards to protect beneficial uses designated for waterbodies and to monitor and assess these waters for impairment. Assessment of Lake Tahoe monitoring data prompted its initial listing on Nevada's 2002 List of Impaired Waterbodies for non-attainment of the clarity standard and impairment of the Water of Extraordinary Aesthetic or Ecologic Value beneficial use designation.

B. Non-attainment of water quality standards requires the development of restoration plans called Total Maximum Daily Loads under the federal Clean Water Act. The Nevada Division of Environmental Protection (NDEP) collaborated with the California Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board (Lahontan Water Board) for more than a decade to develop the Lake Tahoe TMDL to address Lake Tahoe's degraded clarity. The USEPA approved NDEP's TMDL on August 16, 2011.

C. The overarching goal of the TMDL is to return Lake Tahoe to its historic annual average deepwater clarity of 97.4 feet (Numeric Target). The TMDL also established an interim goal termed the "Clarity Challenge" that, when achieved, is anticipated to indicate reversal of the historic declining clarity trend. It is expected that achieving the 15-year

pollutant load reduction milestone in 2026, will result in an annual average clarity of 78 feet as measured over the period from 2026-2031.

D. The TMDL identified fine sediment particles (FSP), total phosphorus (TP) and total nitrogen (TN) as the pollutants of concern for deepwater clarity. Each controls the distance that light is able to penetrate into the water column. However, the light scattering effect of FSP less than sixteen micrometers in diameter (<16 µm) was determined to exhibit a greater influence on clarity.

E. The TMDL analysis indicated that achieving the TMDL goal is possible with substantial pollutant load reductions from the urban stormwater source category. Stormwater runoff from urban land uses is the largest loading source of FSP and phosphorus to the Lake and also the greatest opportunity to reduce loadings of these pollutants. Broader application of conventional urban stormwater treatment will be beneficial; however, implementation of innovative and advanced pollutant controls are likely necessary to meet TMDL goals. Examples include: alternatives to roadway abrasives applications, advanced roadway sweeping practices and equipment, and enhanced stormwater treatment using biological or chemical processes.

F. The TMDL establishes five-year pollutant load reduction milestones for the urban stormwater source category as indicated in Table 1, assuming that global climate change, catastrophic events, economic factors, and/or other unavoidable constraints do not adversely affect progress.

Table 1. (Top) Urban stormwater pollutant load reduction milestone schedule established by the Lake Tahoe TMDL. Shading represents the timeframe under this agreement. MS = milestone; Year = water year in which milestone ends (September 30 of indicated year); FSP = Fine Sediment Particles; TP = Total Phosphorous; TN = Total Nitrogen. Percent reductions are from jurisdiction baseline values shown in Table 2.

Five-year Pollutant Load Reduction Milestone Schedule													
MS	5 yr	10 yr	**15 yr**	20 yr	25 yr	30 yr	35 yr	40 yr	45 yr	50 yr	55 yr	60 yr	65 yr
Year	2016	2021	**2026**	2031	2036	2041	2046	2051	2056	2061	2066	2071	2076
FSP	10%	21%	**34%**	38%	41%	45%	48%	52%	55%	59%	62%	66%	71%
TP	7%	14%	**21%**	23%	26%	28%	31%	33%	36%	38%	41%	44%	46%
TN	8%	14%	**19%**	22%	25%	28%	31%	34%	37%	40%	43%	46%	50%

Table 2. Douglas County baseline pollutant loads provided in WY 2020 Stormwater Program Annual Report.

Pollutant	FSP	TP	TN
Douglas County baseline load (#/yr)	98,688	410	1586

G. The Lake Clarity Crediting Program (LCCP) was developed jointly by NDEP and Lahontan Water Board to define standardized protocols for the comprehensive and consistent quantification, tracking and reporting of load reduction actions taken by local governments and state transportation agencies. The program incentivizes Urban Implementing Partners to implement priority controls to meet load reduction targets and provides accountability for the expenditures of public funds on such actions.

III. PURPOSE

The purpose of this Agreement is to formally establish a commitment by each signatory Party to make a collective effort to restore and protect Lake Tahoe's clarity. In identifying the actions and responsibilities of each Party, this Agreement provides the framework for the successful implementation of the Lake Tahoe TMDL, and the attainment of the goals set forth therein, on a feasible schedule. Inherent in the use of this agreement-based approach is the acknowledgement that implementation success is, in part, dependent upon the establishment of a process which cultivates collaboration and cooperation between the County and NDEP.

This Agreement outlines goals, commitments, and actions which the Parties agree to pursue in good faith. The Parties understand and agree that, based on all relevant facts and circumstances, if the cooperative approach on which this Agreement is based is unsuccessful in achieving the intended outcomes, NDEP may at any time re-evaluate whether a more regulatory approach to achieving TMDL implementation is warranted.

IV. COMMITMENTS & ACTIONS

The Parties hereby commit to implement the following actions, and abide by the following conditions:

A. Pollutant Controls

1. The County will prepare and maintain a Stormwater Load Reduction Plan (SLRP) that specifies the priority list of pollutant control actions and projects the County has registered, and anticipates registering, through the LCCP (Section IV.B) to meet the credit milestones and targets contained in Table 2. The Parties acknowledge that planning beyond the term of the agreement is needed to ensure future load reduction targets will be met. The SLRP shall be maintained as a five-year schedule that is updated and reported as a component of the Annual Stormwater Program Report (Section IV.D).

2. The County will implement, operate, inspect, and maintain the pollutant controls identified in the SLRP according to the schedule indicated. The County will oversee and coordinate financing for all aspects of pollutant control implementation including planning and design, construction, and activities related to LCCP participation. The County, as an implementing entity, will pursue self-funded and external funding sources to implement the SLRP. The County acknowledges and accepts the responsibility to fund operations and maintenance of the pollutant controls implemented.

3. The Parties acknowledge the implementation of pollutant controls is contingent upon available funding. Should funding allocations be insufficient to implement pollutant

controls according to indicated schedule, the County and NDEP will work together in an attempt to identify and implement a mutually acceptable solution.

B. Lake Clarity Crediting Program (LCCP)

1. The County will participate in the LCCP. The County will register and verify pollutant controls in accordance with the protocols specified in the current LCCP Handbook version. Improvements to LCCP protocols or tools will not require adjustments to load reduction estimates or credit schedules of registrations in effect at the time such programmatic changes are made.

2. NDEP will administer the LCCP in accordance with the LCCP Handbook. County implementation progress will be measured, tracked and assessed in accordance with the protocols contained in the LCCP Handbook. Credits will be awarded to the County for the continued implementation and registration of ongoing, effective pollutant controls that reduce pollutant loads to Lake Tahoe.

3. The County will strive to achieve the five-year credit milestones (milestones) and intermediate annual credit targets (targets) established in Table 2. Attainment of the five-year credit milestones will demonstrate accomplishment of the FSP load reduction milestones established by the TMDL and should result in commensurate nutrient load reductions shown in Table 3.

   a. Annual credit targets established for intermediate years are guidelines used for the purpose of demonstrating incremental progress toward attaining five-year milestones and will not be used to determine compliance with this agreement on an annual basis.

Table 3. Five-year credit milestones (bold) and intermediate annual credit targets and corresponding fine sediment particle and nutrient load reductions. The 2021 credit milestone has been carried over from the 2016-2021 Interlocal Agreement. Credit targets and milestones 2022 through 2026 are based on updated FSP baseline load estimate shown in Table 2.

Five-year Milestones & Annual Intermediary Targets						
Water Year	**2021**	2022	2023	2024	2025	**2026**
Credits	**101**	101	101	135	135	**167**
FSP	**21%**	21%	21%	27.5%	27.5%	**34%**
TP	**14%**	14%	14%	17.5%	17.5%	**21%**
TN	**14%**	14%	14%	16.5%	16.5%	**19%**

   b. The County may propose an alternate schedule of intermediate credit targets that are better aligned with planned implementation activities and include updates to the intervening goals in the Stormwater Program Annual Report. In general, the parties agree that there is substantial flexibility in the Annual Intermediary Targets provided that the five-year milestones are met as scheduled.

4. The County will assess the condition of registered pollutant controls in accordance with the LCCP Handbook. The County, or a qualified third party conducting the condition assessment observations on its behalf, will coordinate with NDEP at least one week prior to any field assessments and invite NDEP to participate. If NDEP staff are unavailable, assessments may be completed without their presence. When joint inspections are performed, the County and NDEP will attempt to agree on the measurements to be recorded. The Parties retain the option to record different results if agreement on observations cannot be reached.

5. NDEP, jointly with Lahontan Water Board, will manage the LCCP adaptively through the TMDL Management System, a transparent and inclusive program improvement process. Any modifications or alterations to LCCP tools and/or protocols will be accomplished in accordance with the procedures described in the TMDL Management System Handbook.

C. Stormwater Monitoring

1. The County will implement, either individually or collaboratively, a stormwater monitoring program. At the time of execution of this agreement, Nevada and California Urban Implementing Partners are involved in a collaborative effort to carry out the Implementers Monitoring Program (IMP). Continued implementation of the approved IMP over the term of this agreement (Section V) shall fulfill the County's commitment.

2. The County or its authorized representative will develop and submit an annual electronic report to NDEP for approval that presents, summarizes, and interprets the results of the data collected during the previous water year (October 1 – September 30). The monitoring report is due on March 30 each year.

3. Within 30 days of receipt, NDEP will provide written notification of acceptance or refusal of the monitoring report. If refused, NDEP will provide a list of items to be resolved for the monitoring report to gain acceptance. The County or its authorized representative will address comments within 30 days and resubmit the monitoring report for NDEP acceptance. NDEP will work with the County and/or its authorized representative to resolve any remaining unsatisfactorily addressed comments within a timeframe agreed upon by the Parties.

4. The County, or its authorized representative, may submit proposed adjustments to the approved IMP. NDEP will consider and, within 30 days of receipt, provide written notification of acceptance or refusal of the proposal. NDEP will work with the County toward a mutually agreeable resolution of the issue prompting the proposed adjustment.

5. The Parties acknowledge the scale of the stormwater monitoring program is contingent upon available funding and budget allocations as determined by the governing boards of the Nevada and California Urban Implementing Partners. Should funding allocations become insufficient to implement the approved monitoring plan, an evaluation will be performed to identify where efficiencies may be gained and how the monitoring plan

may be scaled to better align with the available level of funding while retaining a minimum level of scientific creditability.

D. Stormwater Program Annual Report

1. Each year by March 15, the County will submit to NDEP for acceptance an annual report summarizing the County's stormwater program progress, activities, and accomplishments during the previous water year (October 1-September 30). The report shall also document upcoming and planned actions and projects the County anticipates registering (a) to meet the annual credit targets and five-year milestones identified in Table 3; and (b) over a five-year planning horizon to meet the anticipated annual credit targets and milestone for the 2027-2031 period (Table 4).

Table 4. Anticipated credit targets and milestone for the 2027-2031 period to be used for the five-year planning horizon in the fiscal analysis.

2027-2031 Anticipated Annual Targets & Five-Year Milestone					
Water Year	2027	2028	2029	2030	**2031**
Credits	167	167	177	177	**187**
FSP load reduction	34%	34%	36%	36%	**38%**

2. The report will include the following information:

   a. Accomplishments Summary – the report shall summarize annual progress towards meeting Table 2 credit targets/milestones, including registered catchments and associated credit declarations. If progress is insufficient to meet any credit milestone, an explanation of causes or conditions for the shortfall shall be provided, as well as any modifications to the approach that will ensure the next five-year milestone is met.

   b. Stormwater Load Reduction Plan (SLRP) – the report will specify the priority list of pollutant controls the County anticipates registering through the Crediting Program over a five-year planning horizon to meet Table 4 anticipated credit targets. Specific content shall include, but is not necessarily limited to the following information:

      i. A description, geographic location information and timeline of the pollutant controls to be implemented;

      ii. The estimated load reduction/credit potential associated with implementation of the pollutant controls;

      iii. Any proposal to update the intermediate credit target schedule that better aligns with planned implementation activities.

c. Fiscal Analysis – an estimate of the costs to administer the County's Tahoe stormwater program and that addresses how pollutant controls are proposed to be implemented, operated, and maintained.

   i. Budget – an estimate of the total and annualized expenditures necessary to operate and maintain implemented and registered pollutant controls, as well as to design, construct, implement, operate, register, assess, and maintain pollutant controls contained in the five-year SLRP.

   ii. Finance Plan – identifies and describes anticipated and/or targeted funding sources and/or finance mechanisms to cover the costs associated with the budget estimate. The plan shall identify where financing is inadequate to cover the estimated budget, as well as a discussion of any financing mechanisms that may be explored to allay an identified finance gap.

d. Baseline discrepancies – the report will provide a tabular summary of registered catchments that tracks and reports the differences in fine sediment particle loading results between the catchment-specific baseline loading estimate performed for the jurisdictional baseline load analysis and baseline scenario conducted for the purposes of registration. This information will be used to update the schedule of annual credit targets and five-year milestones contained in the 2027-2031 Interlocal Agreement.

3. Within 30 days of receipt, NDEP will provide written notification of acceptance or refusal of the Stormwater Program Annual Report. If refused, NDEP will provide a list of items to be resolved for the report to gain acceptance. The County may address comments and resubmit the report within 30 days. NDEP will work with the County to resolve any remaining unsatisfactorily addressed comments within a timeframe agreed upon by the Parties. If the Parties are unable to arrive at a mutually agreeable Annual Report, then either Party may terminate this agreement upon 90 days written notice to the other.

V. TERM & UPDATE

The term of this Agreement shall terminate on September 30, 2026. As the anticipated timeframe to achieve the TMDL numeric target is the year 2076, if the Parties fail to approve and execute a renewal of this Agreement, with or without any amendments prior to the termination date, then the Parties agree to use best efforts to comply with the terms and conditions of this Agreement until a subsequent agreement is approved and executed by the Parties. If the Parties fail to approve and execute a subsequent agreement, with or without any amendments prior to the expiration date, then the Parties agree to adhere to the terms and conditions of this Agreement until a subsequent agreement is approved and executed by the Parties. If after 6 months of the termination date the agreement has not been renewed, NDEP may pursue issuance of a stormwater permit.

VI. MODIFICATION

At any point during this term, the Agreement may be modified with the consent in writing of all signatory Parties. Modifications to the Agreement will not result in a change to, or extension of, the initial term (Section V).

VII. EVALUATION & CONTINGENCY

1. NDEP will evaluate the performance of the County and make a determination of whether the commitments set forth in this Agreement are in good faith being met, or whether there exist other causes preventing performance. Factors that will be considered in the evaluation of performance and/or the need to act on a contingency include but are not limited to: attainment of five-year credit milestones; the degree to which a target is not met; the County's good faith attempt to perform any commitments; changes or modifications to the LCCP Handbook and/or process that significantly affect the County's planning or implementation ability; economic/budget constraints, feasibility or availability of funding sources, or other impediments; and past performance.

2. If the non-compliance or non-cooperation of a General Improvement District (GID) or other third party (which may include without limitation a GID's failure to perform maintenance or refusal to compensate the County for performing maintenance on its behalf) is identified as a cause preventing the County's performance under this Agreement, then NDEP will assist the County in obtaining the GID's compliance. Should the GID continue to refuse to cooperate, then this agreement will be either (1) renegotiated to remove any compliance targets incorporating the roadways, stormwater, or other facilities within the jurisdiction of the GID,[1] or (2) terminated upon 30 days written notice by either party.

3. If NDEP determines the County has failed to perform its commitments under this Agreement and such failed performance has not been caused by the regulatory action of NDEP itself or by the actions or inactions of another party, NDEP will consider and evaluate the need to implement a more regulatory approach, including but not limited to issuance of a permit. In no event will such failed performance result in liability, loss or penalty other than NDEP's regulation through issuance of a stormwater permit.

4. If lack of available funding or insufficient budget allocations are identified as a primary factor limiting the County's performance or causing the failure of performance and the attainment of credit targets or any other commitment under this Agreement, NDEP may consider extending the implementation timeframe through modification to the load reduction milestone schedule.

---

[1] By way of example, the Parties note that Kingsbury Grade General Improvement District has jurisdiction over 22 miles of roadway. Any FSP load (and associated reduction targets) attributed to those miles under this agreement may be separated out.

5. NDEP will annually evaluate the effectiveness of this Agreement. If the Agreement is determined to be ineffective at achieving its intended purpose, NDEP will consult with the County to determine the reasons for its ineffectiveness and develop recommendations for subsequent revisions to this Agreement.

VIII. TERMINATION

If any Party fails without adequate cause, excuse or justification to abide by any material term of this Agreement, the non-violating Party may give the violating Party a 30-day written notice to cure such failure. Failure to cure shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. If the County is the breaching party, NDEP may then give notice of termination of this Agreement and pursue issuance of a stormwater permit.

IX. FUNDING OUT

Notwithstanding any other provision herein, as required by NRS 244.320 and NRS 354.626, the Parties acknowledge that the participation of the County in this Agreement is contingent upon the appropriation of public funds to support the commitments and activities described herein and that the Agreement will terminate if the appropriation of funds does not occur. In this event, immediate written notice of termination will be given and this Agreement shall terminate without penalty, expense or sanction to the County asserting the failure to appropriate public funds necessary to perform under this Agreement. If the Agreement terminates because of the County's failure to appropriate funds or its failure to obtain available funding resources necessary to perform the obligations under this Agreement, NDEP may then pursue issuance of a stormwater permit.

X. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

1. The Parties agree to work together in good faith to address and resolve any issues or dispute.

2. The LCCP Handbook contains the communication protocols to resolve disputes that may arise between NDEP and the County.

3. If an issue arises that is not related to the processes described in the LCCP Handbook, it will be handled by progressive elevation within each respective Party's management structure.

4. The NDEP Administrator is NDEP's final decision-making authority for any dispute.

XI. SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this Agreement or any provision of any document incorporated by reference shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect the other provisions of this Agreement which can be given effect without the invalid provision, if such remainder conforms to the requirements of applicable law and the fundamental purpose of the Agreement, and to that end the provisions of this Agreement are declared to be severable.

XII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

1. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to restrict the authority of any Party to act as provided by law, statute, or regulation.

2. This Agreement is not intended to, and does not create any right, benefit, or trust responsibility by any party against the Parties to this Agreement, their respective agencies, officers, or any person.

3. This Agreement is an internal agreement between the Parties and does not confer any right or benefit on any third person or party, private or public.

XIII. LIMITATIONS

Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to require actions by the Parties which are inconsistent with local, State, or Federal laws and regulations or any court order.

XIV. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

The Parties may execute this Agreement in counterparts, each of which is deemed an original and all of which constitute only one agreement.

XV. ALL WRITINGS CONTAINED HEREIN

This Agreement contains all the terms and conditions agreed upon by the Parties. No other understandings, oral or otherwise, regarding the subject matter of the Agreement shall be deemed to exist or to bind the Parties hereto.

XVI. SIGNATORIES

Each undersigned representative to this Agreement certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party whom he or she represents to enter into the terms and conditions of this Agreement and to execute and legally bind such Party to this document.

DOUGLAS COUNTY REPRESENTATIVE

X Patrick Cates, County Manager
Name (Printed)

X _____
Signature

X 11/19/21
Date

NEVADA DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION REPRESENTATIVE

X Greg Lovato, Administrator
Name (Printed)

X _____
Signature

X 11/3/21
Date

AGENDA ITEM NO. 12.3

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

TITLE:
For presentation only. Presentation to update the Board of County Commissioners on Douglas County Community Development's Oracle Permitting and Licensing (OPAL) software. (Tom Dallaire, Community Development Director & Andrea Pawling, Deputy Community Development Director)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
For presentation only.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
Unknown at this time. As with all software programs the County uses, staff anticipate more consultation efforts, maintenance, and improvements, which will be part of the Community Development annual budget.

BACKGROUND:
For several years, the Douglas County Community Development Department has relied on a fragmented suite of software—including Accela (permitting), DigEplan (plan review), CitizenServe (code enforcement), and the CityGov App (building inspection)—supplemented by third-party programming contracts. Staff were concerned about escalating costs for these various software programs and believed there might be a more cost-effective solution available to the County. To improve operational efficiency and better serve the County's citizens and customers, the Department recognized the need to transition to a more modernized, unified solution.

After facilitating multiple demonstrations and evaluating four alternatives—OpenGov, iWorx, an independent Accela instance (separating from the ONENV Group), and Oracle—staff selected the Oracle Permitting and Licensing Software (OPAL). Both the East Fork and Tahoe Douglas Fire Districts fully support this transition, which also includes adopting Bluebeam for plan review.

This upgrade provided substantial financial benefits. Oracle’s proposed five-year cost of $395,892.33 will save the County over $300,000 compared to Accela’s projected rate increases. Furthermore,

consolidating into a single system eliminates the need for previous ancillary software, maintenance, and third-party services, ensuring compounding long-term savings for Douglas County.

A system migration of this scale involves a natural learning curve and transitional hurdles, and the shift to OPAL has been no exception. Staff are working closely alongside the Oracle and GovPath teams to troubleshoot issues, stabilize the platform, and implement ongoing improvements. While no software is perfect out-of-the-box, staff remain deeply committed to refining this system until it delivers a seamless, cost-effectivel, user-friendly experience for everyone.

The County has reached the six-month mark in its use of OPAL and would like to update the public and County Commissioners on the project.

ATTACHMENTS:
Oracle Permitting and Licensing Summary.pdf
BOCC OPAL Update (10.15.26)- FINAL.pdf

Oracle Permitting and Licensing (OPAL) Post-Go-Live Operational Summary

Period Covered: March 23, 2026 – September 30, 2026

Target Audience: Department Leadership & County Administration

Overview & Post-Launch Context

Following the deployment of Oracle Permitting and Licensing (OPAL) on March 23, 2026, the department transitioned off legacy systems to streamline municipal land development processes. Initial post-launch operations revealed significant technical friction points, public navigation challenges, and workflow gaps—particularly following early quarterly system updates.

Launch Day was impacted immediately when Oracle initialized operations in the Test environment rather than the live Production account, setting off a cascade of initial administrative friction. Furthermore, widespread data issues with converted legacy records required staff to manually touch and reconfigure each record post-launch to fix broken workflows, fees, and inspection settings. In response to direct feedback from staff, public customers, and key stakeholders, targeted system enhancements, payment controls, and staff training programs were implemented to stabilize performance and enhance user experience.

Core Improvements & Operational Fixes

- **Day-One System Setup & Access Issues**
    - **Incorrect Account Provisioning:** On the initial Go-Live day, Oracle launched the system pointing to the Test environment instead of the live Production environment, requiring immediate coordination to point staff to the correct live system.

- **Converted Record Remediation & Cleanup**
    - **Manual Record Corrections:** Migration errors across all converted legacy records required staff to manually review and touch every record individually at Go-Live to reconfigure disrupted workflows, rectify fee structures, and align associated inspection tasks.
- **Inspection Module Reconfiguration & Vendor Engagement**
    - **Inspection Module Deficiencies:** The inspection module presented major operational hurdles post-launch, requiring extensive internal reconfiguration by our team.
    - **Direct Oracle Escalations:** Maintained continuous vendor communication and logged high-priority tickets with Oracle to push critical fixes, ensuring functionality met the operational needs of both staff and external customers.
- **Technical Workflow Stabilization & Vendor Escalation**
    - **Post-Go-Live Support Summit:** Conducted comprehensive workflow reviews across both Building and Planning modules to resolve post-launch operational bottlenecks.
    - **System Bug & Regression Management:** Logged dedicated Jira tracking issues with the GovPath consultant team to resolve functional navigation friction and usability regressions stemming from vendor quarterly updates.
- **Administrative Controls**
    - **Fee & Payment Integration:** Configured mandatory payment and fees within the portal to eliminate missed fees during application submittals.
    - **Holds & Conditions Framework:** Implemented automated holds and conditions to prevent permit issuances prior to completing prerequisite departmental approvals.
- **Public & Customer Support Enhancements**
    - **Troubleshooting Resources:** Authored and distributed dedicated troubleshooting and training guides designed specifically for public users to navigate account creation, submittal steps, and portal inquiries. These guides are shared via email, at the public counter, and on the Community Development website.
    - **Direct Stakeholder Outreach:** Established proactive communication channels with frequent portal users, developers, and applicants reporting system errors to resolve individual account issues directly. Staff frequently host training sessions, virtual/in-person meetings, and direct phone and email assistance.

- **Staff Capacity & Counter Operations**

    - **Front-Counter Training:** Provided targeted hands-on training for counter staff to improve live customer assistance, speed up application intake, and decrease wait times.

    - **Internal Process Documentation:** Refined internal workflow documentation and job aids to ensure consistent application processing across planning, engineering and building teams.

Next Steps & Continuous Improvement

- Continue monitoring quarterly vendor releases in sandbox environments prior to production rollout to prevent usability regressions.

- Gather ongoing public feedback via targeted user surveys to refine public portal navigation and self-service features.

- Continue to work internally with staff and team to enhance processes and workflows.

- Continue to work with Oracle & GovPath to improve OPAL software to meet our community's needs.

Ongoing Oracle Outreach

- Ongoing executive-level escalation with Oracle leadership to secure technical remediation, pursue financial credit/refunds for non-functional modules, and resolve core software deficiencies. Oracle executive leadership are in the process of approving a $30,000 service credit in recognition of ongoing software fixes and system remediations within OPAL.

- Sustained administrative outreach with Oracle executive leadership focused on resolving critical system defects, obtaining financial adjustments for non-delivered functionality, and establishing long-term software solutions.



BOCC Oracle Permitting & Licensing (OPAL) Update- 10.15.2026

Presented By:

Douglas County Community Development Department

Welcome & Introductions

Staff & Consultants~

- Tom Dallaire~ Director of Community Development
- Andrea Pawling~ Deputy Director of Community Development
- John Sasson~ Oracle, Vice President, Regulated Industries

Suggested Action & Update

- For presentation only. Update the Board of County Commissioners on Douglas County Community Development's Oracle Permitting and Licensing (OPAL) software.
  - OPAL Permitting & Licensing has been live for 6 months.
  - OPAL Code Enforcement Module has been live for a little over one year.

What is OPAL?

- **OPAL** stands for **Oracle Permitting and Licensing**, a cloud-based software platform designed for state and local government agencies to manage community development, land use, and municipal regulatory operations.
- **Core Capabilities**
  - **Public Portal:** Provides self-service tools for residents, contractors, and business owners to submit permit applications, upload architectural plans, pay fees online, track review statuses, and request inspections.
  - **Building & Planning Workflows:** Automates internal review processes across multiple departments (e.g., planning, engineering, building, fire) to route application documents, log comments, and manage plan reviews.
  - **Code Enforcement & Licensing:** Tracks code violations, manages enforcement cases, schedules field inspections, and processes licenses or renewals.
  - **System Integration:** Consolidates land development data, mapping (GIS), financial payments, and municipal reporting into a single system, replacing legacy software like Accela.

Why transition to new permitting software?

Comprehensive, All-in-One Platform

Consolidate planning, zoning, permitting, inspections, licensing, and code enforcement into a single database. This breaks down data silos between departments and eliminates the need to juggle multiple third-party apps.

Modernized Public Portal

Offers a robust self-service portal that allows contractors, business owners, and residents to submit digital plans, pay fees, and track the status of their permits 24/7 without needing to visit a public counter or call staff.

Strong Automation

The system automates repetitive administrative tasks. It can automatically calculate complex fee structures, route applications to the correct departments, issue expiration alerts, and schedule inspections.

The Cons of OPAL…

- **Mandatory & Frequent Updates:** Disrupts productivity & staff intensive
- **Converted Records:** Not a smooth transition
- **Complex Configuration:** Configuring the software to match our County required expensive third-party consultants and compromised how the department operates.
- **Inspector, Licensing & Code Enforcement Modules:** Work in progress. Not user-friendly.
- **Corrections Report:** No smooth way to import Bluebeam comments/corrections into one detailed report in OPAL. Internal Process developed.
- **Oracle Support System:** More AI responses rather than talking to a live person.
- **Vendor Support Bottlenecks:** Because Oracle is a massive global corporation, getting rapid, highly personalized customer support for local-government-specific configuration issues can sometimes be frustrating.
- **Workflow Issues:** Issues with initial set-up and now working through updating them.

The Pros of OPAL…

- ✓ **Unified Solution:** All-in-one platform. Staff are integrated into one permitting software.
- ✓ **GIS Mapping:** OPAL works with our County GIS mapping for viewing.
- ✓ **Fee & Payment Controls:** Mandatory payment of fees/no missed payments
- ✓ **Holds & Condition Controls:** Prevent Permit Issuance prior to completing corrections/conditions.
- ✓ **Staff Updates:** Internal staff can update OPAL without waiting on third party consultants.
- ✓ **Modernized Public Portal:** Self-Service Customer Portal 24/7.
- ✓ **Digital Submittals:** Customer Portal allows the public to work on their projects without coming in physically.
- ✓ **Enterprise-Grade Stability:** System is secure (Oracle Cloud Network)

Update on Feedback & Resolutions

Training Guides: Staff developed multiple Training Guides for Staff and Public Users to assist in user training.

Training Sessions: Staff offer, schedule, and facilitate several training sessions with frequent users.

Website & Easy Access Materials: Staff posted training materials, troubleshooting guides, & quick links on the County Website, at the Public Counter, via email, etc.

Update on Feedback & Resolutions (Continued)

OPAL Admin. Email: Staff set up a specific OPAL Admin Email Inbox for frequently asked questions, issues, errors, frustrations. This is checked multiple times a day throughout the day and responses are almost immediate.

Public Counter Support: Staff assist customers at the counter daily, M-F. On Demand Training Sessions at the Counter. Bring in your laptop and receive training.

Correction Reports: Internal processes needed to be created in order to implement a consistent procedure and standard for sharing Correction Reports to our customers.

Update on Feedback & Resolutions (Continued)

Weekly Internal OPAL Admin. Meetings: Staff meet weekly to discuss, collaborate and problem-solve OPAL concerns for both internal staff and our customers.

IT Collaboration: Comm. Dev. and IT Departments meeting more frequently and working collaboratively with OPAL Updates and Maintenance.

Consultants & Ongoing OPAL Support: Contracted with GovPath Consultants, communicate frequently, and continued collaboration and communication with Oracle Representatives.

Community Development Website & OPAL Updates

- OPAL Portal access:
  - Oracle Permitting and Licensing system
- Community Development Webpage:
  - https://www.douglascountynv.gov/government/departments/community-development
- Online Permitting, Planning, Licensing & Code Enforcement Information:
  - https://www.douglascountynv.gov/GOVERNMENT/departments/community-development/online_permitting
- OPAL Helpful Guides:
  - Create Public User Account
    Troubleshooting (OPAL)
    Searching for Pending submittals
    Public User Home Screen Overview
    Inspections Layout
    How to upload attachments after submittal
- OPAL Admin Email:
  - opaladmin@douglasnv.us

Oracle (OPAL) Future Enhancements~ John Sasson, Oracle

AI AGENTS

Plan review prescreen advisor
Inspection history summary
Permit health monitor
Reported code incident review assistant
Code enforcement incident workspace
Business Rules Framework: AI Agent Invocation Action
Ad hoc message communication generator

PERMITS, PLANNING, CODE ENFORCEMENT

Automated recurring inspection scheduling for Permits
Capture time-bound public comments for planning
Multiple versions of code enforcement final notice
Copy Plan Review Comments from Bluebeam to OPAL

LICENSING

Compare amendment or renewal to prior activity
Required attachments for license by activity type
Automated recurring inspection scheduling for Licenses

COMMON PLATFORM

Global fee attributes for fee changes

REDWOOD USER EXPERIENCE

Explore your city
Web form reply response page
Unified Worklists and All Tasks
Permit application intake automation and acceptance
Plan Review Agent
Plan Review image recognition and code comparison
Planning Staff Report Content Generator
Code case pre-inspection summary
Automatic code case creation via image upload
Application acceptance for licenses
Supervisor Agentic App for licenses
Agent for Report Content
Health dashboard monitoring agent
Display recommendation in workflow detail

PERMITS, PLANNING, CODE ENFORCEMENT

Staff assignment for permits
Plan review decisions by department configuration
Direct scheduling of pending inspections and rescheduling
Code incident timeline
Public Contractor Inquiry
Code Enforcement Refunds

LICENSING

Single renewal expiration

COMMON PLATFORM

OTBI dashboard for health metrics
Transaction details to payment gateways
APO Condition Import from GIS Layers

Next steps...

🔑 Key Objectives for 2026 & 2027

Public Engagement

- We are committed to maintaining a high level of transparency and engagement.
- **Survey Feedback:** Gather ongoing public feedback via targeted user surveys to refine public portal navigation and self-service features.
- **Integrate Community Feedback:** The project team will continually review and integrate feedback collected from surveys. Your input helps us refine the process and meet the community's needs.

- **Stay Informed:** All announcements, training guides, quick help links, etc. are located on the Community Development Website:
  - https://www.douglascountynv.gov/GOVERNMENT/departments/community-development/online_permitting

- **Continuous Improvement:**
  - Continue monitoring routine maintenance patches and releases. Coordination with Douglas County IT Department.
  - Continue monitoring quarterly vendor releases in test environments prior to production rollout to prevent usability regressions.
  - Continue to work with Consultants for development, programming and maintenance of the OPAL Permitting Platform to ensure a more user-friendly experience.
  - Continue collaboration on enhancements and improvements within the OPAL System.






¿ QUESTIONS ?








AGENDA ITEM NO. 12.4

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

TITLE:
For presentation only. Introduction of **Ordinance 2026-1673**, a Zoning Text Amendment amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, by revising section 20.664.125 to add an affordable housing exemption to the commercial floor area requirement applicable to multi-family housing that requires a mixed-use component. The proposed exemption does not apply to the towns of Gardnerville, Minden, or Genoa, where the respective Town Advisory Boards expressed a strong preference to maintain the existing mixed-use commercial requirements. First Reading. (Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Economic Vitality Manager - Interim Principal Planner)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Pursuant to NRS 244.100, the proposed ordinance is only required to be read by title to the Board of County Commissioners for introduction.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
Replacing potential retail or office spaces with residential units means the county foregoes localized sales tax revenue from future commercial tenants, trading it for the economic activity generated by new residents. Additionally, expanding affordable workforce housing helps local businesses retain employees, reducing recruitment costs and driving regional sales tax growth through increased consumer spending from a larger resident workforce.

BACKGROUND:
Douglas County has updated its development code to allow multi-family housing in all commercial zones, requiring these projects to include a commercial component for 25% of the project floor area. The code was revised in response to Assembly Bill 241, which was specifically designed to combat the nationwide workforce housing crisis by authorizing by-right residential developments on commercially zoned properties. While Douglas County officially adopted these rules under Ordinance 2025-1661 in February 2026, county staff quickly identified an abundance of vacant commercial properties, particularly in the Gardnerville Ranchos and Indian Hills communities, where forcing the development of new commercial space would only worsen vacancy rates.

To address this issue, staff proposes Ordinance 2026-1673, which creates an affordable housing exemption to eliminate the commercial floor area requirement in high-vacancy areas. The proposed exemption does not apply to the towns of Gardnerville, Minden, or Genoa, where the respective Town Advisory Boards expressed a strong preference to maintain the existing mixed-use commercial requirements (i.e., continuing to require projects to contain at least a 25% commercial component).

ATTACHMENTS:
Staff Report BoCC 1st Reading 10.15.26 Ord 2026-1673 & Ord 2026-1674 Affordable Housing.doc
Ordinance_2026-1673_-_ZTA_Affordable_Housing_Exemption_to_Mixed-Use_Requirements.pdf
Map Gardnerville Ranchos Community Area.pdf
Map Indian Hills Jacks Valley Communtiy Area.pdf

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
1594 Esmeralda Avenue, Minden, Nevada 89423

Tom Dallaire, P.E.
DIRECTOR

775-782-6201
FAX: 775-782-6297
website: www.douglascountynv.gov

Building Division
Engineering Division
Planning Division
Code Enforcement

MEMORANDUM

Date: October 15, 2026

To: Douglas County Board of County Commissioners

From: Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Principal Planner & Economic Vitality Manager

Subject: Ordinances 2026-1673 and **2026-1674**, both related to affordable housing.

Applicant: Douglas County/Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Economic Vitality Manager & Interim Principal Planner, Douglas County Community Development

Site Location: Ordinance 2026-1673: Related to the Gardnerville Ranchos Community Area and the Jacks Valley Indian Hills Community Area. Ordinance 2026-1674 is not site specific.

I. REQUEST

For presentation only: Introduction of **Ordinance 2026-1673**, a Zoning Text Amendment amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, by revising section 20.664.125 to add an affordable housing exemption to the commercial floor area requirement applicable to multi-family housing that requires a mixed-use component.

For presentation only: Introduction of **Ordinance 2026-1674**, a Zoning Text Amendment amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, by revising Chapter 20.440, adding definitions applicable to the Chapter, revising the conditions that apply to density bonus and affordable housing agreements, and modifying the findings required for approval of such agreements; and amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, Section 20.560.050, revising definitions to create consistency with Chapter 20.440.

II. RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to NRS 244.100, the proposed ordinances are only required to be read by title to the Board of County Commissioners for introduction.

III. BACKGROUND AND HISTORY

Across the nation, affordable workforce housing has become a critical issue, leaving counties and cities struggling to provide housing that supports local business operations and attraction. To address this crisis, the Nevada State Legislature approved Assembly Bill 241 (AB 241) in May 2025, modifying NRS Chapter 278 to require all local governing bodies to authorize by-right multi-family or mixed-use residential developments on commercially zoned properties. In compliance with this state mandate, Douglas County staff proposed allowing multi-family housing within the Neighborhood Commercial (NC), Office Commercial (OC), General Commercial (GC), and Tourist Commercial (TC) zoning districts. This effort culminated on January 13, 2026, when the Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval of Ordinance 2025-1661, which the Board of County Commissioners subsequently approved on February 19, 2026. This action updated the Douglas County Development Code (Title 20) to allow Multi-Family Residential (MFR) developments in all commercial zones, subject to Mixed-Use Commercial (MUC) design standards. Under these adopted standards, any MFR development proposed in GC, TC, NC, or OC districts is strictly required to include an approved commercial component.


Since the adoption of Ordinance 2025-1661, staff has reviewed potential project areas for implementation and identified an abundance of vacant commercial properties, particularly within the Gardnerville Ranchos and Indian Hills Community Plan areas. Requiring a commercial component in these specific locations appears to worsen the county's existing commercial vacancy issues. Staff determined that providing an exemption to the commercial component for AB 241-related projects would allow the County to address the workforce housing crisis while simultaneously preventing a rise in empty commercial buildings. Although staff presented AB 241 related items to the town boards of Gardnerville, Minden, and Genoa—where feedback from Gardnerville and Minden specifically, indicated that maintaining a commercial component remains critical to their Plans for Prosperity—the vacancy data remains a concern.


Consequently, staff is proposing Ordinance 2026-1673 and Ordnance 2026-1674 to amend Title 20 by revising section 20.664.125 and 20.440. Ordinance 2026-1673 adds an affordable housing exemption to the commercial floor area requirement applicable to multi-family housing. While the proposed ordinance does not apply to the towns of Gardnerville, Minden, or Genoa, staff believe it is imperative to monitor these vacancies. If commercial vacancies continue to increase, staff will revisit the issue with the town boards and managers to discuss potential commercial component exemptions or adjustments to allowed uses within those commercial zoning districts.

Regarding Ordinance 2026-1674, this amendment adds precise terminology applicable to Chapter 20.440. Defining these terms legally ensures clear interpretation for county staff, builders, and residents, eliminating ambiguity during the application process. It modifies the criteria and rules developers must meet to qualify for a "density bonus." A density bonus allows developers to build more housing units than normally permitted by standard zoning rules, typically in exchange for constructing a set percentage of below-market-rate housing.

IV. DISCUSSION AND EVALUATION

The following pages visually detail commercial vacancies within the Gardnerville Ranchos and Indian Hills/Jacks Valley Community Plan areas. This reveals at least 10 vacant properties in Gardnerville Ranchos, which is located at or near one intersection, Tillman and Kimmerling. In Indian Hills/Jacks Valley there are roughly 10 vacancies off Mica Drive and off Topsy.


Gardnerville Ranchos
Community Area
Vacant Commercial Space
VACANCIES
NNPM
775-783-6883
775-901-7447
BLIND DOG COFFEE ROASTERS
SPACE AVAILABLE
1000-3000 sq ft
(775) 742-0744
FOR LEASE
775.350.7503


Jacks Valley Indian Hills
Community Area
Vacant Commercial Space
SPACE AVAILABLE
Payless ShoeSource
FOR LEASING INFORMATION
Beverly REALTY INC
782-7143

Affordable Housing is defined as a dwelling where the occupant pays 30 percent or less of their gross monthly income for total housing costs, including utilities according to the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD). In addition, the Area Median Income (AMI) is defined as the midpoint of a specific geographic area's income distribution, where half of the households earn more than the median and half earn less. Douglas County utilizes HUD's published AMI for Douglas County. The applicant requesting an exemption for affordable housing will calculate maximum monthly rent for affordable housing units based on the following calculation. Staff are using as an example the AMI for a household of 4, which is $111,500.

Annual Income Limit: County AMI x % of AMI = $111,500 x 80% = $89,200
Maximum Annual Rent (30% Cap): Annual Income Limit x 30% =
$89,200 x 30% =$26,760 / yr.
Maximum Monthly Rent: Maximum Annual Rent ÷ 12 months/year =
$26,760 / Yr ÷ 12 months/year = $2,230 / month

In relation to value limits for selling affordable homeownership units, Douglas County is considered a higher end local market and HUD uses the 95 percent area median purchase price cap, so maximum limits are calculated using localized Federal Housing Administration and Federal Housing Finance Agency. This type of provision would be included in an Affordable Housing Agreement.

The Douglas County Master Plan identifies Workforce and Affordable Housing in the following sections:

3. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
The purpose of the Economic Development Element is to establish goals, policies, and actions that are focused on questions of prosperity, conservation, job creation, and quality of life in a manner that is consistent with the remaining objectives of the Master Plan and the values of Douglas County residents. This Element defines a future for the Douglas County economy that enhances the quality of the downtowns, identifies economic development strategies that complement existing business clusters, and preserves the natural resources that are fundamental to the past and future character of the community. In other words, "to create an economy that matches the scenery." The Economic Development Element discusses the County's revitalization strategy and is centered on five guiding principles and three focus areas.
Goal 1 - Foster a diverse regional economy that adapts to changing needs of the workforce and enables business development, retention, and expansion.

***4. GROWTH MANAGEMENT & HOUSING** (entire chapter is attached herein as Exhibit C)*
Goal 3 - Increase awareness of the affordable housing needs in Douglas County and increase the diversity of available homeownership opportunities.

Policy E14 - Grow, diversify, and promote educational opportunities aimed at attracting and developing a qualified and accessible labor pool in order to promote business retention, expansion, and attraction efforts.

***ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GUIDING PRINCIPLES** Douglas County's economic vitality strategy focuses on improving the economic wellbeing of a community through efforts that entail job creation, job retention, tax base enhancements, and quality of life improvements. These efforts rely on five guiding principles: 1) Improve business climate 2) Preserve the natural environment and improve infrastructure 3) Enhance education and workforce 4) Maintain exceptional quality of life 5) Attract business that are unique and marketable*

V. FINDINGS FOR A ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT, ***ORDINANCE 2026-1673***

20.610.050 When approving a zoning text or map amendment the planning commission and the board must make the following findings:

A. That the proposed amendment is consistent with the policies embodied in the adopted master plan and the underlying land use designation contained in the land use plan;

Applicant/Staff Response: As mentioned above, workforce and affordable housing are components identified in the Master Plan as goals to achieve and provide a diversity of available homeownership. This finding is satisfied because the proposed amendment directly implements and supports the core housing, growth management, and community economic goals established in the Master Plan. Specifically, the Master Plan outlines a critical community need to expand the availability of affordable, workforce, and attainable housing options to support a balanced economy and local workforce retention. By modernizing Title 20 to provide clear regulatory pathways for affordable housing, this Zoning Text Amendment (ZTA) functions as a direct mechanism to operationalize those high-level policies and provide diversity of available homeownership

B. That the proposed amendment will not be inconsistent with the adequate public facilities policies contained in this title;

Applicant/Staff Response: This finding is satisfied because the proposed amendment is a programmatic, a text change within certain community areas in the County and does not approve or initiate any physical construction, subdivision, or infrastructure development. Consequently, the amendment itself creates no immediate demand on public facilities, utilities, or services. All existing mechanisms enforcing adequate public facilities within Title 20 will remain fully intact and unaltered. Any future affordable housing projects utilizing the provisions established by this text amendment will be strictly required to demonstrate infrastructure capacity. The amendment is completely consistent with and preserves the integrity of the county's adequate public facilities policies.

C. That the proposed amendment is compatible with the actual and master planned use of the adjacent properties;

Applicant/Staff Response: This finding is satisfied because the proposed amendment is not related to a specific project. It does not propose any one project, change any land use designations, or amend the official zoning map for any individual parcel. In addition, because this is a broad, text-based policy change to facilitate affordable housing projects within the County, there are no adjacent properties or immediate site-specific geographic contexts to evaluate. Compatibility with existing actual uses and master-planned goals will be maintained continuously, as any future development utilizing these provisions will still be subject to underlying zoning district restrictions, site-specific design reviews, and the overarching goals of the Master Plan.

D. If the amendment is in a receiving area and changes land to any industrial, commercial, or residential district, or otherwise increases the density or intensity of use, that the amendment is being requested in the context of a specific plan or a planned development, and utilizes transfer development rights.

Applicant/Staff Response: This finding is satisfied as this ZTA does not associate with any given project. Any future affordable housing development seeking to utilize the provisions established by this text amendment within a designated Receiving Area will remain strictly bound by the County's existing project-level requirements. This includes executing site-specific project applications within the context of a Specific Plan or Planned Development, and utilizing the mandatory TDR framework required to achieve maximum density thresholds at the time of development review.

V. FINDINGS FOR A ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT, ***ORDINANCE 2026-1674***

20.610.050 When approving a zoning text or map amendment the planning commission and the board must make the following findings:

A. That the proposed amendment is consistent with the policies embodied in the adopted master plan and the underlying land use designation contained in the land use plan;

Applicant/Staff Response: The Master Plan identifies workforce and affordable housing as critical components required to achieve a diverse and available homeownership supply. This finding is satisfied because Ordinance 2026-1674 updates the development code to clarify and establish precise definitions related to affordable housing. Specifically, the Master Plan outlines an essential community need to expand affordable, workforce, and attainable housing options to support a balanced economy and local workforce retention. By codifying these clear definitions, this Zoning Text Amendment (ZTA) provides the statutory clarity needed to support the regulatory frameworks established in Ordinance 2026-1673, directly implementing the housing, growth management, and community economic goals of the Master Plan.

B. That the proposed amendment will not be inconsistent with the adequate public facilities policies contained in this title;

Applicant/Staff Response: This finding is satisfied because the proposed ZTA updating the development code with precise definitions for affordable housing is entirely procedural. It introduces language changes to Title 20 and does not approve, authorize, or initiate any physical construction, subdivision, or infrastructure development. Ordinance 2026-1674 creates no immediate demand on public facilities, utilities, or services. All existing mechanisms enforcing adequate public facilities within Title 20 remain fully intact and unaltered. Any future housing projects utilizing the definitions established by this text amendment will be strictly required to demonstrate infrastructure capacity during the standard project approval process. The amendment is completely consistent with and preserves the integrity of the county's adequate public facilities policies.

C. That the proposed amendment is compatible with the actual and master planned use of the adjacent properties;

Applicant/Staff Response: This finding is satisfied because the proposed amendment updating the development code with precise affordable housing definitions is again, procedural, and not tied to any specific project. Ordinance 2026-1674 does not propose any physical development, alter land use designations, or amend the official zoning map for any individual parcel. Because this is a broad, text-based modification to establish clear regulatory language within the County, there are no adjacent properties or site-specific geographic contexts to evaluate. Compatibility with existing uses and master-planned goals will be maintained continuously, as any future housing projects utilizing these definitions will remain strictly subject to underlying zoning district restrictions, site-specific design reviews, and the overarching goals of the Master Plan.

D. If the amendment is in a receiving area and changes land to any industrial, commercial, or residential district, or otherwise increases the density or intensity of use, that the amendment is being requested in the context of a specific plan or a planned development, and utilizes transfer development rights.

Applicant/Staff Response: As stated in previous findings, this finding is satisfied because this ZTA updates development code definitions without authorizing or associating with any specific development project. Any future affordable housing development seeking to utilize these text definitions within a designated Receiving Area remains strictly bound by the County's existing project-level review processes. This includes the mandatory requirement to execute site-specific project applications within the context of a Specific Plan or Planned Development. Furthermore, future developments must fully utilize the mandatory Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) framework to achieve maximum density thresholds at the time of formal development review.

VI. RECOMMENDATION & CONCLUSION

Pursuant to NRS 244.100, the proposed ordinances are only required to be read by title to the Board of County Commissioners for introduction.

ORDINANCE 2026-1673

SUMMARY

Ordinance 2026-1673, a Zoning Text Amendment amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, by revising section 20.664.125 to add an affordable housing exemption to the commercial floor area requirement applicable to multi-family housing that requires a mixed-use component.

TITLE

Ordinance 2026-1673, a Zoning Text Amendment amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, by revising section 20.664.125 to add an affordable housing exemption to the commercial floor area requirement applicable to multi-family housing that requires a mixed-use component.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on February 19, 2026, the Board passed Ordinance 2025-1661, which allowed mixed-use residential development in commercial zones, consistent with the requirements of Assembly Bill 241, which was passed by the Nevada State Legislature during the 2025 legislative session;

WHEREAS, one objective of Assembly Bill 241 was to promote affordable housing by allowing for multi-family and/or mixed-use developments in commercial zoning districts;

WHEREAS, in furtherance of that objective, the Board of County Commissioners ("Board") now desires to further amend County Code to promote the development of affordable housing projects in certain parts of Douglas County;

WHERAS, on October 15, 2026, the Board introduced this Ordinance 2026-1673;

WHEREAS, on November 5, 2026, the Board held a public hearing on this Ordinance 2026-1673 and determined that the findings for a zoning text amendment, as stated in Douglas County Code Chapter 20.610, had been met and that Ordinance 2026-1673 should therefore be adopted.

NOW THEREFORE, based on the foregoing considerations, the Board does ordain as follows:

SECTION I: Section 20.664.125 of the Douglas County Code is hereby amended as follows, with added text underlined and deletions ~~stricken through~~:

20.664.125. - Multi-family housing (mixed-use component).

The purpose of requiring a mixed-use component with certain multi-family housing projects is to create areas which integrate compatible commercial uses with medium density multi-family residential uses, provide for a better jobs-housing balance, conserve land resources, reduce commuter trips, and provide opportunities for more affordable housing, all through proper design.

Multi-family housing that requires a mixed-use component is subject to design review and shall be constructed in the following manner:

A. ~~Floor area ratios~~ Project floor area:

1. Between 25 percent and 50 percent of the total project floor area must be devoted to commercial uses, with 50 to 75 percent of the project floor area devoted to residential uses, except as otherwise provided in subsection 2; and~~.~~

2. For projects within the Gardnerville Ranchos Community Plan area and the Indian Hills/Jacks Valley Community Plan area, as much as 100 percent of the project floor area may be devoted to residential uses, so long as the project proposes affordable housing that complies with the procedures and requirements for a density bonus and affordable housing agreement set forth in chapter 20.440.

B.~~2.~~ Maximum residential density is 16 dwelling units per gross acre, unless increased by a density bonus and affordable housing agreement, as set forth in chapter 20.440.

C.~~3.~~ Maximum building height is 35 feet for horizontal design. Horizontal design includes residential uses and commercial uses each within separate buildings. Maximum building height for vertical design (minimum first floor retail/office) is 50 feet, except as noted below. If the project site exceeds 5 percent average slopes, the maximum height may be increased to 60 feet, except as noted below. If the height exceeds 35 feet, design features to reduce roof mass must be provided.

1.~~a.~~ Within the Town of Genoa, the maximum building height is 35 feet.

2.~~b.~~ Within the Towns of Minden and Gardnerville, the maximum building height is 45 feet.

D.~~4.~~ Design must be architecturally compatible with and enhance the surrounding neighborhood and must properly integrate the multi-family residential and commercial uses by creating a pedestrian-oriented mixed-use environment.

E.~~5.~~ Projects with 12 or more dwelling units must provide ten percent of the development area as useable open space for passive and active recreational uses. Useable open space areas shall not include rights-of-way, vehicle parking areas, areas adjacent to or between any structures less than 15 feet apart, or slope areas greater than eight percent. Exceptions to useable open space may be allowed when a project includes regional open space amenities such as a neighborhood or regional park, or provides enhanced pedestrian-oriented connections which connect to existing or planned regional open space uses.

F.~~6.~~ Projects with 50 or more units must provide transit loading/unloading areas that are convenient to the residents.

G.~~7.~~ Design must minimize visual impacts to the surrounding neighborhood.

H.~~8.~~ Integrated access, parking, pedestrian connections, and drainage must be provided.

I.~~9.~~ For structures exceeding 45 feet in height, setbacks from adjacent properties with existing single-family residential uses must be a minimum of 30 feet for all yards.

J.~~10.~~ Projects exceeding 45 feet in height may apply for density bonus units under an affordable housing agreement as provided under chapter 20.440.

K.~~11.~~ All projects must provide recreational amenities within the site which may include a swimming pool, spa, clubhouse, tot lot with play equipment, picnic shelter or barbecue area, court game facilities such as tennis, basketball, or racquetball, improved softball or baseball fields, or day care facilities. The type and number of amenities must be approved by the director and provided according to the following schedule:

Units	Number of Amenities
0-11	2
12-50	3
51-100	4
101-200	5
201-300	6

One amenity must be added for each 100 additional units or fraction thereof.

L.~~12.~~ Off-street parking for multi-family residential development with a mixed-use component is as follows, except where noted below:

1.~~a.~~ One parking space is required for each 250 square feet of commercial floor area.

2.~~b.~~ 1.5 parking spaces is required for each residential unit.

3.~~c.~~ Exceptions to both a. and b. above, are~~is~~ allowed when the project is within an established parking district.

M.~~13.~~ Each dwelling unit must be provided a minimum of 150 cubic feet of private enclosed storage space within the garage, carport, or immediately adjacent to the dwelling unit.

N.~~14.~~ Common laundry facilities of sufficient number and accessibility consistent with the number of living units and the current County Building Code must be provided.

O.~~15.~~ Where common laundry facilities are not provided, each dwelling unit must be designed for a washing machine and dryer.

//

//

//

Adopted this _____ day of _____, 2026, by the following vote:

Ares:	Commissioners	_____
		_____
		_____
		_____
		_____
Nays:	Commissioners	_____
		_____
Absent:	Commissioners	_____
		_____


_____
Mark Gardner, Chair
Douglas County Board of Commissioners

Attest:

_____
Amy Burgans, Douglas County Clerk-Treasurer

This ordinance is effect on the _____ day of _____, 2026.

ArcGIS Web Map



10/1/2026, 9:01:54 AM

1:72,224

0 0.5 1 2 mi

0 0.75 1.5 3 km

Town Boundary (Fill)
- GARDNERVILLE
- MINDEN
- Override 1

Community Area
Parcels Aerials

Street Centerlines
- Federal
- State


Primary Road
Other Roads

Douglas County Boundary
- STATE LINE

Created from the line file DCCL, EagleView, Earthstar Geographics, Created from the line file DC

ArcGIS Web AppBuild 878
EagleView, Earthstar Geographics | Copyright:© 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed | Office of the State Engineer, Nevada Division of Water Resources, Department of Conservation ar

ArcGIS Web Map



10/1/2026, 9:05:14 AM

1:72,224

0 0.5 1 2 mi

0 0.75 1.5 3 km

Override 1

Community Area

Parcels Aerials

Street Centerlines

Federal

Primary Road

Other Roads

Douglas County Boundary

COUNTY LINE

Created from the line file DCCL, EagleView, Earthstar Geographics, Created from the line file DC

EagleView, Earthstar Geographics | Copyright:© 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed | Office of the State Engineer, Nevada Division of Water Resources, Department of Conservation ar

AGENDA ITEM NO. 12.5

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

TITLE:
For presentation only. Introduction of **Ordinance 2026-1674**, a Zoning Text Amendment amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, by revising Chapter 20.440, adding definitions applicable to the Chapter, revising the conditions that apply to density bonus and affordable housing agreements, and modifying the findings required for approval of such agreements; and amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, Section 20.560.050, revising definitions to create consistency with Chapter 20.440. First Reading. (Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Economic Vitality Manager - Interim Principal Planner)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:

Pursuant to NRS 244.100, the proposed ordinance is only required to be read by title to the Board of County Commissioners for introduction.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
None

BACKGROUND:
This amendment revises Douglas County Code Chapter 20.440, related to density bonus and affordable housing agreements. It does six main things:

First, it adds definitions for terms used in chapter 20.440, making the chapter more understandable for county staff, builders, and residents, and eliminating ambiguity during the application process. The defined terms are then incorporated more accurately throughout the chapter.

Second, it modifies the criteria and rules developers must meet to qualify for a density bonus. Density bonuses allow developers to build more housing units than are normally permitted by standard zoning rules if they agree to construct a set percentage of below-market-rate housing, which is reserved for people making less than 80% of the county's median income. In 2001, the code was amended to allow

for density bonuses for projects that sell houses to household making up to 110% of the county's median income. This provision was only used for one development, and it was not entirely effective at providing affordable housing. That amendment would be removed by passing this ordinance.

Third, it requires all affordable housing agreements to last for a minimum of 30 years. Prior versions allowed projects involving the sale of individual dwelling units to last only 15 years, which was also deemed ineffective at providing affordable housing.

Fourth, it updates wording throughout and removes language that is no longer required by any state law, such as a requirement that affordable housing agreements should only be approved if they provide housing "for persons with special needs." This change was also specifically recommended in the 2020 Master Plan.

Fifth, it requires developers to provide the County with current lease agreements and/or recent purchase agreements, so that the County can better review and enforce the affordable housing agreements.

Sixth, it clarifies that the developer must abide by the terms of the affordable housing agreement and states that the County may pursue any available remedy, including those specified in the affordable housing agreement.

A joint staff report covering both Ordinance 2026-1674 and Ordinance 2026-1673 is included in the packet with Ordinance 2026-1673.

ATTACHMENTS:
Ordinance 2026-1674 - ZTA Amending Chapter 20.440 and Section 20.560.050.pdf

ORDINANCE 2026-1674

SUMMARY

Ordinance 2026-1674, a Zoning Text Amendment amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, Chapter 20.440, adding definitions applicable to the Chapter, revising the conditions that apply to density bonus and affordable housing agreements, and modifying the findings required for approval of such agreements; and amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, Section 20.560.050, revising definitions to create consistency with Chapter 20.440.

TITLE

Ordinance 2026-1674, a Zoning Text Amendment amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, Chapter 20.440, adding definitions applicable to the Chapter, revising the conditions that apply to density bonus and affordable housing agreements, and modifying the findings required for approval of such agreements; and amending Douglas County Code, Title 20, Section 20.560.050, revising definitions to create consistency with Chapter 20.440.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on February 19, 2026, the Board of County Commissioners ("Board") passed Ordinance 2025-1661, which allowed mixed-use residential development in commercial zones, consistent with the requirements of Assembly Bill 241, which was passed by the Nevada State Legislature during the 2025 legislative session;

WHEREAS, one objective of Assembly Bill 241 was to promote affordable housing by allowing for multi-family and/or mixed-use developments in commercial zoning districts;

WHEREAS, in furtherance of that objective, the Board now desires to further amend County Code to better define affordable housing, and to revise the conditions that apply to affordable housing agreements;

WHERAS, on October 15, 2026, the Board introduced this Ordinance 2026-1674;

WHEREAS, on November 5, 2026, the Board held a public hearing on this Ordinance 2026-1674 and determined that the findings for a zoning text amendment, as stated in Douglas County Code Chapter 20.610, had been met and that Ordinance 2026-1674 should therefore be adopted.

NOW THEREFORE, based on the foregoing considerations, the Board does ordain as follows:

SECTION I: Chapter 20.440 of the Douglas County Code is hereby amended as follows, with added text underlined and deletions ~~stricken through~~:

CHAPTER 20.440. - DENSITY BONUS AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING AGREEMENTS

20.440.010. – Purpose and definitions.

A. Purpose. This chapter provides procedures and requirements for the consideration of density bonus and affordable housing agreements for the purposes specified in and as authorized by NRS.

B. Definitions. The words and phrases in this chapter have the following meanings:

Affordable housing cost means, for an owner-occupied dwelling unit required to be reserved under Section 20.440.020(G), a total monthly housing payment — including principal, interest, property taxes, homeowner's insurance, mortgage insurance, homeowners' association dues (if any), and a utility allowance calculated as provided below — that does not exceed thirty (30) percent of the imputed monthly income of a household at the applicable percentage of median household income specified for that unit.

Affordable rent means, for a rental dwelling unit required to be reserved under Section 20.440.020(G), a monthly rent — inclusive of a utility allowance calculated as provided below — that does not exceed thirty (30) percent of the imputed monthly income of a household at the applicable percentage of median household income specified for that unit.

Density bonus means an increase in residential density from that otherwise allowable under the master plan and zoning regulations (the base density) in return for provision of housing offered at an affordable rent or affordable housing cost.

HUD means the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Median household income means the median household income for Douglas County, adjusted for household size in accordance with the methodology used by HUD, as most recently published by HUD for Douglas County.

Utility allowance means an estimate of monthly utility costs for a dwelling unit, calculated using the utility allowance schedule most recently published by HUD for Douglas County.

20.440.020. – General Provisions

All density bonus and affordable housing agreements filed with the county shall be in compliance with the following:

A. Only a qualified applicant may file an application. A qualified applicant is a person who has a legal or equitable interest in the real property which is the subject of the agreement, or an authorized agent of a person who has a legal or equitable interest. The director may require an applicant to submit a title report or other evidence satisfactory to the department to verify the applicant's interest in the real property and of the authority of the agent to act for the applicant.

B. Where a density bonus or affordable housing request does not involve an existing development, the application shall be filed concurrently with all other development applications.

C. The density bonus ~~or~~ and affordable housing agreement may only be requested for development projects consisting of ten or more dwelling units, prior to any density increase.

~~D. For the purposes of this chapter, a "density bonus" shall mean an increase in residential density from that otherwise allowable under the master plan (the base density) in return for provision of housing at affordable levels.~~

D.~~E.~~ When determining the number of units which are affordable, the density bonus shall not be included.

E.~~F.~~ When calculating base density or density bonus numbers, any fractional portion of a unit shall be rounded down.

F.~~G.~~ In accordance with the housing element of the master plan, density bonuses may be granted as follows:

1. A 25 percent density bonus for a project in which one of the following are provided:

a. At least 20 percent of the units ~~are affordable~~ are reserved for and offered at an affordable rent or affordable housing cost to households earning between 51 percent and 80 percent of the county's median household income; or

b. At least 15 percent of the units ~~are affordable~~ are reserved for and offered at an affordable rent or affordable housing cost to households earning up to 50.9 percent of median household income~~; or.~~

~~c. At least 20 percent of the units are single-family residences affordable for sale to households with a total household income of 110% or less of the median household income.~~

2. For any density bonus ~~or~~ and affordable housing agreement approved under the provisions of this chapter, the developer shall agree to ensure continued affordability of all ~~restricted income~~ density bonus units for no less than 30 years. ~~for rental projects and 15 years for projects involving the sale of individual dwelling units.~~

20.440.030. - Application procedures.

A. An application for a density bonus ~~or~~ and affordable housing agreement shall be made on a form provided for that purpose by the community development department, along with the required fee or deposit established by resolution.

B. The application shall be accompanied by the original draft density bonus ~~or~~ and affordable housing agreement and any other submittal materials listed on the application. The agreement shall be in the county approved form and may include the following provisions as well as any other deemed necessary by the county during review of specific proposals:

1. The terms and conditions of the density bonus and affordable housing agreement shall run with the land~~, which is~~ to be developed, shall be binding upon any or all successors in interest of the developer, and shall be recorded in the office of the county recorder, prior to issuance of any building permits for the project;

2. The developer shall give the county the continuing right-of-first-refusal to purchase or lease any or all of the designated units at the fair market value;

3. The deeds to the designated units shall contain a covenant stating that the units shall not be sold, rented, leased, subleased, assigned, or otherwise transferred unless such transfer ~~developer and his or her successors in interest shall not sell, rent, lease, sublet, assign, or otherwise transfer any interest in the same without the written approval of the county confirming that the sales price of the units~~ is consistent with the limits established ~~for very low, low or moderate income households, which shall be related to the consumer price index~~ by this chapter and the density bonus and affordable housing agreement;

4. The county shall have the authority to enter into other agreements with the developer or purchasers of the dwelling units, as may be necessary to assure that the required dwelling units are continuously occupied by eligible households.

C. The community development department may require that the developer provide additional information necessary for the board to determine whether the density bonus

and affordable housing agreement is consistent with the objectives of the adopted master plan and any applicable specific plan. This may include, but is not limited to, a market feasibility or absorption study for the proposed project.

20.440.040. - Action by board.

A. Upon receiving a recommendation from the community development department on a proposed density bonus and affordable housing agreement, the board shall hold a public hearing. The hearing shall be set and notice given as prescribed in chapter 20.20. The hearing may be continued from time to time.

B. Following the closing of a public hearing, the board shall determine if the density bonus and affordable housing agreement is consistent with the findings contained within section 20.440.050. If determined to be consistent, the board shall introduce an ordinance adopting the density bonus and affordable housing agreement.

C. Following introduction, a second reading of the ordinance adopting the density bonus and affordable housing agreement shall be held and ~~based on the testimony provided at the hearing,~~ the ordinance shall be adopted, denied or continued.

20.440.050. - Required findings for approval.

Prior to taking an action to approve ~~or recommend approval of~~ a density bonus ~~or~~ and affordable housing agreement, the board shall find as follows:

A. The proposed agreement is consistent with the ~~maps and policies of the~~ master plan, including the growth management and housing element, and any applicable specific plan;

B. The proposed agreement complies with the requirements of NRS and this chapter;

~~C. The granting of the proposed agreement will result in provision of housing for persons with special needs, as identified in the county's affordable housing element;~~

C.~~D. Where a density bonus is proposed, that the granting of t~~The proposed density bonus will not have an adverse impact on adjacent properties or on the general public.

20.440.060. - Ongoing review.

The board shall review all approved density bonus ~~or~~ and affordable housing agreements at least once every 24 months to determine whether the applicant, or successor in interest thereto, is demonstrating good faith compliance with the terms of the agreement. This review process may require the submittal of an application form and materials as established by resolution. Upon request by the community development department or the board, the developer and/or his or her successor in interest shall provide the board with

copies of all current lease or rental agreements, as well as any recent purchase agreements.

20.440.070. - Amendments to approved density bonus and affordable housing agreements.

Any amendment to a previously-approved density bonus ~~or~~ and affordable housing agreement shall be reviewed pursuant to the procedures outlined in this chapter for a new application.

20.440.080. - Noncompliance. In the event of any failure by a developer or successor in interest to comply with the terms this chapter or the density bonus and affordable housing agreement, the county may pursue any remedy available at law or in equity, including any remedy provided by this code or the density bonus and affordable housing agreement.

SECTION II: Section 20.560.050(K) of the Douglas County Code is hereby amended as follows, with added text underlined and deletions ~~stricken through~~:

K. "Permanently affordable unit". A dwelling unit that is ~~deed restricted~~ reserved for and offered at an affordable rent or affordable housing cost, for a period of not less than 30 years~~, for lease~~ to households whose combined annual income from all sources is 80 percent or less than the County's median household income ~~or a dwelling unit that is deed restricted for not less than 15 years for individual sale to households whose combined annual income from all sources is 110 percent or less than the County's median income, or as otherwise defined by state law~~.

//

//

//

Adopted this _____ day of _____, 2026, by the following vote:

Ares:	Commissioners	_____
		_____
		_____
		_____
		_____
Nays:	Commissioners	_____
		_____
Absent:	Commissioners	_____
		_____

_____
Mark Gardner, Chair
Douglas County Board of Commissioners

Attest:

_____
Amy Burgans, Douglas County Clerk-Treasurer

This ordinance is effect on the _____ day of _____, 2026.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 12.6

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

TITLE:
For possible action. Presentation and discussion on the Transfer Development Rights (TDR) Study that commenced in September of 2023. Wood Rodgers and Douglas County staff will present findings from the study, the collaboration utilized during the study, and recommendations moving forward for the Douglas County TDR program. (Derek Kirkland, Wood Rodgers Inc. & Tom Dallaire, Community Development Department)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Recommend future pathway for the Douglas County TDR program based on the presentation, public comment, and Board discussion.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
None

BACKGROUND:
Back in 2022, Douglas County began discussing the need to evaluate and improve the current TDR program based on a comprehensive TDR study to determine their value and uses within Douglas County. The TDR program is intended to help empower the local government to influence the free market by ensuring future development occurs within city centers and supports development growth where infrastructure exists in order to preserve agriculture and open space throughout Douglas County. The TDR study covered the entire county and provides an evaluation of vacant areas within A-19, FR-19 & FR-40 zoning districts, makes recommendations on land areas that can still be conserved, as well as their acreage, identifies the benefit or deterrent of creating a sending area, determines if the North and South County areas need to be separated based on the hydrologic basins in which the land is located, and other specified deliverables.


Currently, the County TDR program is regulated by Douglas County Code (DCC), Title 20, 20.500. This study is relevant and important to determine the effectiveness of the existing program: whether the program needs more incentives in order to promote land conservation, such as a TDR impact fee

program; and most importantly, the ultimate value of TDRs in Douglas County. With the cost of land today, it is difficult for local agricultural landowners to see the value in selling TDRs versus land, based on the other provisions within the code.

In June of 2025, Wood Rodgers staff and County staff presented to the Planning Commission Wood Rogers' early findings from the TDR study. Staff shared collaboration efforts and heard public comment from some of the stakeholders involved during these efforts. Staff took these recommendations and did more extensive studies.

After an additional year of work and research, County staff and Wood Rodgers staff are bringing forward viable recommendations for a pathway forward for the TDR program.

ATTACHMENTS:
TDR Presentation.pdf
FINAL_DRAFT_DC TDR Program Study_October 2026.pdf



Board of County Commissioners

October 15, 2026

Douglas County TDR Study

PREPARED FOR


DOUGLAS COUNTY NEVADA

PREPARED BY

WOOD RODGERS

LELAND CONSULTING GROUP

The Process


TDR Program Review Kick-Off
(Oct 2023)
Initial TAC Meeting
(April 2024)
Stakeholder Interviews
(2024)
Expert Interviews for Case Studies
(2024)
Financial Analysis
(Jan 2025)
Initial Program Recommendations
(April 2025)
Second TAC Meeting
(April 2025)
Revised Recommendations
(July 2025)
Planning Commission Workshop
(Aug 2025)
Planning Commission for Recommendation
(Jan 2026)
Alternatives Analysis
(Feb – July 2026)
Review and Acceptance of TDR Study

Planning Commission Workshop

Keep the TDR Program
Explore Improvements, Keep Market Based

Protect What Matters
Flood, Community Character, Ag Land

Improve Administration/ Transparency
Streamline Process, Better Access to Info, Consistency in Zoning Decisions



Sustainability & Growth Pressures
Update Receiving Areas & Align to Infrastructure; Limit TDRs crossing certain boundaries; Long-Term Resiliency: Balance Ranching and Community Needs

Get Creative, Additional Tools
Impact Fees, Overlays, Clustering, Ag focused development

Private Market/Economic Realities
High Land Value, Low TDR Demand, Need Incentives

County Goals

Development Code Key Goals (20.01.020):



Preserve recognized values of historic and community **appearance, charm and character**



Safeguard and enhance **property values**



Avoid undesirable concentrations of population



Prevent **overcrowding** of land

2020 Master Plan:



Land Use: Retain the beauty, the natural setting/resources, and rural/agricultural character of the County while providing **opportunities for managed growth and development**



Agriculture & Conservation: Protect and expand open space and natural areas while allowing **development that acknowledges and enhances agricultural areas**; encourage the efficient use of energy resources; protect and encourage ranching, farming, agricultural activities, and supportive industries

TDR Program Milestones

1996 — TDR Program Begins

- Limited participation in the program due to low allocation
- Low incentive for developers to purchase TDRs
- Existing loophole allowing a density bonus w/in PUDs

2001 — TDR Program Overhaul

- New formula provided additional TDRs per acre
- Bonuses added for specific types of conservation
- PUD loophole to avoid TDRs was eliminated

2002-2009 — TDR Program Highest Usage


- Majority of preservation happened during this time
- In 2002 more than 1,200 TDRs were certified
- Bonus program encouraged flood plain preservation
- In 2007 Growth Ordinance passed, program usage drops

2011 — TDR Sending Areas Update


- Master Plan update allowed TDRs to be transferred between Topaz and Carson Valley
- Expanded the market

2020 — Master Plan Update

- TDR Program remained largely unchanged
- Update focused on clean up of master plan text



TDR Program at a Glance





Key Findings

Feedback: TAC & Other Key Stakeholders

Program Benefits
Liquidity
Tax Planning
Market-Based Transactions
Targeted Preservation in Perpetuity
Density Bonuses

Program Challenges
Transparency / Uncertainty / Access to Information
Expertise
High Land Values
Incentivizing Working Land
Consistency
Infrastructure
Sending / Receiving Area Locations
Permitting Timeline / Processes

The Private Market

Changes in Agriculture

	1959	1978	1997	2002	2012	2022
Land in Farms (acres)	235,016	107,307	90,372	210,952	100,944	121,231
# of Farms	108	131	156	178	255	246
Average Farm Size (acres)	2,176	819	579	1,185	396	493


(USDA, 2022, https://www.nass.usda.gov/AgCensus/index.php)

Value of farmland increase for NV: $446 per acre in 2002 to $1,123 per acre in 2022
(NV Dept. Agriculture 2025 Economic Analysis)

The Market

TDRs	2002-2007	2008-2019	2020-2023	2024-2025	Totals
Created	3,536	198	3,838*	0	**7,572**
Assigned	403	394	165	31	**993**

* 3,367 issued to Park Ranch Holdings and 417 to Bently Family



The Private Market

Type of Building Permit by Year Range	# of Permits
Permitted Residential Construction 2000-2025	
Total SFD + Duplex Homes permitted (2000–2025)	7,049
Total Multifamily Residential units permitted (2000-2025)	757
Avg. SFD + Duplex homes permitted per year (2000-2025)	271
Avg. Multifamily Residential units permitted per year (2000-2025)	29
Pre-GMO/Great Recession Residential Construction (2000-2007)	
Avg. SFD+ Duplex homes permitted per year (2000–2007)	489
Avg. Multifamily Residential units permitted per year (2000-2007)	8.5
Post-GMO/Recession Recovery/Pre-Covid Construction (2008-2019)	
Avg. SFD+ Duplex homes permitted per year (2008–2019)	115
Avg. Multifamily Residential units permitted per year (2008-2019)	15
Recent Residential Construction, Post-Covid (2020-2025)	
Avg. SFD+ Duplex homes permitted per year (2020-2025)	289
Avg. Multifamily Residential units permitted per year (2020-2025)	35

Demand for TDRs is Down

Since 2000…

- Building permits down 76% following Growth Management Ordinance & Great Recession 2007/2009
- Building permits remain down at 41% compared to 2009, but up 150% in last 5 years following COVID
- Multi-family have doubled each 10-year period

Summary of Findings



Inefficient Certification and Tracking Process. Barriers to accessing reliable information.



Market Misalignment

Land Owners – Land sales more attractive, Low demand for TDRs

Developers – Development hurdles, added layer to navigate on top of Growth Management Ordinance

Lack of Demand for TDRs does not encourage land preservation in sending areas



The Code that governs TDRs lacks clarity and consistency



Infrastructure Constraints in Receiving Areas



Recommendations

Phase 1: Administrative Updates

Recommendations:

- Setup Internal Database with Unique Tracking for TDRs
- Establish an Online TDR registry
- Provide a TDR program information website
- Expand educational outreach
- Zoning code update

Summary
121 Certificates
97.25 PDCs

Pinelands Development Credit Bank
PDCs Available for Purchase

Certificate Number	Date Issued	PDC Value	Owner	Contact Information
580	8/15/1996	0.25	William & Margaret Smith	PO Box 533 Manahawkin, NJ 08050 Phone: Fax: Email:
1489	12/11/2002	0.25	Charles E. Marlin	18 Springfield Road PO Box 32 New Lisbon, NJ 08064 Phone: Fax:

Transfer of Development Rights



Timing to Implementation	Immediate (1-2 years)
Relative Cost	Low (<$100,000), could implement at current staffing levels
Issues Being Addressed	Transparency, uncertainty, access to information, processes, limited use of program
Potential Challenges	Doesn't address imbalance between TDR and land values

Phase 2: Program-Specific Regulatory Updates

Recommendations:

- Expand TDR program applicability
  - Add TDR requirement for **any** land intensification ***county-wide*** (*Except the Tahoe Basin*)
  - Keep existing receiving areas
  - New TDR overlay applied to upzoned/intensified sites
- Option 1: TDR Incentive Overlay Zones (sending areas)

and/or


- Option 2: In-Lieu Fee Program

Timing to Implementation	Near Term (1-3 years)
Relative Cost	Medium (>$100,000), Mix of staff and consultant resources
Issues Being Addressed	Code clarity and consistency, market misalignment, low TDR demand.
Potential Challenges	May not completely address the market misalignment or increase TDR demand in the near term.

Phase 2: Expanded TDR Program

Expand TDR program applicability to any Master Plan Amendment or Zone Change the increases density outside of a Receiving Area.

- *In an Existing Receiving Area?*
  - Yes - No Change, current TDR Program applies
  - Opportunity for Incentives (Option 1)
- *Increase in land use/zoning (density increase) outside of Receiving Area?*
  - Subject to Planning Commission review and County Commission approval
  - Automatically would require TDRs for future development
- *Outside of Receiving Area, No Change in Zoning?*
  - Not subject to TDRs, current codes apply



Option 1: Proposed TDR Incentive Zones

Zone 3: A-19 Land within Carson Valley and in the Flood Plain

- Incentive: 1 TDR from Zone 3 would equate to 3 units in a receiving area.


Zone 2: A-19 Land within Carson Valley outside of the Flood Plain

- Incentive: 1 TDR from Zone 2 would equate to 2 units in a receiving area.

Zone 1: A-19 Land outside of Carson Valley and FR-19 Land within the County

- No additional incentives, TDRs in Zone 1 equate to 1 unit in a receiving area.

<u>Benefits:</u> Market Driven, Incentivizes the highest priority preservation areas



Option 2: In-Lieu Fee Program

Landowners/developers have option to pay a set fee instead of finding TDRs on the open market.

Revenues received could be used for:

- Purchasing TDRs (to retire)
- Purchasing conservation easements or land for conservation
- Leveraging funds for grant matching related to conservation

In-Lieu Fee Benefits

- Supports County-led conservation
- Match to larger Grant funds for conservation
- TDR program easier to use
- More certainty in building costs
- Flexibility for developers

*the County is **more** involved…*

- Create and manage dedicated TDR Fund
- Establish annual base fee (w/ appraisal, and TDR/Landowner input)
- Annual public process to inform prioritization of TDR Fund spending
- Annual reporting on collected fees, fund balance, and preservation priorities

Phase 3: Master Plan Updates

Recommendations:

- Update Receiving Areas
  - Align receiving areas with priorities and infrastructure capacity
- Protect Water Resources
  - Building size and uses within floodplain



Timing to Implementation	Medium Term (2-5 years)
Relative Cost	Medium (>$100,000), Mix of staff and consultant resources
Issues Being Addressed	Code clarity and consistency, market misalignment, low TDR demand, infrastructure constraints
Potential Challenges	Modifying receiving areas will require a large public outreach effort that could be met with opposition

Phase 4: Infrastructure and Growth Management


Recommendations:

- Plan for Growth where it's needed
  - Conduct infrastructure needs assessment
  - Prioritize infrastructure upgrades with high-priority receiving areas
  - Pursue projects that align with future development in high-priority areas
- Further assess the Growth Management Ordinance



Timing to Implementation	Long Term (3-5+ years)
Relative Cost	High (>$200,000+), Mix of staff and consultant resources
Issues Being Addressed	Low TDR demand, Infrastructure constraints
Potential Challenges	Conflicting opinions of high priority areas for future infrastructure investments

Growth Management & TDRs




Why Continue to Monitor?

A GMO Negative Impact on TDRs = Negative Impact on Land Preservation

Other Tools…

- PDs
- Zoning Overlay/Area Plan/ Specific Plan
- Development Agreements
- Land Donation/ Conservation Easements
- Zoning Code Updates
- County Sponsored Land Purchase Program, Lease for Ag



Summary of Recommendations

Constraints to Address	Recommendations
Record Keeping/Transparency/Outreach	Unique Tracking IDs, Database, Website, TDR Registry
Code clarity and consistency	Code updates
Market, Low Demand for TDRs, TDRs generated in lower priority areas	TDR Incentive Zones, promote purchase from higher priority areas. Couty-wide TDR requirement and in-lieu fee; increasing TDR demand while providing flexibility Monitor GMO and potential impacts to the TDR program.
Outdated Receiving Areas	Master Plan/Area Plan amendments, better align with infrastructure
Protect the Flood Plain	TDR Zones - Incentivize high priority receiving areas, explore code updates, other Tools…
Infrastructure	Needs assessment, align funding and projects with high-priority receiving areas

Next Steps

- Study Provides Overview, Research, Recommendations, Possible Action Steps
- **Today:** Final Draft to County Commission for Review, Acceptance, & Direction to Staff



Derek Kirkland, AICP
Principal Planner
Wood Rodgers, Inc.
775-828-7742
dkirkland@woodrodgers.com



Douglas County's Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) Program Study

Draft—October 2026

Acknowledgements

Board of County Commissioners
Sharla Hales, Chairwoman
Mark Gardner, Vice-Chair
Danny Tarkanian, Commissioner
Wesley Rice, Commissioner
Nathan Tolbert, Commissioner

Planning Commission
Maureen Casey, Chairwoman
Bryce Clutts, Vice-Chair
James McKalip, Member
Kirk Walder, Member
Paul Bruno, Member
Daniel St. John, Member
Laurie Lile, Member

Office of the County Manager
Jennifer Davidson, County Manager
Wendy Lang, Assistant County Manager
Kathy Lewis, Chief Operating Officer

Community Development Department
Tom Dallaire, Director
Andrea Pawling, Deputy Director
Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Principal Planner

Consultant Team
Wood Rodgers, Inc.
Leland Consulting Group
FCS, a Bowman company
University of Nevada, Reno - Center for Regional Studies

Acknowledgements ii
Introduction 5
Chapter 1: Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) Program Overview 6
Why Land Preservation Matters in Douglas County 6
Douglas County Master Plan Goals and the TDR Program 8
How It Works 8
Current Use and Success of the TDR Program 17
Chapter 2: Stakeholder Engagement 19
Engagement Timeline 21
Stakeholders Identified Program Challenges 21
Stakeholders Highlighted Program Benefits 22
Chapter 3: Considerations for Updating the TDR Program 23
The Real Estate Market 23
Changes in the Agricultural Industry 23
Demographics 24
Housing Demand and Development Costs 25
Relevant Case Studies 28
Existing Challenges in the TDR Program 29
Chapter 4: TDR Program Recommendations 31
A Four-Phase Framework for TDR Program Update 31
Phase 1: Immediate Administrative Updates 31
Phase 2: Program Specific Regulatory Updates 35
Phase 3: Master Plan Updates 40
Phase 4: Infrastructure Planning and Growth Management 41
Program Recommendations Support Master Plan Goals 43
Alternatives Considered but Not Recommended 44
Analyzing other potential planning tools for preservation 48

Appendices: 50

Appendix A: Existing Conditions Analysis & Case Study Review 51


Appendix B: Financial Analysis Summary 52

Introduction

Douglas County initiated an evaluation of TDR Program in May of 2023. The purpose of the evaluation was to analyze the effectiveness of the program and to determine if improvements or additional incentives are needed to promote land conservation. This study provides an overview and evaluation of the current Douglas County TDR program, a user guide, as well as recommendations for future updates.

The Douglas County Board of County Commissioners (the Board) adopted the Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) Program in 1996 as part of its initial master plan in order to protect agricultural and forest lands (A-19 and FR-19 zoning districts) by allowing property owners to sell development rights from their land (sending areas) to designated development zones (receiving areas). Since the program was initiated in 1996, ±7,572 TDRs have been created, and ±1,294 have been assigned to receiving areas resulting in over 4,000 acres of land conserved. The majority of preservation took place between 2002 and 2009 with limited use since. Possible reasons for limited use in the last fifteen years include:

- The combination of the 2007 Douglas County Growth Ordinance taking effect and the soon after 2009 Great Recession which impacted the market demand for new homes and TDRs.
- The 2020 surge in land values and construction costs during the COVID-19 Era fueled by high-income earners seeking a different lifestyle. TDR values can't keep up with land values while developers struggle with construction and land costs and high interest rates.



Chapter 1: Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) Program Overview

Why Land Preservation Matters in Douglas County

Douglas County is a predominantly rural region, home to the southwestern portion of Lake Tahoe and rich agricultural lands along the Carson and Walker River hydrographic basins. Douglas County, which spans more than 750 square miles, is nestled in the foothills of the Sierra Nevada and offers year-round scenic beauty.

In recent years, the County has experienced steady immigration, particularly from California and other parts of Nevada. New residents are drawn to the area's natural landscapes, recreational opportunities, and comparatively low tax environment.


Maintaining Douglas County's natural and agricultural resources is central to its way of life. However, new subdivisions and development in rural areas can disrupt viewsheds, irrigation systems, and make ranching operations more difficult. To ensure sustainable growth and protect Douglas County's community character, new development needs to be guided toward areas with existing infrastructure to preserve the County's working lands and natural resources.



Douglas County TDR Program - At a Glance

What is it?

A voluntary land conservation tool. Landowners in rural/ag zones sell development rights → Developers buy those rights to build more homes/ businesses in town centers.



Why it Matters:

- **Preserves working ranches**
- **Guides growth to infrastructure-ready areas**
- **Reduces public costs for roads and utilities**
- **Protects scenic views and water resources**

How it works:

Landowners

- Record a permanent conservation easement (land stays in private ownership).
- Receive TDR certificates based on acreage and land features.
- Sell those certificates to interested developers.

Developers

- Purchase TDRs to build in specific receiving areas.
- Apply to County and assign TDRs to Planned Unit Development (PUD).

TDR SUPPLY

±161,000 acres
Sending Areas
(zoned A-19 or FR-19)

Privately Owned
About 62% of sending area land is privately owned

Residential Potential
±5,000 units (current zoning) to ±20,000 units (5 acre lots)

99,800 Acres
Potential TDRs

7,572 TDRs have been certified

TDR DEMAND

±4,567 acres
Receiving Areas

Approx. 500 ac of receiving area land has already been developed

Residential Potential
±12,200 units
(average of 3 units/acre)

4,067 Acres
Development Potential

993 TDRs have been sold and assigned

±2,835 TDRs sold but unassigned (future planned projects)

Why TDRs Matter

Transfers development **to city centers**

Supports growth where **infrastructure exists**

Preserves **agriculture land and open space**

±3,744
unused TDRs

Supply



Douglas County Master Plan Goals and the TDR Program

Successful TDR programs are shaped by community goals, which guide how to balance housing and land preservation. The County's Master Plan contains several goals which will help shape the program's recommendations, including:

Chapter 1: Land Use & Historic Preservation

- Goal 1: Retain the beauty, the natural setting/resources, and rural/agricultural character of the County while providing opportunities for managed growth and development.

Chapter 2: Agriculture and Conservation


- Goal 2: Protect and expand open space and natural areas while allowing development that acknowledges and enhances agricultural areas.
- Goal 3: Encourage the efficient use of energy resources.
- Goal 5: Protect and encourage ranching, farming, agricultural activities, and supportive industries.

How It Works

Landowners in designated sending areas (areas zoned A-19 and/or FR-19) can elect to preserve their land by restricting development by either recording a deed restriction or granting a perpetual open space easement. By doing so, the property owner may then be issued a certificate of eligibility into the TDR program which outlines the number of dwelling units the property owner can then transfer (sell) the development rights of. These development rights (TDRs) can then be sold to developers who want to build in designated receiving areas (primarily within urban communities like Minden and Gardnerville or areas within an urban service area such as Gardnerville Ranchos or Airport Community Plan). The land of the sending area remains under private ownership and the landowner of the sending area parcel retains all of the rights except rights to future development or water, if they elect to restrict water rights for bonuses (see below for more details on bonuses). The value of the TDR is negotiated privately between the buyer and seller; the County is not party to the transaction.

When executed properly, the TDR program is essentially a win-win situation. Douglas County wins in the sense that additional land is conserved, preserving open space resources within the County. The sending area property owner wins, as they receive TDRs of monetary value that they can sell. Receiving area property owners then have TDRs available to facilitate development within their desired receiving area, allowing for a proportional offset of open space to urbanized development within Douglas County.

It is imperative to note, development within a receiving area ***requires*** the developer to obtain TDRs to support the exact number of dwelling units they wish to construct. Furthermore, although receiving areas have varied zoning and allowed densities, the Master Plan outlines that although receiving areas are allowed in all base zoning districts, development in a receiving area requires either a Specific Plan or a Planned Development (PD), a process which typically identifies allowed residential densities for parcels contained within the planned area. For example, a developer of an approved 150 dwelling-unit PD within a receiving area could be required to obtain up to 150 TDRs prior to development. That is 150 TDRs minus the density allowed under the current zoning of the property at the time of the development.



What are sending areas?

Property owners in sending areas can transfer the rights to develop their land in exchange for TDRs. Sending areas are defined as any real property in the A-19 and/or FR-19 zoning districts. Figure 2 on page 13 shows all portions of Douglas County zoned A-19 or FR-19.

The owner of real property in a sending area may not sell, transfer, or convey more development rights than the parcel would have been permitted under the density outlined in the Master Plan, as amended plus bonuses, which indicates both Forest and Range Lands (FR) and Irrigated Agriculture Lands (A) have a minimum 19-acre parcel size. Douglas County Development Code (DCDC) also indicates the allowed base density for A-19 and FR-19 zoning districts, where sending areas are located, is one dwelling unit per 19 acres (refer to *DCDC §20.654.010 [Agriculture and forest range minimum development standards]*). Thus a 100-acre parcel, before considering any of the bonuses allowed, would have a base of 5 dwelling units worth of development rights (100 acres / 19 acres = 5.2) to transfer to a receiving area.

It is worth noting that although the minimum parcel size for A-19 and FR-19 zoning districts is 19 acres, the minimum parcel size for participation in the TDR program is 40 contiguous acres for both A-19 and FR-19 zoning districts.

What bonuses are sending areas eligible for?

In the A-19 zoning district, sending areas are eligible for certain bonuses, meaning if certain circumstances apply an additional number of dwelling units worth of development rights will be given to the sending area property owner to transfer or convey as they see fit to developers in receiving areas. Bonuses in A-19 zoning districts are calculated as follows (all bonuses are rounded to the ***nearest*** whole number):

- *For each 19 acres* preserved, development rights for ***9 additional dwelling units*** can be transferred.
- *For each 19 acres* preserved where at least 50% of the 19-acres is located within the 100-year FEMA floodplain, development rights for ***7 additional dwelling units*** can be transferred.
- *For each 19 acres* preserved in tandem with restricting appurtenant surface and groundwater irrigation rights for the preserved parcel, development rights for ***7 additional dwelling units*** can be transferred (required for A-19 sites).
- If a parcel has a contiguous area of at least 100 acres, development rights for 20 additional dwelling units can be transferred.

- If dedication of improved and permanent public access easements or easements to rivers, streams, public lands, or significant historical resources, the review authority (the Board) may grant development rights for ***one (1) additional dwelling unit*** per *19 acres*.

Here is an A-19 example:

A property owner in an A-19 zoned sending area wants to preserve their land and get a certificate for the transfer of development rights. The site is 100-acres of contiguous land. Half of the property (50 acres) is in the 100-year FEMA flood plain. The property owner has also agreed to restrict all water rights on site.

Base zoning:	1 du/19 acres	
	100 acres / 19 acres = 5.26 * 1 du = 5.26	5 dwelling units
Base bonus:	9 du/19 acres	
	100 acres / 19 acres = 5.26 * 9 du = 47.34	47 dwelling units
Floodplain bonus:	7 du / 19 acres	
	100 acres /19 acres = 5.26 * 7 du = 36.82	37 dwelling units
Water rights bonus:	7 du / 19 acres	
	100 acres /19 acres = 5.26 * 7 du = 36.82	37 dwelling units
100-acre bonus:	20 du / 100 acres	
	100 acres / 100 acres = 1 * 20 du = 20	20 dwelling units
	TOTAL	146 dwelling units

After recording the conservation easements for this 100-acre A-19 parcel, the property owner in this hypothetical sending area would be able to sell/transfer/convey/give the development rights for *146 dwelling units* to a property owner or developer in a receiving area.

In the FR-19 zoning district sending areas, similar bonuses are applicable, with generally lower bonus density allowances. Bonuses in FR-19 zoning districts are calculated as follows (all bonuses are rounded to the ***nearest*** whole number):

- *For each 19 acres* preserved where at least 50% of the 19-acres is located within the 100-year FEMA floodplain, development rights for ***one (1) additional dwelling unit*** can be transferred.
- If a parcel has a *contiguous area of at least 100 acres*, development rights for ***one (1) additional dwelling unit*** can be transferred.
- If dedication of improved and permanent public access easements or easements to rivers, streams, public lands, or significant historical resources, the review authority (the board) may grant development rights for ***one (1) additional dwelling unit*** per *19 acres*.

Here is a FR-19 example:

A property owner in an FR-19 zoned sending area wants to preserve their land and get a certificate for the transfer of development rights. The site is 100-acres of contiguous land. Half of the property (50 acres) is in the 100-year FEMA flood plain. There is an opportunity for an improved and permanent public access on the parcel to public lands, and the landowner intends to record a public access easement.

Base zoning:	1 du/19 acres	
	100 acres / 19 acres = 5.2 * 1 du= 5.26	5 dwelling units
Floodplain bonus:	1 du / 19 acres	
	100 acres /19 acres = 5.2 * 1 du = 5.26	5 dwelling units
100-acre bonus:	1 du / 100 acres	
	100 acres / 100 acres = 1 * 1 du = 1	1 dwelling unit
Public easement bonus:	1 du/ 19 acres	
	100 acres / 19 acres = 5.2 * 1 du = 5.26	5 dwelling units
		TOTAL 16 dwelling units

After recording the conservation easements for this 100-acre FR-19 parcel, the property owner in this hypothetical sending area would be able to sell/transfer/convey/give the development rights for *16 dwelling units* to a property owner or developer in a receiving area.

What are receiving areas?

Receiving areas are areas that have been identified for future urban development that require developers to obtain development rights (TDRs) in order to build a proposed project. Developers in receiving areas can buy/obtain development rights (TDRs) from property owners in sending areas. Receiving areas are defined within the Douglas County Master Plan (Master Plan). Figure 2 on page 13 shows all portions of Douglas County designated as receiving areas.

Please note *DCDC §20.612 (Specific Plan)* outlines that a specific plan is required for any development in excess of 160 acres in areas shown on the master plan land use map as a receiving area. For sites less than 160 acres, the Planned Development (PD) overlay can be used and facilitates use of TDRs on a receiving area. Thus, to develop in a receiving area a developer must first obtain either a PD or a Specific Plan and plan for obtaining the necessary number of TDRs to support the approved density of either the PD or Specific Plan.




Figure 1: Transfer of Development Rights

Douglas County Development Code (Chapter 20.500)

DCDC Title 20, Chapter 20.500 Transfer of Development Rights explains the majority of the parameters for the program, including eligibility and procedures. Besides the eligibility and bonuses discussed above, *DCDC §20.500* has a few other noteworthy parameters to the program:

A-19 Groundwater Restriction. In A-19 zoning districts, the owner of real property in a sending area ***must*** restrict all appurtenant surface and groundwater irrigation rights against the transfer from the sending parcel. Although a bonus of development rights for additional dwelling units will be granted for this ***required*** restriction of water rights, development rights cannot be transferred or the certificate of eligibility of the sending parcel cannot be issued unless water rights are restricted.

Current zoning dictates the allowed density in a receiving area. The owner or developer of real property of a parcel in a receiving area may not transfer more development rights to the receiving parcel than the density allowed in the base zoning district of the receiving parcel or the density approved through a Planned Development (PD) or Specific Plan in place for the parcel. Bonus unit allowances above and beyond base zoning are not available through the TDR program.

40-Acre minimum parcel size. Despite minimum parcel size being 19 acres for sending area zoning districts (A-19 and FR-19), minimum parcel size for participation in the TDR program is 40 contiguous acres.

40-acre minimum for existing development. Although the minimum parcel size in the A-19 zoning district is 19 acres, if a property owner wishes to preserve acreage where an existing residence or commercial structure is and obtain the certificate of development rights for that land, the parent parcel or group of parcels will be automatically reduced by 40 acres prior to calculating the number of allowed units or bonus units. Thus, a 100-acre parcel with existing development would be allowed units based off of a 60-acre parcel size.

Partial transfers of development rights are possible. If a sending area is entitled to a certain number of development rights (units), the owner has the option to transfer less development rights if they choose. In such a case, the property owner of the sending area must designate the portion of the parcel from which the development rights are being transferred. A metes and bounds description of the portion of the parcel must be included in the open space easement or deed restriction that gets recorded.

Federally owned land is not eligible. Land held in trust by the United States or its agencies are not eligible to participate in the TDR program.

Administrative Procedures

Douglas County Development Code 20.500.020 Procedure provides a brief codified outline of the procedure for which a landowner may acquire a TDR. Below is a general outline of the process for applying for and receiving a TDR and includes information from the County's TDR application, the Master Plan, and *DCDC 20.500.020*.

What is the process for sending area landowners?

STEP 1 Prepare Your Application

- Obtain the official TDR application from Douglas County Community Development
- Include with your application:
  1. A legal description for each affected parcel
  2. A Tax receipt showing that all taxes and assessments are paid current at the time of application
  3. A Title report for each affected parcel
  4. Documentation for any applicable bonuses:
     1. *Water rights restriction bonus*: Provide evidence of appurtenant surface water rights under the Alpine Decree or state permits.
     2. *Floodplain bonus:* Submit a detailed map showing floodplain boundaries and calculations of acreage.
     3. *Parcel size bonus*: Provide a map showing parcels that meet the 100-acre minimum.

STEP 2 Submit Your Application

- Submit the completed application and all supporting documents to Douglas County Community Development Department per submittal requirements found on the application
- Pay the required application fee (see County's fee schedule)

STEP 3 County Review

- The Community Development Department reviews the application for completeness and verifies eligibility, including bonus calculations, and works with GIS to verify the areas proposed align with official County data.

STEP 4 Record a Deed Restriction or Easement

- You must record a deed restriction or perpetual open space easement with the County, an approved government agency, or a nonprofit conservation entity.
- The restriction must prohibit future development and, if applicable, restrict transfer of irrigation water rights.

STEP 5 Certificate of Eligibility Issued

- Once the deed restriction or easement is recorded and approved, the County issues a **Certificate of Eligibility** documenting the number of TDRs created.

STEP 6 Transfer the TDRs

- You may now sell or transfer the TDRs to a buyer (a developer in a receiving area). The transferee must record the certificate and transfer documents with the Douglas County Recorder and submit copies to the Community Development Department.

What is the process for receiving area developers?

Apply for Development Entitlements STEP 1

- The developer of a receiving area must apply for and receive an approved planned development or specific plan from Douglas County.
- Density allowance in the receiving area is defined by existing base zoning or by the approved density requested as part of the Planned Development or Specific Plan.
- Approved density minus the allowed density of the base zone indicates how many TDRs are needed for development

Identify Available TDRs STEP 2

- Contact the Community Development Department or use public records to identify available TDRs and potential sellers.
- Douglas County does not maintain a centralized registry; transactions are privately negotiated.

Negotiate and Acquire TDRs STEP 3

- Work directly with a TDR holder to negotiate price and terms.
- Ensure the TDR certificate is valid and includes all necessary documentation.

Record the Transfer STEP 4

- Record the TDR certificate and transfer documents with the **Douglas County Recorder**.
- Submit copies to the Community Development Department.

Proceed With Development STEP 5

- Once approved, you may proceed with development under the density allowances provided by the approved planned development or specific plan and the correlating TDRs.

Current Use and Success of the TDR Program

Douglas County has over ±161,000 acres zoned A-19 and FR-19 of which ±99,800 acres (62%) are privately owned and generally make up the *sending areas* under the TDR program. There are approximately 41,100± acres of A-19 and 58,600± acres of FR-19 within privately owned parcels that remain available for a TDR or conservation easement.

Over 4,000 acres have been permanently preserved through Douglas County's Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) program.

If purchased outright at today's market rate, the cost of this land would exceed **$170 million.**

Estimated market value: $42,277 per acre (Source: Land.com)

To date, the TDR program has successfully preserved over 4,000± acres within these sending area zoning districts, as seen in Figure 2 on page 13 which shows *TDR Certified Parcels* in dark blue. This preservation effort has removed over 200 units of development potential, based on current zoning. However, if the land had been subdivided into smaller parcels, such as five (5)-acre lots, the preserved acreage could have supported up to 1,000 units. This demonstrates the program's effectiveness in curbing development.

Sending areas – The remaining privately owned A-19 not already preserved (41,100± acres) would allow for one (1) residential unit per 19 acres, representing theoretical development potential of approximately 1,605 units under current zoning. Should fifty percent of that area be rezoned and subdivided into 5-acre parcels, that number could grow to over 4,000 units. Assuming twenty-five percent were to be subdivided into one (1)-acre lots, that number could increase to over 10,000 units. Again, that is only the A-19 zoned properties. Adding FR-19 causes those numbers to double. Continuing the TDR program will provide an opportunity to preserve agriculture, forest, and range land, limiting development to appropriate areas within designated receiving areas where public water and sewer are offered.

Receiving areas – Where new development is permitted and TDRs are required covers approximately ±4,567 acres. Of this total, only ±500 acres have already been developed. The actual density of future development in these areas will depend on several factors, including acquisition of TDRs, approval of Planned Developments (PDs) or Specific Plans, and project-specific design and infrastructure considerations. Assuming an average density of three units per acre (3 du/ac), the remaining undeveloped receiving areas could accommodate more than 12,000 residential units.

The table below shows an approximate overview of TDRs created and assigned to date. Actual numbers are subject to change and may not be completely accurate.

TDRs	2002-2007	2008-2019	2020-2023	2024-2025	Totals
Created	3,536	198	3,838*	0	**7,572**
Assigned	403	394	165	31	**993**

* 3,367 issued to Park Ranch Holdings and 417 to Bently Family

There are approximately ±6,579 current TDRs remaining unassigned and available for future development. Of those remaining, ±2,835 have been sold and are assumed to be set aside in anticipation of upcoming Planned Developments (PDs) and Specific Plans that have been approved. Approximately ±3,744 TDRs remain available for sale or use, the vast majority (±3,367) of which are held by Park Ranch Holdings. Even with over 3,500 TDRs remaining available outside of the future PDs and given that receiving areas still have the potential to generate over 12,000 dwelling units (based on an average 3 units per acre), more TDRs will be needed over the next several decades to support receiving area development.

Program Priorities and Achievements

The TDR program prioritizes the protection of the following areas:

- Irrigated ranch land within the A-19 zone
- Lands within the 100-year FEMA floodplain in the A-19 zone

These priorities align with broader Master Plan goals, which emphasize:

- Preserving ranch lands to maintain Douglas County's rural identity
- Protecting natural resources and scenic landscapes
- Supporting managed growth while retaining agricultural and open space values

Through consistency with program priorities and master plan goals, the TDR program has proven successful in preserving agricultural and environmentally sensitive lands, maintaining the County's rural character, and directing growth toward areas with infrastructure and services.

By shifting development away from open space and toward designated growth areas, the program supports both conservation and responsible land use. Whether you're a landowner, developer, or resident, the TDR program offers clear advantages:

- For Landowners: TDRs offer a way to generate income from land while preserving it for future generations, supporting ranching and reducing estate tax burdens.
- For Developers: TDRs enable development in the most desirable and infrastructure-ready areas, adding value to projects and reducing uncertainty when pre-approved rights are acquired with land purchases.

- **For the Community**: The program preserves rural character and scenic views, reduces the need for public investment in new infrastructure, and focuses on growth where it can be most efficiently served.

Other Planning Tools Supporting Conservation

Douglas County has also utilized other tools and programs to preserve open space, including (see Figure 3 below):

- **Conservation Easements:** Over 15,000 acres protected through conservation easements and through federal conservation programs (outside the TDR program).
- **Open Space:** Over 27,000 acres preserved through easements or recorded documents for open space or common area features.





Figure 2: Other Conservation

Chapter 2: Stakeholder Engagement

Douglas County, in partnership with Wood Rodgers, a local consulting firm, and Leland Consulting Group, a real estate consulting group, has undertaken a comprehensive stakeholder engagement process to evaluate and modernize the TDR program. The effort was designed to reflect community values while supporting agriculture preservation and facilitating responsible growth.



Stakeholder Engagement Timeline

December 2023 – **Project Kickoff** – Formal initiation of the TDR program review process

April 2024 – **TAC & Stakeholder Meeting #1** – Overview of the TDR program presented; initial feedback gathered.

April – July 2024 – **One-on-One Stakeholder meetings** – Targeted discussion held to collect detailed input on potential improvements.

Summer 2024 - Spring 2025 – **Program Evaluation Period** – County and consultant team assessed the TDR program in relation to market conditions, demographic trends, and feasibility.

March 2025 – **TAC & Stakeholder meeting #2** – Summary of findings and proposed improvements presented for discussion.

Summer 2025 – **Planning Commission Workshop** – Draft recommendations shared with the Planning Commissioners and public.

January 2026 – **Planning Commission Meeting** – Draft of the final study is presented to the Planning Commission; feedback and recommendations provided.

Engagement Timeline

Stakeholder engagement began in **April 2024** with the first meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and a broader group of community stakeholders. During this meeting, County staff and consultants presented an overview of the existing TDR program and invited feedback on potential improvements. From **April through July 2024**, the County conducted a series of one-on-one interviews with landowners, developers, and other key stakeholders to gather more detailed input.

Following these discussions, the County and its consultant team initiated a formal evaluation of the program between **Summer 2024 and Spring 2025**, analyzing market conditions, demographic trends, and overall feasibility. A second TAC and stakeholder meeting was held in **March 2025** to present preliminary findings and proposed updates. In **Summer 2025**, draft recommendations were shared with the public through a planning commission workshop. Comments were addressed and updates were presented in **January of 2026** to the Planning Commission with the full draft study where additional feedback and recommendations were received. The additional comments were addressed with the final draft document presented to the County Commission in October 2026.

This phased engagement approach ensured that stakeholder perspectives were incorporated throughout the process, from initial feedback to final recommendations.

Stakeholders Identified Program Challenges

Stakeholders expressed strong support for maintaining the TDR program but emphasized the need to bring it up to date. Several challenges were identified:

- **Transparency, Uncertainty, and Access to Information.** Stakeholders noted that the program can be difficult to understand. They recommended improving access to clear, publicly available information – such as maps showing sending and receiving areas, and data on past TDR transactions – to build trust and make the program more user-friendly.
- **Complexity of Process and Permitting Timelines.** Both landowners and developers cited the need to streamline the TDR process. Simplifying permitting and administrative procedures would make participation easier and reduce burdens on County staff.
- **Consistency in Administration.** Stakeholders called for consistent implementation of the program across departments and projects. Stakeholders expressed the importance of protecting the character of Carson Valley. The code should be applied equally and not allow for exceptions to be made for specific projects which create challenges for the overall TDR program and those who hold TDRs.

- **Not all Sending Areas Should be the Same.** TAC members expressed concerns with TDRs created in certain areas outside of Carson Valley, such as the Topaz area, being transferred to and creating additional units in Carson Valley. There was discussion of separating TDR sending and receiving areas by watershed and not allowing TDRs to be transferred between them.
- **High Land Values and Market Viability.** Rising land and construction costs have made it difficult for TDR transactions to remain competitive. Recommendations included adjusting TDR values through incentives to better reflect current market realities and keep the program active.
- **Support for Working Agricultural Lands.** Preserving land is not enough; stakeholders emphasized the need to keep agricultural lands productive. They suggested creating incentives or complementary programs to ensure that conserved land remains actively farmed, rather than becoming idle or neglected.
- **Infrastructure and Location of Receiving Areas.** Participants encouraged the County to focus future development in areas already served by infrastructure and experiencing growth, to maximize efficiency and minimize impacts.

Stakeholders Highlighted Program Benefits


Despite these challenges, stakeholders also identified several key benefits of the TDR program:

- **Liquidity and Tax Planning for Landowners.** The ability to generate and sell TDRs provides agricultural landowners with a financial tool to support their operations. This can help offset tax burdens or generate income without selling off land or subdividing parcels.
- **Market-Based Transactions.** Stakeholders expressed a preference for privately negotiated TDR prices rather than County-set rates. Market-driven transactions allow for flexibility and ensure that values reflect current economic conditions.
- **Permanent Land Preservation.** The requirement for conservation easements when generating TDRs ensures that preserved lands remain protected from future development. This provides long-term certainty for both landowners and the community.
- **Sending Area Bonuses for Priority Areas.** The program's bonus system allows landowners to generate additional TDRs when preserving high-priority areas, such as floodplains. This incentivizes conservation in locations that offer the greatest public benefit.

Chapter 3: Considerations for Updating the TDR Program

The Real Estate Market

To be effective, TDR prices must strike a balance: they need to be affordable enough for developers to use while still offering fair compensation to landowners. This balance is an ongoing challenge in maintaining a successful TDR market.



A well-designed TDR program reflects the realities of the local real estate market. Factors that influence the program's success in both sending and receiving areas include population growth, housing demand and price, land values, and construction costs.

Changes in the Agricultural Industry

According to the Economic Analysis of the Food and Agriculture Sectors in Nevada 2024[1], in 2022 the agricultural sector contributed $28 million to the Douglas County economy while the food and beverage manufacturing sector generated $258 million. Agriculture employs around 320 workers county-wide. Of the 246 farms in Douglas County in 2022, 31 percent made less than $2,500 and another 33 percent made between $2,500 and $9,999. Just one fifth of farms had sales volumes of at least $50,000. Statewide, the market value of farms (including land and buildings) increased from $446 per acre in 2002 to $1,123 per acre in 2022.


[1] (Nevada Department of Agriculture, 2024, https://agri.nv.gov/uploadedFiles/agrinvgov/Content/Administration/Global_Trade/2025_economic_analysis_web.pdf)

The following table provides data from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Census of Agriculture data for Douglas County [2]:

	1959	1978	1997	2002	2012	2022
Land in Farms (acres)	235,016	107,307	90,372	210,952	100,944	121,231
# of Farms	108	131	156	178	255	246
Average Farm Size (acres)	2,176	819	579	1,185	396	493

Although the total acreage of farmland in Douglas County has declined, the number of farms has increased, indicating that farms are generally smaller today. At the same time, the overall value of farmland has risen. The trend suggests landowners who may be choosing to maintain agriculture are downsizing their land holdings. In today's market there may be less desire to carry on the family business, or the value of the land is high enough to encourage selling opposed to managing that land. For Douglas County, there has been a recent trend of large agricultural landowners such as Bently Enterprises and Park Ranch Holdings selling large land holdings, including some planned for future development. These trends show the importance of encouraging land preservation and supporting Douglas County's agriculture to maintain the community character.

Demographics



[2] USDA, 2022, https://www.nass.usda.gov/AgCensus/index.php

Douglas County has experienced modest population growth over the past decade, growing around 5% from a population of around 47,000 in 2010 to just under 50,000 in 2023. For comparison the state of Nevada grew by approximately 20% during that same period.[3]

The area is also becoming increasingly affluent. A large portion of households earn over $100,000 annually, and home values are well above those in neighboring counties. While housing costs vary widely across the County, especially between the Tahoe and East Fork areas, overall, Douglas County is one of the more expensive places to live in the region. Homeownership rates are high, with relatively few households renting.

Recent migration trends show that new residents, especially those moving from California, are wealthier than those leaving the County. These newcomers often bring significantly higher incomes, while those moving out tend to have lower earnings and typically remain within Nevada. This shift is contributing to a pattern of economic displacement, where higher-income newcomers are replacing lower-income residents.

Housing Demand and Development Costs

Housing construction in Douglas County grew steadily from 2000 until the Great Recession, after which it slowed considerably. Today, most new housing is focused in designated receiving areas, where land and infrastructure are becoming increasingly limited. Future growth is expected to concentrate in large planned developments like Buckeye Farms and other nearby projects in the Minden/Gardnerville area.

Land values in Carson Valley are rising quickly, which affects how attractive land conservation tools like the TDR program are. For TDR programs to work, landowners need to see value in selling their development rights while developers must be willing to purchase them as part of project costs. Without both the supply from landowners and demand from developers, the TDR program can't function.

From the development side, overall costs and complexity play a major role in determining whether a project is financially viable. Building a typical home in Douglas County can cost between $200,000 and $300,000, not including land or additional expenses. A financial analysis conducted for this study (Appendix B) found that at historic prices (around $5,000 per TDR) TDR costs alone have a limited impact on financial feasibility of a project. However, if TDRs increased to match the current higher land values, the potential increase in TDR costs could begin to impact the feasibility of a project and/or increase housing prices. The financial analysis also identified that rising TDR costs in combination with other requirements like water rights, impact fees, higher land costs, Douglas County Growth Ordinance, and

[3] www.census.gov

permitting timelines together decreased the feasibility for entry-level and mid-priced homes. Developers also expressed that finding TDRs and understanding County processes can be challenging. This suggests that, when structured appropriately, TDRs could be integrated into new development without creating major financial barriers. However, changes in market conditions and policy changes could impact demand for TDRs and therefore the value to developers, especially for entry to mid-level housing.

Refer to Table 1 below and Figure 4 on the following page to view the residential construction activity in Douglas County in March of 2024.

As shown in Table 1, the average number of single family or duplex homes built from 2000 – 2025 was approximately 271 per year. Further, construction was at a peak between 2000 and 2007 when the average number of homes constructed per year was 489, almost double the 25-year average. The Douglas County Growth Management Ordinance (GMO) was adopted very close to the onset of the Great Recession in 2008, after which the County saw a drastic decline in the number of permits issued, with an average of 115 homes permitted per year from 2008-2019, less than half of the 25-year average and almost a 75% decrease than the average in the early 2000s. With this decline in construction, the demand for TDRs also dropped. In recent years following the Covid-19 pandemic construction permits have rebounded somewhat, averaging 289 per year since 2020, slightly higher than the 25-year average but still not fully rebounded from the pre-recession/pre-GMO construction volumes seen in the early 2000s.

Table 1 - Douglas County Building Permit Statistics

Type of Building Permit by Year Range	**# of Permits**
Permitted Residential Construction 2000-2025	
Total SFD + Duplex Homes permitted (2000–2025)	7,049
Total Multifamily Residential units permitted (2000-2025)	757
Avg. SFD + Duplex homes permitted per year (2000-2025)	271
Avg. Multifamily Residential units permitted per year (2000-2025)	29
Pre-GMO/Great Recession Residential Construction (2000-2007)	
Avg. SFD+ Duplex homes permitted per year (2000–2007)	489
Avg. Multifamily Residential units permitted per year (2000-2007)	8.5
Post-GMO/Recession Recovery/Pre-Covid Construction (2008-2019)	
Avg. SFD+ Duplex homes permitted per year (2008–2019)	115
Avg. Multifamily Residential units permitted per year (2008-2019)	15
Recent Residential Construction, Post-Covid (2020-2025)	
Avg. SFD+ Duplex homes permitted per year (2020-2025)	289
Avg. Multifamily Residential units permitted per year (2020-2025)	35

[1] Planned residential construction includes all SFD or duplex residential units included in an approved PD or tentative map (planned) that have not yet requested or received permits for construction.




Figure 3: Residential Construction Activity


Relevant Case Studies

Across the country, Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) programs are used in different ways, but they share a common goal: *protecting open space and farmland while encouraging development in areas that are best equipped to handle growth.* To help identify ways to strengthen Douglas County's TDR program, the County's consultant teams studied successful programs in other communities. Case studies included King County (WA), Summit County (CO), and the New Jersey Pinelands.

Summary of Relevant Case Studies			
Location:	King County, WA	Summit County, CO	Pinelands, NJ
Year Started	1998	1998 (Snake River Basin); 2000 (Joint Upper Blue Basin); 2010 (Countywide TDR Bank)	1981
Governing Body	King County	Summit County	Pineland Development Commission
Governing Body Role	County's TDR program administrator manages the TDR Bank; County provides support for municipal-level TDR programs	Maintains the TDR Bank; established backcountry zoning for sending areas; helps determine pricing	State agency that oversees land development and protection within the Pinelands National Reserve.
Acreage Preserved	145,600 (as of 2022)	2,455 (as of 2022)	57,248 (as of 2023)
Pricing	Set by market	Varies by basin, based on recent land sales.	Private party negotiation
TDR Bank Role	Provides a stable market for credits to facilitate transactions; purchases TDRs; acts as a transaction clearinghouse	Separate accounts for each basin; clearinghouse for potential buyers/sellers; issues Certificates of Development Rights; purchases TDRs that developers can buy (bank); assigns more TDRs in areas that are important for preservation.	Issues Pineland Development Credit (PDC) certificates; tracks transactions; clearinghouse on buying and selling opportunities, public education about the program. Current legislation to transition to a more active role with the potential to purchase PDCs.
Major Funding Source	Conservation Futures Tax (CFT)	Purchase fees	State of New Jersey
Credit Uses	Increase density on urban sites; lower property tax of participating sending sites; rural TDRs allow ADUs up to 1,500 SF	Allows buyers of land in backcountry zones to derive value from land; TDRs are required to rezone land in urban receiving areas.	Can be used to build 50 percent more housing units in regional growth areas in 22 municipalities.
Supporting Programs / Policies	State program established in 2011 provides infrastructure funding to cities outside of the regional program when they purchase TDRs from the King County bank.	Backcountry zones are old mining claims with restrictive zoning allowing off-grid cabins. Participating in the TDR program does not strip that right but allows landowners to extract more value from their land.	Regional Growth Areas are required to include the 50 percent density bonus using TDRs in their zoning codes.

Existing Challenges in the TDR Program

While Douglas County's Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) program has played a critical role in preserving thousands of acres of agricultural and open space lands, its effectiveness has diminished in recent years. Through internal analysis and ongoing program administration, County staff and participants have identified several structural and operational challenges that have limited the program's impact and prompted the need for a comprehensive update. These challenges, many of which align with concerns raised during stakeholder engagement, are outlined below.



Inefficient Certification and Tracking Process

The current system for certifying TDRs relies on physical paper certificates issued to landowners. Once a TDR is sold and applied to a development project, County staff must manually validate the certificate through historical research and documentation. This process is time-consuming, prone to administrative delays, and creates inefficiencies in program oversight.



Market Misalignment

For landowners... Rising land values across Douglas County have made outright land sales more financially attractive than participating in the TDR program. Without adjustments to TDR valuation or incentives that reflect current market conditions, landowners may opt to sell or subdivide their property rather than preserve it through the program.

For developers... when you combine land cost, impact fees, construction costs, and policies such as the Growth Ordinance, the TDR program adds another layer of costs making it hard to move projects forward and resulting in low demand for TDRs.



Ambiguity in Zoning Code

The section of the zoning code that governs TDRs lacks clarity and consistency. Property owners and developers often struggle to understand how TDRs are created, calculated, and applied. The code does not clearly define key terms or outline the administrative procedures necessary for participation. This ambiguity contributes to confusion and discourages broader use of the program.

Lack of Transparency and Limited Developer Participation

Developers face significant barriers in accessing reliable information about available TDRs, including who owns them, how many exist, and whether they are valid. This lack of transparency introduces uncertainty into the development process, particularly in designated receiving areas where TDRs are required. Additionally, the County's Growth Management Ordinance, which limits the number of new residential building permits issued annually, further complicates development planning and reduces demand for TDRs.

Infrastructure Constraints in Receiving Areas

Some designated receiving areas are nearing their infrastructure capacity, while others lack the necessary services to support new development. These limitations restrict where TDRs can be used and reduce the feasibility of growth in areas intended to absorb additional density.

These challenges have collectively hindered the TDR program's ability to function as a reliable and effective tool for land conservation and growth management in recent years. Addressing these issues is essential to modernizing the program, improving its usability, and ensuring it continues to serve the long-term needs of Douglas County.

Chapter 4: TDR Program Recommendations

Douglas County's TDR program has played a meaningful role in preserving over 4,000 acres of land and guiding growth. While recent participation has declined, the program remains a viable tool for conservation and land use planning. To revitalize the program's effectiveness and ensure long-term success, a comprehensive phased strategy to updating the program is recommended. This strategy is informed by stakeholder feedback, market analysis, and case studies from other TDR programs. By bringing the program up to date, streamlining procedures, and improving the program's functionality, the TDR program could be utilized in conjunction with other planning tools available to Douglas County to encourage developers to generate TDR demand.

A Four-Phase Framework for TDR Program Update

Based on feedback from the TAC and stakeholders, as well as a review of market conditions, demographics, and relevant case studies, recommendations have been developed in a phased approach. The recommendations are organized into four sequential phases, each aiming to modernize the program and align it with Douglas County's broader planning goals.

Phase 1: Immediate Administrative Updates

Phase 2: Program-Specific Regulatory Updates

Phase 3: Master Plan Integration

Phase 4: Infrastructure Planning

Phase 1: Immediate Administrative Updates

This phase focuses on foundational improvements to program administration, transparency, and accessibility. These updates are critical to rebuild trust in the program, increase participation, and lay the groundwork for future regulatory planning changes.

Recommendation 1: Implement Unique Tracking for TDRs

Douglas County should establish a centralized database assigning a unique ID to each TDR certificate. This system will prevent duplication, ensure traceability, and support long-term monitoring.

Summary
121 Certificates
97.25 PDCs

Pinelands Development Credit Bank
PDCs Available for Purchase

Certificate Number	Date Issued	PDC Value	Owner	Contact Information
580	8/15/1996	0.25	William & Margaret Smith	PO Box 533 Manahawkin, NJ 08050 Phone: Fax: Email:
1489	12/11/2002	0.25	Charles E. Marlin	18 Springfield Road PO Box 32 New Lisbon, NJ 08064 Phone: Fax:

As seen in the above figure, the Pinelands Development Credit Bank in New Jersey uses certificate numbers to track development credits, while King County assigns serial numbers and maintains a public ledger of transactions.

Recommendation 1 Action Steps

- Develop a secure, searchable database for TDR certificates (see *Recommendation 2* below).
- Assign unique identifier upon issuance of TDR certificate
- Require certificate holders to register transfers with the County using the unique identifier
- Publish transaction data for transparency of available TDRs

Recommendation 2: Online Buyer & Seller Registry

Landowners and developers often struggle to find each other. To facilitate market activity, Douglas County should create a voluntary online registry where landowners and developers can list available TDRs or post interest in acquiring them. Douglas County could model this after a similar registry managed by Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA).

	Posting Date	Type	APN	Development Right	Land Capability	HRA	Unit Price	Transfer Quantity	Contact E
View	2/29/2024	Offer to Sell	132-040-00	Coverage (hard)	Bailey 4	Incline	$30.50	9152 sq ft	general@
View	3/11/2024	Offer to Sell	029-630-029	Coverage (hard)	Bailey 1b	South Stateline	$29.00	2500 sq ft	Tahoe654
View	8/13/2024	Offer to Sell	1318-23-811-024	Coverage (hard)	Bailey 2	South Stateline	$32.00	1500 sq ft	goldensh
View	9/23/2024	Offer to Sell	036-563-017	Potential Residential Unit of ...	IPES	Upper Truckee	$20,000.00	1 units	Randy@di
View	10/17/2024	Offer to Sell	112-180-080	Potential Residential Unit of ...	IPES	Agate Bay	$18,000.00	1 units	marmet.b
View	11/19/2024	Offer to Sell	126-580-07	Coverage (potential)	Bailey 1a	Incline	$25.00	356 sq ft	theaustins
View	2/11/2025	Offer to Sell	029-391-020	Coverage (hard)	Bailey 5	South Stateline	$34.50	1878 sq ft	mros15@
View	2/15/2025	Offer to Sell	081-073-011	Coverage (potential)	IPES	Upper Truckee	$19.00	400 sq ft	freddybba
View	4/7/2025	Offer to Sell	031-161-014	Coverage (potential)	IPES	Upper Truckee	$15.00	700 sq ft	nphjack@

As seen in the above figure, TRPA's public-facing registry includes parcel numbers (unique identifier), rights type, price, and contact information. Summit County's program also includes a service to match buyers and sellers.

Recommendation 2 Action Steps

- Build a public-facing registry integrated with the County's planning website
- Provide templates and user guides on steps in the TDR transaction process (see Recommendation 3 below), including the online registry
- Offer optional County facilitation for complex transfers

Recommendation 3: Expand Education & Outreach

Public understanding of the TDR program is limited, due in large part to lack of information resources available to the public. To increase engagement in the program, the County should launch a robust outreach campaign modeled after best-practices seen in other communities with TDR programs like the Pinelands in NJ and King County, WA, which offer regular workshops, printed guides, and online tutorials.

Specifically, the Pinelands Development Credit Program website offers detailed step-by-step information on how to participate in their program as a landowner, buyer, or developer. Furthermore, the staff member in charge of the program also hosts regular in-person information sessions.

Recommendation 3 Action Steps

- Develop brochures and videos tailored to sending area landowners and receiving area developers
- Create templates and user guides on steps in the TDR transaction process
- Update TDR application for procedural clarity and consistency with zoning code and Master Plan; provide separate applications for sending area landowners and receiving area developers
- Host two annual workshops, one in Carson Valley and one in Topaz Ranch Estates


- Train County staff to provide one-on-one consultations
- Partner with local realtors and land use attorneys to promote the program

Recommendation 4: Launch Public TDR Information Website

Right now, there's very little public information about the program. A dedicated website should serve as the central hub for all TDR-related information. Other communities with TDR programs offer models with interactive maps, downloadable forms, and FAQs. The website should feature:

- Overview of the TDR program and its benefits
- Interactive maps of sending and receiving areas
- Step-by-step guides for buyers, sellers, and developers
- Sample certificates and transaction forms
- Calendar of upcoming events and trainings
- Contact directory for County staff and program liaisons

The images below are examples of pages from the King County, WA, TDR Exchange Program website



HOME / NATURAL RESOURCES & PARKS / SUSTAINABLE BUILDING / TDR / TDR EXCHANGE

Transfer of Development Rights Exchange

The Transfer of Development Rights Exchange facilitates the trading of Transferable Development Rights. This page describes how it works and how to register on the Exchange.

The TDR Exchange is intended to facilitate the purchase and sale of Transferable Development Rights in King County, and is for use by and between TDR certificate holders (owners) and potential buyers (often developers).

Just as with other commodity markets like food and oil, the TDR market is driven by supply and demand. Landowners of property considered sending sites create the supply of development rights when they choose to put a conservation easement on their property in exchange for TDRs to sell and transfer to other areas. Developers using development rights to increase density at receiving sites create the demand.

Before utilizing the TDR Exchange, please read about our program and then see the TDR Market Information page.

Registration is NOT required to view general information about TDRs for sale and wanted listings. If you are interested in following market activity or reviewing listing, but do not intend to participate in purchase and sale of TDRs in King County, please do not register. If you cannot find the information you are looking for, contact a TDR Program staff member.

Registration IS required if you wish to do any of the following:


- Post TDRs for sale or wanted.
- See additional details about each listing.
- Contact buyers or sellers directly regarding purchase or sale of TDRs.

NOTE TO SEATTLE TDR PARTICIPANTS: If you are interested in acquiring and using King County TDRs in the City of Seattle, please contact TDR Program staff before registering for the Exchange.

TDR EXCHANGE | REGISTER

TDRS FOR SALE | TDRS WANTED

Download Purchase and Sales Agreement Template (MS Word .docx 23Kb)



Transfer of Development Rights

The Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) Program is a voluntary, incentive-based, and market-driven approach to conserve land and steer development growth away from rural and resource lands into King County's urban area. The Program has protected over 148,000 acres of rural/resource land and open space since 1998.

For further information see the TDR Program Overview, or for specific questions not answered on the website please contact the staff people listed below or consult the TDR Code.

HOW TRANSFER OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS WORKS

Recommendation 5: Zoning Code Updates

To improve clarity in the process, it is recommended the County undergo a comprehensive update to the zoning code specific to the TDR program. Recommended updates to the zoning code include:

- Provide definitions for TDR zones including sending area, base zoning, and receiving areas
- Define base TDR calculations
- Provide clear codified procedures for certificate issuance, transfer, and developer obligations.
- Provide clear procedures for receiving area developers including requirements for when to apply for the required PD or Specific Plan in relation to the timeline for purchase and transfer of the TDRs.
- Continuity in procedural language across all program literature including Zoning Code, TDR Application, and Master Plan.
- Definitions of important program terminology

Phase 2: Program Specific Regulatory Updates

This phase focuses on stimulating market demand and enhancing the TDR program's incentive framework to better reflect preservation priorities. Recommendations for Phase 2 include a comprehensive update to the Douglas County Development Code TDR section.

Recommendation 6: County-Wide Expanded TDR Requirement

To increase market demand for TDRs the County could expand the TDR requirements to include landowners and/or developers to obtain TDRs for any intensification of land throughout the County outside of currently designated receiving areas (and excluding the Tahoe Basin). This would encourage development to remain in receiving areas; however, if a landowner or developer requested to increase density allowances outside of receiving areas the subject property would then be required to obtain TDRs. To protect current property rights, If a landowner or developer wants to develop outside of currently designated receiving areas using the existing allowed density then a TDR would not be required.

Under the expanded TDR requirement the existing receiving areas would remain, development within the existing receiving areas would continue to be subject to TDR requirements, and the zoning code would be updated to expand the applicability of the TDR program to include any intensification through a master plan and/or zoning density allowance. Any master plan and zoning map amendment requests would include adding an overlay zone to the applicable parcels indicating the parcels are subject to the TDR program.

Recommendation 6 Action Steps

- Update the zoning code to expand the TDR program applicability to any development county-wide resulting in an intensification of land, including but not limited to: master plan or zone changes with an increase in density or land use, or planned developments outside of existing receiving areas (coupled with all code updates from Recommendation 5).

Parcels located in the existing receiving areas would continue to require TDRs for development, and parcels located outside of the existing receiving areas would now be subject to TDRs if the development proposed meets certain code requirements (intensification).

Recommendation 6 can be combined with Option 1 and/or Option 2 below (or neither) in order to further expand the program, increase TDR demand, and align with master plan goals and policies of land conservation:

Recommendation 6 Additional Considerations:

Option 1: TDR Incentive Overlay Zones (Sending Areas)

It is recommended that sending areas are updated to include TDR incentive overlay zones by priority of preservation. This approach incentivizes the purchase of TDRs from higher-priority conservation areas. Below is an outline of proposed zones and their respective incentives (see Figure 5 on the page 38):

- Zone 3: A-19 Land within Carson Valley and in the Flood Plain
  - Protects the flood plain and community character of Carson Valley.
  - Incentive: 1 TDR from Zone 3 would equate to 3 units in a receiving area.
- Zone 2: A-19 Land within Carson Valley outside of the Flood Plain
  - Protects the community character of Carson Valley.
  - Incentive: 1 TDR from Zone 2 would equate to 2 units in a receiving area.
- Zone 1: A-19 Land outside of Carson Valley and all FR-19 land within the County
  - While land preservation is still desired in this zone, it is less of a priority as Carson Valley. Much of this land is unlikely to be developed for the foreseeable future. Zone 1 includes Topaz and the area in the southern part of the County.
  - No additional incentives; TDRs in Zone 1 equate to 1 unit in a receiving area.

For example, a developer who wants to build a 100-unit PD would need 100 TDRs if they purchase TDRs that were generated in Zone 1 but would only need 33 TDRs generated from Zone 3 for the same development.

Under the incentive overlay, TDRs generated in Zone 3 (3x multiplier) or Zone 2 (2x multiplier) may only be used within designated receiving areas. These TDR overlay zones would essentially redefine sending areas, keeping the underlying base zoning of either A-19 or FR-19 and adding overlay zones based on the boundaries of Carson Valley (Zone 2 and

3) and the Flood Plain (Zone 3). This multiplier zone overlay recommendation will act as an additional bonus to stimulate further conservation in Carson Valley and the flood plain, encouraging developers to prioritize conservation in certain areas yet maintaining flexibility across the County.

Option 1 Action Steps

- Amend zoning code to define TDR overlay zones and multipliers, replacing existing sending area definitions
- Publish updated maps showing incentive overlay zone boundaries
- Include overlay zone multipliers in TDR certificate documentation

How would this affect existing program incentives? The allowed TDR bonuses under the existing program would not change. The process would remain the same for calculating the TDRs. The zone incentives described above would be applied once the TDRs are created. The goal of zone incentives is to encourage developers to purchase TDRs from higher priority preservation areas but does not preclude them from purchasing TDRs from other preservation areas. For instance, a landowner may charge slightly higher rates for TDRs in zone 3 vs. zone 1, and a developer gets a higher multiplier for zone 3. If TDR values are set too high in zone 3, a developer could look to zone 2 or 1 to find them cheaper but will receive less units per TDR.

As a market-based program this would allow landowners to potentially charge higher amounts for higher priority zones; however, if a landowner overpriced the TDR, a developer would have other options to purchase TDRs. The incentive ideally creates balance between landowners and developers and encourages preservation of the highest priority areas first.




Figure 4 - Proposed TDR Overlay Zone Map

Option 2: Establish an In-Lieu Fee Program to Support County-Led Conservation

To complement the expanded TDR system and provide an opportunity for Douglas County to be more involved in the TDR program and overall conservation, it is recommended the County establish an in-lieu of fee program. Under an in-lieu of fee program, landowners or developers would have the option to pay a set fee per TDR in lieu of acquiring TDRs from the open market. The County would then focus preservation efforts using revenue from in-lieu of fees.

Under the expanded applicability of Recommendation 6 above, upzoning or intensification of land use would be subject to TDR requirements, but by including Option 2, landowners or developers county-wide could either acquire TDRs on the open market or elect instead to pay an in-lieu of fee. The in-lieu of fee program would offer more certainty in building costs and make the TDR program easier to use, in return helping generate demand.

Revenue generated through the in-lieu of fee program would be collected and maintained by the County in a dedicated fund. These funds could then be used by the County to achieve conservation goals in a variety of ways including but not limited to purchasing TDRs and retiring them, purchasing conservation easements or new TDRs, purchasing land for conservation outright, or leveraging the funds for grant matching. This approach allows the County to take a more active role in achieving preservation goals, particularly in cases where private TDR transactions may not occur. An in-lieu of fee program also provides a direct option to potential developers that may incentivize development by removing the requirement to find TDRs, which can be challenging to find in the open market. It also provides a predictable funding source for conservation and increases flexibility for developers while still ensuring continued progress toward preserving agricultural land even during periods of reduced TDR demand.

The County could elect to incorporate both Option 1 and Option 2 when implementing these recommendations. Incentive multipliers (TDRs from Zone 2 or 3) could only be used in the receiving areas. It is also recommended that developers within the receiving area would need to choose between either open-market TDRs using the incentive overlays (Option 1) or payment of the in-lieu fee (Option 2) and not allow a combination of both to avoid impacting the open market.

Option 2 Action Steps

- Establish an initial base in-lieu of fee; an initial appraisal and consultation with current TDR owners may be needed to establish the base fee. Establish a fee structure based on a per dwelling unit cost that reflects TDR market conditions and land values. Consider fee tiers for detached homes, apartment units, and affordable housing.
    - The appraisal conducted to establish the base in-lieu fee annually should take into account that the landowner gets to keep their land.

An existing agricultural parcel that is put into a conservation easement can still be used for agriculture while also giving the property owner additional value in the TDR certificate.

- Create and manage a dedicated TDR or conservation fund to collect and manage in-lieu of fee revenues.
- Update the zoning code to include an option for in-lieu fee payment
- Develop criteria and procedures for County use of collected funds. Funds may be used to:
    - purchase existing unallocated TDRs and retire them;
    - purchase conservation easements or newly generated TDRs;
    - purchase land outright for conservation; and/or
    - leverage grant funding to accomplish the goals above.
- Annually the County should conduct an appraisal process with input from the public, including TDR holders and landowners, to establish the annual base fee and inform prioritization of spending of the established conservation fund.
- Ensure transparency through annual reporting on fee collection, fund balance, and preservation priorities.

Phase 3: Master Plan Updates

Recommendation 7: Update Receiving Areas

Although Recommendation 6 above expands TDR requirements county-wide (outside of existing receiving areas and the Tahoe Basin), receiving areas still play a critical role in the program by directing growth to where it's most appropriate. Today many of the existing receiving areas lack infrastructure to accommodate future growth or may need to be modified based on recent growth patterns. The County's Master Plan is the document which defines receiving areas. It is recommended the County reassess and designate new receiving areas that align with both infrastructure capacity and community goals. The focus of updating the receiving areas should be on aligning growth areas with available infrastructure.

Recommendation 7 Action Steps

- Conduct a build-out analysis of current receiving areas
- Identify receiving areas where infrastructure is lacking and areas that may be more appropriate for potential receiving areas near existing infrastructure or planned future extensions
- Incorporate TDR receiving area updates into the upcoming 2026 Master Plan and community plan updates

Recommendation 8: Protect Water Resources

The Carson River floodplain supports the local aquifer and ranching. To protect it, the County should consider development restrictions within the Master Plan to protect this resource. Master Plan updates which could further protect the flood plain include:

- Limiting building size and outbuildings in the floodplain
- Zoning changes that restrict residential use to agricultural-only areas (though this could be controversial)

  Recommendation 8 Action Steps
  - Conduct environmental and hydrological assessments of the Carson River floodplain
  - Draft Master Plan language to limit residential density and building size in sensitive areas
  - Explore zoning changes to restrict residential use to agricultural-only zones
  - Incorporate water resource updates in the upcoming 2026 Master Plan and community plan updates

Phase 4: Infrastructure Planning and Growth Management

Recommendation 9: Plan for Growth Where It's Needed

Infrastructure investments should be targeted to areas identified for future development through the TDR program. Once new receiving areas are identified, it is recommended the County assess and prioritize infrastructure upgrades, in line with the existing 2020 Master Plan goal of preparing infrastructure before it is urgently needed.

Recommendation 9 Action Steps
- Conduct infrastructure needs assessment (water, sewer, roads, etc.) for proposed receiving areas
- Prioritize upgrades in areas best suited for future growth
- Align infrastructure investments with Master Plan goals and policies

Recommendation 10: Further Assess the Growth Management Ordinance

The Building Permit Allocation and Growth Management Ordinance (Title 20 Chapter 20.560) has successfully limited growth since taking effect in 2007. The ordinance has also indirectly reduced the use of the TDR program: lower building permits and population growth equals lower demand for TDRs. The recommendation is not to remove the ordinance; however, Douglas County may consider amending Chapter 20.560 to add

incentives for utilizing TDRs to encourage preservation of ag land. Creating balance between managing growth and encouraging developers to move forward with quality housing in receiving areas already planned for growth, which could help to strike a balance between preserving agricultural land at a faster pace and maintaining a manageable growth rate. Recommended updates to Chapter 20.560 may include:

- Adding a second tier of building allocations if TDRs were purchased in Zone 3 as proposed in Recommendation 6, Option 1. This would allow Douglas County to maintain a cap on building permits while also encouraging development in receiving areas with TDRs that preserve the highest priority areas.
- Add additional building allocations if a developer adds major infrastructure improvements to a receiving area.

  Recommendation 10 Action Steps
  - Further assess the potential options and benefits of improving the balance between Growth Management and the TDR program.
  - Draft potential updates and hold Planning Commission and County Commission workshops to further discuss the opportunities.
  - If updates are identified, process desired code amendments to Chapter 20.560.

Program Recommendations Support Master Plan Goals

Douglas County TDR Program Recommendations – Aligned Master Plan Goals		
TDR Recommendation	Chapter and Goal(s)	Justification
Unique Tracking for TDRs	Chapter 1, Goal 1	Accurate tracking prevents misuse and supports managed, transparent development
	Chapter 2, Goal 2	Supports permanent preservation of sending areas
Online Buyer & Seller Registry	Chapter 1, Goal 1	Facilitates market-based growth consistent with planning goals
	Chapter 2, Goal 2	Helps enable conservation transactions and protect agricultural land
Education & Outreach	Chapter 1, Goal 1	Increases understanding and participation in growth management tools
	Chapter 2, Goals 2 and 5	- Promotes landowner awareness of conservation options; and - Educates agricultural stakeholders on how TDRs can financially support continued agriculture use
Public TDR Info Website	Chapter 1, Goal 1	Centralizes program access and encourages responsible use
	Chapter 2, Goal 2	Informs landowners and public about preservation outcomes
Update Zoning Code	Chapter 2, Goal 2	Provides greater clarity on program terms and procedures, making the program easier to understand and use
County Wide Expanded TDR Requirement	Chapter 1, Goal 1	Expanding the requirement county-wide will increase demand while still managing growth and development into desired areas
Update Receiving Area	Chapter 1, Goal 1	- Aligns growth with infrastructure capacity and avoids sprawl
	Chapter 2, Goals 2 and 5	- Refocuses preservation on key visual and ag landscapes - Prevents fragmentation of productive ranchlands and farmlands
Protect Water Resources	Chapter 2, Goals 2 and 5	- Limits development in sensitive floodplain areas vital for ecological health; and - Maintains water access and quality critical for agricultural uses
Plan for Growth Where It's Needed	Chapter 4, Goal 1	- Coordinates growth and infrastructure to prevent unplanned sprawl; and - Infrastructure-focused planning reduces inefficiencies and supports compact development
	Chapter 4, Goal 2	Infrastructure-focused planning reduces inefficiencies to support growth
Further Assess the GMO	Chapter 4, Goal 1	Analyzing the effectiveness of the GMO in keeping growth to a sustainable level that resources can support

Alternatives Considered but Not Recommended

Alternative 1 – One TDR for Every Roof Top

During the planning process stakeholders expressed desires for exploration of a one-to-one TDR requirement (universal TDR), where every new roof top in the County would be required to acquire a TDR prior to development (essentially removing receiving areas) as a possible strategy for increasing TDR demand. However, after further discussion and research it was understood that a one-to-one TDR requirement may pose certain liability concerns and administrative hurdles, as well as conflicting with County wide goals and policies.

In response to this direction from stakeholders and the Planning Commission, the above recommendations were developed in order to expand the TDR program and increase TDR demand within the existing legal framework.

Outside of general liability concerns, below is a summary of why a one-to-one TDR is in conflict with County goals and policies:

Relevant County Goals and Policies vs. Universal TDR Requirement: Conflicts		
Douglas County 2020 Master Plan		
Master Plan Goals		Universal TDR Conflict
Land Use Element (Section 3.1)	**Goal LU-1:** Retain the beauty, the natural setting/resources, and rural/agricultural character of the County while providing opportunities for managed growth and development	Removal of receiving areas and utilizing a TDR for all new uses will encourage development to follow the cheapest or more desirable location opposed to the most appropriate. This may lead to **unmanaged growth and development and not preserving agriculture and sensitive areas.** Removal of receiving areas may also impact property values for landowners with property currently located in a receiving area identified for future development.
Agriculture and Conservation Element (Section 3.3)	**Goal AG-1:** Protect and expand open space and natural areas while allowing development that acknowledges and enhances agricultural areas	
	Policy AG1.1: Encourage clustering development to preserve agricultural lands	
	Policy AG-1.2: Support conservation easements and other tools to protect sensitive lands	

Douglas County 2020 Master Plan		
	Master Plan Goals	Universal TDR Conflict
Environmental Resource Element Section 3.4	**Goal ER-1:** Preserve and protect the County's natural resources including wildlife habitat, water quality, and scenic vistas. **Policy ER-1.3:** Promote land use strategies that minimize environmental impact.	Removing designated receiving areas may encourage development in areas that are less environmentally suitable, increasing the risk of flood, habitat disruption, and ecological degradation.
Housing Element (Section 3.6)	**Goal H-1**: Keep growth in Douglas County to a sustainable level that natural and fiscal resources can support. **Goal H-2**: Direct development to locations within or adjacent to existing communities where public services and facilities can be easily provided and sense of community created or enhanced. **Goal H-3**: Increase awareness of affordable housing needs and increase the diversity of available homeownership opportunities. **Goal H-4**: Increase housing opportunities for households with special needs, including persons with physical and mental disabilities, the elderly, and at-risk children.	A universal TDR program could have the following impacts on housing goals: ▪ Removal of receiving areas could impact sustainable growth. ▪ Discourage developers from building quality housing. ▪ Creates a more complex program with a higher administration cost. ▪ Less control of where housing is built and higher cost to the County long-term for infrastructure. ▪ Possible property value impacts due to the TDR requirement for all residential and commercial. ▪ Impacts listed above could make homeownership opportunities harder.

Douglas County Development Code		
Development Code Goals		Universal TDR Conflict
Douglas County Development Code § 20.01.020 Purpose	Preserve recognized values of historic and community appearance, charm and character;	A universal TDR approach will encourage sprawl opposed to focused development areas. Sprawling development driven by cost rather than planning may erode historic community character.
	Facilitate adequate provisions of transportation, water, sewage, schools, parks, and other facilities and services;	A universal TDR approach would eliminate receiving areas encouraging development to occur in locations lacking adequate infrastructure potentially outpacing capacity and straining public services.
	Avoid undesirable concentrations of population;	Eliminating receiving areas could lead to population clustering in areas not suited for growth, creating imbalanced communities.
	Prevent overcrowding of land;	Overcrowding will happen where the land is cheapest, or desirable for the buyer, and won't protect sensitive areas or preserve farmland.
	Provide the economic and social advantages gained from a comprehensively planned use of land resources.	A universal TDR approach undermines strategic land planning by removing targeted growth and preservation areas and reducing economic efficiency.

Alternative 2 – No Changes, Just Incentivize the Existing TDR Program

One route explored was simply to incentivize the existing TDR program with the incentive overlay zones discussed in Option 1 above. Alternative 1 essentially takes Option 1 as the primary recommendation for increasing TDR demand. This alternative would be coupled with a similar recommendation to 7 above, so that receiving areas are updated to reflect existing development and future planned infrastructure. Alternative 1 would be characterized with administrative and program updates needed to streamline the program and increase public understanding coupled with incentive overlays to increase demand. The 10 recommendations of the program found above have been organized into four phases, and Alternative 1 assumes that all recommendations from Phases 1 and 4 would also apply.

Benefits: Program remains; administrative burden does not increase substantially

Incentivizes sending area landowners; reduces burden on receiving area landowners to find TDRs (less TDRs required from incentive zones)

County does not enter the market

Cons: May not stimulate TDR usage the same way the preferred alternative does

Alternative 3 – Sunset the TDR Program

One alternative is to phase out the TDR program. This would come with its own set of administrative hurdles. This alternative would include reducing the receiving areas to align with existing or planned infrastructure and remain large enough to accommodate current TDRs available. Once all of the existing TDRs have been allocated to development, the program would be retired and a Master Plan amendment and code amendment would be initiated to remove the program language, including sending and receiving areas.

Benefits: The Growth Management Ordinance (GMO) is still in place which limits development.

GMO and TDR program would no longer be working against each other.

Eliminates administration burden.

Cons: Does not align with the recommendations received by the Planning Commission or the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

Removing receiving areas would also create uncertainty of where future development should occur or is most desired for Douglas County.

Conflicts with goals and policies of the Master Plan and the Agriculture and Conservation element

Analyzing other potential planning tools for preservation

Other Planning Tools (non-TDR) and Recommendations		
Planning Tool	Support for Land Preservation	Potential Challenge
Planned Development	Establish areas of preservation or open space within a development, could include an ag component. Large landowner could create a PD to identify areas for ag land versus homes. Conditions of approval could specify conservation easements. Could be valuable if a landowner wanted to sell versus use the TDR program.	Typically developer driven, could increase density in exchange for preservation. Could encourage development outside of desired areas. PD could eventually be amended to remove ag lands in the future.
Development Agreement	Could specify areas to be preserved as a result of a development project. If used with a PD on ag lands, could provide stipulations for conservation easements. Could be valuable if a landowners wanted to sell versus use the TDR program.	Would be tied to a development and would most likely only protect open space within receiving areas. Would likely require landowners to gain developable area to agree on a conservation easement. Could encourage development outside of desired areas.
Zoning Code Updates	Could further restrict development in certain areas.	Most landowners would not support a loss of density, could create legal challenges.
Specific Plan or Zoning Overlay	Work with landowners to provide limitations on future development in specific areas to preserve land. Could be a good tool if there was consensus among landowners. Could allow the County and landowners to agree on certain development areas in exchange for priority preservation areas. Provide both preservation and developable area.	Not all landowners may want to participate or may require some developable rights in exchange for preservation. Could encourage landowners to develop their property in the future versus shift the right to other more desirable areas creating infrastructure issues for the County long term.
County Sponsored Land Purchase Program	County controls the land and can provide a conservation easement. Could lease the land for continued ag use. County could create an impact fee or assessment district to help fund the program.	County has to create a program to generate income and /or apply for grants to purchase the land which may not be supported. Some landowners may not want to sell or may ask for a higher amount than the County can pay.
Land Donation	County controls the land and can provide a conservation easement. Could lease the land for continued ag use.	While it is possible, it is very rare for land owners to donate land.

While these tools may provide benefits for a specific situation or could be used in conjunction with the TDR program, they offer different benefits and challenges compared to the TDR program. The TDR Program allows a property owner to create a tangible asset they can sell while preserving and continuing to "work" their land. TDRs are a unique

preservation tool that does not require the County to purchase land and encourages development in areas directed by the County. If a landowner prefers to sell the land, as opposed to using the TDR program, the County could utilize the other tools to create some sort of incentive in exchange for preserving ag land.

Appendices:

A. Existing conditions analysis & Case studies review

B. Financial analysis summary



Appendix A: Existing Conditions Analysis & Case Study Review



Appendix B: Financial Analysis Summary



AGENDA ITEM NO. 13.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **COMMUNITY SERVICES**

TITLE:
For possible action. Discussion to adopt **Ordinance 2026-1672**, an ordinance creating Chapter 20.850 of the Douglas County Code, pertaining to Art in Public Places; and a zoning text amendment to Section 20.660.050(N) of the Douglas County Code, decategorizing "murals" as a "use of community significance." Second Reading. (Brook Adie, Community Services Director)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Adopt Ordinance 2026-1672, as presented, based on the ability to make the required findings as demonstrated in the staff report, presentations, public comments, the unanimous recommendation of the Planning Commission, and discussion by the County Commissioners.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
Unknown at this time.

BACKGROUND:
Ordinance 2026-1672 creates a new Chapter 20.850 within the Douglas County Development Code, pertaining to Art in Public Places. This new Art in Public Places chapter will establish a Public Art Advisory Organization (PAAO), which will be authorized to: assist interested applicants with the design and installation of public art and publicly visible art; create ad hoc project panels to provide specialized guidance on public art and publicly visible art; and render advice on the maintenance of public art and publicly visible art. The new chapter will also establish an application process for the installation of murals, which will allow for murals to be decategorized as a "use of community significance," as currently denoted in Section 20.660.050(N). This decategorization will allow murals to be installed without the need for a special use permit.

For additional background and information on this ordinance, please review the attached staff report. The Planning Commission unanimously recommended the County Commissioners approve the ordinance by a vote of 6-0.

ATTACHMENTS:
SR-Art_Ordinance.pdf
Public Art Code.pdf
Art in Public Places Program Plan.pdf

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
1594 Esmeralda Avenue, Minden, Nevada 89423

Tom Dallaire, P.E.
DIRECTOR

775-782-6201
FAX: 775-782-6297
website: www.douglascountynv.gov

Building Division
Engineering Division
Planning Division
Code Enforcement

MEMORANDUM

Date: October 15, 2026

To: Douglas County Board of County Commissioners

From: Tom Dallaire, Community Development Director
Brook Adie, Community Services Director

Re: Ordinance 2026-1672, creating Douglas County Code (DCC) Chapter 20.850, Art in Public Places; and amending DCC 20.660.050(N), decategorizing "murals" as a "use of community significance."

I. REQUEST

For possible action. Adoption of **Ordinance 2026-1672**, an ordinance creating Chapter 20.850 of the Douglas County Code, pertaining to Art in Public Places; and a zoning text amendment to Section 20.660.050(N) of the Douglas County Code, decategorizing "murals" as a "use of community significance." Second Reading. (Brook Adie, Community Services Director)

II. RECOMMENDATION

For possible action. Adoption of **Ordinance 2026-1672**, an ordinance creating Chapter 20.850 of the Douglas County Code, pertaining to Art in Public Places; and a zoning text amendment to Section 20.660.050(N) of the Douglas County Code, decategorizing "murals" as a "use of community significance."

III. BACKGROUND AND HISTORY

Applicant: Douglas County/Brook Adie, Director, Douglas County Community Services

Site Location: Countywide

In December 2020, Douglas County adopted its 2020 Master Plan Update which included new language, policies and action items related to the integration of public art and culture into the County's overall economic strategy. Additionally, the enhancement of arts programming and cultural facilities was identified as an opportunity for growth in the 2013 Douglas County Valley Vision and the 2018 Minden

and Gardnerville Plan for Prosperity. In December of 2021, the Board of Commissioners also approved an updated Economic Vitality Plan which included the development of an Outdoor Arts Program to complement the County's heritage and scenery.

In September of 2023, the Board formally adopted a Douglas County Art in Public Places Program Plan (the "Art Plan"). Among other things, the Art Plan recommended the formation of a Public Art Advisory Organization (PAAO) to assist with the installation of outdoor art throughout Douglas County.

The Board of County Commissioners next adopted a Strategic Plan, effective on July 1, 2024. The strategic plan recognizes a growing emphasis on enriching local arts and culture and discusses enhancing residents' quality of life, preserving the Douglas County's natural beauty and rural character, and preserving heritage and culture. It specifically emphasizes the development of a "Vibrant public arts program with implementation of Art in Public Places Plan". It also lists "Strategic Shifts" for the County, saying the County should "Promote culture and heritage by investing in a performing arts center and implementing the Douglas County public arts program." It encourages the County to "Install public art related to community heritage and culture on County facilities." Finally, lists the support of "a vibrant public-arts program" as an indicator of success.

Ordinance 2026-1672 implements aspects of the Art Plan and is consistent with the other plans and programs previously adopted by the Board. The Ordinance formally establishes the PAAO and authorizes the PAAO too, among other things: assist interested applicants with the design and installation of publicly visible art; create ad hoc project panels to provide specialized guidance on public art and publicly visible art; and render advice on the maintenance of public art and publicly visible art.

The Ordinance also establishes an application process for the installation of murals, which be decategorized as a "use of community significance," as currently denoted in Section 20.660.050(N). The removal of this categorization will allow murals to be installed without having to obtain a special use permit.

On August 11, 2026, the Ordinance was presented to the Planning Commission, which recommended approval with a vote 6-0.

IV. DISCUSSION AND EVALUATION

This Ordinance establishes a program that will help promote art in Douglas County. Anyone wishing to install public art or publicly visible art currently must pursue the projects on their own, without guidance or assistance. Following the adoption of the Ordinance, individuals and entities can utilize the PAAO to help them conceptualize, install, and maintain their public art projects. This is expected to help promote and advance the installation of such art projects throughout the County.

The Ordinance will also create a more streamlined, less restrictive review procedure for murals by removing the Special Use Permit requirement that currently exists. The new mural permit will be issued by the Community Development department. A permit fee will be established by the Board, but staff will ultimately recommend that the fee be negligible, just enough to cover the minimal cost and staff

time associated with reviewing the application materials and creating an inventory of murals and other art in Douglas County.

This Ordinance will still require certain public art projects to obtain any applicable building permits or other planning approvals currently required, such as building permits needed to install new structures or foundations, or to mount art to the sides of buildings.

V. FINDINGS FOR A ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT

Pursuant to Douglas County Code section **20.610.050**, when approving a zoning text amendment, the Board must make the following findings:

A. That the proposed amendment is consistent with the policies embodied in the adopted master plan and the underlying land use designation contained in the land use plan;

Applicant/Staff Response: The Art in Public Places Program and Ordinance 2026-1672 will provide resources to individuals and agencies seeking to install public art and publicly visible art in Douglas County. This is consistent with numerous goals and policies throughout the Master Plan, the 2018 Minden and Gardnerville Plan for Prosperity, and the 2024 Strategic Plan.

First, the Ordinance is consistent with the Master Plan's ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Element. The purpose of this element (Master Plan page 147) is to:

> *Establish goals, policies, and actions that are focused on questions of prosperity, conservation, job creation, and quality of life in a manner that is consistent with the remaining objectives of the Master Plan and the values of Douglas County residents. This Element defines a future for the Douglas County economy that enhances the quality of the downtowns, identifies economic development strategies that complement existing business clusters, and preserves the natural resources that are fundamental to the past and future character of the community. In other words, "to create an economy that matches the scenery."*

The Economic Development Element includes a section on Public Art and Culture (Master Plan pages 165-166), which reads as follows:

> *The integration of public art and culture into the County's overall economic strategy has been identified as an opportunity for growth and expansion in the 2013 Douglas County Valley Vision and the 2018 Minden and Gardnerville Plan for Prosperity. Public art and culture can be an essential part of Douglas County, both intrinsically and economically, and can have a significant impact on the quality of life for residents and visitors. At the same time, however, the ability of the arts to thrive and grow in Douglas County faces challenges. Individual artists of all disciplines have limited access to funding. Nonprofit arts organizations experience tougher competition for funding to maintain stability and growth. Schools face budget constraints that may force arts programs to be cut. In addition, space for local artists' housing, studios, and exhibits is limited. Public input has called for more arts- and culture-related venues and activities in the community.*

Including arts and culture as central to revitalization plans may help make an area a pedestrian-friendly magnet for music, theater, dance, and visual arts, for dining, shopping, and entertainment, and for festivals and events. Arts facilities and cultural events tend to attract more residents, tourists, and employees to a community. They provide employment opportunities through support services to the arts, expand tax base, and increase property values. The arts provide measurable benefits in the form of increased business, tourism, and revenue. Public art should reflect the community in which it resides, bring people together, revitalize neighborhoods, help drive the local economy, honor local heritage and history, and enhance quality of life. Art in Public Places Programs provide an ongoing funding source through developer fees or requirements for art projects for visible projects that affect the whole community. This can include art in new developments, art in public spaces through contribution to a central fund, art at the Town's gateways, and focal points and spaces for the creation and presentation of art.

The Ordinance specifically promotes Economic Development Policies E9 and E17 (Master Plan page 149), which state:

Policy E9: *Increase opportunities for public art by recognizing the economic benefits of promoting public art and culture through increasing tourism, creating jobs for artists, and building a source of community pride.*

Policy E17: *Promote cultural tourism, which is defined as "travel directed toward experiencing the arts, heritage, and special character of unique places."*

The Ordinance is also consistent with Economic Development Actions 24 through 26 (Master Plan page 313), which state:

Action 24: *Consider amendments to the Development Code to create a process for applying to install public art, including separate criteria for murals and sculptures and consideration for temporary, permanent, and rotating displays.*

Action 25: *Facilitate the creation of a Cultural Commission, which will be an advisory board to the County Commissioners for matters relating to arts and culture for the benefit of all residents and visitors.*

Action 26: *Continue to work with our network of partners to promote and advocate for arts and cultural programming.*

The Ordinance will also advance certain Public Facilities, Services, and Recreation Actions, including Actions 23 and 26 (Master Plan page 318), which state:

Action 23: *Encourage funding for art and cultural facilities and form a public arts coalition between the Towns of Minden and Gardnerville, Main Street Gardnerville, the Carson Valley Arts Council, and other interested parties to enhance cultural and performing arts.*

Action 26: *Assist in the improvement of arts organizations' existing facilities to enhance the quality and quantity of arts offerings.*

The Ordinance is also consistent with the 2018 Minden and Gardnerville Plan for Prosperity, which is referenced throughout the Master Plan, and provides as follows (Plan for Prosperity page 2)*:*

Minden and Gardnerville envision vibrant downtowns that are regional destinations and the hearts of their communities. Their town centers will be mixed-use, feature cultural facilities, have a full annual events calendar, and integrated arts programming.

Based on the foregoing, the Ordinance is consistent with the policies embodied in the adopted master plan. The Ordinance also does not impact any underlying land use designations. Accordingly, staff believe this finding is met.

B. That the proposed amendment will not be inconsistent with the adequate public facilities policies contained in this title;

Applicant/Staff Response: The Art in Public Places Program and Ordinance 2026-1672 will have no effect on public facility policies. The only change to the zoning text is that murals will be removed as a "use of community significance," and will therefore be able to be installed with a mural permit instead of a special use permit. This change is not inconsistent with the adequate public facilities policies of Title 20.

C. That the proposed amendment is compatible with the actual and master planned use of the adjacent properties;

Applicant/Staff Response: The Art in Public Places Program is a county wide program that imposes no new requirements or restrictions on art. Instead, it promotes public art throughout the County by providing resources to interested parties. The art projects that take advantage of this system will be given guidance and advice that will help ensure their compliance with the County's Master Plan and Development Code. As a result, this Ordinance is expected to make all future art installations more compatible with the actual and master planned use of adjacent properties that they would have been previously.

D. If the amendment is in a receiving area and changes land to any industrial, commercial, or residential district, or otherwise increases the density or intensity of use, that the amendment is being requested in the context of a specific plan or a planned development, and utilizes transfer development rights.

Applicant/Staff Response: Ordinance 2026-1672 does not increase the density or intensity of use within any receiving area. As such, this finding is not applicable.

VI. RECOMMENDATION & CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, staff recommends that the Board approve **Ordinance 2026-1672**, as presented, based on the ability to meet the required findings, as set forth in this staff report, presentations, and Board discussion.

ORDINANCE 2026-1672

Summary

Ordinance 2026-1672, an ordinance creating Chapter 20.850 of the Douglas County Code, pertaining to Art in Public Places; and a zoning text amendment to Section 20.660.050(N) of the Douglas County Code, decategorizing "murals" as a "use of community significance." The new Art in Public Places chapter will establish a Public Art Advisory Organization (PAAO), which will be authorized to: assist interested applicants with the design and installation of public art and publicly visible art; create ad hoc project panels to provide specialized guidance on public art and publicly visible art; and render advice on the maintenance of public art and publicly visible art. The new chapter will also establish an application process for the installation of murals, which will allow for murals to be decategorized as a "use of community significance," as currently denoted in Section 20.660.050(N). This decategorization will allow murals to be installed without having to obtain a special use permit.

Title

Ordinance 2026-1672, an ordinance creating Chapter 20.850 of the Douglas County Code, pertaining to Art in Public Places; and a zoning text amendment to Section 20.660.050(N) of the Douglas County Code, decategorizing "murals" as a "use of community significance."

Recitals

WHEREAS, on or about September 7, 2023, the Douglas County Board of Commissioners (the "Board") approved the Douglas County Art in Public Places Program Plan (the "Art Plan"); and

WHEREAS, the Board now desires to implement aspects of the Art Plan.

NOW THEREFORE, based on the foregoing, the board does ordain:

//

//

//

SECTION I: A new Chapter 20.850, Art in Public Places, is added to Title 20 of the Douglas County Code, with new language shown underlined:

Chapter 20.850

Art in Public Places

Sections:

20.850.010 Purpose
20.850.020 Definitions
20.850.030 Public Art Advisory Organization (PAAO)
20.850.040 Project Panels
20.850.050 Applications to Install Public Art and Publicly Visible Art
20.850.060 Applications to Install Murals
20.850.070 Applicability of Building and Other Permit Requirements
20.850.080 Maintenance and Removal

20.850.010 Purpose

The purpose of this Chapter is to encourage the integration of art into the public realm, enrich the community environment, enhance the quality of life for residents and visitors, promote civic pride, and recognize the cultural and economic benefits of public art.

20.850.020 Definitions

The words and phrases in this chapter have the following meanings:

"Artist" means a practitioner in the visual and design arts, generally recognized by critics or peers as a professional in the field as evidenced by his or her artistic training, professional experience, or portfolio of work.

"Artwork" means an aesthetic production, in any medium, made by an Artist. Artwork may include but is not limited to paintings, sculptures, engravings, carvings, frescos, stained glass and glass works, mosaics, mobiles, murals, statues, bas-reliefs, tapestries, photographs, digital art, video projections, drawings, fountains, and monuments. Artwork may also include functional furnishings such as artist-designed landscaping, seating, railings, streetlights, gates, or walkways. Artwork may be permanent or temporary.

"Mural" means an Artwork painted, tiled, or otherwise affixed directly to an exterior wall, roof, or other large, permanent surface.

"Public Art" means an Artwork that is located on any public way or on any public property that is generally open to the public.

"Publicly Visible Art" means an Artwork that is located on private property in a space that is accessible or viewable to the public without charge.

20.850.030 Public Art Advisory Organization (PAAO)

A. A Public Art Advisory Organization (PAAO) is hereby created.

B. The PAAO shall initially consist of the voting members of Local Arts Agency for Douglas County, as designated by proclamation of the Board of County Commissioners. The Local Arts Agency shall serve in this capacity until the Board of County Commissioners establishes by Ordinance a permanent Public Art Advisory Organization, with members appointed by the Board.

C. The PAAO shall hold public meetings to fulfil the following duties and responsibilities:

1. Review and render advisory decisions on all applications to install Public Art and Publicly Visible Art;

2. Promote public understanding and appreciation of Public Art and Publicly Visible Art;

3. Assist in identifying potential sites for Public Art and Publicly Visible Art;

4. Develop, subject to approval by the Board of County Commissioners, guidelines and policies for Public Art and Publicly Visible Art;

5. Render advice to the Board of County Commissioners on proposed changes to this chapter and to the guidelines and policies for Public Art and Publicly Visible Art, when necessary;

6. Review and render advisory decisions concerning the maintenance and condition of existing Public Art and Publicly Visible Art; and

7. Create ad hoc Project Panels to assist applicants with creating a detailed proposal for any project involving the installation of Public Art or Publicly Visible Art.

20.850.040 Project Panels

A. Project Panels may assist applicants with creating a detailed proposal for any project involving the installation of Public Art or Publicly Visible Art. Assistance may include working with applicants to create or obtain:

1. A concise description of the Artwork, including dimensions, materials, and expected lifespan;

2. Renderings, models, or plans illustrating the Artwork's appearance and relationship to the proposed site;

3. A site plan indicating the exact location of the Artwork, its orientation, and its relationship to existing structures, easements, landscaping, and/or points of public access;

4. A maintenance plan outlining long-term care, repair, and eventual removal or relocation strategies for the Artwork;

5. Structural integrity documentation, sealed by a licensed engineer, for any proposed attachment to structures, foundations, or pads;

6. An Artist's resume or portfolio; and

7. The projected cost of installing and maintaining the Artwork.

B. Project Panels shall consist of five or more individual volunteers selected by the PAAO. Where possible, individuals selected for a Project Panel should reside within the boundaries of the governing body where the Public Art or Publicly Visible Art is proposed to be installed. Preference shall be given to individuals who have experience with any proposed artistic medium(s).

20.850.050 Applications to Install Public Art and Publicly Visible Art

A. Any governing body or member of the public proposing to install Public Art or Publicly Visible Art may submit an application to the PAAO.

B. The application shall include a description of the location where the Public Art or Publicly Visible Art will be installed. If available, the application may also include Artwork preferences, concepts, plans, and other details.

C. Upon receiving an application, the PAAO may create a Project Panel to assist the applicant with creating a detailed proposal for the Public Art or Publicly Visible Art installation project.

D. Once the details of the Public Art or Publicly Visible Art project have been finalized, the PAAO shall consider the application and render an advisory opinion. If the application involves the installation of Public Art, the PAAO shall issue an advisory opinion to the governing body where the Public Art is proposed to be installed. If the application involves the installation of Publicly Visible Art, the PAAO shall issue an advisory opinion to the property owner.

E. The advisory opinion shall state whether the proposed Public Art or Publicly Visible Art should be installed, and if so, any conditions of approval that should be implemented by the governing body or property owner. The opinion shall include the reasons for the decision, including a discussion of:

1. Artistic merit and quality;

2. The relationship between the proposed Public Art, the site where it will be installed, and the surrounding environment;

3. Public safety and accessibility;

4. Durability and maintenance requirements;

5. Community impact and public benefit; and

6. Compliance with this Title, including all building codes.

20.850.060 Applications to Install Murals

A. Any governing body or member of the public proposing to install Public Art or Publicly Visible Art that is a mural shall obtain a mural permit issued by Douglas County.

B. The application for a mural permit shall include, at a minimum:

1. An acknowledgement that Douglas County has established a PAAO to assist applicants with creating public art and publicly visible art proposals, and to review proposals and render advisory opinions; and a statement that the PAAO either was or was not involved in the development or review of the proposed mural. PAAO reviews and opinions are encouraged but are not mandatory for approval of a mural permit.

2. A description of the mural's appearance and its proposed location.

3. If the mural will be installed within the established boundaries of the towns of Genoa, Minden, or Gardnerville, a no-fee, advisory opinion from the town advising whether the proposed mural complies with the town's design standards and adopted public arts plan.

4. An acknowledgement that the mural will not be obscene or counsel viewers toward criminal violence. Obscene material is that which, when taken as a whole, appeals to the prurient interest in sex, portrays sexual conduct in a patently offensive way, and lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value, as determined by an average person applying contemporary community standards.

5. An acknowledgement that obtaining a mural permit does not relieve the applicant of any obligation to comply with other applicable codes, law, and permitting requirements, as described in section 20.850.070.

C. The application for a mural permit must be accompanied by a fee established by resolution of the Board of County Commissioners.

D. Upon the Director's determination that the mural permit application is complete and the appropriate fee has been paid, the mural permit shall be issued. Any mural that is installed following the issuance of a mural permit shall be consistent with the representations made in the mural permit application.

20.850.070 Applicability of Building and Other Permit Requirements

This Chapter does not relieve the applicant of the obligation to comply with all other applicable codes, laws, or permitting requirements, including but not limited to, building permits, especially where the art is the be attached to an existing structure or mounted to a

permanent footing or foundation; zoning permits; special use permits; design reviews; variances; sign permits; site improvement permits; and encroachment permits.

20.850.080 Maintenance and Removal

A. Maintenance Responsibility. Public Art and Publicly Visible Art shall be regularly maintained by the public body or individual that installs it to ensure its continued safety and aesthetic quality.

B. Recommendations from the PAAO. If the PAAO determines that a Public Art or Publicly Visible Art installation has not been properly maintained, it may render an advisory opinion to the public body or individual that the Public Art or Publicly Visible Art be repaired or removed.

SECTION II: Section 20.660.050(N) of the Douglas County Code is hereby amended as follows, with deletions ~~stricken through~~:

N. "Use of community significance" means a use which the commission determines to have significant historic cultural, economic, social, or environmental value to the county, which does not conform to the use regulations of the district in which the use is located as a result of either the adoption or amendment of this code, and which cannot be made conforming through any other discretionary review process under this code.

~~1. The use of murals falls within this definition.~~

//

//

//

Adopted this ____ day of _____, 2026, by the following vote:

Vote: Ayes: Commissioners _____
_____
_____
_____
_____

Nays: Commissioners _____
_____

Absent: Commissioners _____
_____

_____
Mark Gardner, Chair
Douglas County Board of Commissioners

Attest:

_____
Amy Burgans, Douglas County Clerk

DOUGLAS COUNTY ART IN PUBLIC PLACES PROGRAM PLAN

Program Research, Pilot Program and Future Program Guidelines



Prepared by: Debra Soule
May, 2023

Acknowledgements

Thank you to everyone who engaged in the consultation process and contributed to the Douglas County Art in Public Places Program Plan. Your input was an essential ingredient in the program plan. A special thank you to the project committee:

Lisa Granahan, Economic Vitality Manager
Scott Morgan, Community Services Director
Brook Adie, Assistant Director, Community Services
Brian Fitzgerald, President, Carson Valley Arts Council

And thank you to all of the people who provided interview input as a key stakeholder:

- Karen Beckerbauer, Douglas County Topaz / South County Spokesperson
- Rebecca Bryson, Tahoe Art League Board President
- Patrick Burtte, Washoe Tribal Historic Preservation Officer
- Patrick Cates, Douglas County Manager
- Carol Chaplin, Lake Tahoe Visitors Authority President
- Jennifer Davidson, Douglas County Assistant County Manager
- Kristy Dial, Carson Valley Art Association
- Mitch Dion, Kingsbury GID Manager
- Gary Dove, Parks and Recreation Advisory Board, Vice Chair
- JD Frisby, Minden Town Manager
- Mark Gardner, Douglas County Board of Commissioners Chairman
- Rachel Hamer, Minden Administrative Services Manager
- Nannette Hansel, Parks and Recreation Advisory Board, Chair
- Steve Hardy, Buffalo Creek Art Center
- Chris Johnson, Indian Hills GID General Manager
- Dennis Little, Carson Valley Museum and Cultural Center

Tony Lyle, Lake Tahoe Visitors Authority Chief Marketing Officer

- Kate Moroles-O'Neil, Principal Planner, Douglas County
- Jen Nadler, Main Street Gardnerville Program Director
- Mark Neddeenriep, Planning Commission Vice Chair
- Erik Nilssen, Gardnerville Town Manager
- David Qualls, Genoa, Town Manager
- Greg Reed, Gardnerville Ranchos GID District Manager
- Wes Rice, Douglas County Board of Commissioners, Vice Chair
- Jan Vandermade, Executive Director Visit Carson Valley
- Todd Whear, Cultural Arts Foundation President

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION 1
Program Purpose and Objectives 1
Guiding Principles for the Art in Public Places Pilot Program 2
Guiding Plans and Documents 3
2. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT - WHAT THE COMMUNITY SAID 5
Community Engagement Methods 5
3. A PUBLIC ART PILOT PROGRAM – PROGRAM GUIDELINES 6
Pilot Program Roles and Structure 7
Public Art Applicants - Generating Ideas for Public Art 7
A Douglas County Public Art Advisory Organization 7
Unincorporated Towns and GIDs Role 8
Douglas County Role 9
4. PILOT PUBLIC ART PROGRAM - CATEGORIES OF ARTWORK 10
Category One: Rotating or Temporary Public Art 10
Installation Locations and Structures 10
Procedures for Rotating Public Art 11
Potential Locations for Rotating Public Art 12
Category Two: Public Art on Private Commercial Property 12
Procedures for Public Art on Private Commercial Property 13
Category Three: Permanent Public Art 13
Procedures for Permanent Public Art 14
Donations of Permanent Artworks 15
Relocation or Deaccessioning of Permanent Public Art 15
Public Art on School-owned Property 15
Dedication and Celebration of Public Art 15
5. LOCATIONS FOR PUBLIC ART 16

6. PROGRAM FUNDING 17
7. PUBLIC ART ORDINANCES: RECOMMENDED ADDITIONS AND AMENDMENTS TO THE DOUGLAS COUNTY CODE 18
8. PILOT PROGRAM EVALUATION - Transitioning to a Future Public Art Program 25
Future Options 26
9. A FUTURE ARTS AND CULTURE MASTER PLAN FOR DOUGLAS COUNTY 27
APPENDICES 29
APPENDIX A - Inventory of Existing Public Art 30
APPENDIX B – Summary of Community Engagement 52
Methodology 52
Community Surveys 52
Key Stakeholder Interviews 52
APPENDIX C – LOCATING PUBLIC ART IN AN NDOT RIGHT OF WAY 73
APPENDIX D - RECOMMENDED PUBLIC ART ADVISORY ORGANIZATION (PAAO) PROCEDURES 74

1. INTRODUCTION

The Art in Public Places Program is intended to enhance the built environment and townscapes through attractive public art that reflects the County's local cultural heritage and contemporary identity. Douglas County strives to provide its residents with high quality amenities that invigorate the local economy, activate public spaces and beautify streetscapes. Public art is a community asset that creates a sense of place, enhances community pride and stimulates economic growth. The County's role in an Art in Public Places program will ensure the program's viability and demonstrate its commitment to working with local partners to achieve these goals.

The Douglas County Art in Public Places Program plan has been informed by extensive engagement with residents, businesses, local arts and culture organizations, staff, policy-makers, and elected / appointed representatives to ensure that the plan reflects the character and the aspirations of the many communities that comprise Douglas County. It provides direction for the establishment of a public art program in response to the recommendations and goals that have been identified in multiple Douglas County, Town and General Improvement District (GID) plans.

Program Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of the Art in Public Places Program is to provide a vision and guidance for the County's growing public art collection and its public art programming interests. It provides clear procedures for approvals, acquisition, installation and funding of public art derived from community input and from best practice in public art programming across the country. The program seeks to achieve the following objectives:

1. Improve the attractiveness of public spaces by integrating artwork into the visual landscape
2. Showcase historic identity and contemporary Douglas County culture
3. Encourage residents and visitors to stop, walk the area and shop in local businesses
4. Enhance local character through the introduction of valued artworks
5. Stimulate the local economy through increased pedestrian activity that encourages spending and investment in local businesses
6. Serve as an educational tool to inform citizens about local culture, history and geography
7. Help to expand the boundaries of local artistic endeavors
8. Increase community participation and involvement through inclusive public art engagement processes
9. Be a vehicle in the creation of distinct places and spaces, furthering Douglas County's unique sense of place

The Douglas County Vision for Public Art

Based upon the results of consultation with county-wide stakeholders there is strong support for a public art program in Douglas County. The County's existing public art assets are well-loved by members of the community (see the Public Art Inventory in Appendix A). The following vision statements were informed by Douglas County residents, business owners and organizations during the community engagement process:

- *We envision public art that creates vibrant public spaces throughout the County showcasing the authentic culture of our communities*
- *Artwork that enlivens our streets and public spaces*
- *It can transform run-down or dying downtown areas and bring them back to life*
- *Public art that fosters a sense of pride and encourages residents and visitors to enjoy and utilize public spaces*
- *Public art can be a catalyst for building inter-relationships amongst people, bringing them together, improving a sense of community and identity – one of the challenges in Douglas County is that there are so many separate towns and communities that there is no cohesive sense of identity*
- *Public art will educate and inspire*
- *It would make me feel like the community takes pride in itself*
- *Public art is a great tool to build a sense of community because it brings out your emotions – art is an emotional thought driver that can help bring emotional attachment to a place*
- *It can foster a desire to spend time in that place instead of just passing through*
- *Public art is a great tool to activate public space*

Guiding Principles for the Art in Public Places Pilot Program

Douglas County Public art projects should be developed and selected according to the following guiding principles:

- **The program is fiscally responsible.**

  Funding for artworks comes from multiple sources including donations, local sponsorships, and grants.

- **The artwork is based on consultation and engagement, ensuring it is relevant to the County and its residents.**

  Public Art is most successful when it engages the community and reflects the community's authentic heritage and cultural identity. Douglas County, with its plentiful natural and heritage assets is an ideal environment for engaging / public art that celebrates its assets. Residents have the opportunity to be involved in proposing and reviewing installations in their communities. Engagement with local communities during the design process is essential to ensure local appreciation of the artwork, and it produces locally relevant artwork that is less likely to suffer from vandalism or criticism.

- **Public Art Installations are robust and of high quality**

- **All installations will have a clear line of responsibility in terms of ownership, installation, on-going maintenance and safety**

Guiding Plans and Documents

The Douglas County Art in Public Places Program Plan provides direction in the creation of public art in accordance and keeping with the various visions and goals of the County, Town and GID plans.

Douglas County Economic Vitality Plan

In the 2021 Update to the Douglas County Economic Vitality Plan Fiscal Year 2023 - 2027, the enhancement of arts and culture is identified as a Strategic Focus Area Project. An outdoor art program is to be developed to complement the County's heritage and scenery in order to achieve the following vision: "*A variety of installations are located throughout the County and we are becoming an arts destination*". The 2027 Vision of Success for this project includes:

- The completion and approval of a Public Art Plan
- The installation of multiple artworks in several geographic areas that indicated interest
- Art in public places recognizes and celebrates Douglas County's diverse cultures and rich heritage
- The updating of ordinances and Special Use Permits
- Douglas County's cultural community is engaged
- Douglas County will be recognized as a destination for visual and performing arts
- Build a sense of community as visitors and residents are informed about and engaged in

the arts through a guide that utilizes multimedia forms of communication

- A comprehensive Arts and Culture Plan
- A Feasibility Study has been completed or is underway and funding sources identified for a mid-sized performing arts center in the Carson Valley

The Douglas County Master Plan 2020

The Douglas County Master Plan 2020 update included new policies and action items regarding the integration of public art and culture into the County's economic strategy.

Economic Development Implementation Action Item #24
Douglas County should consider amendments to the Development Code to create a process for applying to install public art, including separate criteria for murals and sculptures, as well as consideration for temporary, permanent, and rotating displays.

The 2013 Douglas County Valley Vision and the Gardnerville Plan for Prosperity also calls for:
Preparation of a public arts and culture plan and program for the Towns that addresses both physical and visual improvements (e.g. performing arts space, art studio and display areas, art in public places) and performing arts programs, special events.

The Public Arts Plan - Main Street Gardnerville

Main Street Gardnerville is a key driver of public art in downtown Gardnerville. Many artworks have been installed in both publicly owned and private commercial properties. A Public Arts Plan was prepared by Main Street Gardnerville staff and adopted by the Main Street Governing Board in September, 2020, by the Carson Valley Arts Council on October 19, 2020, and by the Town of Gardnerville on November 3, 2020. The primary goal of the plan was to identify a unifying "theme" for the Main Street area. The Main Street Design Committee proposed the theme of "Our Local Heritage" for the plan, focusing on Carson Valley's history and the natural environment. The secondary theme that was identified was the improvement of the visual environment by replacement of deteriorating infrastructure.

At the time of adoption of the Public Arts Plan, several artworks had already been installed on publicly viewable private buildings in the Main Street area including:

- The Basque Shepherd mural
- The World Famous Gardnerville mural
- The Old Town Center mural
- A mural of auto repair on the Gardnerville Station

The plan identified several sites in which public art could be located. The Main Street Design Committee and staff have since installed several new artworks including the Cowboy Silhouette mural and the Welcome to Main Street Gardnerville mural.





2. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT - WHAT THE COMMUNITY SAID

Local arts and culture organizations, non-profit organizations, businesses, county, town and GID staff, and the community at large were central in informing the content for the Art in Public Places Program. Their vision and recommendations emphasized the important role that Public Art plays in celebrating and showcasing the county's cultural heritage, and enlivening streetscapes in Douglas County. The community engagement process is an essential aspect of a public art program - not only in terms of informing its direction and content but also because public art creation is inherently community-based and should be guided and enriched by local ideas and community participation.

Community Engagement Methods

The community engagement processes included online questionnaires, paper questionnaires, in-person key stakeholder interviews, telephone interviews and business forums. Based on the consultation findings, it is evident that participants value Douglas County's natural beauty and its rural and small-town feel and they are proud of the County's cultural heritage, easy mobility throughout the valley and the Lake Tahoe area, and strong sense of community. Throughout the consultation process, people expressed a strong desire to include public art in a wide range of Douglas County locations.

Community outreach tools included a survey instrument that was widely distributed electronically as well as in hard copy (see Appendix B). Five hundred and six surveys (506) were completed and submitted. Twenty-five (25) one-on-one interviews were undertaken with key stakeholders that included senior county and town staff, GID Managers and Board Chairs, business organization representatives, arts and culture organization representatives, Main Street Gardnerville staff, Parks and Recreation Advisory Board members, Planning Commissioners, County Commissioners, and Washoe Tribe community spokespersons. Input was also gathered from local business owners and community leaders through a Carson Valley Chamber of Commerce luncheon meeting and a Business Council of Douglas County meeting. The results of these engagement methods are summarized in Appendix B.

3. A PUBLIC ART PILOT PROGRAM – PROGRAM GUIDELINES

Although there are many existing and well-loved public artworks throughout Douglas County and considerable evidence of support for a county-wide public art program, the County has limited experience in administering arts-related programming. It is therefore recommended that the County commences with a pilot public art program. Piloting an Art in Public Places Program will provide the opportunity to explore wider community preferences, test program options using existing resources and inform long term strategies. It is recommended that the pilot program should have a five-year time frame to enable a sufficient range of artworks to be introduced and experienced by residents and visitors, to inform evaluation of the program in terms of place-making, community pride and involvement, visitor attraction, business enhancement and program costs. Upon completion of the pilot phase, the strengths and weaknesses of the program can be evaluated, and fine-tuning and adjustments can be made to ensure long term success of the public art program. If major revisions or changes to the pilot program are not identified and actions are not taken to suspend the pilot program, it will then transition to a regular program.

In order to maximize the output and impacts of a public art program and minimize program costs associated with the acquisition of permanent artworks and their long-term maintenance, it is recommended that the County commences with an Art in Public Places Pilot Program consisting of the following three categories:

a) Category One - Rotating or temporary public art
b) Category Two - Public art on privately-owned commercial property
c) Category Three – Permanent public art in public spaces

To ensure an effective pilot phase for Art in Public Places, the following guidelines are provided for each program category. It is also recommended that a new public art section be added to the County Code that clearly defines and differentiates public art from signage. The public art components under the Special Use Permit should be eliminated since the new public art ordinance will serve as the permitting process and will streamline the County's requirements for approving, installing and maintaining public art.

Pilot Program Roles and Structure

Public Art Applicants - Generating Ideas for Public Art

Public Art Applicants (PAA) are individuals or groups that propose new temporary or permanent public art projects for all categories of public art. PAAs can include individuals, town, GID or County leaders, elected representatives, businesses, community organizations or other sponsors. They can also include the residents who submitted their names in the Art in Public Places community engagement survey[1]. PAAs who submit a proposal would be responsible for acquiring or raising any funds or seed money required to commission, purchase or lease the artwork and for any future maintenance costs associated with Category Three – Permanent Public Art.

A Douglas County Public Art Advisory Organization

A Douglas County based Public Art Advisory Organization (PAAO) should be established operating under an MOU with Douglas County, to take a lead role in advising and assisting in the administration of the Art in Public Places Program. This organization could be headed by the Carson Valley Arts Council and could also include representatives from other Douglas County arts and culture organizations, Main Street Gardnerville or others with expertise in local culture and public art.

This organization would be responsible for advising and providing direction on public art projects under the pilot program. Specific responsibilities would include:

- Evaluating proposals for new temporary or permanent public art projects in terms of viability, locations, estimated costs and any technical aspects of the proposed artwork

[1] One hundred and thirty-two residents (31.4 % of the total number or planning study respondents) have put their names and contact information forward for future public art projects.

- Encouraging proposed content that reflects the expressed local community identity and values for the project
- Advising on standards in terms of artistic methods and technical requirements for proposed artworks
- When needed, directing applicants to planning staff to answer planning, zoning or right-of-way questions, and when beneficial, recommending a pre-application meeting with the County's Planning Department
- When needed, assisting in the establishment of a project panel with the required expertise for each temporary (Category 1) and permanent (Category 3) public art in public locations.
- Advising and assisting Public Art Applicants (PAA) in preparing commissioning or purchase contracts with the artist(s) if needed
- Advising as required on maintenance requirements as specified in each purchase or commissioning contract for Category 3 Permanent Public Art
- Maintaining an up-to-date inventory of all public art in Douglas County

It should be noted that the existing public art program implemented under the Main Street Gardnerville program, which has existing staff, resources and expertise to carry out public art projects, may request advice and assistance from the PAAO but would not be required to work with the PAAO for new public art within the Main Street Gardnerville District.

Recommended PAAO public art commissioning and purchase options and procedures are provided in Appendix D.

Unincorporated Towns and GIDs Role

Town or GID Boards are the approving body for public art proposed within their jurisdiction following screening of the proposed artwork by the PAAO. The Town or GID Board may offer suggestions on locations or funding options for the project.

Douglas County Role

Unless the proposed artwork is to be located on County property, the County's role in all public art projects is to ensure that they comply with updated County ordinances pertaining to Public Art and that applicants apply for a Public Art permit and, when applicable a Building Permit. Recommended Code amendments are identified in Section 7.

Proposals for Category 1, 2 and 3 public art
are submitted by a Public Art Applicant (PAA)



The Public Art Advisory Organization (PAAO)
Receives new proposals and has the lead role in
administering the pilot program



The host community (Town or GID Board, or the County if on County property
or requesting County funding)
Reviews and considers approvals of the project including locations
based upon PAAO recommendations and their acceptance of funding sources



All projects must comply with County Public Art Ordinances
and / or Building Codes if applicable

4. PILOT PUBLIC ART PROGRAM - CATEGORIES OF ARTWORK

Category One: Rotating or Temporary Public Art

The core objective of the rotating Public Art program component is to establish a continuously changing selection of temporary artworks in designated public locations. Rotating public art is assigned to pre-selected locations for a limited period of time. The artwork can be leased or borrowed from the artist and can even be listed for sale with a commission option by the County or Town while it is on display. Rotating or temporary public art offers several advantages for Douglas County:

- It is less costly than commissioned or purchased permanent public art. It can be 'leased' for a nominal amount that simply covers the artist's installation costs and the artwork can also be for sale. In some cases, emerging artists may donate artworks for a fixed period of time in order to help them gain recognition in the art world.
- A rotating or temporary public art category can be implemented using the County's existing budgetary and staffing resources.
- Because art tastes and preferences differ from one person to the next, rotating artworks means that a wider spectrum of art can be presented that is likely to appeal to residents and visitors.
- Rotating art keeps it 'fresh' - visitors will want to return to see what is installed next.
- Rotating public art provides more opportunities for a larger number of established and emerging artists to participate in the program and gain valuable experience.

Installation Locations and Structures

Murals or two-dimensional artwork can be installed on a designated public wall as movable panels or could be installed on a pre-constructed framework[2]. Three-dimensional sculpture can be installed on a concrete pedestal or on a pre-selected concrete surface with embedded anchor points to secure the artwork. A raised pedestal is sometimes preferable to anchoring sculpture to a sidewalk because it elevates the artwork from the ground making it more visible and minimizing interest by dogs or children who might be tempted to climb it.

[2] The Framework that was constructed by the Town of Gardnerville for the Welcome to Main Street Gardnerville mural is good example of a mural framework (cost was approximately $12,000), although the structure could be constructed from metal or wood materials.



Pre-constructed concrete framework for Boulder City mosaic mural, Frank T. Crowe Park



Pre-constructed wooden framework for Gardnerville mural

Procedures for Rotating Public Art

- The rotating public art component will require the County, Town or host GID to select preferred locations and to provide funding to install anchor points or concrete pedestals, or mural frameworks if appropriate blank walls are not available in selected locations. Examples of installation components for anchoring temporary public art can be found at https://americanearthanchors.com/shop-by-application/construction/outdoor-sculptures/?_bc_fsnf=1&Temporary+vs+Permanent=Temporary&page=1
- The County, Town or GID may seek advice from the PAAO for the optimal site characteristics and locations for the types of artwork that is sought.

- Once installation sites have been selected and prepared and artwork content approved, the PAAO establishes an ad hoc Public Art Project Panel that includes representation from the host local community as well as any additional expertise that may be required. They issue a call to artists through an RFP or RFQ specifying the type of artwork that is sought, the final content and the terms and duration of the installation.
- The PAAO prepares the lease or loan contract with the artist. Depending on the size and complexity of the artwork, a stipend of $2000 to $3000 may be awarded to selected artists to cover transportation and installation costs. The Artist is responsible for de-installation and removal at the end of the contract period.
- The artist is responsible for artwork maintenance for the duration of the local or lease period.

Potential Locations for Rotating Public Art

Rotating public art should be installed in highly visible locations to maximize the number of residents and visitors who will have the opportunity to view and experience each artwork. It is recommended that main street locations along the Highway 395 corridor through Gardnerville, Minden and along Highway 50 in Stateline be the highest priority locations. NDOT right of ways should be identified and avoided in order to minimize potential conflicts and delays in the final selection of sites for rotating public art. Town entrances, as well as key civic and cultural properties should also be among the preferred potential locations for temporary public art.

The new Event Center currently under construction in Stateline offers tremendous potential for rotating art that could be incorporated into this landmark facility.

Category Two: Public Art on Private Commercial Property

As is the case in many other counties and municipalities, a significant percentage of existing public art in Douglas County is located on privately-owned commercial property. Even if sited on privately-owned commercial property, an art project that is visible to the public is perceived of as 'public' and will become an important part of the County's visual landscape. County funding programs and ordinances can facilitate and manage artwork that is accessible to the public.

The number of potential commercial locations vastly outnumbers potential public locations. The Douglas County public art program and county ordinances should facilitate and clarify

future public art on private commercial property. The advantages of public art on privately-owned commercial property include:

- The costs of acquiring, installing and maintaining artworks is the responsibility of the property owner; and
- There are many available potential locations throughout Douglas County for public art on private commercial property which would significantly increase the growth of the public art program

Procedures for Public Art on Private Commercial Property

- The property owner or his/her authorized agent is responsible for all aspects of the project including projects costs
- Applicants (the property owner or his\her authorized agent on the owner's behalf) prepare a project proposal and may seek advice from the PAAO for the scope and content of the artwork, ensuring that artworks do not contain obscene or pornographic material matter; advocate or suggest the use of illegal/prohibited substances; advocate for or against any political candidates, political campaigns, ballot measures, or political parties or organizations; or advocate a position (directly or indirectly) on any public or social use.
- Category Two projects must comply with the Public Art Ordinance, and if applicable (if there are structural components), will require a Building Permit
- The commissioning or purchase contract should be directly between the property owner or his/her authorized agent and the artist
- The artist is responsible for the execution of all aspects of the public art project.
- The building owner is responsible for maintenance responsibilities during the lifetime of the artwork. Maintenance could include, but not be limited to, re-painting / touch-up painting to keep the art looking current / not faded and abating graffiti.

Category Three: Permanent Public Art

Permanent public art projects that have previously been permitted and that may continue to arise from local communities or proposed by organizations such as Main Street Gardnerville, should continue to be permitted, provided that they are self-funded and allowed under the Douglas County Code.

Project inspiration could arise from various sources including inspired residents, sponsoring organizations or groups, or from GID, Town or County leaders. Local residents who have indicated their interest in being involved in future public art initiatives could play an active part of projects located in their local communities. Main Street Gardnerville is likely to be involved in future permanent Public Art projects with the Main Street District.

Procedures for Permanent Public Art

- PAA prepare a project proposal and may seek advice from the PAAO regarding the content, technical aspects of the project.
- Approvals are required from the relevant host Town, GID or County.
- Project funding agreements should be finalized.
- Category Three projects must comply with the Public Art Ordinance, and if applicable (if there are structural components), will require a Building Permit.
- Once the funds are raised, the public art proposal moves into the implementation stage and may seek guidance from the PAAO in terms of how to find and prepare a contract with an artist to purchase or commission the type of public art that they are seeking. The PAAO can assist with establishing an ad hoc Public Art Project Panel that includes representation from the host local community as well as any additional expertise that may be required.
- The PAAO may prepare or assist in the preparation of the commissioning or purchase contract between the host community and the artist.
- The artist is responsible for the execution of all aspects of the public art project.
- The host community owns and is responsible for maintenance of the artwork unless other arrangements or agreements are in place.
- For public art that is located on County property, the Board of Commissioner's approval will be required for the location or if any County funds are requested. Funding for the project can be undertaken through sponsorships and donations and the County may offer other suggestions on potential funding sources.
- The host Town, GID or County is responsible for maintaining the artwork based upon the location and maintenance advice provided by the artist as set out in their commissioning or purchase contract, unless other agreements are in place.

Donations of Permanent Artworks

Proposed donations of artworks towards the County, Town or GID's permanent collections should be evaluated by the respective Boards with advice from the PAAO regarding the quality of the artwork, conformance to County structural and fabrication requirements, safety codes, availability of appropriate sites for installation and maintenance requirements.

Relocation or Deaccessioning of Permanent Public Art [3]

Relocation or removal of public art may be required by the County or Town for any of the following reasons:

- The condition of the artwork has deteriorated or been damaged to the point the repair is not feasible or practical in terms of cost in relation to original cost or valuation of the artwork
- Security of the artwork can not be guaranteed
- The artwork endangers public safety
- Site conditions have changed necessitating relocation if an appropriate alternative site is available, otherwise removal may be necessary
- The quality or authenticity of the artwork has been reassessed

Public Art on School-owned Property

Public Art situated on or proposed on School properties are considered to be School District projects that are not subject to the Public Art guidelines. The installation of any structural components to support artworks may require a building permit.

Dedication and Celebration of Public Art

It is important to celebrate and involve the community following the successful creation and installation of new public art. Once the artwork is complete, the PAAO should prepare a press release and share images and information about the project through social media and internal communication channels. A dedication or unveiling ceremony should be held that presents the piece to the community and recognizes the artistic efforts of the artist.

[3] Deaccessioned artwork should be offered back to the artist as the first option, if possible

Once multiple artworks have been installed in Douglas County, public art maps, and driving or walking tours should be introduced to ensure that Douglas County residents and visitors can enjoy and share the evolving outcomes of the Pilot Art in Public Places program.

5. LOCATIONS FOR PUBLIC ART

Based upon the results of the community engagement process, each Town or GID strongly prefers to be involved in the selection of sites for public art installations within their own communities. Potential locations that were identified in each town and participating GID are summarized, but not limited to the following table.

Potential Locations for Public Art in Douglas County Towns and GIDs

Location	Potential Public Art Locations
GARDNERVILLE	Downtown areas, Main Street area, Museum property, Heritage Park, Trail heads in urban areas like Heritage Park, and the old prison building on Courthouse Ave.
MINDEN	Minden main streets and the north gateway entrance, Seeman Ranch Park, downtown areas
GENOA	In Town center areas
GARDNERVILLE RANCHOS	In local parks, or integrated into new community buildings
SOUTH COUNTY/ TOPAZ	Around Topaz Lake, along highways, murals on local business (i.e., Topaz Lodge and the General Store)
STATELINE	New Event Center, parking structures around casinos
KINGSBURY	Pump stations, retaining wall, on buildings needing renovation, in downtown area to create a sense of place
INDIAN HILLS	In local parks, at Indian Hills entrances, or along trails

Because County, GID or Town-owned facilities are often meeting points for the community they can provide opportunities for residents and visitors to interact with and explore public art. County or Town-owned buildings such as libraries and community centers are ideal locations for public art. Additionally, museums, arts facilities, and other community facilities could be excellent locations for public art. The new Event Center currently under construction

in Stateline would also bean excellent location for public art. Roundabouts are also a potential location for future public art.

Locating public art along major roadways or highways should take into account NDOT right of ways requirements and permitting processes. (see Locating Public Art in an NDOT Right of Way in APPENDIX C).

Historic Buildings

In addition to the above guidelines, locating public art on historic buildings may have greater impacts on the structure and historic aesthetics. Therefore, the installation or attachment options for public art may require additional effort. Painting murals directly to the building's exterior should be undertaken with caution, and is dependent upon the exterior wall materials. Murals should not be painted directly over brickwork because this creates a non-breathable skin over the brick surface which accelerates deterioration of the brick interior known as 'spalling'. This means the interior of the brick dries and crumbles leading to deterioration of the entire brick layer. It is preferable to install mural panels to exterior brick surfaces with minimal drilling or to install a framework to support the mural.

Consider locating murals and other forms of public art on non-historic buildings or on non-contributing buildings in the National Register of Historic Places or the Nevada State Register of Historic Places.

6. PROGRAM FUNDING

The Art in Public Places Pilot program requires a modest budget for its implementation that could potentially be funded using existing budgets and resources. PAAs should also be encouraged to apply for the Community Grant Funding Program to kick start the pilot program for Category One and Category Three projects.

Because the Pilot Program relies on grants, donations and sponsorships as funding sources, public-private and non-profit-public partnerships should be strongly considered in order to minimize project costs and enhance project opportunities. For example, The Buffalo Creek Art Center, located in Douglas County, is a nonprofit educational, 501c3 Corporation offering summer artist residencies for three dimensional artists who work in metal, wood, ceramic, stone and multi-media with an emphasis on aesthetics and craftsmanship. Artists are provided with free room and work space and the use of tools, which can significantly reduce

their expenses in creating public art. This facility could be approached to consider partnering with the County as the facility to create new public art that could then be installed in Douglas County locations with credit to the Art Center for their support. There may be other local industries or businesses who would benefit from partnering with the County in the creation of public art, which could be located on or adjacent to their property, or be a partnership endeavor that could benefit both parties.

7. DRAFT PUBLIC ART ORDINANCES: FOR DISCUSSION ONLY ADDITIONS AND AMENDMENTS TO THE DOUGLAS COUNTY CODE

Recognizing that the Planning Department is currently in the process of updating the entire Douglas County Code with an expected completion date of spring/summer 2024, these are the recommended amendments and additions to the Title 20 Code pertaining to public art that should be undertaken concurrently with the adoption of this plan.

DELETE THE FOLLOWING FROM THE CODE:

DELETE THE SPECIAL USE PERMIT *which currently requires going to the Douglas County Planning Committee for every project. Public art that is to be located in specific Town or GID should be approved by their own governing Board. Compliance with County Code should be the only County approval required unless the artwork is located on County property.*

Under **Title 20 Consolidated Development Code**, **insert Public Art Regulations**

Public Art Regulations

- Public Art Definitions
- Purpose
- Application Process and Permits Required for Public Art
- Category One: Rotating or Temporary Public Art on County, unincorporated Town or General Improvement District property
- Category Two: Public Art on Private Commercial Property
- Category Three: Permanent Art on County, Unincorporated Town or General Improvement District property
- Inspection

Public Art Definitions

1. **"Public Art, Artwork or Work of Art**" Public art means artwork created or led by an Artist or Artist team and acquired or displayed by the County, GIDs or Towns in Douglas County, or artworks that are commissioned or acquired by private commercial property owners to be installed in exterior publicly visible locations. This includes but is not limited to paintings, sculptures, engravings, carvings, frescos, stained glass and glass works, mosaics, mobiles, murals created on building or pavement surfaces or on panels, collages, statues, bas reliefs, tapestries, photographs, video projections, drawings, fountains, landscape designs, or monuments erected to commemorate a person or event, functional furnishings such as artist-designed seating, or other media. Public art may be permanent or temporary.

   The following are not considered artwork:

   Objects which are mass produced including but not limited to playground equipment, fountains, maps, corporate logos or other functional elements, as well as decorative, architectural, or functional elements that are designed by an architect as opposed to elements created by an artist for that purpose. *Existing decorative street furniture with pre-cast figures or objects have been included as a sub-category in the current Douglas County public inventory given their appreciation by County residents.*

   Signage is not public art. Public art that contains any business names, brand name or product names, location names, logos, trademarks, trade names, or other commercial messages are considered signs and therefore must meet the requirements of the Douglas County Sign Ordinance. Advertisements shall be regulated under County Code 20.618, Sign Permit, and this section of the code shall not apply.

2. **"Artist"** means a person who is recognized by his or her peers as someone who creates or designs artistic works professionally. Depending on the nature of the public artwork, the artist may be required to have evidence of artistic training, professional experience and /or a portfolio of work that demonstrates their professional capacity to undertake a project. Emerging or student artists may also be appropriate for projects that encourage local community involvement.
3. **"Artist's Team"** may include a group of artists who contribute to an artwork or individuals who are guided and supervised by an artist to create an artwork that has been collectively been designed and / or constructed.
4. **"Art Situated on Privately Owned Commercial Property"** means artwork that has been commissioned, purchased, loaned or borrowed from an artist or artist team installed externally that is publicly visible on privately owned commercial property. It does not include artworks that are installed in interior areas.

5. **"Community Art"** means an artwork created collaboratively with community members under the direction or supervision of an Artist.
6. **"Copyright"** refers to the legal ownership of created works of art. Although the client may "own" the work of art, the artist who created the work owns the copyright unless it is contractually commissioned or purchased as 'work-made-for-hire'.
7. **"De-accession"** means the process of removing an artwork from the owner's collection of public art.
8. **"Public Art Applicant" (PAA)** means individuals, businesses or local groups that propose new temporary or permanent public art projects.
9. **"Public Art Advisory Organization" (PAAO)** means the Douglas County Arts organization responsible for advising on and assisting in the administration of public art.
10. **"Maintenance"** with respect to public art, means the cleaning or repairs required to keep a work of art in its intended condition, including but not limited to, cleaning, painting, repairs, replacement, or installation of mechanical equipment associated with the artwork.
11. **"Mural"** means any commissioned or purchased drawing or artwork that is drawn, painted or etched on an assigned surface or structure, or that is created on panels that are attached to that surface.
12. **"Public Art Project Panel"** means an informal group of individuals, generally not less than five volunteers selected by the PAAO to review each art project. Each panel will be unique and consist of persons with expertise associated with each project and representatives of the constituencies and/or stakeholders relevant to each project. The panel selects the artist(s) for each art project through a scoring process and provides approval of the final design.
13. **"Publicly Visible Art"** means artworks that are privately owned, maintained, or produced works of art that are installed, or presented in an exterior location that is accessible to the public.
14. **"Rotating Artwork"** means original artwork created or purchased for a specific time frame or event under a loan agreement, to be situated at a particular site on a temporary basis for a defined period of time, generally not to exceed a period of two (2) years.

Purpose

The purpose of the Art in Public Places Program is to promote and encourage the integration of public artwork in public places and in privately-owned commercial locations in order to improve the attractiveness of public spaces, enliven public areas and invigorate the local economy by encouraging people to stop and shop and in Douglas County.

Nothing contained in the Ordinance shall preclude funding of or the acquisition of art for

municipal property in other ways.

Application Process and Permits Required for Public Art

The following processes apply to all categories of public art including rotating or temporary public art, public art on private commercial property, and permanent public art.

i. Public art applicants can seek advice and assistance from the Public Art Advisory Organization (PAAO) in terms of the artistic and technical aspects of a project and best practice procedures for purchasing or commissioning public art. They may also provide advise on any other permits that may be required.

ii. If public art is proposed to be located in an unincorporated Town, General Improvement District or County property, the proposed design must have been submitted to the respective governing board for review and approval, prior to planning approvals.

iii. If County funds are being used or if the artwork is to proposed to be located on County property or County right-of-way, it must be submitted to the Douglas County Parks and Recreation Advisory Board for review and recommendation to the County Board of Commissioners for final approval and /or funding.

iv. Prior to installation, all public art requires planning approvals and permits, and where applicable, building permits.

Category One: Rotating or Temporary Public Art on County, unincorporated Town or General Improvement District property

The location and structural characteristics of public art pedestals, mural walls or mural frameworks must be approved by the respective governing boards and be designed and constructed with engineering approvals and building code permits.

If temporary public art is proposed in an unincorporated Town, General Improvement District or County property, the proposed artwork must have been submitted to the respective governing board for review and approval, prior to submission for planning and Code approvals.

The artist or sponsoring body must provide drawings and/ or a design mock-up of the proposed artwork on one of the County's specified pedestals, frameworks or walls.

The Public Art Advisory Organization shall assist in preparing a contract between the leasing County, Town or GID and the artist(s) prior to commencement of any public art project. The contract should contain all of the following:

i. Location
ii. Subject matter
iii. Materials
iv. Length of time the artwork will be installed
v. Responsibilities of each party including any associated costs

The Artist is responsible for the installation, maintenance and removal of the artwork. The artist or sponsoring body shall work with the County, unincorporated Town or GID Board for approval for the temporary installation at the specified location and time stated on the contract.

Category Two: Public Art on Private Commercial Property

It is unlawful for any person to erect, install, re-locate or alter any public art on the exterior of private commercial property within Douglas County, without obtaining a public art permit from the Planning Department and if there are any structural elements, also obtaining a building permit. The PAAO can be consulted for advice on the proposal in terms of the artistic and technical aspects of a project and best practice procedures for purchasing or commissioning public art. An application must be submitted by the property owner or by an agent on the owner's behalf on the form provided by the county with the fee established by resolution. The fee for a public art permit shall be nominal to solely cover the cost of County staff to review and issue the permit.

Artwork installed on private commercial property shall be owned by property owner unless a separate agreement has been established for ownership and maintenance responsibilities. Title and ownership of the artwork shall transfer to any successor in interest of the property. The property owner is responsible for maintenance of the artwork in the event of damage or wear. If the artwork is to be replaced, the PAAO can be consulted and provide advice in terms of the content of the replacement artwork in accordance with the Art in Public Places Program guidelines.

A site plan including the location of the proposed artwork is required prior to issuance of a public art permit. This site plan must show dimensions of the property and include the location of the proposed artwork on the property. The site plan should show the approximate dimension to any neighboring structures or notable features. A drawing or image depicting the proposed artwork in the proposed location is required. A long-term maintenance program must be submitted by the artist prior to permit approvals.

Category Three: Permanent Artwork on County, Unincorporated Town or General Improvement District property

i. Public art applicants can seek advice and assistance from the Public Art Advisory Organization (PAAO) in terms of the artistic and technical aspects of a project and best practice procedures for purchasing or commissioning public art. They may also provide advise on any other permits that may be required.

ii. If public art is proposed to be located in an unincorporated Town, General Improvement District or County property, the proposed design must have been submitted to the respective governing board for review and approval, prior to planning approvals.

iii. If County funds are being used or if the artwork is to proposed to be located on County property or County right-of-way, it must be submitted to the Douglas County Parks and Recreation Advisory Board for review and recommendation to the County Board of Commissioners for final approval and /or funding.

iv. Prior to installation, all public art requires planning approvals and permits, and where applicable, building permits.

A site plan including the location of the proposed artwork is required prior to issuance of a public art permit. This site plan must show dimensions of the property and include the location of the proposed artwork on the property. The site plan should show the approximate dimension to any neighboring structures or notable features and a drawing of the proposed artwork in the proposed location is required. A long-term maintenance program must be submitted based on recommendations from the commissioned artist prior to commencement.

The PAAO can assist in the preparation of a purchase or commissioning contract between the purchasing or commissioning body and the artist(s). The contract should contain all of the following:

i. Scope of Work for the project including the type of art envisaged, its scale, materials, durability, ease of maintenance and public safety
ii. Site details including land ownership, history, maps, drawings, photos, surrounding development issues etc.
iii. Budget
iv. Installation
v. Artist Responsibilities
vi. Responsibilities of the artwork owner, leaser or borrower
vii. Warranties and maintenance requirements for the artwork
viii. Health and Safety
ix. Artist's rights and copyright
x. Timetable, overall project deadline, interview dates, expected attendance at meetings

xi. Any Insurance or Liability issues
xii. Decommissioning options and processes
xiii. Termination clauses

Inspection

A. All public art installed in the county that requires a building permit shall be subject to inspection by the Community Development Department to ensure compliance with all provisions of this section.

B. Upon completion, a photographic image and description of the artwork and the artist is required to be provided to the Douglas County Public Art Organization to document completion of the project and shall be included in the County's inventory of public art.

8. PILOT PROGRAM EVALUATION - Transitioning to a Future Public Art Program

Following the completion of the five-year pilot program, an evaluation process should be undertaken to assess overall community satisfaction, determine the effectiveness of program delivery mechanisms and permitting processes, and the quantity and quality of resulting public art installations.

Evaluation should include feedback from all key participants and stakeholders to determine whether the structure of the pilot program has accomplished the program's purpose, objectives and guiding principles. Has it achieved the outcomes in terms of place-making, community pride and involvement, visitor attraction, and business enhancement? Are program costs and funding options manageable and effective? Specific questions could include:

- Do we have new public art that enlivens our streets and public spaces?
- Is it helping to transform run-down or dying downtown areas and bring them back to life?
- Is there new public art that reflects our local culture?
- Is the program fiscally responsible?
- Are funding sources for the pilot program adequate to enable the program to continue?
- Are administering organizations providing effective support and are they adequately resourced?
- Is the community involved in proposing and preparing new public art?
- Are Public Art installations robust and of high quality?
- Is there a clear line of responsibility in terms of ownership and on-going maintenance?

If the program is found to be effective after five years, the program will automatically become the Art in Public Place Program and shall continue until terminated.

The strengths and weaknesses of the program should be evaluated and documented, so that the program can be fine-tuned to ensure its long-term success.

Future Options

There are a number of long-term program options that could be considered to extend or enhance the sustainability and effectiveness of a Douglas County Public Art Program.

Expand Category One Rotating Public Art

The temporary public art category offers significant opportunity for expansion in downtown areas and in other communities across Douglas County. The upfront costs of providing installation sites, platform or pedestals are low-cost investments that will support rotating public art for many years. The additional potential benefit of expanding this program category is the increased likelihood that communities may come to treasure some of these pieces and could undertake their own fundraising to purchase the artwork and make it a permanent part of their local collection.

Expand Category Two Publicly Viewable Art on Private Commercial Property

Offering incentives for Category Two artwork: Publicly Viewable Art on Private Commercial Property would significantly increase the number of commercial property owners that opt to install public art on their property. This could take the form of small grants or subsidies or reduced public art permit or building permit fees, or tax credits for those who introduce public art as a local beautification or community improvement strategy.

Future Funding Options

The rate success and the number of public art installations that take place is often determined by the range of funding sources that area available. Innovative funding mechanisms that do not require the introduction of new taxes can be used to expand resources and elevate program outcomes.

A voluntary 'percent for public art program' can be introduced through amendments to the development code to encourage private developers to include optional contributions for new construction projects.

Long Term Funding

Alternatively, a percent-for-art program can be introduced to allocate a small percentage of publicly funded capital improvement projects and/or private development (which can be as low .5%) for the purchase, installations and maintenance of artworks. Advantages of a percent-for-art ordinance are that it guarantees a funding stream for public art projects regardless of what happens to county budgets or arts funding and ensures that public art

projects can be planned each year. Consideration should be given to placing a ballot question on an upcoming election regarding the introduction of a percent-for-art development charge as a mitigation fee on all new home construction only, that would ensure a community benefit and help preserve the culture of our community that is diminished with new residential development.

9. A FUTURE ARTS AND CULTURE MASTER PLAN FOR DOUGLAS COUNTY

The Art in Public Places Program plan is a valuable tool that provides a locally relevant framework for placemaking, animating public space, enhancing the visual landscape and attracting business. It is however, just one piece of a bigger picture framework that would guide arts and cultural activity and economic growth in Douglas County. An arts and culture master plan is needed to provide that direction and stimulate overall cultural sector growth.

Douglas County is asset-rich in terms of its cultural resources and natural heritage. These assets are the building blocks for a thriving cultural sector that will fuel the growth of the county's cultural tourism sector and overall creative economy. Culture, innovation, creativity, and a vibrant quality of place are the key ingredients and the focus of an arts and culture master plan that provides direction and stimulates overall cultural sector growth.

An Arts and Culture Master Plan should be based upon comprehensive engagement with the local community and with all arts and cultural community stakeholders to identify aspirations, strengths, needs and to provide overall direction for the growth of the cultural sector. The master plan would provide a road map to more effectively connect and engage the sectors stakeholders and businesses so that it moves in a coordinated and positive direction to achieve the County's arts and cultural aspirations.

A Recommended Framework for a Douglas County Arts and Culture Master Plan

Based upon input from the community engagement process for this study and best practice in cultural planning practice across the United Sates, the following planning framework is recommended:

1. Identification and Mapping of Douglas County Arts and Culture Assets
2. Assessment of Arts and Culture Assets' Capacity (SWOT Analysis)

3. Comprehensive Engagement with arts and culture organizations, destinations and creative economy businesses
4. Identification of Goals and Strategic Priorities
5. Creation of an Action Plan / Implementation Plan
6. Evaluation Processes to Monitor Progress and Success

The content for the master plan should include all of the core components, operational aspects, skills and expertise that are required for a thriving and successful cultural sector. It is recommended that an Arts and Culture Master Plan for Douglas County should include the following content:

- Cultural facilities and spaces
- Arts and culture programs
- Arts and culture sector funding
- Support and training for arts and culture organizations
- Creative businesses and local creative economy growth
- Cultural tourism
- Douglas County, Town and GID administrative roles

Additionally, a Douglas County Arts and Culture Master Plan could explore and provide strategies for the following goals and aspirations that have been identified by Douglas County businesses and cultural organizations:

- Ensure that the arts are part of revitalizing our downtown areas
- Ensure that our town centers will feature cultural facilities, have a full annual events calendar, and integrated arts programming
- Create and enhance Arts and Cultural Facilities
- Create Arts Districts
- Create Artist Studio Spaces
- Increase Support for the Arts, Museums and Historic Amenities
- Develop Public Funding and Partnerships
- Engage the Arts Community in Planning
- Increase Arts Awareness and Education

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - Inventory of Existing Public Art[4] [5]

1. Public Art: Murals, Sculpture and Monuments





1.2 *Neighborhoods*, window murals, Gardnerville Station,

[4] Inclusion in the Douglas County Public Art Inventory does not imply Douglas County ownership of the artwork

[5] Artworks that are located in interior public areas within the Carson Valley Museum and Cultural Center and within school properties are not included in the County's Inventory, since they are considered to be exhibits or student projects.



1.3 *Auto Mechanic Murals,* Gardnerville Station, 1395 US Highway 395, artist: unknown



1.4 *Welcome to Mainstreet Gardnerville Mural,*
a Main Street Gardnerville project, Gardnerville Station,
1395 US Hwy 395, artist: Cheyenne Renee Marcus, 2022



1.5 *Basque Shepherd Mural*, Gardnerville, artist: Beverly Caputo, 2016



1.6 *Cowboy Silhouette Mural,* a Mainstreet Gardnerville project, Woodett's 1492 US Hwy 395, Gardnerville, artist: Beverly Caputo






1.8 *Bear Wood Carving*, Kahle Community Center,
236 Kingsbury Grade, Stateline, artist: unknown





1.10 *Snowshoe Thompson,* Mormon Station Park, Genoa, artist: Don Budy, 2001





1.12 *End of the Cattle Drive bronze monument,* Martha's Park,
1734 Hwy 395, Minden, artist: David Drago, 2010 donated by Larry R. Wilson



1.13 *Gardnerville Orb metal sculpture,* Heritage Park Gardens, Gardnerville, artist: unknown

2. Public Art: Schools



2.1 *Garden Mural*, Gardnerville Elementary School, 1290 Toler, Gardnerville



2.2 *Remembrance Wall*, Carson Valley Middle School,
1477 US Hwy 395, Gardnerville, student artists



2.3 *Window Painting*, Douglas High School,
16708, Minden





2.5 *Floral mosaic mural*, Douglas High School, 16708, Minden, student artists





2.7 *Smile mural*, Douglas High School, 16708, Minden, student artists






2.9 *Mountain Lion mural*, C.C. Meneley Elementary School, Gardnerville, artist: unknown






2.11 *Tahoe Mountains mural*, Zephyr Cove Elementary School, Zephyr Cove, artist: unknown

3. Art on Privately Owned Commercial Property





3.2 *Eagle Landing,* Exterior Mural at Jerry's Grill, 1387 US Hwy 395, Gardnerville, Artist: unknown



3.3 *Stately Buildings*, Exterior Mural at Jerry's Grill,
1387 US Hwy 395, Gardnerville, Artist: unknown



3.4 N*evada Botany Map,* DST Coffee,
1411 US Hwy 395, Gardnerville, artist: Madison Parks





3.6 *World Famous Gardnerville mural,* Sharkey's,
1440 US Hwy 395, Gardnerville, artist: Larry Henry, 2017





3.8 *Jaco the Dragon*, 1525 Toer, Gardnerville, artist: unknown





3.10 *Seated Gentleman sculpture*, Lucky Beavers Bar,
31 Hwy 50, Stateline, artist: unknown





3.12 *Wine Bottle*, Battle Born Wine,
1448 Hwy 395, Gardnerville, artist: unknown



3.13 *Pony Express Rider bronze monument,*
Harrah's, 15 Hwy 50, Stateline, artist: Dr. Avard Fairbanks

4. Movable Art Public Collection



4.1 *'Let's Play' Bear Wood Carving,* Fire Station 24,
875 Hwy 50, Zephyr Cove, artist: unknown





4.3 *Headstart Bear Wood Carving*, Washoe Tribe, 1570 Main River Rd, Gardnerville, artist: unknown



4.4 *Headstart Bears Woodcarving*, Washoe Tribe,
1570 Main River Rd, Gardnerville, artist: unknown

5. Street Furniture (manufactured or produced in multiples)



5.1 *Man and his Dogs*, Sharkey's sidewalk, 1442 US Hwy 395, Gardnerville



5.2 *Bicycle*, Harveys, 18 Hwy 50, Stateline

APPENDIX B – Summary of Community Engagement

Methodology

Engagement with the Douglas County community was an important step in determining community, business and government staff opinions and aspirations with respect to an Art in Public Places Program. Community surveys and key stakeholder interviews were undertaken in order to acquire this input.

Community Surveys

An online survey was conducted to enable Douglas County residents and business owners to provide their viewpoints with respect to public art. In order to raise awareness of the surveys and to invite community input, notices with the linking URL and QR codes for the survey were placed on the County website, and in Town and General Improvement District newsletters. Press releases were issued to local newspapers, social media was utilized and local arts and culture organizations encouraged input from their membership. Four hundred and seventeen surveys were completed online. Additionally paper surveys were made available at the Minden and Lake Tahoe libraries, at the Gardnerville and Lake Tahoe Community Centers and Seniors Centers, at the Douglas County Museum and the Copeland Gallery. Seventy-nine paper surveys were completed, for a combined total of 496 survey responses.

Given the size of Douglas County and diversity of Towns, General Improvement Districts (GIDs) and residential areas it was important to ensure that input was gathered from as many geographic areas as possible and that the results can be analyzed according their location.

The results of the surveys are provided according to the geographic location of all respondents below.

Key Stakeholder Interviews

It was important to acquire input from key individuals with greater insight into the administrative and operational aspects of a public art program. Douglas County, Town staff, and GID representatives, planning and parks and recreation commissioners and elected representatives, and arts and culture organization representatives were interviewed in order to incorporate their opinions and recommendations for a Douglas County public art program. A total of 25 key stakeholder interviews were undertaken. The results of the interviews are provided below.

Raw Data - Douglas County Public Art Questionnaire Results

1. Where do you live?

	#	%
Gardnerville	137	27.1
Gardnerv. Ranchos	77	15.2
Minden	82	16.2
Johnson Lane	36	7.1
Genoa	20	4.0
Ruhenstroth	15	3.0
Fish Springs/E Valley	8	1.6
Lake Tahoe	26	5.1
Indian Hills	24	4.8
South County	57	11.3
Other	23	4.6
Blank	1	0.2

2. Your age?

	#	%
over 60	284	57.6
41 -59	133	27.0
31 -40	56	11.4
20 -30	16	3.2
Under 19	4	0.8
Prefer not to state	13	2.6
Total	506	

3. Your gender?

M/F	#	%
Male	103	21.0
Female	388	79.0
Prefer not to State	15	3.0
Total	506	

4. Do you think a well-planned public art program will help to enhance Douglas County?

Y/N	#	%
Yes	468	95.7
No	21	4.3
Blank	17	3.4
Total	506	

5. WHAT DO YOU THINK ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT REASONS OR GOALS FOR ART IN PUBLIC PLACES? (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

5. a) To tell our stories, convey who we are, our local identity

	#	%
1	23	4.7
2	12	2.4
3	64	13.0
4	113	22.9
5	282	57.1
Blank	12	2.4
Total	506	

5. b) To beautify our streets and public places (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	11	2.2
2	13	2.6
3	52	10.6
4	111	22.6
5	305	62.0
Blank	14	2.8
Total	506	

5. c) To create welcoming spots for residents to meet and socialize (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	22	4.5
2	34	6.9
3	86	17.4
4	112	22.7
5	239	48.5
Blank	13	2.6
Total	506	

5. d) To encourage travelers to stop and explore Douglas County as they are driving through (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	45	9.2
2	28	5.7
3	103	21.0
4	113	23.0
5	202	41.1
Blank	15	3.0
Total	506	

5. e) To inspire and uplift people (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	17	3.4
2	27	5.5
3	48	9.7
4	100	20.2
5	303	61.2
Blank	11	2.2
Total	506	

1. WHERE WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE FUTURE PUBLIC ART LOCATED?

6. a) In downtown areas (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	14	2.9
2	11	2.2
3	46	9.4
4	101	20.6
5	318	64.9
Blank	16	3.2
Total	506	

6. b) Along major roadways and highways (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	51	10.7
2	51	10.7
3	109	22.9
4	95	19.9
5	171	35.8
Blank	29	5.7
Total	506	

6. c) Along hiking and biking trails (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	129	27.7
2	67	14.4
3	131	28.1
4	62	13.3
5	77	16.5
Blank	40	7.9
Total	506	

6. d) In and around public buildings and cultural venues (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	12	2.8
2	7	1.6
3	58	13.4
4	113	26.1
5	243	56.1
Blank	73	14.4
Total	506	

6. e) In parks

	#	%
1	21	4.3
2	33	6.7
3	80	16.3
4	126	25.7
5	230	46.9
Blank	16	3.2
Total	506	

6. f) Integrated into architecture in new buildings (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	44	9.1
2	31	6.4
3	97	20.0
4	102	21.1
5	210	43.4
Blank	22	4.3
Total	506	

7. IN MOST COMMUNITIES, MURALS AND OTHER TYPES OF PUBLIC ART ARE LOCATED IN A WIDE RANGE OF VISIBLE LOCATIONS INCLUDING PUBLICLY OWNED LOCATIONS AS WELL AS PRIVATE BUSINESSES. SHOULD DOUGLAS COUNTY FUND ART THAT IS LOCATED ON THE EXTERIOR OF PRIVATELY OWNED PROPERTY? (i.e., the World Famous Gardnerville " mural on the Sharkey's building or the "Old Town Center" mural in Gardnerville)

	#	%
Yes	379	76.4
No	117	23.6
Blank	10	2.0
Total	506	

8. WHAT TYPE OF PUBLIC ART WOULD YOU MOST LIKE TO SEE IN DOUGLAS COUNTY?

8. a) Murals

		#	%
Least	1	28	5.7
	2	29	6.0
	3	83	17.0
	4	137	28.1
Most	5	210	43.1
Blank		19	3.8
Total		506	

8. b) Functional public art such as benches, bike racks etc. (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	25	5.1
2	30	6.1
3	98	20.0
4	131	26.8
5	205	41.9
Blank	17	3.4
Total	506	

8. c) Free-standing sculptures (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	42	8.6
2	45	9.2
3	111	22.7
4	119	24.3
5	173	35.3
Blank	16	3.2
Total	506	

8. d) Community involvement projects (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	33	6.8
2	50	10.3
3	104	21.4
4	111	22.8
5	189	38.8
Blank	19	3.8
Total	506	

8. e) Environmental art (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

	#	%
1	68	14.0
2	45	9.3
3	116	24.0
4	92	19.0
5	163	33.7
Blank	22	4.3
Total	506	

9. Should Douglas County's Art in Public Places Program include temporary or rotating public art as well as permanent installations? (5 stars are the most important and the least important)

	#	%
Yes	420	84.7
No	76	15.3
Blank	10	2.0
Total	506	

10. WHAT TYPE OF SUBJECT MATTER WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE IN DOUGLAS COUNTY PUBLIC ART?

10. a) Douglas County history and culture (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

		#	%
	1	14	2.8
	2	12	2.4
	3	42	8.5
	4	96	19.4
	5	332	66.9
Blank		10	2.0
Total		506	

10. b) Douglas County landscapes (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

		#	%
	1	17	3.5
	2	16	3.3
	3	85	17.3
	4	116	23.6
	5	257	52.3
Blank		15	3.0
Total		506	

10. c) Family and local people (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

		#	%
	1	73	15.2
	2	82	17.1
	3	152	31.7
	4	88	18.4
	5	84	17.5
Blank		27	5.3
Total		506	

10. d) Modern art pieces (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

		#	%
	1	135	27.9
	2	79	16.3
	3	111	22.9
	4	73	15.1
	5	86	17.8
Blank		22	4.3
Total		506	

10. e) Playful subjects (5 stars are the most important and 1 star the least important)

		#	%
	1	73	15.0
	2	64	13.2
	3	112	23.0
	4	106	21.8
	5	131	27.0
Blank		20	4.0
Total		506	

11. What do you think of Douglas County's Current public artwork? (5 fantastic, 4 good, 3 acceptable, 2 not my favorite, and 1 terrible)

11. a) Basque Shepherd mural

		#	%
	1	25	5.1
	2	23	4.7
	3	70	14.3
	4	128	26.1
	5	244	49.8
Blank		16	3.2
Total		506	

11. b) World Famous Gardnerville mural (5 fantastic, 4 good, 3 acceptable, 2 not my favorite, and 1 terrible)

	#	%
1	34	6.9
2	32	6.5
3	104	21.0
4	155	31.3
5	171	34.5
Blank	10	2.0
Total	506	

11. c) Lillian sculpture (5 fantastic, 4 good, 3 acceptable, 2 not my favorite, and 1 terrible)

# Choice	#	%
1	23	4.7
2	28	5.7
3	92	18.7
4	124	25.2
5	225	45.7
Blank	14	2.8
Total	506	

11. d) Cowboy Silhouette mural (5 fantastic, 4 good, 3 acceptable, 2 not my favorite, and 1 terrible)

	#	%
1	34	6.9
2	48	9.7
3	114	23.1
4	117	23.7
5	180	36.5
Blank	13	2.6
Total	506	

11. e) Bronze Man and his Dogs (5 fantastic, 4 good, 3 acceptable, 2 not my favorite, and 1 terrible)

	#	%
1	25	5.0
2	28	5.6
3	41	8.2
4	86	17.2
5	319	63.9
Blank	7	1.4
Total	506	

11. f) South Tahoe Guitar sculpture (5 fantastic, 4 good, 3 acceptable, 2 not my favorite, and 1 terrible)

	#	%
1	66	13.6
2	64	13.2
3	129	26.5
4	107	22.0
5	120	24.7
Blank	20	4.0
Total	506	

11. g) Welcome to MainStreet Gardnerville mural (5 fantastic, 4 good, 3 acceptable, 2 not my favorite, and 1 terrible)

# Choice	#	%
1	68	13.8
2	48	9.8
3	98	20.0
4	112	22.8
5	165	33.6
Blank	15	3.0
Total	506	

12. THERE ARE MANY POTENTIAL SOURCES OF FUNDING FOR ART IN PUBLIC PLACES. WHERE DO YOU THINK FUNDING FOR PUBLIC ART SHOULD COME FROM?

12. a) Federal, state or foundation grants

		#	%
	1	21	4.4
	2	24	5.0
	3	54	11.2
	4	100	20.7
	5	283	58.7
Blank		24	4.7
Total		506	

12. b) Privately funded through gifts or contributions from individuals or corporations

		#	%
	1	12	2.4
	2	19	3.9
	3	71	14.5
	4	134	27.3
	5	254	51.8
Blank		16	3.2
Total		506	

12. c) Growth-based impact fees for new homes and commercial construction

		#	%
	1	133	28.2
	2	56	11.9
	3	116	24.6
	4	75	15.9
	5	92	19.5
Blank		34	6.7
Total		506	

12. d) A percentage of the County's budget spent on Community Improvement Projects

		#	%
	1	98	20.1
	2	71	14.6
	3	131	26.9
	4	86	17.7
	5	101	20.7
Blank		19	3.8
Total		506	

12. THERE ARE MANY POTENTIAL SOURCES OF FUNDING FOR ART IN PUBLIC PLACES. WHERE DO YOU THINK FUNDING SHOULD COME FROM?

- How about school children being involved in painting local electric green boxes (in our local housing areas) .
  They are ugly and would provide a beautiful uplift ,like the ones in South Lake Tahoe.
  This would not only make our home streets pretty , and give pride to the children that live there.
- To "Dress-Up" Douglas County, not everything has to be "art". A fully comprehensive planned and designed program should include an overall similar "look and feel" for continuity and easy visible recognition by the general public, as well as tourists. A "hodge-podge" of art projects strewn throughout Douglas County may look nice, but it will not create a cohesive environment that reflects creative planning, thoughtfulness, and professionalism. Unfortunately, Douglas County is playing catch-up to decades of no real planning and foresight. Buildings along the US 395 Hwy corridor, SR 756, as well as other main boulevards, reflect the current style of architecture of the decade in which it was built. We have old western looks, modern "box" looks,

shopping strip centers (some western, some modern), and quaint old buildings. Dressing-up a building with a "mural" is ok, but doesn't address the real need for the building that needs a complete "face-lift". Is Doulas County trying to be a modern metropolis, or an old west town? Trying to be both doesn't work.

- Art on the outskirts of town. The gateways. I live near Lake Topaz. It is a gateway area. There should be something nice out this way. Instead of just burned landscape. Something other than the ugly ass sign, that says Nevada, that cost 400,000.!!!
- More burning man art as well as murals
- none - no one will ever agree with another
- No public financed art AT ALL. If private parties or companies want to pay for art, fine.
- N/A
- Fundraisers for a particular project
- Make it fun! Drawings, prizes etc.
- Volunteers love to give & do sometimes it's not so much because of the outcome which is amazing but because it's so awesome just to be a part of movement to improvement, its process is so rewarding & the bonds & friendship most times priceless!
  The people & families of all our communities...love to do & give as well! coming together for great causes & to procure goodness is what people(mankind)are really naturally good at!
- fundraisers for particular art pieces...get kids involved...art projects
- Donations
- Grants
- You should include more art or history about the Washoe people. They are part of the community as well.
- Clean up the Progressive House mess alongside Hwy 395 South of Pine View Estates. Shows such a lack of pride for the County.
- Community fundraisers
- More parks, public spaces
- Free display of local artists
- Local Washoe Art should be included. We need to include our native culture as well. We have a large group of individuals who live in the area who have been excluded in this
- A percentage of any new construction or improvements, should be set aside for art on those projects. So, a new apartment complex would need to spend 2% (for example) of cost of new project, on art, maybe a sculpture out front, or maybe in the unique architecture for example
- New construction should have a component in the budget prior to County or other government approval for "Art in Public Places"
- Using local artists that want to share their talent.
- Partnership to encourage local artists to display their work with funding mix from private and public sourcing. Installations would not be permanent, but rather - rotational so funding would be allocated accordingly.
- Fundraisers
- Raise money through raffles at public events

- Local schools should be able to be involved in art installations. It would be great experience and community involvement to allow students to help and be a part of with murals or installations around town.
- Chamber of Commerce fundraiser, Local environmental group donations, school project fundraisers
- Funding should come from private or grant
  Art should be scattered throughout our community.
- Functional art like unique benches, park shade structures that blend well with the town's entrance sign.
- No Art please along hiking trails. It is the beauty of nature that brings you there, not items of man-made materials or personal beliefs. Keep paths natural, please.

  No Art is necessary on bike paths either. Bikers are moving to fast, plus paying attention to the terrain to stop and view a piece of art.

  Art everywhere else. More artistic new buildings. Changing the frontage of buildings and certain shopping malls to express a more modern mixed with traditional look.

  Work with landscape artists. Engineers to add more color in certain areas with the use of trees and bushes, walkways. Working with plants is also artistic expression.

  Create Art with exciting lighting techniques. Play with light.

  #13. Have a small code image that will give those interested a link to more information if interested. Via phone. Don't take away from the actual art displayed.

  Consider interactive art, where residents can add to an art piece in some way. Possibly a seasonal piece.
- just make sure your decision board as to what gets displayed will have accomplished artists with some aesthetic sense to help decide what gets displayed, the billboard of main street is crap, if it was done by high school students it would be fine but as a professional it is offensive. someone made a bad decision there.
- Private money
- Involve civic youth groups and school groups.
- Corporations, foundation guides.
- We need a bigger venue for indoor concert or plays
- Fundraising
- For rotating art displays, allow the art to be sold and collect a commission from the artist. This is a mutually beneficial arrangement between artist and county. The profits can be used to fund permanent art installations.
- An art specific fund that people could donate into either on the Douglas County website, or for old timers at the County offices.

- Benches with pergolas (too hot and sunny to sit and enjoy in summer) surrounded by native pollinator plants along the new trail between Heritage and Buckeye to McDonalds.
  Peace poles surrounded by Native flowering plants along walkways and parks. Get rid of some of the grass.
  The Cultural Museum needs native perennial flower beds coupled with artwork. Folks only look at a piece of artwork once before it blends into the not noticed background.
  Start an outdoor Arboretum. Design a walkway with Native plants, flowers with signs that are informative and significant to our weather, climate and important to our ranching history.
  Throw more attention to Dangberg. That is an excellent venue to display information of our past. I'm sure the Dangberg's had a vegetable garden to fed itself and crew. I'm sure the entrance was more lush with flowers and cute fencing.
  The park by Ironwood is bland. Remove some grass, plant Native perennials and erect some yard art.
  Create unique "Little libraries". Local artisans can design. Neighborhoods can be involved with where to erect art.
- If it's a private business, they should fund it. If it is property owned by county or Town of Minden/Town of Gardnerville etc., fund it without taking from more needed funds.
- art on loan. Burning man loaners. We are on 395.
- Fundraising at community events
  Donation made through county tax bills (a separate check box asking if the tax payor would like to make a donation)
- Utilize your high school art program and other LOCAL artists. It was a slap in the face to have someone from another state who had an aunt she hadn't seen in several years use her own agenda to paint a terrible mural. You guys should give the graduating art students the opportunity to paint a mural and keep it up for the entire year. Then come time for the next graduating seniors in art classes, they can put up their own mural on the same board. But for heaven-sake, find an excuse to cover the terrible mural y'all just had done.
- Utility Operator Fee
  Sales Tax
  Room Tax
- Don't forget Street Art. Using utility boxes, poles, natural street and buildings features, etc.
- Private businesses should provide art not the taxpayers.
- Please DON'T dress up the sculptures! Putting hats and aprons bows etc. on the sculptures cheapens them and in turn cheapens the feel of our community. Let the sculptures be the beautiful work of art that they were created to be!
- Celebrate the local Indian Tribes whose land and customs were stolen from them. The Washoe, Northern Paiute, Southern Paiute and Western Shoshone, were all here long before the White man showed up. Should be at least one mural for each of native tribe.
- After a pandemic and tax increases...the last thing considered is public art. No taxpayers money should go to this. Get Douglas county fully funded and operational.
- We're the county's stepchild here in TRE, Art is important here too.
- Percentage of Transient Occupancy Tax to assist in public art funding.

- I feel that public art should draw on the talents of the residents and should also be incorporated into public education that encourages students to a) contribute to their communities with art, and b) value their communities.
- County fundraisers
- Collaboration between business & artists upon approved designs for public art. Patrons I'd like to encourage CLUSTER BOX ART; beautify with artists painting subjects representing Douglas County, or Nevada, wildlife or iconic western imagery. Neighborhoods might sponsor projects. Schools could have art competitions juried.
- Fundraisers
- Include the incredible heritage of the native Washoe People in our art for the community.
- I love the interpretations of our local historical culture, however, I would like to also see multi-cultural art that reflects all residents.
- Love the new mural!!! Always get a kick of seeing how man & his dog will be decorated!!!
- if funding gets tied to a tax of any sort, there will be loud opinions against it even if all agree it is a great idea.
- A space for students to create temporary 1-3 months) murals would be cool - something to keep the kids in our community engaged and a part of who we are.
- Sales of used equipment, auctions
- DC leadership raising funds for art cohort class
- Find a way for community to also donate if they want to.
- Keep the Federal and State out of it. Keep it for the Community and freedom.
- raise funds with dances, parties, bike races, etc.
- Maybe part of private - get artists to donate services?
- Artist should have a go fund me on internet
- Memorials (Family)
- not from County budget when Sheriff's Dept is underpaid.
- Fundraisers throughout the County
- Maybe this would be good to bring up at Good Governance meeting
- DONATIONS FROM PUBLIC

13. Do you think that public art should have signage or interpretive information nearby to explain the artist's ideas or its cultural significance?

	#	%
Yes	398	80.9
No	94	19.1
Blank	14	2.8
Total	506	

14. How should local residents be involved in the creation a selection of public art? (Select one)

81	Local residents should work directly with artists to design and create artwork installed in their immediate area
233	Residents can apply to be on a selection panel for public art that is located anywhere in Douglas County
126	Residents can apply to be on a selection panel for public art that is located in their immediate area
30	Local residents do not need to be involved, County staff and arts specialists should do this.

15. Are you an artist?

	#	%
Yes	124	24.8
No	375	75.2
Blank	7	1.4
Total	506	

16. Are you a member of an arts organization?

	#	%
Yes	59	11.8
No	440	88.2
Blank	7	1.4
Total	506	

17. a) Would you like to be involved in planning and selecting future public art in Douglas County?

	#	%
Yes	151	31.4
No	330	68.6
Blank	25	4.9
Total	506	

18 Further Comments

- I love all of what you presented here. Getting all of the residents to give input is great. Getting the art children in our local communities involved is Fantastic!!!
  One more note, what would also help our communities, is to enforce our county laws, like NO trailers, utility, rv, etc to be PARKED on our streets FOREVER!!!!! This does not beautify our streets and homes. Please enforce the Already laws to make our street safer, especially with all the snow we still have.
- Do not encroach to heavily on the artist's creativity.
- There is no point installing a bench for people to sit on - and then taking up the seating area with a sculpture, no matter how much I like the sculpture (and I do). It is just misplaced.
  Please do not name anything for any person, living or dead.
  Concentrate on our history, our Western way of life, and our beautiful surroundings and recreational opportunities.
- Public art should reflect the history of the county before white people arrived. There is so much more to the area than cowboys, mining and the Basque people. The Washoe should be involved and the TRUE history of the county should be told.
- No!

- Who maintains and pays for the hanging flower baskets?
  Who maintains and pays for the Christmas Decorations?
  Are these items than could be included in the Public Art funding program?
- Great Idea!
- I would like to add, we could use some brightness out this way where I live. Off Hwy 208 and 395. That intersection alone would be cool to have something. A bench, a few trees and a some art. People meet up at that intersection for many reasons. It is a gateway to Gardnerville, Carson City and Reno. It feels like the forgotten stretch. We love living out here. Rural life is wonderful. An art piece reflecting that would be so great. People laugh at the Topaz Ranch Estates Neighborhood. A.K.A. Tweekers Running Everywhere. But REALLY, it is a great area and a tight community. Holbrook Junction included. I feel like it is a hidden secret. The views. The open space. The wildlife. The people. Yet, we are lost and feel forgotten to the rest of the county. It would be nice to see some out this way for once. People are starting to really take pride out here. Slowly those old beater mobile homes are disappearing. People are investing in the area. Art would make a big difference out here. It would be noticed more. We are not all like the Progressive House on Hwy. 395. As in a dump. It would be SO NICE to have some sculpture for kids to climb on, and a place to sit and look at the Sweetwater Mts.. It is such a spectacular view!! It would be sooo lovely. Please consider it.
- I think this is an awesome idea. Brings color and interest and conversation to the area.
- I would like to see a variety of art from cultural history to modern art
- I love the man reading newspaper and his two dogs - my favorite

  no mention of horse near High School
- Waste of tax moneys.
- If Douglas County funds private property art installations that art should be subject to review by whatever oversight process, you set up. It should be a given that art on public locations would go thru the oversight process as part of the application for those funds.
- No.
- Keep Douglas County small
- I like public art - even if I don't like an individual piece, it makes me feel like the community takes pride in itself. I love the bronze man and his dogs downtown. It makes me smile every time I go past. The first several times I thought he was real - even though I knew he wasn't (in my head). There is a sculpture like that in the LV airport. To me, they are so very worth the investment that put them wherever they are.
- No
- I believe murals are tricky ... two of the ones in town are done beautifully and the third looks a bit childish. I feel like murals should be presented to the community before they are painted on permanently.
- Thank you for all you do!
  Continue to be a blessing to our communities & its people!
  As i usually say music if life...& Art is sort of our perception on life, it’s always true &

moves with impactable beauty! These 2 when brought together are the Tango in our lives & makes or lives even more vibrant & colorful than we could think!

- one of the biggest issues with murals is ongoing maintenance - the sharkey's mural is badly faded and the basque shepherd is peeling. if there is no funding or consideration for maintenance then murals need to be constructed either on north or east-facing walls. If on south/west walls they have to be constructed of tiles or metals that don't need constant attention and upkeep.
- The welcome to Gardnerville mural is horrible.
- I don't have any comments at this time.
- All art should be historically accurate. Many ranchers are upset that the cows painted in the new mural have never been in Douglas County. Spreading inaccurate information doesn't look good or do a good job paying respect to those you are looking to pay homage to.
- I appreciate the effort and believe that public art that challenges the viewer and inspires discussion/debate serves the purpose of getting people out of their comfort zones. I'm not particularly optimistic that will be the case in our community.
- Please, please, please DO NOT INSTALL MODERN ART. The burning man style of art as seen throughout Reno is horribly ugly and a huge eyesore. Please select art that actually embraces the natural beauty and history of Douglas County. For instance, the giant red sea urchin (south Virginia and south mccarran) is a huge waste of tax payer funding and makes the city uglier. Please also stay clear of the art with a political agenda. Let's embrace art that is timeless and truly beautiful, not ugly junk or so-called art with a social agenda!
- No
- Just that, as a non native American, I'd like to learn more and see more of our Washoe Natives being represented
- Thank you for supporting public art.
- Good luck.
- Please take a look at Boulder City and how they have incorporated art into public places, all privately funded. It's a surprise and delight, and thinking outside the box (like painting the sidewalk so that you can see the picture when it rains).
- Thank you for conducting this survey and encouraging more public art.
- I did the painting of Monte the Mountain Lion on the front of CCMES a few years ago. I went to CCMES in Kindergarten. My kids are 5th generation Nevadan and the 3rd generation to graduate from Douglas High.
- Great idea to enhance Douglas County. Sad that in the list of communities to select from Topaz Ranch Estates was not listed. Those of us who live here for mot identify as South County.
- Thank you for all you do. This is a wonderful idea to beatify our communities.
- Thank you for this survey - this is a great move forward for art in Douglas County
- We need creativity in our county - we need to have art pieces that make people think and take note. People don't stop to look at a. mural - it's boring. Have some art that makes people stop. And don't interpret the art for people. ....that's the beauty of art - let it speak to each person .....Let the art breathe.

- Would love to see our wild horses represented
- Art should be uplifting and also something to talk about.
- Are there any plans on repainting the World Famous Gardnerville mural. The sun has really taken its toll on the piece. Would be nice to see it shining again.
- I love local art!
- My only concern with public involvement in selecting art is that it can become a means of forwarding an agenda as opposed to the simple enjoyment of the art & history of our county. Our society is currently polarized with political opinions & I would hate to see that sort of subject matter adorning our towns.
- I would like to include our young people in this project. Either as artists or an artist mentor program. Feel art in a community is more meaningful and impactful coming from youth.
- Thank you for getting this started. Such a great idea to beautify our amazing community.
- Even though I live outside the immediate area, I value all city's that embrace the visual arts and the visual artist.

  I would be honored at being a part of the future of northern Nevada's journey in the display of public art that is able to enhance, the visual vibrancy of all communities.
- This is such an exciting project and I'm so grateful that community input has been encouraged! Some of our more recent installments (Murals along 395, bronze statues on 395, clock with benches at intersection of 395 and 88 are located near a great deal of car traffic, but not in areas where foot traffic stops or meanders, even though at least two installments include benches. These installments have high visibility, but I have never noticed anybody stopping to enjoy them, even though they're beautiful artwork. I believe that installments that draw foot traffic into more walkable areas of the county (Esmerelda, Downtown Gardnerville, any of our beautiful trails) would not only benefit local business and tourism, but also create a more inviting atmosphere. The locations of the statues in South Lake and Genoa are fantastic examples of this! Being intentional about directing traffic to other installments through QR codes, hashtags, maps, etc. on signage or a website could also be quite effective, as other communities (Nashville, Lompoc, CA, both come to mind) have done in the presentation of their civic art and other murals. These communities also locate murals at a height that helps to facilitate photography opportunities, which encourages people to stop to enjoy them rather than merely driving past. DST Coffee's murals appear frequently in my social media feeds tagged by both locals and tourists who stop for coffee and a quick photo op, and vandalism doesn't appear to have been an issue with them. Perhaps a model like this would help to facilitate more publicity for Douglas County through digital media.

  I really love the artwork that showcases our county's rich history and I think it would be great to see more artwork that celebrates this. Specifically, artwork that celebrates Washoe culture (Dot-So-La-Lee's basket artwork is quite famous. Celebrating this or our local heritage of weavers in modern artwork could be a nod towards this history as well as the role of artistry in our region) as well as a variety of periods from the past, including community efforts that were part of national events tourists would recognize like the

Silver and Gold Strikes, railroad development, Civil War, WWI, Great Depression, WWII, Cold War and its Hot Spots, or even something to celebrate what has been overcome in the past (Harvey's bombing in South Lake, floods, fires, etc.)

- A public art program is a great idea. There should also be an arts and culture plan and programming as well.
- Not pleased with the Gardnerville station mural
- This survey is not accurate. Highest to lowest preference??? People should have an option to disagree, or the results are skewed and meaningless.
- Projects should be inclusive of local people and history beyond the ranch/cowboy themes.
- I am not available at this time in life, but enjoy what has been done in our town so far and look forward to enjoying new items.
- Thank you for the survey
- I lived somewhere where a monstrosity was erected at the ocean. It caused a lot of turmoil and protests. It was eventually taken down because it did not enhance the natural beauty. Art should not detract, but inspire and accentuate what beauty is already there.
- I'm happy to see the work being done to support the arts
- We need to embrace our rural ranching heritage in the art. The art needs enhance the historic architecture still left in our towns. Not the new influx of housing developments.
- I think public art in our community makes us a more desirable community for locals and visitors. Public art sets us apart from other communities. LH
- I served on a Public Art Commission at Irvine, CA 1980's.
  There are legal issues to work out with artists ahead of time, maintenance of art installation in the future that can be sticky, also public safety around art and protection of any art piece regarding vandalism.
- I painted the Heritage Park greens recycling bin in 2016.
  I helped paint chairs to be donated to a library in 2016.
- Regarding #14, I would suggest the application process require some level of credential from the art world or past history of good art judgment, not just anyone who wants to give an opinion.
- My preference is sculptural
- I saw how murals and other works of art transformed some of the scariest parts of the San Francisco and Eastbay ghettos, and how it gave folks who lived and worked there a sense of pride in their neighborhoods, as well as recognition for some of the local artists who produced the murals and sculptures. Art is life! Life is art!
- This project relies on balance. Public and private. Equally any of the preferences should be based on multiple perspectives and needs vs too few.
- There should be a â€˜maybe button on these last few questions. The hardest decision was a panel for local art or Douglas county-wide art. It might be nice to have some â€˜county-wide themes, but neighborhood art is equally compelling.
- no
- I'm a young artist very interested in public work. I would love to help with this project! Working with/on the board would also be of interest to me.

- I agree with a planning committee to seek out highly recognized artists. i.e. Kit Carson statute by Buckeye Blake, Carson City. Qualified individuals on board dedicated to Carson Valley's history could be invaluable I.e. Michael Fisher past CV Museum director is one. CVI owner Mike Pegram has done a great job symbolizing our valley. For wildlife, check out the beautiful bronzes on display High Desert Museum in Bend, Or. Many by private donation. Thank you for allowing this survey.

- Focus on diversity in both subject matter and art mediums. Sure there are some favorite themes like Cowboy's etc. however, we are a modern society also. Sophisticated and intellectually modern themes should not be an outlier to our historical themes already in place. Honor the past and embrace our promising future while remaining apolitical.
- The houses with fences facing Tillman would be a nice place for some art.
- Plants are Living Art. Be sure all Public Art includes plants. That will ensure seasonal interest rather that something that blends into the not noticed background.
- It would be wonderful to see an arts incubator in the valley. Similar to the generator in Sparks. Has anyone reached out to Buffalo Creek near Jobs Peak? They house artists and there have been some very interesting sculptures coming out of their space. In addition, the funding sources you described above to commission art can also be used to fund a site for an arts incubator. Think bigger picture.
  If the funding was attached to building permits it would not cost the county, taxpayers, or individuals a penny to create this environment. You could actually use all the funding sources described above as that would make the most sense. Grants, private donations, etc.
  Having a place for artists to work, display and sell their art is a win-win, it would also attract artists from other parts of the country.
  On the question of who should decide what art is placed within the community. I would turn that decision over to the Town Boards if the art installation is within the town boundaries. Outside the town boundary could have county staff review with set parameters for the review. All installations would most likely require a building permit therefore staff would be part of the review process.
- Local native cultural identity is lacking in the county.
- thank you, this is wonderful. The idea and getting the public involved in their community.
- Why are the local indigenous residents of Carson Valley not included in the display of local culture and people? Is it that they don't want to participate in these projects; or is it because nobody's asked them to participate? The only Washoe/Paiute art I've seen is the billboards on Hwy. 395 at Sullivan and south of Gardnerville. The Washoe's are a lot more than their commercial enterprises. Please include them in these processes.
- Bring it on!
- There should be art from the students of Douglas County. They could contribute to the rotation art.
- I love when the bronze man and dogs statue is decorated for the holidays/ season. It's so fun to drive by and see what they are wearing.

My worry regarding temporary art is money and where will the piece go after? If it is no longer public, money should be directed to another form of art.

I've never actually noticed the south Tahoe guitar statue. Seems like more of an ad for the hard rock than the county.

- Thank you.
- No - thank you for the opportunity to provide input.
- Please don't make us look like California
- My family and I have been involved in several mural/art projects in South Lake Tahoe. We did the fine art utility boxes at Heavenly Village among other things.
- I love the man reading newspaper and his two dogs, and appreciate who ever changes their hats, etc.
- Be sure there is proper accounting.
- Thanks for asking!
- PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE do not put modern art in our lovely, rural, ranching valley. I suppose beauty is in the eye of the beholder, but the aesthetic here is rural, ranching, agriculture, wildlife, mountains, pine trees, etc. We like our valley the way it is. Reno has several modern art pieces, but we do not want to be like Reno. The small town, majestic mountain atmosphere is what makes Douglas County beautiful. I have noticed and appreciate the current works of art in town. They enhance Minden/Gardnerville because they are in keeping with the things that make our valley rural and beautiful.
- This can be a wonderful experience for Douglas County! Any program should be based on the local culture, nature, etc., with an overall theme.
- Fiber Art pieces (Art Quilts) should be considered for Public Art Displays as well. We have many local, talented fiber artists whose works could be displayed in public buildings and even possible at outdoor venues, weather permitting.
- No, but thank you for asking for community input.
- I was happy to express my enjoyment of man and his dogs. I haven't seen the Welcome to Gardnerville (that looks too busy nor Lillian (where is she?)
- I think local artist competitions usually inspire good artwork.

I think embracing Carson valley culture like the rodeo, farming, hunting, skiing, would be awesome while also mixing it in with the old/new generation.

- I love what you've done so far•
- Don't forget about us
- A signature piece like the 'BELIEVE' or Whale in Reno would be beautiful.
- The guidance of professional artists for overseeing projects locally may best be served by residents of the community who are professional artists.
- No
- I am looking forward to seeing more public art in Douglas County! Thank you
- This is exciting news!
- I would love to see more wild horse art to support our local wild horses and raise awareness. And more of our wildlife - coyotes, red tail hawks, bears, deer...etc.

- Are there any communities that you were aware of where they have successfully implemented a public art program and how can we learn from their successes and failures
- We now have 2 properties in the heart of downtown Gardnerville with chain link fences around them. It should be a priority to decorate, disguise or cover these fences.
- I think this is a great project for our county and communities. The highway designs throughout Carson turned out fabulously including both eagles at either end of the highway. The bench with the guy and dogs in G'ville is very eye catching and fun. More of this please
- There may be opportunities to work with the schools and local colleges to provide art ideas and installations also.
- My grandfather was the recipient of a few WPA contracts; I appreciate both the personal economic benefit and the lasting value that these projects have on the areas that benefit from them. Thank you for pursuing public art!
- This is an interesting survey.
- Love the History!
- I'm a DC employee working in Zephyr Cove but resides in Carson.
- An 'art walk' around Zephyr Cove Library would be awesome. Different sculptures / artwork, with info. Displays
- It is important to have art that is enhancing, not distracting. As well as contracting local artists to do them. I am thrilled that the county wants to add more art to our communities. I'd also love to learn how to be considered for one of the artists to do a piece for the community.
- No
- None
- Keep these people who oppose Art in public places locked up
- No
- more iron work sculptures
- No
- I think Gardnerville downtown needs a face lift beyond public art. The downtown has many old buildings which make it look depressing + not the least bit inviting
- I believe murals are the most noticeable, inspiring Art for our county appreciated and <inspired> inspiring for most! (by all) We live in a beautiful community of people, culture, historical significance and positive change - we are dynamic Not statis. Newly retired elementary A Prek - Art Specialist of DCSD. S
- wonderful plans, art here and there pleasant to see, uplifting
- Love to see more!
- The artwork that is already in place. Gives our town a warm + caring place to visit.
- No
- We should all be allowed to vote On Art since we all must look at it. So if it's ugly it's our fault for not voting.
- I love seeing the art along 395/Town. Displaying the art along 395 is wonderful. When hiking I'd love the stands that give info about the area we are exploring.

- Just hope it isn't overdone. It’s nice to see a subtle surprise not excessive or so much its intrusive
- I think it would also be lovely if residents could put their own pieces on display. I don't know how that would work out but it would be nice
- I have no artistic talent, but I love it all.
- I would enjoy sharing whimsical chalk art around the downtown areas. The big Cedar Creek Community spooky spiders & Minden Elem. elf legs done in 2022 were huge success. I'd appreciate a discussion on this idea and how to go forward or not. I currently teach nature journaling classes at the Nature Conservancy, homeschool coops, and the Senior ctr at the Com Ctr. Thank you for getting this survey to the public!
- I love the bronze Railroad Train with people near Fremont CA *Niles Train Station Sculpture (2012) 50% scale of a train, station & people
- No taxes to pay for this
- Public funding should be on a voluntary basis not from tax revenue
- No
- No
- Thank you for putting out this questionnaire. Public art can really enhance an area. I would love to see more façade enhancement on non-historical buildings and new construction.
- Stick to the history and vision (master plan) for this area. Don't try to be "progressive" or too modern.

IF YOU ARE A BUSINESS OWNER IN DOUGLAS COUNTY PLEASE COMPLETE THESE TWO ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS.

19. Where in Douglas County is your business located?

	#	%
In a downtown, town or other commercial area	26	35.1
In a residential area	16	21.6
In an industrial area	1	1.4
In a rural area	14	18.9
In a business park	3	4.1
In a rural area	14	18.9
Blank	432	85.4
Total	506	

20. Would you ever consider commissioning some form of public art on your business property?

	#	%
Yes	24	24.5
No	74	75.5
Blank	408	80.6
Total	506	

APPENDIX C – LOCATING PUBLIC ART IN AN NDOT RIGHT OF WAY

The placement of public art in an NDOT right of way requires an NDOT occupancy permit. These do not require Douglas County approval.

The first step is to determine if it is in NDOT right-of-way. The right-of-way (ROW) locations can obtained through a public records request and depending on the location, if as-built drawings are needed, those can be obtained through the same process. This link (State Maintained Highways of Nevada, Descriptions and Maps | Nevada Department of Transportation (nv.gov) also has the index of NDOT maintained roads which can be used to determine if the location is on an NDOT maintained road. Although, this does not provide information on the exact locations of the limits of the Right-of-way.

If the project is in NDOT ROW, the project would have to go through the ROW occupancy permit application process. A pre-permit meeting could be arranged in collaboration with Douglas County Planning Department staff to discuss what the proposed art project entails. Information about the location, type of project, preliminary drawings for the project, installation process, materials, maintenance requirements and any other pertinent information would be discussed at this meeting.

The information gathered in the pre-permit meeting would help to determine the requirements for getting the permit approved. This would be determined on a case-by-case basis as different locations and types of projects would require different types of engineering analysis to ensure the art project is safely installed in NDOT ROW.

Once all the requirements discussed in the pre-permit meeting have been addressed, the project is ready for submittal. The submittal process will begin with a desk audit to ensure all the proper documentation is included for the permit application. A timeline for the permit application process can be obtained through the links on this page. (Right-of-Way | Nevada Department of Transportation (nv.gov)) The link to section 7.5000 of the occupancy manual has timeline information about the permit review process in the procedures section.

APPENDIX D - RECOMMENDED PUBLIC ART ADVISORY ORGANIZATION (PAAO) PROCEDURES

Establishing a Public Art Project Panel

An ad hoc project panel should be established by the PAAO to select the artist and the artwork for each public art project with a solicitation component for Category One (Rotating Public Art) and Category Three (Permanent Public Art).

The project panel should consist of an odd number of individuals, generally not less than five persons, with knowledge of the various aspects of the specific project who are neutral to the project and are able to freely debate with one another. It should include a PAAO representative, arts professionals with experience in the specific type of project that is being undertaken, building and/or landscape professionals, depending on the nature of the project, as well as town, GID Board or County representative, and at least one community representative from the constituency in which the artwork is to be located. It may also include a representative from the Washoe community. A 'conflict of interest' policy should be required in order to participate.

Technical expertise from Planning or Public Works County staff may be required for some projects. This could include planners, architectural, engineering or design professionals or historians This requirement can be satisfied by requesting input in an advisory capacity for relevant projects at meetings of the Public Art Project panels, rather than to involve them as ongoing Project panel members.

ARTIST SELECTION PROCESSES

Establishing a Project Panel When Needed for Each Project

Assisting in the establishment of a project panel with the required expertise for each temporary (Category 1) and permanent (Category 3) public art in public locations. The panel will assist in preparing the call to artists and advise in the selection of artists, and selection of the final artwork. For Temporary Public Art (Category 1), the project panel should include representatives from the host community, Town or GID.

Advertising the Call

A call for artists is a notice to artists regarding an opportunity to apply for a public art project. There are many websites for artist's calls that are widely utilized. Some of these include:

CAFÉ - https://www.callforentry.org/

ZAPP - https://www.zapplication.org/index.php

ART Guide - https://theartguide.com/calls-for-artists

ART CALL - https://artcall.org/calls

If you would like to attract local artists, you can also utilize local newspapers, radio or the newsletters of local arts organizations.

Depending upon on the nature and scale of the project, there are several options for finding and selecting an artist including:

a. Open Call via Request for Qualifications (RFQ)
b. Open Call via Request for Proposals (RFP)
d. Limited Call/Invitational Call
e. Direct Commission or Purchase

a. Request for Qualifications (RFQ) / Open Call for a Project or to Establish an Artist Roster

The Request for Qualifications (RFQ) is an open call process that requires applicants to submit a letter of interest, a résumé, as well images of representative work and a corresponding image list. These can be advertised through national or regional arts organizations and websites, and should also be posted on the PAAO's website. Applicants generally have 3 to 4 weeks to respond to an RFQ. The Project Panel can select 3 to 5 artists with the qualifications and demonstrated experience to successfully complete a project, or may select several to be included on an approved artist roster for future projects. It does not require the artist to submit a project proposal or design at this stage.

For public art projects that are in progress, the project panel may commission one artist for the project based on their application materials or they may select multiple finalists who would be invited to submit designs or to present their concept during an interview presentation. Finalists generally have 5-7 weeks to prepare a proposal. Finalists are usually paid an honorarium for their concept and to cover any travel expenses.

b. Request for Proposals (RFP)

The Request for Proposals (RFP) is an open call process that invites applicants to provide all of the same materials as an RFQ as well as a project proposal that includes materials and a preliminary budget. Applicants usually have 6 or 7 weeks to respond. Artists are not usually compensated for their proposals when they are submitted in response to an RFP.

c. Limited Call or Invitational Competition

A limited call is directed towards a small list of artists generally drawn up by the project panel based upon their knowledge of suitable artists (usually 3 to 5 artists). They are sent a project package and invited to participate in a site tour and an interview and then can submit a project proposal. Depending upon the scope of the project and the distance traveled by the artist, they may receive an honorarium or stipend for their proposals and travel costs. A final artist is selected from this process and a commissioning contract is drawn up.

d. Direct Invitation or Purchase

Artists may be selected by direct invitation from a list of previously evaluated and approved artists or from a previously successful project. The artist is invited to attend an interview and a site visit and then prepares a design proposal for the project. Existing artworks that have already been created by an artist may also be available for direct purchase.

PROCEDURES FOR THE SELECTION OF PUBLIC ART

The selection of public art should be based upon the following criteria:

1. Artistic merit in terms of quality and value derived from creativity, ingenuity and artistic technical skills
2. Compatibility with the context / location in terms of content, scale, form and materials with its surroundings including the surrounding natural and built environment and site lines
3. Ability of the artist to install the artwork, and if it is temporary art, their ability to maintain the artwork throughout the duration of its installation
4. Longevity of the artwork in terms of materials in the host site. Consideration should be given to materials in locations that may be subject to weathering, harsh chemicals (i.e., road salt), snow removal, high wind etc.
5. Public safety in terms of potential injuries from lack of stability, human contact or climbing the artwork
6. Uniqueness - the artist should warrant that the artwork is an edition of one, unless it is stated otherwise in the commissioning or purchase contract

COMMISSIONING CONTRACTS WITH THE ARTIST(S)

A contract should be prepared and signed by all parties prior to commencement of any public art project. Commissioning or purchasing contracts should contain all of the following:

- Scope of Work for the project including the type of art envisaged, its scale, materials, durability, ease of maintenance and public safety
- Site details including land ownership, history, maps, drawings, photos, surrounding development issues etc.
- Budget
- Installation
- Artist Responsibilities
- Responsibilities of the artwork owner, leaser or borrower

- Warranties and maintenance requirements for the artwork
- Health and Safety
- Artist's rights and copyright
- Timetable, overall project deadline, interview dates, expected attendance at meetings
- Any Insurance or Liability issues
- Decommissioning options and processes
- Termination clauses

MAINTAINING AN INVENTORY OF PUBLIC ART IN DOUGLAS COUNTY

An inventory of existing Douglas County Public Art has been prepared and is included in Appendix A. New artworks should be added to this inventory under the appropriate categories and include the following information:

- The Title of the artwork
- The Artist's name and year of completion
- The address / location of the artwork
- A color photograph of the artwork

AGENDA ITEM NO. 14.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM COVER PAGE

MEETING DATE: October 15, 2026

TIME REQUIRED:

AGENDA: **COUNTY MANAGER**

TITLE:
For presentation only. Announcements, reports, and updates from County Commissioners and the County Manager, including information regarding boards or commissions on which they serve as members or liaisons, other meetings or functions attended, and Commissioner requests for discussion of potential future agenda items. Discussion may also include status reports and updates on County projects and administrative matters for the Board of County Commissioners. (Chairman Gardner)

RECOMMENDED MOTION:
Presentation only.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:
None.

BACKGROUND:
This is an opportunity for Commission members to update the other Commissioners about what is occurring on the various boards to which they have been appointed.

These boards/commissions/meetings include but are not limited to the following:

Mark Gardner, Chairman:
- Carson Valley Chamber of Commerce
- Carson Valley Visitors Authority
- Nevada Association of Counties (NACO)
- Northern Nevada Development Authority (NNDA) (Alt.)
- Regional Transportation Commission
- Quad County Coalition
- Joint Powers Authority/Waste Management (Alt.)

Commissioner Nathan Tolbert, Vice Chair:

- Audit Committee
- Carson Water Subconservancy District
- Debt Management Commission
- Lake Tahoe South Shore Chamber (Alt.)
- Nevada Association of Counties (NACO)
- Nevada Works
- Parks & Recreation Advisory Board
- Quad County Coalition (Alt.)
- Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (Alt.)
- Tahoe Transportation District (Alt.)
- Western Nevada Development Authority (WNDD)

Commissioner Wesley Rice:
- Douglas County Lake Tahoe Sewer Authority
- Joint Powers/Waste Management
- Lake Tahoe Visitors Authority (LTVA)
- Lake Tahoe South Shore Chamber
- Regional Transportation Commission (RTC)
- Stateline Storm Water Association
- Tahoe Douglas Visitors Authority (TDVA)
- Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA)

Commissioner Sharla Hales:
- Carson Valley Arts Council
- Carson Water Subconservancy District
- China Spring and Aurora Pines Girls Facility Advisory Board Executive Committee
- Douglas County Business Council
- Nevada Works (Alt.)
- South Shore Transportation Management Association
- Tahoe Event Center Stakeholder Group
- Tahoe Transportation District

Commissioner Danny Tarkanian:
- Carson Valley Visitors Authority (Alt.)
- Law Library
- Northern Nevada Development Authority (NNDA)
- Nevada Tahoe Conservation District

County Manager Jenifer Davidson
- County Manager Update
- Status reports and updates on County projects and administrative matters for the Board of County Commissioners.

There will be no discussion or action taken on these reports/updates.

ATTACHMENTS:
Community Services Shoutout.pdf

Community Services Department Updates

Community Service Award from the Kiwanis Aktion Club

The Community Services Department received the Community Service Award from the Aktion Club of Carson Valley in recognition of its support for individuals with disabilities. The award recognizes the Department's work to provide meeting space, offer programming, and develop the new inclusive playground.

Sponsored by the Kiwanis Club of Carson Valley, Aktion Club is a Kiwanis International Service Leadership Program for adults age 18 and older with physical or developmental disabilities who are interested in serving their communities. Members develop leadership skills, learn the value of community service, and become more engaged in their community.



New Pickleball and Tennis Courts at Johnson Lane Park

The new courts at Johnson Lane Park are open and ready for play. Approved by the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board and funded through Residential Construction Tax revenue, the project includes one tennis court and four pickleball courts.



