



City of Kingston Kingston Common Council
Meeting Agenda
Tuesday, June 4, 2019
7:30 PM

- A CALL TO ORDER**
- B PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG**
- C MOMENT OF SILENCE**
- D ROLL CALL**
- E DISPENSE WITH THE READING OF THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING AND APPROVE SAME AS PREPARED AND FILED BY THE CITY CLERK.**
- F DISPENSE WITH THE READING OF THE GENERAL BILLS**
- G PUBLIC SPEAKING - *A Maximum of 30 Minutes is Allotted for this Purpose***
- H CLAIMS**
- I COMMUNICATIONS**
 - I.1. Request for Special Finance Meeting for 2019 CFAs
Suggested Action: Set up Special Finance Meeting during the week of June 24th.
[2019-5-31 Letter to Council Regarding CFAs & June Special Finance Meeting.pdf](#)
 - I.2. Local Match for Empire State Trail Rt. 32 Sidewalks
Suggested Action: Adopt resolutions to commit local match to a sidewalk project on Rt. 32
[2019-5-31 Letter to Council Regarding Empire State Trail Sidewalks Match.pdf](#)
 - I.3. Henry Street Safe Routes to School Project SEQR
Suggested Action: Declare the Kingston Common Council as Lead Agency
Issue a negative declaration for the Henry Street Project
[2019-5-31 Letter to Council Regarding SEQR Neg Dec for Henry Street.pdf](#)
[Draft Committee Report-LR Declaring as Lead Agency_Henry St.doc](#)
[Draft Committee Report-LR SEQR Neg Dec_Henry St.doc](#)
[FEAF Part 1 Henry Street.pdf](#)
[FEAF Part 2 Henry Street.pdf](#)
[FEAF Part 3 Henry Street.pdf](#)
 - I.4. DRI Dietz Stadium and Transportation Projects
Suggested Action: Pass resolutions for funding in the first instance
[Committee Report-DRI DOS Dietz.DOCX](#)
[Committee Report-DRI DOS Transportation.DOCX](#)
[DRI Letter to CC 5.31.19.pdf](#)
 - I.5. Mobile Stage Expend Funds
Suggested Action: Pass a resolution authorizing the expense of funds in the first instance on the mobile stage

- I.6. Communications- Fund Balance
[Communications- Fund Balance Request 5-31-19.pdf](#)
- I.7. Hasbrouck CSO Sewer Separation Phase 1 Design
Suggested Action: Authorize bonding for funding in the amount of \$130,000 for site reconnaissance, design, engineering, permitting, and construction inspection related to Phase 1 of sewer separation in the Hasbrouck CSO sewershed.
[Hasbrouck CSO Phase 1 Design Bond Request 5-31-2019.pdf](#)
[Committee Report-Hasbrouck CSO Phase 1 Design.DOCX](#)
- I.8. Hurley Avenue Paving and Complete Streets Construction
Suggested Action: Authorize bonding in the amount of \$1,213,000 for construction costs related to the Hurley Avenue Paving and Complete Streets Project. \$676,000 will be reimbursed through grant funds administered by the NYSDOT.
[Hurley Avenue Construction Communication 5-31-2019.pdf](#)
[Committee Report-Hurley Avenue ConstructionConstruction.DOCX](#)

J DISPENSE WITH THE SECOND READING OF THE GENERAL BILLS AND PAY SAME

K REPORT OF THE COMMITTEES

L LOCAL LAWS

M ADJOURNMENT

N AWARDS AND PRESENTATIONS

Kingston Common Council Agenda Item Report

Meeting Date: June 4, 2019

Submitted by: Kristen Wilson

Submitting Department: Grants Management

Item Type: Presentation Request

Agenda Section:

Subject:

Request for Special Finance Meeting for 2019 CFAs

Suggested Action:

Set up Special Finance Meeting during the week of June 24th.

Attachments:

[2019-5-31 Letter to Council Regarding CFAs & June Special Finance Meeting.pdf](#)

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of Grants Management
grants@kingston-ny.gov

Kristen E. Wilson, Director



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

May 31, 2019

Honorable James L. Noble, Jr.
President/Alderman-at-Large
Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

Re: 2019 Consolidated Funding Applications for State Grants

Dear President Noble,

This letter to request that a Special Finance Meeting be scheduled during the week of June 24th, 2019 to discuss resolutions needed for the NYS Consolidated Funding Applications (CFAs). Many of the funding sources require that a resolution authorizing the application be submitted with the application. Applications are due on July, 26th, 2019 so authorizing resolutions will need to be passed at the July Common Council meeting.

Our team is working on determining priorities for the available funding sources, and we will be prepared to share draft resolutions during the last week of June. I have communicated directly with Doug Koop regarding setting this up, and he thought that it would be possible to set up the meeting.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Kristen E. Wilson", is written over a horizontal line.

Kristen E. Wilson
Director

Kingston Common Council Agenda Item Report

Meeting Date: June 4, 2019

Submitted by: Kristen Wilson

Submitting Department: Grants Management

Item Type: Authorization Request

Agenda Section:

Subject:

Local Match for Empire State Trail Rt. 32 Sidewalks

Suggested Action:

Adopt resolutions to commit local match to a sidewalk project on Rt. 32

Attachments:

[2019-5-31 Letter to Council Regarding Empire State Trail Sidewalks Match.pdf](#)

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of Grants Management
grants@kingston-ny.gov

Kristen E. Wilson, Director



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

May 31, 2019

Honorable James L. Noble, Jr.
President/Alderman-at-Large
Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

Re: Empire State Trail Route 32 Sidewalks Local Match

Dear President Noble,

This letter is to request placement on the agenda of the appropriate committee to discuss a resolution committing local match to a NYSDOT-managed project on the Empire State Trail. The project begins on Route 32 south of Kingston on Rockwell Lane and continues along Greenkill Avenue to the bridge over Broadway. It traverses Railroad Ave. and loops back to end on Broadway using Fashion Lane and Thomas Street.

The City and the Ulster County Transportation Council (UCTC) have requested that the NYSDOT include sidewalks on the Route 32 section of the route. In the original budget for the state-funded Empire State Trail project, sidewalks were not included. However, federal funding has been identified to include sidewalks on the west side of the road from where the City sidewalks currently end near Kingberg Ave. to Amy Kay Parkway. The total project cost for just the sidewalk is \$650,000 of which \$520,000 will be federally funded, and a local match of \$130,000 is required.

I am working with NYSDOT to draft resolutions to commit the match to the project and bond for the match, and they will be provided at the meeting. The funds will be transferred to the NYSDOT, which will continue to manage the design and construction of the project.

The NYSDOT plans to hold a public meeting on the entire Empire State Trail project in Kingston later in June, and more information about the design will be available at that time.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Kristen E. Wilson".

Kristen E. Wilson
Director

Kingston Common Council Agenda Item Report

Meeting Date: June 4, 2019

Submitted by: Kristen Wilson

Submitting Department: Grants Management

Item Type: Proposed Resolution

Agenda Section:

Subject:

Henry Street Safe Routes to School Project SEQR

Suggested Action:

Declare the Kingston Common Council as Lead Agency

Issue a negative declaration for the Henry Street Project

Attachments:

[2019-5-31 Letter to Council Regarding SEQR Neg Dec for Henry Street.pdf](#)

[Draft Committee Report-LR Declaring as Lead Agency_Henry St.doc](#)

[Draft Committee Report-LR SEQR Neg Dec_Henry St.doc](#)

[FEAF Part 1 Henry Street.pdf](#)

[FEAF Part 2 Henry Street.pdf](#)

[FEAF Part 3 Henry Street.pdf](#)

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of Grants Management
grants@kingston-ny.gov

Kristen E. Wilson, Director



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

May 31, 2019

Honorable James L. Noble, Jr.
President/Alderman-at-Large
Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

Re: SEQR Type 1 Determination of Negative Declaration for Henry Street Safe Routes to School Project

Dear President Noble,

Last month the Office of Grants Management communicated with the Council regarding the SEQR determination for the Henry Street Project to seek designation as the Lead Agency. Following the passage of the resolution on June 4th, 2019, letters will be sent to involved agencies on June 5th, 2019, and we expect to be able to establish the Kingston Common Council as the Lead Agency by the July Common Council meeting as involved agencies have 30 days to respond.

In anticipation of the City being the Lead Agency, in June 2019, we would like members of the appropriate committee to review Parts 1, 2, and 3 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form (FEAF) and the associated documents. Then recommend the passage of resolutions declaring the Common Council as Lead Agency and determining a Negative Declaration for SEQR. Attached you will find all of the completed FEAF forms and the two relevant resolutions.

Following the SEQR designation, the City will submit a preliminary design report to the NYSDOT for review. The design proposed at the public meeting earlier this year can be viewed here:

<https://www.kingston-ny.gov/henrystreet>

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kristen E. Wilson
Director

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

LAWS & RULES COMMITTEE REPORT

DEPARTMENT: Office of Grants Management

DATE: June 19, 2019

Description:

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL DECLARING THE CITY OF KINGSTON AS LEAD AGENCY FOR THE HENRY STREET SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL PROJECT IN THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW QUALITY REVIEW ACT (SEQR) PROCESS PURSUANT TO 6 NYCRR PART 617

No financial impact.

Signature _____

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action ☒ X

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: ☒ X

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Andrea Shaut, Chairman		
Reynolds Scott-Childress, Ward 3		
Bill Carey, Ward 5		
Patrick O'Reilly, Ward 7		
Jeffrey Venture Morell, Ward 1		

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

LAWS & RULES COMMITTEE REPORT

DEPARTMENT: Office of Grants Management

DATE: June 19, 2019

Description:

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL ISSUING A NEGATIVE DECLARATION IN CONNECTION WITH THE HENRY STREET SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL PROJECT IN THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT (SEQR) PROCESS PURSUANT TO 6 NYCRR PART 617

No financial impact.

Signature_____

Motion by_____

Seconded by_____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action ☒ X

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: ☒ X

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Andrea Shaut, Chairman		
Reynolds Scott-Childress, Ward 3		
Bill Carey, Ward 5		
Patrick O'Reilly, Ward 7		
Jeffrey Venture Morell, Ward 1		

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either “Yes” or “No”. If the answer to the initial question is “Yes”, complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is “No”, proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:		
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):		
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):		
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:		Telephone:
		E-Mail:
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):		Telephone:
		E-Mail:
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):		Telephone:
		E-Mail:
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. (“Funding” includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)

Government Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application Date (Actual or projected)
a. City Counsel, Town Board, or Village Board of Trustees ☐ Yes ☐ No		
b. City, Town or Village Planning Board or Commission ☐ Yes ☐ No		
c. City, Town or Village Zoning Board of Appeals ☐ Yes ☐ No		
d. Other local agencies ☐ Yes ☐ No		
e. County agencies ☐ Yes ☐ No		
f. Regional agencies ☐ Yes ☐ No		
g. State agencies ☐ Yes ☐ No		
h. Federal agencies ☐ Yes ☐ No		
i. Coastal Resources. i. Is the project site within a Coastal Area, or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland Waterway? ☐ Yes ☐ No ii. Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program? ☐ Yes ☐ No iii. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area? ☐ Yes ☐ No		

C. Planning and Zoning

C.1. Planning and zoning actions.

Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed? ☐ Yes ☐ No

- **If Yes**, complete sections C, F and G.
- **If No**, proceed to question C.2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1

C.2. Adopted land use plans.

a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located? ☐ Yes ☐ No

b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway; Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?) ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, identify the plan(s):

c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, identify the plan(s):

C.3. Zoning	
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district?	□ Yes □ No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	□ Yes □ No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes,	□ Yes □ No
i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site? _____	
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? _____	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? _____	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? _____	
d. What parks serve the project site? _____ _____	

D. Project Details

D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed, include all components)? _____	
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? _____ acres b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? _____ acres c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? _____ acres	
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? □ Yes □ No i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, housing units, square feet)? % _____ Units: _____	
d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? □ Yes □ No If Yes, i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types) _____ ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? □ Yes □ No iii. Number of lots proposed? _____ iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum _____ Maximum _____	
e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? □ Yes □ No i. If No, anticipated period of construction: _____ months ii. If Yes: • Total number of phases anticipated _____ • Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) _____ month _____ year • Anticipated completion date of final phase _____ month _____ year • Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progress of one phase may determine timing or duration of future phases: _____ 	

f. Does the project include new residential uses? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, show numbers of units proposed.				
	<u>One Family</u>	<u>Two Family</u>	<u>Three Family</u>	<u>Multiple Family (four or more)</u>
Initial Phase	_____	_____	_____	_____
At completion	_____	_____	_____	_____
of all phases	_____	_____	_____	_____

g. Does the proposed action include new non-residential construction (including expansions)? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes,	
i. Total number of structures _____	
ii. Dimensions (in feet) of largest proposed structure: _____ height; _____ width; and _____ length	
iii. Approximate extent of building space to be heated or cooled: _____ square feet	

h. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that will result in the impoundment of any liquids, such as creation of a water supply, reservoir, pond, lake, waste lagoon or other storage? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes,	
i. Purpose of the impoundment: _____	
ii. If a water impoundment, the principal source of the water: ☐ Ground water ☐ Surface water streams ☐ Other specify:	

iii. If other than water, identify the type of impounded/contained liquids and their source.	

iv. Approximate size of the proposed impoundment. Volume: _____ million gallons; surface area: _____ acres	
v. Dimensions of the proposed dam or impounding structure: _____ height; _____ length	
vi. Construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, concrete):	

D.2. Project Operations

a. Does the proposed action include any excavation, mining, or dredging, during construction, operations, or both? ☐ Yes ☐ No (Not including general site preparation, grading or installation of utilities or foundations where all excavated materials will remain onsite) If Yes:	
i. What is the purpose of the excavation or dredging? _____	
ii. How much material (including rock, earth, sediments, etc.) is proposed to be removed from the site?	
• Volume (specify tons or cubic yards): _____ • Over what duration of time? _____	
iii. Describe nature and characteristics of materials to be excavated or dredged, and plans to use, manage or dispose of them.	

iv. Will there be onsite dewatering or processing of excavated materials? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
If yes, describe. _____	

v. What is the total area to be dredged or excavated? _____ acres	
vi. What is the maximum area to be worked at any one time? _____ acres	
vii. What would be the maximum depth of excavation or dredging? _____ feet	
viii. Will the excavation require blasting? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
ix. Summarize site reclamation goals and plan: _____	

b. Would the proposed action cause or result in alteration of, increase or decrease in size of, or encroachment into any existing wetland, waterbody, shoreline, beach or adjacent area? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes:	
i. Identify the wetland or waterbody which would be affected (by name, water index number, wetland map number or geographic description): _____	

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures, or alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:

iii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, describe: _____

iv. Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes:

- acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed: _____
- expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion: _____
- purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access): _____
- proposed method of plant removal: _____
- if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s): _____

v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance: _____

c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes:

i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: _____ gallons/day

ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes:

- Name of district or service area: _____
- Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
- Is the project site in the existing district? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
- Is expansion of the district needed? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
- Do existing lines serve the project site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐

iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes:

- Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: _____
- Source(s) of supply for the district: _____

iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes:

- Applicant/sponsor for new district: _____
- Date application submitted or anticipated: _____
- Proposed source(s) of supply for new district: _____

v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project: _____

vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity: _____ gallons/minute.

d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes:

i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: _____ gallons/day

ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all components and approximate volumes or proportions of each): _____

iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes:

- Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: _____
- Name of district: _____
- Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
- Is the project site in the existing district? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐
- Is expansion of the district needed? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐

• Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? _____ • Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project? _____ If Yes: • Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: _____	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site? _____	
If Yes: • Applicant/sponsor for new district: _____ • Date application submitted or anticipated: _____ • What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge? _____	
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including specifying proposed receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface disposal plans): _____	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste: _____	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction? _____	
If Yes: i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel? _____ Square feet or _____ acres (impervious surface) _____ Square feet or _____ acres (parcel size) ii. Describe types of new point sources. _____ iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent properties, groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)? _____	
• If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands: _____ • Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties? _____	
iv. Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater? _____	
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations? _____	
If Yes, identify: i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles) _____ ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers) _____ iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation) _____	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit, or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit? _____	
If Yes: i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year) _____ ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate: • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF₆) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) • _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric): _____ ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring): _____			
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as quarry or landfill operations? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust): _____			
j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): ☐ Morning ☐ Evening ☐ Weekend ☐ Randomly between hours of _____ to _____. ii. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump trucks): _____ iii. Parking spaces: Existing _____ Proposed _____ Net increase/decrease _____ iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking? Yes ☐ No ☐ v. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe: _____ vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? ☐ Yes ☐ No vii. Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? ☐ Yes ☐ No viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: _____ ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other): _____ iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
l. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> i. During Construction: • Monday - Friday: _____ • Saturday: _____ • Sunday: _____ • Holidays: _____ </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> ii. During Operations: • Monday - Friday: _____ • Saturday: _____ • Sunday: _____ • Holidays: _____ </td> </tr> </table>		i. During Construction: • Monday - Friday: _____ • Saturday: _____ • Sunday: _____ • Holidays: _____	ii. During Operations: • Monday - Friday: _____ • Saturday: _____ • Sunday: _____ • Holidays: _____
i. During Construction: • Monday - Friday: _____ • Saturday: _____ • Sunday: _____ • Holidays: _____	ii. During Operations: • Monday - Friday: _____ • Saturday: _____ • Sunday: _____ • Holidays: _____		

m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes: i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration: _____ _____	
ii. Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? ☐ Yes ☐ No Describe: _____ _____	
n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes: i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures: _____ _____	
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? ☐ Yes ☐ No Describe: _____ _____	
o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures: _____ _____ _____	
p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Product(s) to be stored _____ ii. Volume(s) _____ per unit time _____ (e.g., month, year) iii. Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities: _____ _____	
q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Describe proposed treatment(s): _____ _____ _____	
ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility: • Construction: _____ tons per _____ (unit of time) • Operation : _____ tons per _____ (unit of time) ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste: • Construction: _____ _____ • Operation: _____ _____ iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site: • Construction: _____ _____ • Operation: _____ _____	

s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility? ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes:
 i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities): _____
 ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:
 • _____ Tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or
 • _____ Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment
 iii. If landfill, anticipated site life: _____ years

t. Will the proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous waste? ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes:
 i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility: _____

 ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents: _____

 iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated _____ tons/month
 iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents: _____

 v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility? ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes: provide name and location of facility: _____

 If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste facility:

E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action

E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site			
a. Existing land uses. i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the project site. ☐ Urban ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☐ Residential (suburban) ☐ Rural (non-farm) ☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☐ Other (specify): _____ ii. If mix of uses, generally describe: _____ _____			
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.			
Land use or Covertype	Current Acreage	Acreage After Project Completion	Change (Acres +/-)
• Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces			
• Forested			
• Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)			
• Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)			
• Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)			
• Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)			
• Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)			
• Other Describe: _____ _____			

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? ☐ Yes ☐ No i. If Yes: explain: _____	
d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, i. Identify Facilities: _____ _____	
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment: • Dam height: _____ feet • Dam length: _____ feet • Surface area: _____ acres • Volume impounded: _____ gallons OR acre-feet ii. Dam's existing hazard classification: _____ iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: _____ _____	
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Has the facility been formally closed? ☐ Yes ☐ No • If yes, cite sources/documentation: _____ ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: _____ _____ iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: _____ _____	
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: _____ _____	
h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes – Spills Incidents database ☐ Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database ☐ Neither database Provide DEC ID number(s): _____ Provide DEC ID number(s): _____ ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: _____ _____ iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): _____ iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s): _____ _____	

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses? ☐ Yes ☐ No • If yes, DEC site ID number: _____ • Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): _____ • Describe any use limitations: _____ • Describe any engineering controls: _____ • Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? ☐ Yes ☐ No • Explain: _____ _____
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site? _____ feet
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings? _____ %
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: _____ % _____ % _____ %
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: _____ feet
e. Drainage status of project site soils: ☐ Well Drained: _____ % of site ☐ Moderately Well Drained: _____ % of site ☐ Poorly Drained _____ % of site
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: ☐ 0-10%: _____ % of site ☐ 10-15%: _____ % of site ☐ 15% or greater: _____ % of site
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, describe: _____ _____
h. Surface water features. i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes to either <i>i</i> or <i>ii</i> , continue. If No, skip to E.2.i. iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, state or local agency? ☐ Yes ☐ No iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following information: • Streams: Name _____ Classification _____ • Lakes or Ponds: Name _____ Classification _____ • Wetlands: Name _____ Approximate Size _____ • Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC) _____
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired waterbodies? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired: _____ _____
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway? ☐ Yes ☐ No
j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain? ☐ Yes ☐ No
k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain? ☐ Yes ☐ No
l. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Name of aquifer: _____

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site: _____ _____ _____	
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for designation): _____ ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation: _____ iii. Extent of community/habitat: • Currently: _____ acres • Following completion of project as proposed: _____ acres • Gain or loss (indicate + or -): _____ acres	
o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened species? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Species and listing (endangered or threatened): _____ The project will not impact this species. See 11/12/18 Letter from the Fish & Wildlife Service NY Ecological Services Field Office. Tree removals will occur during the winter cutting season.	
p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of special concern? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Species and listing: _____ _____	
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shell fishing? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use: _____ _____	
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site	
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide county plus district name/number: _____	
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present? ☐ Yes ☐ No i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site? _____ ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s): _____	
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to, a registered National Natural Landmark? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Nature of the natural landmark: ☐ Biological Community ☐ Geological Feature ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate size/extent: _____ _____ _____	
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. CEA name: _____ ii. Basis for designation: _____ iii. Designating agency and date: _____	

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: ☐ Archaeological Site ☐ Historic Building or District ii. Name: _____ iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based: _____
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory? ☐ Yes ☐ No
g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Describe possible resource(s): _____ ii. Basis for identification: _____
h. Is the project site within five miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Identify resource: _____ ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or scenic byway, etc.): _____ iii. Distance between project and resource: _____ miles.
i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes: i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: _____ ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666? ☐ Yes ☐ No

F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.


If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name _____ Date _____

Signature  Title _____



Disclaimer: The EAF Mapper is a screening tool intended to assist project sponsors and reviewing agencies in preparing an environmental assessment form (EAF). Not all questions asked in the EAF are answered by the EAF Mapper. Additional information on any EAF question can be obtained by consulting the EAF Workbooks. Although the EAF Mapper provides the most up-to-date digital data available to DEC, you may also need to contact local or other data sources in order to obtain data not provided by the Mapper. Digital data is not a substitute for agency determinations.



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	No
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	Yes
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. Refer to EAF Workbook.
C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name]	NYS Heritage Areas: Kingston
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	Yes
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site - DEC ID]	V00171, C356054
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	No
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.l. [Aquifers]	Yes
E.2.l. [Aquifer Names]	Principal Aquifer

E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	Yes
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species - Name]	Northern Long-eared Bat
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No
E.3.e. [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites]	Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not available. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.e.ii [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites - Name]	Eligible property:Kingston Gas & Electric Company Building, Eligible property:3-story 4x12 bay brick commercial bldg w/storefront, Eligible property:Solomon Burger House, Community Theatre, VanSteenburgh, Tobias, House, Burger-Matthews House
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	Yes
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

Full Environmental Assessment Form

Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Project :

Date :

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer “**Yes**” to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer “**No**” to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box “Moderate to large impact may occur.”
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the “whole action”.
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - j. If “No”, move on to Section 2.</i>				☐ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur		
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	☐	☐		
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	☐	☐		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	☐	☐		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a	☐	☐		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e	☐	☐		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q	☐	☐		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i	☐	☐		
h. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐		

2. Impact on Geological Features The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g) ☐ NO ☐ YES <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached: _____	E2g	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature: _____	E3c	☐	☐
c. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) ☐ NO ☐ YES <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	☐	☐
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	☐	☐
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	☐	☐
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	☐	☐
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	☐	☐
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	☐	☐
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d	☐	☐

l. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐
----------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer. (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - h. If “No”, move on to Section 5.</i>			
	☐ NO	☐ YES	
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c	☐	☐
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source: _____	D2c	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	☐	☐
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l	☐	☐
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c	☐	☐
h. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐

5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - g. If “No”, move on to Section 6.</i>			
	☐ NO	☐ YES	
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	☐	☐
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	E1e	☐	☐

g. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐
----------------------------------	--	--------------------------	--------------------------

6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. ☐ NO ☐ YES (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - f. If “No”, move on to Section 7.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO ₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF ₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2h	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in “a” through “c”, above.	D2g	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s	☐	☐
f. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐

7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. m.-q.) ☐ NO ☐ YES <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - j. If “No”, move on to Section 8.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p	☐	☐

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c	☐	☐
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source: _____	E2n	☐	☐
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	☐	☐
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source: _____	E1b	☐	☐
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	☐	☐
j. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) ☐ NO ☐ YES <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	E2c, E3b	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).	E1a, E1b	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.	E3b	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.	E1b, E3a	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.	E1 a, E1b	☐	☐
f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.	C2c, C3, D2c, D2d	☐	☐
g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	C2c	☐	☐
h. Other impacts: _____		☐	☐

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.</i>			
		☐ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	☐ ☐	☐ ☐
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is: i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c	☐ ☐	☐ ☐
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	☐	☐
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile 1/2 -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g	☐	☐
g. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐

10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.</i>			
		☐ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source: _____	E3g	☐	☐

d. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐
If any of the above (a-d) are answered “Moderate to large impact may occur”, continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3: e. i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property’s setting or integrity. iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3g, E3f E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	☐ ☐ ☐	☐ ☐ ☐

11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - e. If “No”, go to Section 12.</i>			
		☐ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or “ecosystem services”, provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c	☐	☐
e. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐

12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - c. If “No”, go to Section 13.</i>			
		☐ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	☐	☐
c. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. ☐ NO ☐ YES (See Part 1. D.2.j) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - f. If “No”, go to Section 14.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j	☐	☐
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	☐	☐
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	☐	☐
f. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐

14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. ☐ NO ☐ YES (See Part 1. D.2.k) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - e. If “No”, go to Section 15.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	☐	☐
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g	☐	☐
e. Other Impacts: _____ _____			

15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting. ☐ NO ☐ YES (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - f. If “No”, go to Section 16.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o	☐	☐

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	☐	☐
f. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐

16. Impact on Human Health

The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.)

☐ NO

☐ YES

If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d	☐	☐
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E1g, E1h	☐	☐
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	E1g, E1h	☐	☐
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	E1g, E1h	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E1g, E1h	☐	☐
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	☐	☐
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	☐	☐
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	☐	☐
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	☐	☐
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g E1h	☐	☐
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g	☐	☐
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	☐	☐
m. Other impacts: _____ _____			

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - h. If “No”, go to Section 18.</i>			
		☐ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action’s land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	☐	☐
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	☐	☐
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3	☐	☐
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2	☐	☐
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, E1b	☐	☐
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j	☐	☐
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	☐	☐
h. Other: _____ _____		☐	☐

18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) <i>If “Yes”, answer questions a - g. If “No”, proceed to Part 3.</i>			
		☐ NO	☐ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g	☐	☐
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4	☐	☐
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	☐	☐
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3	☐	☐
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3	☐	☐
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	☐	☐
g. Other impacts: _____ _____		☐	☐

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts
and
Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions

SEQR Status: ☐ Type 1 ☐ Unlisted

Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project: ☐ Part 1 ☐ Part 2 ☐ Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information

and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the _____ as lead agency that:

☐ A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.

☐ B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:

There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).

☐ C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.

Name of Action:

Name of Lead Agency:

Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:

Title of Responsible Officer:

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:

Date:

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

Date:

For Further Information:

Contact Person:

Address:

Telephone Number:

E-mail:

For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:

Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of)

Other involved agencies (if any)

Applicant (if any)

Environmental Notice Bulletin: <http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html>

Kingston Common Council Agenda Item Report

Meeting Date: June 4, 2019

Submitted by: Kristen Wilson

Submitting Department: Grants Management

Item Type: Authorization Request

Agenda Section:

Subject:

DRI Dietz Stadium and Transportation Projects

Suggested Action:

Pass resolutions for funding in the first instance

Attachments:

[Committee Report-DRI DOS Dietz.DOCX](#)

[Committee Report-DRI DOS Transportation.DOCX](#)

[DRI Letter to CC 5.31.19.pdf](#)

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

**FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT**

<u>REQUEST DESCRIPTION</u>		
INTERNAL TRANSFER _____ AUTHORIZATION <u> X </u> CLAIMS _____	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____ BUDGET MODIFICATION _____ ZONING _____	TRANSFER _____ BONDING REQUEST _____ OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: Office of Grants Management DATE: _____

Description

RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXPEND FUNDS IN THE FIRST INSTANCE FOR THE DOWNTOWN REVITALIZATION INITIATIVE GRANT #C1001295 FROM THE NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF STATE FOR UPGRADES TO DIETZ STADIUM AND ANDRETTA POOL

Estimated Financial Impact: \$0 Signature _____

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:
Type I Action _____
Type II Action _____
Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Douglas Koop, Chairman		
Reynolds Scott-Childress Ward 3		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Patrick O'Reilly, Ward 7		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

**FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT**

<u>REQUEST DESCRIPTION</u>		
INTERNAL TRANSFER _____ AUTHORIZATION <u> X </u> CLAIMS _____	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____ BUDGET MODIFICATION _____ ZONING _____	TRANSFER _____ BONDING REQUEST _____ OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: Office of Grants Management DATE: _____

Description

RESOLUTION OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF KINGSTON, NEW YORK, AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXPEND FUNDS IN THE FIRST INSTANCE FOR THE DOWNTOWN REVITALIZATION INITIATIVE GRANT #C1001297 FROM THE NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF STATE FOR KINGSTON UPTOWN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS

Estimated Financial Impact: \$0 Signature _____

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:
Type I Action _____
Type II Action _____
Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Douglas Koop, Chairman		
Reynolds Scott-Childress Ward 3		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Patrick O'Reilly, Ward 7		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of Grants Management
grants@kingston-ny.gov



Kristen Wilson, Director

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

May 31, 2019

Honorable James L. Noble, Jr.
President/Alderman-at-Large
Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

Re: Authorizing Funding in the First Instance for Upgrades to Dietz Stadium and Andretta Pool and the Uptown Transportation Improvement Projects as part of the Downtown Revitalization Initiative

Dear President Noble,

The City of Kingston has been awarded New York State funding of \$2.5 million for the DRI Upgrades to Dietz Stadium and the Andretta Pool and \$2.3275 million for the DRI Uptown Transportation Improvements Project. These funds do not require a local match, and can be used to support the costs of site reconnaissance, design, engineering, permitting, construction and construction inspection for the project.

The City of Kingston will upgrade Dietz Stadium and the Andretta Pool. Improvements may include but are not limited to upgrades to bleachers, water fountains, fencing and gates, sound and Wi-Fi systems, lighting, bike racks, lockers rooms, bathrooms, food vending, signage and parking. Upgrades to the pool may include, but are not limited to new filter equipment, pool repairs including a repaired liner, lobby and bathroom remodeling for ADA compliance, and new fencing and lights. The exact improvements for both the stadium and pool will be subject to budget limitations.

The City of Kingston will, within the budget for the project, improve pedestrian access, traffic circulation, wayfinding signage, and key intersections within Kingston's Stockade Business District as identified in previous transportation plans and including the Albany Ave. and Clinton Ave. intersection. Upgrades will improve safety and navigability while attracting tourism, shopping, dining and business activity to the area. Improvements to Schwenk Drive between Washington Avenue and Fair Street will create a desirable, walkable, pedestrian-friendly connection to the Stockade Business District, and will eventually connect the Kingston Greenline trail system. Work may include lane reconfiguration, intersection upgrades and ADA improvements, new two-way protected bicycle lanes, and creation of a new parking lane

In order to access these funds, the City must pass resolutions to fund the project costs in the first instance. The attached resolution will allow the City to request quarterly reimbursement from the New York Department of State (DOS) for the costs of design, engineering and construction.

I am requesting placement on the agenda of the appropriate committee in June to recommend the passage of these resolutions.

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of Grants Management
grants@kingston-ny.gov



Kristen Wilson, Director

Steven T. Noble, Mayor

Please feel free to contact me with any questions regarding the project. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Kristen E. Wilson", is written over a light blue horizontal line.

Kristen E. Wilson
Director

Kingston Common Council Agenda Item Report

Meeting Date: June 4, 2019

Submitted by: Kristen Wilson

Submitting Department: Grants Management

Item Type: Proposed Resolution

Agenda Section:

Subject:

Mobile Stage Expend Funds

Suggested Action:

Pass a resolution authorizing the expense of funds in the first instance on the mobile stage

Attachments:

[Mobile Stage Finance and Audit Committee Report.docx](#)

[Mobile Stage Letter to CC 5.31.19.pdf](#)

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

**FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT**

<u>REQUEST DESCRIPTION</u>		
INTERNAL TRANSFER _____ AUTHORIZATION <u> X </u> CLAIMS _____	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____ BUDGET MODIFICATION _____ ZONING _____	TRANSFER _____ BONDING REQUEST _____ OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: Office of Grants Management DATE: _____

Description

A resolution authorizing the implementation and funding in the first instance 100% of the costs of the Mobile Community Stage.

Estimated Financial Impact: \$0 Signature _____

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:
Type I Action _____
Type II Action _____
Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Douglas Koop, Chairman		
Reynolds Scott-Childress Ward 3		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Patrick O'Reilly, Ward 7		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of Grants Management
grants@kingston-ny.gov

Kristen Wilson, Director



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

May 31, 2019

Honorable James L. Noble, Jr.
President/Alderman-at-Large
Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

Re: Authorizing Funding in the First Instance for the Purchase of a Mobile Community Stage

Dear President Noble,

The City of Kingston has received New York State funding of \$125,000 and a sponsorship grant from Radio Kingston in the amount of \$25,000 to purchase a mobile community stage. These funds do not require a local match, and can be used to support the purchase of the stage and training on its use for six staff people.

Assemblyman Kevin Cahill, on behalf of the City of Kingston, secured a Community Capital Assistance Program (CCAP) grant of \$125,000 through the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York. This grant was leveraged to secure an additional \$25,000 from Radio Kingston for the purchase of the stage at a total cost of \$150,000. The stage will be used for City functions, and also made available to Kingston organizations at a low rental fee for a variety of events such as performances, awards ceremonies, and speeches. The stage will be maintained and stored by Kingston DPW at 1-31 Condi Street.

In order to access these funds, the City must pass a resolution to fund the purchase cost of \$150,000 in the first instance. The attached resolution will allow the City to request reimbursement from the Dormitory Authority for the \$125,000 CCAP grant. The remaining \$25,000 donation from Radio Kingston has been received and deposited.

I am requesting placement on the agenda of the appropriate committee in June to recommend the passage of this resolution.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions regarding the project. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kristen E. Wilson
Director

Kingston Common Council Agenda Item Report

Meeting Date: June 4, 2019

Submitted by: Lynsey Timbrouck

Submitting Department: Mayor

Item Type: Authorization Request

Agenda Section:

Subject:

Communications- Fund Balance

Suggested Action:

Attachments:

[Communications- Fund Balance Request 5-31-19.pdf](#)

CITY OF KINGSTON

Office of the Mayor

mayor@kingston-ny.gov

Steven T. Noble
Mayor



May 31, 2019

Mr. James L. Noble, Jr.
Alderman-at-Large
President of the Common Council
Kingston City Hall
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

Dear President Noble,

The City's unassigned unaudited fund balance in the General Fund increased to \$7,488,764 at December 31, 2018. This brings the City's General Fund unassigned balance level to 17.02% of 2019 General Fund budgeted expenditures. The City's fund balance policy states the following: *"The City shall strive to maintain an unrestricted unassigned fund balance level in the General Fund ranging between 10% and 13% of the total adopted budget expenditures of the General Fund."*

Our fund balance policy also covers the dispensation of fund balance level overages as follows:

"In the event the unrestricted unassigned fund balance of the City's general fund exceeds the maximum requirements, the excess may be utilized for any lawful purpose approved by the Common Council. In order to minimize the long term effect of such use, the excess shall be appropriated to fund one time expenditures or expenses which do not result in recurring operating costs, or other one-time costs including the establishment or increase in legitimate restrictions (reserves) of fund balance."

Since January 1, 2019, we have used \$752,500 of the unassigned fund balance from December 31, 2018 for various Council-approved capital projects and asset acquisitions.

Additionally, I recommend using up to \$390,000 of fund balance to pay off a portion of our remaining amortizations with the NYS Retirement System. Further, I recommend that we use up to \$350,000 of fund balance for city-wide street paving projects. These actions will reduce the need to budget or bond for these items in the future, potentially saving interest costs and minimizing the impact on future tax levies.

I would like to request this go on the Finance Committee's agenda for the next scheduled meeting, June 12, 2019.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven T. Noble
Mayor

Kingston Common Council Agenda Item Report

Meeting Date: June 4, 2019

Submitted by: Sean Koester

Submitting Department: Engineering

Item Type: Proposed Resolution

Agenda Section:

Subject:

Hasbrouck CSO Sewer Separation Phase 1 Design

Suggested Action:

Authorize bonding for funding in the amount of \$130,000 for site reconnaissance, design, engineering, permitting, and construction inspection related to Phase 1 of sewer separation in the Hasbrouck CSO sewershed.

Attachments:

[Hasbrouck CSO Phase 1 Design Bond Request 5-31-2019.pdf](#)

[Committee Report-Hasbrouck CSO Phase 1 Design.DOCX](#)

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of the City Engineer
jschultheis@kingston-ny.gov

John Schultheis, P.E., City Engineer

Steven T. Noble, Mayor



May 31st, 2019

Alderman at Large James Noble
City of Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

RE: Hasbrouck CSO Sewer Separation Phase 1 Design

Alderman at Large Noble,

In 2018 the City was successful in acquiring \$600,000 of grant funding for the construction of the first phase of sewer separations for the Hasbrouck CSO Sewsershed through our application to the NYSDEC Water Quality Improvement Program (WQIP). As you may recall, the Hasbrouck Sewsershed drains to our most active CSO, and was the focus of our Long-Term Control Plan that Malcom Pirnie completed in 2010. The LTCP recommended a staged approach that focused on the Hasbrouck combined sewer system. The Hasbrouck CSO generates 92 percent of the wastewater discharged to the Rondout Creek in a typical year.

Removal of stormwater inflow from this highly active system will:

- Reduce Hasbrouck CSO overflows to the Rondout Creek;
- Improve Rondout Creek Water Quality;
- Improve our "capture ratio" to the WWTP; and
- Reduce Treatment Costs at the WWTP.

On March 22nd of this year we released a Request for Proposals to qualified independent firms to provide professional engineering services related to the first phase of sewer separations in the Hasbrouck CSO Sewsershed. Through that process we have selected the Firm Tighe & Bond from Rhinebeck, NY as the most qualified firm to work with the City on this project.

I am requesting authorization for funding in the amount of \$130,000 for site reconnaissance, design, engineering, permitting, and construction inspection related to this phase of sewer separation in the Hasbrouck CSO sewershed. Once we have final designs I will come back to the council with another request for funding that would include all the City's share of construction costs, as well as our match requirement for the \$600,000 WQIP grant. We anticipate the construction of this project to cost \$1,300,000.

Please forward this communication to the next regularly scheduled Finance Committee for further discussion.

Should you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully,

Sean Koester
Environmental Specialist

Cc: Steven T. Noble, Mayor
John Tuey, Comptroller
Douglas Koop, Finance Committee Chairman

FINANCE AND AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

INTERNAL TRANSFER _____
AUTHORIZATION _____
CLAIMS _____

CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____
BUDGET MODIFICATION _____
ZONING _____

TRANSFER _____
BONDING REQUEST X
OTHER _____

DEPARTMENT: Engineering

DATE: _____

Request to authorize bonding for funding in the amount of \$130,000 for site reconnaissance, design, engineering, permitting, and construction inspection related to Phase 1 of sewer separation in the Hasbrouck CSO sewershed.

Estimated Financial Impact: \$130,000 Signature_____

Motion by_____

Seconded by_____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:

Type I Action _____

Type II Action _____

Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

50

Kingston Common Council Agenda Item Report

Meeting Date: June 4, 2019

Submitted by: Sean Koester

Submitting Department: Engineering

Item Type: Proposed Resolution

Agenda Section:

Subject:

Hurley Avenue Paving and Complete Streets Construction

Suggested Action:

Authorize bonding in the amount of \$1,213,000 for construction costs related to the Hurley Avenue Paving and Complete Streets Project. \$676,000 will be reimbursed through grant funds administered by the NYSDOT.

Attachments:

[Hurley Avenue Construction Communication 5-31-2019.pdf](#)

[Committee Report-Hurley Avenue ConstructionConstruction.DOCX](#)

CITY OF KINGSTON
Office of the City Engineer
jschultheis@kingston-ny.gov

John Schultheis, P.E., City Engineer



Steven T. Noble, Mayor

May 31st, 2019

Alderman at Large James Noble
City of Kingston Common Council
420 Broadway
Kingston, NY 12401

RE: Hurley Avenue Paving and Complete Streets
PIN 8761.94

Alderman at Large Noble,

The design phase of the Hurley Avenue Paving and Complete Streets is now complete and we anticipate putting this project out to bid this fall. As you may recall, the City of Kingston is the recipient of \$734,000 of Federal Highway Funding through the Ulster County Transportation Council (UCTC) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for this project, \$676,000 of that funding is for the construction of this project.

The current estimated cost to construct this project is \$1,213,000. I can provide a detailed budget for review at the committee meeting.

I am requesting funding authorization in the amount of \$1,213,000 for the construction of the above referenced project. As per our agreement with NYSDOT \$676,000 will be reimbursed by the grant funds and the resulting local share for construction will be \$537,000.

Please forward this communication to the next regularly scheduled Finance Committee for further discussion.

Should you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S. Koester", is written over a horizontal line.

Sean Koester
Environmental Specialist

Cc: Steven T. Noble, Mayor
John Tuey, Comptroller
Douglas Koop, Finance Committee Chairman

THE CITY OF KINGSTON COMMON COUNCIL

**FINANCE AND AUDIT
COMMITTEE REPORT**

<u>REQUEST DESCRIPTION</u>		
INTERNAL TRANSFER _____ AUTHORIZATION _____ CLAIMS _____	CONTINGENCY TRANSFER _____ BUDGET MODIFICATION _____ ZONING _____	TRANSFER _____ BONDING REQUEST <u>X</u> OTHER _____
DEPARTMENT: <u>Engineering</u> DATE: _____ Description: Request to authorize bonding in the amount of \$1,213,000 for construction costs related to the Hurley Avenue Paving and Complete Streets Project. \$676,000 will be reimbursed through grant funds administered by the NYSDOT. Estimated Financial Impact: \$537,000 Signature _____		

Motion by _____

Seconded by _____

Action Required:

SEQRA Decision:
Type I Action _____
Type II Action _____
Unlisted Action _____

Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

Conditioned Negative Declaration: _____

Seek Lead Agency Status: _____

Positive Declaration of Environmental Significance: _____

<u>Committee Vote</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Douglas Koop, Chairman		
Reynolds Scott-Childress Ward 3		
Anthony Davis, Ward 6		
Patrick O'Reilly, Ward 7		
Steven Schabot, Ward 8		