



**TOWN OF GRAY**  
**GRAY TOWN COUNCIL WORKSHOP**  
**AGENDA • DECEMBER 8, 2021**

---

**Gray Town  
Council Workshop**

**Henry Pennell Municipal Complex**  
**24 Main Street, Gray, ME 04039**  
**Zoom: <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/84646465035>**

**4:00 PM**

---

**CALL to ORDER**

Roll Call

**WORKSHOP 4:00 - 6:00 PM**

Discussion of Council Goals for 2021-2022

- Review/discussion of SMART Goals worksheets
- Review/discussion of Tracking Spreadsheet

**ADJOURNMENT**

*\* The Town of Gray is an equal opportunity employer and complies with all applicable equal access to public accommodations law. If you are planning to attend a Town Council or Town committee or board meeting and need assistance with a physical disability, please contact the Town Manager's office at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting to have the Town assist you. 657-3339. TTY 657-3931.*

# Gray Council Retreat Meeting Notes – 11/20/21

---

Prepared by Kristina Egan and Tony Plante, GPCOG

## **Long term priority issues**

- Traffic and infrastructure in the Village area, including Main Street, sewer/water, bike/ped investments, with potential funding from American Rescue Plan Act and Municipal Partnership Initiative
- Village Gateway development
- Business attraction and retention
- The Town is an inspiring place to work with professional, motivated, and trained staff

## SMART GOAL WORKSHEET

*For Gray Town Council – 11/17/21*

**Issue and goal:** Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

---

<b>S</b>	<b>Specific</b>	What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?
<b>M</b>	<b>Measurable</b>	How will you know when you've reached that outcome / objective?
<b>A</b>	<b>Attainable</b>	Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?
<b>R</b>	<b>Relevant</b>	Why is this important for Gray?
<b>T</b>	<b>Timebound</b>	When will this be completed?

**Final Priority Statement:** State the SMART goal.

---

## SMART GOAL WORKSHEET

### Example: Small business attraction

**Issue and goal:** Broadly state the issue and goal. **Example:** More small businesses and entrepreneurs choose to base their businesses in Gray.

<b>S</b>	<b>Specific</b>	<p>What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?</p> <p style="color: red;">Loan program attracts new small businesses and entrepreneurs to Gray Village. Town leads revolving loan fund.</p>
<b>M</b>	<b>Measurable</b>	<p>How will you know when you've reached that outcome / objective?</p> <p style="color: red;">When \$300,000 has been loaned out to 10 new businesses.</p>
<b>A</b>	<b>Attainable</b>	<p>Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?</p> <p style="color: red;">Yes. The Town has \$500,000 available and has had initial inquiries from 5 businesses seeking commercial space in last 3 months.</p>
<b>R</b>	<b>Relevant</b>	<p>Why is this important for Gray?</p> <p style="color: red;">New businesses will expand the commercial tax base and support village vitality.</p>
<b>T</b>	<b>Timebound</b>	<p>When will this be completed?</p> <p style="color: red;">Within 2 years (by 12/31/23).</p>

**Final Priority Statement:** State the SMART goal. **Example:** The Town will attract at least 10 small businesses and entrepreneurs to Gray, particularly its village, by lending them at least \$300,000 over the next two years through its Revolving Loan Fund.

# SMART GOAL WORKSHEET

Anne Gass – 12/1/21

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

## Traffic and infrastructure in the Village area

S	Specific	What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?	
		Outcome	Who Leads?
		1. Aging water/stormwater infrastructure replaced, accommodating both future business needs & climate change.	1. Council provides vision & advocacy; Nate/Alec/ Doug provide input & execute
		2. Sidewalks replaced/added from at least Colley Hill Rd. to Gray Plaza.	
3. Traffic calming measures installed (bump outs, narrow lanes, roundabout (?), etc.) to manage traffic flow.			
M	Measurable	How will you know when you've reached that outcome / objective?	
		Infrastructure replaced/built.	
A	Attainable	Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?	
		With ARPA & other funding this is attainable. It requires a plan in place, as well as a focus on deadlines for applying for – and spending- funding. Strong project management skills.	
R	Relevant	Why is this important for Gray?	
		This is critical for Gray's future. The comp plan calls on us to revitalize Gray village, and we must fix our aging, non-bike/ped friendly infrastructure in order to do so, and to attract new business development to that area of town. Doing anything else before tackling these fundamental issues is putting lipstick on a pig.	
T	Timebound	When will this be completed?	
		Somewhat dependent on MDOT & funding availability, but by 2024 for most steps (except a roundabout might take longer).	

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART goal.

To replace/repair aging infrastructure in Gray village to improve traffic flow, make it bike/ped friendly, and support new business development.

# SMART GOAL WORKSHEET

Krista Chappell 12.2.2021

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

Improve traffic and infrastructure in the Village area: including Main Street, sewer/water, bike/ped investments.

---

<b>S</b>	Specific	What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work? <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Vehicular, bike, and foot traffic flows easily and safely through multiple street systems.</li><li>• Streetscapes are inviting and incorporate public spaces.</li><li>• Modern stormwater, public water, and sewer systems are replaced and/or constructed.</li><li>• Plans are identified for improved broadband/fiber optic infrastructure that supports business and residential growth.</li></ul> Council would lead this work with support from Manager, Planning, and Public Works. Input from committees.
<b>M</b>	Measurable	How will you know when you've reached that outcome / objective? Infrastructure is in place and in use. More foot traffic flowing through Village.
<b>A</b>	Attainable	Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen? Yes, with the current funding opportunities available from federal and state sources and with the support of residents expressed in the Town's recent Comp Plan. It will take advanced planning, consideration of future impacts, and coordination with many stakeholders. Appropriate staff resources are key to ensuring action items are met. A dedicated resource for managing actions should be considered.

<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">R</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt; font-weight: bold;">Relevant</p>	<p>Why is this important for Gray?          Revitalizing and modernizing the Village and its infrastructure is key to attracting businesses that will encourage visitors to stop, stay, and pay! It also provides residents with spaces that create a sense of community. It will make Gray an inviting place instead of a place for people to bypass. Village infrastructure improvements will allow for this concentrated growth, which is important for maintaining Gray’s natural resources and community feel.</p>
<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">T</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt; font-weight: bold;">Timebound</p>	<p>When will this be completed?          According to MDOT timelines, much of the traffic, streetscape, and water/stormwater work will be completed by 2024. Planning for technological infrastructure needs could coincide with this traditional infrastructure, so 2024. Installing sewer infrastructure and more complicated traffic calming systems may not be realistic before 2030.</p>

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART goal. **Gray will plan and implement modern Village infrastructure and streetscapes that improve traffic flow, attract business, and create public spaces, with support of federal and state funds and agencies, by 2024. Continued planning will be conducted for additional improvements to be completed by 2030.**

---

# Smart Goal Worksheet

For Gray Town Council – 11/17/21 – Sandy Carder 12.2.21

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

Traffic and Infrastructure in the Village Area, including Main Street, sewer/water, bike/ped investments, with potential funding from ARPA initiative.

---

- S – Specific:** What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?  
Finalize engineering plans for Route 115 project including Water District work, begin easement work and apply for MPI Application through public forums, additional workshops with stakeholders with Council/Town Staff taking the lead.
- M – Measurable:** How will you know when you've reached that outcome/objective?  
Engineered plans will be complete.  
Easement work will have begun.  
MPI application will be submitted.
- A – Attainable:** Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?  
This is dependent on full survey completion, ledge mapping (geological work), confirmation of Water District work and a signed contract with consultant to complete easement work. In addition, success will depend on advance planning and good communication of public forums to ensure good participation.
- R – Relevant:** Why is this important for Gray?  
Successful Village Gateway Development is dependent on infrastructure upgrades and reduced traffic and safer conditions to expand bike/ped options and reclaim the Village for the Residents.
- T – Timebound:** When will this be completed?  
MPI Application target – Q1  
Public Forums target – Q1  
Finalized Engineered plans – Q2  
Easement Work contract – Q1 or Q2 depending on available TIF funds in budget cycle

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART Goal:

The Town will finalize the plans, begin easement work and identify funding resources to allow the project to go to bid in 2022/2023.

---

# Smart Goal Worksheet

For Gray Town Council – 11/17/21 – Sandy Carder 12.2.21

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

Traffic and Infrastructure in the Village Area, including Main Street, sewer/water, bike/ped investments, with potential funding from ARPA initiative.

---

- S – Specific:** What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?  
Create draft engineering plans for Main Street either broken into two projects to match MDOT project or as one master plan through concept meetings with stakeholders and public forums with residents. This will allow for a revised coordinated partnership with the MDOT to ensure Town obtains necessary infrastructure changes to achieve goals. Council/Staff will lead.
- M – Measurable:** How will you know when you've reached that outcome/objective?  
Emergency stormwater system repairs will be addressed either through construction or an actionable plan – timeline, funding and responsible parties identified.  
Draft engineering plan will be completed.  
Funding options will be identified.
- A – Attainable:** Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?  
Success will be heavily dependent on an agreed upon approach between the Town and MDOT. Both MDOT projects are funded at some level without accommodation for Town's requests. Patching onto their projects will reduce options and minimize success. Agreement on a larger more robust approach is needed.
- R – Relevant:** Why is this important for Gray?  
Successful Village Gateway Development is dependent on infrastructure upgrades and reduced traffic and safer conditions to expand bike/ped options and reclaim the Village for the Residents.
- T – Timebound:** When will this be completed?  
Overall approach to Main Street project agreed upon with MDOT – Q1  
Plan to address emergency stormwater repairs including funding – Q1  
Initial Town needs identified including Water District work – Q1  
High level engineering plans drafted for public forums – Q2

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART Goal:

The Town will finalize an overall approach for Main Street with the MDOT early in the year to allow completion of emergency repairs and draft master plan for infrastructure upgrades in 1<sup>st</sup> half of 2022 to allow for public engagement, infrastructure grant/partnership applications, and other budgeting activities.

---

# SMART GOAL WORKSHEET

Anne Gass – 12/1/21

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

## Village Gateway

S	Specific	What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?	
		Outcome	Who Leads?
		1. A communication plan developed re: time frame for redevelopment & rationale for waiting.	Nate
		2. Engagement of voters in Gray village visioning	Council
		3. Identification of potential infrastructure/other needs for successful redevelopment of Village Gateway & Gray village as a whole; e.g., design guidelines; zoning; policy for businesses tying into water/stormwater; lighting; street trees.	Nate/Doug; council helps set vision
M	Measurable	How will you know when you've reached that outcome / objective?	
		1. Communication messaging is created & shared with voters via multiple platforms & with media.	
		2. Scheduling of workshops/design charettes.	
		3. Guidelines/policies developed and adopted; list of other needs developed, along with potential funding sources; plans in place for pairing execution with other infrastructure development.	
A	Attainable	Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?	
		Will likely need to supplement planning staff for assistance with guidelines/policies & for creating concept sketches to support & document design process/charettes	
R	Relevant	Why is this important for Gray?	
		This is a once in a generation (or more!) opportunity to reclaim our village and make it usable/enjoyable for more than just the cars driving through it.	
T	Timebound	When will this be completed?	
		By 2024	

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART goal.

To engage the community in a process to envision a revitalized Gray village that will support the successful redevelopment of the Village Gateway property.



# SMART GOAL WORKSHEET

Krista Chappell 12.2.2021

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

Develop the Village Gateway.

<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">S</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt;">Specific</p>	<p>What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communication strategy developed that identifies needs and potential timeline.</li> <li>• A specific development plan that includes a vision, outcomes, and actions developed in partnership with local businesses and residents.</li> <li>• Updates to zoning and ordinances that support planned development.</li> <li>• A strategy for future planning and growth that promotes identified vision.</li> </ul> <p>Town Manager and Planner in coordination with Council and Planning Board.</p>
<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">M</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt;">Measurable</p>	<p>How will you know when you've reached that outcome / objective?</p> <p>Residents are informed and engaged with measurable outreach (emails, posts, surveys, attendance counts.) A specific plan and vision for the Village Gateway is established, with ordinances and zoning that reflect that vision. Town Planner has action items for implementing and identifying needs.</p>
<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">A</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt;">Attainable</p>	<p>Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?</p> <p>Yes. Drafting a communication plan and timeline will create transparency for residents. Once infrastructure improvements occur or are underway (see SMART goal 1), resident and business engagement will be key, as Council simultaneously works with Planning Board to update ordinances and zoning. Planner coordinates future needs.</p> <p>Much of this work is dependent on staff resources, particularly in the Planning department, which may need support. We currently have sufficient outreach capabilities and organizational structures to support the visioning work.</p>

<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">R</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Relevant</p>	<p>Why is this important for Gray?          Communicating with residents about a property they purchased for development is critical to maintaining resident engagement and trust. Ensuring the plans in place for this centralized Village property reflect the Comprehensive Plan’s vision and support its goals are essential for achieving a Village that is connected, practical, and useful to residents.</p>
<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">T</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Timebound</p>	<p>When will this be completed?          A communications plan should be complete and implemented by summer 2022. Village Gateway visioning and planning, and complementary ordinance and zoning adjustments, should begin in alignment with infrastructure work in 2023/2024.</p>

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART goal. Gray will create a Village Gateway communications strategy to inform residents of infrastructure needs and timeline by summer 2022. Planning and visioning work that incorporates business and resident interests should be underway by 2023, with a goal of amending zoning and ordinances and implementing growth strategies by 2024.

---

# Smart Goal Worksheet

For Gray Town Council – 11/17/21 – Sandy Carder 12.2.21

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

Village Gateway Development – including implementation of the Comprehensive Plan and Business attraction and retention.

---

- S – Specific:** What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?  
Create a master plan for the Village incorporating the many plans, studies and vision/goals in the Comprehensive Plan. Staff will take the lead on the work to create discussion with the Council and to allow for public forums to engage residents.
- M – Measurable:** How will you know when you've reached that outcome/objective?  
Needs assessments, review of completed facilities studies and overall capacity requests from every department will be completed through the budget cycle.  
The infrastructure goals to create plans will be completed.  
Dialog between Staff, Council and residents will be completed.  
Draft plans will be completed.
- A – Attainable:** Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?  
Success will be heavily dependent on completed infrastructure plans for Route 115 – Yarmouth Road and Main Street and the timelines for those efforts.
- R – Relevant:** Why is this important for Gray?  
Village Gateway Development is central to achieving the goals and vision outlined in the approved Comprehensive Plan. Economic, housing and other goals are all dependent on achieving the successful revitalization of the Village.
- T – Timebound:** When will this be completed?  
Summary of needs will be identified – Q1  
Workshops/discussions will be held with Council on studies, budget requests, capacity needs, etc. – Q1  
Draft Village master plan will be created – Q2  
Public surveys, forums, etc. will take place – Q2

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART Goal:

The Town will create a vision of the Village through the creation of infrastructure plans and the Village Gateway Development plan within the next year to allow for long term planning to achieve those goals and create an environment to achieve other goals around economic development and density which align with the implementation of the Comprehensive Plan.

---

# Smart Goal Worksheet

For Gray Town Council – 11/17/21 – Sandy Carder 12.2.21

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

Village Gateway Development – including implementation of the Comprehensive Plan

---

- S – Specific: What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?  
Create a Growth Plan including a permit program to ensure measured growth in Gray in the next several years while full planning is completed to facilitate the implementation of the Comprehensive Plan. Staff should develop a plan to discuss with the Council.
- M – Measurable: How will you know when you've reached that outcome/objective?  
A Growth permit plan will be implemented.
- A – Attainable: Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?  
Resources to develop the plan and to implement the permit plan will be needed.
- R – Relevant: Why is this important for Gray?  
The Comprehensive plan clearly states where and what type of development is desired by residents. Surrounding Towns are being overwhelmed with Growth and already have established growth permit plans (Cumberland, Windham in particular). If those Towns adjust their plans to restrict growth further, there will be more substantial growth directed to Gray. Town needs a plan to ensure it aligns with the Comprehensive Plan.
- T – Timebound: When will this be completed?  
Growth permit plan developed and implemented – Q2-Q3

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART Goal:

The Town will create a Growth Plan including permit program to ensure density is provided in areas identified for growth in the Comprehensive Plan while restricting the number/type of permits in areas identified for reduced development and conservation to prevent sprawl and help achieve revitalization of the Village area.

---

# Smart Goal Worksheet

For Gray Town Council – 11/17/21 – Sandy Carder 12.2.21

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

Village Gateway Development including implementation of the Comprehensive Plan & Business attraction and retention.

---

- S – Specific:** What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?  
Review zoning/ordinance language for new Critical Rural zones identified in approved Comprehensive Plan immediately to protect those areas from high density development while updating zoning/ordinance language around the Village Center and Village Proper zones to encourage density and development in the Village. Town Staff will need to develop options for Council consideration.
- M – Measurable:** How will you know when you've reached that outcome/objective?  
Ordinances and zoning for both areas will be updated.
- A – Attainable:** Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?  
Resources to review current ordinances/zoning and develop changes will be critical to success.  
Monitoring the results of the State level Housing Committee's recommendations and subsequent Legislative action will be critical in understanding new restrictions on Local control.  
Completion of the Village Gateway Plan will be instructive to this effort as well.
- R – Relevant:** Why is this important for Gray?  
The Comprehensive plan clearly states where and what type of development is desired by residents. Surrounding Towns are being overwhelmed with growth and already have established growth permit plans (Cumberland, Windham in particular). If those Towns adjust their plans to restrict growth further, there will be more substantial growth directed to Gray. Town needs a plan to ensure it aligns with the Comprehensive Plan.
- T – Timebound:** When will this be completed?  
Critical Rural protections identified & implemented - Q1 – Q2  
Village zoning/ordinance changes identified & implemented - Q3 – Q4

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART Goal:

The Town implements concrete changes to protect critical rural areas as defined in the Comprehensive Plan and works to change rules, procedures, ordinances, etc. in the Village area to encourage appropriate growth.

---

# SMART GOAL WORKSHEET

Anne Gass – 12/1/21

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

## Business attraction and retention

S	Specific	What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?	
		Outcome	Who Leads?
		1. Create the conditions that support new business development, as well as retention & expansion in/relocation to Gray	Nate/Doug; council to inform
M	Measurable	How will you know when you've reached that outcome / objective?	
		1. Zoning ordinances that are easy to understand & administer. 2. Clear, understandable process laid out for businesses to follow when seeking needed town approvals. 3. Staff have expertise/time to support business development 4. Council/Planning Board & staff are aligned in implementation of ordinances/Comp Plan.	
A	Attainable	Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?	
		Will likely have to hire additional staff/expertise to change zoning. Making zoning ordinances simpler will free staff time to support business development. Work on village traffic & Gateway complements achieving this goal.	
R	Relevant	Why is this important for Gray?	
		To strengthen the tax base, and to provide Gray residents with access to employment, goods, & services.	
T	Timebound	When will this be completed?	
		Council & PB will meet in Q1 2022. Improved application policies/procedures could be done within 18 months. Rewriting zoning ordinances will take additional time- perhaps not completed until 2023.	

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART goal.

Gray has an integrated set of policies & procedures in place that support business development in practice, not just in words.



# SMART GOAL WORKSHEET

Krista Chappell 12.2.2021

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

Attract and retain business.

<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">S</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt;">Specific</p>	<p>What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gray has a diversity of businesses that both encourage interest, from residents and visitors, in the Village and provide job opportunities and services for residents</li> <li>• Business growth and type should align with land use goals outlined in the 2020 Comprehensive Plan.</li> <li>• Gray has a marketable vision and message to promote.</li> <li>• Procedures are clear to applicants, particularly developers.</li> </ul> <p>Town Manager and Planning department would lead this work. Support from Community Economic and Development Committee, Gray/New Gloucester Development Corporation, and Sebago Lakes Chamber of Commerce. Council will support necessary ordinance updates reflective of the Comprehensive Plan.</p>
<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">M</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt;">Measurable</p>	<p>How will you know when you've reached that outcome / objective?</p> <p>A greater diversity of businesses exists in Gray that aligns with land use goals, as measured by the increase in business tax payments generated and increased foot traffic in the Village. More employment opportunities exist (measure with unemployment figures for Gray and/or social service requests) and residents have more services (retail, transportation variety, food/dining, healthcare, wellness, support, professional industries, etc.) Marketing strategies are implemented, with amount of business inquiry tracked.</p>

<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">A</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt; font-weight: bold;">Attainable</p>	<p>Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?  Yes. As infrastructure is improved in the Village and planning is aligned with Comprehensive Plan goals, we can increase business retention and attraction, particularly with a marketable message that illustrates our Town's vision.</p> <p>Council needs to support the Planning department as they identify zoning and ordinance needs. Identified strategies and procedures need to be flexible, particularly for the Village and when thinking about how to maintain natural resources. All changes should be sure to reflect the Comprehensive Plan vision and goals. Enlisting local and regional partners is important. And thinking about changing economies and Gray's unique attractions, such as location, outdoor recreation, and agriculture is also critical. Staffing increases may be necessary, as will a marketing consultant (or volunteer hours through CEDC.)</p>
<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">R</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt; font-weight: bold;">Relevant</p>	<p>Why is this important for Gray?  An efficient way to fund Town services is with tax income generated from businesses. Also, developing business that is aligned with land use goals will ensure Gray maintains the qualities that make it marketable and attractive to both future businesses and residents. Having procedures and zoning in place that is easy to follow, understand, and aligned with goals will create efficiencies.</p>
<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">T</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt; font-weight: bold;">Timebound</p>	<p>When will this be completed?  This work should be ongoing, to ensure we are flexible and aligned with emerging economies and town needs/vision. More immediate regional outreach, marketing strategies, procedural updates, and zoning amendments could all be complete within two years, 2023/24. Tax base should be diversified by 2030.</p>

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART goal. Consistent marketing strategies that highlight Gray's attractions will be implemented by 2023, with inquiries tracked. By 2024, Gray will have a system of flexible zoning and planning procedures that are clearly outlined and incorporate land use goals for the Town. Gray's tax base will diversify by XX% and unemployment decrease X% by 2030.

# Smart Goal Worksheet

For Gray Town Council – 11/17/21 – Sandy Carder 12.2.21

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

Business attraction and retention

---

- S – Specific:** What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?  
Finalized a signed long term maintenance (and a long term conservation) agreement between the Town, MSAD and Gray Community Endowment for Libby Hill Trails. Council will lead on this with Staff supporting the development of the details and incorporation of agreement into existing policies/work planning.
- M – Measurable:** How will you know when you've reached that outcome/objective?  
The 12-18 month shadow period will be concluded with identification of remaining details for legal agreement.  
Formal long term legal agreements for both the maintenance and conservation of Libby Hill Trails will be completed, approved by respective boards and signed.  
Maintenance/budgeting work will be incorporated in 2023-24 budget cycle work.
- A – Attainable:** Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?  
Success is heavily dependent on 12-18 month shadow period success – which means access, cooperation between all three entities and successful documentation of necessary resources, funding and issues.
- R – Relevant:** Why is this important for Gray?  
The Comprehensive plan focuses greatly on Gray's natural assets and other Communities have demonstrated the ability to leverage such trail systems to create economic development opportunities, attract businesses and create reasons for people to visit and spend time in those Communities.
- T – Timebound:** When will this be completed?  
Libby Hill Memorandum of Understanding completed by – Jan 2022  
12-18 month shadow period completed by – Q3 – Q4  
Long term legal maintenance agreement drafted – Q4  
Long term conservation agreement drafted – Q4

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART Goal:

A long term agreement is put in place to conserve and maintain the Libby Hill Trails by year's end to allow for assured management and utilization of the natural asset.

---

# SMART GOAL WORKSHEET

Anne Gass – 12/1/21

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

Attracting and inspiring professional, motivated, and trained staff

S	Specific	What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?	
		Outcome	Who Leads?
		1. Provide compensation sufficient to attract trained & experienced staff	Council sets budget; Nate advises
		2. Set clear vision and goals & empower staff to implement	Council; Nate
		3. Recognize & reward excellent staff work through annual awards/employee of the month, or similar approaches	Council; Nate
		4. Hold employees accountable for poor performance	Nate
M	Measurable	How will you know when you've reached that outcome / objective?	
		1. Benchmarked to surrounding communities/industry standards.	
		2. Clarity around staff/council roles, expected outcomes.	
		3. Create fund that can be used to recognize strong staff performance.	
		4. Set clear expectations and goals for employee performance; have clear process in place for documenting poor performance and communicating with employee(s).	
A	Attainable	Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?	
		Raising salaries has a budget impact and this won't be easy given the competition for scarce funds (steps 1 & 3). Steps 2 & 4 are attainable and are already underway.	
R	Relevant	Why is this important for Gray?	
		Given scarce funding we can't afford employees who lack the skills or interest in helping to achieve the town's goals.	
T	Timebound	When will this be completed?	
		Steps 2 & 4 are underway. Raising pay will be a work in progress over the next 1-4 years; we will begin with the Fire & Rescue in FY 23.	

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART goal.

Existing & potential employees see Gray as a competitive & rewarding workplace that allows them to exercise and strengthen their professional skills and compensates them fairly.



# SMART GOAL WORKSHEET

Krista Chappell 12.2.2021

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

Create an inspiring place to work with professional, motivated, and trained Town staff.

<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">S</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt;">Specific</p>	<p>What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff retention and workplace satisfaction are high.</li> <li>• Staff are challenged and inspired to continue a high level of work and/or service.</li> <li>• Ongoing training is offered.</li> <li>• Staff members are supported in their work/life balance and feel empowered to complete tasks independently.</li> <li>• Staff compensation and benefits reflect modern costs and standards.</li> <li>• Resident inquiries are addressed in a timely and professional manner.</li> <li>• Staff skills are aligned with roles and responsibilities.</li> </ul> <p>Town Manager will lead. Council supports staffing and budgetary needs.</p>
<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">M</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt;">Measurable</p>	<p>How will you know when you've reached that outcome / objective?</p> <p>Staff retention rates are higher than today with fewer open positions. Staff have clear understanding of their role/responsibilities within organizational structure, with annual goals and objectives aligned with Town goals. Town Manager reports more independent work; staff is empowered. Training opportunities are consistent and relevant (how many? Where?). Compare salaries against comparable communities within Greater Portland region. Resident complaints decrease.</p>
<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 48pt; font-weight: bold;">A</p>	<p style="text-align: center; font-size: 24pt;">Attainable</p>	<p>Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?</p> <p>Yes, Gray has the capacity to be a desirable place to work, attracting and retaining talented individuals. Staff need to have clear expectations; annual goals; opportunities for growth and advancement;</p>

		salaries/benefits commensurate with experience and regional standards; and an environment where they feel support both at work and while balancing work/life. Town Manager is instrumental in creating the organizational structure and environment. Council need to ensure budgets and staffing resources reflect staff needs and regional standards.
R	Relevant	Why is this important for Gray? Having a responsive, professional staff representing Gray is essential. Staff are the face of the town. They are who residents engage with and businesses work with. If we want to attract business and create a welcoming community, Town staff need to reflect Town values and understand the how essential they are to Gray's success. They need to enjoy and be challenged by their work, particularly as this affects retention. Staff turnover is also an added cost to the Town.
T	Timebound	When will this be completed? This work is ongoing, but implementing clear organizational goals, communicating goals and responsibilities to staff, providing growth opportunities, ensuring professional standards, and updating salary and benefits are all items that can be completed by 2023.

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART goal. **By 2023 Gray is a consistently attractive and desirable employer, with high staff retention and satisfaction rates; clear organizational structures; and regionally competitive salary and benefits. Staff are experienced, professional, and engaged with residents and business owners. Residents report satisfaction with staff interactions.**

---

# Smart Goal Worksheet

For Gray Town Council – 11/17/21 – Sandy Carder 12.2.21

Issue and goal: Broadly state what the Town should achieve.

The Town is an inspiring place to work with professional, motivated, and trained staff.

---

- S – Specific: What outcome would you like? Who will lead this work?  
Complete update to Employee Policies to focus on expanding Gray's appeal, provide more robust training options and flexibility to attract new highly qualified employees and retain existing high performing employees. This may include adjustments in pay and/or benefits and must include increased and better communication of goals and expectations – both for the Town and individually with standard accountable evaluation process. The Town Manager will need to take the lead on this effort with support from the Council.
- M – Measurable: How will you know when you've reached that outcome/objective?  
Successful implementation of new policies or updates to existing.  
Better organization of existing departments/staff.  
Successful implementation of the new goal setting timeline developed at the Council Retreat which will include Dept Heads/Committees next year.
- A – Attainable: Is this a realistic outcome? Do we have what's needed to make this happen?  
Time will be the biggest hurdle as these types of changes must be done over time and every day work needs to continue. A clear defined plan and robust communication will be critical as well.
- R – Relevant: Why is this important for Gray?  
All Municipalities are facing staff shortages (as is the private sector). In order to ensure taxpayer money is being spent wisely and efficient, Gray needs high performing and engaged employees.
- T – Timebound: When will this be completed?  
Nate????  
Council/Manager retreat set – Sept 2022  
Council/Staff/Committee workshop – Oct 2022  
Council/Manager finalize goals for upcoming year – Nov 2022  
Formal adoption of yearly goals – Dec 2022

Final Priority Statement: State the SMART Goal:

A new approach needs to be implemented to reach consensus around yearly goals and buy-in from all stakeholders, so everyone is pulling in the same direction, work is more efficient and cost-effective, and more work can be achieved.

---

\*O/F/C (All)

OPEN

Count of Description	Column Labels			
Row Labels	H	L	M	Grand Total
Administrative	1	2	3	6
Budget		1		1
Budget / Audit		1		1
Committee			1	1
Comp. Plan Implementation	1			1
Infrastructure / Village TIF Goals	2			2
Open Space / Conservation Plan	2			2
Ordinance		1	14	15
Policy			1	1
Public Safety			1	1
Recreation			1	1
Residential			1	1
TIF Goal / Budget	1			1
Traffic	1		2	3
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>37</b>

\*O/F/C (All)

CLOSED

Count of Description	Column Labels				
Row Labels	-	H	L	M	Grand Total
Administrative		5	4	5	14
Budget		1		2	3
Comp. Plan Update		1			1
Comp. Plan Update - breakout of tasks for Item 11		3			3
Comp. Plan Update/ Conservation Plan		1			1
Joint Leaders		1		2	3
Ordinance	1	7	1	16	25
Policy				3	3
TIF Goal / Budget		2			2
Traffic		1			1
Traffic - MDOT - break out tasks from item 24A				1	1
Traffic - MTA				1	1
Traffic - MTA - break out tasks for Item 24B				1	1
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>59</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months - Guideline										Last Update: 11/16/21		
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
27	Open Space / Conservation Plan	O	H	1	12/01/21	During the Libby Hill Trails clean-up after the October storm, the Community Endowment communicated concerns regarding the longevity of the <b>current ad hoc agreements</b> used to create <b>Libby Hill Trails</b> . Council agreed a full review and updates are needed to protect this asset as part of <b>Open Space Plan</b> .	Sandy Carder	Nate Rudy	Sandy Carder	03/20/18	11/16/21	TC discussed at 3/20 meeting based on feedback received from Community Endowment. Deb advised the agreements just 'came together' and are in need of review/update. Deed research - who has access to which pieces of land, how large of a geographic area is involved, how deep does the research need to go, consultation from a conservation expert - will all be needed and cost money. Council agreed to log with a target of year end, for Staff to review & determine what is needed with an estimated cost, for consideration in next year's budget. Carl Holmquist is willing to provide some names of conservation experts who can assist the Town. (12/20) Issue came up in Open Space Staff meeting - Deb working on pulling together cost estimate for budget meetings. (2/5) TC agreed to move up & tie to H2.1 Open Space. (3/21) est provided at budget meeting. Council agreed to add to budget. (6/11) Residents approved budget. (10/15) Community Endowment has requested an info sharing meeting with Town Staff, 1 Councilor and an MSAD representative to start process of long term maintenance agreement. Council appointed Dan to attend. (11/12) 1st meeting went very well - 3 parties agreed preserving is paramount. (12/3) Council agreed to add annual maintenance to budget spreadsheet. (12/17) 2nd meet focused on budget - MSAD 15 conducting full audit of usage to determine impact - some easement discussion. (1/21) Deb followed up with MSAD15. No update. (2/4) Carl present to School board this week, Craig has some initial data on usage (3/10) Meet held to continue discussion - working through maintenance/budget needs. Council agreed to add the Homestead Acres issues submitted by residents on this private road - to ensure we keep them in the loop as the agreement moves forward. (6/16) Deb will check with Dr. King on next meeting after the election. (8/4) Dan adv MSAD15 lawyer provided framework agreement for review. (10/6) Dan shared a worksheet created to gather input from stakeholders. Council provided gen feedback on form. (10/20) Council prov feedback on worksheet (10/22) Meeting held to compile worksheet info. (1/19) Dan provided summary of MSAD analysis of agreement & advised Attorney is working on drafting an agreement. (2/16) Dan prov an update. Deb adv sub group has met to discuss maint/budg agree (7/6) Draft agree done - Sandy work with entities to finalize outstanding items (9/21) Sandy prov upd from meet (10/5) MSAD has prov initial feedback (11/16) Sandy met with MSAD/GCE <b>Next Step: Proposed MOU incl in 11/16 agenda, but was pushed to 12/7 due to time constraints. MSAD will review with full board in December.</b>
88	Infrastructure / Village TIF Goals	O	H	2	11/30/21	Infrastructure - <b>Shaker Rd Stormwater/Sidewalk - Project</b>	Town Council	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	02/22/18	09/21/21	Steve/Will pres results of video work. Report was rev with MDOT Dale Dougherty, Kyle Hall, Deb & the Council (5/15) Steve adv full width paving was plan for some of RT 26. Dale confirm catch basin upg will be done for some. Sched a walkthr/meet w MDOT/Staff to rev of Rt 26 Vill to (1) id what is incl in the upcom overlay (2) dev a plan for the rest of the proj. Sub Items: (a) G-P to prov key to their-fair, poor, good categ (b) Town to prov plans for swalks/sign. Based on MTA fdack reg bridge swalk/bike lane fdback-look at mak sure plans (if not fund/prior immed) at least incl an ext of ped/bike lane down Skr to Skr Bridge. It is the route to the MS/HS from the Village. Results to be rev for budg imp by Council/Staff to create agree plan. Steve pres PW prior at 9/10 TIF Wkp incl this item. Council await more infor on Main St proj for next steps. (11/28) Council disc possibly add sidewalk from Russell to Shaker Rd into eng of this proj and/or work with MSAD15 for poss trail thru their prop to sidewalk. May qualfor MDOT sidewalk fund. Eng for proj in budget CIP-Council to disc 2/11. (2/19) Council agreed this is the top pri for fund. (6/11) Residents app budget-engin underway. (9/17) Will prov an update on engin, issues & Council prov feedback. (10/15) Will/Stevie met with DOT will update council at 10/28 workshop. (10/28) Upd prov-Council agreed to submit MPI appl. (11/12) Council disc Gray Park sidewalk alt & agreed due to cost, it is not feasible. MPI should incl sidewalk on Gray Park Enercon side. Resol for park spaces imper. (2/4) Council held exe session-no act taken. (3/10) MPI appr by MDOT. (4/21) Council has agreed to use \$100k from TIF + \$652K from Bond - ques to be put to residents. Public forum to be held on actual project once feasible (covid-19). (4/28) rev warrant art (6/2) Council appr warrant art - go before voters 7/14/20. (7/21) Voters approved fund, Council to set up public forums. (9/1) Council set 9/29 for Public Forum - virtual meeting. Pre-planning meeting will need to be set up. (10/6) Sandy prov update from Public Forum. Alec/Will working on next steps. (11/17) Alec/Will will provide update 12/1 (12/1) Update prov - timeline appr - outstanding items ident - advertise by 12/11. (1/5) Out to bid. (2/16) Bid app (3/16) Council dis stripping - Alec to prov costs. Trees will be replaced via a change order once construction is underway. (4/20) Council app easements for project. <b>Next Steps: Nate to obtain update on remaining items.</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months - Guideline										Last Update: 11/16/21		
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
84	Comp. Plan Implementation	O	H	3	<a href="#">Detail Tab</a>	Identify steps/ create plan to <b>implement</b> newly approved <b>Comprehensive plan</b> . <b>SEE SEPARATE TAB - FOR DETAILS</b>	Council	Council	Council	12/01/20	07/06/21	(12/1) Council agreed to close old item and create new implementation plan. Detailed tasks will be logged on detail tab pending schedule workshop to review them and come up with timeline/prioritization. Council agreed with Dan's suggestion to ask each Committee to review CP to ID what would inform their work, how they can support implem, what roadblocks exist, what resources will be needed, etc. with a one page summary due by 2/8 for Council reviewed at 2/16 meeting. <a href="#">See Detail tab for updates</a> . <b>Next Steps: Nate to meet with Dept heads to review in May. WS will be scheduled after.</b>
34	TIF Goal / Budget	O	H	4	<a href="#">Detail Tab</a>	Work on <b>planning</b> associated with new <b>Village TIF</b> . <b>SEE SEPARATE TAB FOR DETAILS</b>	Council	Nate Rudy	Doug Webster	08/07/18	07/06/21	Councilors agreed to close 'TIF creation' task as it is now in approved. This new item will capture the planning and additional work associated with administrating the new TIF. Project was discussed during 9/10 workshop & Mr. Lavallee advised video work was still outstanding, but felt he would be able to present at 2nd Oct meeting. 9/18 Deb advised that there was still one piece left to complete. Review of MDOT/Town video work & analysis to be presented at Dec 4th meeting (as presentation was delayed from 11/13 meeting). (11/28) Council reviewed updated info on projects with Steve, as well as his priorities. Mixed Use options were discussed at length. (12/4) Will Haskell & MDOT provided updated info on Main Str Project. (2/5) & (2/19) See new detail tab H3. MDOT has advised change in Main Str project timeline. (7/2) Workshop held (8/6) 7/1 Workshop minutes approved. (10/15) Council agreed time to set up another brainstorming session - likely on Route 115 road - agreed to wait until 10/28 workshop to schedule so Bruce would be included. (10/28) Public forum set 12/5. (11/12) Dan will facilitate - Council agreed to set up/format. <a href="#">See detail tab for updates</a> . <b>Next Step: Doug to work up proposal on Zoning once time allows. Council discussion funding options.</b>
66	Administrative	O	H	5	<a href="#">12/01/21</a>	Determine if <b>"No Thru Trucks"</b> restriction should be placed on a section of <b>Mayall Rd</b>	Dan Maguire	Council	Nate Rudy	11/12/19	<a href="#">11/16/21</a>	Back in June, Kathy Taylor (Mayall Rd resident) had reached out to all Councilors regarding the state of her road and the heavy truck traffic. Dan spoke to her directly and agreed to manage the request. This road was set to be paved, but dropped from PW sched due to paving costs being substantially higher than anticipated. It will be at top of the list next cycle. Deb did some initial feedback - as this section of road is being considered for "state aid" designation (Depot Rd is a state aid road). This impacts cost sharing/responsibility with MDOT vs. Local. MDOT was conducting vehicle counts for the road (or Deb/Steve had req). Dan also advised Ms. Taylor that talking to her neighbors on the road to determine the level of support for posting "no thru trucks" would be helpful. (11/7) Dan rec'd an official petition signed by 24 Mayall Rd residents. (11/12) Council agreed to add to tracking spreadsheet - it was set to be discussed at 12/3 Council meeting already. (12/3) Sandy provided more info on options incl. weight limits. Deb advised of possible "State Aid" classification. (12/17) Deb provided proposal from G&P - \$1,000 to determine if Mayall/Weymouth would even qualify - Council expressed concerns on impacts to road maintenance and residents. (1/21) After rev expenses & discuss control concerns, Council agreed NOT to pursue state aid status on either road. Can revisit at later date. Discussed possibly just putting up signs, but felt more info was needed & did not want to set up false sense of enforcement. (2/4) Dan notified resident & adv it would take time before a workshop could be sched. Council agreed to send feedback on LD1498 in support of MMA testimony against bill to eliminate weight limits on rural roads. (2/18) Council app letter (4/28) Dan adv Resident checked in again, but understood delay. (6/16) Dan req Council consider posting signs again (7/21) Council discussed & agreed need to pull in Depot Rd residents. (9/1) Council set 10/6 for workshop prior to TC meeting. (10/6) Public forum held with info sharing (10/20) Council disc options (12/1) Dan has done some research to share with Sandy. MDOT has approved the 4 way stop. (12/15) Dan & Sandy held discussion. Dan updated Council. (1/5) Dan adv MDOT has sample ord see #77. (2/2) Dan has draft ord/letter. (3/16) Council prov feedback to Dan to update Ord. He will coordinate with Nate to submit to legal. (5/4) Dan req an update on status. (5/18) Legal has rev with feedback. (6/2) Council provided feedback (7/6) Nate adv only 1 call rec'd from letter (9/7) 1st read will be 9/21 with 2nd read 10/5. (9/21) 1st read/public hear held (10/5) 2nd read/hear held - approved <a href="#">11/16) Dan req update on sign installation</a> <b>Next Step: Goes in effect in 30 days. Letter to MDOT will need to be sent once traffic ord is adopted for short shaker. signs should be installed on both sides of road. comm with deputies to ask for help with educating users. need to establ how violations will be report - send out postcard with info.</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months - Guideline										Last Update: 11/16/21		
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
4	Traffic	O	H	6	<a href="#">Details</a>	Work to develop long term changes needed to address traffic, drainage, road conditions, pedestrian & cyclist safety and speed along <b>Route 115 entering Gray from the hill thru connection to Brown Street.</b>	Nate Rudy	Council	Nate Rudy	01/02/18	10/05/21	During the June Council meeting, Town Staff advised they would be pursuing a MPI grant for reconstruction of this stretch of road in collaboration with the MDOT. It was advised it would likely be a 2 year process with updates provided to the Council. Deb Cabana noted that this would be a 50/50 split for costs (not 25/75 Town/MDOT as previously stated) and includes replacement of the water main. Therefore, it may be included in the upcoming TIF creation, thus it should remain active (rather than 2 year target). Reference material: Pavement Conditions Study - Dec 2017. Project discussed in 9/10 & 11/28 TIF workshops, as well as in TC meetings. (12/4) TC would like to see a review of intersections done prior to committing to a 115 solution or as part of the engineering of that project - to ensure project does not hamstring options. (5/7) Deb advised several communications received regarding pedestrian fatality on Rt 115 requesting sidewalks. (9/3) Sandy adv of outstanding question - need for possible budget consideration (9/17) Deb verified with Will that MPI does not require engineering completion - however - Ann verified the Town's piece of the budget must be approved & set aside to apply. Dan also advised a 50+ sub division (apartment buildings) is before the PB for this road & stormwater/sidewalks are being discussed. (10/15) Deb adv Stevie/Will met with DOT & have more info. (10/28) Council set up public forum 12/5. (11/12) Dan will facilitate & council discussed format. (12/5) Public Forum held. (12/17) Council agreed 1st forum very successful, data compiled, next step is to work out starting point on proj. (1/21) Council held workshop with Water Distr about Route 100 TIF and this proj was select by both grps as the best proj. (2/4) Deb adv Alyssa said it is possible to amend rt 100 TIF for this proj but needs to talk details with us. (3/10) Council & WD agreed to amend TIF to incl this proj (4/28) Council rev warrant art (6/2) Council held public hearing & voted to approval TIF amend (7/21) Voters approved revision. (10/6) State submission done. (12/1) TIF amend appr. (1/19) Council agreed with Water Dist/Will on first tasks to start process. (3/2) Will prov est. (9/7) Council set up 'kick off' meet date with prime parties. See Detail Tab for updates (9/27) Kick off meet held - next steps set <b>Next Step: New tasks logged on detail sheet.</b>
89	Infrastructure / Village TIF Goals	O	H	7	09/01/22	Analyze future needs for Village <b>Main Street</b> to coordinate with MDOT project	Council	Council	Council	02/19/19	10/19/21	MDOT has agree to cov the upgrade of stormwater & catch basins Main Street & down 202 towards the Cemetery. They adv the plan will likely come up in the next 5-7 years. Town needs to id any other chges- increas water line size, increas stormwater line size, add conduit for underground utilities, add piping for natural gas so funding can be budg & all items add at the same time (rip the road up once). (4/2) Doug prov 8-12 year window for work on Main as guide for Council meaning analysis & plan should be done well beforehand. (4/16) MDOT adv the timeline for this proj is being moved up. Council agr to move up in priority at 5/7 meet & set a stand alone TIF workshop. Workshop held 7/2. (8/6) Min from 7/2 workshop appr. Council to set up another TIF workshop. (7/21) Council had workshop with MDOT & learned portion of road may be worked on in separate project for which engineering is underway. Await more info from MDOT. (9/1) Village walkabout was done with Patrick Adams MDOT, Water District, and others to identify issues/solutions. Rob Betz is coordinating with Ernie Harris on current project from Town line to Cumby's. Council followed up to determine scope of 2nd project from MTA interchange to Brown Street? Can orphan piece be added to that project? (2/16) Council agr to move to open list. (4/6) Nate & Alec had a walk thru with Rob where he adv phase 1 is moving forward, but phase 2 will now only include stormwater NOT a reconstruction of the road. Alec adv that the road is in terrible condition which cannot be adressed with an overlay. Council met with Water District and agreed Village TIF fund needs to be avail in coming year for planning. (5/4) Council incl \$75k TIF funds in budget (6/15) MDOT Rob Betz attend workshop and advised both projects are fund with 2023 construct projection. (9/7) Council will hold WS on 9/15 to complete their prep for MDOT meet (9/21) MDOT WS held - timelines work discussed. <b>Next Steps: MDOT adv 1 year timeline for Town/WD to provide engineering on changes wanted in upcoming project #1. Council to set workshop for 12/7 5:30pm</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months - Guideline										Last Update: 11/16/21		
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
92	Open Space / Conservation Plan	O	H	8	12/01/22	Resolve State <b>Land for Maine's Future Grant Conversion</b> issue created by the <b>Tennis Courts</b>	Council	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	04/06/21	10/05/21	(4/6/21) Tennis Courts were built at the GNG HS back using a Land for Maine's Future Grant. Back in 2006, MSAD15 removed the tennis courts (after notifying the Town) to expand their parking lot. This was a violation of the grant program which requires the recreational space created remain conserved forever. Until the space is 'converted' into another space, the Town cannot accept or apply for additional grant funding. This grant program is the primary program in the State for purchasing and conserving open space. The Council has approved a Contract Zone Agreement for Stillwater Subdivision located on Woodcock which includes the donation of 12.9 acres of land to be used as recreational space. Doug Beck, who is handling the conversion issue, advised this would be a qualifying property. The process to approve the conversion requires the Federal Gov and can take up to 3 years. Doug B adv once the Town demonstrates a real effort towards the conversion, he can release the hold on the grant program. (7/6) Nate discussed with Doug Beck & had information on next steps. (9/21) Sandy adv checklist rec'd and Town must submit documentation to get ball rolling (10/5) Anne completed draft of paperwork. Doug advise it was sent today. <b>Next Step: Kristen to follow up with Doug Beck whether State steps will run concurrently, Council to budget for yellow book/envir study.</b>
24A	Traffic	O	M	1.1	<a href="#">Detail Tab</a>	Based on TC meeting with State Representatives and Senator, identify action items to pursue both short term & long term. We will keep NG informed of our meetings/progress so they may attend, if they chose. <b>MDOT Portion.</b> <b>SEE SEPARATE TAB - FOR DETAILS</b>	Council	Council	Sandy Carder	02/22/18	05/18/21	Councilor Carder created meeting notes from Workshop (1/29), Councilor Foshay pulled action items to create list. Logging working list item to capture review/prioritization effort by Council. New individual items will be logged as needed. Council discussed at 3/6 meeting & agreed to set up separate meetings with MTA/MDOT - with a target date of end of April/beginning of May - MDOT - prior to a TC meeting 5:30pm start time. That will allow 90 minutes to discuss. <b>TC agreed signage - (1) old Rt26 vs by-pass (2) in Village around island (no sign again) (3) Depot Rd RR Xing would be priority 1.</b> Kyle also advised he will look into signage (1) & (2). Deb requested target date & CC State Reps/Senator. Deb spoke to MDOT advising of RT 26 bridge closure - suggesting it may be a good time to consider renaming Route 26. Deb advised a MDOT/Staff meeting was held (1) traffic movement permit at 97 shaker (2) closing shaker rd bridge MTA work (3) village island (4) rt 26 routing were discussed. (12/4) MDOT provided some info at Council meeting. (3/5) Sandy pulled down new workplan & sent to Councilors. (6/16) Rob Betz, Patrick Adams & Steve Cole from the MDOT attended a workshop with the Council to review various projects in process, in planning & on the future list. Patrick offered to come to Gray to do a walkthrough of all the various streets to obtain more information on the issues. (7/21) Walkthru set up 8/5. <b>Next Step: See Detail tab for more info. Awaiting response from Rob Betz.</b>
24B	Traffic	O	M	1.2	<a href="#">Detail Tab</a>	Based on TC meeting with State Representatives and Senator, identify action items to pursue both short term & long term. We will keep NG informed of our meetings/progress so they may attend, if they chose. <b>MTA Portion.</b> <b>SEE SEPARATE TAB - FOR DETAILS</b>	Council	Council	Sandy Carder	02/22/18	05/18/21	Councilor Carder created meeting notes from Workshop (1/29), Councilor Foshay pulled action items to create list. Logging working list item to capture review/prioritization effort by Council. New individual items will be logged as needed. Council discussed at 3/6 meeting & agreed to set up separate meetings with MTA/MDOT - with a target date of end of April/beginning of May - <b>MTA</b> - set up workshop in May - Peter Mills, Bruce Van Note - to open discussions based on Bruce's list. Added Dan's items - revenue sharing, gifting property & dual access curb cuts. Meeting held May 24th. See separate tab for details. Deb requested update and MTA has sent back initial feedback. Council discussed feedback & add'l info from Deb. Discussed <b>possibly sending official letters to individual trucking companies</b> regarding avoiding Village rt26, concerns from MTA about trucks not seeing 'closure' signs and diversion study next steps. Councilor Maguire suggested inviting a MTA planner to future meetings. (12/4) MTA provided info on diversion study (5/4) Nate spoke to Mr. Mills & Erin at MTA <b>Next Step: Awaiting guidance from Legislative team. See detail tab for more info.</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future										Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months - Guideline		Last Update: 11/16/21	
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments	
46	Ordinance	O	M	2	03/01/22	Develop <b>Growth Management</b> strategy - as part of Comprehensive Planning & to allow needed time for Open Space Planning	Sandy Carder	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	02/05/19	05/18/21	(1/22) Dan adv PD does not feel any addl action is need at this time. Growth Cap was disc & Staff will look into with OAC and bring forw when ready. A growth cap has been disc in var meet for the last yr. Not hav a robust growth plan and/or cap was 1 primary reason the original Comp Plan was not appr. (1/30) During the Open Space forum, Alan & Lucky both strongly encour a growth cap be disc/imple as soon as possible to provide Gray with more time to dev an Open Space plan. In add, issues with current residential Sub-division ord, duplex allow, and limited safeguards against a large scale dev need to be addr. Kathy adv Staff have crunch annual data & Doug adv dev one would be rather straightforward & would be padded to avoid impact wanted eco & resid dev. (2/19) Council agreed this is a top priority now. (3/5) Doug rev steps (1) Verify current comp plan (2) check with legal ord (3) imple verifying data supports number selected & Council prov direction. (6/4) Doug prov some data and Arundul ord (eff in 1977). Council agreed to proceed cautiously. (9/3) Doug adv best 1st step would to discuss possible 'cap' number and process to apply caps. (10/1) Council WS held - guidance prov to Doug. (1/21) Council would like to have some info to discuss by the 2/18 meet given the push on larger devel & Comp Plan process. (2/4) OAC looked at & were not in support - worried about admin of the process impact staff (2/18) Council disc changing approach to be more comp to focus on Growth MANAGEMENT vs just a cap. Need to coordinate with the comp plan timeline as an appr plan is required to enforce growth permit systems. (3/10) OAC began work on this item.(5/5) OAC wants to coord with Comp Plan so work will not start now, however, due to the need for Council work as well, draft options still to be deliv by end of summer to stay in line with CP. (8/4) Council disc at 8/4 WS to confirm a full WS for this along with the duplex/OAC ord list can be disc (9/1) Council disc OAC doc cover duplexes, multi unit housing, growth & comp plan info - agreed addt'l WS to create workplan to complete all the work needed. (2/16) Sandy adv Windham has robust grw permit prog that was 1st step after their comp plan.(4/6) Council dis as part of TM goals (5/18) Council/Staff dis in WS - Staff sug step approach to create <b>Next Step: Nate/Sandy to rev outstanding list to create categories of work and propose plan with targets to Council.</b>	
97	Policy	O	M	3	12/31/21	<b>Update Council Rules</b> (1) charter language (2) grammatical (3) online meetings policy	Dan Maguire	Council	Sandy Carder	06/15/21	10/05/21	(6/15/21) Dan advised of two small changes needed in the Council Rules (1) 602.02 B2 add the words "a meeting" (2) 602.06 Ordinance - language does not match the Charter although meaning is the same - should match. Sandy adv any changes must be advertised and given we will likely need to update the rules to incorporate the new policy needed for online meetings (pending final language of legislation being considered now), she will hold changes until then to handle together. (7/6) Rep Moriarty presented Legislative update & bill text for online meetings. Needs to check regarding definition of "public body". (8/3) Council disc remote/hybrid meeting policy (9/7) Council approved policy. (10/5) Charter amendments approved & will be voted on at Nov election. New hybrid policy adopted but may change pending Legislative action in 2nd session <b>Next Step: Changes need to be drafted.</b>	

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F= Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months - Guideline											Last Update: 11/16/21	
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
74	Administrative	O	M	4	05/01/22	Update <b>Gray Historical Society lease</b> with Town	Sandy Carder	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	02/18/20	09/21/21	(2/18) Sandy explained the Gray Historical Society would like to update the lease they hold with the Town. They are looking for the Town to assume utility expenses directly to allow them to do more robust fundraising/grant applications to focus on their historical work and to address more maintenance on the building itself. Right now, they are reimbursed up to \$6,000 annually - but have to pay monthly bills. (1/5) Sandy advise Galen is working on with Debi. (1/19) Galen has provided proposed new lease. (2/2) Council reviewed proposal but questioned why the building is not in the Town's CIP for the larger ticket items (like the roof, heating system, alarm, etc.). Majority of Council was open to extend length of contract & picking up utilities. Questions were raised about reimbursement amount. (3/16) Sandy pointed out the GHS budget line shows the reduction to offset the Town taking over the utilities. Nate needs to check on status of negotiations. If new lease will not be done in next 2 weeks, then the line should be reset to previous years at \$6,000. (5/18) Nate met with Galen/Mo. Mo adv the Facilities study will be done soon, it will include this building. (6/1) Council agreed to add GHS build to "condition" portion of upcoming facilities study. (9/21) Sandy adv GHS has provided proposed new lease & that since there is a budget component, it will be discussed at upcoming pre-budget workshop set for Jan_11/16) <b>Draft done Next Step: Once study complete, Staff can review to propose what will go in CIP and what can be done by GHS as ongoing maintenance in exchange for utilities being paid by Town. Council also identified that the contract itself needs to be revamped by Legal once a new framework is approved. To be discussed in budget workshop.</b>
83	Committee	O	M	5	TBD	Discuss <b>additional requirements</b> for quasi-judicial Boards - <b>Planning and ZBA</b>	Council	Council	Nate Rudy	09/15/20	10/05/21	(9/15) Council discussed the need to ensure long term viability of quasi-judicial boards and ensure opportunities for new applicants - via new requirements which may or may not include term limits, application wait periods, chair rotation, mandatory MMA training, pre-training options for those thinking about joining, mentoring, rotation of alternates etc. There was also discussion related to possible changes in Council Rules once quasi-judicial rules are set. (1/19) Sandy advised that she has been talking to GPCOG about a facilitated training session to address the issues and update the expectations/roles. She has discussed with Kathy/Dan who agreed it was a good approach. Council also agreed. Kathy advised that there is money avail for PB training. (3/2/21) Sandy adv results of her meeting - workshop will be set for 1st week in May. (3/16) Sandy adv draft framework rec'd - meeting with Kathy/Nate/Sandy set up before respond to GPCOG. (4/6)With the goal of attend the BUILD ME seminars, Sandy has ask Tony to coordinate with the topics being offered to ensure best results from both efforts. (5/18) Given the resignation of Kathy, Nate is proposing the hire of a new Planner before proceeding. This will mean the Build ME seminars will happen first. Then, the new training session, then the in person meeting between the entities. (6/1) Nate provided new proposal from GPCOG which includes assistance with ordinance/zoning review to help create outline of change from Comp plan & it includes this training. Funds from State agency will cover the costs. (7/6) Nate needs to hire new Planner before we proceed & existing terms exp 8/31 for Committee members. (10/5) New members appt & new Planner hired <b>Next Step: Likely set for December to give members/planner time to get up to speed.</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months - Guideline											Last Update: 11/16/21	
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
49	Ordinance	O	M	6	TBD	Review current <b>ordinances and zoning</b> to determine if changes are needed for <b>Duplexes</b>	Dan Maguire	Dan Maguire	Doug Webster	02/19/19	10/05/21	Dan asked the Council to consider making changes to Duplexes to address sprawl growth and other unintended impacts to density bonus of allowing a duplex in lieu of a single unit of housing. Doug advised this issue has been researched in the past. Dan needs to talk to Kathy and then work through reviewing current ordinances. Then, bring to the OAC for possible proposal to the Council. (12/17) Deb advised of resident e-mail regarding the number of duplexes being built in Gray - Planning Board has also recently discussed. Council agreed this issue needs to be moved up in the priority list. (6/2) Council reviewed questions from OAC & provided direction. Generally speaking, there was support to make it more restrictive in certain zones and encourage them in others by making it less restrictive. It was agreed this is one tool in the growth mgmt plan. (8/4) Doug provided memo detailing OAC ques/disc points along with the growth plan disc and Comp Plan review. Council agreed a full workshop is needed to review. (9/1) Council disc OAC document cover duplexes, multi unit housing, growth & comp plan info - agreed add'l workshop to create workplan to complete all the work needed. (5/18) Council approved a moratorium in the Village on single family and dual family housing to allow for the creation of new standards and requirements in those zones (see item #94) (9/7) 1st read of design stand in Village (9/21) 2nd read/hear held by Council (PB held hear 9/20) changes go into effect in 30 days. (10/5) Council/Doug discussed whether this should stay standalone item - likely be swept into changes are they are made - but do not want to lose sight of this critical issue. <b>Next Step: New changes will be used as base for updating standards in all zones. Council will discuss further at retreat.</b>
69	Recreation	O	M	7	12/31/21	Create a <b>Pocket Park</b> including Historical Marker at <b>5 Yarmouth Rd</b> property	CEDC	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	12/17/19	09/21/21	(12/17) CEDC representative Rachel Rumson presented plans for a new Pocket Park on the 5 Yarmouth Rd municipal property which would include a Historic Marker as part of a Historical Trail - which was presented by Galen Morrison. Council provided some initial feedback. Kathy Tombarelli advised there was a possible grant that may assist with phase 1 of the project - earthwork. (1/7) Kathy provided a draft grant application at meeting and Council provided feedback. Council agreed to add to tracking list as project is ongoing and will involve Town Staff and funding. (1/21) Council approved CDGB application. (3/10) Kathy advised the grant was recommended for funding & is moving onto the next phase. (4/21) Kathy will follow up on status of process. (7/21) Planning board reviewed & were very supportive. Abutter meeting held with great feedback. Council approved Rachel Rumson as agent for Planning board work. Due to LD1 failure, grant funding now on hold. (9/1) Council discussed changes to Committee policy to address consultant work. (9/15) Council approved consultant changes to Committee rules (11/17) Council appr land exchange on site to clarify property line. (1/5) RFP has been posted. (1/19) Council approved 1 proposal rec'd. (2/2) Bruce adv a public forum was held to obtain Community input.(3/2/21) Bruce adv CEDC is working on name and bird house project and planning for Spring work. Alec adv he did some earth work in the Fall and is set to do addt'l work in the Spring. The project did receive preliminary approval contingent on one sign off. (4/6) Council approv wood donation from Maschino's Lumber. (4/20) Council app picnic table donations from Aroma Joe's. Kathy adv park has PB appr (5/18) PermaBlitz was held & work session to lay brick. (6/1) CEDC prov update presentation and recommended name which Council approved. (9/7) 2nd PermaBlitz set for 9/11 (9/21) Council appr addt'l exp for admin of the grant (in Kathy's absence) <b>Next Step: Nate to bring proposal for remaining grant money to Council</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months - Guideline												Last Update: 11/16/21
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
100	Ordinance	O	M	8	01/15/22	Create <b>Emergency Management Ordinance</b>	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	10/19/21	11/16/21	<a href="#">(10/19/2021) Nate presented a proposed Emergency Management Ordinance to the Council as recommended by the National Incident Management Services as it is required to have access to some Homeland Security and FEMA services and grants. Council provided feedback. (11/16) Nate set up a 1st reading &amp; public hearing for the revised ordinance. Council provided additional feedback and also reviewed feedback from resident Mark Grover (who has extensive experience in this area). The status of an Emergency Operations Plan was also reviewed. Next Steps: Nate to review some questions with Alyssa, update ordinance and obtain status of EOP. 2nd reading &amp; public hearing will be held at the 12/7 meeting.</a>
55	Administrative	O	M	9	Ongoing	Review current legal agreement between MDOT, Water District and Town regarding the <b>Route 26A winter maintenance and wellhead monitoring</b>	Deb Cabana	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	04/16/19	11/16/21	The MDOT has advised they intent to "NOT" renew the legal contract binding them to provide winter maintenance and wellhead monitoring on the Route 26A and wish to turn those responsibilities to the Town. Deb also advised that the last round of MDOT testing found elevated salt level in the testing wells. The current agreement clearly states the MDOT is responsible for addressing those elevated levels; however, they are disputing the cause. (9/3) Executive session held (12/17) add'l Executive session held - Council took no action. (2/17) Council discussed in Ex. Session (3/9) Water Conditions study request submitted via budget process. (7/6) Voters approved budget (11/16) Doug prov update - delay is due to identifying the best party to conduct study. WD has been consulted. Council voted to carryover funds. Next Steps: Doug & Nate to discuss.
70	Ordinance	O	M	10	Doug	Review current <b>residential Subdivision Ordinance</b> for necessary changes in <b>Open Space</b>	Doug Webster	Nate Rudy	Doug Webster	02/04/20	02/04/20	(2/5) Doug advised there were some critical issues that needed review within the current residential Subdivision ordinance.(3/5) OAC provided priority order of outstanding items & this was included. Also, identified "Fire Protection" adjustments and Open Space standards as items to be worked on. (7/2) OAC set for 7/10 & this is on agenda. (2/4) Originally logged as Item 40 - Council agreed to split out the OPEN SPACE portion fo the subdivision ordinance as a higher priority. Currently, developers are able to ID & use 'unusable' property as open space to meet the standard to obtain the density bonuses. Ordinance needs to be updated to require the space meet OS standards to count towards density. Next Step: OAC/Staff will provide suggested changes to Council.
93	Public Safety	O	M	11	TBD	Address dilapidated <b>Vacant Buildings</b> around <b>Shaker Rd/No. Raymond Rd intersection</b>	Council	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	09/01/20	05/18/21	(9/1/20) Council identified dilapidated vacant buildings around Shaker Rd/No. Raymond Rd need to be addressed as the MDOT will not be taking the structures done. (1/5/21) Deb/PD Staff reached out to the property owners. They recognized something needs to be done with the structures and property. They are wondering if zoning changes may be allowed to assist them with redeveloping the properties. PD Staff strongly encouraged new surveys be done first. (5/18) Sandy rec'd complaint from resident on status. Next Step: Awaiting survey information from property owners.
52	Ordinance	O	M	12	Contract	Develop local level <b>Sidewalk Construction standards</b>	Dan Maguire	Dan Maguire	Doug Webster	03/05/19	09/01/20	Dan brought up the possible need for local level consturion standards for sidewalks after various discussions about status of existing or proposed sidewalks in budget & council meetings. (9/1) Anne provided Council with a "Green Streets Guide" shared by Lauren Meeks (Resident - MDOT engineer) who suggested it be considered when creating our sidewalk standards and during our infrastructure project planning. Dan suggested Lauren attend an OAC meeting in the future. Next Step: Dan to bring to OAC for discussion - once OAC resources become available.
76	Ordinance	O	M	13	Doug	Amend <b>Subdivison Ordinance</b> to strengthen <b>Buffer Zone requirements</b> & provide more autonomy to PB relating to <b>internal roads</b>	Dan Maguire	Nate Rudy	Dan Maguire	05/05/20	05/05/20	(5/5) Based on feedback from the Planning Board regarding the current Buffer Zone requirement being reduced from the 50 foot mandate due to the location of houses and other issues, they are asking the Council to strengthen the language in the ordinance. In addition, they would like more autonomy related to mandating internal roads vs shared driveways to reduce curbcuts and assist with the buffer zone issue. Council agreed to log. Next Step: Dan to bring to the OAC for discussion.

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months - Guideline												Last Update: 11/16/21
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
99	Ordinance	O	M	14	TBD	Create <b>Water Quality (Shoreland) Ordinance</b>	Pam Wilkinson	Nate Rudy	Sandy Carder	11/16/21	11/16/21	<a href="#">(10/19/2021) Pam Wilkerson, President of Little Sebago Lakes Association made a presentation to the Council on behalf of the Lake Coalition which includes LSL, Crystal Lake and Forest Lake. They are requesting that the Town create a new ordinance to match the one passed in Windham to protect the water quality of the Town's Lakes. All three lakes are on the 'impaired' State list. (11/16) Council agreed to proceed and log on our workplan. Sandy also advised that Pam was willing to create a draft to get the ball rolling - she will follow up. Next Step: Council to discuss priorities for coming year at the 11/20 Council/Manager Retreat.</a>
78	Ordinance	O	M	15	Contract	Create <b>Stormwater Standards for Watersheds</b>	Doug Webster	Nate Rudy	Doug Webster	06/02/20	06/02/20	(6/2) Council agreed to log new item to create Stormwater standards for watersheds which will consist of (1) obtaining assistance from Cumberland County Soil & Water Conservation District (2) consideration of watershed overlay to zoning map (3) setting up add'l peer reviews for PB applications (4) creating ongoing stormwater maintenance inspections/verifications (5) creating procedure to document agreements to bind future owners to maintaining stormwater plans. <b>Next Step: OAC to provide target date and work on as resources become available.</b>
22	Ordinance	O	M	16	Contract	Review current <b>ordinance governing stormwater drainage measurements</b> to determine if updates are needed. Update ordinance to include <b>licensing fee or other fee structure</b> IF business wants access to stormwater system.	Council	Nate Rudy	Doug Webster	02/09/18	03/12/20	During the CZA process with Cumberland Farms, much discussion was centered around "peak flow" vs. "volume" of stormwater drainage & about possibly updating the existing ordinance to cover both measurements. TC discussed and need Staff direction on item, as well as priority/timeline. Doug Webster made presentation (3/6) of feedback from Town Engineer. Recommendation is update ordinance to specify licensing or fee structure to account for any future requests to hook up to Town's stormwater system. (2/5) Council discussed need to move this up to ensure work is done before MDOT comes to redo Main Str and to ensure used in future development proposals. Doug also pointed out that results of Watershed study may impact regulations as stricter state statutes come into play. Study is awaiting Spring field work & will include detail to help. (3/5) OAC provided priority order of outstanding items & this was included. (5/21) OAC had prelim discussion at May meeting. (7/2) OAC started review - will continue at 7/10 meeting. (3/12) Staff recommend stormwater modeling be done to understand current infrastructure and recommendation for future - to anticipate add'l devel. That would inform the ordinance/fee work. <b>Next Step: OAC/Town Staff will provide suggested changes to Council.</b>
60	Ordinance	O	M	17	Contract	Review current <b>Kennel related ordinances</b> , identify needed changes & update accordingly.	Deb Cabana	Nate Rudy	Doug Webster	06/04/19	12/03/19	(5/21) Council was made aware of issue via OAC meeting update. New Dog Officer has advised of conflicts between current ordinances and general residential practices. Gray also has existing Kennel businesses. There is also a State Statute involved. Everyone agreed identifying fixes to coincide with new licenses would be best way to communicate any changes. (6/4) Council agreed to move down the priority list - using license timeline as guide. (9/3) Dan advised being moved up due to timing for Dog Officer and Dog licenses. (10/1) Dan advised this one is almost complete. (12/3) Sandy suggested the OAC meet without Doug and work on what they can. Council & Deb discussed and agreed to give this approach a try with Doug reviewing work as needed. <b>Next Step: This one may be a good item for the OAC to test out new approach.</b>
94	Ordinance	O	M	18	Doug	Update Town's <b>Ordinance</b> to reflect long term <b>Solar Array</b> policies for <b>residential</b> , all sizes.	Deb Cabana	Nate Rudy	TBD	04/20/21	04/20/21	(4/20/2021) Council finalized latest update to the Commercial Solar Overlay District Ordinance. This items is being logged to track the work needed on Residential Solar Array. <b>Next Step: Awaiting resources.</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months - Guideline											Last Update: 11/16/21	
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
25	Ordinance	O	M	19	Contract	Review current <b>ordinance governing stormwater drainage measurements</b> to determine if updates are needed. Create <b>stormwater performance standards</b> for future development in Village and/or for businesses wishing to access Town's stormwater system.	Doug Webster	Nate Rudy	Doug Webster	03/06/18	02/04/20	During the CZA process with Cumberland Farms, much discussion was centered around "peak flow" vs. "volume" of stormwater drainage & about possibly updating the existing ordinance to cover both measurements. TC discussed and need Staff direction on item, as well as priority/timeline. Doug Webster made presentation (3/6) of feedback from Town Engineer. Recommendation is to memorialize Cumberland Farms discussions/approach to be used as a 'performance standard' in conjunction with ordinance for future development. (2/5) Council discussed need to move this up to ensure work is done before MDOT comes to redo Main Str and to ensure used in future development proposals. (10/1) Dan advised OAC felt this one was easy to complete - moved up in priority. (2/4) Dan confirmed the OAC is back to meeting & has agreed to meet every other week to try to catch up. He advised they looked at Solar Overlay & Growth Cap and Duplex but need more guidance on the last. Priorities except for open space were unchanged. <b>Next Step: OAC to work on next.</b>
44	Ordinance	O	M	20	Doug	Review current <b>residential Subdivision Ordinance</b> for necessary changes <b>all other areas (besides Open Space - see item 70)</b>	Doug Webster	Nate Rudy	Doug Webster	02/05/19	02/04/20	(2/5) Doug advised there were some critical issues that needed review within the current residential Subdivision ordinance.(3/5) OAC provided priority order of outstanding items & this was included. Also, identified "Fire Protection" adjustments and Open Space standards as items to be worked on. (7/2) OAC set for 7/10 & this is on agenda. (2/4) Council agreed to split out Open Space (see Item 70) to make a higher priority from other changes needed in ordinance. <b>Next Step: OAC/Staff will provide suggested changes to Council.</b>
36	Administrative	O	M	21	??	Review the current process for "Planned Unit Development" commercial subdivision to determine if routing the review & approval of commercial uses on lots in a <b>PUD subdivision to the Staff Review Committee</b> in lieu of the Planning Board.	Kathy Tombarelli	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	09/04/18	07/06/21	This item was brought up & discussed in the TC 8/7/18 meeting. Town Councilors agreed to consider the proposal, but could not determine whether support would be granted until some draft language was provided. Councilors expressed various concerns about the process which they would like addressed in that language. The Planning Board has also expressed some concerns with the change given PUDs are relatively new. (1/22) Dan advised Planning Bd is okay with change as Planning Bd Chair sits on Staff Review Committee. (7/6) Nate needs to check the status of this one. <b>Next Step: Possibly move this one to future.</b>
67	Residential	O	M	22	12/31/21	To review the status <b>Deer Acres</b> property (private road)	Residents	Council	Council	12/03/19	11/16/21	(11/12/19) A group of residents gave a presentation to the Council regarding the current state of their private road - Deer Acres. The road is not actually a deeded private road, but private property with easements. The residents feel the road has been severely impacted by the status of Gore Rd, and the fact that it is used as a connector between Gore Rd and Lyons Point Rd. They are seeking a workshop with the Council to discuss further and develop possible options for solutions. (12/3) The Council expressed serious concerns with taking over a private road, but felt there could be other solutions and they needed more information. They agree to a workshop. (12/17) Bruce advised residents will not be ready to talk until at least April when seasonal residents arrive back in State. (10/6) Council recognized that the residents may be leaving for their winter homes and will push this forward until next spring. (7/6) The window to discuss this has passed again this calendar year. (10/5) Sandy adv group will do presentation at 11/16 Council meeting after Council agreed to presentation in the 9/21 meeting <b>(11/16) Group presented info to Council and answered questions. Nate later advised Staff would like to talk about road ordinance in general with recommended changes to reduce or eliminate add'l winter maintenance additions either temporarily or permanently. Sandy adv another road (Ramsdell) is now seeking a change so Town can do winter maintenance on addtl road being built. Next Step: Council discussion set for 12/7</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future					Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months - Guideline							
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
98	Ordinance	O	M	23	TBD	Review and update <b>Land Bank Commission Ordinance</b>	Sandy Carder	Open Space Committee	Anne Gass	10/19/21	10/19/21	(10/19/2021) While reviewing the Recreation Committee charge, Sandy pointed out the creation of the Committee stemmed from this now outdated Ordinance. In reading the ordinance, much of the roles and responsibilities have been changed, rest with the Open Space Committee or are not relevant anymore. Anne has agreed to bring to the OSC once their work on their Open Space Plan is complete and report back to the Council on recommendations. <b>Next Step: Open Space Committee to review.</b>
90	Budget / Audit	O	L	1	TBD	Review and update <b>Sick Time carryover and/or payout provision</b>	Dan Maguire	Council	Nate Rudy	02/16/21	07/06/21	(2/16/21) This item has been discussed under the Budget tab startin in last year's cycle. Auditors have identified unfunded liability of close to \$400,000 for accumulated vacation, personal and sick time. Council requested addtl info on benefits in other Town's. Right now, there is no cap to sick time carryover and up to 50% of 90 days of time is paid out to employees when they separate from the Town. This seems out of line with other Towns. Council to consider a carryover cap and/or possible change in pay out provision. (7/6) Council discussed at workshop <b>Next Step: Nate to draft proposal for changes during budget cycle.</b>
96	Budget	O	L	2	TBD	Analyze <b>Resources &amp; Responsibilities</b> within <b>Planning Department</b>	Dan Maguire	Council	Nate Rudy	06/01/21	06/01/21	(6/1/21) Dan asked to log this on the workplan. It is something the Council has discussed the last 2 budget cycles, but have not changed anything - mostly due to new code software being implemented, staff turnover, etc. Before next cycle, a full review of the department should be done to identify improvements to assist with economic development, and staff efficiency. <b>Next Step: Will discuss when time allows.</b>
87	Administrative	O	L	3	12/01/21	Review current <b>Fee policy</b> for <b>MSAD15, Gray and NG</b> to determine if there is a better <b>reciprocal approach</b>	Sandy Carder	Sandy Carder	Sandy Carder	02/02/21	06/15/21	(2/2) Sandy advised that this issue had been brought up at the last Joint Leaders meeting. A follow up meeting was held on 1/25 with Craig/Diane/Sam of MSAD15. There is a need to track costs and fees by all three entities. MSAD15 also has financial responsibilities that impact both Gray & NG. The issue is front line workers are left dealing with trying to apply fees when working with coaches, residents, staff, etc. which creates conflict as the taxpayers pay all three budgets. There was agreement there may be a better way to deal with fees. (5/4) Sandy adv next joint leaders meeting set for 6/17 & will follow up on status then. <b>Next Step: Diane will set up a meeting with Katy and NG representative to start by reviewing current processes - it was noted due to budget season, this would likely not take place until April.</b>
81	Ordinance	O	L	4	Contract	Need to create a <b>Water Extraction Ordinance</b>	Sandy Carder	Nate Rudy	TBD	07/21/20	04/20/21	(7/21) Council was approached by Crystal Lake residents regarding many commercial vehicles from out of Town companies parking vehicles on the public boat ramp for long periods of time to extract water (pool, landscaping companies). Council discussed safety, access and water quality issues and agreed the activity should be prohibited. Deb spoke to the State and they advised we can put up signs right away - ordered. An ordinance should be created to ensure enforcement can be done properly. (4/20) Sandy adv a resident follow up on the signs which do not appear to have been installed at Wilkies beach. Nate will check into - Sandy let them know the ordinance change is still on our list. <b>Next Step: OAC to work time permitting.</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future						Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months - Guideline			Last Update: 11/16/21			
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
39a	Administrative	O	L	5	12/31/21	Need to review/renegotiate <b>Cable TV Contract</b> sometime in 2019-2021.	Deb Cabana	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	09/18/18	09/21/21	<p>Deb advised she has asked Alissa Tibbetts, Town Attorney, to begin some research &amp; that process can take up to 1 year. She also advised there is at least one resident willing to serve on a Cable TV Committee, if the Council choses to go that route. Council discussed briefly and confirmed either route will require legal review. Dan asked if there was a way for Alissa to provide a snopsis of the process/issues for council to better understand task. Deb advised significant changes have been made at the Federal level which will require more analysis before approach can be determined. (1/8 &amp; 1/22) Feedback presented on item 42 Cable TV ordinance actually fit into the "contract" between parties. Will keep in mind for this item. (6/4) Legislature passed bill to roll back some of the changes (such as channel location, HD broadcast requirement, etc.) Deb advised GPCOG has sent out an RFP for the negotiator for the Towns invovled. There was no interest in a pre-contract meeting. (8/6) Deb advised GPCOG is preparing contract with law firm (only one rec'd but highly qualified). (10/15) Deb/Brad provided updates from the negotiation meeting with GPCOG attorney. (1/7) Council agreed to separate contract and committee into two action items. (9/15) Audit by consultant resulted in identifying monies owed (\$18K+) to Gray. GPCOG est negotiations will begin in the fall. (2/16) Deb adv target for contract is June. Spectrum disputing owed fees. (7/6) Anne adv this is at a standstill <b>Next Step: Nate will follow up with Tony Plante</b></p>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months											Last Update: 10/05/21	
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub by:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
A	Comp Plan Imp - break out item 84	O	H	4	02/08/21	Committee review of Comp Plan	Dan Maguire	Council	Bruce/Dan	12/01/20	03/16/21	(12/1/20) Dan suggested each Committee review CP to ID what would inform their work, how they can support implem, what roadblocks exist, what resources will be needed, etc. with a one page summary due by 2/8 for Council to review at 2/16 meeting. (2/16) Council rev summaries provided. For PB, agreed the comp plan implementation should be part of upcoming joint training workshop facilitated by GPCOG. (3/16) Dan adv PB is working on their review. Bruce will discuss. Sharon discussed with ZBA and they have provided their summary to the Council. <b>Next Steps:</b> Dan/Bruce to work with remaining Committees to obtain summaries.
B	Comp Plan Imp - break out item 84	O	H	4	TBD	Consider <b>New Committee</b> to monitor, supervise, ensure <b>Comp Plan implementation</b> proceeds	Council	Council	Council	12/01/20	10/05/21	(12/1) Council agreed current Committee should be retired as their charge is complete. Will send Thank You letters to Members and advise Council is considering a new Committee to assist with Implementation - asking them to advise if they are interested in continuing on. New Committee needs further discussion. (2/16) Sandy provided info from Windham Committee - hybrid structure. Generally, there was agreement that is the better model than all volunteer. It will be important to have people with know/exper on the committee. Should not set up until Council can give clear charge and goals to committee. Nate wants a chance to talk through options with Planning Dept as they will take leading role. Sandy also adv Windham hired a consultant to assist them. (10/5) Council agreed this needs to be a top priority in coming year <b>Next Steps:</b> Council to discuss at retreat.
C	Comp Plan Imp - break out item 84	O	H	4	TBD	<b>Department Heads review</b> of Comp Plan	Anne Gass	Council	Nate Rudy	12/01/20	05/18/21	(12/1) Anne suggested we either include Dept Heads on the Implementation Committee or at least ask them to review plan to create their own plans to help implement. Needs further discussion in context with new Committee. (2/16) Council discussed and Nate agreed to intergrate this into his individual meetings with Dept heads. (4/20) Nate adv meetings set for May. (5/18) Nate has rev with Dept heads. <b>Next Steps:</b> Nate working with Staff to create plans on how they can assist with implementation.
D	Comp Plan Imp - break out item 84	O	H	4	02/16/21	<b>Obtain information</b> from <b>Windham Town Council</b> on their Implementation plans	Sharon Young	Council	Sandy Carder	12/01/20	02/16/21	(12/1) Sharon noted that Windham has a implementation committee set up to assist with their newly approved plan and suggested we find out what steps they took to get from approved plan to where they are, how the Council is involved, etc. Sandy advised that the Windham Chair is on the GPCOG Executive Committee and she can reach out to him for information. (1/5) Sandy has sent a note to Jarod Maxfield 12/18. (1/19) Sandy reported that she has spoken to Jarod and shared general information - and will share more at the 2/16 workshop. (2/16) Sandy shared info on Committee, Growth Permit Program, Consultant, etc. from initial discussion with Jarod. <b>Next Steps:</b> Utilize Windham information as process progresses.
E	Comp Plan Imp - break out item 84	O	H	4	TBD	Create <b>plan/timeline</b> for <b>Zoning changes &amp; ordinance changes</b>	Council	Council	OAC	12/01/20	07/06/21	(12/1) Council needs to discuss/create a plan/timeline to update Zoning to reflect zones in new plan and determine prioritization to ensure development adheres to new plan. Will be related to Item 46 (Growth Plan) and Item 49 (Duplex changes) for which initial discussions were held, but placed on hold pending Comp Plan approval. (5/18) Council approved moratorium (4/6 eff - 180 days) to allow time for Staff to update standards/ordinances within the Village and Village Center zones. (7/6) Dan adv OAC is working on reviewing the current zoning against the new Com Plan zones with Town Staff and interns. <b>Next Steps:</b> Staff to bring proposed changes to Council for discussion.

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months												
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub By:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
A	TIF Goal / Budget - break out tasks from Item 34	O	H	5	03/01/20	TIF - <b>Mixed Use Zoning</b> Discussion	Town Council	Town Council	Doug Webster	01/22/18	02/19/19	(11/28) Mixed Use options were discussed at length. Next workshop set for 2/19 6-7pm prior to scheduled TC Meeting. (2/19) Council discussed options and agreed having some type of proposed changes to consider would be helpful. Should focus on Village, but also on large undeveloped piece abutting Northbrook as owners req. inclusion to help develop. Doug will work on.
B	TIF Goal / Budget - break out tasks from Item 34	O	H	5	TBD	TIF - <b>Center Rd / Bridge Redesign</b>	Town Council	Town Council	Town Council	02/18/18	02/19/19	Town to evaluate possible changes to bridge and/or reconfiguration and/or completion of Turnpike Acres - to address Center Rd outlet just below 5 Rd intersection/left turn issues for tractor trailers in Village (possibly part of TIF workshop to determine plans/priorities for Village infrastructure upgrades). MTA advised that Bridge was recently refurbished & widened so there are no immediate plans for more work; however, they remain open to discussion a realignment. (12/4) Part of solution to address tractor trailers in the Village is to address inability to make right hand turn from 202 onto route 100 - which includes alternate route - maybe via turnpike acres/center rd bridge. (2/5) TC moving to TIF Planning umbrella (2/19) Deb to find out replacement year from MTA.
C	TIF Goal / Budget - break out tasks from Item 34	O	H	5	12/01/21	TIF - <b>Rt 100/115/202 Intersection</b> - related to Item 4 - Traffice - Low priority - Route 115 entering Gray from the hill thru connection to Brown Street	Town Council	Town Council	Town Council	02/05/19	11/16/21	Steve L is working on creating a project scope & plan for reconstruction of Route 115 leading into the Village with Will Haskell to submit to MDOT - in hopes of qualifying for 50/50 funding split (up to \$500,000). Council needs to develop options for the multi-road intersection in the Village to ensure whatever future configuration needs are considered in the Rt 115 project and the Rt 115 project helps facilitate the preferred future configuration. (2/19) Deb to find out if Haskell is working on any planning to prepare for proposal to MDOT - is engineering covered or will it need to be paid for - up front. (10/15) Will/Stevie have more info from DOT & will update Council at 10/28 workshop. (6/15) MDOT Rob Betz advised that both projects for Route 100 will likely be in construction in 2023. Survey work is done on one - the other planned this year. Town needs to set up meetings with project managers to be included in engineering. Laray Hamilton is managing from MTA interchange to Brown Street - this intersection work would be included in his project. Rob cautioned that curb changes were likely, but a big reconfiguration could negatively impact the overall project which is just stormwater. Incoming infrastructure money may be available (Town leve - not MDOT level). (7/6) Nate work on setting up meeting for 9/21 workshop. (9/21) WS held - need engineering in next year. <a href="#">11/16 WS sche for 12/7</a>
D	TIF Goal / Budget - break out tasks from Item 34	O	H	5	TBD	TIF - Evaluation of possible <b>Sewer/Water treatment</b> option for Village	Town Council	Town Council	Town Council	02/05/19	02/19/19	During TIF public forums, a specific piece of property (22 acres) was added to the map as it was considered a good candidate for a future water treatment / sewer facility - due to the soils and location (gravity my eliminate need for pumps). (2/19) Deb confirmed TIF funding can be used to purchase property; however, it can only be done once a full blown plan is created for usage. TIF funds cannot be used to buy property for recreation purposes. Council to discuss land acquisition CIP at 2/21 budget meeting. Council has agreed to set up a separate question on the warrant articles & will approve those articles at 5/7 meeting.
7	TIF Goal / Budget - break out tasks from Item 34	O	H	5	TBD	Develop possible <b>Special Assessment Fees</b> - handling as part of TIF discussions	Town Council	Deb Cabana	Town Council	01/22/18	02/05/19	The Planning staff provided high level information regarding possible use of a developed Special Assessment Fees which would be collected as part of new development to offset various costs. Council agreed they should be added to the tool box and requested more detailed information be pulled together for consideration. May be handled in conjunction with In Lieu Fees. 9/18 Council discussed reprioritizing, but decided to leave as is. Dan suggested that we identify other Towns using these fees and use their language as a base. (2/5) Council agreed to handle as part of TIF planning. <b>Next Step: Determine when Staff has capacity to work on.</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F= Future					Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months							
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub By:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
8	TIF Goal / Budget - break out tasks from Item 34	O	H	5	TBD	Develop possible In Lieu Fees - handling as part of TIF discussions	Town Council	Deb Cabana	Town Council	01/22/18	02/05/19	The Planning staff provided high level information regarding possible use of a developed In Lieu Fees which would be collected as part of new development to offset various costs. Council agreed they should be added to the tool box and requested more detailed information be pulled together for consideration. May be handled in conjunction with Special Assessment Fees. (2/5) Council agreed to handle as part of TIF planning. <b>Next Step: Determine when Staff has capacity to work on.</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months												Last Update: 10/05/21
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub By:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
A	Route 115 - break out tasks from Item 4	O	H	9	TBD	Obtain <b>Ledge Map</b> for scope of project	Council	Nate Rudy	Will Haskell	02/16/21	09/27/21	(2/16) Council agreed to split out tab & tasks from 1/19 workshop. Due to the ledge present on the hill, it was agreed a ledge map using radar was needed. (3/2/21) Will has provided est to Nate. (3/9) Council rev with Will. Doug ask that add'l boring on the slope of the road to ensure the ledge is fully identified. Will will update the proposal. (4/6) Will provided update & Council agreed (6/15) Voters appr budget, Council appr contract. (9/27) Will adv testing is underway. If tests results in identification of ledge, than radar mapping will be done. <b>Next Steps: Mapping should be done within a couple weeks.</b>
B	Route 115 - break out tasks from Item 4	O	H	9	TBD	Obtain updated <b>full Survey</b> for scope of project	Council	Nate Rudy	Will Haskell	02/16/21	09/27/21	(2/16) Council agreed to split out tab & tasks from 1/19 workshop. Given there are no easements on this road, it was agreed an updated survey is needed from Main Street to 59 Yarmouth Road. (3/9/21) Will has provided est to Nate & Council reviewed. There were questions about who would conduct the ROW work - see new item MDOT meeting. (4/6) Will provided update & Council agreed (6/15) Voters appr budget, Council appr contract. (9/27) Survey is underway which will include identification of existing wrought & most impacted parcels. <b>Next Steps: Survey should be done within a couple weeks.</b>
C	Route 115 - break out tasks from Item 4	O	H	9	TBD	Draft a <b>High Level Engineering Plan</b> for scope of project	Council	Nate Rudy	Will Haskell	02/16/21	09/27/21	(2/16) Council agreed to split out tab & tasks from 1/19 workshop. Council has asked Will to create a high level 'starting' engineered plan to help facilitate next set of workshops. This plan should include ALL possible options - which can later be dropped depending on scope/costs - such as adding underground stormwater, sidewalks on both sides, Brown street intersection reconfiguration, traffic calming measures, parking on either Yarmouth or Brown (depending on if Brown is made one way or not), Bike lanes, etc. (3/9/21) Will has provided est to Nate and Council discussed. Council agreed to include 2nd sidewalk in plan, but decision on whether to build will be determined on feasibility and costs. Also agreed to remove "full time inspection" costs until later in the project. The decision on part time vs full time will be easier to make once the project scope/complexity is identified. (4/6) Will provided update & Council agreed (6/15) Voters appr budget, Council appr contract. Council recommended WD reach out to Will asap to ensure their inclusion in same engineering effort. Money was allocated for their piece out the \$495k (9/27) Will prov some high level plans req guidance on several items. WD work being done by another engineer at firm. <b>Next Steps: Add'l guidance provided - Will to update plans &amp; provide estimates on costs to determine what may need to be cut before going to the residents.</b>
D	Route 115 - break out tasks from Item 4	O	H	9	TBD	Provide past <b>Traffic Study for Brown Street</b>	Council	Nate Rudy	Will Haskell	02/16/21	09/27/21	(2/16) Council discussed past reviews of making Brown St one way. Will/Kathy/Doug indicated this issue has been looked at a couple times in the past. Will thinks he can dig up the past reports. Consideration is traffic pooling on Route 115, but also ability to prevent large commercial vehicles from using Brown to get to short Shaker (weight limit being pursued). (9/27) Council expressed strong support to making Brown street one way from Main to Yarmouth - to help solve safety issues, speed, heavy truck traffic on Shaker Rd, and parking issues. MDOT has not approved in the past. <b>Next Steps: Will to research further.</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months												
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub By:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
E	Route 115 - break out tasks from Item 4	O	H	9	TBD	Set up <b>Joint Meeting</b> with <b>MDOT</b>	Council	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	03/09/21	06/15/21	(3/9/21) Will recommended we hold a meeting with MDOT as soon as possible in the process. Several items were identified for discussion (1) possible use of Federal funding (2) MPI/funding formula for project (3) ROW work responsibilities - if DOT does or Town (would have to hire specialist to handle). Meeting will include Will so proposal needs to be updated. (5/4) Nate has req a meeting & follow-up - looking for 5/18 workshop but no response to date. (6/15) MDOT Rob Betz atten workshop & adv Town needs to do easement work and then would hand over to MDOT. Doug asked about which method is preferred, Rob adv there is a manual to follow and that the question should be referred to Cathy Rawlings in Property Division. He will obtain more info for us. He also advised that 2022 MPI funding is all allocated. 2023 is next cycle - await engineering plan is a good idea to ensure we have the best figure - once it is set - we are locked in and we can only obtain \$625k from MDOT. He did adv having a "contingency" figure would be good for a project of this size. MPI contracts are usually signed the year before the funding and once signed Town has 36 months to complete project. <b>Next Steps: Town needs to complete more work to prepare for the MPI application.</b>
F	Route 115 - break out tasks from Item 4	O	H	9	TBD	Set up <b>Meeting</b> with <b>Water Department</b>	Council	Nate Rudy	Will Haskell	03/09/21	09/27/21	(3/9/21) Sandy requested that a meeting with the Water District be added to proposal and done early in the process to identify their scope/timeline to allow for coordination. The TIF defines \$495,000 for their water line work in the project. (4/6) Council met with WD to discuss timeline. WD will provide an estimate of the money they will need in the coming 12 months to begin their engineering/planning. Sandy did adv the information is needed in time for the 4/20 warrant article vote. (4/20) Nate prov updated info from WD - Council agreed the WD req for Route 100 TIF funds was fine (under the \$495K) - subsequent discussions will be needed for overage and for the other TIF fund projects. (6/15) WD attend workshop (9/7) Council set WS 9/27 with primaries incl WD <b>Next Steps: Continue to include WD in meetings.</b>
G	Route 115 - break out tasks from Item 4	O	H	9	TBD	Set up <b>Discussion</b> with <b>First Congregational Church</b>	Council	Nate Rudy	Will Haskell	03/09/21	09/27/21	(3/9/21) Anne pointed out there needs to be open discussion with the First Congregational Church on the reconfiguration of Brown Street intersection. We need to ensure they are aware of the proposals and are invited to the meetings (9/27) Council agreed that starting conversation with Church asap was critical to creating plans for public forum. <b>Next Steps: Will will work with Staff to engage the Church to review options for squaring Brown and dealing with their septic system.</b>
H	Route 115 - break out tasks from Item 4	O	H	9	TBD	Identify <b>Blasting impacts</b> from project	Council	Nate Rudy	Will Haskell	03/09/21	03/09/21	(3/9/21) Anne pointed out if there is any blasting involved in this project, the Town will need to work with residents to minimize impacts and ensure damage is not done to their foundations. Will adv whether blasting will be needed is unknown at this point. <b>Next Steps: Blasting will be identified, if applicable, once more work is done on the planning.</b>
I	Route 115 - break out tasks from Item 4	O	H	9	TBD	Schedule <b>Public Forums</b> for <b>property owners and residents</b>	Council	Nate Rudy	Council	09/27/21	09/27/21	(9/27) Council agreed that identifying the most impacted parcels first and holding on site individual meetings was paramount to identifying options for the road. Once that step is done, then holding a larger public forum for all Yarmouth/Brown road residents would be set to review draft plans and obtain feedback. All residents are welcome, but letters will be sent to Yarmouth/Brown residents. <b>Next Steps: Will to identify most impacted parcels.</b>
J	Route 115 - break out tasks from Item 4	O	H	9	TBD	Obtain info on <b>Budget</b> and <b>MDOT MPI fund disbursement rules</b>	Council	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy Will Haskell	09/27/21	09/27/21	(9/27) Council asked Nate to obtain new projections on how much money will be available in the Route 100 South TIF for this project. Council asked Will to talk to MDOT about how upcoming MPI schedule for the \$625k contribution and when the money would have to be spent if MPI was approved. There was concern that we could miss out on obtaining the funding, but on the flip side, not be ready to spend it given we will need at least a year to obtain easements. MPI funding cannot be used for easements. <b>Next Steps: Will to identify most impacted parcels.</b>
K	Route 115 - break out tasks from Item 4	O	H	9	TBD	Identify <b>Firm</b> to complete <b>Easement Work</b>	Will Haskell	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy Will Haskell	09/27/21	09/27/21	(9/27) With MDOT advising they will not work on easement issues, Will adv his firm does not do that type of work. He has some names he can provide. We will need to obtain estimates so TIF money can be set aside and an RPF can be done. <b>Next Steps: Will to provide info to Nate.</b>

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months												
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub By:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
A	Traffic - MDOT - break out tasks from item 24A	O	M	1.1	06/01/21	Signage - Route 26 vs. Route 26A	Council	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	02/22/18	05/18/21	Village diversion/misdirection. Looking into eliminating/renaming route 26/26A to prevent Truckers from going the wrong way through the village. MTA committed to helping push for changes with MDOT at last night's meeting & advised a suggestion to remove Route 26 starting at Washington Ave (Portland) through to merge with 26A has been suggested in the past. Route 26A would just become Route 26. Also, Jason suggested using the Town sign by the Transfer station or other electronic signs. Note: Cathy Breen had offered to check in with Garmin to obtain more information on GPS algorithms/process - follow-up? MTA will be closing bridge in summer 2019 - good time to coordinate removing "route 26" designation from Washington Ave to bridge. Keeping it from interchange - by-pass - north only. Deb is sending note 9/4. (12/4) MTA pointed out MDOT is not in agreement with full closure of the bridge due to lack of ability for rigs to turnaround if they miss detour signs. Also, not committed to changing route signs at this time - more discussion to follow. (9/17) Sandy advised she mentioned this issue to Rep Moriarty and he advised he would be willing to look into it to determine next steps on a formal request. (10/15) Rep Moriarty has established communication with DOT legislative liaison and they are willing to set up a meeting with DOT Staff that handle routes. Council discussed having pre-meeting but feel Rep Austin & Sen Breen are up to speed - Sandy will advise Rep Moriarty. (11/12) Sandy advised a meeting is set. (12/3) Sandy reviewed results of meeting & weight limit options. MDOT to provide info on costs for signs to change designation for just in Gray. Council agreed to coordinate weight limit with sidewalk project meeting & attempt to obtain approval. (1/21) Sandy sent follow-up on sign count/costs and asked for more info on weight limit process. (2/4) Sandy adv MDOT adv they were looking into (3/10) Sandy will follow up (8/4) Follow up done MDOT still needs to discuss before getting back to us. Council to set up public forums in Sept. (9/1) Public Forum set 9/29 - Sandy to follow up again. (9/29) MDOT sent resp hours before public forum. (11/17) Sandy will draft resp to MDOT letter. (12/15) Council approved response letter to be sent with alternate proposal to remove 26 & add to 26a while 26a remains. Weight limit work will continue via communication with residents/businesses. (1/5) Letter sent. (4/6) MDOT resp - sugg 'truck route' signs, not budging on sign replacement. (5/4) Nate & Sandy meet with Sen Breen staff 5/5 & submitted historical info on issues.
B	Traffic - MDOT - break out tasks from item 24A	O	M	1.1	04/01/21	Signage/Bollard Posts - Village Island	Sandy Carder	Nate Rudy	MDOT	02/22/18	06/04/19	MDOT will look at what they can do to make the island at the 5 lane intersection stand out so vehicles do not go the wrong way into head on traffic. Only current sign is "ENTER" provided by MTA. New striping is planned, but no response otherwise (9/4). 9/18 Council asked Deb to follow up with MDOT on their review - she advised she has meeting with MDOT on Wed 10/10. (12/4) Sandy asked MDOT at meeting about options. MDOT said they may have some flexible boillard type posts to try - will check their inventory. Steve noted it does make plowing more difficult. MDOT also may look at new signage - but that will require a full re-engineering of electric/posts at the intersection - see rt 115 listing on task list.(4/2) Dan advised at seeing another person drive into head on traffic in the wrong lane around the village island. (4/16) Deb advised MDOT is not willing to spend on permanent bollard due to history of destruction & costs. (6/4) Deb confirmed striping cannot be done before 7/1 (budget). Sandy asked if "ENTER" could be added to striping - may not be visible. Awaiting alternatives from MDOT.

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months												
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub By:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
A	Traffic - MTA - break out tasks for Item 24B	O	M	1.2	12/31/20	Diversion Study	Town Council	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	02/22/18	05/18/21	Town to define goals-study/parmts/data set to cap as much data/results as poss rel to exist prior traffic issues. need to deter int partners-NG,Poland,Cumber,Auburn,Windham? MTA ind poss prov 80+% of fund, but sugg Muni commit would prov more control over study/ensure buy-in. Partners could share the costs of the 15+%. 6 fig likely need, more data added to study = high costs. Deb adv MTA is work on poss optns, costs and part comm & hopes to pres at Oct TC meet. (12/4) MTA pres new data capture/purchopt that will incr data while drop costs. Scope disc ensued w Council need to send addtl feedback to Deb-chgs may incr costs-but MTA comm to a good study rather than just a 'cheap' one. MTA will reach out to NG next to deter if they will parti. (1/8) Deb will reach out to MTA to obtain new cont (Bruce Van Note has been appt to head the MDOT). (2/5) Mr. Mills resp, but prov no name. (2/19) Mr Mills is taking a diff appro than Mr. Van Note-he is propo MTA buy subscr at March meet. (3/5) NG is not inter in parti.(4/2) Sandy prov PPH article ind MTA, MDOT, PACTS and Port Transp grp have agrd to grp subscr to software. Await addtl feedfrom Mr. Mills. Resp appr budget. Deb sent e-mail to Mr. Mills. (9/3) Mr. Mills adv they are still work on it. (9/17) Sandy adv COG has access to data & sent mat to S Carver as they were inter to see if there was a role for COG to play. (11/12) Steph adv COG can prov info-Sandy to ob more info. (12/3) Sandy prov upd - GPCOG to take leave & prov prop for full counc to cons. (1/7) Sandy pres propos scope from COG-Counc req opts for MTA buy-in, but maj agreed to mov forw. Sandy will fol-up with Steph. Coun will vote on engage letter at 1/21 meet. (1/21) Coun voted to approve engag letter. (2/4) Sandy recap conf call with COG-ok with chgs-will look into poss ph 2 study to capture 'avoid' vs. 'divers' traffic with MDOT fund? (3/10) Sandy will follow up - Steph Carver is leaving GPCOG. Sen. Ned Claxton ask for info as his constituents in NG view this as a top issue. Sandy shared. (5/19) Study due end of June. (7/21) Delay but COG will add 'avoidance' analysis at no charge - target is Sept (9/1) Sandy adv draft report is done- final repot should be rec'd in a couple weeks. (9/15) Sandy adv final report has been rec'd - will send to Council & set up discussion when time allows. (10/6) MTA/MDOT have prov feedback to GPCOG. They resp to MTA, incorporating changes for MDOT. NG has ask for copy. Deb to talk to NG Mgr. (11/17) GPCOG resp to MDOT & are work on updating. (12/15) Sandy has rec'd updated study - will pull together all the material & send to Council. Will be placed on a future workshop agenda. (1/5) Diversion info shared (3/16) Council disc resp (1) to MTA (2) meet with Leg team + transp committee members (3) integrate in convo with MDOT on Route 100 projects (4) req mor info on LOS study costs/timeframes. (5/4) Nate & Sandy meet with Sen Breen staff 5/5 & submitted historical info on issues.
B	Traffic - MTA - break out tasks for Item 24B	O	M	1.2	06/01/21	MTA Data - cash collection (vs EZPASS)	Town Council	Sandy Carder	Sandy Carder	02/22/18	05/04/21	MTA will prov data for cash (vs EZPASS) from the regl booths-Gray,NG,Falm - to better deter funds being collect by high tolls. Ident collect funds will prov base to deter alter in toll location prices. Toll Data was prov, but Sandy asked if classes could be split out (12/4) Some minor toll disc occured at meet with MTA confir they can prov addtl info. (2/19) Council disc opts for toll chgs and agreed to send letter to MTA with recomm/feedback. (4/16) Sandy prov draft to Council. Dan prov feed to Sandy. Council prov feed to finalize letter in 5/7 meet & appr letter at 5/21 meet. Deb has sent. (8/6) Deb to follow up. Council agreed needed to follow-up before pull in Repres and/or Governor's office. (9/3) Mr. Mills resp as he has in the past. (9/17) Sandy met with Rep Moriarity & he agreed next step is a letter to Rep/Breen and Governor and perhaps they can meet to see what add presue they can apply-incl the issue of Complete street policy. (10/15) Sandy proposed alter approach of asking Leg. Reps to submit the issue to the Governor's Blue Ribbon Commis for consid as several fund pieces related to both toll inequity and diversion. Dan pointed out use of 295 (or 95 north of Augusta) by commut vs those forced to use 95 (south) is an issue as well. Council agreed and also want to focus on ask Leg. Reps to consider a bill that would put more teeth in the MTA complete street policy and give muni more say. Sandy will draft for Council rev at 11/12 meet. (11/12)Letter rev with feed prov. Sharon expressed concerns with tone of letter. Maj of Council felt it needed to be strongly worded esp given news story where Mr. Mills was dismissive of issues. Sandy remind that it is to the legis team, not the MTA directly. Sandy to update and send. (12/3) Council approved letter. (2/4) Sandy adv committee contin work - follow up after session (2/18) Sandy prov update on status of comm and council agreed we should follow up now. (3/10) Sandy shared with Sen. Ned Claxton upon request (3/16/21) See above Diversion (5/4) Nate & Sandy meet with Sen Breen 5/5

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months												Last Update: 10/05/21
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub By:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
10	Administrative	F				Develop process to review status of Paper Streets	Town Council	N/A	N/A	01/22/18	01/16/18	During the Council discussion related to approving an extension to ROW access to 'paper streets', the Council inquired if there was a long term goal to create a process to review/identify streets which should be removed from the list. Resources/timing were discussed as hinderances. <b>Council set this activity to "Future" given the renewal timeframe of 20 years has been reset. Future items will be reviewed annually, at a minimum.</b>
21	Administrative	F				Develop a method to analyze & potentially rank Committee Volunteer applications when more than one received for one spot.	Dan Maguire	N/A	N/A	02/09/18		During a workshop discussion on Jan. 8th, the Council agreed there could be situations where a formal review/ranking system may be appropriate, but it is unlikely one needs to be used in most situations. The Council reviewed a variety of options, ideas, etc., but decided to table this issue for a later date. <b>Will be considered a 'future' item.</b>
31	Ordinance	F				Review the issues and options surrounding Dock Lighting / Light pollution.	Deb Cabana	N/A	N/A	04/17/18	06/05/18	Town Staff has received a complaint/inquiry surrounding light pollution issues related to new LED dock lighting equipment. Given Councilor Foshay was absent at the Apr. 17th meeting, Council agreed to log (as it will be covered regardless - in closed, future or open), but to hold off discussion & prioritization until May 1st meeting review. Council discussed at June 5th meeting agreeing this <b>would be considered a future item</b> based on lack of available information. Possible OAC research item.
17	Economic Development / Budget	F				Research and compile data and options for a possible <b>Community Center</b> in Gray.	Town Council	N/A	N/A	01/22/18	05/07/19	During a Sept. TC Workshop discussing goals, the Recreation Dept. provided a proposal to realign funds collected by the Rec. programs under the Rec. Dept for use in maintaining/expanding programs. One major challenge identified was the lack of space to expand programs which create revenue streams for the Town. A new space (Community Center) was identified as a possible solution which could be paid for with current revenue streams. TC expressed support to obtain more information. <b>Next Step:</b> Rec. Dept. Staff will begin work to outline plan to obtain additional information. Council agreed this will be considered a "closed" Council item until the Rec. Dept. brings forth additional information. With availability of some land around the by-pass, this was discussed in 9/4 TC meeting. Deb stated \$62,000 is in the current fund. (11/13) Council agreed to move to Future list as the item continues to come up in discussions. (5/7) Concerns regarding current status vs. best practices were discussed during the 4/16 meeting - confirming programs exceed capacity at the Town facilities. See Closed item #57. Manager advised it was Administrative and under her purview, council agreed to add to this item & close #57 with Manager bringing forward any necessary policy issues.
23	Ordinance	F			TBD	Review current <b>ordinance governing noise</b> for updates and research possible <b>odor ordinance</b> .	Town Council	Deb Cabana	Doug Webster	02/09/18	10/01/19	During the special amusement license renewal of the American Legion Hall, much discussion was centered around the current decibel restrictions for noise in the existing ordinance was set at a reasonable level, existing activities that may be above that level, how the level would measured (by whom), and how complaints/enforcement would be handled. Doug Webster made presentation (3/6) of review/recommendations from Town Staff - raise limit to 60. TC discussed pros & cons of setting an actual decibel limit & enforcement. TC agreed to raise to 60, but also to add specific types of businesses/activities that would trigger limit. The Mfg Overlay/BD1/BD2 already have some type of noise restriction. Need to determine how complaints would be handled. (2/19) Council agreed to add "odor" to this item. (10/1) OAC feels due to lack of technology, other priorities and inability to enforce, this items should be moved to the future list. Council agreed.

*Status: O = Open C = Closed F = Future Priority: **H = 1-3 months M = 4-9 months L = 10+ months												Last Update: 10/05/21
No	Goal/Category	*O/ F/C	** Prty	P No.	Target Date	Description	Sub By:	Owner:	Assigned to:	Dated Logged	Last Update	Comments
80	Ordinance	F			TBD	To create rules for <b>Chickens</b> in the various zones in Gray	Anne Gass	TBD		07/21/20		(7/21) A couple issues have arisen regarding Chickens and understanding what is and is not allowed. No real defined information in Town ordinances. Should be addressed at some point.
83	Infrastructure	F			TBD	Identify <b>Otelco Poles</b> to be <b>removed</b> on short <b>Shaker Road</b>	Alec Dodd	TBD		12/01/20		(12/1) As part of the pole analysis done for the short Shaker Rd 2021 Spring stormwater/sidewalk project, Alec talked to Otelco. Several poles only support old copper wire services. Once all users are transitioned to Fiber services, those poles can be removed altogether.
50	Economic Development	F	L	6	11/01/21	Work with the <b>Narrow Gauge Railroad</b> to review current situation and develop new plan.	Council	Nate Rudy	Nate Rudy	03/05/19	09/21/21	NGRR Board members made a presentation at the 3/5 TC meeting to update Council on recent events (loss of lease - deadline end of Oct and relocation of museum pieces on temporary basis to another location). Council/NGRR agreed a more detailed meeting was in order to determine what options remain for relocation to Gray, what resources would be needed - monetary/human, and the associated timelines. (4/16) Deb advised Doug had a meeting with NGRR Board and they are open to discussion, but cannot do at this time due to pending move. (8/6) Portland Press Herald reported the NGRR has withdrawn their plans to move to Gray and NGRR has removed trains & sign from Plaza. Council discussed how frustrating it is to find out via paper especially given this is the 2nd time and during the last visit by NGRR, the organization insisted they were still partnering with Gray. (9/3) Deb adv no response from e-mails - phone tag with Mr. Heinz - NGRR has engaged a broker to sell property. (9/17) Council discussed approaching NGRR to open discussion about donating the easement rights to the tracks to Gray - as a way to address those who contributed to NGRR relocation - both with time & money. (10/15) NGRR has responded. (12/3) Sharon wished to send an editorial letter to the paper regarding this item, but was willing to wait to see if the meeting is set after the Polar Express is done. Council felt current course was correct one.(1/7) Now that the Polar Express season has ended, Deb will follow up on meeting. (1/21) NGRR said they would get back to her (2/18) NGRR advised they are seeking professional assistance to deal with unraveling Gray issues. (9/15) NGRR sold a portion of the ROW to a private developer without notifying the Town or updating Deb as they said they would. Anne also adv NGRR will be just sitting on their property until values increase to allow them to break even with mortgage. Council is frustrated but since it is a private deal & does not involve Town, there is no legal leverage. (10/6) Council rev & app letter to be sent to NGRR. (9/21) Council sees no action happening on this so moving to future. NGRR has sold off pieces of their property without any contact with the Town as far as donating easements on the rail line.
98	Ordinance	F			TBD	Create a <b>Mooring Ordinance</b> for all <b>Gray Lakes</b>	Sharon Young			10/05/21	10/05/21	(10/05/21) Sharon Young submitted this request prior to her Council term expiration. The Council reviewed at the 10/5 meeting and agreed it was an issue that needed to be looked into; however, given there is a bill before the State Legislature (2nd session set to begin in 01/2022), Council wants to wait to see what the State decides to do or not do. In addition, more information is needed. The Lake Coalition presented information on a Shoreland Protection Ordinance they requested the Town create and there is likely going to be more discussion on that item. Mooring information could be collected at the same time.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
1	Pocket Park	(2020) Grant + \$2,175 + \$3,300 in Public Works Dept	#69 M10	Gray Historical Society has a long term vision of creating a <b>Historic Walk</b> with the Pennell House Memorial (re: Cumberland Farms donation/easement) kicking off the effort. GHS would like to discuss the <b>Town Owned Property at 5 Yarmouth Rd</b> as a <b>possible mini-park</b>	GHS in planning stages with more information will likely be available in January. Goal is to have full trail mapped out to identify specific locations as historically important for consideration when future development is planned. (10/28) Council needs more info, GHS/CEDC both have some ideas for the space, Council has RT115 project review starting, there is some thought to put up guardrails to prevent in appropriate vehicular traffic, Anne mentioned there could be grant money avail to help with developing a plan. Council agreed to keep on list pending presentation from GHS/CEDC in near future. (12/17) GHS/CEDC presented to Council & advised of possible Grant applications for funding which will be presented at the 1/7 meeting. (1/21) Council voted to approve grant application with direct \$2,175 match & \$3,300 of public works time/costs (3/2) Alec adv they have expanded about 1/2 of the grant 'in kind' total & will complete add'l work in the spring.
2	Education Funds	\$5,250 per semester - \$0 in 2020	N/A	New <b>continuing education fund policy</b> was approved allowing for up to \$5,250 per semester in reimbursements per qualified employee studies. Benefit is dependent on available funds.	Policy includes request for submissions to allow for proper budgeting. Deb advised deadline for submissions is January. She will provide info on any budget requirements. <b>Employees had until Jan 19th - request included in individual budgets.</b> (10/28) Deb advised no employees have submitted request - thus no funds needed this year. (1/19) Deb verified there are no requests for this cycle.
3	Employee Vacation/ Sick Fund	(2020) \$35,000 (2021) \$25,000	N/A	As of June 30, 2017 the <b>Town's Liability for compensated absences</b> - this is an unfunded liability and comes into play when an employee retires or leaves and requires a payout of <b>accumulated vacation/sick time</b> .	There is no designated fund for total \$245,419. Deb advised Town spent \$18,000 on retirements last year (unfunded). Council discussed setting aside 10% (\$25k) or 15% (\$37k) annually to start. Council is looking at \$25,000 Manager Contingency fund as first step in this funding. (10/28/19) Council agreed further payments to close gap should be considered. (2/18) Deb advised she is seeking \$25,000 for this fund - separately from the Contingency fund as with pending retirements, it is likely the \$25,000 will be exceeded. (2/28) Katy advised she added another \$10,000 and stated we cannot reduce as it will all be spent next year plus likely the contingency fund. (12/15) Council wants to review & possibly update sick time accumulation policy - currently unlimited. (1/19) Katy confirmed there are anticipated retirements & Council agreed for this cycle, the focus will be funding to cover. The goal long term is to build up the fund. Deb will provide summary of benefit packages in other Towns - Council will review a possible change in 'pay out' cap which may include a change in carry forward policy. (2/11) Katy adv there are no known planned retirements this year, thus the reduction in amount to \$25K. If that holds, we will be able to establish a separate account to offset the liability. Sandy also adv she will be recommending that the Council log the policy discussion on the master list at the next TC meeting. (3/22) Katy has asked Alyssa if we need to have a separate warrant article to establish this fund. (3/24) Katy has verified this will need a separate question but is below the LD1 limit.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
4	CIP Payment	\$1,750,000	N/A	2018 CIP Bond package was put together to allow Town to make <b>payments to the CIP fund</b> over a 5 year period. The \$100,000 remainga outstanding from the 3/12ths rainy day fund is being logged separately - see below.	Need to determine the status of the \$500,000 repayment to rainy day fund. Need to determine what the annual payment is based on CIP 15 year projections over the 5 year period. Deb will have more info on repayment after new year. Council identified as top priority & Sandy expressed desire to ensure CIP has everything included before the calculated payment is worked on. Mo advised there was some equipment missing which has now been added. Discussed approaches to payment calculation including using an inflation rate of 4%. Council identified the possible \$100K gained from resale of old ladder truck as CIP payment - as voted on by residents. In addition, Council agreed to move \$100k into CIP from the \$500k payment into the 3/12ths - to use projected overage next year to finalize the \$500k re-payment. (10/28) Old ladder truck is now for sale. Council agreed to ask Finance Committee to come up with "fully funded" number to better understand the gap between current \$100K - for discussion purposes. (12/17) Deb adv \$85,000 rec'd from sale of old ladder truck and \$10,000 rec'd for sale of retired equip for total of \$95,000 for CIP fund. (2/13) Deb & Katy provided updated CIP information to Council. (4/2) Council agreed need to review/update fiscal policy after budget season to look at bonding larger, long term equipment items - will change CIP funding. \$42,570 interest going into CIP from bond, but will be used for paving. Agreed to keep funding at 1,850,000 with final review after final numbers provided. (4/28) Sandy re-raised the option presented by Deb/Katy to reduce the CIP payment by the \$42k interest payment as a way to reduce the overall increase on the operations side. The addt'l paving could be pushed off or could be done with the result being the CIP end balance would be reduced by \$42k. Majority of Council wanted to stay the course & not pursue this option. (3/24) Katy prov 3 scenarios with payments - Council agreed to lower payment based on target to stay "neutral" with starting balance. Katy adv approx \$250K will flow into the debt serv payment from bond savings even after appr proposed usage. This will bring us up over 'neutral'. Council to make final adjs at 4/12 meeting.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
5	Watershed Plans	\$18,000 (2018) \$5,000 (2019) \$7,000 (2020)	N/A	\$12k for Thayer Brook & \$6k for Cole Brook were approved in last year's budget. Cumberland County Soil & Water Conservation District has identified other <b>watersheds that need surveys and/or protection plans.</b>	Doug advised contracts have been signed & surveys will be underway shortly. Some discussion was made about what other watersheds need attention. Doug will reach out to CCS&WC to ask for input and estimated costs for next steps. Awaiting report back from initial watershed study for next steps and costs. (8/6/19) CCS&W will be doing a presentation in Sept to provide an update & next steps. (10/28) Presentation has been pushed to December. Council asked if CCS&W would provide feedback on other necessary watershed studies and estimates - in addition to any monetary impacts from completed studies. (12/17) CCSWD presented results of study to Council along with recommendations. Council asked for est. for each task (ballpark), as well as, ISWG fees. (12/18) Katy provided the Watershed estimate document to the Council which is set to discuss it at the 3/26 workshop. (3/26/20) Council reviewed and would like Doug & CCS&WC to prioritize top tasks & resubmit lower budget. (4/2) Doug provided update - split in two piece with each about \$13k. Council would like tasks (1) from each proposal for this year & then the rest for next year to balance addressing current water quality issues and preparing for future impacts - revised cost \$7,200. (4/9) Kathy advised after re-reading proposals she better understands why Heather is recommending items 1-3 first before doing ordinance work. Will get clarification & bring to 4/16 meeting. No impact to bottom line as part of CIP. (4/21) Kathy rev with Council, and Council agreed to go back to the original 2 tasks - but only do task #1. With the grant funding the remaining tasks would be done the following year & after Task #1 is complete, the Council will have a better handle on when task #2 should be done. (3/9/21) Doug adv that the reg grant requires \$10k commitment, but will be split over 2 years (\$5k each). There is also another request for 2 monitoring stations for \$2k this year & \$1k annually.
6	Sidewalks - Libby Hill	\$115,000 plus - 20% of total? GPCOG Grant?	N/A	MSAD15 has expressed interest in a joint effort in developing project plans for new <b>sidewalks</b> between <b>Middle &amp; High Schools on Libby Hill Road</b> for possible grant application/approval for outside funding.	Council discussing top priorities from Public Works, included in Bike/Ped Plan and from MSAD15 standpoint. State grant programs require an approved project plan and as specific % of the funding approved & set aside before applying for remainder of funding. Joint leaders meeting with MSAD15 being worked on again. Steve included the Russell School piece in his engineering request for Shaker RD (see item 25B). Dan also recommended a 'standard' be derived for all future sidewalks - covering things like width, grade, ADA requirements, etc. Steve advised he could work with Will to create a standard. Council will budget for engineering & roll this into the Shaker Rd project - see 25B below. 20% of funding would be considered in future budget once total cost is known. (10/28/19) Council asked to have Will provide estimate for engineering costs. (03/12/20) Council agreed because we are expending substantial funds for the Shaker Rd sidewalk project, this one will need to be deferred. Alec also advised the existing sidewalk would need to be redone as it is not ADA compliant. (3/2/21) Council combined this item with the sidewalk ext from Hannaford to Spruce, paving LH road, add a crosswalk to the new possible emergency exit from school - into one project and submit to GPCOG as a shovel ready project for possible state/fed funding. Will not know if there is funding until likely April/May.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
7	Broadband Partnership		N/A	Lakes Region Broadband Partnership along with Cumberland County are working on the <b>Connect Cumberland project to extend broadband</b> through major corridors. Updating this to possible funding needed related to Grant being pursued with Windham.	A presentation was made to the Council during the July 3rd, 2018 meeting. Costs are unknown at this time, but goal was to have more information by year end for budget planning. No update on costs at this time. (10/28) Deb advised the group was having difficulty finding a contractor to do the work and thus will not be included this year. (1/19) Deb adv she does anticipate a request for some funding - matches for grants and/or other funding avenues. (3/22) Nate has some inquiries out and Anne adv that Otelco is nearing completion on a plan to build out Gray. There is also going to be federal money available for this work in the coming 2 years. (3/24) Nate has no further information - Council agreed to not put money in the budget. It is possible the federal money avail in the coming year will allow for grant applications for broadband work.
8	Skateboard Park	(2020) \$15,000	N/A	A GNG Student reached out to the Town asking for consideration of a <b>Community Skateboard Park</b> . Partner with school?	Dean was working on pulling together information from other Towns with skateboard parks to provide cost estimates. May be funded by the recreational enterprise fund. Dan advised Mike L. at HS has a group of students working on this and he has given them the timeline for budget season. He expects they will reach out after the new year to come in for a presentation. \$25,000 estimate provided - but could be more - Council agreed need more advance work, possible Community group & fundraising before included in Town's budget. (10/28/19) Dan advised this is still be worked on. Council agreed until they are ready to present a plan both for the park and the fundraising piece, this will not be considered. (1/21) Officer Chadler will present at the 2/4 Council meeting. (2/4) Presentation made to Council, majority supports project, requested a full blown proposal with estimated budget before next steps could be determine. The funding will need to be grants, fundraising & the Town. Location will be critical. (2/27) Rec dept is requesting \$15,000 (out of estimated \$125,000-130,000) to start the ball rolling on planning & fundraising. (2/18) Brought Nate up to speed, Dean was unsure if there was any recent activity on community side. (3/22) Sandy suggested we maintain the \$15k fund established last year, but forgo adding an addt'l \$15k this year - due to lack of activity on the project. This will give Community effort time to regroup after covid and we can consider next year. Council agreed.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
9	Land Acquisition Fund	(2019) \$50,000 (2020) \$50,000	N/A	A <b>Community Center</b> has been discussed in various meetings and may be related to the above (13) Public Facilities Study - as far as next step planning.	<p>May be funded by the recreational enterprise fund. Recently notified of 2 residents (Carrie Carter &amp; Steve Morse) who have obtained a license from the state and are looking at setting up a Committee. This is tied to both the Comprehensive Plan and item 13B as a review &amp; input from residents in needed to determine need, size, location, priority, etc. Deb did advise that Newbegin is in need of substantial upgrades to make it more efficient and capacity has been breached. See 32 below - would roll into Land Acquisition fund. (8/6/18) The Council asked Deb to reach out to the owner of the Hamilton property to inquire about options for the Town - whether that is a community center with municipal parking, fields, or housing - or a combination of them - is unknown at this point &amp; will require further discussion. (10/28) Council has this on their workshop list. (3/12/19) Council has set a workshop on 3/31 to discuss the status of the Hamilton Property and Land Acquisition funding. (3/31) Council discussed and agreed it is a great opportunity and Town should move to engage owners in an option contract to give us time to collaborate with GNGLL, Royal River, etc. to develop plan, seek resident input &amp; approval and create a funding plan. (4/2) Council was provided with valuation info &amp; will discuss land acquisition fund at 4/9 meeting. (4/9) Council discussed tentative steps for Hamilton property is to identify funding in this year's budget, then between now &amp; possibly Nov election, working on more details for concept plans and identify funding options which could be used to seek residents feed and a vote with Nov election. Council agreed to set \$50,000 again as separate question. (4/28/20) Council agreed to reduce to \$25k and to list as part of the CIP warrant article. (3/9/21) Council will start with \$50k to see impact before making full decision.</p>

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
10	Planning /Engineering	Route 100 South TIF Funds - \$176K appx + \$240K for Water District	#4 M3	TIF Projects (Main Street, Village Shaker Rd, <b>Route 115</b> ), Sidewalk projects, and other projects (Wilkie Beach) require <b>engineering work to develop plans</b> before funding can be sought (or to have more pull with MDOT/MTA).	Steve projected \$75K for engineering work for the Route 115 project, but Will advised that the engineering costs need to be included into the project request - if we go with MPI program - where MDOT would pick up 50% of costs - up to \$500k. Project is estimated at 1 million. Therefore, no budget impact for this fiscal year. Gorrill Palmer provided \$91,000 design fee & \$62,000 full time construction inspection fee as estimates - but would provide a new proposal when the Town decides to proceed. Given item 25B will likely use up TIF funds for this year, this will be considered in a future budget (with evaluating the intersection at the same time). (12/17/19) Public forum held on 12/5 - Council to set workshop. (3/26/20) Council is working to amend Route 100 South TIF to allow for funds to be used on this project. (4/21) Council set public hearing for 6/2 to put out to voters at 7/14 election. (1/19/21) Workshop held with Staff/Water District/Engineer to create general plan for starting this project. Route 100 South TIF funds are available - with expiration of 2028. Will will work on providing estimates for (1) complete 'ledge' profile of road using ground penetrating radar (2) updating and completing full survey of area - Main street to 59 Yarmouth Rd (3) create a high level plan to include parameters agreed to in workshop - working with the Water District to include their piece and including Brown Street reconfiguration/parking analysis. The goal will be to use the starting plan to schedule more public forums and have some idea of overall costs as we progress. (3/9/21) Will rev proposal for services. Council asked that (1) meet with Water District (1) meet with MDOT be added, Doug asked that add'l boaring be added to ensure ledge identified properly on slope, Council adv Church needs to be pulled into discussion on Brown Street intersection, and agreed to remove "inspection/post project" services be removed for now as those decisions will be made at a later date, but we have an estimate of \$101,590 for full time inspection services. (4/12) WD submitted est for rt 115 which is over the \$495,000 total. \$40k is needed in coming year for engineering/legal. Further disc is needed on total cost. Nate will verify number. (5/4) WD req more funds for materials.
11	Construction Costs	\$88,400 / GPCOG grant?	N/A	Extending sidewalk from <b>Hannaford - Spruce Street - Sidewalk projects</b> , and other projects (Wilkie Beach) require <b>engineering work to develop plans</b> before funding can be sought (or to have more pull with MDOT/MTA).	Steve is requesting \$13,770 for construction costs to extend the sidewalk from Hannaford to Spruce street (engineering has already been done). (2/13) Alec provided estimate which includes engineering, construction, crosswalk from Spruce to School walking path, tree removal/stonewall relocation & possibly drywell = \$88,400. Council set to discuss at 3/12 workshop. (03/12) Council agreed because we are expending substantial funds for the Shaker Rd sidewalk project, this one will need to be deferred. Alec also advised the existing sidewalk would need to be redone as it is not ADA compliant. Alec also advised work new the pathway would like need to be added to this project due to stormwater issues. (3/2) Council discussed this in Council meetings as GPCOG was seeking shovel ready/worthy project to use to obtain grant funding from State/Federal programs. Council agreed to roll this into Libby Hill paving, LH sidewalks reconstruction & extension and a crosswalk to the MSAD15 ROW path which may become an emergency 2nd exit for the schools. Alec/Deb submitted to GPCOG.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
12	Contingency Fund	Manager \$25,000 Build/Grds \$15,000	N/A	Many Towns (and most companies) incorporate a <b>Contingency Fund</b> into their budget planning to cover unforeseen expenses.	<p>Deb provided (2) examples - \$18K for unfunded vacation/sick time for retirees and \$10K for the stormwater video work on Main Street. She has to cut from other parts of the budget which means other tasks go undone &amp; must be refunded in subsequent budgets. Many municipalities have these funds to address unexpected or emergency situation. Another one is storm clean up for Public Works. Council discussed between \$20-30K. Council agreed to tentative \$25,000 amount to cover projected retirement and have some left over. (10/28/19) Council funded this as a combination of employee time &amp; contingency - agreed we need to continue working on this fund. (2/18/20) Deb advised she is requested \$25,000 for this fund separate from vacation/sick time outstanding liability. (2/27) Katy advised we will likely spend this to supplement the vaca/sick time fund. (4/2) Deb advised it could cost up to \$10k for an addtl election if we miss the 6/9 election. Katy advised the money in this year's budget for that election would flow into the unassigned but would not be accessible until the following year's budget cycle after the audit was completed. Council needs to consider adding \$10K to contingency. Katy advised that contingency is set aside to help with transition to new Manager. (4/9) Governor will be moving election to July 14th - we will move local to coincide. Ballot printing, machine programming will be in current buget, there may be some money needed for staff time. Council agreed to keep contingency fund at \$25,000. Election change is another example of why a contingnecy fund is needed. (2/11) Deb adv that this was sufficient. (2/18) Council discussed need for fund for buildings &amp; grounds. Will have follow-up discussion on amount &amp; how to set up. (3/22) Council disc separate funds vs. one fund &amp; opted for one fund; however, Katy pointed out moving it out from B&amp;G will impact the total amount towards LD1 - Council may reconsider. (4/12) Council discussed again &amp; agreed to move to CIP &amp; increase CIP payment by equal \$15k to keep neutral on LD1/CIP reserve. This will make the use clearly &amp; will allow it to roll over.</p>
13	Paving	(2020) \$469,250 (2021) \$449,225	N/A	Need to review <b>Paving budget</b> process to ensure projected & actual are closer	<p>Paving has been increasing in costs the last several years with 'asphalt escalation fees' built into the contracts. This means projected jobs (4 roads) may not match what actually is paved (3 roads) as money runs out during the season. Either need to increase paving budget or need to identify 'definite' vs. 'maybe' roads in the process or select fewer roads. Need to keep engineering report in mind to ensure Town stays ahead of failing road projections. (10/28/19) Steve will adjust request - to ensure we get 'caught up' on our paving - as a starting point. (2/27/20) Figure excludes \$13,500 being requested for pavement condition study. (3/12) Council discussed request for study. There is no industry standard - and last study was done 2017. Alec said roads that have been paved in last 3 years would need a study to determine their updated status. Council asked for est to do just those roads vs. a full study. (3/26) Alec checked into reducing study &amp; only option is to break down into districts to do one each year - but that will likely cost more overall. Council agreed it needs to be done by next year at latest, but need to push this year. (3/2/2021) Alec adv only one overlay was done last fall after the budget vote, but he felt the 2020 paving would be done before June. Confirmed that at \$500k a year could clear the backlog in 8 years. This does include parking lots, but not sidewalks.</p>

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
14	Libby Hill	(2020) \$13,579	#27 H1.2	Need to determine long term obligation and budget funding for <b>Libby Hill maintenance</b> for Town's portion of acreage	Work has begun on the Libby Hill deed review. That will include work on a maintenance agreement between the Town, MSAD15 and the Community Endowment. An informational meeting between the 3 parties is being scheduled. Need to determine how much annual funding will be needed for Town piece and what changes need to be made to CIP schedule. (10/28) Dan was assigned as liaison and the first meeting is set to work on identifying the costs. (12/3) Council discussed again & agreed should be on list. (2/27) Increased by \$2,527 for total of \$4,027 plus tractor in Mo's budget to assist. (3/12) \$4752 winter operations plus \$4800 spring operations = \$9,552. Requested Pavillion budgetting will likely be deferred until MSAD15 identifies their funding. (2/18/21) With reorganization of Rec Build/Grounds under Maintenance - per diem for LH was moved as well. Amount based on projected figures available.
15	Traffic Calming Measures	??	N/A	Add <b>traffic calming measures</b> as part of the project planning & designs	(10/28/19) Bicycle Coalition of Maine provides these items free of charge for testing; however, if Gray does decide to proceed with formal measures, equipment and/or construction costs may be incurred. (3/12/20) Anne advised it will take through the summer to set up tests and to identify what, if any, traffic calming measures will be recommended and then to determine costs. Will be considered in next year's budget. (3/2/21) Council agrees there are many options and there are many areas that could use them. Would like to engage organizations that can help us test lost cost or no cost options. Need to engage residents and MDOT as we move forward. (3/16) Council agreed to add addt'l striping to Village Shaker Rd - sharrows and more narrow lanes to test out the results. Alec to provide addt'l costs. (3/23) Nate spoke with Patrick Adam (MDOT) and they will donate the signs. He provided requirements for sharrows and he will make sure Alec provides increased striping line to Katy. (3/24) Alec adv sharrows will not work on Shaker due to MDOT stand. Council agreed to hold off on add funds and will pull this into the Route 100 discussion.
16	Fencing	?	N/A	Need to <b>add Fencing to CIP schedule</b> - applicable departments	(4/9) Dan pointed out that existing Town owned fencing does not appear to be included in any of the CIP department schedules. Council agreed it should be added before next budget cycle. (1/19) Katy advised this may be split between budgets (Build/grounds & Rec), but they will work to pick up the fencing for future planning. (2/18) Mo adv he is in the process of collecting data - Pennel & Library fencing has been measured. They will be measure Transfer Station fencing (which is substantial around the landfill) next. Once the dimensions are cataloged, the next step will be to grade the condition of the fencing & set up replacement schedule in CIP. Target to complete for next budget cycle.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
17	Existing Sidewalks	\$4,400 (condition study)	N/A	Need to <b>add all Existing Sidewalks to CIP schedules</b>	(4/9/20) Sandy pointed out that existing sidewalks are also not listed in the CIP schedule for maintenance/reconstruction work. Town has been only addressing when applicable roads come up for paving - usually nothing is done when overlay paving is done - creating a situation where they are only being addressed when a road is reconstructed. By that time, sidewalks are in bad shape and add considerable costs to the road budget. Need to put money aside to ensure existing sidewalks are maintained and funded when reconstruction is needed. (1/19/21) Alec updated the council on this as part of the paving catch up plan. He will be asking for the road study again this year. He is working to pick up the existing sidewalks and parking lots. Pending the study and updated information, he feels if we maintain our current \$450k annual paving budget, we could be caught up in about 7 years without accounting for sidewalks/parking lots which may then need attention. He is working with data from Stevie & his own to compile a list/conditions. We also need to make sure we understand any 'new' sidewalks being built need to be added (extension on Shaker Rd - planned Rt 115 sidewalks). (3/2) Council has asked Alec to obtain cost est to add sidewalks to paving condition study (3/15) Alec adv addt'l \$4,400 is needed to add sidewalks to condition study. He also clarified that "maintenance" is not the same for sidewalks - there is limited options because of curbing, ramps, landings, etc. It is more about tracking for full replacement.
18	Livelong Living	?	N/A	Placeholder for 21-22 FY Budget - <b>Senior Programs</b>	(8/4) Logging as a placeholder pending presentation from Lifelong Living Committee in Fall/Winter (1/19) Sharon advised there will not be any request in the upcoming cycle as they are still collecting data and planning. Some items may be addressed through donations or non-profits as well.
19	LD1	N/A	N/A	Discuss impacts of LD1	(9/15/20) Council agreed to log to ensure discussion on how to mitigate issues with LD1. (3/23/21) Katy advised that we are currently \$4,500 over LD1, but with some of the changes requested that number will go up. Council agreed we MUST come in below LD1 this year. Discussions on how to accomplish that will be held at 3/24 WS. Katy pointed out that separate questions would be outside the LD1 for operations/CIP. But then would require individual LD1 questions to go with them (ie Senior Tax Rebate - IF Council proceeds or accrued time off fund). (3/24) After the changes made - the budget is \$1,502 under LD1 incl employee accrued time fund. The Senior Tax rebate will be over the limit & set up as a separate question.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
20	Enterprise Fund/ Sub D	EF \$157,000 SD \$95,000	N/A	Need to evaluate impact of <b>Covid on Enterprise Fund &amp; Sub D Fund</b>	<p>(9/15/20) Deb has advised current projections have fund just breaking even or with a small revenue amount. (3/1/21) Katy clarified that Enterprise Fund is revenue from Rec Dept programs &amp; Sub D is revenue from Development Fees. Sub D is generally used to expand or upgrade Recreational activities where Enterprise Fund is used to fund the Recreation Staff and operations. There is \$107k in EF with a projection of \$50K this year total of \$157k. Sub D is at \$95k now. But if the Rec Director position is filled, that may impact the EF total. Nat to talk to staff and determine timeline on new hire. (3/9) Katy adv that Nellie &amp; her are working on identifying what in Rec budget can come out of Sub D. Extension of path from Cumberland Farms to Brown Street - through 1st Congregational Church property could be funded by Sub D - if grant &amp; in kind is not enough. (3/23) Katy checking to see if removal of NewBegin stage can come out of Sub D fund in lieu of bond savings. She will also move the \$6k for concrete play area to Sub D. (3/24) Katy adv Sub D can be used, majority of Council agreed to keep in CIP bond savings as it involves multiple depts - maintenance, elections &amp; recreation. (4/12) Council moved CL ramp match &amp; concrete game area to Sub D - also suggested a list of "agreed upon projects" be maintained to ensure they are moved forward. Katy adv in the past many times the project will not move forward as there is no 'setting aside' money within Sub D. The CL ramp grant is being applied for so that will move forward. The Concrete game area needs more discussion in context of the Village master plan - Pennell footprint planning. Struck the ice skating line as \$ not needed if we try again at Pennell one more year. Agreed to leave the basketball lights in CIP to ensure money is set aside &amp; lights are installed once funding goal reached.</p>
21	Communications	\$18,910 in owed fees / \$102,000 in annual fees	N/A	Consider proposal from Community Communications Committee to use <b>Franchise Fees for Equipment</b>	<p>(10/6) Anne advised the new Committee would like to see the newly discovered underpayment of franchise fees (\$18,910 for 2 years - more to follow?) put towards purchasing new/more equipment to improve the use of the Community Television Station and other platforms. (2/11) Council agreed until this money is rec'd, it will not be considered. There was a good conversation about how best to utilize the funding with everyone agreeing increasing communication was a high priority &amp; it would be helpful for the Committee to make a proposal for specific needs/equipment/plans which require funding. Council could then consider best approach. Deb adv current revenue is estimated at \$102,000 and that the new contract will not be done until at least June. Spectrum is currently disputing the 'unpaid' past fees. No timeline on when that issue will be resolved.</p>

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
22	Electric Vehicle Transition	?	N/A	Transition Municipal vehicles to electric versions	<p>(12/1) Sandy advised she would be bringing this forward given the new solar array will be going online. Long term, if Town buys the array, converting as many vehicles as possible to electric will save more costs. (3/23) Council discussed plan for this - GPCOG has a loaner available for Towns to try out - Kathy adv they have used it before. Staff feels that having one 'shared' EV car would be beneficial - could be used by Code, Assessor, Admin, Planning, etc. and would charge overnight so the level 2 would work. Nate pointed out the question is which vehicle would it replace? Trucks make up more of our fleet and availability is an issue right now. Council asked if we could use the add'l bond savings to transition to one EV vehicle in the coming year. Of course, the EV charging station would to be installed &amp; wonder if we can use bond savings for that work? All would need to be done by Aug 31st. (3/24) Katy verified bond savings can be used. (4/12) Council agreed we need to more analysis on which vehicle makes sense to convert and options (lease, rebates, group purchases, grants, etc.). Highly unlikely this could be pulled together in time for the bond savings deadline, but Nate has the option to bring this before the Council, if it does work out. Otherwise, it will flow into next year's budget discussion.</p>
23	TIF Funds	\$365,147 / \$75,000 (2021)	N/A	Determine available funds from Village TIF	<p>(3/9/21) Katy advised \$365,147 is currently in the Village TIF fund. (4/12) Council agreed to pull \$75,000 forward to have available in next year for planning work on Main St / Route 100 MDOT project and Village master plan planning. Verified that Nate will bring forward any spending to the Council before money is actually expended. If no money is pulled forward, if there is a need, then a special Town meeting would need to be set up. The voters have already approved the use of this TIF funding for this type of work.</p>
24	Septic System	\$5,000 (2020) plus \$5,000 (2021)	N/A	Create replacement plan for Pennell septic system	<p>(2/18/21) Mo identified the Pennell Septic system as an example of a pending critical issue that is not included in any planning/budgeting for the proposed Buildings Condition Assessment. There are currently 4 systems - Pennell ('58), Gym ('32), Maintenance ('52) and Science (??) which are extremely old. Combining and replacing the systems, as well as, addressing the status of the fields (reclaiming/reconstructing) should be done - sooner rather than later as there is no way to know if/when one or more would fail. Council agreed we need to log and add to CIP planning. (3/22) Sandy brought up this issue to ask Council if we want to start putting some money away. Anne suggested at least money to do some testing/engineering on a replacement system so we have a figure we can budget towards. More to be discussed at 3/24 WS. (4/12) Council rec'd feedback from Dean/Mo related to the "reclaim fields" CIP item - which was originally set to redo the fields at Pennell - may no longer be as critical because the soccer organization is no longer using the field and the field will need to be ripped up to deal with the septic so any redoing of the field will be tied to that project. Based on that, Council will rename this fund to the "Pennell Septic Fund" to allow Mo access to \$10k in funds to hire someone to analyze and come up with costs to address replacement. This will allow the Council to add the project to the CIP.</p>
25	Telephone	\$6,000+ increase	N/A	Review current Telephone set up VOI vs. hard lines	<p>(3/2) Dan noted that there was a substantial increase in the telephone charges across departments. Katy explained that the transition to voice over internet did not include fax or security lines which Otelco was not willing to budge on. Nate pointed out that having hard lines with plug in phones for each building is critical in emergency response planning. Nate will review in more detail with Katy/Mo and Staff to determine if changes are warranted.</p>

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
26	Boat Ramp	\$62,000 total @ 25% = \$15,500 - \$2,000 leftover = \$13,500 for this year	N/A	Determine if <b>Grant funding</b> is available for <b>Boat Ramp</b> replacement	<p>(2/18/21) Last year's grant fund was frozen due to COVID. Sandy to reach out to State to find out if there will be funding this year. Last year, State provided some concrete planks to assist with repairs in lieu of grant funding. (3/2) Tom Linscott (Director of Boat Ramp Facilities) advised they do not have funding as of right now, but are hopeful that will change shortly. Forwarded note to Heather Seiders who manages the grant fund. Sandy will tag for follow-up. (3/9) Mo adv that further repairs will require professional services and a DEP permit. Nate to work with Staff to determine if ramp can go another year or not. Town would prefer to apply for grant but may not be able to wait if grant funding is not available in the coming year. Sandy to follow up with Heather on grant status. (3/22) Mo adv the ramp should last 1 more season - because it has to - there is no way to do addt'l repairs. Dean has sub pre-application for the grant money which is available this year. A site walk is planned in April and the hope is the water line is low enough to see full condition. Mo adv that standards are 12 feet - the ramp is 10 feet - Dan pointed out we may not want to increase width given CL is very small - it would allow for larger boats which could be a problem. Doug asked if addt'l riprap could be provided to prevent boats from damaging area at end of ramp. Planks are around \$205 each and at least 20-25 will be needed - so that alone is \$5,100. Town must budget for 25% - State pays for 75% up to \$15,000. If the total cost of the replacement is higher - then the Town needs to budget more. Nate/Mo/Dean will work to obtain a better estimate for a total replacement. This will also need to go into the CIP for future maintenance and replacement. It is unlikely we can use bond savings as the work will need to be done by end of Aug - and Mo is advising we put 'cash' in for the 25% match not 'in kind' as the work is specialized. (3/24) Mo verified it is up to a \$150K match &amp; est is \$62,000 for a 10 ft ramp - 25% would be \$15,500 - Katy adv \$2,000 is leftover from last year for repairs &amp; will add \$13,500 to budget. (4/12) Council agreed to move match to Sub D.</p>
27	Stripping Bid	N/A	N/A	Determine if it is possible to <b>allow HOA to participate</b> in Town <b>Stripping Bid</b>	<p>(3/2/21) Dan asked if it would be possible to allow HOA to jump on the Town's stripping bid to reduce overall costs and ensure regular stripping. Katy pointed out there may be an issue because the Town is tax exempt and HOA are not. Further research is needed.</p>
28	Trail Extension	?	N/A	Create a <b>trail extension</b> from <b>Cumberland Farms to Brown Street</b>	<p>(3/2/21) The First Congregational Church is now willing to allow the Town public easement over their property to complete the trail that ends behind Cumberland Farms. This trail is part of the VALT plan and would connect to Brown Street sidewalk system allowing people to get to the Library and Plaza safely. The Rec Dept would use it regularly for summer camp activities and childcare activities. Church has no money. Alec has advised public works could remove the jersey barriers and repurpose them, if allowed. Kathy is working the project and there needs to be conversation with abutters. The current path is gravel and would need to be upgraded to allow for winter snow clearing. An Eagle Scout is willing to make L shaped planters for the project to help slow cyclists. (3/9) Kathy provide more info on status. Anne adv if we can lift conversion issue we could apply for grant money (possibly). Katy believes we could use Sub D funds as well.</p>

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
29	Planning Dept Staff	?	N/A	Add <b>Staff Position to Planning Department</b>	(3/9/21) Council received suggestion from resident who is also a member of the PB to consider adding an "economic development director" to the Planning Department. Council/Staff had robust conversation with many options (1) contract services via GPCOG rather than hiring part time person (2) creating 'matchmaker' position to help match available property to 'specific' businesses needed in the Town (3) make add'l zoning changes to help create more options for commercial businesses (4) create "helper" position to assist developers navigate the Town's process/forms, requirements, etc. (5) hire a Planner Assistant to handle administrative tasks for Kathy like application/packets/PB agendas, etc. to free up her time (6) grant writer to assist with finding more opportunities for funding to accomplish larger 'planning' goals. Council agreed more work is needed to determine what really is needed, what makes the most sense & adds the most value. Nate will work with Staff in the coming year to do a more robust analysis in order to bring forward a proposal for next year's budget. Sandy will respond to Dan Cobb.
30	Committee Budgets	\$1,000 + \$500	N/A	Move <b>Committee</b> budgets to <b>separate tab</b>	(3/15/2021) Dan suggested Committee budgets be shown as a separate tab - some are included in Council/Committees, others have their own tabs (PB/ZBA) and some are incorporated in dept budgets. Katy agreed and said she could move things over. Council requested the CEDC's request for Slido software (\$150) be reviewed by I/T and potentially placed in that budget to allow access for more users and to ensure compliance with security protocols (on software). Dan would also like to know if the ROI is on the CEDC request for the cable show and MaineBiz Ad. Katy to add \$1,000 marketing for open space committee (4/12) Nate added \$500 for BUILD Maine next year (this year - coming out of PB training budget). Council agreed rather than house this under "community" it should be moved to "councils/committees".
31	Town Brand	?	N/A	Create a <b>Town Brand for Communications</b>	(3/15/2021) Council has made a substantial effort to increase communications with residents, we have a new Communications Committee and various Committees are communicating directly to residents. It was agreed the Town should create a "town brand" to be used in all communications, along with a protocol and maybe a checklist to ensure consistency of messaging.
32	MyRec Software	?	N/A	Explore possible <b>Collaborative Use of MyRec Software</b> with NG	(3/15/2021) Nate advise in discussions with NG, a request was made for Gray to consider sharing the MyRec Software to help increase efficiency and save both Town's money. There are some issues with separating payments in the background, but many programs are shared by the two Towns. Nate will work with Staff to evaluate to determine the cost/benefit to making a change.
33	Contingency Fund	N/A	N/A	Create <b>Parameters/Policy for Contingency Fund</b> usage	(3/16/21) During the TC meeting, the use of the contingency fund was brought up specific to an agenda item. Council agreed a new policy should be created to provide guidance on when/how that fund should be used as the definition of 'unplanned' expense can be broad and/or different people can have different definitions. Will work on in the coming year prior to next year's budget cycle.
34	State Revenue Sharing	N/A	N/A	Move <b>State Revenue Sharing</b> figures <b>outside of Budget</b> for voters	(3/24) Dan proposed we move State Revenue Sharing out of the budget we present to Residents. This year, it would look like an 'increase' but going forward, it could make it easier for them to see year over year totals without the volatility of Revenue Sharing changes at the State level. Concerns with the LD1 question situation last year, and misunderstanding that taxes make up just a portion of revenues to cover expenses were discussed as well as not having enough time to explain the change to residents. The Council agreed it may be valuable to do, but need more time to discuss and figure out communication strategy to Residents.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
35	Library Fees	?	N/A	Eliminate Late Book Fees for Library	(4/12) Library Trustees have discussed & voted to propose the elimination of the late book fees from the Library as other communities are doing this. The costs of tracking & collecting do not seem to warrant the revenue. Josh has \$3,000 in revenue in his budget for these fees. Council did not want to remove revenue without corresponding cuts in expenses. Josh felt he could absorb, but was okay with pushing for a year to collect more data on impact and costs for administering. IF they do want to pursue this year, they could do a pilot, track changes & find other revenue offsets.
36	School Timeline	N/A	N/A	Work with School to account for absentee ballots timeline	(4/12) Each year the Council needs approve the School Warrant. In the past, there has been no dollar amount so they have been able to submit for consideration prior to their final budget vote. However, due to CARES act funding, they have been required to include a figure last year AND this year. Their budget vote is not set until 4/28 with their warrant article vote not set until 5/3 so the earliest agenda Council can take up action is 5/18. Absentee ballots can be requested starting at the beginning of May - thus Town needs to mail out municipal with note saying school will be available later and then track as a separate election. This increases costs for the Town and is inefficient for voters. There appears to be no statute requiring the School meet the absentee ballot schedule (as there is for Towns with referendum votes). Need to add this as a topic for the Joint Leaders meeting to resolve next year. <a href="#">(9/9) Sandy &amp; Nate reviewed with Craig/Sam at Joint Leaders meeting - they will work to adjust timeline. Will follow up after first of year.</a>
37	Public Safety Strategic Plan	\$100K (radio hardware) + ???	N/A	Identify and Plan for budgetary items from Public Safety Strategic Plan	(6/15/21) Chief/Nate presented Public Safety Strategic Plan at TC meeting. Plan identifies needs in several areas (1) increase in full time EMT personnel (2) change in per diem schedule to 12 hour shifts (3) upgrade radio hardware which will be obsolete within 3 years - \$100k plus \$30 per radio for digital chip (4) review of building conditions (Port City will complete this year). Anne pointed out that perhaps some stimulus money could be used (grants) for the radio upgrades. There was also discussion about training needs and facilities - Association has raised money to help build new training apparatus but does not cover "fire" training. Regionalization was also discussed - possibly in the area of training/communication - recognizing that shared equipment is a big opportunity but also a political issue. (10/19) Chief presented updated proposal for radio work as it qualifies for ARPA funding and a possible Cumberland County Grant. Vendor will come before the Council to provide more detail on solutions.
38	MPL Conversion	\$40-80	H8	Budget for Yellow Book Appraisal and Environmental Study	(10/19/2021) Town has submitted paperwork to start the conversion process on the tennis courts removed at the HS. Next step for the Town is to obtain a yellow book appraisal of the old site, proposed replacement site and complete any necessary environmental studies. It will be very costly, but needs to be done before the next steps can be taken and to determine if the replacement site will be enough to satisfy the conversion.
39	Main St Stormwater	\$130,000	N/A	Identify funding for possible emergency fix for Main Str Stormwater	(10/19/2021) MDOT is planning construction in 2023 to replace crumbling stormwater system from MTA interchange through to Cumberland Farms. They will not provide any funding for emergency work needed between now and then. One collapse has already occurred and Alec is very concerned another will happen. Will provided estimate & Council needs to determine where funding will come from IF/when the emergency occurs.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
<a href="#">40</a>	<a href="#">Facilities Study</a>	<a href="#">TBD</a>	<a href="#">N/A</a>	<a href="#">Review <b>Facilities Study Report</b> to Identify items for this cycle</a>	<a href="#">(11/16/2021) Nate has provided the summary of the Facilities Study done by Port City to Councilors. There are quite a few recommendations and all carry budget lines. Council will review full report once available and determine priorities and which items to include in this year's budget based on Nate/Staff recommendations.</a>
<a href="#">41</a>	<a href="#">Grant</a>	<a href="#">TBD</a>	<a href="#">N/A</a>	<a href="#">Review and apply for <b>Project Canopy Grant</b></a>	<a href="#">(11/16/2021) Anne attended a Webinar in Oct and believes the Town may qualify for a grant. The short Shaker rd project is awaiting replanting of trees which are in our Canopy Map. We will also be doing street scaping on Main Street.</a>
<a href="#">42</a>	<a href="#">Grant</a>	<a href="#">TBD</a>	<a href="#">N/A</a>	<a href="#">Review and apply for <b>Recreation Economy for Rural Communities Planning Assistance Grant</b></a>	<a href="#">(11/16/2021) Anne shared an e-mail related to this grant program with the Council. We may have missed this year's deadline, but given the level of planning going on in Village which has a strong connection to Recreational activity in Town as well as the Libby Hill Trail System agreement, it is possible we qualify.</a>
<a href="#">43</a>	<a href="#">Policy</a>	<a href="#">TBD</a>	<a href="#">N/A</a>	<a href="#">Identify any/all costs associated with the new <b>Emergency Management Ordinance and/or Emergency Operations Plan</b></a>	<a href="#">(10/19/2021) Nate presented a new Emergency Management Ordinance which is recommended by National Incident Management Services (NIMS) as it is required to have access to some Homeland Security and FEMA services/grants. (11/16/21) Council reviewed updated version and provided feedback as well as reviewed feedback provided by resident Mark Grover. Questions related to the status of an official Emergency Operations Plan also came up. Dan pointed out there are likely costs associated with this work.</a>

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
1	Pocket Park	(2020) Grant + \$2,175 + \$3,300 in Public Works Dept	#69 M10	Gray Historical Society has a long term vision of creating a <b>Historic Walk</b> with the Pennell House Memorial (re: Cumberland Farms donation/easement) kicking off the effort. GHS would like to discuss the <b>Town Owned Property at 5 Yarmouth Rd</b> as a <b>possible mini-park</b>	GHS in planning stages with more information will likely be available in January. Goal is to have full trail mapped out to identify specific locations as historically important for consideration when future development is planned. (10/28) Council needs more info, GHS/CEDC both have some ideas for the space, Council has RT115 project review starting, there is some thought to put up guardrails to prevent in appropriate vehicular traffic, Anne mentioned there could be grant money avail to help with developing a plan. Council agreed to keep on list pending presentation from GHS/CEDC in near future. (12/17) GHS/CEDC presented to Council & advised of possible Grant applications for funding which will be presented at the 1/7 meeting. (1/21) Council voted to approve grant application with direct \$2,175 match & \$3,300 of public works time/costs (3/2) Alec adv they have expanded about 1/2 of the grant 'in kind' total & will complete add'l work in the spring.
2	Education Funds	\$5,250 per semester - \$0 in 2020	N/A	New <b>continuing education fund policy</b> was approved allowing for up to \$5,250 per semester in reimbursements per qualified employee studies. Benefit is dependent on available funds.	Policy includes request for submissions to allow for proper budgeting. Deb advised deadline for submissions is January. She will provide info on any budget requirements. <b>Employees had until Jan 19th - request included in individual budgets.</b> (10/28) Deb advised no employees have submitted request - thus no funds needed this year. (1/19) Deb verified there are no requests for this cycle.
3	Employee Vacation/ Sick Fund	(2020) \$35,000 (2021) \$25,000	N/A	As of June 30, 2017 the <b>Town's Liability for compensated absences</b> - this is an unfunded liability and comes into play when an employee retires or leaves and requires a payout of <b>accumulated vacation/sick time.</b>	There is no designated fund for total \$245,419. Deb advised Town spent \$18,000 on retirements last year (unfunded). Council discussed setting aside 10% (\$25k) or 15% (\$37k) annually to start. Council is looking at \$25,000 Manager Contingency fund as first step in this funding. (10/28/19) Council agreed further payments to close gap should be considered. (2/18) Deb advised she is seeking \$25,000 for this fund - separately from the Contingency fund as with pending retirements, it is likely the \$25,000 will be exceeded. (2/28) Katy advised she added another \$10,000 and stated we cannot reduce as it will all be spent next year plus likely the contingency fund. (12/15) Council wants to review & possibly update sick time accumulation policy - currently unlimited. (1/19) Katy confirmed there are anticipated retirements & Council agreed for this cycle, the focus will be funding to cover. The goal long term is to build up the fund. Deb will provide summary of benefit packages in other Towns - Council will review a possible change in 'pay out' cap which may include a change in carry forward policy. (2/11) Katy adv there are no known planned retirements this year, thus the reduction in amount to \$25K. If that holds, we will be able to establish a separate account to offset the liability. Sandy also adv she will be recommending that the Council log the policy discussion on the master list at the next TC meeting. (3/22) Katy has asked Alyssa if we need to have a separate warrant article to establish this fund. (3/24) Katy has verified this will need a separate question but is below the LD1 limit.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
4	CIP Payment	\$1,750,000	N/A	2018 CIP Bond package was put together to allow Town to make <b>payments to the CIP fund</b> over a 5 year period. The \$100,000 remainga outstanding from the 3/12ths rainy day fund is being logged separately - see below.	Need to determine the status of the \$500,000 repayment to rainy day fund. Need to determine what the annual payment is based on CIP 15 year projections over the 5 year period. Deb will have more info on repayment after new year. Council identified as top priority & Sandy expressed desire to ensure CIP has everything included before the calculated payment is worked on. Mo advised there was some equipment missing which has now been added. Discussed approaches to payment calculation including using an inflation rate of 4%. Council identified the possible \$100K gained from resale of old ladder truck as CIP payment - as voted on by residents. In addition, Council agreed to move \$100k into CIP from the \$500k payment into the 3/12ths - to use projected overage next year to finalize the \$500k re-payment. (10/28) Old ladder truck is now for sale. Council agreed to ask Finance Committee to come up with "fully funded" number to better understand the gap between current \$100K - for discussion purposes. (12/17) Deb adv \$85,000 rec'd from sale of old ladder truck and \$10,000 rec'd for sale of retired equip for total of \$95,000 for CIP fund. (2/13) Deb & Katy provided updated CIP information to Council. (4/2) Council agreed need to review/update fiscal policy after budget season to look at bonding larger, long term equipment items - will change CIP funding. \$42,570 interest going into CIP from bond, but will be used for paving. Agreed to keep funding at 1,850,000 with final review after final numbers provided. (4/28) Sandy re-raised the option presented by Deb/Katy to reduce the CIP payment by the \$42k interest payment as a way to reduce the overall increase on the operations side. The addt'l paving could be pushed off or could be done with the result being the CIP end balance would be reduced by \$42k. Majority of Council wanted to stay the course & not pursue this option. (3/24) Katy prov 3 scenarios with payments - Council agreed to lower payment based on target to stay "neutral" with starting balance. Katy adv approx \$250K will flow into the debt serv payment from bond savings even after appr proposed usage. This will bring us up over 'neutral'. Council to make final adjs at 4/12 meeting.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
5	Watershed Plans	\$18,000 (2018) \$5,000 (2019) \$7,000 (2020)	N/A	\$12k for Thayer Brook & \$6k for Cole Brook were approved in last year's budget. Cumberland County Soil & Water Conservation District has identified other <b>watersheds that need surveys and/or protection plans.</b>	Doug advised contracts have been signed & surveys will be underway shortly. Some discussion was made about what other watersheds need attention. Doug will reach out to CCS&WC to ask for input and estimated costs for next steps. Awaiting report back from initial watershed study for next steps and costs. (8/6/19) CCS&W will be doing a presentation in Sept to provide an update & next steps. (10/28) Presentation has been pushed to December. Council asked if CCS&W would provide feedback on other necessary watershed studies and estimates - in addition to any monetary impacts from completed studies. (12/17) CCSWD presented results of study to Council along with recommendations. Council asked for est. for each task (ballpark), as well as, ISWG fees. (12/18) Katy provided the Watershed estimate document to the Council which is set to discuss it at the 3/26 workshop. (3/26/20) Council reviewed and would like Doug & CCS&WC to prioritize top tasks & resubmit lower budget. (4/2) Doug provided update - split in two piece with each about \$13k. Council would like tasks (1) from each proposal for this year & then the rest for next year to balance addressing current water quality issues and preparing for future impacts - revised cost \$7,200. (4/9) Kathy advised after re-reading proposals she better understands why Heather is recommending items 1-3 first before doing ordinance work. Will get clarification & bring to 4/16 meeting. No impact to bottom line as part of CIP. (4/21) Kathy rev with Council, and Council agreed to go back to the original 2 tasks - but only do task #1. With the grant funding the remaining tasks would be done the following year & after Task #1 is complete, the Council will have a better handle on when task #2 should be done. (3/9/21) Doug adv that the reg grant requires \$10k commitment, but will be split over 2 years (\$5k each). There is also another request for 2 monitoring stations for \$2k this year & \$1k annually.
6	Sidewalks - Libby Hill	\$115,000 plus - 20% of total? GPCOG Grant?	N/A	MSAD15 has expressed interest in a joint effort in developing project plans for new <b>sidewalks</b> between <b>Middle &amp; High Schools on Libby Hill Road</b> for possible grant application/approval for outside funding.	Council discussing top priorities from Public Works, included in Bike/Ped Plan and from MSAD15 standpoint. State grant programs require an approved project plan and as specific % of the funding approved & set aside before applying for remainder of funding. Joint leaders meeting with MSAD15 being worked on again. Steve included the Russell School piece in his engineering request for Shaker RD (see item 25B). Dan also recommended a 'standard' be derived for all future sidewalks - covering things like width, grade, ADA requirements, etc. Steve advised he could work with Will to create a standard. Council will budget for engineering & roll this into the Shaker Rd project - see 25B below. 20% of funding would be considered in future budget once total cost is known. (10/28/19) Council asked to have Will provide estimate for engineering costs. (03/12/20) Council agreed because we are expending substantial funds for the Shaker Rd sidewalk project, this one will need to be deferred. Alec also advised the existing sidewalk would need to be redone as it is not ADA compliant. (3/2/21) Council combined this item with the sidewalk ext from Hannaford to Spruce, paving LH road, add a crosswalk to the new possible emergency exit from school - into one project and submit to GPCOG as a shovel ready project for possible state/fed funding. Will not know if there is funding until likely April/May.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
7	Public Facilities Study	100000 (2) at \$50k each (Bond)	N/A	\$23,000 was approved in 2018 budget to conduct a Public Facilities Study on current capacity and projected capacity needs. <b>(Public Safety Study)</b>	<p>Mo used Windham's recent study and did a rough draft to obtain quotes, a larger sum (\$56K) will be needed to complete this &amp; the study was not as detailed as needed. Staff recommends breaking this into two pieces - Public Safety &amp; All Other. Public Safety piece may require a specialty consultant. Staff will do more work to present options to Council. \$23k has NOT been spent and could be rolled over &amp; added to - to cover options. Council cut this from the budget - anticipating doing it next budget. (10/28) Deb advised she engaged with a contractor to complete the Public Safety piece in this year's budget and will provide an updated estimate for other departments. (3/26) Council agreed that this should be pushed off 1 year so the new Manager can participate. (2/11) Kathy give a heads up that there is a 2 part study included in Mo's budget as a result of the Town Office issues. (2/18) Mo rev the proposed studies &amp; recommends Property Condition Assess be done first - followed by Space Needs Analysis &amp; Facilities assessment. Windham completed theirs in 2013 and it was critical to better planning. Mo also cited the recent issues at Pennell as a reason - he does not have the capacity or expertise to evaluate the build/equip at the same level as engineers. He pointed out there are hidden items - like the Pennel Septic - that are not on the radar yet &amp; should be. Dan asked if there would be cost savings if we did them together. Mo was not sure as different firms handled the Windham studies. (3/15) Katy adv bond savings can be used to cover these.</p>
8	Broadband Partnership		N/A	Lakes Region Broadband Partnership along with Cumberland County are working on the <b>Connect Cumberland project to extend broadband</b> through major corridors. Updating this to possible funding needed related to Grant being pursued with Windham.	<p>A presentation was made to the Council during the July 3rd, 2018 meeting. Costs are unknown at this time, but goal was to have more information by year end for budget planning. No update on costs at this time. (10/28) Deb advised the group was having difficulty finding a contractor to do the work and thus will not be included this year. (1/19) Deb adv she does anticipate a request for some funding - matches for grants and/or other funding avenues. (3/22) Nate has some inquiries out and Anne adv that Otelco is nearing completion on a plan to build out Gray. There is also going to be federal money available for this work in the coming 2 years. (3/24) Nate has no further information - Council agreed to not put money in the budget. It is possible the federal money avail in the coming year will allow for grant applications for broadband work.</p>
9	Skateboard Park	(2020) \$15,000	N/A	A GNG Student reached out to the Town asking for consideration of a <b>Community Skateboard Park</b> . Partner with school?	<p>Dean was working on pulling together information from other Towns with skateboard parks to provide cost estimates. May be funded by the recreational enterprise fund. Dan advised Mike L. at HS has a group of students working on this and he has given them the timeline for budget season. He expects they will reach out after the new year to come in for a presentation. \$25,000 estimate provided - but could be more - Council agreed need more advance work, possible Community group &amp; fundraising before included in Town's budget. (10/28/19) Dan advised this is still be worked on. Council agreed until they are ready to present a plan both for the park and the fundraising piece, this will not be considered. (1/21) Officer Chadler will present at the 2/4 Council meeting. (2/4) Presentation made to Council, majority supports project, requested a full blown proposal with estimated budget before next steps could be determine. The funding will need to be grants, fundraising &amp; the Town. Location will be critical. (2/27) Rec dept is requesting \$15,000 (out of estimated \$125,000-130,000) to start the ball rolling on planning &amp; fundraising. (2/18) Brought Nate up to speed, Dean was unsure if there was any recent activity on community side. (3/22) Sandy suggested we maintain the \$15k fund established last year, but forgo adding an addtl \$15k this year - due to lack of activity on the project. This will give Community effort time to regroup after covid and we can consider next year. Council agreed.</p>

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
10	Land Acquisition Fund	(2019) \$50,000 (2020) \$50,000	N/A	A <b>Community Center</b> has been discussed in various meetings and may be related to the above (13) Public Facilities Study - as far as next step planning.	May be funded by the recreational enterprise fund. Recently notified of 2 residents (Carrie Carter & Steve Morse) who have obtained a license from the state and are looking at setting up a Committee. This is tied to both the Comprehensive Plan and item 13B as a review & input from residents in needed to determine need, size, location, priority, etc. Deb did advise that Newbegin is in need of substantial upgrades to make it more efficient and capacity has been breached. See 32 below - would roll into Land Acquisition fund. (8/6/18) The Council asked Deb to reach out to the owner of the Hamilton property to inquire about options for the Town - whether that is a community center with municipal parking, fields, or housing - or a combination of them - is unknown at this point & will require further discussion. (10/28) Council has this on their workshop list. (3/12/19) Council has set a workshop on 3/31 to discuss the status of the Hamilton Property and Land Acquisition funding. (3/31) Council discussed and agreed it is a great opportunity and Town should move to engage owners in an option contract to give us time to collaborate with GNGLL, Royal River, etc. to develop plan, seek resident input & approval and create a funding plan. (4/2) Council was provided with valuation info & will discuss land acquisition fund at 4/9 meeting. (4/9) Council discussed tentative steps for Hamilton property is to identify funding in this year's budget, then between now & possibly Nov election, working on more details for concept plans and identify funding options which could be used to seek residents feed and a vote with Nov election. Council agreed to set \$50,000 again as separate question. (4/28/20) Council agreed to reduce to \$25k and to list as part of the CIP warrant article. (3/9/21) Council will start with \$50k to see impact before making full decision.
11	Dog Park		N/A	A suggestion was received to establish a <b>Town Dog Park</b> on the capped land fill. After some discussion, it was determined it was not a good fit; however, another location may work, if there is support.	Council agreed this is not something that will be pursued in this year's budget. (10/28) The land fill is no longer an option due to the pending solar array. No further requests have been made, thus it will not be considered this year. (1/19) Council agreed to close this one permanently until such time the issue resurfaces.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
12	Speed Signs	\$8,600 speed sign \$15,500 for text & speed combo sign	N/A	The Town owns one <b>speed sign</b> . Discussions about purchasing others - that mount to utility poles with flashing lights and "slow down" with the speed have been discussed.	<p>The one sign Gray owns was obtained via a grant. Steve can obtain some costs estimates for a similar one and/or those mounted on utility poles. Also, Deb advised deputies have asked the Town to look into School flashing lights for Shaker Rd as it is difficult for them to enforce without them. MDOT has said we can install. Steve will obtain prices. Dan suggested we also calculate what % of time is dedicated to speeding &amp; provide more information to residents. Steve did advise the sign does record &amp; the data is given to the Sheriff's office. Signs for school zone on hold pending Fiddleheads status - others will need to wait for future budget. (10/28/19) Steve advised he found a different vendor - same sign - mount on trailer version which is in the \$3-4K range. He feels these are more effective than the pole mounted ones as people get used to those. The current sign has been damaged and will likely not be repaired until spring. (2/4)</p> <p>Fiddlehead's charter school is not relocating - they are buying the building &amp; the center will be relocating. Council will need to discuss school signs again. (3/12) Alec advised the cost is closer to \$5,500 to purchase a new hybrid sign that has radar measured capacity as well as construction messaging capacity. He advised it does track data - but the computer it is using is very old. Council would like data at least reported out after each usage. Alec advised it is shared with the Sheriff but they do not maintain the data. (3/26) Alec provided \$8325 price for speed sign only or \$15250 for construction type sign with speed &amp; text. Council believes the multipurpose sign is a better value - but may want to wait until next year. Council will consider once Shaker Rd project costs known. (4/9) Majority felt there is likely value in having add'l sign, but majority also felt it could wait given the existing sign is now fixed &amp; ready for use again and we are purchasing a radar gun for the deputies. (3/2/21) Council rev &amp; do not see a need for another sign this year.</p>

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
13	Planning /Engineering	Route 100 South TIF Funds - \$176K appx + \$240K for Water District	#4 M3	TIF Projects (Main Street, Village Shaker Rd, <b>Route 115</b> ), Sidewalk projects, and other projects (Wilkie Beach) require <b>engineering work to develop plans</b> before funding can be sought (or to have more pull with MDOT/MTA).	Steve projected \$75K for engineering work for the Route 115 project, but Will advised that the engineering costs need to be included into the project request - if we go with MPI program - where MDOT would pick up 50% of costs - up to \$500k. Project is estimated at 1 million. Therefore, no budget impact for this fiscal year. Gorrill Palmer provided \$91,000 design fee & \$62,000 full time construction inspection fee as estimates - but would provide a new proposal when the Town decides to proceed. Given item 25B will likely use up TIF funds for this year, this will be considered in a future budget (with evaluating the intersection at the same time). (12/17/19) Public forum held on 12/5 - Council to set workshop. (3/26/20) Council is working to amend Route 100 South TIF to allow for funds to be used on this project. (4/21) Council set public hearing for 6/2 to put out to voters at 7/14 election. (1/19/21) Workshop held with Staff/Water District/Engineer to create general plan for starting this project. Route 100 South TIF funds are available - with expiration of 2028. Will will work on providing estimates for (1) complete 'ledge' profile of road using ground penetrating radar (2) updating and completing full survey of area - Main street to 59 Yarmouth Rd (3) create a high level plan to include parameters agreed to in workshop - working with the Water District to include their piece and including Brown Street reconfiguration/parking analysis. The goal will be to use the starting plan to schedule more public forums and have some idea of overall costs as we progress. (3/9/21) Will rev proposal for services. Council asked that (1) meet with Water District (1) meet with MDOT be added, Doug asked that add'l boaring be added to ensure ledge identified properly on slope, Council adv Church needs to be pulled into discussion on Brown Street intersection, and agreed to remove "inspection/post project" services be removed for now as those decisions will be made at a later date, but we have an estimate of \$101,590 for full time inspection services. (4/12) WD submitted est for rt 115 which is over the \$495,000 total. \$40k is needed in coming year for engineering/legal. Further disc is needed on total cost. Nate will verify number. <a href="#">(5/4) WD req. more funds for materials.</a>
14	Construction Costs	\$88,400 / GPCOG grant?	N/A	Extending sidewalk from <b>Hannaford - Spruce Street - Sidewalk projects</b> , and other projects (Wilkie Beach) require <b>engineering work to develop plans</b> before funding can be sought (or to have more pull with MDOT/MTA).	Steve is requesting \$13,770 for construction costs to extend the sidewalk from Hannaford to Spruce street (engineering has already been done). (2/13) Alec provided estimate which includes engineering, construction, crosswalk from Spruce to School walking path, tree removal/stonewall relocation & possibly drywell = \$88,400. Council set to discuss at 3/12 workshop. (03/12) Council agreed because we are expending substantial funds for the Shaker Rd sidewalk project, this one will need to be deferred. Alec also advised the existing sidewalk would need to be redone as it is not ADA compliant. Alec also advised work new the pathway would like need to be added to this project due to stormwater issues. (3/2) Council discussed this in Council meetings as GPCOG was seeking shovel ready/worthy project to use to obtain grant funding from State/Federal programs. Council agreed to roll this into Libby Hill paving, LH sidewalks reconstruction & extension and a crosswalk to the MSAD15 ROW path which may become an emergency 2nd exit for the schools. Alec/Deb submitted to GPCOG.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
15	Contingency Fund	Manager \$25,000 Build/Grds \$15,000	N/A	Many Towns (and most companies) incorporate a <b>Contingency Fund</b> into their budget planning to cover unforeseen expenses.	<p>Deb provided (2) examples - \$18K for unfunded vacation/sick time for retirees and \$10K for the stormwater video work on Main Street. She has to cut from other parts of the budget which means other tasks go undone &amp; must be refunded in subsequent budgets. Many municipalities have these funds to address unexpected or emergency situation. Another one is storm clean up for Public Works. Council discussed between \$20-30K. Council agreed to tentative \$25,000 amount to cover projected retirement and have some left over. (10/28/19) Council funded this as a combination of employee time &amp; contingency - agreed we need to continue working on this fund. (2/18/20) Deb advised she is requested \$25,000 for this fund separate from vacation/sick time outstanding liability. (2/27) Katy advised we will likely spend this to supplement the vaca/sick time fund. (4/2) Deb advised it could cost up to \$10k for an addtl election if we miss the 6/9 election. Katy advised the money in this year's budget for that election would flow into the unassigned but would not be accessible until the following year's budget cycle after the audit was completed. Council needs to consider adding \$10K to contingency. Katy advised that contingency is set aside to help with transition to new Manager. (4/9) Governor will be moving election to July 14th - we will move local to coincide. Ballot printing, machine programming will be in current buget, there may be some money needed for staff time. Council agreed to keep contingency fund at \$25,000. Election change is another example of why a contingnecy fund is needed. (2/11) Deb adv that this was sufficient. (2/18) Council discussed need for fund for buildings &amp; grounds. Will have follow-up discussion on amount &amp; how to set up. (3/22) Council disc separate funds vs. one fund &amp; opted for one fund; however, Katy pointed out moving it out from B&amp;G will impact the total amount towards LD1 - Council may reconsider. (4/12) Council discussed again &amp; agreed to move to CIP &amp; increase CIP payment by equal \$15k to keep neutral on LD1/CIP reserve. This will make the use clearly &amp; will allow it to roll over.</p>

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
16	Senior Tax Relief Program	\$25,000	#53 H8.1 ©	There was limited discussion about a possible <b>Senior Tax Relief program</b> for the elderly property owners.	<p>Sharon proposed a \$25,000 starting fund, but Council wanted to work out details of program before considering as a budget item. (4/4/19) Council discussed again with increase to \$50,000 proposed by Sharon. Council did not agree to add to the budget as increase is already large &amp; would like better handle on sustainability/costs (Cape - \$75k, Cumberland \$65K). Council could not identify items to scratch from budget to compensate for needed funding. (8/6) Workshop held. (10/28) Council has another workshop to determine if consensus can be reached on program parameters. (12/17) final changes discussed - 1st reading set for 1/7. Approved at 1/21 meeting. (3/26) Sharon is req \$30k for this fund. Sandy/Dan want to delay. Bruce/Anne want to reserve decision until final number crunching. (4/16/20) Majority of Council felt this new service should not be added this year given the current situation and strain on other taxpayers - not on a fixed income - who may be losing their jobs, etc. A request was made to put it on the ballot as a separate question, but only 2 Councilors supported. (3/15) Council discussed - Dan is not in favor, Sandy/Anne are undecided, but willing to look at figures incl \$25k - Bruce was willing to look at figures incl \$35 which is what Sharon req. Agreed \$25k to run numbers with final decision to be made next workshop. Anne also suggested IF we proceed that we clearly communicate this is a pilot program and may not continue after the initial test period. Sandy was concerned with the ballooning costs in Yarmouth (from \$25k to \$90k). Sharon will obtain some est. hours of impact on staff time &amp; provide to Nate. Nate will talk to Katy/Lauren to discuss est impact &amp; costs for administering the program. (3/22) Sharon prov feedback from Cape - subs yrs is low impact on single staff person plus financial director mailing checks - did not have hours or initial year impacts. Nate is reaching out to OOB which handles it a bit differently - upfront - discounting the bill instead of rebates which allows for better budgetting. not sure if it is more/less admin time. (3/24) Council agreed to proceed with \$25k as separate question. Katy adv this is over the LD1 limit &amp; will need a companion question.</p>
17	Paving	(2020) \$469,250 (2021) \$449,225	N/A	Need to review <b>Paving budget</b> process to ensure projected & actual are closer	<p>Paving has been increasing in costs the last several years with 'asphalt escalation fees' built into the contracts. This means projected jobs (4 roads) may not match what actually is paved (3 roads) as money runs out during the season. Either need to increase paving budget or need to identify 'definite' vs. 'maybe' roads in the process or select fewer roads. Need to keep engineering report in mind to ensure Town stays ahead of failing road projections. (10/28/19) Steve will adjust request - to ensure we get 'caught up' on our paving - as a starting point. (2/27/20) Figure excludes \$13,500 being requested for pavement condition study. (3/12) Council discussed request for study. There is no industry standard - and last study was done 2017. Alec said roads that have been paved in last 3 years would need a study to determine their updated status. Council asked for est to do just those roads vs. a full study. (3/26) Alec checked into reducing study &amp; only option is to break down into districts to do one each year - but that will likely cost more overall. Council agreed it needs to be done by next year at latest, but need to push this year. (3/2/2021) Alec adv only one overlay was done last fall after the budget vote, but he felt the 2020 paving would be done before June. Confirmed that at \$500k a year could clear the backlog in 8 years. This does include parking lots, but not sidewalks.</p>

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
18	Libby Hill	(2020) \$13,579	#27 H1.2	Need to determine long term obligation and budget funding for <b>Libby Hill maintenance</b> for Town's portion of acreage	Work has begun on the Libby Hill deed review. That will include work on a maintenance agreement between the Town, MSAD15 and the Community Endowment. An informational meeting between the 3 parties is being scheduled. Need to determine how much annual funding will be needed for Town piece and what changes need to be made to CIP schedule. (10/28) Dan was assigned as liaison and the first meeting is set to work on identifying the costs. (12/3) Council discussed again & agreed should be on list. (2/27) Increased by \$2,527 for total of \$4,027 plus tractor in Mo's budget to assist. (3/12) \$4752 winter operations plus \$4800 spring operations = \$9,552. Requested Pavillion budgetting will likely be deferred until MSAD15 identifies their funding. (2/18/21) With reorganization of Rec Build/Grounds under Maintenance - per diem for LH was moved as well. Amount based on projected figures available.
19	Rainy Day Fund	(2020) \$25,000 (2021) \$75,000	N/A	Need to <b>repay 3/12's fund</b> to make it whole	(10/28) \$500,000 was taken from the 3/12's fund to help purchase the new ladder truck. \$400,000 was paid back in 19-20 budget cycle. \$100,000 remains outstanding and needs to be paid back - within the next 4 years. (4/2) In our 3rd year to pay back over the 5 years total - Council agreed to only pay \$25K this year and look to pay remaining \$75k next year once more is known about the economic downturn. (2/11) Deb adv we have this year & next to pay back the \$75k. Council agreed to leave full remaining payment in budget. Sandy will log as a follow-up and it could change once we get through the rest of the budget. (3/15) Council would like to clear this debt - will run numbers with \$75k. (4/12) Council agreed to repay full amount.
20	Traffic Calming Measures	??	N/A	Add <b>traffic calming measures</b> as part of the project planning & designs	(10/28/19) Bicycle Coalition of Maine provides these items free of charge for testing; however, if Gray does decide to proceed with formal measures, equipment and/or construction costs may be incurred. (3/12/20) Anne advised it will take through the summer to set up tests and to identify what, if any, traffic calming measures will be recommended and then to determine costs. Will be considered in next year's budget. (3/2/21) Council agrees there are many options and there are many areas that could use them. Would like to engage organizations that can help us test lost cost or no cost options. Need to engage residents and MDOT as we move forward. (3/16) Council agreed to add addt'l striping to Village Shaker Rd - sharrows and more narrow lanes to test out the results. Alec to provide addt'l costs. (3/23) Nate spoke with Patrick Adam (MDOT) and they will donate the signs. He provided requirements for sharrows and he will make sure Alec provides increased striping line to Katy. (3/24) Alec adv sharrows will not work on Shaker due to MDOT stand. Council agreed to hold off on add funds and will pull this into the Route 100 discussion.
21	Library Heating System	\$28,500 (bond)	N/A	<b>Library Heating System</b> may need to be replaced in 5 years	(2/27) Mo advised increasing maintenance costs are being experienced with the Libaray boiler which should last another 5 years and recommends a future replacement to a version that has faired better in the other public buildings and is less costly to maintain - at a cost of around \$20,000. We are/will spend \$5,000 a year during the 5 years. Discussed the option of just replacing now - Mo clarified system is more complex and has more splits because of the layout (original building & addition). Council wants to make sure future update/conversion is covered in CIP. (2/18) Mo is recommended a full replacement of the Boiler - this would be covered by 2018 bond funds.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
22	Automatic Fuel Shutoff	?	N/A	Should <b>Segall Drive</b> systems be <b>upgraded</b> to <b>automatic shutoff</b> options	(2/27) Dan reminded Council that during the CZA process with Cumberland Farms an automatic fuel shutoff system was discussed (more costly) vs. having personnel being responsible for quickly (and correctly) shutting down systems to prevent a spill or minimize one. More research needed to determine costs/viability vs. benefit. (2/18) Dan clarified this is in regards to the tanks at Seagull Drive given the location near the waterhead. This may be an issue that would be analyzed in the proposed Property Condition Assessment. Need more information - will move to next budget cycle.
23	Fencing	?	N/A	Need to <b>add Fencing to CIP schedule</b> - applicable departments	(4/9) Dan pointed out that existing Town owned fencing does not appear to be included in any of the CIP department schedules. Council agreed it should be added before next budget cycle. (1/19) Katy advised this may be split between budgets (Build/grounds & Rec), but they will work to pick up the fencing for future planning. (2/18) Mo adv he is in the process of collecting data - Pennel & Library fencing has been measured. They will be measure Transfer Station fencing (which is substantial around the landfill) next. Once the dimensions are cataloged, the next step will be to grade the condition of the fencing & set up replacement schedule in CIP. Target to complete for next budget cycle.
24	Existing Sidewalks	\$4,400 (condition study)	N/A	Need to <b>add all Existing Sidewalks to CIP schedules</b>	(4/9/20) Sandy pointed out that existing sidewalks are also not listed in the CIP schedule for maintenance/reconstruction work. Town has been only addressing when applicable roads come up for paving - usually nothing is done when overlay paving is done - creating a situation where they are only being addressed when a road is reconstructed. By that time, sidewalks are in bad shape and add considerable costs to the road budget. Need to put money aside to ensure existing sidewalks are maintained and funded when reconstruction is needed. (1/19/21) Alec updated the council on this as part of the paving catch up plan. He will be asking for the road study again this year. He is working to pick up the existing sidewalks and parking lots. Pending the study and updated information, he feels if we maintain our current \$450k annual paving budget, we could be caught up in about 7 years without accounting for sidewalks/parking lots which may then need attention. He is working with data from Stevie & his own to compile a list/conditions. We also need to make sure we understand any 'new' sidewalks being built need to be added (extension on Shaker Rd - planned Rt 115 sidewalks). (3/2) Council has asked Alec to obtain cost est to add sidewalks to paving condition study (3/15) Alec adv addt'l \$4,400 is needed to add sidewalks to condition study. He also clarified that "maintenance" is not the same for sidewalks - there is limited options because of curbing, ramps, landings, etc. It is more about tracking for full replacement.
25	Paving Plan	?	N/A	Create a <b>long term Paving Plan</b> to "catch up" with recommended schedule	(4/28/20) Dan suggested that between now & next budget, the Council ask Alex to create a long term paving plan - through 2035 perhaps - to identify a route to catch up on the backlog of paving. This could be used in conjunction with the planned road study (next budget cycle) to ensure progress is made each year. (1/19/21) See above sidewalks note.
26	Livelong Living	?	N/A	Placeholder for 21-22 FY Budget - <b>Senior Programs</b>	(8/4) Logging as a placeholder pending presentation from Lifelong Living Committee in Fall/Winter (1/19) Sharon advised there will not be any request in the upcoming cycle as they are still collecting data and planning. Some items may be addressed through donations or non-profits as well.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
27	LD1	N/A	N/A	Discuss impacts of LD1	<p>(9/15/20) Council agreed to log to ensure discussion on how to mitigate issues with LD1.                      (3/23/21) Katy advised that we are currently \$4,500 over LD1, but with some of the changes requested that number will go up. Council agreed we MUST come in below LD1 this year. Discussions on how to accomplish that will be held at 3/24 WS. Katy pointed out that separate questions would be outside the LD1 for operations/CIP. But then would require individual LD1 questions to go with them (ie Senior Tax Rebate - IF Council proceeds or accrued time off fund).                      (3/24) After the changes made - the budget is \$1,502 under LD1 incl employee accrued time fund. The Senior Tax rebate will be over the limit &amp; set up as a separate question.</p>
28	Enterprise Fund/ Sub D	EF \$157,000 SD \$95,000	N/A	Need to evaluate impact of Covid on Enterprise Fund & Sub D Fund	<p>(9/15/20) Deb has advised current projections have fund just breaking even or with a small revenue amount. (3/1/21) Katy clarified that Enterprise Fund is revenue from Rec Dept programs &amp; Sub D is revenue from Development Fees. Sub D is generally used to expand or upgrade Recreational activities where Enterprise Fund is used to fund the Recreation Staff and operations. There is \$107k in EF with a projection of \$50K this year total of \$157k. Sub D is at \$95k now. But if the Rec Director position is filled, that may impact the EF total. Nat to talk to staff and determine timeline on new hire. (3/9) Katy adv that Nellie &amp; her are working on identifying what in Rec budget can come out of Sub D. Extension of path from Cumberland Farms to Brown Street - through 1st Congregational Church property could be funded by Sub D - if grant &amp; in kind is not enough. (3/23) Katy checking to see if removal of NewBegin stage can come out of Sub D fund in lieu of bond savings. She will also move the \$6k for concrete play area to Sub D. (3/24) Katy adv Sub D can be used, majority of Council agreed to keep in CIP bond savings as it involves multiple depts - maintenance, elections &amp; recreation. (4/12) Council moved CL ramp match &amp; concrete game area to Sub D - also suggested a list of "agreed upon projects" be maintained to ensure they are moved forward. Katy adv in the past many times the project will not move forward as there is no 'setting aside' money within Sub D. The CL ramp grant is being applied for so that will move forward. The Concrete game area needs more discussion in context of the Village master plan - Pennell footprint planning. Struck the ice skating line as \$ not needed if we try again at Pennell one more year. Agreed to leave the basketball lights in CIP to ensure money is set aside &amp; lights are installed once funding goal reached.</p>
29	Communications	\$18,910 in owed fees / \$102,000 in annual fees	N/A	Consider proposal from Community Communications Committee to use <b>Franchise Fees for Equipment</b>	<p>(10/6) Anne advised the new Committee would like to see the newly discovered underpayment of franchise fees (\$18,910 for 2 years - more to follow?) put towards purchasing new/more equipment to improve the use of the Community Television Station and other platforms. (2/11) Council agreed until this money is rec'd, it will not be considered. There was a good conversation about how best to utilize the funding with everyone agreeing increasing communication was a high priority &amp; it would be helpful for the Committee to make a proposal for specific needs/equipment/plans which require funding. Council could then consider best approach. Deb adv current revenue is estimated at \$102,000 and that the new contract will not be done until at least June. Spectrum is currently disputing the 'unpaid' past fees. No timeline on when that issue will be resolved.</p>

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
30	Public Safety Study	\$28,550 for generator (bond)	N/A	Review <b>Public Safety Report</b> to determine funding needs for changes	(10/6) Deb shared the report created from the Public Safety Facilities study which contains many recommendations. Some will flow through department budget process, but others may require Council action with possible funding implications. (3/2) The Asst Chief position was budgeted for last year - but not filled as of yet. Kurt is working with Nate on next steps. Another recommendation was the replacement of the generator which Mo has included in this year's budget, but will be covered by the bond savings. Kurt and Nate will have further discussions on the report for future proposed changes which may or may not impact the budget.
31	Stripping	\$300	N/A	<b>Shaker Rd</b> Project - new <b>stripping</b> - increase to annual budget	(10/6/20) As part of the Shaker Rd Stormwater/sidewalk project, new stripping was discussed - reducing lane size to create official bike line with proper stripping, crosswalk addition, additional traffic calming - will add to existing stripping on the road. (3/2/21) Council needs to decide what they would like to see as 'extra' so Alec can adj his budget. Making more narrow lanes is no impact, but adding graphics for the bike lane or stripes across the bridge for to slow traffic & increase safety. Council also wants to loop back to MDOT to update stripping on bridge to match what we decide to do on the road. Alec did state the addt'l stripping in Spring worked out well last year. Dan asked that the new stripping around No Raymond/Shaker Rd intersection should be added to the touch up list. There was also a discussion that the bike coalition can provide temporary stripping for us to test on roads (like Hancock Street) but if we want to make it permanent - it does increase our budget. (3/15) Randy will need more stripping, Alec adv addt'l \$300 needed. He will provide est. cost for addt'l striping on Shaker Rd (Village) (3/23) See above re Shaker Rd Project - Alec to prov addt'l increase to Katy.
32	Electric Charging Station	\$5,000	N/A	Consider installing an <b>electric charging station</b>	(12/1) Sandy advised she would be bringing this forward given the new solar array will be going online. Long term, if Town buys the array, conversting as many vehicles as possible to electric will save more costs. First step would be installing a charging station - may be grants or other funds available to assist with cost. (3/23) Council disc options. There will be more money available for this type of work in coming year. Tentative agreement that putting away some money (\$5,000) for 1 level 2 charger at the first parking spot at Pennell is a good way to start. Then, in coming year, work with MTA (park & ride) and Enercon (Northbrook) to see if addt'l level 3 chargers can be installed. Another location may be the municipal parking lot next to the new pocket park - people could stop & charge & visit park. Would need a level 3 to reduce time - Mo pointed out that the substantial increase in costs is due to the fact that they need a dedicated line and transformer so not all locations are feasible. (4/12) Council agreed to leave money in CIP rather than use bond savings to ensure this goes through (bond savings 8/31/2021 timeline may not be met with CMP schedules).

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
33	Electric Vehicle Transition	?	N/A	Transition Municipal vehicles to electric versions	<p>(12/1) Sandy advised she would be bringing this forward given the new solar array will be going online. Long term, if Town buys the array, converting as many vehicles as possible to electric will save more costs. (3/23) Council discussed plan for this - GPCOG has a loaner available for Towns to try out - Kathy adv they have used it before. Staff feels that having one 'shared' EV car would be beneficial - could be used by Code, Assessor, Admin, Planning, etc. and would charge overnight so the level 2 would work. Nate pointed out the question is which vehicle would it replace? Trucks make up more of our fleet and availability is an issue right now. Council asked if we could use the add'l bond savings to transition to one EV vehicle in the coming year. Of course, the EV charging station would be installed &amp; wonder if we can use bond savings for that work? All would need to be done by Aug 31st. (3/24) Katy verified bond savings can be used. (4/12) Council agreed we need to more analysis on which vehicle makes sense to convert and options (lease, rebates, group purchases, grants, etc.). Highly unlikely this could be pulled together in time for the bond savings deadline, but Nate has the option to bring this before the Council, if it does work out. Otherwise, it will flow into next year's budget discussion.</p>
34	Trees	\$2,000	N/A	Shaker Rd Project - new canopy trees	<p>(12/1/20) Council discussed plan for tree canopy on Shaker Rd. Several trees are being taken down for the project. Kathy was going to pull a canopy inventory and then complete an analysis of which trees are slated to come down to help identify where new trees may be needed. The species also needs to be identified. Council discussed putting the costs in the project itself or potentially handling outside the project after completion. This is being logged as a placeholder. (3/2/21) Council/Nate needs to follow up with Kathy &amp; decide on replacement trees as bid came in lower than expected and Alec confirmed we could use the "add on" provision to add them to the bid. (3/15) Council agreed to replace trees to restore the canopy impacts. Alec adv submitting a "change order" before construction is complete is the correct route. There is bond savings available to cover the costs and Alec confirmed that because the MDOT portion has not hit the \$500k limit yet, the costs would be split with MDOT. Nate will work with Staff to evaluate the canopy once the old trees are removed to ID replacement locations and then work with property owners, if applicable. Overhead utilities should be considered when selecting locations. (3/23) Alec adv that approx 5 trees are recommended. Kathy working with HORT resources (local wholesaler) for prices and species. Dan pointed out we can process changer order, but MDOT may not agree to pay their 50% on that piece. We just need to make sure enough bond savings is available to cover full costs - but request the 50% match. (3/24) Due to the 8/31 deadline and need to maybe wait until Spring 2022 to plant - \$2,000 was added to Alec's budget as a safeguard.</p>

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
35	Signs - Libby Hill	?	N/A	Update "No Public Access" sign - Libby Hill	(12/15/20) Sandy pointed out that the current sign (unknown if it is the Town's sign or home association sign) at the start of the private road which states "no public access" is hard to read and to verbose. Homestead Acres Assoc has complained about people parking on the road to access the Town's LH trails. Consider updating the sign to be more clear or adding "use trail heads" signs or "no Parking" signs. (3/2/21) Alec believes the sign was paid for by the home association, but installed by the Town. He did price out a sign and it would be around \$750. Council agreed this should be rolled into the larger work on the maintenance agreement as signage should be part of that plan. Sandy advised the signage needs improvement on the trails as well.
36	Illumination Tool	\$2,000 (bond)	N/A	Purchase illumination measurement device for code enforcement	(12/15) With new sign ordinance illumination restrictions, Code Enforcement will need equipment to ensure compliance. (1/5) Dan believes the tool will be about \$2,000 (4/12) Council agreed to move this to bond savings to allow purchase now to help move sign ordinance forward.
37	Grant match	? \$ - In kind	#75 H7	Fund grant match for Long Hill ATV trail	(1/5) Anne advised that she is pursuing other grants for the ATV trail rehabit on Long Hill Road. The grants will required a 20% Municipal match - which can be met with "in kind" donations and the ATV Club may be able to provide that match via labor/equipment. The timeline is April/May deadline. (2/18) Anne is awaiting data from ATV club. Right now, it is likely there will be no 'cash' match needed. The Town will need to manage the grant - Katy for financial disbursements and Mo as the "supervisor" of the work - however, the ATV/Snowwolve members will likely do all the work. More info should be available in April.
38	TIF Funds	\$365,147 / \$75,000 (2021)	N/A	Determine available funds from Village TIF	(3/9/21) Katy advised \$365,147 is currently in the Village TIF fund. (4/12) Council agreed to pull \$75,000 forward to have available in next year for planning work on Main St / Route 100 MDOT project and Village master plan planning. Verified that Nate will bring forward any spending to the Council before money is actually expended. If no money is pulled forward, if there is a need, then a special Town meeting would need to be set up. The voters have already approved the use of this TIF funding for this type of work.
39	Septic System	\$5,000 (2020) plus \$5,000 (2021)	N/A	Create replacement plan for Pennell septic system	(2/18/21) Mo identified the Pennell Septic system as an example of a pending critical issue that is not included in any planning/budgeting for the proposed Buildings Condition Assessment. There are currently 4 systems - Pennell ('58), Gym ('32), Maintenance ('52) and Science (??) which are extremely old. Combining and replacing the systems, as well as, addressing the status of the fields (reclaiming/reconstructing) should be done - sooner rather than later as there is no way to know if/when one or more would fail. Council agreed we need to log and add to CIP planning. (3/22) Sandy brought up this issue to ask Council if we want to start putting some money away. Anne suggested at least money to do some testing/engineering on a replacement system so we have a figure we can budget towards. More to be discussed at 3/24 WS. (4/12) Council rec'd feedback from Dean/Mo related to the "reclaim fields" CIP item - which was originally set to redo the fields at Pennell - may no longer be as critical because the soccer organization is no longer using the field and the field will need to be ripped up to deal with the septic so any redoing of the field will be tied to that project. Based on that, Council will rename this fund to the "Pennell Septic Fund" to allow Mo access to \$10k in funds to hire someone to analyze and come up with costs to address replacement. This will allow the Council to add the project to the CIP.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
40	Telephone	\$6,000+ increase	N/A	Review current <b>Telephone</b> set up VOI vs. hard lines	(3/2) Dan noted that there was a substantial increase in the telephone charges across departments. Katy explained that the transition to voice over internet did not include fax or security lines which Otelco was not willing to budge on. Nate pointed out that having hard lines with plug in phones for each building is critical in emergency response planning. Nate will review in more detail with Katy/Mo and Staff to determine if changes are warranted.
41	Boat Ramp	\$62,000 total @ 25% = \$15,500 - \$2,000 leftover = \$13,500 for this year	N/A	Determine if <b>Grant funding</b> is available for <b>Boat Ramp</b> replacement	(2/18/21) Last year's grant fund was frozen due to COVID. Sandy to reach out to State to find out if there will be funding this year. Last year, State provided some concrete planks to assist with repairs in lieu of grant funding. (3/2) Tom Linscott (Director of Boat Ramp Facilities) advised they do not have funding as of right now, but are hopeful that will change shortly. Forwarded note to Heather Seiders who manages the grant fund. Sandy will tag for follow-up. (3/9) Mo adv that further repairs will require professional services and a DEP permit. Nate to work with Staff to determine if ramp can go another year or not. Town would prefer to apply for grant but may not be able to wait if grant funding is not available in the coming year. Sandy to follow up with Heather on grant status. (3/22) Mo adv the ramp should last 1 more season - because it has to - there is no way to do add'l repairs. Dean has sub pre-application for the grant money which is available this year. A site walk is planned in April and the hope is the water line is low enough to see full condition. Mo adv that standards are 12 feet - the ramp is 10 feet - Dan pointed out we may not want to increase width given CL is very small - it would allow for larger boats which could be a problem. Doug asked if add'l riprap could be provided to prevent boats from damaging area at end of ramp. Planks are around \$205 each and at least 20-25 will be needed - so that alone is \$5,100. Town must budget for 25% - State pays for 75% up to \$15,000. If the total cost of the replacement is higher - then the Town needs to budget more. Nate/Mo/Dean will work to obtain a better estimate for a total replacement. This will also need to go into the CIP for future maintenance and replacement. It is unlikely we can use bond savings as the work will need to be done by end of Aug - and Mo is advising we put 'cash' in for the 25% match not 'in kind' as the work is specialized. (3/24) Mo verified it is up to a \$150K match & est is \$62,000 for a 10 ft ramp - 25% would be \$15,500 - Katy adv \$2,000 is leftover from last year for repairs & will add \$13,500 to budget. (4/12) Council agreed to move match to Sub D.
42	Stripping Bid	N/A	N/A	Determine if it is possible to <b>allow HOA to participate</b> in Town <b>Stripping Bid</b>	(3/2/21) Dan asked if it would be possible to allow HOA to jump on the Town's stripping bid to reduce overall costs and ensure regular stripping. Katy pointed out there may be an issue because the Town is tax exempt and HOA are not. Further research is needed.
43	Trail Extension	?	N/A	Create a <b>trail extension</b> from <b>Cumberland Farms to Brown Street</b>	(3/2/21) The First Congregational Church is now willing to allow the Town public easement over their property to complete the trail that ends behind Cumberland Farms. This trail is part of the VALT plan and would connect to Brown Street sidewalk system allowing people to get to the Library and Plaza safely. The Rec Dept would use it regularly for summer camp activities and childcare activities. Church has no money. Alec has advised public works could remove the jersey barriers and repurpose them, if allowed. Kathy is working the project and there needs to be conversation with abutters. The current path is gravel and would need to be upgraded to allow for winter snow clearing. An Eagle Scout is willing to make L shaped planters for the project to help slow cyclists. (3/9) Kathy provide more info on status. Anne adv if we can lift conversion issue we could apply for grant money (possibly). Katy believes we could use Sub D funds as well.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
44	Planning Dept Staff	?	N/A	Add <b>Staff Position to Planning Department</b>	(3/9/21) Council received suggestion from resident who is also a member of the PB to consider adding an "economic development director" to the Planning Department. Council/Staff had robust conversation with many options (1) contract services via GPCOG rather than hiring part time person (2) creating 'matchmaker' position to help match available property to 'specific' businesses needed in the Town (3) make add'l zoning changes to help create more options for commercial businesses (4) create "helper" position to assist developers navigate the Town's process/forms, requirements, etc. (5) hire a Planner Assistant to handle administrative tasks for Kathy like application/packets/PB agendas, etc. to free up her time (6) grant writer to assist with finding more opportunities for funding to accomplish larger 'planning' goals. Council agreed more work is needed to determine what really is needed, what makes the most sense & adds the most value. Nate will work with Staff in the coming year to do a more robust analysis in order to bring forward a proposal for next year's budget. Sandy will respond to Dan Cobb.
45	Committee Budgets	\$1,000 + \$500	N/A	Move <b>Committee</b> budgets to <b>separate tab</b>	(3/15/2021) Dan suggested Committee budgets be shown as a separate tab - some are included in Council/Committees, others have their own tabs (PB/ZBA) and some are incorporated in dept budgets. Katy agreed and said she could move things over. Council requested the CEDC's request for Slido software (\$150) be reviewed by I/T and potentially placed in that budget to allow access for more users and to ensure compliance with security protocols (on software). Dan would also like to know if the ROI is on the CEDC request for the cable show and MaineBiz Ad. Katy to add \$1,000 marketing for open space committee (4/12) Nate added \$500 for BUILD Maine next year (this year - coming out of PB training budget). Council agreed rather than house this under "community" it should be moved to "councils/committees".
46	Town Brand	?	N/A	Create a <b>Town Brand</b> for <b>Communications</b>	(3/15/2021) Council has made a substantial effort to increase communications with residents, we have a new Communications Committee and various Committees are communicating directly to residents. It was agreed the Town should create a "town brand" to be used in all communications, along with a protocol and maybe a checklist to ensure consistency of messaging.
47	MyRec Software	?	N/A	Explore possible <b>Collaborative Use</b> of <b>MyRec Software</b> with NG	(3/15/2021) Nate advise in discussions with NG, a request was made for Gray to consider sharing the MyRec Software to help increase efficiency and save both Town's money. There are some issues with separating payments in the background, but many programs are shared by the two Towns. Nate will work with Staff to evaluate to determine the cost/benefit to making a change.
48	Contingency Fund	N/A	N/A	Create <b>Parameters/Policy</b> for <b>Contingency Fund</b> usage	(3/16/21) During the TC meeting, the use of the contingency fund was brought up specific to an agenda item. Council agreed a new policy should be created to provide guidance on when/how that fund should be used as the definition of 'unplanned' expense can be broad and/or different people can have different definitions. Will work on in the coming year prior to next year's budget cycle.
49	State Revenue Sharing	N/A	N/A	Move <b>State Revenue Sharing</b> figures <b>outside of Budget</b> for voters	(3/24) Dan proposed we move State Revenue Sharing out of the budget we present to Residents. This year, it would look like an 'increase' but going forward, it could make it easier for them to see year over year totals without the volatility of Revenue Sharing changes at the State level. Concerns with the LD1 question situation last year, and misunderstanding that taxes make up just a portion of revenues to cover expenses were discussed as well as not having enough time to explain the change to residents. The Council agreed it may be valuable to do, but need more time to discuss and figure out communication strategy to Residents.

No	Items Label	Cost Est.	Task No.	Description	Comments
50	Library Fees	?	N/A	Eliminate Late Book Fees for Library	(4/12) Library Trustees have discussed & voted to propose the elimination of the late book fees from the Library as other communities are doing this. The costs of tracking & collecting do not seem to warrant the revenue. Josh has \$3,000 in revenue in his budget for these fees. Council did not want to remove revenue without corresponding cuts in expenses. Josh felt he could absorb, but was okay with pushing for a year to collect more data on impact and costs for administering. IF they do want to pursue this year, they could do a pilot, track changes & find other revenue offsets.
51	School Timeline	N/A	N/A	Work with School to account for absentee ballots timeline	(4/12) Each year the Council needs approve the School Warrant. In the past, there has been no dollar amount so they have been able to submit for consideration prior to their final budget vote. However, due to CARES act funding, they have been required to include a figure last year AND this year. Their budget vote is not set until 4/28 with their warrant article vote not set until 5/3 so the earliest agenda Council can take up action is 5/18. Absentee ballots can be requested starting at the beginning of May - thus Town needs to mail out municipal with note saying school will be available later and then track as a separate election. This increases costs for the Town and is inefficient for voters. There appears to be no statute requiring the School meet the absentee ballot schedule (as there is for Towns with referendum votes). Need to add this as a topic for the Joint Leaders meeting to resolve next year.